

Some remarks on maximal rank

Tove Dahn (Lund University)

March 14, 2024

1 Introduction

1.1 Continuity

Assume $\Psi : U \rightarrow U^\perp$ projective with $\dim U^c = \dim U^\perp$, $U + U^c = I$. When $(I + \Psi)U \sim I$, we have $U \sim \Sigma c_j \Psi^j$. Thus, $d\Psi^j \in \mathcal{E}^{(0)'} \implies dU \in \mathcal{E}^{(0)'}$. Assume Φ a class of movements. Let $\Phi_0 = \{U \in \Phi \mid dU \in \mathcal{E}^{(0)'}\}$. Define $\Phi_{ac} = \{U \in \Phi \mid dU = 0 \implies U^\perp = 0\}$ and $\Phi_N = \{UU^\perp = U^\perp U\}$ (implies $UI = IU$ given U absolute continuous (a.c.)) Note the difference between parametrices and fundamental solutions, that is the parametrices ; $\mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{F'}$ ([4]). Given Φ transitive through $\Psi : U \rightarrow U^\perp$ with $U^2 g \simeq Ug$, $g \in (I)$, where (I) is an ideal, such that $U + U^\Delta = I$ over (I) , where $U^\Delta = (I - U) = -\Psi U \in \Phi$, that is U^Δ projective on $(I)^c$. More precisely, Assume R the restriction to $(J) \subset (I)$, then U is projective on (J) iff $UR = RU$ ($R^\perp U = UR^\perp = 0$).

Connected components: Assume that the components are domains for constant character of movement. We consider the closure in a domain for constant dimension, that is we assume the movement is relatively closed, for instance $dU = \alpha dV$, with dV analytic and α constant close to the boundary. We assume \mathcal{G} is defined by movements with dU of bounded variation (BV). Assume in particular, that U_1 is translation and U_2 rotation and that change of character is through $dU_2 = \alpha dU_1$, with α constant close to the boundary, (cf. [8]). Note that if $dV \sim Pdx$, for a polynomial P , we have that if $dV = 0$ on Ω , then Ω is locally algebraic. Note that constant dimension for (U, U^\perp) does not imply that the movement does not change character.

A *very regular boundary* implies existence of U analytic at the boundary. Assume further existence $\forall \Omega_j$ of $U_j \in \mathcal{G}$, such that Ω_j is generated by U_j , with $dU_j = \rho dU_1$ and ρ regular or constant. Note that if U^2 and U are of the same character, this does not imply that U is projective. More precisely, given that $U^\perp(f) = \int f dU^\perp = 0$ on Ω with restriction $R = R_\Omega$ and given $U^\perp R \equiv 0$ implies $(I - U)Rf = 0$, that is $|I - U| \leq |U^\perp|$ we have $(U + U^\perp)R = R$. Connected sets can be given by $U\gamma \rightarrow \gamma$, when $U \rightarrow I$ continuously. Sufficient, given $F(\gamma)$ analytic and $UF(\gamma) = F({}^t U \gamma)$, with ${}^t U \gamma(\zeta) = \gamma(\zeta_T)$, is that γ is analytically dependent of T .

The movement is determined by $\eta dx - \xi dy = 0$, that is $dU = 0$ with the condition $Y/X \simeq \eta/\xi$. $\frac{d}{dt}U = 0$ is a differential operator in a real parameter, that is hypoelliptic. Consider for this reason $\frac{d}{dt_1} + i \frac{d}{dt_2}(U, U^\perp) = 0$, that is $\frac{dU}{dt_1} - \frac{dU^\perp}{dt_2} = 0$. It is sufficient that U is independent of t_2 and U^\perp is independent of t_1 , for instance $t_2 = t_1^*$. Assume $\Omega_j = \cup \Omega_{jk}$ and $dW_k = 0$ on Ω_{jk} , we then

have $\Sigma dW_k = 0$ on Ω_j , as long as the sum is finite. Given $dW_k = 0$ implies $W_k = I$ on Ω_{jk} , we have that $W = dW = 0$ are isolated.

A domain is *simply connected*, if every simple Jordan curve, that divides the domain in two parts, can be continuously deformed to a point. Assume $\gamma \subset nbhd\infty$, such that $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$ continuously, then γ defines on $t \geq 0$, a connected domain. Given $1/\gamma \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \pm\infty$ for instance, γ defines a simply connected domain. Note $1/(\gamma + \frac{1}{\gamma})$ defines a simply connected domain, where $\gamma \rightarrow 0, \pm\infty$. Consider in particular γ a reduced polynomial. We consider $1/(\gamma + 1/\gamma)$ as closed.

1.2 Factorization

Given a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow T \rightarrow H \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0$, we have $H = T \otimes S (\simeq T \otimes \hat{T})$. In particular we have for $U \rightarrow I \rightarrow U^\perp$, given $U^\perp \rightarrow 0$ regularly, that $I = U \oplus U^\perp$ that is U projective. Assume V surjective on (I) and $VW \simeq \mathcal{F}I$, where \mathcal{F} is the Fourier transform. In particular $\mathcal{F}UI \simeq U^\perp VW$ and $\mathcal{F}IU \simeq VWU$, where we assume $\widehat{UT} = U^\perp \hat{T}$. The condition $(VW)^\perp = VW$ (spiral), gives that $(VWU)^\perp = U^\perp VW$. Note that given ${}^tV = U_1$ and ${}^tW = U_2$, we have that ${}^t(VW) = VW$.

$F(x, y, z, p, q) = \lambda$ can be solved through Φ, Ψ mutually independent and in involution with each other and with F . A complete solution to $F = \lambda$ is given by $\Phi = \mu, \Psi = \nu$, with parameters μ, ν . Conversely we have that when Φ, Ψ are solutions to $F = 0$, completed through μ, ν , they generate solutions to $F = \lambda$. For instance when Φ is a normal system and Ψ a not normal system. When Γ is defined by $d\Phi = 0$, the closure can be for instance $w(p, q) = w(a, b) = 0$ algebraic, completed to an analytic zero, alternatively we consider pseudo convex domains, for instance such that $d\Phi^\perp = 0$.

Consider (Legendre) $L^*(x) = \langle x, x^* \rangle$ and L^\diamond the scalar product corresponding to harmonic conjugation ([1]). We then have that over an involutive set $\{L^*, L^\diamond\} = 0$, that is $\xi^* \xi + \eta^* \eta = 0$, so that to determine the movement, it is sufficient to consider $L^*(x) = \text{const}$ ([13]). As long as the continuation $L^* \rightarrow L^\perp$ preserves the Legendre relation, the movement can be related to translation, $dU = \rho dU_1$ close to the boundary. Note ([13]) that given L^*, L^\diamond are mutually independent and mutually in involution and with F , given solutions to $L^* = b, L^\diamond = c$, we have a complete solution to $F = a$, where a, b, c are constants. The solution is dependent of the parameters b, c .

2 Comparable movements

2.1 Majorisation principle

Given f almost periodic (a.p) ($n=1$), we have $M(f(x)) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} M_T(f(x)) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(x) dx$. Thus, if $F' = f$, where f a.p., we have that F a.p. iff $M_T(f) \rightarrow 0, T \rightarrow \infty$ or when F a.p. in C^∞ , $M(\frac{dF}{dx}) \in \dot{B}$. Assume $f = g/h$, where h, g a.p. Given $h \neq 0$, we have that g/h a.p., that is $M(f/g) \rightarrow 0$ and we have a *majorisation principle*. The boundary to a pseudo convex domain is cylindrical ([10]), We will here limit ourselves to pseudo convex domains.

Definition 2.1 Assume $|U^\perp g| \sim |w_U| |g|$ and in the same manner for V, w_V .

We say that U is weaker than V , $U \prec V$, if $|w_U| \leq |w_V|$, in ∞ . We say that U is strictly weaker than V , if $U \prec\prec V$, if $w_U/w_V \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ .

We assume $I \prec U$, that is U preserves compact sub level sets. Consider $V_\lambda = \{\hat{f} \leq \lambda\}$ and assume $dU^\perp = 0$ on V_λ . Given \hat{f} a.p. on V_λ there is a sequence γ_j with a limit such that $U^\perp \hat{f} = Uf$. Given $d\mu = (g/h)dt$, that is $hd\mu = gdt$, we can for instance choose h so that Ω is normal relative $hd\mu$. Assume u_1, u_2 coordinates relative translation and rotation. Given $\Omega \rightarrow \tilde{\Omega} = \{(u_1, u_2)\}$ contains a spiral $u_1 = u_2$, by choosing h so that $h = 0$ on u_1, u_2 , we have that $hd\mu$ defines a normal surface $\tilde{\Omega}$, given that $hd\mu$ is analytic outside $u_1 = u_2$.

Assume $dU = \beta dU_1$, where β regular and bounded, then a maximum principle for translates can be continued to U . When U monotonous (increasing), we have that U^\perp monotonous (decreasing). When $U_S = U(s, t)$ is a spiral, with $U = U^\perp$, we have that that U is monotonous in (s, t) .

2.2 Mean convergence

Assume $\tau f = \rho f$ with ρ regular. When $\frac{d\rho}{dt} = 0$ implies $\tau = 1$ is a point, then ρ is invertible. Assume $dU = \rho dV$ and $dV = \vartheta dU$. Given $|\log \rho| \in L^1$ we have that $\rho, \frac{1}{\rho} \in L^1$, that is we can choose $\rho \simeq 1/\vartheta$. Relatively compact translation implies uniformly continuous limit. It is sufficient that $|dU| \rightarrow |dI|$, which is implied by $|dU^\perp| \rightarrow 0$. Note that dU relatively compact implies existence of $\gamma_j \rightarrow \gamma_0$, that is for instance a maximum-principle.

Assume U projective in the mean, that is $M(Uf + U^\perp f) = M(f)$. For instance $Uf = \alpha * f$, where we assume $\langle Uf, g \rangle = \langle f, \beta * g \rangle$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}$. Further, assume $dU + dV = dU_1 \sim dx$. Given Vf harmonic, we have that $M(Vf) = 0$ implies $Vf = 0$. Where dU a reduced BV measure, $M_U(f) \sim \int f dU$. Projectivity means that $M_V(f) = 0$ implies $M_U(f) = M_I(f)$. We have $M_V(f) = M_I(f * \beta) = M_I(f)$ with $\beta \in \mathcal{D}$, given $f \in B_{pp}$, that is a sufficient condition for projectivity in the mean, is $f \in B_{pp}$.

Proposition (Projectivity in the mean) 2.2 Assume U_1 projective over $\mathcal{B}_{pp}(\Omega)$ and that $U_1 \rightarrow U$ preserves pseudo convexity, then U is projective in the mean, that is $M(Uf + U^\perp f) = M(f)$ over $\mathcal{B}_{pp}(\Omega)$.

More precisely, assume $\{U_1 f \leq \lambda\} \subset\subset \Omega$ implies $\{Uf \leq \lambda\} \subset\subset \Omega$. For instance, we can assume $f \in B_{pp}(\Omega)$ and $|U_1 f| \leq C |Uf|$. Given $M(U^\perp f) = 0$, when $M(U_1^\perp f) = 0$ and when we assume U_1 projective over Ω , through $f \rightarrow Uf$ continuous, we have that $M(Uf) = M(f)$ on compact sets. Conversely, given $M(Uf - f) = 0$ we have that $M(U_1^\perp f) = 0$ and according to the above $M(U^\perp f) = 0$.

2.3 Relative projectivity

Assume U analytic with finite Dirichlet integral on W , such that $U^\perp = 0$ on W and in the same manner for U_0, U_1 harmonic with finite D-integral, so that $U_1 \leq U \leq U_0$. Thus, we have that $U_0^\perp \leq U^\perp \leq U_1^\perp$, that is given projectivity for the both outer sides, $I - U^\perp$ is projective on W , that is U is relatively projective. Consider for instance $I - U^\perp$ projective on $R(U)^\perp$.

Definition (Relative projectivity) 2.3 When a movement U is comparable to projective movements on a subset W , we say that U is relatively projective on W .

Assume $U_1 \subset U$, that is the domain $D(U_1) \subset D(U)$. In the same manner assume $D(U_0^\perp) \subset D(U^\perp)$. Assume further that U_0, U_1 are projective according to $D = X_0 \oplus Y_0$ and $D = X_1 \oplus Y_1$, where for instance $D(U_0) = X_0$. Given $X_0 \cap Y_0 = \{0\}$ and $X_1 \cap Y_1 = \{0\}$, we do not necessarily have that U is orthogonal. For instance $X_0 = X_1 + Z$ and $Y_1 = Y_0 + Z_0$. This means that $Z \sim Z_0$.

Assume $U_j^\perp \rightarrow (-U_j)^\perp$ preserves dimension, with $U_0 \leq U^\perp \leq U_1$, then $U^\perp \rightarrow (-U)^\perp$ preserves dimension. Given $f \in \Gamma = \{U = U^\perp\}$, we must have $U \sim (I - U^\perp)$. Outside Γ , U does not change character.

2.4 Multipliers

Concerning multipliers, given $M = 1/(X\eta - Y\xi)$, when $-\eta/\xi \sim X^*/Y^*$, we have that $(XX^* + YY^*)M \sim 1$. Note that when $-\eta/\xi \sim Y/X$, we have that an infinite D-integral implies $M = 0$. Given X, Y analytic, they can be represented by a Hamiltonian. Assume instead $\log f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, which implies for instance $\phi \sim_m \log f$ ([2]), where $\phi \in H$. ϕ can be represented by e^ψ with $\{\psi < \lambda\} \subset \subset \Omega$. Assume $\widehat{\mu_\lambda}(f) (\simeq \mu_\lambda(\widehat{f}))$, where $M_\lambda(f dU) = \int f e^{-ix\lambda} d\mu_\lambda$. One parameter, sequential movements correspond to reduced measures (hypoelliptic d.o). Assume $d\mu$ BV implies existence of dv reduced and $d\mu = \rho dv$, with $\rho \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ . For instance $\rho = 1/Q$, with Q HE polynomial, where we can assume $(dv)^\perp \in C^\infty$ on $R(Q)^\perp$.

