

THE BEHAVIOR OF SEQUENCES OF SOLUTIONS TO THE HITCHIN-SIMPSON EQUATIONS

SIQI HE

ABSTRACT. The Hitchin-Simpson equations are first order non-linear equations for a pair of connection and a Higgs field, which is closely related to the Higgs bundle theory over Kähler manifold. In this paper, we study the behavior of sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations over closed Kähler manifold with unbounded L^2 norms of the Higgs fields. We prove a compactness result for the connections and renormalized Higgs fields.

As an application, we study the realization problem of Taubes' $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form over closed Kähler manifold. Using the Hitchin morphism for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle, we give a necessary and sufficient condition that whether a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form can be realized by a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of compactness problem for flat $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections over 3 and 4 manifold was pioneered by Taubes [33, 32]. In dimension 4, Taubes also proved a compactness theorem for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections of the Kapustin-Witten equations [32] and the Vafa-Witten equations [34]. We also refer to [12, 38] for related compactness results. In addition, over Riemann surface, flat $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections are closely related to the theory of Higgs bundle and Hitchin fibration. Using the theory of Higgs bundle and complex geometry tools, there are series of papers gave terrific understandings of the ends of the Taubes' compactified space, c.f. [18, 19, 11, 22].

This paper is an attempt to generalize Taubes' compactness results to higher dimensional Kähler manifold and to $GL(r, \mathbb{C})$ connection that satisfies the Hitchin-Simpson equations. The method we use relies on a combination of fundamental contributions by Taubes [33, 32] on the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connections and Mochizuki [22] on the asymptotic behavior of Higgs bundle over Riemann surface.

The Hitchin-Simpson equations were introduced by Hitchin [13] on Riemann surface and studied by Simpson [25, 26] over general Kähler manifold. It has great interaction with different areas of math, including gauge theory, the theory of Higgs bundles, representations of fundamental group, etc.

Let (X, ω) be a closed Kähler manifold with real dimension $2n$ and Kähler class ω , let E be a rank r complex vector bundle with Hermitian metric H . Let A be a unitary connection and ϕ be a $\text{End}(E)$ valued Hermitian 1-form. We write $d_A = \bar{\partial}_A + \partial_A$ and $\phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger$ with $\varphi \in \Omega_X^{1,0}(\text{End}(E))$, then the Hitchin-Simpson equations for A and ϕ can be written as

$$F_A^{2,0} = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0, \quad \varphi \wedge \varphi = 0, \quad i\Lambda(F_A^{1,1} + [\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]) = \gamma \text{Id}_E,$$

where $\Lambda : \Omega^{1,1}(\text{End}(E)) \rightarrow \Omega^0(\text{End}(E))$ is the contraction with the Kähler class and γ is a constant defined as $\gamma = \frac{2\pi \deg(E)}{(n-1)!r}$. In addition, we can define $D = d_A + \phi$, then when $\deg(E) = 0$ and $\text{ch}_2(E) \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} = 0$, then the Hitchin-Simpson equations are equivalent to the flat connection equation $D^2 = 0$.

Recall a Higgs bundle over Kähler manifold is a pair $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is a holomorphic vector bundle, $\varphi \in H^0(\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^{1,0})$ with integrable condition $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$. The main advantage of studying flat connections over Kähler manifold is the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence [10, 13, 25]: there is a one-to-one correspondence between the moduli space of polystable Higgs bundle and the moduli space of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations.

The Hitchin morphism, which is introduced by Hitchin [14] and studied in [27, 28, 5], also plays a very important role in our article. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}$ be the moduli space of polystable Higgs bundle and let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be a basis of invariant polynomials of $\text{End}(E)$, then for any $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}$, we can define the Hitchin morphism $\kappa(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) = (p_1(\varphi), \dots, p_r(\varphi)) \in \oplus_{i=1}^r H^0(S^i \Omega_X^{1,0})$, where $S^i \Omega_X^{1,0}$ is the i -th symmetric tensor of $\Omega_X^{1,0}$. Using the Hitchin morphism, we can study the eigenvalues and spectrum dates of a Higgs bundle.

Our main result is the follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a closed Kähler manifold and let E be a rank r vector bundle with Hermitian metric H . Let (A_i, ϕ_i) be a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations (1) and we write $r_i := \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$.*

- (i) *Suppose the sequence $\{r_i\}$ has a bounded subsequence, then there exist a Hausdorff codimension 4 singular set Z_{Uh} , (E_∞, H_∞) a rank r Hermitian vector bundle, A_∞ a unitary connection, ϕ_∞ a Hermitian 1-form such that (A_∞, ϕ_∞) satisfies the Hitchin-Simpson equations over $X \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$. Moreover, passing to a subsequence and up to unitary gauge (A_i, ϕ_i) convergence smoothly to (A_∞, ϕ_∞) over any compact set of $X \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$.*
- (ii) *Suppose the sequence $\{r_i\}$ has no bounded subsequence, we write $\hat{\phi}_i := r_i^{-1} \phi_i = \hat{\varphi}_i + \hat{\varphi}_i^\dagger$ and denote $(p_1(\hat{\varphi}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\varphi}_i)) \in \oplus_{i=1}^r H^0(S^i \Omega_X^{1,0})$ be the image under the Hitchin morphism, then*
 - (a) *$(p_1(\hat{\phi}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\phi}_i))$ convergence smoothly over X to $(p_{1,\infty}, \dots, p_{r,\infty})$ with $p_{k,\infty} \in H^0(S^k \Omega_X^{1,0})$, let $Z_{\text{T}} \subset X$ be the discriminant locus defined by $(p_{1,\infty}, \dots, p_{r,\infty})$.*
 - (b) *Suppose $Z_{\text{T}} \subsetneq X$, then there exists a codimension 4 subset $Z_{\text{Uh}} \subset X \setminus Z_{\text{T}}$, a Hermitian vector bundle (E_∞, H_∞) over $X \setminus (Z_{\text{Uh}} \cup Z_{\text{T}})$, unitary connection A_∞ and Hermitian 1-form ϕ_∞ such that over $X \setminus (Z_{\text{Uh}} \cup Z_{\text{T}})$, for any $p > 1$, up to unitary gauge and passing to a subsequence, we have $(A_i, \hat{\phi}_i)$ convergence to (A_∞, ϕ_∞) in $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$ topology*
 - (c) *A_∞ is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection and (A_∞, ϕ_∞) satisfies the adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations (12),*
 - (d) *Z_{T} and Z_{Uh} are complex analytic subset of X . In addition, suppose $\deg(E) = 0$ and $\text{ch}_2(E) \cdot [\omega]^{2n-2} = 0$, then $Z_{\text{Uh}} = \emptyset$,*
 - (e) *When $\text{rank } E = 2$, then Z_{T} can be chosen to have codimension at least 2.*

The discriminant locus are roughly the points in X corresponding to the common eigenvalues of a Higgs fields which will be explicitly defined on Chapter 2. When $\text{rank}(E) \geq 3$ and $\text{Tr}(\phi_i) \neq 0$, it is possible that $Z_{\text{T}} = X$ and in this situation, Theorem 1.1 doesn't say anything. The adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations will be explicitly defined in Chapter 6. Here (i) is well-known and follows by classical elliptic theory and Uhlenbeck compactness.

In [33, 32], Taubes introduced the concept of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form, which roughly is the boundary of the compactification of flat $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connection. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form is a triple $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$, where Z is a subset of X with codimension at least 2, $\mathcal{I}$ is a $\mathbb{R}$ bundle over $X \setminus Z$, $v \in \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{I}$ is harmonic. There are a lot of interesting papers studied the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-forms, [8, 29, 40]. In addition, when we consider Theorem 1.1 when $\text{rank } E = 2$ and $\text{Tr}(\phi_i) = 0$, the adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations will give us a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form.

We said a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ can be realized if there exists a sequence of solutions (A_i, ϕ_i) to the Hitchin-Simpson equations convergence to $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ in the sense of Theorem 1.1. Over a closed Kähler manifold, we could give the following description when a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form can be realized by a sequence of solutions:

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a closed Kähler manifold, let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}$ be the moduli space of $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ polystable Higgs bundle and write $\kappa : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}} \rightarrow H^0(S^2\Omega_X^{1,0})$ be the Hitchin morphism.*

- (i) *Let $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form over X such that there exists a sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations convergence to $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$, then*

$$(v \otimes v)^{(2,0)} \in \overline{\text{Im } \kappa} \subset H^0(S^2\Omega_X^{1,0}),$$

where $\overline{\text{Im } \kappa}$ is the closure of $\text{Im } \kappa$.

- (ii) *Let $s \in \text{Im } \kappa$, then for every $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ with $(v \otimes v)^{(2,0)} = s$, there exists a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations converge to $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$.*

Conventions. In all estimates below, C, C' , are all constants which arise and depend only on X, E and the background Hermitian metric H over E , but whose values change from one line to the next. We always specify when a constant depends on further data. In this paper, we always denote X be a closed Kähler manifold with $\text{Vol}(X) = 1$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(X) = 2n$. We usually write R_0 as the injective radius of X .

Acknowledgements. The author wishes to thank Mark Cataldo, Tsao-Hsien Chen, Xuemiao Chen, Alexander Doan, Simon Donaldson, Andriy Haydys, Rafe Mazzeo, Clifford Taubes, Song Sun for numerous helpful discussions. Part of this work was done when the author was visiting Stanford University and the author is grateful to the Stanford math department for their hospitality.

2. HIGGS BUNDLES AND THE HITCHIN-SIMPSON EQUATIONS OVER KÄHLER MANIFOLD

In this section, we will introduce the theory of Hitchin [13] and Simpson [25, 26] about Higgs bundles and the Hitchin-Simpson equations over closed a Kähler manifold.

2.1. Higgs bundles over Kähler manifold. Let E be a complex vector bundle over a Kähler manifold X , we write $\Omega_X^{p,q}(E)$ be the E -valued (p,q) forms over X . The holomorphic structures over E can be identified with connections $\bar{\partial}_E : \Omega_X^0(E) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{(0,1)}(E)$ with integrable condition $\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0$. We usually write $\mathcal{E} = (E, \bar{\partial}_E)$ be a holomorphic vector bundle.

Definition 2.1. *A Higgs bundle on X is a pair $(\bar{\partial}_E, \varphi)$ such that*

- $\bar{\partial}_E : \Omega_X^0 \rightarrow \Omega_X^{0,1}$ is a connection with $\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0$, which gives a holomorphic structure of E ,
- $\varphi : E \rightarrow E \otimes \Omega_X^{1,0}$ is holomorphic $\bar{\partial}_E \varphi = 0$. We write $\varphi \in H^0(\text{End}(E) \otimes \Omega_X^{1,0})$ and call φ a Higgs field.
- $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$.

We define the complex gauge group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}} := \text{Aut}(E)$, then for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on Higgs bundle by $g(\bar{\partial}_E, \varphi) := (g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_E \circ g, g^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ g)$.

To form the moduli space of the Higgs bundle, we need to introduce the concept of the stability.

Definition 2.2. *For every holomorphic bundle $\mathcal{E}$, we define the slope of the bundle $\mu(\mathcal{E}) := \frac{\deg \mathcal{E}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}}$. A Higgs pair $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ is stable if for every φ -invariant holomorphic subbundle $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{E}$,*

$\varphi(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{F} \otimes \Omega_X^{1,0}$, we have $\mu(\mathcal{F}) < \mu(\mathcal{E})$. A Higgs pair $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ is polystable if it is a direct sum of stable Higgs bundles.

The $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ orbit of polystable Higgs bundle are closed and we can define the Higgs bundle moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}} := \{\text{Polystable Higgs bundles } (\mathcal{E}, \varphi)\} / \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$. In addition, we call a Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ an $SL(r, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle if $\det(\mathcal{E}) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$, $\text{rank } \mathcal{E} = r$ and $\text{Tr}(\varphi) = 0$.

2.2. The Hitchin-Simpson equations and the Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence.

Now, we introduce the Hitchin-Simpson equations which is defined by Hitchin [13] over Riemann surfaces and Simpson over general Kähler manifolds [25].

2.2.1. The Hermitian Geometry over Kähler Manifold. Let X be a closed Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g . We denote the corresponding Kähler class as ω . In an orthogonal normal frame dz_i of X with $|dz_i|^2 = 2$, we write $\omega = \frac{i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_i$. Then we can define the contraction map with the Kähler class $\Lambda : \Omega_X^{p,q} \rightarrow \Omega_X^{p-1,q-1}$ which acts on $\Omega_X^{1,1}$ by $\Lambda(\sum_{\alpha\beta} a_{\alpha\beta} dz_{\alpha} \wedge d\bar{z}_{\beta}) = -2i \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}$. In addition, we have $\Lambda\alpha \cdot \text{vol}_g = \frac{1}{(n-1)!} \alpha \wedge \omega^{n-1}$, for $\alpha \in \Omega_X^{1,1}$.

Let E be a rank r complex vector bundle with Hermitian metric H and let d_A be a connection on E . We define a connection d_A is unitary w.r.t to the Hermitian metric H if for any section s_1, s_2 of E , we have $dH(s_1, s_2) = H(d_A s_1, s_2) + H(s_1, d_A s_2)$. If we write $d_A = \partial_A + \bar{\partial}_A$, the A unitary is equivalent to $dH(s_1, s_2) = H(\bar{\partial}_A s_1, s_2) + H(s_1, \partial_A s_2)$.

Given a Higgs bundle $(\bar{\partial}_E, \varphi)$, we can define the adjoint $\partial_H, \varphi^{\dagger}$ as follows:

$$\partial H(s_1, s_2) = H(\bar{\partial}_E s_1, s_2) + H(s_1, \partial_H s_2), \quad H(\varphi s_1, s_2) = H(s_1, \varphi^{\dagger} s_2),$$

where s_1, s_2 are sections of E . Therefore, given any Hermitian metric, we can define a unitary connection $d_A := \bar{\partial} + \partial_H$ and a Hermitian 1-form $\phi := \varphi + \varphi^{\dagger}$. We denote $D := d_A + \phi$, then D is a complex connection with the curvature $D^2 = F_A^{(1,1)} + [\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger}]$. If $D^2 = 0$, then we call D a flat connection.

For any connection $\bar{\partial} : \Omega_X^{(p,q)}(E) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{(p,q+1)}(E)$ and $\partial : \Omega_X^{(p,q)}(E) \rightarrow \Omega_X^{(p+1,q)}(E)$, using the metric on E and the Kähler metric on X , we can define the adjoint operator $\bar{\partial}^*, \partial^*$ and they will satisfy the Kähler identities

$$\partial^* = i[\Lambda, \bar{\partial}], \quad \bar{\partial}^* = i[\Lambda, \partial].$$

Moreover, for the action of Laplacian Δ on Ω_X^0 , we have $\Delta = d^*d = 2\partial^*\partial = 2\bar{\partial}^*\bar{\partial} = 2i\Lambda\bar{\partial}\partial$. In addition, for every $s \in \Omega^{1,0}(E)$ and we denote $s^{\dagger}$ be the adjoint of s , then we have $i\Lambda(s \wedge s^{\dagger}) = |s|^2$.

2.2.2. The Hitchin-Simpson equations over Kähler manifold. Let (E, H) be a rank r Hermitian vector bundle, the Hitchin-Simpson equations are equations for a unitary connection A and a Hermitian 1-form $\phi = \varphi + \varphi^{\dagger}$:

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} F_A^{0,2} &= 0, \quad \varphi \wedge \varphi = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0, \\ i\Lambda(F_A^{\perp} + [\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger}]) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $F_A^{\perp} = F_A^{1,1} - \gamma(E)\text{Id}$ is the trace free part of $F_A^{1,1}$ and $\gamma(E) = \frac{2\pi \deg(\mathcal{E})}{(n-1)!r}$.

