

# Periodic orbits of Linear or invariant flows on connected Lie groups

S. N. Stelmastchuk  
Universidade Federal do Paraná  
Jandaia do Sul, Brazil <sup>\*</sup>, <sup>†‡</sup>

January 19, 2022

## Abstract

Our main is to study periodic orbits of linear or invariant flows on a real, connected Lie group. Since each linear flow  $\varphi_t$  has a derivation associated  $\mathcal{D}$ , we show that the existence of periodic orbits of  $\varphi_t$  is based on the eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$ . From this, we study periodic orbits of a linear flow on noncompact, semisimple Lie groups, and we work with periodic orbits of a linear flow on connected, simply connected, solvable Lie groups of dimension 2 or 3.

**Keywords:** periodic orbits, linear flow, connected Lie groups.

**AMS 2010 subject classification:** 37C27, 37C10, 22E99

## 1 Introduction

The study of periodic orbits is a recurrent issue. In sprayed literature, many theory and techniques are presented to find and classify periodic orbits. For a fuller treatment the reader we refer the reader to [6], [7], and [8] among others. Our main is to study periodic orbits of the linear and invariant flows on real, connected Lie groups. Following we introduce our approach.

Let  $G$  be a real, connected Lie group. Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra. We recall that a vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $G$  is called linear if its flow  $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a family of automorphism of the Lie group  $G$ . Namely, the linear flow  $\varphi_t$  is the solution of dynamical system

$$\dot{g} = \mathcal{X}(g), g \in G. \quad (1)$$

In [11], the author study periodic orbits of a linear flow on a real, connected, compact, semisimple Lie group. Our wish is to extend the study of periodic orbits of a linear flow (1) to a real, connected Lie group  $G$ .

---

<sup>\*</sup>**AMS 2010 subject classification:** 22E46 , 34A05, 34D20, 37B99

<sup>†</sup>**Keywords:** stability, periodic orbits, linear flows, semisimple Lie groups

<sup>‡</sup>**e-mail:** simnaos@gmail.com

An important fact is that the linear vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  yields the derivation  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(\mathcal{X})$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Our idea is to associated the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$  with existence of periodic orbits. This idea comes from the study of periodic orbits of linear dynamical system on Euclidian spaces (see for instance [7]). Here, care is needed because for every linear vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  we have a derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  associated, however the contrary it is not true (see [10] for more detail).

Our main result is that an orbit that is not a fixed point of a linear flow  $\varphi_t$  is periodic if the eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  which are not null are  $\pm\alpha_1 i, \dots, \pm\alpha_r i$  with rational quotient  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ , and if they are semisimple. Let  $X$  be a right invariant vector field on  $G$ . It is possible to associate a linear vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  for  $X$ . Thus, we show that the invariant flow  $\exp(tX)$  has periodic orbits if and only if the eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(X)$  which are not null are  $\pm\alpha_1 i, \dots, \pm\alpha_r i$  and semisimple with rational quotient  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ .

In [11], the author studied the periodic orbits of linear flows on a compact, semisimple Lie group. Using the main result we study periodic orbits of linear flows in a noncompact, semisimple Lie group  $G$ , where we use the Iwasawa's decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ . In fact, we show that orbits that are not fixed points of the linear flow  $\varphi_t$  with derivations  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(aH + bX)$  are not periodic, where  $H \in \mathfrak{a}, X \in \mathfrak{n}$ , and  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{k}$  is the compact part, a natural question arises: is the unique possibility of linear flow has periodic orbit for derivations  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(Y)$  with  $Y \in \mathfrak{k}$ ? No. To view this, we study periodic orbits of a linear flow on the special linear group  $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ .

Finally, we work with real, connected, simply connected, solvable Lie groups of dimension 2 or 3, following the classification presented in [2].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly reviews the notions of linear vector fields. Section 3 works with periodic orbits of linear and invariant flows on a connected Lie group. Section 4 studies periodic orbits on noncompact, semisimple Lie groups. Section 5 works with periodic orbits of a linear flow  $\varphi_t$  on a connected, simply connected, solvable Lie group of dimension 2 or 3.