Consider $f \frac{\delta \phi}{\delta x} = \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}$, we then have $\xi/\eta = (f\xi)/(f\eta)$. That is, given $f \neq 0$, we have that $fX_V(\phi) = 0$ iff $X_V(f) = 0$. Thus, given $f \neq 0$, when the movement is defined by (ξ, η) , it is sufficient to study the movement in phase. However, a maximum principle for f does not simultaneously imply a maximum principle for $\log f$.

Consider $\Omega = \{F < \lambda\}$. A *stratifiable domain* ([2]) is such that we have in particular existence of a neighborhood of Ω , Ω' such that Ω is closed in Ω' .

Lemma (A stratifying multiplier) 2.4 Assume $|U^\perp g| \sim |w_U| |g|$, where $1/w_U \in \dot{B}$. Then the multiplier w_U defines a stratifiable set relative U .

Assume Ω' is defined by $\{wF < \lambda\}$ with $1/w \rightarrow 0$ (in \dot{B}), that is $\{F < \lambda/w\} \subset \{F < \lambda\}$, close to ∞ . Note that completeness for w , is necessary for relative compactness. Assume $w(tx, ty) = t^\sigma w(x, y)$. Sufficient for a simply connected continuation (cone continuation) is $\sigma > 0$. Assume $|Uf| = |w| |f|$, with w σ -homogeneous and $\sigma > 0$, then there is a simply connected continuation. Consider $w(x, y) \rightarrow \tilde{w}(u_1, u_2)$. When \tilde{w} has cone-continuation, we have that the corresponding movement does not change orientation, as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Definition (Cone continuation) 2.5 If a movement U can be continued continuously, without changing character, to the infinity. we say that it has a cone continuation.

Runge's property means that the limit is independent of starting point. Given a *cone continuation*, we have that dimension is preserved. Consider $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, \frac{y}{x} = \rho)$, we then have given $\rho(tx) = t\rho(x)$ a cone continuation. Further $(x, \rho) \rightarrow (x, y)$ defines a convex curve, that is $y(tx) = t^2 y(x)$.

3 Almost orthogonal functionals

3.1 Definition

Transitivity means that $S \sim T$ iff we have existence of a $\sigma \in N(\mathcal{G})$, such that $T = S\sigma$ (N is the normalisator). For instance, assume $V\varphi = \psi$ i \mathcal{D}_{L^1} and $\mathcal{F}\varphi = R^\perp \psi$ i L^1 , for some $\psi \in \dot{B}$, we then have $R^\perp V \sim \mathcal{F}$. We say that R, V are almost orthogonal, if $R^\perp V = RV^\perp$. Given $R^{\perp\perp} = R$ and $RV^\perp = V^\perp R$, we then have that $RV^\perp \perp R^\perp V$. In particular, when R is the restriction to Ω in the domain for dV BV, we have that $(dV)^\perp \simeq dV^\perp$ on Ω .

3.2 Projectivity

Given ${}^tU^\perp \in \widehat{\mathcal{D}'_{L^1}}$, we can assume $R(U)^\perp = \{P = 0\}$. Consider E as local parametrix to X_U , where the corresponding U is projective. Given E is symmetric, then E can be used as orthogonal base. The polar is defined by $\ker E$. Sufficient for $\ker E = \{0\}$ (modulo C^∞), is that X_U hypoelliptic in x, y .

Assume $R\gamma = \gamma|_X$ and $X \cup X_0 = D$, $F^\perp(\gamma) = F(\gamma|_{X_0})$. We assume that F has compact support on $X \cap X_0$. Let ${}^tRF(\gamma) = F^\perp(\gamma)$, we then have that ${}^tR \sim R$ gives a maximal extension. Consider $\mathcal{D}_{L^1} \subset \dot{B} \subset B$. We can consider $\tilde{\Sigma}$ through for instance $U_1 R^\perp \varphi \in B$. Note for an oriented foliation $dU = 0$, it is necessary that ξ, η have order 1.

Consider almost orthogonal movements, on the form $(U\hat{f})^\perp \simeq U^\perp f$ that is $\hat{f} \simeq f^\perp$. A closed movement is interpreted as existence of $W \perp I$ algebraic, such that $\langle W\hat{g}, \hat{g} \rangle = 0$, for instance $W\hat{g} = 0$. Choose $U^\perp \in \mathcal{G}$, that is dU^\perp BV and preserves pseudo convexity. Assume U surjective, we then have tU locally 1-1. For instance, when $U+V$ projective, we have that ${}^t(U+V)$ locally 1-1 and when $V = U^\perp$, we see that U can not be a spiral. Assume $(Uf)^\perp$ a translation domain, that is $g \perp Uf$ implies $g = Vf$, where V is translation, then the orthogonal is pathwise connected. Assume the polar pathwise connected, that is for every f, g in the polar, we have existence of $U \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $g = Uf$, that is tU locally 1-1. In particular, when ${}^tU \simeq U^\perp$, then U^\perp has a representation through a reduced measure. Projectivity means that the polar can be divided in algebraic components.

3.3 A separation condition

Given Γ separates γ from γ^\perp , where Γ is a simple Jordan curve, then the domain for γ is not simply connected. Given Γ is defined by $U \simeq U^\perp$, where $U \rightarrow U^\perp$ is defined by a contact transform, we have that the domain is a simply connected, if $\Gamma \sim 0$, that is $U^\perp \rightarrow 0$ regular continuously and the measure for $U^\perp = 0$ is zero.

Consider $\langle X(f), \varphi \rangle = \langle (\eta_x - \xi_y)f, \varphi \rangle + \langle f, {}^tX(\varphi) \rangle$. In particular, $\langle f, \hat{g} \rangle$ and $(\xi, \eta) \perp (-Y, X)$. The condition (ξ, η) polynomial, means that a movement on f has a corresponding movement in \hat{g} . Given (ξ, η) is defined by G , we have when U is harmonic, that $-\Delta G = 0$. Further, given $f \in D(U)$ and $dU(f) \perp \varphi$, with φ analytic, we have that $f \perp R({}^tU)$, that is given tU preserves analyticity and $f \in L^1$, then U can be seen as analytic.

4 Concepts from spectral theory

4.1 Numerical range

Assume the complement to NR is generated by one single movement, we then have $\Gamma \subset NR$. Assume $\langle Uf, Vf \rangle = \langle {}^tVUf, f \rangle$ defines numerical range NR . Given tVU a bounded operator, we have that $\sigma({}^tVU) \subset NR$. Consider $d{}^tVU = \frac{d{}^tV}{dU}(\xi dx + \eta dy)$ and $(\xi, \eta) \rightarrow (\xi_x + \eta_y, \eta_x - \xi_y)$, that is harmonic conjugates. Note that when $U \rightarrow {}^tU$, such that $\xi_x + \eta_y = 0$, the character of movement is preserved. Note that when U is not ac, there are examples of U monotonous with $dU = 0$, but $U \neq \lambda I$. Define $I(\sigma)$ as f , where the movement changes character. Note that given $dU = \alpha dU_1$, then α is regular outside $I(\sigma)$.

4.2 Spectral resolution

Assume $\exists U \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $Vf = f(U^\perp \gamma) = 0$. We then have given $1/f$ a.p., that Vf defines invariant sets. A very regular boundary means existence of a regular approximation, that is we allow spiral approximations. Given the inverse to U^\perp continuous and $\ker f$ connected, the invariant set is connected.

Given $Vf \in C^\infty$, we have $\frac{1}{R} \log |\widehat{Vf}| < 0$, when R large. For instance, $Vf = \int \int_{\rho < \lambda} f e^{i(x-y)} d\xi dy$, for $dV^\perp = \rho dI$, that is we can represent V as regularizing with kernel dV in C^∞ outside Γ . Necessary for this representation, is that $I \prec V^\perp$, that is we associate $(dV)^\perp$ to a reduced measure ([7]).

Assume further $V = U_1$ harmonic at the boundary, we then have (point wise topology) that $V(fg) = V(f)V(g)$ and $\log Vf \sim V \log f$. Consider the condition $\{U, V\} = \xi\eta' - \xi'\eta = 0$, that is $\xi/\eta \sim \xi'/\eta'$. We then have $\frac{dV}{dU} \sim \frac{\xi'\xi + \eta'\eta + i(\xi\eta' - \xi'\eta)}{|\xi + i\eta|^2}$, that is given $\xi'/\eta' \rightarrow -\eta/\xi$ projective or $\{U, V\} = \{U, V^\diamond\} = 0$, we have that $dV \perp dU$. Given $d(U - I) = 0$ implies $U = U_j \in \mathcal{G}$, $j = 1, \dots, k$, defines the boundary (subset of polar), we have that when the boundary has order 0, then $k = 1$. Thus, we have that V is harmonic at the boundary and that $dU \perp dV$ there.

A Banach space is Hilbert iff $\|Uf + U^\perp f\|^2 + \|Uf - U^\perp f\|^2 = \|Uf\|^2 + \|U^\perp f\|^2$, $\forall Uf, U^\perp f \in B$. Assume U, U^\perp are symmetric, normal operators, with $UU^\perp = U^\perp U$. We then have $U + iU^\perp$ is normal iff $UU^\perp = U^\perp U$. Further, $U \perp U^\perp$ if $UU^\perp = U^\perp U = 0$. Assume $U - I \in C^\infty$, with $\ker U = \{0\}$. Further $\|(U + I)f\| = \|(U - I)f\|$. Given $(U - I)f = 0$, we have that $f = Uf = 0$, that is a “symplectic” model. Assume $dU \perp dV$ with $dU \in BV$. Necessary for a classical spectral resolution, is $dV \in BV$. The condition $I \prec V$ (sufficient is that V is algebraic), does not imply that U is projective.

4.3 Orthogonal base

Dirichlet problem is to determine $u \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$, with $\Delta u = 0$ on Ω and $u = f$ on $\partial\Omega$ (unique through the max-principle). Assume $\frac{\delta u}{\delta x} = \frac{\delta v}{\delta y}$ and $\frac{\delta u}{\delta y} = -\frac{\delta v}{\delta x}$. Then the condition is that $\frac{\delta^2 v}{\delta x \delta y} = \frac{\delta^2 v}{\delta y \delta x}$. Simultaneously, we have that $\{u, v\} = (\frac{\delta u}{\delta x})^2 + (\frac{\delta u}{\delta y})^2$. The Dirichlet problem for movements is existence of U harmonic on Ω with $U = U_j$ on Γ that is $dU = \beta dU_j$ with $\beta = \text{const}$ at the boundary Γ . Thus, there is a Hamiltonian G symmetric at the boundary, corresponding to V . Given a maximum principle and U continuous at Γ , U can be determined

as U_j uniquely. Given that U is harmonic, we have an orthogonal base iff V is symmetric and projective. Presence of a max-principle, is dependent on if the domain is contractible and does not simultaneously imply a max-principle in phase. Given $|Ue^\phi| \leq e^{|w||\phi|}$, with $|w|$ finite, gives an upper limit. Presence of a max-principle means that the limit is reached. In particular $|dU(f)| \leq |X(f)| \leq \max(|\xi|, |\eta|) |df|$. Consider $dU = 0$, given U ac, then U has a max-value that is reached. Or we can assume $dU = \beta dU_1$ with dU_1 harmonic (min and max are reached) with $\beta = \text{const}$ close to the boundary. Concerning flux, Assume $U^\perp \rightarrow U^\diamond$ continuous where the movement is related to axes, that is $L^\perp = \rho L^\diamond$ with $\rho = \text{const}$, we then have that $\int_\Gamma dU^\diamond = 0$ implies $\int_\Gamma dU^\perp = 0$. In particular when $dU^\perp = \beta dU^\diamond$ with $\beta = \text{const}$ close to the boundary, we have that flux is preserved. In the case when U is defined in the phase, we assume $U\phi \in L^1$, that can be approximated by $U\phi \in H$. In particular if $dU\phi, dU^\diamond\phi$ harmonic, is $dU\phi$ analytic.

5 Boundary conditions

Assume the polar C is defined as zeros to a holomorphic function. Given $\tilde{C} = \{(x, y) \mid U^\perp = 0\}$ and $\psi : \tilde{C} \rightarrow C$ continuous and proper, if U^\perp analytic, we have that \tilde{C} is removable iff we have a global base for the corresponding ideal. In particular when U^\perp algebraic, we have that \tilde{C} is removable. Note that given the boundary defines a strictly pseudo convex set according to the above, the normal can be given locally by polynomials ([10]).

Assume M the cylinder web and that $\dim = \dim_{\mathcal{G}}$, that is rank is taken relative the group of movements. For instance $U_S \notin \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}_1$, we have that $\dim_{\mathcal{G}} M = 0$, but M is two dimensional.

Assume U projective and analytic with $|\xi|^2 + |\eta|^2$ finite on $E = \mathbb{C}\Omega$, we then have that $dU = 0$ on E implies $U^\blacktriangle = 0$. When Ω planar $\in \mathcal{O}_{AD}$ ([1]) we have that every single valued and linear u is constant and conversely, that is $U^\blacktriangle = 0$ defines E . When E is closed and $u \in AD(\text{nbhd}E)$, we have that E is removable iff $\Omega \in \mathcal{O}_{AD}$. A global model has a removable polar, this is assumed invariant for algebraic changes of local coordinates.