The first three equations of (1) is invariant under the complex gauge group $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ which define a Higgs bundle $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)$. In addition, (d_A, ϕ) is the Chern connection for $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi)$ together with the Hermitian metric H . Therefore, we can also regard (1) as equations for a triple $(\bar{\partial}, \varphi, H)$.

In addition, we define the complex gauge group $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on (A, ϕ) by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A^g} &= \bar{\partial}_{A^g} + \partial_{A^g} = g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_A \circ g + g^{\dagger} \circ \partial_A \circ g^{\dagger-1}, \\ \phi^g &= \varphi^g + (\varphi^g)^{\dagger} = g^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ g + g^{\dagger} \circ \varphi^{\dagger} \circ g^{\dagger-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The complex gauge group $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ also acts on the Hermitian metric as $H^g(s_1, s_2) = H(g s_1, g s_2)$ for any sections s_1 and s_2 . We have the following proposition explaining the relationship between these two descriptions:

Proposition 2.3. *Let E be a complex vector bundle over X , suppose $(\bar{\partial}_A, \varphi, H)$ is a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, then $(\bar{\partial}_{A^g}, \varphi^g, H^g)$ is also a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations.*

Let A^g, ϕ^g be the Chern connection of $(\bar{\partial}_{A^g}, \varphi^g, H^g)$, then we have $|F_{A^g}|_{H^g} = |F_A|_H$ and $|\phi^g \wedge \phi^g|_{H^g} = |\phi \wedge \phi|_H$.

Proof. Let s_1, s_2 be two sections of E , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} H^g(\bar{\partial}^g s_1, s_2) &= H(g \bar{\partial}^g s_1, g s_2) = H(\bar{\partial}(g s_1), s_2) \\ &= \partial H(g s_1, g s_2) - H(g s_1, \partial_A(g s_2)) = \partial H^g(s_1, s_2) - H^g(s_1, g^{-1} \partial_A g s_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, using the Hermitian metric H^g for the adjoint, we have $d_{A^g} = g^{-1} \circ d_A \circ g$ and similarly, we have $\phi^g = g^{-1} \circ \phi \circ g$. We write $\phi^g = \varphi^g + (\varphi^g)^{\dagger}$, then $F_{A^g} = g^{-1} \circ F_A \circ g$ and $[\varphi^g, (\varphi^g)^{\dagger}] = g^{-1} \circ [\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger}] \circ g$. The proposition follows immediately. $\square$

We define the unitary gauge transform $\mathcal{G} := \{g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}} | gg^{\dagger} = \text{Id}_E\}$, then $\mathcal{G}$ preserves (1). We define the moduli space of the Hitchin-Simpson equations $\mathcal{M}_{\text{HS}}$ as

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{M}_{\text{HS}} := \{(A, \phi) | (A, \phi) \text{ satisfies (1)}\} / \mathcal{G}.$$

In addition, there is a natural map $\Xi : \mathcal{M}_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}$ given by forgetting the second line of (1). We define (A, ϕ) irreducible if $\text{Ker } d_A|_{\Omega_X^0} = \text{Ker } [\phi, \cdot]|_{\Omega_X^0} = 0$ and completely reducible if the pair (A, ϕ) are direct sums of irreducible pairs.

Theorem 2.4. [13, 25] *The map Ξ is one-to-one. To be explicitly, given any Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$, there exists an irreducible solution (A, ϕ) to the Hitchin Simpson equations (1) with $\bar{\partial}_A = \bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}}$ and $\phi^{(1,0)} = \varphi$ if and only if the Higgs bundle is stable, and a reducible solution if and only if it is polystable.*

2.2.3. Energy Identity for Solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson Equations. For every pair $(A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^{\dagger})$ with $\bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0$ and $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$, we define the energy function of (A, ϕ) as $E(A, \phi) = \int_X |F_A + [\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger}]|^2 + |d_A \phi|^2$ then we have

Proposition 2.5. *Let (A, ϕ) be a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations (1), then*

$$E(A, \phi) = 4\pi^2 \int_X \text{ch}_2(E) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} + \gamma^2 \text{rank}(E).$$

Proof. We have the following energy identity:

$$(3) \quad E(A, \phi) = \int_X |i\Lambda(F_A + [\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger}] - \gamma \text{Id})|^2 + \gamma^2 \text{rank}(E) + 4\pi^2 \int_X \text{ch}_2(E) \wedge \frac{\omega^{n-2}}{(n-2)!}$$

$\square$

Corollary 2.6. [25] *Suppose $\deg(E) = 0$ and $\text{ch}_2(E) \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} = 0$, then (1) is equivalent to the flat connection equations for the complex $d_A + \varphi + \varphi^{\dagger}$:*

$$\begin{aligned} F_A^{0,2} &= 0, \quad \varphi \wedge \varphi = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0, \\ F_A^{1,1} + [\varphi, \varphi^{\dagger}] &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

2.3. Hitchin Morphism and Spectrum Cover. We will introduce the spectrum geometry of Higgs bundle moduli space, which is introduced by Hitchin on Riemann surface [13, 14].

2.3.1. Hitchin Morphism. Let $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ be a Higgs bundle over X . As $\varphi \in H^0(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_X^{1,0})$, if p_k is a invariant polynomial of $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ with $\deg(p_k) = k$, it defines a map $p_k : H^0(X, \text{End}(\mathcal{E}) \otimes \Omega_X^{1,0}) \rightarrow H^0(S^k \Omega_X^{1,0})$, where $S^k \Omega_X^{1,0}$ are the symmetric product of $\Omega_X^{1,0}$. We define the Hitchin base $\mathcal{A}_X := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(X, S^i \Omega_X^{1,0})$ and let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be a basis for the ring of invariant polynomials on $\text{End}(\mathcal{E})$, we can define the Hitchin morphism

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}} &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X, \\ \kappa(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) &= (p_1(\varphi), \dots, p_r(\varphi)). \end{aligned}$$

2.3.2. Spectrum Cover. Given any element $\Theta = (p_1, \dots, p_r) \in \mathcal{A}_X$, we can define the spectral cover S_Θ of X :

$$(5) \quad S_\Theta := \{\lambda \in \Omega_X^{1,0} \mid \lambda^r + p_1 \lambda^{r-1} + \dots + p_r = 0\}.$$

Locally, we can choose a trivialization of $\Omega_X^{1,0} \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ and consider the defining equation of S_Θ on each component of $\mathbb{C}^n$. However, this definition will depends on the choice of the trivialization. We need the input of the integrable condition $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$.

Proposition 2.7. [16] *If $\Theta = \kappa(\varphi)$ for some Higgs field φ satisfies $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$, then S_Θ is well-defined.*

Let $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ be a Higgs bundle, for each $p \in X$, we define $\lambda \in \Omega_X^{1,0}$ be the eigenvalue of φ if there exists a section $s \in E|_p$ such that $\varphi s = \lambda s$. In addition, λ will be holomorphic as λ is a solution of S_Θ . Based on the previous proposition, the $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$ will guarantee that over every point of X , φ has well-defined eigenvalues. Therefore, given a Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ with spectral cover S_Θ , then the points in S_Θ correspond to different eigenvalues of φ .

Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ be r eigenvalues of φ , then we define the discriminant section as:

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_\varphi : X &\rightarrow (\Omega_X^{1,0})^{r^2-r} \\ p &\rightarrow \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\lambda_i(p) - \lambda_j(p))^2. \end{aligned}$$

The zero set of the discriminant section will be called the discriminant locus, which we denoted as Z_Θ . The spectral cover are ramified along the discriminant locus.

2.3.3. The Image of the Hitchin Morphism. Over general Kähler manifold, due to the condition that $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$, one should not expect that κ is surjective. The Hitchin morphism will factor through a subset of $\mathcal{A}_X$ based on the condition $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$.

Given $\Theta = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathcal{A}_X$, with spectral cover $S_\Theta = \{\lambda \mid \lambda^r + s_1 \lambda^{r-1} + \dots + s_r = 0\}$.

Definition 2.8. *We define $\mathcal{B}_X$ consists of elements $\Theta = (s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathcal{A}_X$ such that there exists a proper subset $Z_\Theta \subsetneq X$ and for every $p \in X \setminus Z_\Theta$, there exists r holomorphic sections $(v_1, \dots, v_r)$ of $\Omega_X^{1,0}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_r, \\ s_2 &= v_1 v_2 + v_1 v_3 + \dots + v_{r-1} v_r, \\ &\dots \\ s_r &= v_1 \dots v_r. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.9. [5] *The space $\mathcal{B}_X$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $\mathcal{B}_X$ is closed in $\mathcal{A}_X$ and $\text{Im } \kappa \subset \mathcal{B}_X$. In addition, for $\Theta \in \mathcal{B}_X$, then spectral cover S_Θ is well-defined.
- (ii) After restricting the Hitchin morphism to the rank 2 trace free Higgs bundle moduli space, we define $\mathcal{B}_X = \{s \in H^0(S^2\Omega_X^{1,0}) | \text{rank } s \leq 1\}$, then $\text{Im } \kappa \subset \mathcal{B}_X$

Proof. We will only use the statement of (ii) in our paper and we will give a direct proof. $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{SL(2,\mathbb{C})}$ be the moduli space of polystable $SL(2,\mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle, then the Hitchin base for $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^{SL(2,\mathbb{C})}$ will be $\mathcal{A}_X = H^0(S^2\Omega_X^{1,0})$ and the Hitchin morphism can be written as $\kappa(\varphi) = \det(\varphi)$. We choose a local holomorphic frame $\{dz_1, \dots, dz_n\}$ of T^*X and write $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i dz_i$. Then the condition $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$ is equivalent to $[\varphi_i, \varphi_j] = 0$. Suppose at some $p \in X$, $\varphi|_p \neq 0$, without loss of generality, we can assume $\varphi_1 \neq 0$. As the Cartan subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ is rank 1, we set $\sigma = \varphi_1$ then $\varphi_j = \lambda_j \varphi_1$. If we set $v = dz_1 + \lambda_2 dz_2 + \dots + \lambda_n dz_n$, then $\varphi = \sigma \otimes v$. Therefore, $\det(\varphi) = \det(\sigma)v \otimes v \in \mathcal{B}_X$, in other word, $\text{Im}(\kappa) \subset \mathcal{B}_X$. $\square$

We have the following easy but important corollary follows by the closeness of $\mathcal{B}_X$.

Corollary 2.10. *Let $s_i \in \mathcal{B}_X$ and suppose s_i convergence to $s_\infty \in \mathcal{A}_X$ in C^∞ topology, then $s_\infty \in \mathcal{B}_X$. In other word, we have a well-defined spectrum cover for s_∞ .*

As every $\Theta \in \mathcal{B}_X$, the spectrum cover S_Θ has well-defined eigenvalues, we can define the discriminant section $\Delta_\Theta : X \rightarrow (\Omega_X^{1,0})^{r^2-r}$ in the same way as (6), then as Δ_Θ can be expressed as invariant polynomials, Δ_Θ is holomorphic over X , $\Delta_\Theta \in H^0((\Omega_X^{1,0})^{r^2-r})$. We define the discriminant locus $D_\Theta := \Delta_\Theta^{-1}(0)$ and obtain

Proposition 2.11. *The discriminant locus is complex analytic. When $r = 2$, $s_1 = 0$ and $\Theta \neq 0$, then D_Θ will be codimension at least 2.*

Proof. As D_Θ is the zero set of a holomorphic section, the complex analytic statement follows immediately. When $r = 2$ and $s_1 = 0$, then $\Theta = s_2 \neq 0$. There $D_\Theta = s_2^{-1}(0)$ will be codimension at least 2. $\square$

Remark. *There are many examples of Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ has discriminant locus $Z_\Theta = X$, where $\text{rank } \mathcal{E} = 3$. Given any rank 2 Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$, let $(L, 0)$ be a rank 1 Higgs bundle with vanishing Higgs field, then the discriminant locus of $(\mathcal{E} \oplus L, \varphi \oplus 0)$ will be X .*

2.4. Tensor Products of the Higgs Bundles. Follows by [26], we can define different operators, including the tensor product, dual, pull back of the Higgs bundles in an obvious way.

To be more explicit, let $(\mathcal{E}_1, \varphi_1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2, \varphi_2)$ be two Higgs bundle, then we can define the tensor product of the Higgs bundle as $(\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2, \varphi_1 \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}_2} + \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}_1} \otimes \varphi_2)$. Let $D_1 : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_1$ and $D_2 : \mathcal{E}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ be two complex connection, then for $e_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_i)$, we can define $D_1 \otimes D_2(e_1 \otimes e_2) := D_1(e_1) \otimes e_2 + e_1 \otimes D_2(e_2)$. For any Hermitian metric H_1, H_2 over $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$, we can define a Hermitian metric over the tensor product bundle $\mathcal{E}_1 \otimes \mathcal{E}_2$ with $H_1 \otimes H_2(e_1 \otimes e_2, f_1 \otimes f_2) = H_1(e_1, f_1)H_2(e_2, f_2)$, where $e_i, f_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_i)$.

The Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence behaves well under the tensor product and following proposition follows directly by a straight forward computation.

Proposition 2.12. *Let $(\mathcal{E}_1, \varphi_1, H_1)$ and $(\mathcal{E}_2, \varphi_2, H_2)$ be two solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations with D_1, D_2 be the corresponding complex connections, then $((\mathcal{E}_1, \varphi_1) \otimes (\mathcal{E}_2, \varphi_2), H_1 \otimes H_2)$ is a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations with $D_1 \otimes D_2$ be the corresponding complex connection.*

3. L_1^2 CONVERGENCE AND THE EIGENVALUE ESTIMATES

This section will be the starting point for the analysis of sequences of solutions (A_i, ϕ_i) to (1). We will give a L_1^2 estimate of $|\phi_i|$ and C^∞ estimate for the invariant polynomials of ϕ_i .

3.1. Useful Identities. To begin with, we will compute some useful identities for solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations. Let (E, H_0) be a Hermitian vector bundle over X with Hermitian metric H_0 and let $(A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger)$ be a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, then

Lemma 3.1. (i) $\langle [i\Lambda[\varphi, \varphi^\star], \varphi], \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} ||[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2$.

(ii) Let s be a holomorphic section of E with $[\varphi, s] = 0$, then

$$(7) \quad \Delta|s|^2 + 2|\partial_A s|^2 + 2|[\varphi^\dagger, s]|^2 = 0,$$

(iii) Let $A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger$ be a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equation (1), we denote ∇_A be the wrapped connection of d_A and the Levi-Civita connection over $\Omega^1(\text{End}(E))$, then we have the identity:

$$(8) \quad \Delta|\phi|^2 + 2|\nabla_A \phi|^2 + 2|[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 + 2\text{Ric}(\phi, \phi) = 0,$$

where suppose we choose a real frame dx_i and write $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \phi_i dx_i$, then $\text{Ric}(\phi, \phi) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n} \text{Ric}_{ij} \phi_i \phi_j$ and Ric_{ij} is the Ricci curvature over the plane $dx_i \wedge dx_j$.

