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MR (2010) Subject Classification 26D15

Keywords Gamma function, Hilbert’s integral inequality, Hilbert’s discrete inequality.

1 Introduction

The classical Hilbert’s inequalities can be stated as follows ([1], p.226)

Hilbert’s integral inequality: Let \( f(x), g(x) \geq 0, 0 < \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \leq \infty \) and \( 0 < \int_0^\infty g^q(y)dy \leq \infty \). If \( p > 1 \) and \( q = p/(p-1) \), then

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{x+y}dxdy \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \int_0^\infty f^p(x)dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^\infty g^q(y)dy \right)^{1/q}.
\] (1.1)

Hilbert’s discrete inequality: Let \( a_m, b_m \geq 0, 0 < (\sum_1^\infty a_m^p)^{1/p} \leq \infty \) and \( 0 < (\sum_1^\infty b_m^q)^{1/q} \leq \infty \). If \( p > 1 \) and \( q = p/(p-1) \), then

\[
\sum_1^\infty \sum_1^\infty \frac{a_mb_m}{m+n} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \sum_1^\infty a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_1^\infty b_m^q \right)^{1/q}.
\] (1.2)

Hilbert’s integral inequality and its discrete form were studied extensively and numerous variants, generalizations, and extensions appeared in the literature [2-12] and the references cited therein. The main purpose of the present article is to give some Hilbert’s sum form inequalities, which in special cases yield some Hilbert’s type inequalities. Our main results are given in the following inequalities.

---
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Hilbert’s sum discrete inequality If $a_m \geq 0$, $b_m \geq 0$, $c_m \geq 0$, $d_m \geq 0$, where $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $p > 1$ and $q = p/(p - 1)$, and $0 < \sum_1^\infty a_m^p$, $\sum_1^\infty b_m^q$, $\sum_0^\infty c_m^p$, $\sum_0^\infty d_m^q < \infty$, then
\[
c_0d_0 + \sum_1^\infty \sum_1^\infty \left( \frac{a_mb_m}{m+n} + \frac{c/md_m}{m+n+1} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( c_0^p + \sum_1^\infty (a_m^p + c_m^p) \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( d_0^q + \sum_1^\infty (b_m^q + d_m^q) \right)^{1/q}, \quad (1.3)
\]

When $c_m = d_m = 0$ and $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, (1.3) becomes (1.2). When $a_m = b_m = 0$ and $m = 1, \ldots$, (1.3) becomes (2.2) in the Section 2. Moreover, inequality (1.3) is just a special case of (2.5) established in the Section 2.

Hilbert’s sum integral inequality If $p > 1, \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, $f(x), g(y) > 0, \lambda > 2n, \gamma \in (n - \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{q} - n), n = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $f(x) \geq 0$, the derivatives $f', f'', \ldots, f^{(n)}$ exists and positive and $f^{(n)} \in L(0, \infty), (n = 0, 12, \ldots), (f^{(0)} := f)$, where $L^p$ denotes the space of all Lebesgue integrable function (If $p = 1$, we obtain $L$), and let $f(0) = f'(0) = f''(0) = \cdots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ such that $\int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-p\gamma-1}(f^{(n)}(x))^pdx < \infty$. Moreover, $g(y)$ and $f(x)$ have the same conditions as above, then
\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda}dxdy \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-p\gamma-1}(Cx^{p\gamma} + C')(f^{(n)}(x))^pdx \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-q\gamma-1}(Cy^{q\gamma} + C')(g^{(n)}(y))^qdyy \right)^{1/q}, \quad (1.4)
\]
where
\[
C = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{p} - n)\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{q} - n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \quad \text{and} \quad C' = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{p} - \gamma - n)\Gamma(\frac{\lambda}{q} - \gamma - n)}{\Gamma(\lambda)}. \quad (1.5)
\]
Inequality (1.4) is just a special case of (2.7) established in the Section 2.

2 Main results

Lemma 2.1 ([13, p.26] p.39) If $a_i \geq 0$, $b_i > 0, i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m a_i = 1$, then
\[
\left( \prod_{i=1}^m (a_i + b_i) \right)^{\alpha_i} \geq \left( \prod_{i=1}^m a_i \right)^{\alpha_i} + \left( \prod_{i=1}^m b_i \right)^{\alpha_i}, \quad (2.1)
\]
with equality if and only if $a_1/b_1 = \cdots = a_m/b_m$.