5.1 Co dimension 1

Assume Γ points where the movement changes character, we then have $U_S = U_S^\perp$ is included in Γ . Assume $dU^\perp = \alpha dU$ and $\tilde{\Gamma} = \{\alpha = \text{const}\}$, Thus, the boundary $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is not oriented with respect to x, y , when $\tilde{\Gamma}$ contains a spiral. The co dimension for V and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the maximal dimension for $f \in C^\infty$ that approximates $\tilde{\Gamma}$, such that $Vf \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$. When dV does not change character, the complement to $\tilde{\Gamma}$ must be connected, that is $0 \neq f, g \in \tilde{\Gamma}^\perp$ implies $g = Vf$. When V is not reduced, $Vf \in L^1$ does not imply $f \in L^1$. Using monotropy, we can define the order for $\tilde{\Gamma}$ as the number of defining (linearly independent) functions. When $dV \in BV$, we can assume $\tilde{\Gamma}$ has co dimension 1.

5.2 Reflexivity

When $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}^\perp$ is assumed very regular, we assume existence of regular approximations, for instance dU_1 with $U_1 \neq U_1^\perp$. Simultaneously, we can have

$\exists j \quad dU_j = 0$ and $U_j \simeq U_j^\perp$. Consider \mathcal{G}^\perp as the completion of \mathcal{G}^* to L^1 . Thus $\exists U \in \mathcal{G}$, such that $U \perp \mathcal{G}^\perp$. Assume $U^\perp = (I - U) + V$ is closed, we then have $U^{\perp\perp} = (I - U)^\perp + V^\perp = U - V + V^\perp$, that is $U^{\perp\perp} - U = V^\perp - V$.

Proposition (Diagonal continuation) 5.1 *Assume U a reflexive, but not projective movement. Then the continuation $U+V$, is diagonal, that is $V^\perp = V$.*

When $-V=I$, we have that $U^\perp = -U$. Given $V = V^\perp$ implies $V=0$, we have that reflexivity implies projectivity. Thus $V = V^\perp \neq 0$ is polar for reflexivity. Existence of $dV \neq 0$ implies existence of non-trivial boundary $\tilde{\Gamma}$, that is polar for projectivity.

Assume $dU_i = \alpha_{ij} dU_j$. Given $\alpha_{ij} \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ , we can define $U_i \prec\prec U_j$, that is inclusion for the corresponding space of integrable functions, for the limes to be an isolate point, the α_{ij} must be regular, that is the concept of co dimension is dependent of the inclusion condition. Leaves are connected components, given U_i continuous. The leaves are assumed defined by movements of the same character and of constant rank.

5.3 Multipliers

Assume $\frac{1}{V} = \frac{x}{y} + \frac{y}{x}$, where $1/V = x^2 + y^2$. Given $\rho = \frac{1}{xy}$, we have that $\rho \frac{1}{M} = \frac{1}{N}$, that is given N exact then M is exact ([13]). Further $1/\rho(x, y) = \rho(\frac{1}{x}, \frac{1}{y})$. Given $\rho^* \hat{N} = \hat{M}$ where $\rho^* = \hat{H}$, where H is Heaviside, we have that $M = H * N$, that is M can be represented with support in a rectangle. Let $R_1(x, y) = (y, x)$ and $R_2(x, y) = (x, -y)$, we then have $\diamond = R_1 R_2$. Note that if $\rho \rightarrow \rho^* = \frac{1}{x^* y^*}$, we have that $\frac{d^2 H}{dx dy} \hat{*} F = \delta_0 \hat{*} F$. Note that $\int_\Omega 1 dx^* dy^*$ finite assumes $\Omega \subset \subset \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n$.

Consider $\langle RT, \varphi \rangle = \langle T, {}^t R \varphi \rangle$, we then have if R restriction, then ${}^t R$ is extension and conversely. Assume $f \in (I)$ implies $f = f_0 + f_0^\perp$, we then have $Rf_0 = f_0$ iff $R^\perp f_0^\perp = f_0^\perp$. Further $(R - I)f_0 = 0$ iff $(R^\perp - I)f_0^\perp = 0$. Further $R^\perp f_0 = 0$ iff $Rf_0^\perp = 0$ that is $R^\perp f = f_0$ and $(R + R^\perp)f = f$. For the spiral, we have that $Uf = U^\perp f$ with $U^\perp f_0 = Uf_0^\perp$, that is given U projective over f_0 , then U^\perp is projective over f_0^\perp .

6 Movements modulo C^∞

6.1 Convexity

When $Xdt = dU$ has positive derivative (one parameter), that is a strictly convex measure, then dU is monotonous and has a division in an ac and a singular part. Consider $f = \beta e^v$ and a set such that $v = 0$ and $\log \beta \leq \epsilon$. Assume $\beta \neq 0$. Consider $f^2 \sim \beta^2 e^v$ that is if $f \sim e^v$, then f can be seen as geometrically radical. Consider $(f_1 + i f_2)^2 \rightarrow \infty$, a sufficient condition is that $1/(\frac{f_1}{f_2} + \frac{f_2}{f_1}) \rightarrow 0$, that is $f_1 \prec\prec f_2$ or $f_2 \prec\prec f_1$, that is one sidedness. Spirals approximate multivalent surfaces, $(U_S - U) \rightarrow 0$. Note that U_S can be seen as monotonous in (s, t) , which does not imply monotonous in s, t .

Assume the movement is considered modulo C^∞ , that is $U^\perp f = 0$ implies $(I - U)f \in C^\infty$. Note that if V is projective, $(V - I)^2 \simeq (V - VI) + (I - VI)$ and in H , we have that $\simeq (I - V)$, that is $(V - I)^2 f \in C^\infty$ iff $(V - I)f \in C^\infty$.

Proposition (The orthogonal is radical) 6.1 Assume $V^\perp f \in C^\infty$ and that V is projective over $f \in H \cap \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$. Then, $(V - I)^2 f \in C^\infty$ iff $(V - I)f \in C^\infty$.

ΣX_j^2 is convex. Assume $dV \simeq dU^2$ and $\Sigma X_j^2 \simeq X_V$. Thus, given dV convex we have that $dV(g) = 0$ implies $(I - V)g = 0$ on compact sets. Note $(U + I)(U - I) = U^2 - I$ (cf. defect indexes). However we do not have dV convex implies V projective. Assume $C = \omega(U)$ points where the movement changes character. Obviously, $\omega(U) \neq \omega(U^2)$. Given g symmetric around 0, we have that $(U - I)g = 0$ iff $(U + I)g = 0$, that is $\omega(U) \simeq \omega(U^2)$. Note that ac is not a radical property, that is dU^2 ac on compact sets, does not imply that dU is ac on compact sets. Assume $dU = 0$ implies $U - \rho(t)I = 0$, with $\frac{d\rho}{dt} \neq 0$, for instance with $U - \rho I \leq \epsilon$. Further, if $(U^2 - I)g = 0$ in isolated points, then $(U - I)g = 0$ can still contain a segment of functions (a positive dimension for the space of eigen vectors). Assume $g \perp dU$ and $\hat{g} \perp dU^\perp$. Given U, U^\perp ac and $U^{\perp\perp} \simeq (I - U^\perp)$ with U reflexive, we get $\|U^\perp g\| = \|U\hat{g}\|$. If further U^\perp is normal, we have that $\|Ug\| = \|U\hat{g}\|$. Given w complete, it is sufficient to put the condition for $\widehat{U \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}} \simeq wx\hat{f}$, where $xw \sim w'$ and $1/w' \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ , that is the condition for $I \prec\prec X(\log f)$.

6.2 Projectivity modulo C^∞

Assume $(dU)^\perp = dV \subset C^\infty$ (point wise topology). Given $V(\varphi) = v * \varphi$, we have that $\frac{d}{dx}V(\varphi) = v * \frac{d\varphi}{dx} = V(\frac{d\varphi}{dx})$. Assume $(J) = \{\varphi \mid \hat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}\}$ since $\hat{\delta}_0 = 1$, we have that over J that δ_0 is algebraic. Given $Uf \in (J)$, we have that $UI = IU$ over (J) . Assume U is very regular, that is $Uf = f - C^\infty$, we then have that $R(U)$ is dense in \mathcal{D}_{L^1} , that is given $f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, $Uf - f \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{D}_{L^1} , as $U \rightarrow I$. Assume $U \in \mathcal{G}_{HE}$ with $UI = IU$ implies $(I - U) \in C^\infty$, then $U(I - U) = (I - U) = 0$ modulo C^∞ , that is $U^2 = U$ modulo C^∞ .

Given U , we can determine a domain, where U is projective and $U^\perp f \in C^\infty$, $\forall f$. Assume $U^\perp f = g \in L^1$, we then have outside the polar that $g \sim U_1 f$ and $dU = \alpha dU_1$, $dU^\perp = \beta dU_1$. Starting from graph norm, there is through Riesz-Thorin a maximal domain for projectivity ([5]).

Given γ regularizing in L^1 , we have that $\{\gamma < \lambda\} \subset \subset \Omega$. Note that over $\Sigma_{V,\lambda} = \{M_V(f) < \lambda\} \subset \subset \Omega$, $\int_{\Sigma_{V,\lambda}} f dV < \lambda \int_\Sigma dx$. Given f_0 very regular, we have that $\{P(\xi)f_0 < \lambda\} \subset \{P(\xi) < \lambda\}$, that is assume Q hypoelliptic, with $f_0 \sim 1/Q$. We then have $|\xi|^\sigma \leq |Q|$ and $0 < \sigma \leq 1$, we have that $\{P/|\xi|^\sigma \leq \lambda\} \subset \{Pf_0 \leq \lambda\} \subset \{P/|\xi|^m \leq \lambda\}$, where m is the degree for Q .

Assume $U + U^\perp = A$ with $A - \delta \in C^\infty$. Given $U \neq U^\perp$, we have that $A(U, U^\perp) - \delta \in C^\infty$. Assume U projective in mean. Given $U \perp V$ (with $U^\perp \sim V$), we have that U is 1-1 in graph norm. Assume $Uf \in \dot{B}$ and $\langle Uf, Vf \rangle = 0$, we then have given $f \in \dot{B}$, ${}^t VU \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$.

Lemma (Reduced movements) 6.2 Consider $U + U^\perp = A$, with $A - \delta \in C^\infty$. Then U is projective (modulo C^∞) outside the kernel to A .

Note that outside the kernel, A is reduced modulo C^∞ and $\ker A \supset \Gamma$. Given U projective modulo C^∞ outside the kernel to A , that is $U + U^\perp - I \subset C^\infty$ and given $U^\perp f \in C^\infty$, we have that $U - \lambda_j I \rightarrow U - \lambda I$ as $0 < \lambda_j \rightarrow \lambda$. We have that, $A = A(U, (1 - U))$ and when $A = 0$, we have that $U = -U^\perp$, that is a spiral domain. Assume U projective on X , that is $A = I$ on X . Assume A

very regular on $X^\perp = X_0$. we then have $A = A(U)$ on X and $A = A(U, U^\perp)$ on X_0 . Thus, the co dimension is dependent on projectivity for U .

6.3 Continuations

Assume instead of projectivity, $U^2\varphi - U\varphi = -U(I - U)\varphi \in C^\infty$. For differential operators, when solutions are considered modulo C^∞ , it is sufficient to consider operators of real type. Let $T_\beta(\varphi) = \int \varphi * \beta = T(\varphi * \beta)$ analytic, where $\varphi * \beta \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ analytic and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}$. Consider $U \sim P(D)f_0 \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$, given that f_0 very regular, we have that $T_\alpha(U\varphi) - UT_\alpha(\varphi) = (U\varphi) * \alpha - \varphi * (U\alpha) \in C^\infty$. Given $U + U^\perp = I$ over X , the equation can be continued to $U + U^\perp = I + W$, with $W \in C^\infty$ over X_0 . When $X \oplus X_0 = L^1$, Consider $X = \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, we then have $\widehat{X}_0 \subset \dot{B}$. Assume that X_0 is defined by $\frac{d^j}{dx^j}\varphi \in L^1$ implies $\frac{d^j}{dx^j}\widehat{\varphi} \in \dot{B}$, $\forall j$, we then have $\widehat{U\varphi} \in \dot{B}$. Assume $U^\perp\widehat{\varphi} = \widehat{U\varphi}$. When $\varphi \in \dot{B}$, then U can be represented in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1} , that is $\sim P(D)f_0$, where f_0 very regular. If we assume P partially hypoelliptic, then the kernel to f_0 can be seen as very regular. Note $\int |dU|$ finite implies finite D -integral. Given $U^\perp\varphi \in \dot{B}$ we have that $\int |dU^\perp|$ locally finite.

Proposition (Implicit projectivity) 6.3 *Assume U is defined by U^\perp , that is over \mathcal{D}_{L^1} $\widehat{Uf} = U^\perp\widehat{f}$. Then, U is projective over \mathcal{D}_{L^1} as long as U^\perp is projective over \dot{B} .*

Sufficient is to note that $\mathcal{D}_{L^1} \subset \dot{B}$. Assume U^\perp projective over \dot{B} and that U is defined through $U + U^\perp = I$ in \dot{B} . Since $\mathcal{D}_{L^1} \subset \dot{B}$, then U must be projective in \mathcal{D}_{L^1} . For projectivity in L^1 , we must assume for instance $(I - U)\varphi \in \dot{B}$.

Note that we assume $UF(\gamma)(\zeta) = F({}^tU\gamma)(\zeta) = F(\gamma)(\zeta_T)$. Given ζ reduced in x , we have $|x|^{1/N} |1/\zeta| \leq C$, that is x of algebraic growth in ζ . When $y = \varphi(x)$, then φ can be chosen as projective, that is a standard complexified situation. Under the condition above, given $U^\perp\gamma \in C^\infty$ and $U^{\perp\perp}\gamma \in C^\infty$ it is sufficient to consider reflexive one parameter movements, to define $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}^\perp$.