Proof. For i), let A, B be any two matrix with $[A, B] = 0$, then $\text{Tr}([A, A^\dagger], B)B^\dagger = \text{Tr}([A^\dagger, B][A, B^\dagger]) = \langle [A, B^\dagger], [A, B^\dagger] \rangle$. Locally, let $dz_1, dz_2, \dots, dz_n$ be a orthonormal basis of $\Omega_X^{1,0}$ and we write $\varphi = \sum_{i=1}^n \varphi_i dz_i$. Then the condition $\varphi \wedge \varphi = 0$ implies $[\varphi_i, \varphi_j] = 0$. We compute $\langle [i\Lambda[\varphi, \varphi^\star], \varphi], \varphi \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n 2\langle [[\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger], \varphi_k], \varphi_k \rangle$. As $[\varphi_i, \varphi_k] = 0$, we obtain $\langle [[\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger], \varphi_k], \varphi_k \rangle = \langle [\varphi_i, \varphi_k^\dagger], [\varphi_i, \varphi_k^\dagger] \rangle$. The claim follows by $||[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 = 4 \sum_{i,j} |[\varphi_i, \varphi_j^\dagger]|^2$.

For ii), let s be a holomorphic section of a vector bundle E with connection d_A and curvature $\hat{F}_A$, then we compute

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta|s|^2 &= 2\bar{\partial}^* \bar{\partial}|s|^2 = -2i\Lambda \partial \bar{\partial}|s|^2 = -2i\Lambda \partial \langle s, \partial_A s \rangle \\ &= -2i\Lambda \langle \partial_A s, \partial_A s \rangle - 2i\Lambda \langle s, \bar{\partial}_A \partial_A s \rangle = -2|\partial_A s|^2 + 2i\Lambda \langle F_A^{1,1} s, s \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By (1), we obtain $i\Lambda F_A = -i\Lambda[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger] + \gamma(E)\text{Id}_E$, where $\gamma(E) = \frac{2\pi \deg(E)}{(n-1)!r}$. In addition, as $[\varphi, s] = 0$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 2i\Lambda F_A s, s \rangle &= -4\langle s, \sum_{i=1}^n [[\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger], s] \rangle + \langle s, [2\gamma(E)\text{Id}_E, s] \rangle \\ &= -4\langle s, \sum_{i=1}^n [\varphi_i, [\varphi_i^\dagger, s]] \rangle = -4 \sum_j |[\varphi_j^\dagger, s]|^2 = -2|[\varphi^\dagger, s]|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For (iii), we consider φ as a holomorphic section of $\Omega_{B_R}^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$, and denote d_A be the Chern connection of H . The Hermitian metric H of $\mathcal{E}$ and metric g of B_R induced a metric of $\Omega_{B_R}^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ with induced connection ∇_A . We write the induced curvature over $\Omega_{B_R}^1 \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{E})$ as $\hat{F}$, then $i\Lambda \hat{F} \varphi = [i\Lambda F_A, \varphi] + \text{Ric}(\varphi)$, where Ric is the Ricci tensor of g .

By the Weizenböck formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta|\varphi|^2 &= -2|\nabla_A \varphi|^2 + 2\langle i\Lambda \hat{F} \varphi, \varphi \rangle \\ &= -2|\partial_A \varphi|^2 + 2\langle i\Lambda F_A \varphi, \varphi \rangle - 2\text{Ric}(\varphi, \varphi) \\ &= -2|\nabla_A \varphi|^2 - |[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 - 2\text{Ric}(\varphi, \varphi). \end{aligned}$$

□

3.2. L^∞ estimates. In this subsection, we will explain some techniques developed by Taubes [32], which used (8) and $\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}$ to control $\|\phi\|_{L^\infty(X)}$ when $\dim(X) \leq 4$. There are also some generalizations without the dimension assumption [6].

Lemma 3.2. [6, 32] *Let $|f|$ be a non-negative function. Suppose there exists positive constants R, δ satisfies $\Delta|f|^2 + |d|f|^2 \leq R|f|^2$, $\int_X |f|^{2(1+\delta)} \leq C$, then $\int_X |f|^{(1+\delta)\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq C_\delta$, where C_δ is a constant depends on δ .*

Proof. First, we multiple $|f|^{2\delta}$ in both side of the inequality and integrating it over X , then we obtained

$$\int_X (|f|^{2\delta} \Delta|f|^2 + |f|^{2\delta} |d|f|^2) \leq C \int_X |f|^{2+2\delta}.$$

In addition, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X |f|^{2\delta} \Delta|f|^2 + |f|^{2\delta} |d|f|^2 &= (4\delta + 1) \int_X |f|^{2\delta} |d|f|^2, \\ \int_X |d|f|^{1+\delta}|^2 &= (1 + \delta)^2 \int_X |d|f|^2 |f|^{2\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\int_X |d|f|^{1+\delta}|^2 \leq \frac{4\delta + 1}{(1 + \delta)^2} \left(\int_X |f|^{2\delta} \Delta|f|^2 + |f|^{2\delta} |d|f|^2 \right).$$

As $\int_X |f|^{2(1+\delta)} + |d|f|^{1+\delta}|^2 \leq C_\delta$, by the Sobolev inequality $L_1^2 \hookrightarrow L^{\frac{2n}{n-2}}$, we obtain the desire estimate. □

Proposition 3.3. [6, 32] *Given any solution (A, ϕ) to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, for any $p > 1$, we have $\|\phi\|_{L^p(X)} \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and Kato inequality, we have $\Delta|\varphi|^2 + 2|d|\varphi|^2 \leq C|\varphi|^2$. Integrating over X , we have $\int_X |d|\varphi|^2 \leq C \int_X |\varphi|^2$. By Sobolev inequality, we obtain $\int_X |\varphi|^{\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq C$. Take δ_0 such that $2 + 2\delta_0 = \frac{2n}{n-2}$, applying Lemma (3.2). We obtain $\int_X |a|^{(1+\delta_0)\frac{2n}{n-2}} \leq C$. For $k \geq 1$, we define $2 + 2\delta_k = (1 + \delta_{k-1})\frac{2n}{n-2}$, by iteration using Lemma 3.1, we obtain $\int_X |\varphi|^{2\delta_k+2} \leq C$. As $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \delta_k = \infty$, we obtain the desire estimate. □

Proposition 3.4. *Given any solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, we have $\sup_X |\phi| \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}$.*

Proof. For each $p \in X$, let B_R be a radius R ball centered in p and denote r be the distance function to p . We take a cut off-function $\beta(r)$ which vanishes at ∂B_{2R} and equals to one on B_R . Let G_p be the Green function of Δ at p , then by (8), we obtain

$$\int_X (\beta G_p \Delta|\phi|^2 + 2\beta G_p |\nabla_A \phi|^2 + 2\chi G_p |\phi \wedge \phi|^2) \leq C \int_{B_{2R}} G_p |\phi|^2.$$

We compute

$$\int_X \beta G_p \Delta|\phi|^2 = \int_X \Delta(\beta G_p) |\phi|^2 = |\phi|^2(p) + \int_{B_{2R}} \Theta |\phi|^2,$$

where $\Theta = \langle \nabla \chi, \nabla G_p \rangle - \langle \Delta, \chi G_p \rangle$, with $\|\Theta\|_{L^\infty} \leq CR^{1-2n}$. As $\beta G_p |\nabla_A \phi|^2 + \chi G_p |\phi \wedge \phi|^2 \geq 0$, we obtain

$$|\phi|^2(p) \leq R^{1-2n} \int_{B_{2R}} |\phi|^2 + \int_{B_{2R}} G_p |\phi|^2.$$

As $|G_p| \leq R^{2-2n}$, we let $l = \frac{2n-1}{2n-2}$ and $q = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{l}} = 2n-1$ and by Hölder inequality, we obtain $\int_{B_{2R}} |G_p| |\phi|^2 \leq (\int_{B_{2R}} |G_p|^l)^{\frac{1}{l}} (\int_{B_{2R}} |\phi|^{2q})^{\frac{1}{q}}$. By Proposition 3.3, we obtain the desire bound. $\square$

Proposition 3.5. *Let (A_i, ϕ_i) be a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, let $r_i := \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ and write $\hat{\phi}_i = r_i^{-1} \phi_i$, then*

- i) $\int_X |d\hat{\phi}_i|^2 + |\hat{\phi}_i|^2 \leq C$,
- ii) $|\hat{\phi}_i|$ convergence weakly in the L_1^2 topology and strongly in L^p topology for any $p < \infty$.

Proof. We integrating (8) and obtain $\int_X |d_{A_i} \hat{\phi}_i|^2 + |\hat{\phi}_i|^2 \leq C$. By Kato inequality, we obtain i). As $\hat{\phi}_i$ weak convergence to μ_∞ in L_1^2 topology, by Sobolev embedding, we obtained the strong convergence in L^p for any $p < \frac{2n}{n-1}$. By Proposition 3.4, $\sup |\hat{\phi}_i|$ is bounded implies the strong convergence of $|\hat{\phi}_i|$ in L^p for all p , which completes ii). $\square$

3.3. C^0 Estimate in terms of Eigenvalues. For any $R > 0$, $p \in X$, we set $B_R := \{z \in X | \text{dist}(z, p) < R\}$ be the unit disc with radius R and g be the Kähler metric over B_R . Let $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ be a rank r Higgs bundle, we consider a solution $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi, H)$ to the Hitchin-Simpson equations (1) over B_R .

Lemma 3.6. [26] *For every matrix A with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$, we write $g(A) = \sum_{i=1}^r |\lambda_i|^2$, then $||[A, A^\dagger]|^2 \geq C(|A|^2 - g(A))$.*

Proof. We choose a unitary bases such that $A = P + N$, where P is diagonal and N is strictly upper triangle. Then $|A|^2 = |P|^2 + |N|^2 = g(A) + |N|^2$. Now we will present a proof in [39] which prove $||[N, N^\dagger]| \geq C|N|^2$ by contradiction. Suppose there exists a sequence N_i which are strictly upper triangle with $|N_i| = 1$ and $\lim ||[N_i, N_i^\dagger]| = 0$. Passing to a subsequence, we have $\lim N_i = N_\infty$ such that $|N_\infty| = 1$ and $||[N_\infty, N_\infty^\dagger]| = 0$. If we denote $a_1, \dots, a_r$ and $b_1, \dots, b_r$ be the rows and columns of N , then the condition $[N, N^\dagger] = 0$ implies that $|a_i|^2 = |b_i|^2$. We have $a_1 = 0$, then $b_1 = 0$, which implies $a_2 = 0$. Continuing this induction, we obtain $N = 0$, which gives a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *Let $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ be a Higgs bundle with Hermitian metric H , let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be r different eigenvalues of the Higgs bundle. We denote $g(\varphi) := \sum_{i=1}^r |\lambda_i|^2$, then there exists a constant independent of the Higgs bundle such that $||[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 \geq C(|\varphi|^2 - g(\varphi))^2$.*

Proof. This is a local statement and near a point $p \in X$ with open neighborhood B_R , we choose a orthonormal base $dz_1, \dots, dz_n$ such that $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^r \varphi_j dz_j$. We denote $\lambda_1^j, \dots, \lambda_r^j$ be the eigenvalues of φ_j and denote $g_j(\varphi) = \sum_{i=1}^r |\lambda_i^j|^2$. Then $g(\varphi) = \sum_{j=1}^r g_j(\varphi)$. As $|\varphi|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^r |\varphi_j|^2$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} ||[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n ||[\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger]|^2 \geq C \sum_{i=1}^n (|\varphi_i|^2 - g_i(\varphi))^2 \\ &\geq \frac{C}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n (|\varphi_i|^2 - g_i(\varphi)) \right)^2 \geq C' (|\varphi|^2 - g(\varphi))^2. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

Proposition 3.8. [26] *Let $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ be a Higgs bundle with Hermitian metric H which solves the Hitchin-Simpson equations over B_R . Let M be the maximal of the eigenvalues of φ over B_R , then there exists a constant such that*

$$\max_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |\varphi|_H \leq C(M+1).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\Delta|\varphi|^2 \leq -|[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 + C|\varphi|^2.$$

Over B_R , we denote $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be the eigenvalues of φ and define $g := \sum_{i=1}^r |\varphi|^2$, then by Corollary 3.7, we obtain

$$\Delta|\varphi|^2 \leq -C(|\varphi|^2 - g)^2 + C'|\varphi|^2.$$

Over $B_{\frac{R}{2}}$, suppose $|\varphi|$ achieved maximal at some point in $B_{\frac{R}{2}}$, we denote $Q := \max_{B_{\frac{R}{2}}} |\varphi|$, then we obtain $Q(Q - C) \leq C'g \leq C'M$. Therefore, either we have $Q \leq 2C$ or $Q \leq \frac{C'}{C}M$, which implies the claim. $\square$

3.4. Comparing Different Norms of the Higgs fields. Let $(A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger, H)$ be a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations (1), let $\kappa(\varphi) = (p_1(\varphi), \dots, p_r(\varphi)) \in \oplus_{i=1}^r H^0(S^i \Omega_X^{1,0})$ be the invariant polynomials of $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ under the Hitchin morphism κ . In this section, we will compare the size of the following norms $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(X)}$, $\|\varphi\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\max_{1 \leq k \leq r} \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(X)}^{\frac{1}{k}}$.

Proposition 3.9. *We have the following estimates:*

- (i) *Let λ be an eigenvalue of φ , then $|\lambda| \leq |\varphi|$.*
- (ii) $\|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(X)}^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq C_l \|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}$, *where the constant C_l depends on the positive integer l .*

Proof. Locally, let λ be the eigenvalue with eigenvector s , we have $|\lambda|^2 \langle s, s \rangle \leq \langle \varphi s, \varphi s \rangle \leq |\varphi|^2 |s|^2$, which implies (i). For (ii), as $p_k(\varphi)$ are invariant polynomials of φ , we obtain $\|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(X)} \leq |\varphi|_{L^\infty(X)}^k$. In addition, as $p_k(\varphi) \in H^0(S^k \Omega_X^{1,0})$ are holomorphic sections, then by elliptic estimates, we obtain $\|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C |\varphi|_{L^\infty(X)}^k \leq C' \|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}^k$. $\square$

Lemma 3.10. *Let $B_{2R} \subset X$ be a small open ball, let M denote the maximal of eigenvalues of φ over B_R , then $M \leq C \max_k \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{\frac{1}{k}}$.*

Proof. Let λ be an eigenvalue of φ , then λ is a solution of the spectral cover equations $\lambda^r + p_1(\varphi)\lambda^{r-1} + \dots + p_r(\varphi) = 0$. We denote $t := \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{\frac{1}{k}}$ and by elliptic estimate $\|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^\infty(B_R)} \leq \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(B_R)}$. Then we obtained $|\lambda|^r \leq C(t|\lambda|^{r-1} + \dots + |t|^{r-1} + t^r)$, therefore $|\lambda|(|\lambda|^{r-1} - Ct|\lambda|^{r-2} - \dots - C|t|^{r-1}) \leq t^r$, which implies $|\lambda| \leq C't$. $\square$

In summary, we can compare different norms of φ :

Corollary 3.11. *Let B_{2R} be a open ball over X with radius $2R$ less than the injective radius, let M be the maximal of eigenvalues of φ over B_R , then*

- (i) $M \leq C \max_k \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}^{\frac{1}{k}}$,
- (ii) $\max_k \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq C \|\varphi\|_{L^2(X)}$,
- (iii) $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C(\max_k \|p_k(\varphi)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{\frac{1}{k}} + 1)$.

The following statement is obtained by Tanaka [31] for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundles over Kähler surface. We strength his result and prove:

Theorem 3.12. *Let $(E, H, A_i, \phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger)$ be a sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, we define $r_i := \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ and write $\hat{\varphi}_i = r_i^{-1} \varphi_i$, then*

- (i) *Suppose $r_i \leq C$, then $p_k(\varphi_i)$ convergence in C^∞ topology over X .*
- (ii) *Suppose $\limsup r_i = \infty$, then passing to a subsequence, we have*

- (a) $p_k(\hat{\varphi}_i)$ convergence in C^∞ topology over X to α_k where $\alpha_k \in H^0(S^k \Omega_X^{1,0})$,
- (b) $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \neq 0$.