Lemma 2.2 ([1]) If $c_m \geq 0$, $d_m \geq 0$, where $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $p > 1$ and $q = p/(p - 1)$, and $0 < \sum_0^\infty c_m^p$, $\sum_0^\infty d_m^q < \infty$, then
\[
\sum_0^\infty \sum_0^\infty \frac{c_md_m}{m+n+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \sum_0^\infty c_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_0^\infty d_m^q \right)^{1/q}. \quad (2.2)
\]

Lemma 2.3 ([3]) If $p > 1$ and $q = p/(p - 1)$, and $f(x) > 0$, the derivatives $f', f''', \ldots, f^{(n)}$ exists and positive and $f^{(n)} \in L(0, \infty), (n = 0, 12, \ldots), (f^{(0)} := f)$, where $L^p$ denotes the space of
all Lebesgue integrable function (if $p = 1$, we obtain $L$), and let $f(0) = f′(0) = f″(0) = \cdots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ such that $\int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1) - \lambda - 1} (f^{(n)}(x))^p dx < \infty$. Moreover, $g(y)$ and $f(x)$ have the same conditions as above, then

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \leq C \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1) - \lambda - 1} (f^{(n)}(x))^p dx \right)^{1/p}
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1) - \lambda - 1} (g^{(n)}(y))^q dy \right)^{1/q} \quad (2.3)
$$

where $C$ is as in (1.5), and which is the best possible. Here $\Gamma(u)$ is the Gamma function.

**Lemma 2.4** ([12]) Let $p > 1$ and $q = p/(p-1)$, $\lambda > 2n, \gamma \in (n - \frac{\lambda}{p}, \frac{\lambda}{q} - n)$, $n = 0, 1, \ldots$ and $f(x) > 0$, the derivatives $f′, f″, \ldots, f^{(n)}$ exists and positive and $f^{(n)} \in L(0, \infty), (n = 0, 12, \ldots)$, $(f^{(0)}) := f$, where $L^p$ denotes the space of all Lebesgue integrable function (If $p = 1$, we obtain $L$), and let $f(0) = f′(0) = f″(0) = \cdots = f^{(n-1)}(0) = 0$ such that $\int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1) - \gamma - 1} (f^{(n)}(x))^p dx < \infty$. Moreover, $g(y)$ and $f(x)$ have the same conditions as above, then

$$
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \leq C′ \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1) - \gamma - 1} (f^{(n)}(x))^p dx \right)^{1/p}
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1) - \gamma - 1} (g^{(n)}(y))^q dy \right)^{1/q} \quad (2.4)
$$

where $C$ is as in (1.5), and which is the best possible.

**Theorem 2.1** If $a_m \geq 0$, $b_m \geq 0$, $c_m \geq 0$, $d_m \geq 0$, where $m = 0, 1, \ldots$, and $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and $p > 1$ and $q = p/(p-1)$, and $\sum_1^\infty a_m^p, \sum_1^\infty b_m^p, \sum_1^\infty c_m^p, \sum_1^\infty d_m^p < \infty$, then

$$
k c_0 d_0 + \sum_1^\infty \sum_1^\infty \left( \frac{a_m b_m}{m+n} + \frac{c_m d_m}{m+n+1} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( k \sum_1^\infty \left( a_m^p + c_m^p \right) \right)^{1/p}
\times \left( k \sum_1^\infty \left( b_m^p + d_m^q \right) \right)^{1/q} \quad (2.5)
$$

**Proof** First, we prove that (2.5) holds for $k = 1$. Noting that

$$
\sum_1^\infty \sum_1^\infty \frac{a_m b_m}{m+n} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \sum_1^\infty a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_1^\infty b_m^p \right)^{1/q},
$$

and

$$
\sum_0^\infty \sum_0^\infty \frac{c_m d_m}{m+n+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \sum_0^\infty c_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_0^\infty d_m^q \right)^{1/q}.
$$

Hence

$$
c_0 d_0 + \sum_1^\infty \sum_1^\infty \left( \frac{a_m b_m}{m+n} + \frac{c_m d_m}{m+n+1} \right) \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( \sum_1^\infty a_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_1^\infty b_m^p \right)^{1/q}
\times \left( \sum_1^\infty c_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_1^\infty d_m^q \right)^{1/q}
\leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( c_0^p + \sum_1^\infty \left( a_m^p + c_m^p \right) \right)^{1/p} \left( d_0^q + \sum_1^\infty \left( b_m^q + d_m^q \right) \right)^{1/q}.
$$
This shows (2.5) right for \( k = 1 \).