Given U analytic, then $dU = 0$ is a closed form and when $dU = 0$ is reduced, the form is exact. Given $I \prec U$ then U preserves compact sub level sets locally and given $I \prec\prec U$, U is locally reduced. When U is reduced, we have existence of U^{-1} . Given $\{U, V\} = 0$ with $\xi, \eta \neq 0$, we have that V can be represented as an invertible distribution. Given $U^2 = I$ then U is involutive and $U \simeq U^{-1}$. Given $U^2 = U$, then U is projective. Given $\varphi \in \dot{B}$ implies $U\varphi \in \dot{B}$, we have that $\exists U^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$. When $U^2 = I$, we have that $\{U, U^{-1}\} = 0$. Assume $UV = I$ we then have $\frac{\delta}{\delta x}UV = V\xi_U + U\xi_V = \frac{\delta}{\delta x}I$. Further $X_V(\xi_U) + X_U(\xi_V) = X_I$.

A condition necessary for hypoelliptic, is that the derivatives are strictly weaker, for instance $\xi, \eta \prec\prec U$. Further when the movement is considered in the phase, given ξ reduced, we have that $e^{1/\xi} - 1 \rightarrow 0$ i ∞ . When ξ linear in x, y , we have that $e^\xi \rightarrow \lambda I$, as $x, y \rightarrow 0$. Given $V \prec\prec U$ and $W \perp U$, then $V \prec\prec W$, that is consider $(I_U)^\perp \subset (I_V)$. Given $\xi_1/\xi \rightarrow 0, \eta_1/\eta \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ , we have $dU_1 \prec\prec dU$ and so on.

6.4 Localization's

Assume $\Phi_1(f) = \xi \frac{\delta f}{\delta x} + \eta \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}$ and assume locally $E_1\Phi_1f = \Phi_1E_1f = f$. Given $E_1(I) \rightarrow (I)_{ac}$ surjective, we have that $\forall g \in (I)_{ac}$, we have existence of $f \in (I)$, such that $E_1(f) = g$. Assume $\{E_1, E_2\} = 0$, where the derivatives are taken

in the weak sense. Assume $E_2(\frac{\delta f}{\delta y}) = E_1(\frac{\delta g}{\delta y})$. We then have $\{E_1, E_2\}(f) = E_1(\frac{\delta f}{\delta x})E_1(\frac{\delta g}{\delta y}) - E_1(\frac{\delta f}{\delta y})E_1(\frac{\delta g}{\delta x})$. Assume $E_1(fg) \sim E_1(f)E_1(g)$, we then have $E_1(\{f, g\}) = 0$. Note that when E_1 has real type, we have that we can choose fg real. When fg polynomial, we have that $E_1(fg) = 0$ implies the kernel to E_1 is $\equiv 0$ on a connected set. When $f, g \in C^\infty$, we have that $I(fg) = I(f)I(g)$. When $E_1 = \delta + \gamma$ very regular with trivial kernel, we can choose γ , such that $f\gamma_f + g\gamma_f = 0$ and $\gamma_{fg} - \gamma_f\gamma_g \in C^\infty$. Thus we have that modulo C^∞ , that $E_1(fg) - E_1(f)E_1(g) = 0$. Given E_1 has non-trivial kernel, we must assume the kernel algebraic, that is $E_1(fg) = 0$ iff $E_1(f) = 0$ or $E_1(g) = 0$.

Assume $E_1(f) = \widehat{T}(f)$ and consider $\int T(f - g)T(g)dg$. Given $f \sim g$ (conjugation) we have $= T(0) \int T(g)dg = T(0)\widehat{T}(0)$, that is given $f \sim g$ with $f, g \in R(E_1)$ is the conjugation corresponding to Φ_1, Φ_2 , we have that $E_1(f)E_1(g) \sim T(0)\widehat{T}(0)$, that is $E_2(f) \sim E_1(g)$ implies $E_1 \sim E_2$ according to $T \rightarrow \widehat{T}$. The equation $\Phi_1 E_1 f \sim f$ is interpreted so that f is a symbol, E_1 is localizer and $\Phi_1 f = 0$ defines a regular approximation, $E_1({}^t\Phi_1 f) \sim E_1(U^{-1}\delta U f)$, such that the condition ${}^t\Phi_1 f = 0$ implies Uf analytic.

Note Φ_1 hypoelliptic does not imply ${}^t\Phi_1$ preserves hypoellipticity (spiral). But given $E_1 f - f \in C^\infty$, we have that ${}^t\Phi_1 E_1 f - f \in C^\infty$. Note that $\Phi \rightarrow {}^t\Phi$ is dependent of algebraicity for ξ, η . When $E_1 \Phi_1 f g = f g$, we have that $E_1(g\Phi_1(f) + f\Phi_1(g)) = \langle E_1, g\Phi_1(f) + f\Phi_1(g) \rangle = \langle I, f g \rangle$, that is given E_1 linear, we can write $\langle E_1, \frac{\Phi_1(f)}{f} + \frac{\Phi_1(g)}{g} \rangle = I = \text{Exp}(0)$. Assume $\widehat{E_1}(\phi) = E_1(f)$, where $f = e^\phi$. Given $\frac{\Phi_1(f)}{f} = \Phi_1(\log f)$, we have that $\langle \widehat{E_1}, \Phi_1 \log f + \Phi_1 \log g \rangle = 0$. Given $\langle E_1, E_2 \rangle = 0$, we have that $E_1 \perp E_2$ implies $E_1^\perp \simeq E_2$. Given E_2 projective, we have that $E_2^\perp \sim I - E_2$.

7 Linear independence

7.1 Desingularization

Note the following problem: $U_1^\perp \gamma = U_2^\perp \gamma$ implies $\gamma = 0$. This depends both on projectivity for U_j , and on the envelop property, that is the property that for any movement $U \in \mathcal{G}$, $U = V^\perp$ for some movement $V \in \mathcal{G}$. Assume that the diagonal $U = U^\perp$ is excluded. and that movements are reflexive, we then have $V \neq U$ on the diagonal.

Given three equations $X_j dy - Y_j dx = 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3$, with integrals u, v, w and $\lambda u + \mu v + \nu w = 0$, where λ, μ, ν are non zero constants. Consider the equations $du = 0, dv = 0$ and $dv - \Phi(u, v)du = 0$. Since $\frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta u} + \frac{\delta \Phi}{\delta v} = 0$ we have $\Phi = \Phi(u - v)$ ([13] Ch. 5, Sats 7). Assume now $dU(f) = \{f, G\}$, for some function G . We thus have $A(G) = X \frac{\delta G}{\delta x} - Y \frac{\delta G}{\delta y} = dU(f)$, given f a Hamiltonian.

Assume $dV = \Phi(U, V)dU$ implies $\Phi(U, V) = \Phi(U - V)$, for $V = U^\perp$. When Φ is a polynomial in U, V with $\Phi(I) = 0$, we have that $\Phi(U - V) = 0$ implies $U - I \sim V$ locally. Thus, $\int dV = \int \frac{dV}{dU} dU = \int \Phi(U - V)dU$. When U increasing implies V decreasing, we have that $\frac{dV}{dU} < 0$ implies $\{U \leq V\}$ compact. Assume $W = U^\perp - U$ and that $\{U \leq U^\perp\} = \{W \geq 0\}$ is compact. Given $R(W) \subset C^\infty$, we can assume $W(fg) \sim_0 W(f)W(g)$, that is W is essentially algebraic.

7.2 Factorization

Consider systems where the radical is isothermal. Consider $dU = X(f)dt = \frac{1}{M}dt$. For instance $Y/X \sim -\xi/\eta$. When X, Y are real, we can compare with the condition of finite D-integral, that is normal surfaces. Consider a system where (X^v, Y^v) real and with finite D-integral for some v , that is the radical to the system defines a normal surface. The corresponding $M^{1/v}$ is integrable, when M is integrable.

Assume $T^\perp = U$ analytic on Ξ and T analytic on Ω . Consider $\Xi \cup \Omega$. Let $T - U = S$ on a domain Π where $S = 0$, that is $T = U$ over Π , that is $T^\perp \sim T$ over Π , for instance a spiral. Given $g = Qf$, for some analytic movement Q and $Tf + Ug = Sf$, we assume Q (interpolation) preserves analyticity $\Xi \rightarrow \Xi$. Thus, we have existence of a continuous (ramifier), such that $Qf = g \rightarrow f$, as $Q \rightarrow I$.

7.3 Multipliers

Concerning the concept of dimension, note that through the condition on very regular boundary, we have existence of U_j analytic over some domain Ω_j . Conversely, assume every subset $\subset \Omega$ is a domain for analyticity for some movement U_k (or several), that is the domain can be generated by an analytic movement in \mathcal{G} .

Assume $1/M \sim X\eta - \xi Y$. Given $-\eta/\xi \sim Y^*/X^*$, we have that $M = 0$ iff $D(f) = \infty$. Given $M = \rho N$ with ρ regular, we have simultaneously $\frac{1}{N} = \rho \frac{1}{M}$. Simultaneously, given $M = \infty$ implies $D = 0$. Consider X_{U_S} factorized over $\Phi = (X, Y) \rightarrow (X^*, Y^*) = \Phi^*$, where Φ, Φ^* are assumed in involution. Note that to determine $X_{U_S} = 0$, it is sufficient to consider $\Phi = \text{const}, \Phi^* = \text{const}$. The spiral closure that we are considering is given by $X_{U_S} = \text{const}$. Consider $d\Psi : dU \rightarrow dU^\perp$. Given absolute continuity, we have that $dU = 0$ is mapped on $dU^\perp = 0$, that is closed forms are mapped on to closed forms. Given $d^2U = 0$ implies $dU = cdt$ where the right hand side does not contribute to the integral, the movement is densely defined. The condition $d^2U = 0 \rightarrow d^2U^\perp = 0$ can be compared with $\delta X = 0$, that is U harmonic implies U^\perp harmonic.

8 Symmetry

Concerning the maximum principle; δ_0 reflects the support through 0, that is $TI = IT$ implies that T has support in a ball formally. Assume R_1 reflection through the x-axle and R_2 reflection through the y-axle ([3] the two mirror model). We then have $\delta_0 = R_1 R_2$. Given $TR_j = R_j T$ $j = 1, 2$ and $R_1 R_2 = R_2 R_1$, then T has support in a ball. Assume ${}^t R_1 = R_2$ and $TR_1 = R_2 T$, we then have $TR_1 R_2 = R_1 T R_1 = R_1 R_2 T$, that is an algebraic relation. Given scaling orientation, note that symmetry as $B \rightarrow 0$ does not imply symmetry $B \rightarrow \infty$. Note that when $T(x, x^*)$ symmetric, with $x^* \simeq 1/x$, we have that T is symmetric as $B \rightarrow 0$ and as $B \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider $dF - dL = 0$, where L linear. Given $(F - L)$ ac, we have that F is linear. Assume $dy/dx = \rho(t)$. We then have $\frac{df}{dt} = pX + qY = (p + q\rho)X$. Further $\int \frac{dx}{dt} \frac{\delta f}{\delta x} dt = - \int XY dt$, that is given the right hand side $= 0$, we have

that $X \perp Y$. Further, when $X \perp Y$ implies $X^* \perp Y^*$, we have that $\xi \perp \eta$ gives an orthogonal base. Given f^\diamond harmonic or f linear, we have that $\frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x \delta y} = \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y \delta x}$.

8.1 Symmetric transformations

Assume $f = \beta e^\alpha$, we then have $\frac{\delta}{\delta x} f / \frac{\delta}{\delta y} f = \frac{\frac{\delta}{\delta x} \log \beta + \frac{\delta \alpha}{\delta x}}{\frac{\delta}{\delta y} \log \beta + \frac{\delta \alpha}{\delta y}}$. Given $\frac{\delta \alpha}{\delta x} = \frac{\delta \alpha}{\delta y}$, we have that over a contractible domain that $\frac{dy}{dx} = 1$. Where α is symmetric, we have that $\log \beta$ is symmetric. When we are discussing a domain of symmetry $\{\log \beta < \lambda\} \subset \subset \Omega$, we regard projectivity $x \rightarrow y$ as necessary. Given $y = y(x)$ linear and $x \rightarrow y$ projective, We have that $\log \beta$ is symmetric.

U is radical if $U(\xi, \eta) \sim (\xi, \eta)$, that is $dU\xi = d\xi, dU\eta = d\eta$. Note that given $X_U(\xi) = X_U(\eta) = 0$, we have $X_U(f) = 0$. Assume $Wf \sim \rho f$ in L^1 . Given $dU = dU_1 W = 0$, we have that $\frac{\delta \rho}{\delta x} / \frac{\delta \rho}{\delta y} = \text{const}$, that is ρ is symmetric in x, y . Assume $\{\rho, f\} = X(f)$, then we have that $\langle (\xi, \eta), (-Y, X) \rangle = 0$ iff $\langle \rho, (\frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta x^2}, \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta y^2}) \rangle = \int \rho \Delta F dx dy = \int X_2(F) = 0$. Thus, given $X_2(F) = 0$, we have that WF is harmonic. When $\{\rho < \lambda\} \subset \subset \Omega$, then W defines a closed movement where it is defined. When $dU^\perp = \alpha dU$, where α is written in u_1, u_2 , the sub level sets to α over Γ obviously have cluster sets, that is are unbounded.

Assume $\frac{\alpha \xi}{\alpha \eta} \sim \frac{0}{0}$. Let $\rho = \xi/\eta$, we then have $\frac{\frac{d}{dt}(\alpha \xi)}{\frac{d}{dt}(\alpha \eta)} \sim \frac{\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} \rho + \frac{\xi'}{\xi} \rho}{\frac{\alpha'}{\alpha} + \frac{\eta'}{\eta}}$. Assume $\xi \rightarrow {}^t \xi$ preserves character, we then have $\langle X(f), \varphi \rangle = \langle f, \xi_x \varphi + \eta_y \varphi \rangle + \langle f, X(\varphi) \rangle$. Sufficient for this is that $f \perp (\xi_x + \eta_y) \varphi$. Assume $\frac{\delta G}{\delta y} = -\xi, \frac{\delta G}{\delta x} = \eta$, then the condition is $-\frac{\delta^2 G}{\delta x \delta y} + \frac{\delta^2 G}{\delta y \delta x} = 0$, which is the case when G is linear in x, y or symmetric.