Proof. (i) and (a) follows directly by Proposition 3.9. For (b), by Corollary 3.11, we have

$$\|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C \left(\sum_{k=1}^r \|p_k(\varphi_i)\|_{L^2(X)}^{\frac{1}{k}} + 1 \right).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^r \|p_k(\hat{\varphi}_i)\|_{L^2(X)}^{\frac{1}{k}} + r_i^{-1} \geq C^{-1}.$$

As $\limsup r_i = \infty$, we obtain $\sum_{k=1}^r \|\alpha_k\|_{L^2(X)}^{\frac{1}{k}} \geq C^{-1} > 0$, which implies (ii). $\square$

4. LOCAL ESTIMATES FOR PROJECTIONS OUTSIDE OF THE DISCRIMINANT LOCUS

In this section, we will introduce the estimates for solutions outside of the discriminant locus. Our argument follows largely by the work of Mochizuki [22], who developed the following kind of estimates over Riemann surface.

4.1. Local Decomposition for the Higgs Bundles. Given $(\mathcal{E}, H, A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger)$ a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, we denote D_φ be the discriminant locus of φ . We pick up $p \in X \setminus D_\varphi$, then for $B_R \subset X$ be a radius R ball centered at p , as φ have different eigenvalues over B_R . We denote $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r \in \Omega_X^{1,0}$ be r different eigenvalues of φ over B_R , then in suitable complex gauge, we obtain a decomposition of Higgs bundles $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)|_{B_R} = \oplus_{i=1}^r (\mathcal{E}^i, \varphi^i)$ with rank $\mathcal{E}^i = 1$ and $\varphi^i = \lambda_i \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^i}$. Remark that this decomposition does not preserve the Hermitian metric. However, by Proposition 2.3, we are free to work on different holomorphic trivialization of $\mathcal{E}$.

We denote the rescaled Higgs bundle as $\hat{\varphi} := \frac{\varphi}{\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}}$ and write the image of $\hat{\varphi}$ under the Hitchin morphism as $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}} := (p_1(\hat{\varphi}), p_2(\hat{\varphi}), \dots, p_r(\hat{\varphi})) \in \mathcal{A}_X = \oplus_{i=1}^r H^0(S^i \Omega_X^{1,0})$. Note that the discriminant locus of φ is the same as the discriminant locus of $\hat{\varphi}$.

Proposition 4.1. *For every $p \in X \setminus D_\varphi$, we define $d_p := \min_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|(p)$, then there exists radius $R > 0$ such that over B_R*

- $|\varphi| \leq CC_0 d_p + C$,
- $|\lambda_i - \lambda_i(p)| \leq \frac{d_p}{100}$,

where R and C_0 are constants only depends on $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$. In addition, if we denote $M_p := \max_i \lambda_i(p)$, then $R = \min\{R_0, \frac{d_p}{100C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}}\}$ and $C_0 = \frac{M_p}{d_p}$, where R_0 is the injective radius of X .

Proof. Let M be the maximal of the eigenvalues of φ over B_R , then by Proposition 3.9, we obtain

$$|M - M_p| \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}R, \quad |\lambda_i - \lambda_i(p)| \leq C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}R$$

We take $C_0 = \frac{M_p}{d_p}$ and $R = \min\{\frac{M_p}{C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}}, \frac{d_p}{100C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}}\}$, then $M \leq 2M_p$ and $|\lambda_i - \lambda_i(p)| \leq \frac{d_p}{100}$. By Proposition 3.8, we have

$$\max_{B_R} |\varphi| \leq C(M + 1) \leq 2C(M_p + 1) \leq 2C(C_0 d_p + 1).$$

In addition, as $d_p \leq 2M_p$, we have $\frac{d_p}{100C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}} \leq \frac{2M_p}{C\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)}}$. By replacing the notation of the constants, we obtain the statement. $\square$

Remark. The point of the constants Proposition 4.1 is that it will only depends on the rescaled Higgs field $\hat{\varphi}$ instead of φ . By Theorem 3.12, for an unbounded sequences, the spectrum cover of the rescaled Higgs bundle will convergence. Therefore, for the constants that depend on the spectrum data of $\hat{\varphi}$ can be well controlled.

Corollary 4.2. Under the previous convention, over B_R , we have $|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \geq \frac{48}{50}d_p$. Therefore $R \leq \text{dist}(p, D_\varphi)$ and $B_R \subset X \setminus D_\varphi$.

Proof. By definition of d_p , we have $|\lambda_i(p) - \lambda_j(p)| \geq d_p$. The statement follows by the inequality

$$|\lambda_i(p) - \lambda_j(p)| \leq |\lambda_i - \lambda_i(p)| + |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| + |\lambda_j - \lambda_j(p)| \leq \frac{1}{50}d_p + |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|.$$

□

4.2. Estimate on the projection. Under the previous assumption, let $(\mathcal{E}, H, A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger)$ be a solution with decomposition $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) = \oplus_{i=1}^r (\mathcal{E}^i, \varphi^i)$, where $\text{rank } \mathcal{E}^i = 1$ and $\varphi^i = \lambda_i \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^i}$ over B_R . We can define the orthogonal projection $\pi'_i : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^i$ using the Hermitian metric H . We write $\chi_i = \pi_i - \pi'_i$, then we have

Lemma 4.3. $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} \pi_i = 0$, $[\varphi, \pi_i] = 0$, $\pi_i^{\dagger} = \pi'_i$, $|\pi'_i| = 1$, $|\pi_i|^2 = 1 + |\chi_i|^2$.

Proof. $\bar{\partial}_{\mathcal{E}} \pi_i = 0$, $[\varphi, \pi_i] = 0$ follows by the fact that the decomposition $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) = \oplus (\mathcal{E}^i, \varphi^i)$ is a holomorphic decomposition. $\pi_i^{\dagger} = \pi'_i$, $|\pi'_i| = 1$ follows by the definition of π' which respects the Hermitian metric. As $\langle \pi', \chi_i \rangle = 0$, we obtain $|\pi_i|^2 = |\pi'_i|^2 + |\chi_i|^2$. □

We have the following point-wise estimate lemma that is widely used in [20, 21].

Lemma 4.4. [22, Lemma 2.8] For any rank r vector space V with Hermitian metric h , let θ be endomorphism of V , suppose there exists a decomposition such that $(V, \theta) = \oplus_{i=1}^r (V_i, \theta_i)$ with $\text{rank } V_i = 1$. Suppose there exists the following data:

- $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r$ be r complex numbers, $d := \min_{i \neq j} |\alpha_i - \alpha_j|$,
- $|\theta|_h \leq C_0(d+1)$,
- $|\theta_i - \alpha_i| \leq \frac{d}{100}$,

We write π_i be the projection of E to V_i and π'_i be the orthogonal projection of V onto V_i and write $\chi_i := \pi_i - \pi'_i$, then we have

- i) There exists constant B depending only on r such that $|\pi_i|_h \leq B$ and $|\chi_i| \leq B$.
- ii) There exists constant B' depending only on r such that $||[\theta^\dagger, \pi_i]|_h \geq B'd|\chi_i|$.

Proposition 4.5. Under previous notation, given $(\mathcal{E}, H, A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger)$ a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations and near $p \in X \setminus D_\varphi$, there exists R, B, B' depending on r and $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$ such that over B_R , we have $|\pi_i|_H \leq B$, $|\chi_i|_H \leq B$, $||[\varphi^\dagger, \pi]|_H \geq B'd|\chi_i|_H$.

Proof. Let B_R be the ball chosen in Proposition 4.1 and we have the decomposition $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) = \oplus_{i=1}^r (\mathcal{E}^i, \varphi^i = \lambda_i \text{Id}_{\mathcal{E}^i})$. As the desire estimates are pointwise estimate, we work on different points in B_R . We choose a orthogonal basis $dz_1, \dots, dz_n$ of T^*B_R and write $\varphi^i = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j^i dz_j$. In addition, we can write $\lambda_i = \gamma_j^i dz_j$ and we write $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j dz_j$.

We write $d_{p,j} := \min_{i \neq i'} |\gamma_j^i - \gamma_j^{i'}|(p) \geq 0$, which is the difference of the eigenvalue under the frame dz_j . Then by definition, we have $d_p = \sum_{j=1}^n d_{p,j}$. We denote $d_{p,j_0} = \max_j \{d_{p,j}\}$, then $d_{p,j_0} \leq d_p \leq nd_{p,j_0}$.

By Proposition 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{j_0}| &\leq C_0(d_p + 1) \leq nC_0(d_{p,j_0} + 1), \\ |\gamma_{j_0}^i - \gamma_{j_0}^i(p)| &\leq |\lambda_i - \lambda_i(p)| \leq \frac{d_p}{100} \leq \frac{nd_{p,j_0}}{100}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 4.4 for φ_{j_0} with $d = d_{p,j_0}$ and $\alpha_i = \gamma_{j_0}^i(p)$, we obtain constant B, B' such that $|\pi_i|_H \leq B$, $|\chi_i|_H \leq B'$ and $||[\varphi_{j_0}^\dagger, \pi_i]|_H \geq B'd_{p,j_0}|\chi_i|_H$. Therefore, we compute

$$||[\varphi^\dagger, \pi_i]|^2 = 2 \sum_{j=1}^n ||[\varphi_j^\dagger, \pi_i]|^2 \geq ||[\varphi_{j_0}^\dagger, \pi_i]|^2 \geq B'd_{p,j_0}^2 |\chi_i|^2 \geq \frac{B'}{n^2} d_p^2 |\chi_i|^2.$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *Let $f \geq 0$ be a smooth function over B_R such that $f \leq C_1$ and $\Delta f \leq -d^2 f$, then $|f| \leq C_1 \exp(-C_2 d(R^2 - r^2))$, where $C_2 = \min\{\frac{d}{4n}, \frac{1}{4R}\}$*

Proof. We take r be the radius to the center of B_R and we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta e^{C_2 d r^2} &= -(2nC_2 d + 4C_2^2 d^2 r^2) e^{C_2 d r^2} \\ &\geq -(2nC_2 d + 4C_2^2 d^2 R^2) e^{C_2 d r^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Take $C_2 \leq \min\{\frac{d}{4n}, \frac{1}{4R}\}$, then we obtain $\Delta e^{C_2 d r^2} \geq -d^2 e^{C_2 d r^2}$.

We define function $g := C_1 e^{C_2 d(r^2 - R^2)}$, then $g|_{\partial B_R} = C_1 \geq f$. Then we have

$$\Delta(f - g) \leq d^2(f - g) \text{ over } B_R, \quad (f - g)|_{\partial B_R} \leq 0.$$

By maximal principal, we obtain $f \leq g$ over B_R .

□

Now, we can give an estimate on the difference of two projections π_i and π'_i . Let $(\mathcal{E}, H, A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger)$ be a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations with Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ and discriminant locus D_φ . Given any $p \in X \setminus D_\varphi$, we choose d_p be the minimum of the differences of eigenvalues at p . By Proposition 4.1,

$$|\varphi| \leq C d_p + C, \quad |\lambda_i - \lambda_i(p)| \leq \frac{d_p}{100}$$

holds over B_R with all constants depends on $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$. We have the following proposition:

Proposition 4.7. *Under the previous assumption, let r be the distance to p . Over B_R , we have $|\chi_i| \leq C e^{-C' d_p(R^2 - r^2)}$, where C, C' depends on the $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\Delta|\pi_i|^2 + 2|\partial_A \pi_i|^2 + 2||[\varphi^\dagger, \pi_i]|^2 = 0.$$

In addition, by Proposition 4.5, we have $||[\varphi^\dagger, \pi_i]| \geq B'd_p|\chi_i|$ and $|\chi_i| \leq B$ with B, B' constants depends on the spectrum data $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$. In addition, by Lemma 4.3, we has $|\pi_i|^2 = |\chi_i|^2 + 1$.

Therefore, we obtain

$$(10) \quad \Delta|\chi_i|^2 \leq -B^2 d_p^2 |\chi_i|^2, \text{ with } |\chi_i| \leq B'.$$

By Lemma 4.6, we obtain $|\chi_i|^2 \leq B'^2 e^{-C' d_p(R^2 - r^2)}$ with $C' = \min\{\frac{Bd}{4n}, \frac{\sqrt{B}}{4R}\}$

□

Corollary 4.8. *Under the previous assumption, we have the following estimates over the half-size ball $B_{\frac{R}{2}}$,*

$$(i) \quad ||[\varphi, \pi_i^\dagger]| \leq C e^{-C' d} \text{ over } B_{\frac{R}{2}}.$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{Let } s_i, s_j \text{ be local section of } \mathcal{E}^i \text{ and } \mathcal{E}^j, \text{ then } |H(s_i, s_j)| \leq C e^{-C' d} |s_i|_H |s_j|_H,$$

where C, C' are constants depends on $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$.

Proof. We compute

$$|[\varphi, \pi_i^\dagger]| = |[\varphi, \pi_i^\dagger - \pi_i]| = |[\varphi, \pi_i^\dagger - \pi_i' + \pi_i' - \pi_i]| \leq |[\varphi, \chi_i^\dagger]| + |[\varphi, \chi_i]| \leq 2 \sup |\varphi| |\chi_i|.$$

However, by Proposition 4.1 and 4.7, over $B_{\frac{R}{2}}$, we have $|\varphi| \leq C(d_p + 1)$ and $|\chi_i| \leq Ce^{-C'd_p}$ which implies the statement.