Suppose that (2.5) holds when \( k = r - 1 \), we have

\[
(r - 1)c_0d_0 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a_mb_m + (r - 1)c_md_m}{m + n + 1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( (r - 1)c_0^p + \sum_{1}^{\infty} (a_m^p + (r - 1)c_m^p) \right)^{1/p} 
\times \left( (r - 1)d_0^q + \sum_{1}^{\infty} (b_m^q + (r - 1)d_m^q) \right)^{1/q} .
\]

(2.6)

From (2.2) and (2.6), we have

\[
rc_0d_0 + \sum_{1}^{\infty} \sum_{1}^{\infty} \frac{a_mb_m + rc_md_m}{m + n + 1} \leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( (r - 1)c_0^p + \sum_{1}^{\infty} (a_m^p + (r - 1)c_m^p) \right)^{1/p} 
\times \left( (r - 1)d_0^q + \sum_{1}^{\infty} (b_m^q + (r - 1)d_m^q) \right)^{1/q} 
+ \left( \sum_{0}^{\infty} e_m^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{0}^{\infty} e_m^q \right)^{1/q} 
\leq \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi/p)} \left( rc_0^p + \sum_{1}^{\infty} (a_m^p + rc_m^p) \right)^{1/p} 
\times \left( rd_0^q + \sum_{1}^{\infty} (b_m^q + rd_m^q) \right)^{1/q} .
\]

This shows that (2.5) is correct if \( m = r - 1 \), then \( m = r \) is also correct. Hence (2.5) is right for any \( m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \).

This proof is complete.

\[ \square \]

**Theorem 2.2** Let \( p, q, \gamma, \lambda, f(x), g(y) \) are as in (2.4). If \( m \in \mathbb{N}^+ \), then

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \leq \frac{1}{m + 1} \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-p\gamma-1}(C_x^{p\gamma} + mC')(f(n)(x))^p dx \right)^{1/p} 
\times \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-q\gamma-1}(C_y^{q\gamma} + mC')(g(n)(y))^q dy \right)^{1/q} ,
\]

(2.7)

where \( C' \) and \( C \) are as in (1.5).

**Proof** First, we prove that (2.7) holds for \( m = 1 \). From Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, we have

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \leq C \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-1}(f(n)(x))^p dx \right)^{1/p} 
\times \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-1}(g(n)(y))^q dy \right)^{1/q} .
\]

(2.8)

where \( C \) is as in (1.5)

\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} dx dy \leq C' \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{p(n+1)-p\gamma-\lambda-1}(f(n)(x))^p dx \right)^{1/p} 
\times \left( \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{q(n+1)-p\gamma-\lambda-1}(g(n)(y))^q dy \right)^{1/q} .
\]

(2.9)
where \( C' \) is as in (1.5)

From (2.8) and (2.9), and in view of Lemma 2.1, we obtain

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{C}{2} \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-1}(f^{(n)}(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-1}(g^{(n)}(y))^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} \\
+ \frac{C'}{2} \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-p\gamma-\lambda-1}(f^{(n)}(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-q\gamma-\lambda-1}(g^{(n)}(y))^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} \\
\leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-p\gamma-1}(C x^{p\gamma} + C')(f^{(n)}(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-q\gamma-1}(C y^{q\gamma} + C')(g^{(n)}(y))^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} .
\]

This shows (2.7) right for \( m = 1 \).

Suppose that (2.7) holds when \( m = r - 1 \), we have

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} \, dx \, dy \leq \frac{1}{r} \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-p\gamma-1}(C x^{p\gamma} + (r-1)C')(f^{(n)}(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-q\gamma-1}(C y^{q\gamma} + (r-1)C')(g^{(n)}(y))^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} .
\]

From (2.4), (2.10) and by using

\[
\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \frac{(r+1)f(x)g(y)}{(x+y)^\lambda} \, dx \, dy \leq \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-p\gamma-1}(C x^{p\gamma} + (r-1)C')(f^{(n)}(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-q\gamma-1}(C y^{q\gamma} + (r-1)C')(g^{(n)}(y))^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} \\
+ C' \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-p\gamma-\lambda-1}(f^{(n)}(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-q\gamma-\lambda-1}(g^{(n)}(y))^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} \\
\leq \left( \int_0^\infty x^{p(n+1)-\lambda-p\gamma-1}(C x^{p\gamma} + rC')(f^{(n)}(x))^p \, dx \right)^{1/p} \\
\times \left( \int_0^\infty y^{q(n+1)-\lambda-q\gamma-1}(C y^{q\gamma} + rC')(g^{(n)}(y))^q \, dy \right)^{1/q} .
\]

This shows that (2.7) is correct if \( m = r - 1 \), then \( m = r \) is also correct. Hence (2.7) is right for any \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.2. \( \square \)
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