Given $\{\phi, \psi\} = 0$, we have that symmetry for ϕ implies symmetry for ψ . Assume $\phi = U^\perp \psi$ and ϕ does not change sign on connected components, for instance the boundary does not contain connected components, we then have $\{\phi^2, \psi\} = 0$ implies $\{\phi, \psi\} = 0$. In particular if ϕ^2 is symmetric then ψ is symmetric.

Lemma (Symmetry is radical) 8.1 *Assume $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ does not change sign on connected components, then relative involution, symmetry for ϕ is a radical property.*

Assume $dU = \alpha dU_1$. Assume $\frac{d^2}{dt^2} U = \frac{d\alpha}{dt} \frac{d^2 U_1}{dt^2} + \alpha \frac{dU_1}{dt} > 0$, that is $\frac{d}{dt} \log \alpha > -\frac{d}{dt} \log \frac{d}{dt} U_1$. Given $(\xi_1, \eta_1) \sim \text{const}$, we have that $\frac{d}{dt} \alpha > 0$, that is $\log \alpha$ is monotonous. Note that given $z \in \text{supp } E_1 = \Omega$, we then have if we assume $\Omega = \log S$, where S is formally a ball, then $e^z \in S$. U considered over S is algebraic. Note that if $X_j = dU_j$ is a reduced measure and $\Phi_j = X(f_j)$, we have that $\Phi_1 = \Phi_2$ implies $f_1 = f_2$ (relative the topology for f).

8.2 Symmetry relative parameters

$U \in \text{center } \mathcal{G}$ implies $UV = VU, \forall V \in \mathcal{G}$, this means that if $I \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that $UI = IU$. Assume $dU = \rho dU_1, dU^\perp = \vartheta dU_2$ and $F(dU_1, dU_2) = F(dU_2, dU_1)$. We then have $F(dU, dU^\perp) = F(\rho dU_1, \vartheta dU_2)$. Assume $= F(\vartheta \rho dU_1, dU_2) = F(\rho \vartheta dU_2, dU_1)$. Then F is symmetric with respect to dU, dU^\perp .

Assume $F(u_1, u_2)$ symmetric through $\frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta u_1 \delta u_2} = \frac{\delta^2 F}{\delta u_2 \delta u_1}$. Since $\frac{\delta F}{\delta u_1} \equiv 0$ implies the symmetry condition, we see that one parameter movements satisfy

the symmetry condition. Assume $\frac{\delta F}{\delta u_1} = -\tilde{Y}, \frac{\delta F}{\delta u_2} = \tilde{X}$. The regularity conditions can be given in the parameters to $u_j, j = 1, 2$. Assume $\tilde{F} \sim_m F$ (monotropy [2]), where \tilde{F} has compact translation and $\int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} d\tilde{F} = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}} dF = 0$, where $\tilde{\Gamma}$ is the closed curve that corresponds to the consequent of Γ . We then have that F is almost periodic. Assume $dU = \alpha dU_1$ and $dU^\perp = \beta dU_2$. When $\langle \alpha dU_1, \beta dU_2 \rangle = 0$, given α, β algebraic (removable) we have that $\langle dU, dU^\perp \rangle = 0$. Assume $\alpha = e^\phi$ and $X(\phi) = 0$, that is U analytic in the phase. We then have $X(\alpha f) = X(\alpha)f + \alpha X(f) = X(\phi)(\alpha f) + \alpha X(f)$, that is $X(\alpha f) = \alpha X(f)$.

8.3 Projectivity

Assume $\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{G}^\perp$ very regular. Assume $dU = \alpha dU_1$. Given $(I) \subset (I_1)$, we have that $1/\alpha \rightarrow 0$ i ∞ . In this case U is not projective, when U_1 projective. Assume $(I) \oplus (I^\perp) = (I_1) \oplus (I_2)$, Given $(I) \subset (I_1)$ we must have $(I_2) \subset (I^\perp)$. Assume $\frac{dU^\perp}{dU_2} = \beta^\perp$. Given $dU_1 + dU_2 = (1 + \gamma)dU_1 = dx$, we have that $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ . Given $dU + dU^\perp = \alpha dU_1 + \beta^\perp dU_2 = (\alpha + \beta^\perp \gamma)dU_1 = dx$, we have that $\beta^\perp \sim (1 - \alpha)/\gamma$ in ∞ . When β^\perp is further bounded, we have that $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ in ∞ ! Given $\{U, U^\perp\} = 0$ we have $\xi \eta^\perp - \eta \xi^\perp = 0$. Assume $\xi^\perp = 1/\xi, \eta^\perp = 1/\eta$. Thus, we have that $(\xi + 1/\xi)/(\eta + 1/\eta) \sim 0/0$, when $\xi, \eta \rightarrow 0, \infty$. When $U + U^\perp$ is harmonic, $(\xi + \xi^\perp)_y/(\eta + \eta^\perp)_x = 1$, we thus have $(\xi + \xi^\perp)/(\eta + \eta^\perp) \rightarrow 1$. Assume in particular $\frac{\delta U^\perp}{\delta y} = \frac{\delta U}{\delta x}, \frac{\delta U^\perp}{\delta x} = -\frac{\delta U}{\delta y}$ that is $\xi^2 + \eta^2 = 0$. We then have $\Delta U = \frac{\delta^2 U^\perp}{\delta x \delta y} - \frac{\delta^2 U^\perp}{\delta y \delta x}$. Thus, U is harmonic iff U^\perp is symmetric.

Consider $f(\zeta_T) = F(\gamma_T)(\zeta)$. Given that U can be separated over f , we have not necessarily simultaneously separability over ζ , $(f(\zeta_T) + f(\zeta_S))' = f'(\frac{d\zeta_T}{dT} + \frac{d\zeta_S}{dS})$. Given $U_T + U_S$ projective, we have that not necessarily $f(\zeta_T) + f(\zeta_S) \rightarrow \{\zeta_T\} \cup \{\zeta_S\}$ continuous. On a contractible domain, where w, w^\diamond are harmonic, we have that $w = df + d\bar{g}$ with f, g analytic. Given $dw = dU + dU^\perp$, where the terms are harmonic, when $d w$ is exact, then the movements can be chosen analytic. Given $(dU, dU^\perp) \simeq (dU, 0)$, that is the domain is a translation domain, then the distributional contribution can be seen as negligible. Assume $dU = \alpha dU_1$. When dU is locally reduced, we can choose $d\alpha \neq 0$ outside the boundary.

9 Conjugation using the Fourier transform

9.1 Orthogonal in the mean

Note ([14]) that $(\hat{B})'$ is nuclear but not $(\mathcal{D}_{L^1})'$. When $\phi \in L^1$ implies $W\phi \in L^1$, we have that $W^\perp \hat{\phi} \rightarrow 0$, with $\hat{\phi} \in \hat{B}$, that is W^\perp has nuclear representation. When W^\perp is projective, then $W^\perp = I - W$ is nuclear.

Consider a subset of ϕ such that W^\perp projective over $\hat{\phi}$, say A . When we have that $W^\perp = I - W$ over A , then W is nuclear over A . Assume $A \oplus B = L^1$. Assume $(dW^\perp)^\perp$ is given by dV . Assume dV is not closed, but $|dV|^2$ closed. Let \tilde{B} be a domain for $|dV(\varphi)|^2$. Given the domain for V^2 is B and $|dV^2(\varphi)| \leq |dV(\varphi)|^2$, we assume the difference is a zero-function.

Lemma (Duality in the mean) 9.1 Assume existence of $V \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $M(Vf) = \widehat{\frac{df}{dx}}$ in \mathcal{D}_{L^1} . Then $U \rightarrow V$ satisfies $U^\perp M = MVUV^{-1}$.

Solutions to partially differential equations constitute a closed subset of \mathcal{D}' , that is $\Phi_1 E_1 = \delta$ permits annihilators to Φ_1 , that is $(dU)^\perp \sim dV$. Sufficient to determine the character of the movement, is to consider action in the phase. Assume for this reason $(I) = \{f \mid \log f \in L^1\}$. Assume $\log f = \phi$ and $W\phi \in L^1$. Starting from $\langle \hat{I}(W\phi), \varphi \rangle = 0$ iff $\langle W\phi, \hat{\varphi} \rangle = 0$. Assume $L^1 = R(W) \oplus A$. Define $(I)^\perp = \{\varphi \mid \hat{\varphi} \in A\}$. Given W projective, $W^\perp = (I - W)$. Thus, we have existence of V on $R(W)^\perp$, such that $\forall \phi \in D(W)$, there is a $\varphi \in (I)^\perp$, such that $(I - W)\phi = V\hat{\varphi}$. In the same manner $\langle W\phi, V\hat{\varphi} \rangle = 0 = \langle W^\perp \hat{\phi}, V^\perp \varphi \rangle$ and given that V or W projective, we have that $W^\perp \simeq V$. Assume existence of G , such that $W\phi = \{G, \phi\}$, we then have $\{F(G), \phi\} = \frac{dF}{dG}\{G, \phi\}$, where we assume $\frac{dF}{dG}$ regular.

10 Projectivity in graph norm

10.1 Completion

Consider $Uf \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ and $U^\perp \hat{f} \in \dot{B}$ completed to L^1 . We then have $\|Uf - U^\perp \hat{f}\|^2 \sim \|Uf\|^2 + \|U^\perp \hat{f}\|^2 - 2\langle Uf, U^\perp \hat{f} \rangle$. Further, $|\langle Uf, U^\perp \hat{f} \rangle| \leq \|Uf\| \|U^\perp \hat{f}\|$. Assume $dU^\perp \in L^1(dU)$, that is dU^\perp/dU has isolated singularities. Consider $W = (U, U^\perp)$, given $U^{\perp\perp} \simeq U$, we have that $W^\perp \simeq W$. When W is considered as a two parameter movement, we have that dW is reduced implies $dU^\perp \prec dU$. Note that if U is one parameter, we have that U^\perp is not necessarily one parameter. When $dU^\perp = \alpha dU$ with $\alpha \in L^1(dU)$ this means that $\log \alpha \in L^1$ iff $\log \frac{1}{\alpha} \in L^1$.

Note that relative $\langle f, \hat{g} \rangle = 0$, given $Uf \perp U^\perp \hat{g}$ implies $U^\perp \hat{g} = 0$, then projectivity for U^\perp is sufficient for symplecticity. Note that when $U^\perp = I - U$, we have that U^\perp has isolated zeros where U have algebraic zeros.

We assume $T\Sigma = \{\Delta U = 0\}$, that is the set where U is harmonic. Assume $\widehat{x^j f} \rightarrow 0$ implies $|x^j f + \rho| \leq c$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$. Modulo C^∞ we can assume f of real type, that is $|\rho| \leq \epsilon$. Thus, $x^j f \sim 0$ in a neighborhood of x_0 and $|f| \leq c/|x|^j$, that is modulo zero sets, we have that $f \in B_{pp}$ if $\hat{f} \in B_{pp}$. We assume $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ iff $U^\perp \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$, given $T\Sigma = (T\Sigma) \cap (T\Sigma^\perp) \mid_{\mathcal{L}}$ ([5]).

Given $X_j^t X_j \sim X_V \sim {}^t X_j X_j$, we have defined a normal operator. When $X_V \sim (F, M)$ ([5]), we have further a normal model over the set where $\frac{x}{y} \rightarrow \frac{y}{x}$ projective. Over this set we have that $V = V^\perp$, that is the symmetry set defines a spiral domain. Over Γ , we have that obviously X_V defines a normal operator. However, we have that dV is not BV, that is we do not have a determined tangent. Thus, given X_j according to the above, the condition that a non-trivial M is not symmetric is necessary for a normal model with determined tangent.

10.2 A normal model

Assume $\log f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ with $\frac{d}{dx} \log f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$. Given $f = \vartheta \frac{df}{dx}$, we have that when $\frac{1}{\vartheta} \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$, $1/\vartheta \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ . Given f locally polynomial, we have that $\frac{df}{dx} \prec f$, that is $\{\log \frac{df}{dx} < \lambda\} \supset \{\log f < \lambda\} \simeq \{\log \frac{df}{dx} + \log \vartheta < \lambda\}$, that is ϑ can be chosen as mollifier. For instance $\Omega_\epsilon = \{\log \frac{1}{\vartheta} > \epsilon\}$ and $\log f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$ can be approximated with H , where $\Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega_0$.

Assume dV, dV^\perp BV measures such that $dV \perp dV^\perp$ implies $\Gamma = \{0\}$. This is regarded as a normal model. When V is a normal operator, the model is independent of orientation. Given U a normal operator, we have that $\Gamma' = \{U^{\perp\perp} = U\} \subset \Gamma$. Given $(UU^\perp)^\perp \perp UU^\perp$, we must have $\Gamma' = \{0\}$, that is $U \perp U^{\perp\perp}$.

10.3 Projectivity

Given $f \rightarrow \|f\|_G$, locally 1-1, then (U, U^\perp) can be considered as projective on the range and the dimension for $f \in (I)$ is preserved. Assume $(Uf)^\perp \simeq \{Vf\}$ and $U^\perp f \subset \{Vf\}$. Given (U, U^\perp) is projective, we can write $V = (I - U)$. Given $U + U^{-1} \equiv I$, we have that (U, U^2) is projective.