For (ii), we compute $H(s_i, s_j) = H(\pi_i s_i, s_j) = H(s_i, (\pi_i^\dagger - \pi_i)s_j)$. In addition, as $\pi_i^\dagger = \pi_i'$, we have $\pi_i^\dagger - \pi_i = \chi_i^\dagger - \chi_i$, the by Proposition 4.7, we obtain the desire estimate. $\square$

Proposition 4.9. *Under the previous assumption, over $B_{\frac{R}{2}}(p)$, we have $|[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| \leq Ce^{-C'd_p}$. In particular, $|\Lambda F_A^\perp| = |\Lambda[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| \leq Ce^{-C'd_p}$, where C, C' are constants depends on the $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$.*

Proof. We write $\varphi^\dagger = \sum_{i,j=1}^r \pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_j$, then $|[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| = \sum_{k,i,j} [\varphi_k, \pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_j]$. By corollary 4.8, for $i \neq j$, we obtain $|\pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_j| = |[\pi_i, \varphi^\dagger] \circ \pi_j| \leq C \exp(-Cd)$. In addition, for $i \neq k$, we have $[\varphi_k, \pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_i] = 0$. As $\text{rank } E_i = 1$, we obtain $[\varphi_k, \pi_k \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_k] = 0$. Therefore, we obtain the estimate for $[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]$. The estimate for $|\Lambda F_A|$ follows directly by the Hitchin-Simpson equations (1). $\square$

Proposition 4.10. *Over B_R , we have $\|d_A^* F_A\|_{L^p} \leq Ce^{-C'd_p} \|\nabla_A \phi\|_{L^p}$, with C, C' depends on $\Theta_{\hat{\varphi}}$.*

Proof. We compute $d_A^* F_A = i\partial_A \Lambda F_A - i\bar{\partial}_A F_A$. As $|\partial_A \Lambda F_A| = |\bar{\partial}_A \Lambda F_A|$, we only need to estimate $\partial_A \Lambda F_A$. We write $\varphi = \varphi_i dz_i$, $\varphi^\dagger = \varphi_i^\dagger d\bar{z}_i$ and $\bar{\partial}_A = \bar{\partial}_i d\bar{z}_i$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial_A \Lambda F_A| &= |\partial_A \Lambda[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| \leq |\Lambda \partial_A[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| + |\bar{\partial}_A^*[\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| \\ &\leq |\Lambda[\partial_A \varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| + \sum_{i=1}^r |[\bar{\partial}_i \varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger]| + \sum_{i=1}^r |[\varphi_i, \bar{\partial}_i \varphi_i^\dagger]|. \end{aligned}$$

We write $\varphi^\dagger = \sum_{i,j=1}^r \pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_j$. For $i \neq j$, by Corollary 4.8, we have

$$|\pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_j| = |[\pi_i, \varphi^\dagger] \circ \pi_j| \leq Ce^{-C'd_p}$$

and $[\pi_i \circ \partial_A \varphi, \pi_j \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_j] = 0$. In addition, as $\text{rank } E_i = 1$, we have $[\pi_i \circ \partial_A \varphi, \pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_i] = 0$. Therefore, we obtain

$$|[\partial_A \varphi, \varphi^\dagger]| = |[\pi_i \partial_A \varphi, \pi_i \circ \varphi^\dagger \circ \pi_j]| \leq Ce^{-Dd_p} |\partial \varphi|.$$

Similarly, we have the estimates $|[\bar{\partial}_i \varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger]| \leq Ce^{-Dd_p} |\bar{\partial}_i \varphi_i|$. Therefore, $\|d_A^* F_A\|_{L^p} \leq Ce^{-Dd_p} \|\nabla_A \phi\|_{L^p}$. $\square$

4.3. The Estimate for Sequences of Solutions. Let (E, H, A_i, ϕ_i) be a sequence of solutions, let $r_i := \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ and $\hat{\phi}_i := r_i^{-1} \phi_i = \hat{\varphi}_i + \hat{\varphi}_i^\dagger$. We denote $\Theta_i = (p_1(\hat{\varphi}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\varphi}_i))$ with discriminant locus D_i then by Theorem 3.12, there exists Θ_∞ such that Θ_i convergence to Θ_∞ and D_i will converge to D_∞ with D_∞ the discriminant locus of Θ_∞ . For each $p \in X \setminus D_\infty$, for i large enough, we have $p \notin D_i$. We write $\lambda_1^i, \dots, \lambda_r^i$ be r different eigenvalues of φ_i and define $d_{p,i} := \min_{k \neq l} |\lambda_k^i(p) - \lambda_l^i(p)|$ be the minimal difference of eigenvalues at p . We write $M_{p,i} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq r} |\lambda_k^i(p)|$ and $R_{p,i} := \min\{R_0, \frac{d_{p,i}}{100C\|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}}\}$ which is the radius we found in Proposition 4.1, then the following holds

Proposition 4.11. *For each $p \in X \setminus D$, there exists i_0, R_p, d_p such that for any $i \geq i_0$, we have $R_{p,i} \geq R_p$ and $r_i^{-1} d_{p,i} \geq d_{p,\infty}$.*

Proof. Let $d_{p,\infty}$ be the minimal difference of eigenvalues of Θ_∞ at p , then as Θ_i convergence to Θ_∞ , we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i^{-1} d_{p,i} = d_{p,\infty}$. As $R_{p,i} := \min\{R_0, \frac{d_{p,i}}{100C\|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}}\}$, then the claim of $R_{p,i}$ follows immediately. $\square$

Theorem 4.12. *For the sequences $(E, H, A_i, \phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger)$, let $p \in X \setminus D_\infty$, there exists $i_0, d_{p,\infty}$ and $R_{p,\infty}$ only depends on Θ_∞ such that over $B_{R_{p,\infty}}$, for $i \geq i_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |i\Lambda F_{A_i}| &\leq Ce^{-C'r_i d_{p,\infty}}, \quad |[\hat{\varphi}_i, \hat{\varphi}_i^\dagger]| \leq Ce^{-C'r_i d_{p,\infty}}, \\ \|d_{A_i}^* F_{A_i}\|_{L^p} &\leq Cr_i e^{-C'r_i d_{p,\infty}} \|\nabla_{A_i} \hat{\phi}_i\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a combination of Proposition 4.9, 4.10 and 4.11. $\square$

5. COMPACTNESS FOR BOUNDED SEQUENCES

In this section, we will prove a compactness theorem for sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations with bounded L^2 norms on the Higgs fields.

5.1. Uhlenbeck Compactness. To begin with, we give a statement of the Uhlenbeck compactness theorem. We define a unitary connection A has finite energy if $\int_X |F_A|^2 < \infty$ and we define A is integrable if $F_A^{(2,0)} = 0$. Then we have

Theorem 5.1. [35, 36] *Let $E \rightarrow X$ be a complex vector bundle with Hermitian metric H , for any $p > n$, there exists $R_0 > 0$ and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for integrable connection A on E Suppose over a radius $2R$ ball $B_{2R} \subset X$, we have*

- (i) $\|\Lambda F_A\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R})} < \epsilon_0$,
- (ii) $R^{4-2n} \int_{B_{2R}} |F_A|^2 < \epsilon_0$,
- (iii) $R \leq \min(\epsilon_0, R_0)$,

then there exists local trivialization in B_{2R} , $E|_{B_{2R}} = B_{2R} \times \mathbb{C}^r$ and write $d_A = d + A$, we have

$$R^{2-\frac{2n}{p}} \|\nabla A\|_{L^p(B_R)} + R^{1-\frac{2n}{p}} \|A\|_{L^p(B_R)} \leq CR^{2-n} \|F_A\|_{L^2(B_{2R})} + R^{\frac{2n}{p}} \|\Lambda F_A\|_{L^\infty(B_{2R})}.$$

Let R_0 be the injective radius of X , for every connection A , we can define the Uhlenbeck radius $r_A : M \rightarrow [0, R_0]$ by

$$(11) \quad r_A(x) := \sup\{r \in [0, R_0] : r^{4-2n} \int_{B_r(x)} |F_A|^2 \leq \epsilon_0\}.$$

Together with the Uhlenbeck patching argument [9], we obtain

Theorem 5.2. [35, 36] *Let U be a Kähler manifold(not necessarily compact) and $E \rightarrow U$ be a Hermitian vector bundle with metric H , for any $p > n$, let A_j be a sequence of integrable unitary connection on $E \rightarrow U$ such that $\|F_{A_j}\|_{L^2(U)}$ and $\|\Lambda F_{A_j}\|_{L^\infty(U)}$ are bounded uniformly, then after passing to a subsequence, there exist*

- i) a closed subset $Z_{\text{Uh}} \subset U$ with Hausdorff codimension at least 4,
- ii) a smooth Hermitian vector bundle (E_∞, H_∞) defined on $U \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$ which can be identified with (E, H) over $U \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$,
- iii) for any compact subset $W \subset U \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$, there exist L_2^p isometry $g_i : (E_\infty, H_\infty)|_W \rightarrow (E, H)|_W$ such that $g_i(A_i)$ convergence weakly to A_∞ in L_1^p topology where A_∞ has $\int_U |F_{A_\infty}|^2 < \infty$.
- iv) Z_{Uh} can be described as

$$Z_{\text{Uh}} = \cap_{0 < r < \delta} \{x \in U : \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} R^{4-2n} \int_{B_R(x)} |F_{A_i}|^2 dV \geq \epsilon_0\} = \{x | \limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_{A_i}(x) = 0\},$$

where ϵ_0, δ depends only on the geometry of U and the bounds of $\|F_{A_i}\|_{L^2(U)}$, $\|\Lambda F_{A_i}\|_{L^\infty(U)}$

In for the limiting A_∞ , we have the following theorem, which is a combination of statements in [2, 7], see also [24].

Proposition 5.3. *Under the previous assumption, suppose $\|d_{A_j}\Lambda F_{A_j}\|_{L^2} \rightarrow 0$, then (A_∞, ϕ_∞) is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection. In addition, $\Lambda F_{A_j} \rightarrow \Lambda F_{A_\infty}$ in $L^p_{\text{loc}}(U - Z_{\text{Uh}})$. In addition, over $U - Z_{\text{Uh}}$, we have a holomorphic, orthogonal, splitting*

$$(E_\infty, H_\infty, \nabla_{A_\infty}) = \oplus_{i=1}^l (E_{\infty,i}, h_{\infty,i}, \nabla_{A_\infty,i}).$$

5.2. Compactness theorem for Bounded Sequences. Now, we will state our convergence theorem for solutions which have bounded L^2 norms of Higgs fields. The following result is well-known, it will follow by applying the Uhlenbeck and standard elliptic theory.

Theorem 5.4. *Let (E, H) be a Hermitian vector bundle over X , suppose $(A_i, \phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger)$ be a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations (1). Suppose that $\|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C$, then there exists a codimension 4 compact singular set Z_{Uh} with finite Hausdorff measure, a smooth vector bundle (E_∞, ϕ_∞) and a pair (A_∞, ϕ_∞) such that the following holds:*

- after passing to subsequence, over every compact subset $W \subset X \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$, there exists unitary gauge transforms $g_i : (E, H)|_W \rightarrow (E_\infty, H_\infty)|_W$ such that $g_i(A_j, \phi_j)$ convergence smoothly to (A_∞, ϕ_∞) .
- $(E_\infty, H_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ satisfies the Hitchin-Simpson equations over $X \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$.
- [2] There exists a codimension 6 subset $Z' \subset Z_{\text{Uh}}$ such that $(E_\infty, H_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ has an extension to $X \setminus Z'$.

Proof. By Proposition 3.8, we obtain that $|\varphi_i|_h \leq C$. As A_i, ϕ_i satisfies the Hitchin-Simpson equation $i\Lambda(F_{A_i} + [\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger]) = 0$, we obtain $|\Lambda F_{A_i}|_{C^0} \leq C$. Applying Uhlenbeck compactness theorem 5.2, we obtain a closed set Z_{Uh} such that $g_i^* A_i \rightarrow A_\infty$ in $L^p_{1,\text{loc}}$ over $X \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$. For simplification, we omit the unitary gauge g_i and still write (A_i, ϕ_i) to represent $(g_i(A_i), g_i(\phi_i))$. We write $a_i := \bar{\partial}_{A_i} - \bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}$, then $\bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}(\varphi_i) = \bar{\partial}_{A_i}\varphi_i + [a_i, \varphi_i]$. As $a_i \rightarrow 0$ in $L^p_{1,\text{loc}}$, thus strongly in L^p_{loc} . In addition, as $\varphi_i \in C^0$, we obtain $\varphi_i \in L^p_{1,\text{loc}}$. As H_∞ is a fixed smooth metric, then $\varphi_i^\dagger \in L^p_{1,\text{loc}}$. Thus, there exists $\varphi_\infty, \varphi_\infty^\dagger$ such that $\phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger$ convergence to $\phi_\infty := \varphi_\infty + \varphi_\infty^\dagger$. By standard boot-strapping argument for elliptic equations, we obtain the smooth convergence.

In addition, as (E, H, A_i, ϕ_i) satisfies (1) and the convergence is $L^p_{1,\text{loc}}$, we obtain that $(E_\infty, H_\infty, A_\infty, \phi_\infty)$ also satisfies the Hitchin-Simpson equations over $X \setminus Z_{\text{Uh}}$.

By the work of Bando-Siu [2], there exists codimension 6 set $Z' \subset Z_{\text{Uh}}$ such that $(E_\infty, H_\infty, A_\infty)$ can be extended over some $X \setminus Z'$ as a holomorphic vector bundle. As $|\phi_\infty|_{L^\infty(X \setminus Z)} \leq C$ and $\bar{\partial}_{A_\infty}\varphi_\infty = 0$, therefore, φ_∞ and ϕ_∞ can also be extended to $X \setminus Z'$ by Hartogs theorem. $\square$

Corollary 5.5. [26] *If $\deg(E) = \text{ch}_2(E) \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} = 0$, then $Z_{\text{Uh}} = \emptyset$.*

In addition, let $\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^0 := \{(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}} \mid \deg(\mathcal{E}) = \text{ch}_2 \cdot [\omega]^{n-2} = 0\}$, then the restricted Hitchin-morphism $\kappa^0 := \kappa|_{\mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}}^0} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_X$ is proper.

Proof. As $\deg(E) = \text{ch}_2(E) = 0$, by Corollary 2.6, we obtain $F_{A_i} + [\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger] = 0$. As $|\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger|_{C^0} \leq C$, we obtain $|F_{A_i}|_{C^0} \leq C$. In addition, by Theorem 5.2 and the a priori bound of $|F_{A_i}|_{C^0}$, we have $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} R^{4-2n} \int_{B_R(x)} |F_{A_i}|^2 = 0$, which implies $Z_{\text{Uh}} = \emptyset$.

Let V be a compact subset of $\mathcal{B}_X$, by Proposition 3.8, for any $\kappa^0(\mathcal{E}, \varphi) \in V$, $\|\phi\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C_V$, where C_V is a constant only depends on V . The properness statement follows directly from the theorem. $\square$

In addition, by the third bullet of Theorem 5.4, we obtain

Corollary 5.6. *When $\dim X = 4$, then $\kappa : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_X$ has a closed image.*

6. COMPACTNESS FOR UNBOUNDED SEQUENCES

In this section, we will study the behavior of the solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations with unbounded L^2 norms on the Higgs fields.

6.1. The Adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations. Let (E, H) be a Hermitian vector bundle and let $(A_i, \phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger)$ be a sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations with $r_i := \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ and $\lim r_i = \infty$. We write $\hat{\phi}_i = r_i^{-1}\phi_i = \hat{\varphi}_i + \hat{\varphi}_i^\dagger$, then

Lemma 6.1. (i) $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |\hat{\phi}_i \wedge \hat{\phi}_i|^2 = 0$, $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int_X |d_{A_i} \hat{\phi}_i|^2 = 0$,
(ii) *For each $B \subset X$, we have $\int_B |F_{A_i}|^2 \leq \int_B |[\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger]|^2 + C$.*

Proof. Integrating (8), we obtain $r_i^2 \int_X |\hat{\phi}_i \wedge \hat{\phi}_i|^2 \leq C$. In addition, by Proposition 2.5, we obtain $\int_X |F_{A_i} + [\varphi_i, \varphi_i^\dagger]|^2 + |d_{A_i} \phi_i|^2 \leq C$ and the statement follows immediately. $\square$

We define the following adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations, which over Riemann surface is studied in [19, 18, 11].

Over the Hermitian vector bundle (E, H) , we say $(A, \varphi + \varphi^\dagger)$ satisfy the adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations if

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} F_A^{(0,2)} &= 0, \quad \varphi \wedge \varphi = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_A \varphi = 0, \quad \partial_A \varphi = 0 \\ i\Lambda F_A &= 0, \quad [\varphi, \varphi^\dagger] = 0, \quad \|\varphi\|_{L^2(X)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

6.2. Convergence of Rescaled Solutions. In this subsection, we will state our main theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let (E, H) be a Hermitian vector bundle over X and let $(A_i, \phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger)$ be a sequence of solutions to (1), we take $r_i := \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ and write $\hat{\phi}_i = r_i^{-1}\phi_i = \hat{\varphi}_i + \hat{\varphi}_i^\dagger$.*

Let $\mathcal{A}_X$ be the Hitchin base and $\kappa : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$ be the Hitchin morphism, then

- $\kappa(\hat{\varphi}_i) = (p_1(\hat{\varphi}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\varphi}_i))$ convergence smoothly to $\kappa_\infty := (p_{1,\infty}, \dots, p_{r,\infty}) \in \mathcal{B}_X$.
- Suppose the discriminant locus Z_T of the spectrum cover defined by κ_∞ satisfies $Z_T \subsetneq X$, then there exists a Hausdorff codimension 4 set $Z_{\text{Uh}} \subset X \setminus Z_T$ and a Hermitian bundle (E_∞, H_∞) over $X \setminus (Z_{\text{Uh}} \cup Z_T)$ such that
 - i) there exists (A_∞, ϕ_∞) over (E_∞, H_∞) such that after passing to a subsequence and up to unitary gauge transforms, (A_i, ϕ_i) convergence to (A_∞, ϕ_∞) weakly in the $L^p_{1,\text{loc}}$ topology for any p .
 - ii) (A_∞, ϕ_∞) satisfies the adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations (12).