Sufficient for a desingularization is that $I \prec\prec dU$, that is invariant sets are zero sets. Note that dU preserves compact sub level sets locally. Given U, U^\perp ac, then $dU = dU^\perp = 0$ implies that $U^\perp = U^{\perp\perp}$ (U is then not projective) that is diagonal. This means that $f \equiv 0$ (polar). Assume $dU^\perp = \alpha dU$, where U is defined through U^\perp . The condition $\frac{d}{dt}(U, U^\perp)f \neq 0$ does not exclude Γ . As long as U monotonous, a sufficient condition for $\Gamma = \emptyset$, is that $\text{sgn} \alpha$ is negative. Assume U projective, in the sense that $U^\perp = (I - U)$, then $UI = IU$ implies $\Gamma = \{0\}$.

11 Representation using reduced measures

11.1 Dependence of parameter

Assume U a one parameter movement and $Uf = \int fX(t)dt$, where t is a real parameter. Assume $\frac{dy}{dx} = \rho(t)$. Thus $X(f) = (\xi + \eta/\rho)\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}$. If $\vartheta = \frac{dx}{dt}$, we have that $X(t) = (\xi + \eta/\rho)\frac{1}{\vartheta}\frac{df}{dt}$, which is a differential operator in a real parameter. Thus, $X(t)dt$ gives a reduced measure. Assume X, X^\perp define one parameter movements and $X^\perp = \alpha X$. Linear independence means that, given X is projective, that $X = X^\perp$ implies $s = t = 0$. Otherwise, we have existence of t_0 , such that $X(t_0) = X^\perp(t_0)$ through the boundary conditions.

Lemma (Reduced measures) 11.1 *Any one-parameter sequential movement U , where dU is BV, can be represented as a locally reduced measure outside the polar.*

Note that given W a two parameter movement, such that dW BV and W^N is reduced, then W^N can be written as one parameter. Assume $\frac{\delta U}{\delta x} = \xi$, $\frac{\delta}{\delta x}U^2 = 2U\frac{\delta U}{\delta x} = 2U\xi \sim 2\xi'$, that is we assume that U preserves bi characteristics. Given $(\xi, \eta)^\perp(-Y, X)$, we have that $U^2 \simeq U$.

11.2 Relative almost periodicity

Assume $U * \alpha(f) = U(\beta * f)$, with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{D}$. Given $f \in B_{pp}$, we have that $M(f * \beta) = M(f)$. When $\alpha \rightarrow \delta$, given $\int f * \beta dU = \int f dU$, can be seen as f pp relative dU. Given $UI = IU$, we have that when $\beta \rightarrow I$, $Uf * \alpha \rightarrow UIf$. Note that I can be represented through translation over \mathcal{D}'_{L^1} .

Consider $e^{i\lambda x}\hat{f} \sim U^\perp\hat{f}$ and $Uf \sim f_t$, then almost periodicity means that U is normal somewhere. Assume $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$ close to the boundary with $\{Uf\} \subset \subset$

$\Omega = nbhd\Gamma$. Through the condition on very regular boundary, there is $W^\perp \in \mathcal{G}$, $W \neq U$, analytic close to the boundary. Assume further $R(W^\perp) \subset C^\infty$. Thus, if $dUW = 0$, we have when the movement is considered modulo C^∞ , that $A = I - W^\perp U^\perp = 0$. Since $W^\perp U^\perp$ is compact, we have $N(A) = \{0\}$, that is dUW is projective on its range close to the boundary. Given $Uf \in L^1$, as $U \rightarrow I$, we have that $U^* \hat{f} \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ , when U^* is completed to U^\perp with preimage in L^1 , we have that $U^\perp \hat{f} \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ , but since \hat{B} is not reflexive (cf. \mathcal{D}_{L^1}), it does not follow that $U^{\perp\perp} \hat{f} \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ .

Given f pp and $\hat{f} \in B$, we have that \hat{f} pp. In this case, there is a set G where $\sup |U_1 \hat{f}|$ is reached, that is we have a maximum principle through G . Close to Γ , G can be associated to general U . When W is analytic, we have a regular approximation of G . Assume $W = V^\perp$, where $VV^\perp = V^\perp V$ with $V \neq V^\perp$. Given W is reflexive, we have that V is normal implies W is normal. We can in this manner construct a normal system through $(W, W^\perp) \rightarrow (W, V) \rightarrow (V^\perp, V)$.

11.3 Algebraicity

Assume $Uf = e^{V\phi}$ a one parameter movement and U_S a spiral approximation of U , such that $U_S f = \beta e^{V\phi}$. Consider U, V harmonic. Then $\log M$ is convex, where M is the maximum of U over an interval. Algebraicity for U means $U \simeq V$.

Note $\int d\hat{U} \hat{f} = \int \xi \frac{d\hat{f}}{dx} + \eta \frac{d\hat{f}}{dy}$. Thus, the closed property, exactness, the reduced property for the measure that defines U , is dependent of the regularity for ξ, η . Given ξ, η algebraic and Ω of positive dimension, then we have that $\int_\Omega d\hat{U}(f) = 0$ implies $\int_\Omega d\hat{f} = 0$, that is the movement preserves pseudo convexity. The measure that is defined by $\xi dx - \eta dy$ can be considered as reduced, if $\frac{1}{\xi}, \frac{1}{\eta} \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ , that is $I \prec\prec U$.

Assume dU^N reduced, which implies dU BV. Assume $dU^\perp \simeq g^* dx^*$, where g^* polynomial. Given g is reduced, there is $g \rightarrow 1/g$ projective. As long as the completion of g^* is algebraic, we can choose dU^\perp as BV.

12 Spiral approximations

Assume $U_S \rightarrow U$ where U_S has support in the polar and that $\int |dU| < \infty$, that is the complement to the polar has finite D-integral, then the spiral closure can be determined. Determination of spiral closures is a partially unsolved problem, given the complement to the range has infinite D-integral. Given $\langle U_S f, U_S \hat{g} \rangle \simeq \langle U_S^2 f, \hat{g} \rangle$, when $U_S^2 \rightarrow U$, then U has two possible limits.

Assume $(\sqrt{U_1} + i\sqrt{U_2})^2 = U_1 - U_2 + 2i\sqrt{U_1 U_2}$. Assume for instance $U_1 \varphi \in L^1 \cap L^2$. Consider the change of variables $\psi : (x, y) \rightarrow (u, v)$ (sequential movements). When u is fixed, the spiral approximates a circle in a neighborhood of $u = \text{const}$. When v is fixed, the spiral approximates a line in a neighborhood of $v = \text{const}$. Assume $\tilde{F}(u, v) = F \circ \psi(x, y)$ and $d\tilde{F} = \alpha dF$, where α is regular outside $u = v$, that is given $dF(x, y)$ regular, we assume $d\tilde{F}(u, v)$ very regular.

12.1 A separation property

Concerning iteration $X^2(f) = \xi^2 \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x^2} + \eta^2 \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y^2} + X(\xi) \frac{\delta f}{\delta x} + X(\eta) \frac{\delta f}{\delta y} + \xi \eta (\frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x \delta y} + \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y \delta x})$. Given X corresponds to U , such that $X(\xi) = X(\eta) = 0$ and $\frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x \delta y} = -\frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y \delta x}$, we have that $X^2 = \xi^2 \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x^2} + \eta^2 \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y^2}$. Given $X^2 = 0$, we have that in this case $\frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x^2} / \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y^2} = -\eta^2 / \xi^2$. Note that for instance ξ^2 polynomial or real does not imply the same property for ξ . Assume $\frac{\delta g}{\delta x} = \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x^2}$ and in the same manner for $\delta / \delta y$. Let $X''(g) = \xi^2 \frac{\delta g}{\delta x} + \eta^2 \frac{\delta g}{\delta y}$ and $X'(f) = X(\xi) \frac{\delta f}{\delta x} + X(\eta) \frac{\delta f}{\delta y}$. Choose h such that $\frac{\delta h}{\delta x} = \frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta x \delta y}$ and $\frac{\delta h}{\delta y} = -\frac{\delta^2 f}{\delta y \delta x}$. For this reason choose h symmetric, that is such that $\frac{\delta h}{\delta x} = \frac{\delta h}{\delta y}$. We can then solve $X^2(f) = Y(h)$ through $X''(g) + X'(f) = Y(h)$.

Assume $\frac{\delta Z}{\delta x} = X(\xi)$ and $\frac{\delta Z}{\delta y} = X(\eta)$. We then have, when $X(f) = 0$, that the condition $X' = 0$, is equivalent with $\xi X(\eta) - \eta X(\xi) = 0$. For $X'' = 0$, we have to assume $\eta^2 / \xi^2 \simeq \eta / \xi$ over g .

Proposition (A separation property) 12.1 *Assume existence of h such that $X^2(f) = Y(h)$, such that Y is exact over h . Then there is g such that $Y(h) = X'(f) + X''(g)$. When $X(\eta) / X(\xi) \simeq \eta^2 / \xi^2 \simeq \eta / \xi$, the movements to the right hand terms can be chosen analytic.*

Consider the extended domain in $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, \frac{y}{x}; y, \frac{x}{y})$. Assume $\frac{y}{x} \rightarrow \frac{x}{y}$ projective with $\frac{dy}{dx} \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{dx}{dy} \neq 0$ that is $y(x) \rightarrow x(y)$ regular. Given f holomorphic, we have that $\min f = \max 1/f$. In the extended plane we assume minimum (maximal domain) are symmetry points, that is $\Omega \ni (\frac{x}{y}, \frac{y}{x})$ iff $(\frac{y}{x}, \frac{x}{y}) \in \Omega$. Given a maximum principle, there is no point outside the symmetry set, where minimum (spiral) is reached. Note that symmetry implies $(u, v) \rightarrow (v, u)$ projective on the domain. Note that if we consider f modulo C^∞ with $f = {}^t f$, then the symmetry property is radical ([4]),

12.2 The parameter space

Consider $(Ug, U^\perp g) = g(U, U^\perp)$. Assume for the iterated symbol, $g_N(U, U^\perp) = 0$ implies $s = t = 0$, but we can have $g(U, U^\perp) = 0$, as $s, t \rightarrow \infty$.

Lemma (The spiral in cylindrical parameters) 12.2 *Assume $(x, y) \rightarrow (u_1, u_2)$ regular, then the equation for the spiral can be written as $dU_1(f)(u_1, u_2)$.*

We consider $U_1 \gamma = \gamma(u_1, 0)$ and $U_2 \gamma = \gamma(0, u_2)$. Further, given $dy/dx = \rho$ and ξ', η' are coefficients to $Y(f)$ according to the above, we then have that the coefficients to ξ, η in $X(f)$ are given by $\xi = \xi' \frac{\delta x}{\delta u_1} + \eta' \frac{\delta x}{\delta u_2}$ and $\eta = \rho \xi'$.

Assume $Y(f) = \xi'(u_1, u_2) \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_1} + \eta'(u_1, u_2) \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_2}$. Given the movements in \mathcal{G} are taken in sequence, we have that $Y(f) = 0$. In particular given $f \equiv \text{const}$ over a spiral axes, we have that $Y(f) = 0$ over the spiral. The condition $f = \hat{f}$ on Σ depends of the topology. Note $\log(\text{regel}) \simeq \text{translation}$ ([6]) is not necessarily unique, that is $dU^\perp = \rho dU_1$ does not uniquely determine U^\perp outside the boundary.

12.3 The spiral as a measure zero set

Note that when U^{-1} is projective, we have that $U^{-1}dV = 0$ implies $dV = 0$, that is closed forms are mapped on closed forms, which is necessary for a normal model. When $Uf(x) = f({}^tUx)$ and $U \simeq {}^tU$ the condition is that $d^tUx = dx$. For instance when $U \simeq {}^tU$ and U is translation, we have that $f(x)+c \simeq f(x+\eta)$, with $\frac{d\eta}{dx} = 0$ and given η ac, we have that $\eta = \text{const}$. Note that if $UU^\perp = 0$ and $U^2 \simeq U$ (projectivity), we have that $(U + U^\perp)(U - U^\perp) \simeq U - U^\perp = I$. Note that if $U^\perp = (U - I)$, we have that $U^\perp U \simeq U - U^2 \simeq 0$.

Given convexity we have that $f(1 - \frac{dy}{dx}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(f(x) + f(y))$. Given $\frac{dy}{dx}$ is projective, $f(\frac{dy}{dx}^\perp) \leq f(x) - f(y)$. In particular when $dU^\perp \perp dU$, $f(dU^\perp) \leq f(I) - f(U)$ and for instance $f((1 - \alpha)dU) \leq f(U) - f(U^\perp)$ with $dU \neq 0$ and $dU^\perp = \alpha dU$.

Assume that we have existence of G , such that $\int \frac{dG(\gamma)}{d\gamma} d\gamma \leq M(U\gamma) - M(U^\perp\gamma)$. Note $\{G(\gamma), \gamma\} = \frac{dG}{d\gamma}\{\gamma, \gamma\} \equiv 0$ is independent of γ , Thus, $(I_S) \subset (I_G)$, that is given G defines a measure and γ a zero function to G , we have that the spiral defines zero functions. When the right hand terms are analytic, G is however not necessarily analytic.

Lemma (The spiral as zero sets to a measure) 12.3 *Assume existence of G such that $\int \frac{dG(\gamma)}{d\gamma} d\gamma \leq M(U\gamma) - M(U^\perp\gamma)$. Given that G defines a measure, the spiral is in the zero set corresponding to G .*

Given $N(I_G)$ not removable, we have that the same holds for $N(I_S)$. Assume $\dim U \leq \dim U^\perp + \dim G$. Given $G\gamma = 0$ implies $\gamma \in (I_S)$, we have that (I_S) is maximal in the sense that there is not a continuation of U_S , that is “maximal spiral rank”. Assume $(I - G)$ analytic on compact sets, then the dimension outside the boundary, that is over regular points, is locally given by analytic functions. However given (I_S) is defined through $G\gamma = 0$, where U projective, then G is not projective. (I_S) can be seen as a subset of the complement to an analytic set.