Proof. Step 1. Convergence of the spectrum cover.

To begin with, we will prove the first bullet. Recall that for given a Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}_i, \varphi_i)$, we have the Hitchin morphism $\kappa(\hat{\varphi}_i) = (p_1(\hat{\varphi}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\varphi}_i)) \in \oplus_{k=1}^r H^0(S^k \Omega_X^{1,0})$, where p_i are invariant polynomials. By Theorem 3.12 and Corollary 2.10, we see passing to a subsequence, $\kappa(\hat{\varphi}_i)$ converges smoothly to $\kappa_\infty = (p_{1,\infty}, \dots, p_{r,\infty})$ and $\kappa_\infty \in \mathcal{B}_X$. We denote the spectrum cover corresponding to κ_∞ as

$$S_\infty := \{\lambda \in \Omega_X^{1,0} | \lambda^r + p_{1,\infty} \lambda^{r-1} + \dots + p_{r,\infty} = 0\}.$$

Moreover, we denote Z_T be the discriminant locus of S_∞ .

Step 2. Convergence of the curvature.

By Theorem 4.12, for $p \in X \setminus \mathbb{Z}_2$, there exists $i_0, R_\infty, d_{p,\infty}$ such that for $i \geq i_0$, over $B_{R_\infty}(p)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |i\Lambda F_{A_i}| &\leq Ce^{-C'r_i d_{p,\infty}}, \quad \|[\hat{\varphi}_i, \hat{\varphi}_i^\dagger]\| \leq Ce^{-C'r_i d_{p,\infty}}, \\ \|d_{A_i}^* F_{A_i}\|_{L^p} &\leq Ce^{-C'r_i d_{p,\infty}} \|\nabla_{A_i} \hat{\varphi}_i\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

In addition, over $B_{R_\infty}(p)$, by Lemma 6.1, we have $\int_{B_R} |F_{A_i}|^2 \leq C$. We define the Uhlenbeck singularity set as $Z_{\text{Uh}} = \cap_{0 < r < \delta} \{x \in X \setminus Z_T : \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} r^{4-2n} \int_{B_r(x)} |F_{A_i}|^2 dV \geq \epsilon_0\}$, then by Theorem 5.2, over $X \setminus (Z_{\text{Uh}} \cup Z_T)$, there exists A_∞ such that up to unitary gauge and subsequence A_i convergence to A_∞ weakly in $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$.

Step 3. Convergence of the Higgs fields

By Proposition 3.4, for the rescaled Higgs field $\hat{\varphi}_i$, we have $\|\hat{\varphi}_i\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C$. We write $a_i = A_i - A_\infty$, as $\bar{\partial}_{A_i} \hat{\varphi}_i = 0$, we obtain $\bar{\partial}_{A_\infty} \hat{\varphi}_i = a_i \hat{\varphi}_i$. Moreover, a_i weakly convergence in $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$ thus strongly convergence in L^p , we have $\|\hat{\varphi}_i\|_{L_{1,\text{loc}}^p} \leq C$. As $a_i \in L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$, $\hat{\varphi}_i \in L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$, by Sobolev multiplication, for $p > 2n$, we have $a_i \hat{\varphi}_i \in L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$, thus $\hat{\varphi}_i \in L_{2,\text{loc}}^p$. Therefore, there exists $\hat{\varphi}_\infty$ such that $\hat{\varphi}_i$ convergence φ_∞ weakly in $L_{2,\text{loc}}^p$. We define $\phi_\infty = \varphi_\infty + \varphi_\infty^\dagger$.

Step 4. The limiting (A_∞, ϕ_∞) satisfies the adiabatic Hitchin-Simpson equations.

By Theorem 4.12 and Proposition 5.3, we obtained $\Lambda F_{A_\infty} = 0$. By Lemma 6.1, we obtain $\phi_\infty \wedge \phi_\infty = 0$ and $d_{A_\infty} \phi_\infty = 0$, which is equivalent to (12). $\square$

When rank $E = 2$, we can remove the assumption that $Z_T \subsetneq X$ in Theorem 6.2

Proposition 6.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 6.2, suppose rank $E = 2$, then Z_T can be chosen to be codimensional at least 2.*

Proof. Let $(A_i, \phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger)$ be a sequence of solutions with $r_i = \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ unbounded. We have $p_1(\hat{\varphi}_i) = \text{Tr}(\hat{\varphi}_i) \rightarrow p_{1,\infty}$ and $p_2(\hat{\varphi}_i) = \det(\hat{\varphi}_i) \rightarrow p_{2,\infty}$.

Suppose $p_{1,\infty} = 0$, then by Proposition 2.11, we obtain Z_T is codimensional at least 2. Suppose $p_{1,\infty} \neq 0$, then we define $\beta_i = \varphi_i - \frac{\text{Tr}(\varphi_i)}{2} \text{Id}_E$ consider the new sequence $(A_i, \beta_i + \beta_i^\dagger)$, then the corresponding $p_{1,\infty} = 0$. Suppose $p_{2,\infty} \neq 0$, then we set $Z_T := p_{2,\infty}^{-1}(0)$. Then convergence of $r_i^{-1} \varphi_i$ follows by the convergence of β_i and the convergence of $\text{Tr}(\varphi_i)$.

When $p_{2,\infty} = 0$, in this case we have uniform bound of $\|\beta_i\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C$. Therefore, we can set $Z_T = \emptyset$ and apply Theorem 5.4. In addition, as $\lim r_i \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} r_i^{-1} \|\beta_i\| = 0$, therefore, the limiting Higgs field in this case would be $\varphi_\infty = \text{Tr}(\varphi_\infty) \frac{\text{Id}}{2}$. $\square$

Remark. When $\dim(X) = 4$ over closed Kähler manifold, it is shown in [31] that the Hitchin-Simpson equations are the same as the Kapustin-Witten equations. Our theorem for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle will be covered by the compactness theorem of Taubes [32] for the Kapustin-Witten equations. In addition, [31] shows for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle, the set Z_T we defined in Theorem 1.1 are almost the same as the singular set that Taubes found in [32], which explains why we label "T" for the singular set Z_T .

Follows the philosophy of [32], let (A, Φ) be a solution to the Hitchin-Simpson equations and R_0 be the injective radius of X , besides the Uhlenbeck radius $r_A(x)$, one can define a more natural radius $\mu_A : M \rightarrow [0, R_0]$

$$(13) \quad \mu_{A,\Phi}(x) = \sup\{r \in [0, \infty), r^{4-2n} \int_{B_r(x)} |F_A + [\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 \leq \epsilon_0\},$$

where ϵ_0 is the Uhlenbeck constant that appears in Theorem 5.1.

Let (A_i, ϕ_i) be a sequences of solution to the Hithin-Simpson equations, under the assumption of Theorem 6.2, let Z_T be the Taubes singularity and we can define following two

singularity set

$$Z_{\text{Uh}} := \{x \in X \setminus Z_T \mid \limsup_i r_{A_i}(x) = 0\},$$

$$Z_{\text{Uh}}^\mu := \{x \in X \mid \limsup_i \mu_{(A_i, \Phi_i)}(x) = 0\},$$

then we have the following relationship

Proposition 6.4. $Z_{\text{Uh}}^\mu \cap (X \setminus Z_T) = Z_{\text{Uh}}$. In particular, when $\dim X = 4$, Z_{Uh} and Z^μ are finite numbers of points.

Proof. The relationship follows directly from Proposition 4.1. The finiteness statement in $\dim X = 4$ follows directly by $\int_X |F_A + [\varphi, \varphi^\dagger]|^2 \leq C$. $\square$

7. $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ CONNECTION AND $\mathbb{Z}_2$ HARMONIC 1-FORM OVER KÄHLER MANIFOLD

In this section, we will study the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ version of the Hitchin-Simpson equations and studied the relationship with previous work of Taubes [33, 32]. Recall that a $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ is a Higgs bundle will rank $\mathcal{E} = 2$, $\det(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{O}_X$ and $\text{Tr}(\varphi) = 0$. Suppose $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ is stable and denote (A, ϕ) be the corresponding solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, then the complex connection $D = d_A + \phi$ will be a $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ connection.

7.1. $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-forms. The theory of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form have been developed by Taubes [33] and studied from different aspects [29, 40]. Now, we will discuss the relationship between the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-forms and the limit of the $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations.

Definition 7.1. A $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form over X is a triple $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ where

- (i) Z is a codimension at least 2 closed subset of X ,
- (ii) $\mathcal{I}$ is a real line bundle over $X \setminus Z$ with a flat connection d_∇ ,
- (iii) $v \in \Gamma(\Omega_X \otimes \mathcal{I})$ such that $dv = d \star v = 0$,
- (iv) $|v|$ has a Hölder continuous extension over X with $Z = |v|^{-1}(0)$ and $\int_{X \setminus Z} |\nabla v|^2$ is integrable, where ∇ is the interwise connection on $\mathcal{I}$ with the Levi-Civita connection on Ω_X^1 .

Now we will explain how do we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form from (12). Let E be a rank 2 Hermitian vector bundle with Hermitian metric H , we define $\text{End}(E, H) := \{s \in \text{End}(E) \mid s^\dagger = s\}$. Let $(A, \phi = \varphi + \varphi^\dagger)$ be a solution to (12) with $\text{Tr}(\phi) = 0$, then we have

Lemma 7.2. We define $\mathcal{I} := \{s \in \text{End}(E) \mid [\phi, s] = 0, s = s^\dagger\}$, then there exists harmonic form $v \in \Omega_X^1 \otimes \mathcal{I}$ and a convariantly constant homomorphism $\sigma : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{End}(E, H)$ such that $\phi = v \otimes \sigma$.

Proof. As (A, ϕ) satisfies (12), we obtain $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$. Take any $p \in X \setminus Z$, we consider a small ball $B_p \subset X \setminus Z$ with center at p . Let $dx_1, \dots, dx_{2n}$ be any basis of T^*X and we write $\phi = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \phi_i dx_i$. The condition $\phi \wedge \phi = 0$ is equivalent to $[\phi_i, \phi_j] = 0$ for any i, j . As $|\phi| \neq 0$ over B_p , WLOG, we denote $\sigma := \phi_1 \neq 0$ and then $\phi_i = \lambda_i \sigma$ for any i with λ_i a function. Therefore, we write $\phi = \sigma \otimes v$ with v is a 1-form can be written as $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i dx_i$. In addition, we can choose the size of v and σ to make $|\sigma| = 1$. However, there is an anomaly of choice of sign in this definition, $\phi = \pm \sigma \otimes \pm v$ and the choice of sign defines a map $X \setminus Z \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$, which defines a $\mathbb{R}$ line bundle $\mathcal{I}$. We have a well-defined $v \in \Omega^1 \otimes \mathcal{I}$ and $\sigma : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{End}(E, H)$. In addition, as $[\phi, \pm \sigma] = 0$, we obtain $\mathcal{I} = \{s \in \text{End}(E) \mid [\phi, s] = 0, s^\dagger = s\}$.

We write $\varphi = \sigma \otimes v$ with $|\sigma| = 1$, then from (12), we obtain $0 = \bar{\partial}_A \sigma \otimes v^{(1,0)} + \sigma \otimes \bar{\partial} v^{(1,0)} = 0$ and $0 = \partial_A \sigma \otimes v^{(1,0)} + \sigma \otimes \partial v^{(1,0)}$. Taking inner product with σ of the previous two

equations, we obtain $\langle \sigma, d_A \sigma \rangle v + \langle \sigma, \sigma \rangle (\partial + \bar{\partial}) v^{(1,0)} = 0$. In addition, as $|\sigma| = 1$, we obtain $\langle \sigma, d_A \sigma \rangle = 0$. Therefore, $(\partial + \bar{\partial}) v^{(1,0)} = 0$, which implies $dv = d \star v = 0$. In addition, as $\bar{\partial}_A \sigma \otimes v^{(1,0)} = \partial_A \sigma \otimes v^{(1,0)} = 0$ and $v^{(1,0)} \neq 0$, taking inner product with $v^{(1,0)}$, we obtain $\partial_A \sigma = \bar{\partial}_A \sigma = 0$. $\square$

Theorem 7.3. *Let E be a rank 2 Hermitian vector bundle over X with Hermitian metric H and $\det(E) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. Let $(A_i, \phi_i = \varphi_i + \varphi_i^\dagger)$ be a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations with $\text{Tr}(\phi_i) = 0$ and $r_i := \|\phi_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ unbounded, then passing to a subsequence, we have:*

- (i) *There exists $s_\infty \in \mathcal{B}_X$ such that $\det(r_i^{-1} \phi_i)$ convergence to s_∞ in the $C^\infty(X)$ topology, where $\mathcal{B}_X := \{s \in H^0(S^2 \Omega_X^{1,0}) | \text{rank } s \leq 1\}$.*
- (ii) *There exists the followings:*
 - (a) Z_{Uh} is a Hausdorff codimension 4 set and Z_{T} a codimension 2 complex analytic subset,
 - (b) $(Z_{\text{T}}, \mathcal{I}, v)$, a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form with $(v \otimes v)^{2,0} = s_\infty$ and $|v|^2 = 2|s_\infty|$,
 - (c) A Hermitian vector bundle (E_∞, H_∞) and a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection A_∞ ,
 - (d) $\sigma_\infty : \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{End}(E_\infty, H_\infty)$, which is a A_∞ covariantly constant homomorphism,
 - (e) A sequence of isomorphisms $g_i : \text{Aut}(E_\infty, H_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E, H)$ such that the sequence $g_i^* A_i$ convergence in the $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$ topology to A_∞ over $X \setminus (Z_{\text{T}} \cup Z_{\text{Uh}})$.
- (iii) *The sequence $r_i^{-1} g_i^* \phi_i$ convergence in the $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$ topology on $X \setminus Z_{\text{T}} \cup Z_{\text{Uh}}$ to $v \otimes \sigma_\Delta$. In addition, $r_i^{-1} |\phi_i|$ convergence in the L_1^2 over X .*

Proof. All the statements follows directly from Theorem 6.2, Lemma 7.2. We still need to check the $(Z_{\text{T}}, \mathcal{I}, v)$ we obtained satisfies the fourth condition in Definition 7.1. As $|v|^2 = s_\infty$ with μ a holomorphis section, we obtain $|v|$ is Hölder continuous.

After integrating (8) over X , we obtain $\int_X |\nabla_{A_i} \hat{\phi}_i|^2 \leq C$. In addition, we have the pointwise convergence $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \nabla_{A_i} \hat{\phi}_i = \nabla v$ over $X \setminus (Z_{\text{Uh}} \cup Z_{\text{T}})$, therefore we obtain $\int_{X \setminus Z} |\nabla v|^2 \leq C$.

In addition, even the convergence is taken over $X \setminus (Z_{\text{Uh}} \cup Z_{\text{T}})$, as Z_{Uh} is a codimensional 4 set, where we can extend $\mathcal{I}$ over $Z_{\text{Uh}} \subset X \setminus Z_{\text{T}}$. In addition, v also extends smoothly across Z_{Uh} as v will be a weak solution to an elliptic equations near Z_{Uh} . $\square$

7.2. Realization Problem of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form. Now, we will discuss about the realization problem of the $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-forms.