Assume $Y/X \sim_0 0/0$ implies that $Y_x/X_y \neq \text{const}$ (vorticity), that is we assume that the movement does not change character and orientation simultaneously close to the boundary. Assume the movement is one parameter and U_1 is locally reduced, that is $U_1 f = 0$ implies $f = 0$, we then have $\delta U/\delta t \neq 0$. The condition dU is of order 0, implies $\delta U/\delta U_1, \delta U/\delta U_2$ constant close to the boundary Γ . We thus assume that a neighborhood of the boundary can be generated by translation and rotation.

Assume $\delta U/\delta U_1 = \alpha, \delta U/\delta U_2 = \beta$ constants close to the boundary. We then have $\Delta_{u_1, u_2} U = 0$, that is we can assume that close to the boundary, that U is harmonic relative u_1, u_2 , why the boundary is of order 0. Further, $\frac{\delta^2}{\delta u_1 \delta u_2} U^\perp = \frac{\delta^2}{\delta u_2 \delta u_1} U^\perp$ that is U^\perp is “symmetric” close to the boundary. In the same manner, assume $dU = \alpha dU_1$ where α linear i 1,x,y. we then have $\frac{\delta^2 \alpha}{\delta x \delta y} = \frac{\delta^2 \alpha}{\delta y \delta x}$ that is α is symmetric i x, y .

12.4 The mean spiral

Consider $M(dU) \leq M(U) - M(I)$, Given U projective and ac we have that the left hand side \sim_0 the right hand side. Further, $M(dU) - M(dU^\perp) \leq M(U) -$

$M(U^\perp)$, given $dU - dU^\perp = dI$. Thus, $M(dI) = I \leq M(U - U^\perp)$, that is given dU projective (in the mean) there is not space for a spiral.

Lemma (Projectivity in the mean) 12.4 *When dU is projective in then mean, it does not define a spiral.*

The max-principle for bi linear forms gives $|(Uf, U^\perp \hat{g})| \leq C \|Uf\|_1^{1/2} \|U^\perp \hat{g}\|_1^{1/2}$, for a constant C. Given U is considered as a normal operator, we can define $\Gamma = \{U = U^\perp\}$. Assume $\eta_x = \frac{\delta G}{\delta y}$ and $\xi_y = \frac{\delta G}{\delta x}$ and $\{G, f\} = 0$. That is the movement corresponding to η_x, ξ_y constants, is sequential, we could say that U_S is sequential in the mean. Note for dU_s , we have $\frac{d\eta}{d\xi} = \frac{-dx + \kappa dy}{\kappa dx + dy}$ and η can be completed in L^1 to $d\xi \perp d\eta$. Note where $dU^\perp/dU \leq 1$, Γ is $dU^\perp/dU = 1$.

12.5 Partial transforms

Consider the problem when $U_1^\perp f = U_2^\perp f$ implies $U_1 = U_2$. Consider $S(f) = x \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}$. Note that $\text{ord } S(f) = \text{ord } f$. Using the partial Fourier transform, according to $\mathcal{F}(y \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}) \sim -x^* \frac{\delta \hat{f}}{\delta y^*}$, ([5]) that is given $G(y, x) = y \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}$, we have that ${}^t \hat{G} \sim \hat{G}$. Given $S(f) = 0$, we have that $\mathcal{F}S(f) = \hat{f}$ iff $S(\hat{f}) = 0$. Further, given $S(f) \in B$ we have that $\frac{\delta f}{\delta x} \in \dot{B}$. $G(x, x) = 0$ implies $\hat{G}(x^*, x^*) = 0$ and conversely. Let $-T(f) = \mathcal{F}S(f)$, we then have $-T(f) = \hat{f} + S(\hat{f})$, $S(\hat{f}) = \hat{S}(f) - \hat{f}$ and given S projective, we can write $S(\hat{f}) = \hat{S}^\perp(f)$. Assume $\exists g \in B_{pp}$ such that $g = \frac{\delta f}{\delta x}$, that is $df = gdx$ and $df = 0$ implies $g = 0$. Given T projective $B_{pp} \rightarrow \widehat{I}$, we can write $\hat{S} \oplus \hat{S}^\perp(f) = I\hat{f}$. The condition $\hat{S}^\perp(f) = 0$ implies $\hat{f} = 0$ on compact sets, can be interpreted such that $I \prec S$. Consider $T_\rho(f) = \rho f$ where ρ is complete and symmetric. Given T_ρ projective and symmetric, it gives an orthogonal base for (I) . In particular when the polar is defined through continuation $T_\rho(\xi, \eta) = \rho(\xi, \eta)$ (symmetric) the polar can be chosen as orthogonal, that is $\xi'/\eta' \sim \eta/\xi$. Assume for instance $\xi = \frac{\delta G}{\delta y}$ and $\eta = -\frac{\delta G}{\delta x}$. we then have $X(f) = \{G, f\} = 0$, gives that a set of symmetry for f has a corresponding set of symmetry for G . Assume $\rho(x, y)$ symmetric in (x, y) . Where $\rho \neq 0$, T_ρ is locally 1-1. Assume Σ a domain for f and Σ_ρ a symmetric continuation. Given $UU^\perp = U^\perp U$ and $dU^\perp = \alpha dU$ we have that $\alpha\xi = \frac{1}{\alpha}\xi^\perp$ and $\alpha\eta = \frac{1}{\alpha}\eta^\perp$. Given α symmetric, we have that U is symmetric iff U^\perp is symmetric, where $\alpha \neq 0$. The condition $dU \rightarrow dI$ regularly corresponds to a contractible domain. When we assume F symmetric in a neighborhood of dI , a neighborhood of dI can be generated by dU . Given $dU = 0$ (analytic) we have that for (x, y) such that $dU \rightarrow dI$ regularly, that $(x, y) \in \ker F$. Consider $dU \rightarrow dU^\perp$ through ρ symmetric. Then there is where dU is projective, an orthogonal base. In particular $(dU)^\perp \simeq dU^\perp$.

13 Maximal rank at the boundary

The fundamental problem, we consider is a movement (the spiral) that is assumed to have maximal rank at the boundary (cylindrical). In presence of a maximum principle, we do not have hypoellipticity because of presence of trace. We assume the boundary very regular, that is we have existence of a regular approximation V (not unique) of $\Gamma = \{U = U^\perp\}$. Conversely we assume that the range for U always have points in common with some regular V . Given the

movement is defined by dV BV, we have determined tangent, that is V is not a spiral.

13.1 Geometry

In hyperbolic geometry, the orthogonal to a hyperbolic movement (euclidean translation) are parallel planes ([11]). Any multi valent surface given by a holomorphic function, can be approximated through Puiseux expansions ([10]) $U_s^\perp \rightarrow U^\perp$. Starting with a multi valent surface, the cylinder web is regarded as a boundary. Individual leaves intersect the web, not necessarily in a point. Assume u_1, u_2 coordinates for the cylinder with u_2/u_1 constant, then the transform $(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow (u_1, u_2/u_1)$ (ähnlich transform ([13])), that is a (monotonous) one parameter movement. Consider $dU_S(x, y) = dU_1(u_1, u_2) \sim dU_1'(u_1, u_2/u_1)$ as monotonous.

We assume U factorized over U_1, U_2 . When U is defined by $\Delta U = 0$ we have that $U \sim {}^tU$.

Lemma (Translation is a movement of real type) 13.1 *Assume U factorized over U_1, U_2 and $|Uf| \sim e^{|w||\phi|}$, with w regular ($dw(\phi) \simeq \rho d\phi$ and ρ regular). When U has real type, the corresponding domain is a translation domain.*

(cf. [6], Ch. 9) When $dU = dU_S$ is 1-dimensional in 3-space and $dV \perp (dU, dU^\perp)$, the maximal rank for V must be 1.

13.2 Maximal rank on a subset

Assume $dU^\perp = \alpha dU$, where dU^\perp , is seen as continuation of dU . Given the continuation not analytic, the domain for dU analytic is maximal. When $U \simeq {}^tU$, we have that $U \rightarrow {}^tU$ is projective on the range.

Lemma (The spiral is projective on its range) 13.2 *Assume the spiral is defined by $U \simeq {}^tU$ relative $\langle f, \hat{g} \rangle$, where $f, g \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1}$. Then the $U \rightarrow {}^tU$ is projective on its range.*

We assume $U \rightarrow U^\perp$ is defined by $X(f) \rightarrow X^\perp(\hat{f})$. When the graph norm $\|f\|_G = 0$, we have that $X(f) = 0$ implies $X^\perp(\hat{f}) = 0$. Further given $X \perp X^\perp$ and $\|f\|_G = 0$, we have $X = 0$ iff $X^\perp = 0$. Invariant sets corresponds in graph norm to $(U, U^\perp) = (I, 0)$. Assume $(dU)^\perp \simeq dV$. When U is projective, we thus have that $dV \simeq dU^\perp$.

Given $dU = dU^\perp$, then $dU \rightarrow dU^\perp$ is projective on $\mathcal{D}_{L^1}(\Gamma)$. Every point on the cylinder web M , can be reached by spirals and given M , u_1/u_2 uniquely defines a spiral as a one parameter curve.

13.3 Weights

Assume $|Uf| \sim |w| |f|$, where $w(tx, ty) = t^{1/2}w(x, y)$, $w(x, y) \neq 0$ we then have $w'(t) \sim t^{-1/2}$, that is $1/w \rightarrow 0$ and $1/w' \rightarrow \infty$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Further $w'' \rightarrow 0$ and $w''/w \rightarrow 0$, as $t \rightarrow \infty$. For a reduced measure we have that $w^{(k)}/w \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ . Note starting from $|We^\phi| \leq e^{|w||\phi|}$, where w does not change sign (on connected components $\ni \infty$), given w convex, we can assume that $w''/w \rightarrow 0$ in ∞ one sided.

Assume U_1, U_2 is taken sequentially, with $|\widehat{U_2 f}| \leq |w_2| |\widehat{f}|$ and in the same manner for U_1 with w_1 . Given $w_2 w_1$ complete with $\|\mathcal{F}^{-1}(w_2 w_1 \widehat{f})\|_p \leq \|f\|_p$, $w_2 w_1$ can be considered as a L^p -multiplier ([12]).

Assume $\xi_2 = 2f\xi$ and $\eta_2 = 2f\eta$. We then have $(\eta_2)_x - (\xi_2)_y = 2f(\eta_x - \xi_y) - 2X^\diamond(f)$. Thus, we have that $X(f^2)$ is harmonic if $X(f)$ is harmonic and $X^\diamond(f)$ is analytic. Further $X(f^2) = 2fX(f)$. To determine dimension for Uf over $f \neq 0$, it is thus sufficient to consider Uf^2 .

13.4 Two sided limits

Note that the two mirror model (surjective in the plane ([3])) assumes two sided limits. Assume $Vg \perp Uf$ and $U^{-1}g = f$, for some $g \in R(U)$, which is implied by U^{-1} surjective on $R(U)$. Then when $V \neq 0$, we can assume V locally reduced. For instance $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0}(U_1 f + U_1^{-1} f) = f(x+0) + f(x-0)$, that is *maximal rank can be compared with* $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} f(x+\eta) = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} f(x-\eta)$, that is $U + U^{-1}$ has maximal rank if the corresponding defect indexes are equal and zero.

Lemma (A two sided limit has maximal rank) 13.3 *Assume $dU = \alpha dU_1$, where U_1 has maximal rank and $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ regularly as $\eta \rightarrow 0$. Assume $dV = -\alpha dU_1$. Then $U + V$ has maximal rank, when $\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} Uf = \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} Vf$.*

Assume $R^2 = R_1 R_2 = R_2 R_1$ projective. Given $R^2 \simeq R$, then R is projective, but not unique! Given $R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ projective, we have that for the corresponding Cayley indexes, $d_- = d_+$. Assume $(f, g) = \langle f, \widehat{g} \rangle$ and $(Uf, g) = (f, Ug)$. Given U projective in graph norm with defect indexes 0, we have maximal rank.

Assume $f = \beta e^\phi$ and $\beta = e^\alpha$, we then have $dU^2 \sim U dU(f) \sim \beta(X(\alpha) + X(\phi))e^\phi$. Assume $\beta = \beta(\phi)$, we then have $X(f)/f = X(\phi)(\frac{d\beta}{d\phi} + \beta)$, where we assume $\frac{d\beta}{d\phi} \neq 0$.

Lemma (Factorization lemma) 13.4 *When $dU(f) = \{G, f\}$, for some G , U can be factorized into $dU(\beta e^\phi) = dV(\beta)e^\phi + dW(\phi)e^\phi$.*

that is $e^{-\phi} dU(f) = \{G, \beta\} + \beta\{G, \phi\}$

13.5 Factorization

Consider $Y(f) = \alpha \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_1} + \beta \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_2}$. Thus if V is a movement defined by Y , we have $\alpha = \frac{\delta V}{\delta u_1}$ and $\beta = \frac{\delta V}{\delta u_2}$. In particular when $V = U_1$, we have that $\beta = 0$. Further for instance $\alpha = \xi \frac{\delta u_1}{\delta x}$ and $\beta = \eta \frac{\delta u_2}{\delta y}$. We then have $Y(f) \simeq X(f)$.

Concerning $Y(f) = 0$, given U can be factorized through $V(u_1)W(u_2)$ (sequential movement), we then have $\beta_{u_1} - \alpha_{u_2} = 0$. That is sequential movements are harmonic with respect to the cylinder. Assume $dU = \rho dI$, where $\rho \rightarrow 1$ regularly, when $U \rightarrow I$. When α, β are constants, we consider the representation as not contractible relative u_1, u_2 . When $\{\beta = \text{const}\}$ is locally negligible, the domain is a translation domain. Consider $\frac{\delta f}{\delta u_1} = \frac{\delta f}{\delta x} \frac{\delta x}{\delta u_1} + \frac{\delta f}{\delta y} \frac{\delta y}{\delta u_1}$. Given x, y linear in u_1 , we have thus that $\frac{\delta f}{\delta u_1} \sim X_{U_1}(f)$.