Definition 7.4. *Let $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form over X , we say $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ can be realized if there exists a sequences of solutions (A_i, ϕ_i) to the Hitchin-Simpson equations such that the rescaled sequences will convergence to $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ in the sense of Theorem 7.3.*

We would like to ask the following question:

Question 7.5. *Which $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form over X can be realized by solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations?*

We will try to understand this question over Kähler manifold. A necessary condition for the existence of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form would be:

Lemma 7.6. *Let $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form over a Kähler manifold, then*

$$(v \otimes v)^{2,0} \in \mathcal{B}_X = \{s | s \in H^0(S^2 \Omega_X^{1,0}), \text{rank } s \leq 1\}.$$

Proof. This is a straight forward computation using the Kähler identities. $\square$

Recall that for $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle, the Hitchin morphism $\kappa : \mathcal{M}_{\text{Higgs}} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_X$ can be written as $\kappa((\mathcal{E}, \varphi)) = \det(\varphi) \in \mathcal{B}_X$, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 7.7. (i) Suppose $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ can be realized by a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, then $(v \otimes v)^{(2,0)} \in \overline{\text{Im } \kappa}$, where $\overline{\text{Im } \kappa}$ means the closure of $\text{Im } \kappa$ in $\mathcal{B}_X$.
(ii) For every $s \in \text{Im } \kappa$, then there exists a sequence (A_i, ϕ_i) such that (A_i, ϕ_i) convergence to a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ with $(v \otimes v)^{(2,0)} = s$.

Proof. The statement in (i) follows directly from Theorem 7.3. For (ii), suppose $s \in \text{Im } \kappa$, then there exists a polystable Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ with $\kappa(\varphi) = s$. For every $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\mathcal{E}, t\varphi)$ is also polystable Higgs bundle with $\det(t\varphi) = t^2 s$. We have the corresponding solutions (A_t, ϕ_t) and we denote $r_t = \|\phi_t\|_{L^2(X)}$. By Theorem 7.3, we obtain a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ such that $(A_t, r_t^{-1}\phi_t)$ convergence to $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$. $\square$

Remark. When $\dim X = 4$, by Corollary 5.6, we have $\overline{\text{Im } \kappa} = \text{Im } \kappa$.

We have an uncertainty of choosing the real line bundle $\mathcal{I}$.

Lemma 7.8. Let $(Z, \mathcal{I}_1, v_1)$ and $(Z, \mathcal{I}_2, v_2)$ be two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form with $(v_1 \otimes v_1)^{(2,0)} = (v_2 \otimes v_2)^{(2,0)}$, then $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2 \otimes \mathcal{I}_0$ with $\mathcal{I}_0$ a real line bundle defined over X .

Proof. Let $p \in Z$ with B_p a small neighborhood of p , we consider the trivial bundle $B_p \times \mathbb{C}^*$. We denote $s = (v_1 \otimes v_1)^{(2,0)} = (v_2 \otimes v_2)^{(2,0)}$, then over $B_p \times \mathbb{C}^*$, $\pm\sqrt{s}$ defines a line bundle $\mathcal{I}_p$ over $(B_p \setminus Z) \times \mathbb{C}^*$, where for every $q \in (B_p \setminus Z)$, we define the fiber of $\mathcal{I}_p$ as $\mathbb{R}\sqrt{s}$. In addition, as $\pm v_1^{(1,0)} = \pm\sqrt{s} = \pm v_2^{(1,0)}$, we obtain $\mathcal{I}_p \cong \mathcal{I}_1 \cong \mathcal{I}_2$ over $B_p \setminus Z$. In other word, $\mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2^{-1}$ is trivial along Z . We define $\mathcal{I}_0 = \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_2^{-1}$, then $\mathcal{I}_0$ is a real bundle defined over X . $\square$

Proposition 7.9. Let $(Z, \mathcal{I}_1, v_1)$ and $(Z, \mathcal{I}_2, v_2)$ be two $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form with $s = (v_1 \otimes v_1)^{(2,0)} = (v_2 \otimes v_2)^{(2,0)}$, suppose $(Z, \mathcal{I}_1, v_1)$ can be realized by a sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, then $(Z, \mathcal{I}_2, v_2)$ also can be realized by a sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations.

Proof. By Lemma 7.8, we write $\mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_0$ with $\mathcal{I}_0$ is a real line bundle defined over X . We define the complex line bundle $\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathbb{C}} := \mathcal{I}_0 \otimes \mathbb{C}$, then we have $(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathbb{C}})^{\otimes 2} = \mathcal{O}_X$ with $\deg(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathbb{C}}) = 0$. In addition, we can consider $(\mathcal{I}_0^{\mathbb{C}}, 0)$ be a rank 1 Higgs bundle with vanishing Higgs field which is automatically stable with unique Chern connection $(A_0, 0)$.

Over (E, H) , let (A_i, ϕ_i) be a sequences of solutions to (1) such that $(A_i, r_i^{-1}\phi_i)$ convergence to (A_∞, ϕ_∞) with associated $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form $(Z, \mathcal{I}_1, v_1)$, then we tensor this sequences by $(A_0, 0)$ and obtain a new sequences $(\tilde{A}_i, r_i^{-1}\tilde{\phi}_i) = (A_i \otimes A_0, r_i^{-1}\phi_i \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{I}_0})$ which will convergence to $(\tilde{A}_\infty = A_\infty \otimes A_0, \tilde{\phi}_\infty = \phi_\infty \otimes \text{Id}_{\mathcal{I}_0})$ with associate $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form $(Z, \mathcal{I}', v')$.

By definition $\det(\tilde{\phi}_\infty) = (v' \otimes v')^{(2,0)} = \det(\phi_\infty)$. In addition, the corresponding real line bundle of $\tilde{\phi}_\infty$ will be defined by $\{s \in (\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{I}_0^{\mathbb{C}}) | s^\dagger = s, [\tilde{\phi}_\infty, s] = 0\}$, which by definition, $\mathcal{I}' = \mathcal{I}_1 \otimes \mathcal{I}_0$ which is $\mathcal{I}_2$. $\square$

Corollary 7.10. Let $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form with $(v \otimes v)^{(2,0)} \in \text{Im } \kappa$, then $(Z, \mathcal{I}, v)$ can be realized by a sequence of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations.

7.3. Examples Over Complex Surfaces. Let X be a complex surface, in this section, we will try to compute the space $B_X = \{s | s \in H^0(S^2\Omega_X^{1,0}), \text{rank } s \leq 1\}$ for some specific Kähler surface. By Theorem 7.7, it will contain all the information of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-forms.

Example 7.11. When X is a rational surface, K3 surface, Enriques surface, hypersurface in $\mathbb{CP}^3$, by [23], it is shown that $H^0(S^2X) = 0$, therefore $\mathcal{B}_X = 0$. There will be no $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form in these examples.

In these examples, let $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ be a $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ Higgs bundle, then as $H^0(S^2X) = 0$, we obtain $\det(\varphi) = 0$. By Proposition 3.8, we have a uniform bound for $\|\varphi\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C$. Therefore, by Theorem 5.4, we obtain a compactness up to Uhlenbeck bubbles.

It is unknown that whether in these examples, there exists a polystable Higgs bundle with non-vanishing Higgs field. When $X = K3$, it is shown in [3, 15] that all polystable Higgs bundle will have vanishing Higgs field.

Example 7.12. When $X = \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ with Σ_1, Σ_2 are Riemann surface with genus $g_1, g_2 \geq 1$. Let $\pi_i : X \rightarrow \Sigma_i$ be the projection and we write $K_i := \pi_i^* K_{\Sigma_i}$. Then we obtain

$$\Omega_X^{1,0} = K_1 \oplus K_2 \quad H^0(X, S^2 \Omega_X^{1,0}) = \oplus_{i,j=1}^2 H^0(X, K_i \otimes K_j).$$

Given $s \in \mathcal{B}_X$, we write $s = (s_{ij}) \in \oplus_{i,j=1}^2 H^0(X, K_i \otimes K_j)$. The condition that $\text{rank } s \leq 1$ is equivalent to $s_{11}s_{22} = s_{12}^2$. The discriminant locus of s are $s^{-1}(0) = s_{11}^{-1}(0) \cap s_{22}^{-1}(0)$.

If $s_{11} = 0$ but $s_{22} \neq 0$, then $s_{12} = 0$ and $Z = s^{-1}(0) = s_{22}^{-1}(0) = \Sigma_1 \times \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{4g_2-4}\}$, which is codimensional 2. The corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form will be the pull back from a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form from the Riemann surface. Similar things happen when $s_{22} \neq 0$ and $s_{11} = 0$.

If s_{11} and s_{22} not identically zero, as $H^0(X, K_i^{\otimes 2}) = \pi_i^* H^0(\Sigma_i, K_{\Sigma_i}^{\otimes 2})$, we have $s_{11}^{-1}(0) = \{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_{4g_1-4}\} \times \Sigma_2$ and $s_{22}^{-1}(0) = \Sigma_1 \times \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{4g_2-4}\}$. In addition, the condition $s_{12}^2 = s_{11}s_{22}$ will require that the multiplicity of $s_{11}^{-1}(0)$ and $s_{22}^{-1}(0)$ has to be even. In this case, the singular set Z for the corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form is $Z = 2\{p_1, \dots, p_{2g_1-2}\} \times 2\{q_1, \dots, q_{2g_2-2}\}$, which is codimensional 4 and the corresponding line bundle over $X \setminus Z$ will extend globally to X .

Example 7.13. Let X be a ruled surface, or a non-isotrivial elliptic surface with reduced fibers with fibration $\pi : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ and let g be the genus of Σ . By [5], the pull back map

$$\pi^* : H^0(\Sigma, (K_{\Sigma})^{\otimes 2}) \rightarrow H^0(X, S^2 \Omega_X^{1,0})$$

is an isomorphism, which implies $\mathcal{B}_X = \pi^* H^0(\Sigma, S^2 \Omega_{\Sigma}^{1,0})$. Therefore, for any $s \in \mathcal{B}_X$, we can write $s = \pi^* q$ with $q \in H^0(\Sigma, (K_{\Sigma})^{\otimes 2})$, then $Z = s^{-1}(0) = \cup_{i=1}^{4g-4} \pi^{-1}(p_i)$, where $p_1, \dots, p_{4g-4}$ are the zeroes of q . The corresponding $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form will be pull back from the Riemann surface.

Proposition 7.14. Let X be a Kähler manifold and denote $\pi : X \rightarrow \Sigma$ be a fibration over a curve Σ with genus $g > 1$, then for any $\{p_1, \dots, p_{4g-4}\} \subset \Sigma$, then there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ harmonic 1-form $(Z, v, \mathcal{I})$ with $Z = \cup_{i=1}^{4g-4} \pi^{-1}(p_i)$ and can be realized by sequences of solutions to the Hitchin-Simpson equations.

Proof. Let $q \in H^0(\Sigma, (K_{\Sigma})^{\otimes 2})$ such that $q^{-1}(0) = \{p_1, \dots, p_{4g-4}\}$, as Hitchin morphism is surjective over Riemann surface [14], there exists an $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ stable Higgs bundle $(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ such that $\det(\varphi) = q$. As $\pi^*(\mathcal{E}, \varphi)$ is polystable and $\det(\pi^*\varphi) = \pi^*\det(\varphi) = \pi^*q$, we obtain $\pi^*q \in \text{Im } \kappa$, where κ is the Hitchin morphism. The statement follows by applying Proposition 7.7. $\square$

APPENDIX A. VAFA-WITTEN TYPE EQUATIONS OVER KÄHLER MANIFOLD

In this Appendix, we will discuss a compactness result for a Vafa-Witten type equations (14) over closed Kähler manifold X and give a sketch of compactness argument to these equations for finite energy solutions. When $\dim(X) = 4$, these equations are the equations

that studied in [37] and have been studied in [17]. These equations is also studied in [30] when $\dim(X) = 6$.

A.1. Vafa-Witten Pairs and Hitchin Morphism. Let X be a closed Kähler manifold with Kähler metric g , Kähler class ω and canonical bundle K_X , E be a rank r complex vector bundle over X . For simplification, we always assume that $\det(E)$ is trivial.

A Vafa-Witten pair consists of $(\mathcal{E}, \beta)$ where $\mathcal{E} = (E, \bar{\partial}_E)$ is a holomorphic structure of E , $\bar{\partial}_E^2 = 0$ and $\beta \in H^0(K_X \otimes \text{End}(E))$.

Definition A.1. A Vafa-Witten pair $(\mathcal{E}, \beta)$ is stable if for every β invariant subbundle $\mathcal{F} \subset E$, we have $\frac{\deg \mathcal{F}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{F}} < \frac{\deg \mathcal{E}}{\text{rank } \mathcal{E}}$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \beta)$ is polystable if $(\mathcal{E}, \beta)$ is a direct sum of stable pairs.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be the complex gauge group of E , for $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$, the complex gauge group action is given by $g(\bar{\partial}_E, \beta) = (g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_E \circ g, g^{-1} \circ \beta \circ g)$ with we define the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{\text{PS}} := \{(\bar{\partial}_E, \beta) \text{ polystable pairs}\} / \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$.

Let $p_1, \dots, p_r$ be r invariant polynomial of $\text{End}(E)$ with $\deg p_i = i$, then $p_i(\varphi) \in H^0(K_X^i)$. We define the Hitchin base $\mathcal{A}_X := \bigoplus_{i=1}^r H^0(K_X^i)$, then we can define the Hitchin morphism $\kappa : \mathcal{M}_{\text{PS}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_X$ given by $\kappa(\mathcal{E}, \beta) = (p_1(\beta), \dots, p_r(\beta))$. As we don't have extra conditions on β , κ have a chance to be surjective.

For $\Theta := (p_1, \dots, p_r) \in \mathcal{A}_X$, we can define the spectrum cover

$$S_{\Theta} := \{\lambda \in \Omega_X^{1,0} | \lambda^r + p_1 \lambda^{r-1} + \dots + p_r = 0\}.$$

Note that as K_X is a holomorphic line bundle, we don't have any difficulties in solving S_{Θ} . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be r different solutions of S_{Θ} , then we can define the discriminant section $\Delta_{\Theta} : X \rightarrow K_X^{r^2-r}$ with $\Delta_{\Theta}(p) := \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq r} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2$, where $p \in X$. As $\Delta_{\Theta}(p)$ is invariant under the symmetric group action on $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$, we have $\Delta_{\Theta} \in H^0(K_X^{r^2-r})$. We can define the discriminant locus $D_{\Theta} = \Delta_{\Theta}^{-1}(0)$.

Suppose that $\Theta = \kappa(\mathcal{E}, \beta)$, then the previous $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ will be r eigenvalues of β and the discriminant locus will correspondence to the point that β have common eigenvalues.

Proposition A.2. D_{Θ} is complex analytic. Suppose $\Theta = \kappa(\mathcal{E}, \beta) \neq 0$ and $\text{Tr}(\beta) = 0$, $\text{rank } \mathcal{E} = 2$, then $D_{\Theta} \subsetneq X$.

Proof. The proof is the same as Proposition 2.11. $\square$

A.2. Vafa-Witten Equations. Let (E, H) be a Hermitian vector bundle with $\det(H) = 1$. Let A be a unitary connection and $\beta + \beta^{\dagger}$ be a Hermitian n -forms with $\beta \in \Omega_X^{n,0}$, then the Vafa-Witten equations over closed Kähler manifold can be written as

$$(14) \quad F_A^{0,2} = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_A \beta = 0, \quad i\Lambda F_A \cdot \omega^n + [\beta, \beta^{\dagger}] = 0,$$

Given any $(A, \beta + \beta^{\dagger})$, the $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ action is given by we define the complex gauge group $g \in \mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ acts on (A, ϕ) by

$$\begin{aligned} d_{A^g} &= \bar{\partial}_{A^g} + \partial_{A^g} = g^{-1} \circ \bar{\partial}_A \circ g + g^{\star} \circ \partial_A \circ g^{\star^{-1}}, \\ \beta^g &= g^{-1} \circ \beta \circ g, \quad (\beta^{\dagger})^g = g^{\dagger} \circ \beta^{\dagger} \circ g^{\dagger^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have the following Kobayashi-Hitchin correspondence for (14):

Theorem A.3. [1, 4] Let $(\mathcal{E}, \beta)$ be a stable Vafa-Witten pair, then this exists a complex gauge transform g which is unique up to positive constant such that $(A^g, \beta^g, \beta^{\dagger \cdot g})$ satisfies the Vafa-Witten equations (14).

Let $(A, \beta + \beta^\dagger)$ be a solution to the Vafa-Witten equations (14), over $\Omega_X^{n,0} \otimes \text{End}(E)$, we define ∇_A be the wrapped connection of d_A and the induced Levi-Civita connection over $\Omega_X^{n,0}$. We have the following identities, which can be computed exactly in the same way as Lemma 3.1.

Lemma A.4. *We have the following identities:*

(i) *Let s be a holomorphic section of E satisfies $[\beta, s] = 0$, we have*

$$\Delta|s|^2 + |\partial_A s|^2 + |[\beta^\dagger, s]|^2 = 0.$$

(ii)

$$(15) \quad \Delta|\beta|^2 + 2|\nabla_A \beta|^2 + C_1|[\beta, \beta^\dagger]|^2 + R(\beta \sharp \beta) = 0,$$

where C_1 is a positive constant depends only on the dimension and $R(\beta \sharp \beta)$ satisfies the bound $|R(\beta \sharp \beta)| \leq C|\beta|^2$.

Lemma A.5. *Let B_{2R} be a open ball over X with $2R$ less than the injective radius, let M be the maximal of eigenvalues of β over B_R , then*

- (i) $\|\beta\|_{L^\infty(X)} \leq C\|\beta\|_{L^2(X)}$
- (ii) $\max_k \|p_k(\beta)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{\frac{1}{k}} \leq C\|\beta\|_{L^2(X)},$
- (iii) $M \leq C \max_k \|p_k(\beta)\|_{L^\infty(B_R)}^k,$
- (iv) $\|\beta\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C(\max_k \|p_k(\beta)\|_{L^2(B_R)}^{\frac{1}{k}} + 1).$

Proof. Using the identities in Lemma A.4, then proof of this statement will be exactly the same as Lemma 3.2, Proposition 3.8 and Lemma 3.10 by changing φ to β and we omit the proof. $\square$

We have the following corollary, which can be proved in the same way as Theorem 3.12 by changing φ to β .

Corollary A.6. *Let $(E, H, A_i, \beta_i + \beta_i^\dagger)$ be a sequences of solutions to the Vafa-Witten equations, we define $r_i := \|\beta_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ and write $\hat{\beta}_i = r_i^{-1}\beta_i$, then*

- (i) *Suppose $r_i \leq C$, then $p_k(\beta_i)$ convergence in C^∞ topology over X .*
- (ii) *Suppose $\lim r_i = \infty$, then passing to a unbounded subsequence, we have*
 - (a) $p_k(\hat{\beta}_i)$ convergence in C^∞ topology over X to $p_{k,\infty}$ where $p_{k,\infty} \in H^0(K_X^k)$,
 - (b) $(p_{1,\infty}, \dots, p_{k,\infty}) \neq 0$.

A.3. Local Estimates and the Compactness Statement. Let $(E, H, A, \beta_i + \beta_i^\dagger)$ be a sequence of solutions to (14), we denote $r_i = \|\beta_i\|_{L^2(X)}$ and define $\hat{\beta}_i := r_i^{-1}\beta_i$ with the corresponding spectrum date $\Theta_{\hat{\beta}_i} = (p_1(\hat{\beta}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\beta}_i))$. By Corollary A.6, $\Theta_{\hat{\beta}_i}$ convergence to Θ_∞ smoothly over X . We write D_i be the discriminant locus of $\Theta_{\hat{\beta}_i}$ and D_∞ be the discriminant locus of Θ_∞ , then D_i convergence to D_∞ . We have the following local estimates:

Theorem A.7. *Over the Hermitian vector bundle (E, H) , for the sequence $(A_i, \beta_i + \beta_i^\dagger)$, let $p \in X \setminus D_\infty$, there exists i_0 , $d_{p,\infty}$ and $R_{p,\infty}$ only depends on Θ_∞ such that over $B_{R_{p,\infty}}$, for $i \geq i_0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |i\Delta F_{A_i}| &\leq C e^{-C' r_i d_{p,\infty}}, \quad |[\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_i^\dagger]| \leq C e^{-C' r_i d_{p,\infty}}, \\ \|d_{A_i}^* F_{A_i}\|_{L^p} &\leq C r_i e^{-C' r_i d_{p,\infty}} \|\nabla_{A_i} \hat{\beta}_i\|_{L^p}, \end{aligned}$$

Proof. This is a combination of Proposition 4.5 4.9, 4.10 and 4.11 by changing φ to β . In fact, comparing to the Hitchin-Simpson equations, as K_X is a line bundle, we don't need to choose local frame of K_X and the proof will be much more easier in the Vafa-Witten case. $\square$

We define the adiabatic Vafa-Witten equations as

$$(16) \quad F_A^{0,2} = 0, \quad \bar{\partial}_A \beta = 0, \quad i\Lambda F_A = 0, \quad [\beta, \beta^\dagger] = 0,$$

then we obtain the following theorem for finite energy solutions:

Theorem A.8. *Let X be a closed Kähler manifold and let E be a rank r vector bundle with Hermitian metric H . Let (A_i, β_i) be a sequence of solutions to the Vafa-Witten equations (14) and we write $r_i := \|\beta_i\|_{L^2(X)}$.*

Suppose the sequence $\{r_i\}$ has no bounded subsequence, we write $\hat{\beta}_i := r_i^{-1}\beta_i$ and denote $(p_1(\hat{\beta}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\beta}_i)) \in \oplus_{i=1}^r H^0(K_X^i)$ be the image under the Hitchin morphism, then

- (a) *$(p_1(\hat{\beta}_i), \dots, p_r(\hat{\beta}_i))$ convergence smoothly over X to $(p_{1,\infty}, \dots, p_{r,\infty})$ with $p_{k,\infty} \in H^0(K_X^k)$. We write $Z_T \subset X$ be the discriminant locus defined by $(p_{1,\infty}, \dots, p_{r,\infty})$.*
- (b) *Suppose $Z_T \subsetneq X$ and suppose there exists a uniform constant C_0 such that*

$$(17) \quad \int_{X \setminus Z_T} |F_{A_i}|^2 \leq C_0,$$

then there exists a codimension 4 subset $Z_{Uh} \subset X \setminus Z_T$, a Hermitian vector bundle (E_∞, H_∞) over $X \setminus (Z_{Uh} \cup Z_T)$, unitary connection A_∞ and Hermitian n -form β_∞ such that over $X \setminus (Z_{Uh} \cup Z_T)$, for any $p > 1$, up to unitary gauge and passing to a subsequence, we have (A_i, β_i) convergence to (A_∞, β_∞) in $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$ topology

- (c) *A_∞ is a Hermitian-Yang-Mills connection and (A_∞, β_∞) satisfies the adiabatic Vafa-Witten equations (16),*
- (d) *When $\text{rank } E = 2$, then Z_T can be chosen to have codimension at least 2.*

Proof. (a) follows directly from (A.6). For (b), by Theorem A.7, for each $p \in X \setminus Z_T$, there exists R_p, d_p such that for i large enough, we have the following estimate over B_{R_p} :

$$|i\Lambda F_{A_i}| \leq C e^{-C' r_i d_p}, \quad \|[\hat{\beta}_i, \hat{\beta}_i^\dagger]\| \leq C e^{-C' r_i d_p}.$$

We define the Uhlenbeck singularity set as

$$Z_{Uh} = \cap_{0 < r < \delta} \{x \in X \setminus Z_T : \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} r^{4-2n} \int_{B_r(x)} |F_{A_i}|^2 dV \geq \epsilon_0\},$$

then by our assumption (17) and the Uhlenbeck compactness Theorem 5.2, over $X \setminus (Z_{Uh} \cup Z_T)$, there exists A_∞ such that up to unitary gauge and subsequence A_i convergence to A_∞ weakly in $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$. The convergence of $\hat{\beta}_i$ weakly to $\hat{\beta}_\infty$ over $L_{1,\text{loc}}^p$ over $X \setminus (Z_{Uh} \cup Z_T)$ follows immediately by Lemma A.5 and the elliptic estimate for $\bar{\partial}_{A_i} \hat{\beta}_i = 0$.

For (c), by Theorem A.7, over $X \setminus (Z_{Uh} \cup Z_T)$, we have $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|d_{A_i}^* F_{A_i}\|_{L^p} = 0$ and $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |i\Lambda F_{A_i}| = 0$. Therefore, over $X \setminus (Z_{Uh} \cup Z_T)$, we have $i\Lambda F_{A_\infty} = 0$. (d) follows directly from Proposition A.2. $\square$

Remark. *Unfortunately, currently we need to make a technical assumption (17) that the connection A_i has uniform energy bound. Currently, we don't know how to obtain this estimate. In addition, when $\dim X = 4$, Taubes in [34] could obtain the convergence of β_i without the assumption (17).*

REFERENCES

- [1] Luis Álvarez-Cónsul and Oscar García-Prada. Hitchin–kobayashi correspondence, quivers, and vortices. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 238(1-2):1–33, 2003.
- [2] Shigetoshi Bando and Yum-Tong Siu. Stable sheaves and Einstein-Hermitian metrics. *Geometry and analysis on complex manifolds*, pages 39–50, 1994.
- [3] indranil Biswas, Ugo Bruzzo, and Alessio Lo Giudice. Yang-mills-higgs connections on calabi-yau manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.7738*, 2014.
- [4] Steven B Bradlow, Oscar García-Prada, and Ignasi Mundet I Riera. Relative hitchin–kobayashi correspondences for principal pairs. *Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 54(2):171–208, 2003.
- [5] Tsao-Hsien Chen and Ngo Bao Chau. On the hitchin morphism for higher dimensional varieties. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.04741*, 2019.
- [6] Tristan Collins. Personal communication.
- [7] Georgios D Daskalopoulos and Wentworth Ricard. Convergence properties of the yang-mills flow on kähler surfaces. *J. reine angew. Math*, 575:69–99, 2004.
- [8] Aleksander Doan and Thomas Walpuski. On the existence of harmonic z_2 spinors. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.06781*, 2017.
- [9] Simon Donaldson and Peter Kronheimer. *The Geometry of Four-Manifolds*. Oxford University Press, 1990.
- [10] Simon K. Donaldson. Anti-self-dual Yang-Mills connections over complex algebraic surfaces and stable vector bundles. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 50(1):1–26, 1985.
- [11] Laura Fredrickson. Generic ends of the moduli space of $SL(n, \mathbb{C})$ -higgs bundles. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.01556*, 2018.
- [12] Andriy Haydys and Thomas Walpuski. A compactness theorem for the seiberg–witten equation with multiple spinors in dimension three. *Geometric and Functional Analysis*, 25(6):1799–1821, 2015.
- [13] Nigel Hitchin. The self-duality equations on a Riemann surface. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 55(1):59–126, 1987.
- [14] Nigel Hitchin. Stable bundles and integrable systems. *Duke mathematical journal*, 54(1):91–114, 1987.
- [15] Teng Huang. Non-existence of higgs fields on calabi-yau manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1705.01242*, 2017.
- [16] L Katzarkov, D Orlov, and T Pantev. Notes on higgs bundles and d-branes.
- [17] Bernard Mares. Some analytic aspects of Vafa-Witten twisted $n=4$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. *MIT Phd Thesis*, 2010.
- [18] Rafe Mazzeo, Jan Swoboda, Hartmut Weiß, and Frederik Witt. Limiting configurations for solutions of hitchin’s equation. *Séminaire de théorie spectrale et géométrie*, 31:91–116.
- [19] Rafe Mazzeo, Jan Swoboda, Hartmut Weiss, Frederik Witt, et al. Ends of the moduli space of higgs bundles. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 165(12):2227–2271, 2016.
- [20] Takuro Mochizuki. Asymptotic behaviour of tame harmonic bundles and an application to pure twistor d -modules. *arXiv preprint math/0312230*, 2003.
- [21] Takuro Mochizuki. Wild harmonic bundles and wild pure twistor d -modules. *arXiv preprint arXiv:0803.1344*, 2008.
- [22] Takuro Mochizuki. Asymptotic behaviour of certain families of harmonic bundles on Riemann surfaces. *J. Topol.*, 9(4):1021–1073, 2016.
- [23] Fumio Sakai. Symmetric powers of the cotangent bundle and classification of algebraic varieties. In *Algebraic Geometry*, pages 545–563. Springer, 1979.
- [24] Benjamin Sibley. Asymptotics of the yang–mills flow for holomorphic vector bundles over kähler manifolds: the canonical structure of the limit. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2015(706):123–191, 2015.
- [25] Carlos T. Simpson. Constructing variations of Hodge structure using Yang-Mills theory and applications to uniformization. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(4):867–918, 1988.
- [26] Carlos T. Simpson. Higgs bundles and local systems. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (75):5–95, 1992.
- [27] Carlos T Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety i. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 79:47–129, 1994.
- [28] Carlos T Simpson. Moduli of representations of the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety ii. *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS*, 80:5–79, 1994.
- [29] Ryosuke Takahashi. The moduli space of S^1 -type zero loci for z_2 harmonic spinors in dimension 3. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1503.00767*, 2015.

- [30] Yuuji Tanaka. A weak compactness theorem of the donaldson–thomas instantons on compact kähler threefolds. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 408(1):27–34, 2013.
- [31] Yuuji Tanaka. On the singular sets of solutions to the kapustin–witten equations and the vafa–witten ones on compact kähler surfaces. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 199(1):177–187, 2019.
- [32] Clifford Henry Taubes. Compactness theorems for $sl(2; \mathbb{C})$ generalizations of the 4-dimensional anti-self dual equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1307.6447*, 2013.
- [33] Clifford Henry Taubes. $PSL(2; \mathbb{C})$ connections on 3-manifolds with L^2 bounds on curvature. *Camb. J. Math.*, 1(2):239–397, 2013.
- [34] Clifford Henry Taubes. The behavior of sequences of solutions to the vafa-witten equations. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.04610*, 2017.
- [35] Karen K Uhlenbeck. Connections with L^p bounds on curvature. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 83(1):31–42, 1982.
- [36] Karen K Uhlenbeck. A priori estimate for Yang-Mills field. *preprint*, 1986.
- [37] Cumrun Vafa and Edward Witten. A strong coupling test of s-duality. *arXiv preprint hep-th/9408074*, 1994.
- [38] Thomas Walpuski and Boyu Zhang. On the compactness problem for a family of generalized seiberg-witten equations in dimension three. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1904.03749*, 2019.
- [39] Richard A. Wentworth. Higgs bundles and local systems on Riemann surfaces. In *Geometry and quantization of moduli spaces*, Adv. Courses Math. CRM Barcelona, pages 165–219. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [40] Boyu Zhang. Rectifiability and minkowski bounds for the zero loci of z_2 harmonic spinors in dimension 4. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.06254*, 2017.

SIMONS CENTER FOR GEOMETRY AND PHYSICS, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, STONY BROOK, NY, 11790
E-mail address: `she@scgp.stonybrook.edu`