Lemma (Second factorization lemma) 13.5 *Consider $Y(f) = \alpha \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_1} + \beta \frac{\delta f}{\delta u_2}$. When $Y(f) = 0$ defines a sequential movement in u_1, u_2 , we have that Y defines a harmonic movement (on the cylinder).*

Assume $U \frac{d}{dx} F = \frac{d}{dx} VF$ and when $F = e^\phi$, we have that $VF = e^{W\phi}$. Given U algebraic, we then have that $U\phi \sim W\phi$. Assume $UU^\perp = U^\perp U$ with U projective $(U + U^\perp) = I$. If further $I \frac{d}{dx} f = \frac{d}{dx} If$, we have that $VV^\perp = V^\perp V$. Given $U^2 = WU$, U is projective where $W = I$. Given $U^2 = U + V$, U is projective where $V=0$.

Note that given $U^\perp = 0$ implies $U = I \neq 0$, we have that $N(U^\perp) \subset R(U)$. For instance $U = WU_1$, where $dW \rightarrow dI$ with $\ker W = \{0\}$, we then have that $N(U_1) \subset R(W)^\perp$. If $WU_1 = U_1W = 0$, that is $W \perp U_1$, given W projective, we have that $U_1 \simeq W^\perp$ and $WW^\perp \simeq W - W^2 \simeq 0$. When W is projective we have that $WU = 0$ implies $U = 0$ and $UW = WU$ implies that U is locally 1-1. Note that when W is an extension and in the weak sense $UW = WU$, that is $W \rightarrow {}^tW$ preserves character, then W must be projective if U is projective.

Consider as a max-principle, $F(z) \rightarrow F(P(z)) \rightarrow F(e^w)$ ([9]) that is $\max |F| < \infty$, where $z \in \Omega$, where Ω is algebraic or exponential. When $F(z) = F(Rz)$, where $Rz = e^w$ a restriction, we have that $F(z) \simeq \widehat{F}(w)$. Determine $\Sigma = \{w = G(z)\}$ such that F is reduced over Σ , for instance $|G| \leq |F^N|$. For instance $\widehat{F}(w) = F(G(z)) \rightarrow \infty$, when $G(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Consider Ω a cylinder and assume $w = P(z) \in \Omega$ (algebraic polyhedron). A max-principle is dU BV over e^Ω . Consider $d\widehat{U}(\Omega) = dU(e^\Omega)$. The condition $d\widehat{U}$ BV over Ω means that dU is BV over Ω and e^{U^Ω} finite.

13.6 Desingularization

Note $\int_\Gamma \xi dx + \eta dy = \int_\Gamma (\eta_x - \xi_y) dx dy$. Given ξ, η locally bounded, we have over compact sets that we have a finite D-integral, that is dU BV locally. Consider $\int_\Omega dU - A \int_\Omega dI \simeq \int_\Omega dU^\perp$. In this case the projectivity for U is dependent of the domain Ω . For instance $|\frac{\delta f}{\delta x}|^2 + |\frac{\delta f}{\delta y}|^2 \geq c \frac{|x|^2 + |y|^2}{|\xi|^2}$, when $|x|, |y| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|\xi| \leq |\eta|$. Thus, given $0 \neq |\xi|$ locally bounded we have that $\{dU \leq A\} \subset \subset \Omega$. Assume ξ is independent of $|y|$, then we have that $|y| / |\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, as $|y| \rightarrow \infty$. In the same manner when η is independent of $|x|$. For instance $\eta_x = \xi_y = 0$. This can be seen as a “desingularization”.

Given $dU = \alpha dU_1$ and $\alpha(x) \rightarrow x$ is bounded, we have that the sub level sets are relatively compact, that is α reduced. Outside the polar to U_1 , we have that $\alpha \equiv 0$ implies $\xi = \eta = 0$. When ξ, η are reduced, then α reduced.

13.7 Projectivity

Obviously, $U \in \mathcal{G}$ does not imply that U projective. When $U \in \mathcal{G}_{ac}$, $U' \in \mathcal{G}$, we have that $UU' \in \mathcal{G}$, that is $\frac{d}{dt} U^2 \in \mathcal{G}$. Given $U, U' \in \mathcal{G}_1^{(1)}$ we have that $U^2 \in \mathcal{G}_1^{(1)}$, but the converse assumes a regular inverse. Further $U \in \mathcal{G}_1$ and $\log U \in \mathcal{G}_1^{(1)}$ implies $UU'U^{-1} \in \mathcal{G}_1$, that is $U' \in \mathcal{G}_1$. Assume $\mathcal{G}_1 \oplus \mathcal{G}_1^\perp$ has maximal rank. We have that $U^N \in \mathcal{G}_{reg}$ (can be approximated regularly, that is contractible) does not imply $U \in \mathcal{G}_{reg}$. However, given U reduced (has no invariant sets), we have that $U^2 \in \mathcal{G}_{reg}$ implies $U \in \mathcal{G}_{reg}$. Thus, when \mathcal{G} is interpreted in topologies according to the above, then projectivity is not preserved. We say that $R(U)$ has maximal rank in \mathcal{D}_{L^1} , if $\dim_{reg} R(U) = \dim R(U)$.

Proposition (Maximal rank) 13.6 Assume $dU(f) = 0$ implies $(I - U)f \in C^\infty$. Then U has maximal rank ($U \neq 0$) over \mathcal{D}_{L^1} .

Assume $A = \{f \in \mathcal{D}_{L^1} \mid dU(f) = 0\}$ and $\text{reg}A = \{Uf \in C^\infty\}$. Maximal rank means that $\dim A = \dim \text{reg}A$. Given U projective, the result is clear. When $(I - U)$ corresponds to a reduced measure dV with $dV \perp dU$, we have maximal rank. Consider E very regular, such that $XE = I$ with $\ker E = \{0\}$, where $dU = Xdt$, then through the conditions, UE is very regular and E is projective on \mathcal{D}_{L^1} . Note that point wise topology means $(I-U)f^2 \sim ((I-U)f)^2$, that is the corresponding zero set is algebraic.

Assume $U^\perp f = 0$ (1-polar) implies $f \in C^\infty$. In particular $U^\perp(df) = dU^\perp(f) = 0$ implies $f \in C^\infty$. Thus, dU^\perp is homogeneously hypoelliptic. Given U projective, we have that dU is regularizing and we have maximal rank. Assume $dU + dU^\perp - dI = dV$, such that $dI - dV \rightarrow dI + dV$ is projective. Given dV^\perp hypoelliptic, with $dV^\perp \perp dV$, then dU has maximal rank. When the dimension for dV^\perp is the co dimension to isolated singularities on the support for dV , it is sufficient to prove $\ker V^\perp \subset L^1$.

Proposition (Main result) 13.7 *The spiral has maximal rank over Γ .*

Assume $dV = \alpha dU_1$. Consider $(x, y) \rightarrow (u_1, u_2)$ and $\Gamma = \{\alpha(u_1, u_2) = \alpha(u_2, u_1)\}$. Then we have existence of $\beta \leq 1$, with $\Delta\beta = 0$ over Γ and $\beta = 1$ on Γ . Assume Ω a neighborhood Γ under $(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow (x, y)$, so that $\beta \geq 0$ on Ω . Then dV has maximal rank on Γ .

13.8 The cylinder

Assume dU BV with support on a cylinder, for instance $dU = \alpha dU_1$, $dU = \beta dU_2$, with α, β regular. When Ω is a regel domain, then $\log \Omega$ is a translations domain, that is Ω is of order 0 and (Ω, Ω^\perp) defines a cylinder. We assume existence of $U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ through classical theory. Further, we assume existence of a neighborhood of the boundary $\Gamma = \{U^\perp = U\}$ that is cylindrical.

L^2 is not nuclear, that is $I : L^2 \rightarrow L^2$, but $I \notin L^2$. In L^2 we can, given W a normal movement, motivate that $W \simeq W^\perp$. Assume $R(W) \oplus A = L^1$, where A is finite dimensional. Assume $dW \in (I)'$ and $dW \rightarrow dI$, we then do not have that $dI \in (I)'$, if $(I)'$ is not nuclear.

Assume dU reduced to dU_1 , such that U intersects all leaves $U^\perp = 0$ and all leaves in the same manner, that is $(dU)^\perp$ is defined by dV , without dV changing character (simply connected). Note that given $\{dV = 0\} = \{0\}$, U must be projective. Given Ω a translation domain, we have that $\Omega \neq \Omega^*$, that is $dI \notin (I)'$. Thus, $dI \in (I)'$ means that Ω is not a translations domain, that is $dV = \rho dI$, where ρ has constant surfaces. Assume critical points principally defined and $\{d(V - \rho I) = (V - \rho I) = 0\}$ a removable set. Further, $d(V - \rho I)$ locally BV in a neighborhood of this set.

Lemma (The approximation property) 13.8 *When $(I)' \ni dW \rightarrow dI$ in weak topology and $(I)'$ is nuclear, then $dI \in (I)'$. When W is projective and dW^\perp a reduced measure, the limit is regular.*

$dW \rightarrow dI$ with $(I)'$ nuclear, implies $dI \in (I)'$ through the approximation property, that is $dW = \alpha dI$ with $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ regularly. We assume $I_{dW} = \langle dW, \varphi \rangle = \langle W, d\varphi \rangle$, with uniform convergence. Given $R(W)$ finite dimensional, we have that W reflexive is sufficient for nuclearity. When dW is not projective, we consider $dW + dW^\perp - I = dV$. Assume in particular that

$(dV)^\perp$ is reduced, then dW must be projective. Define $\Gamma = \{dV = dV^\perp\}$ and $\Gamma_0 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid dV = 0\}$. When $dV\varphi = 0$ implies $\|\varphi\| = 0$, we have that $\widehat{\varphi} = 0$, that is dV is reduced and $\Gamma_0 = \{0\}$. Given dV reduced, we have that $\Gamma = \Gamma_0$.

13.9 Nuclearity

Assume $R(U)^\perp \simeq X_0$ and Φ the projection $R(U) \rightarrow X_0$. Assume further $R(U)$ is defined by dU BV and X_0 by dU^\perp BV. Given Φ corresponds to regularizing action, U preserves hypoellipticity. Thus, we have that dU, dU^\perp do not both preserve hypoellipticity. Assume $\Phi : \mathcal{G}_{HE} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{HE}^\perp$ preserves hypoellipticity, then \mathcal{G}_{HE} does not have the approximation property.

Lemma (\mathcal{G} preserving HE is not nuclear) 13.9 Assume $\Phi : U \rightarrow U^\perp$, where $U \in \mathcal{G}$, then the spiral is represented by $\Phi = Id$.

Assume $dU(f) = \{G, f\}$, then iteration is given by $\{G, \{G, f\}\}$. We claim that the spiral gives a non-closed extension (non-algebraic). Given tU corresponds to U^\perp with $U \in \mathcal{D}'_{L^1}$, then assuming a very regular boundary, $U \rightarrow {}^tU$ corresponds to an algebraic continuation (modulo C^∞). However, given $U^2f = Uf + Vf$, for some $V \neq 0$, then $U + V$ is not necessarily algebraic. We assume that in a neighborhood of the boundary $U, {}^tU$ preserve rank. Assume $(U - I)Uf = Vf$, we then have $Uf = (U - I)^{-1}Vf$. When U^2, V are closed, we do not have that U is closed. Note that $N(V) = N(U) \cup N(U - I)$ (cf. very regular boundary). Note $U \in \mathcal{E}'$ does not imply $(U - I)^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}'$, that is \mathcal{E}' is a discontinuous convolution algebra.

References

- [1] L. Sario L. Ahlfors, *Riemann Surfaces*, Princeton University Press, 1960.
- [2] T. Dahn, *Some Remarks on Trèves' Conjecture*, ArXiv (2013).
- [3] ———, *Some Remarks on Prediction Models*, ArXiv (2014).
- [4] ———, *On Partially Hypoelliptic Operators, Part I,II*, ArXiv (2015).
- [5] ———, *Some Remarks on Polar Sets to Sums of Squares*, ArXiv (2019).
- [6] S. Lie, *Geometrie der Berührungstransformationen*, Leipzig, B.G. Teubner, 1896.
- [7] N. Nilsson, *Some Estimates for Spectral Functions Connected with Formally Hypoelliptic Differential Operators*, Arkiv för matematik **10** (1972).
- [8] T. Nishino, *Nouvelles Recherches sur les Fonctions Entières de Plusieurs Variables Complexes.*, Journal Math. Kyoto Univ. (1968).
- [9] ———, *Nouvelles Recherches sur les Fonctions Entières de Plusieurs Variables Complexes (v) Fonctions qui se Réduisent aux Polynomes*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **15** (1975), no. 3.

- [10] K. Oka, *Sur les Fonctions Analytiques de Plusieurs Variables.*, Hermann (1960).
- [11] Marcel Riesz, *En Åskådlig Bild av den Icke-Euklidiska Geometrien. Geometrisk Strövtåg inom Relativitetsteorien.*, Lunds Universitets Årsskrift N.F. Avd. 2, Bd. 38, Nr. 9, vol. Lund, C.W.K. Gleerup, 1943.
- [12] M. Schechter, *The Spectrum of Operators on $L^p(E^n)$.*, Annali della Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa, 3e série **t. 24, no. 2** (1970).
- [13] S. Lie G. Scheffers, *Vorlesungen Über Differentialgleichungen mit Bekannten Infinitesimalen Transformationen.*, Teubner Leipzig, 1891.
- [14] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions.*, Hermann, 1966.