## 2 Linear vector fields

In this section we recall some basic facts about linear vector field. For a fuller treatment we refer to [1], [3], and [5]. Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group, and let us denote by  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra. A vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  on  $G$  is called linear if its flow  $(\varphi_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a family of automorphisms of the Lie group  $G$ . For a linear vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  it is possible to associated a derivation of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$\mathcal{D}(Y) = -[\mathcal{X}, Y], Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

For the convenience of the reader we resume some facts about a linear vector field  $\mathcal{X}$  and its flow  $\varphi_t$ . The proof of these facts can be found in [5].

**Proposition 2.1** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear vector field and  $\varphi_t$  its flow. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) *the linear flow  $\varphi_t$  is an automorphism of Lie groups for each  $t$ ;*

- (ii) for  $g, h \in G$  it follows that  $\mathcal{X}$  is linear iff  $\mathcal{X}(gh) = R_{h*}\mathcal{X}(g) + L_{g*}\mathcal{X}(h)$ ;
- (iii) at identity  $e$ , we have  $(d\varphi_t)_e = e^{t\mathcal{D}}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ .

It is known that  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$  is a Euclidean Lie Group. For any  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  it is true that  $\mathcal{X} = A$  is a linear vector field. Furthermore,  $\mathcal{D}_x(b) = -[Ax, b] = Ab$ . In this sense, we can view the dynamical system

$$\dot{g} = \mathcal{X}(g), \quad g \in G,$$

as a generalization of dynamical system on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  given by

$$\dot{x} = Ax, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

### 3 Periodic orbits

In this section we assume that  $G$  is a connected Lie group. Before we prove our main theorem, we need to introduce some concepts. Following [7], if for an eigenvalue  $\mu$  all complex Jordan blocks are one-dimensional, i.e., a complete set of eigenvectors exists, it is called semisimple. Equivalently, the corresponding real Jordan blocks are one-dimensional if  $\mu$  is real, and two-dimensional if  $\mu$  and  $\bar{\mu} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ .

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) the flow  $e^{t\mathcal{D}}$  is periodic;
- ii) the eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  which are not null are  $\pm\alpha_1 i, \dots, \pm\alpha_r i$  and semisimple with rational quotient  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ .

**Proof:** We first suppose that the flow  $e^{t\mathcal{D}}$  is periodic. It means that there exists  $T > 0$  such that

$$e^{(t+T)\mathcal{D}} = e^{t\mathcal{D}}.$$

A simple calculus shows that  $e^{T\mathcal{D}} = Id$ . If  $J$  is the Jordan form of  $\mathcal{D}$ , then  $e^{TJ} = Id$ .

We break the proof in two steps:

- i) real eigenvalues: Let  $\mu$  be a real eigenvalue of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let us denote by  $J_\mu$  the  $m$ -dimensional Jordan block of  $\mu$ . We thus get  $e^{TJ_\mu} = I_m$ . Consider  $m > 1$ . From Jordan block  $J_\mu$  we see that  $e^{T\mu}(T) = 0$ . It implies that  $T = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $m = 1$ . It means that  $\mu$  is a semisimple eigenvalue. Consequently,  $e^{TJ_\mu} = I_1$ , which gives  $e^{T\mu} = 1$ . We thus get  $T\mu = 0$ . Since  $T > 0$ , it follows that  $\mu = 0$ . It shows that unique real eigenvalue of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  is 0.
- ii) complex eigenvalues: suppose that  $\mu = \alpha \pm i\beta$  are conjugate, complex eigenvalues of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$ . Write

$$R = R(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(t\beta) & -\sin(t\beta) \\ \sin(t\beta) & \cos(t\beta) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $J_\mu$  denote the 2m-dimensional Jordan block of  $\mu$ . Suppose that  $m > 1$ . From  $e^{TJ_\mu} = I_{2m}$  we see that

$$e^{\alpha T} \cdot T \cdot R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A simple account shows that  $T = 0$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $m = 1$ . It means that  $\mu$  is semisimple. Hence the Jordan block  $J_\mu$  is two dimensional. It gives  $e^{TJ_\mu} = I_2$ . From this equality we conclude that

$$e^{\alpha T} \cos(\beta T) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad e^{\alpha T} \sin(\beta T) = 0.$$

The second equality implies that  $\sin(\beta T) = 0$ . It follows that  $\beta T = n\pi$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Substituting this in the first equation gives

$$e^{\alpha T} \cos(n\pi) = 1.$$

Because  $e^{\alpha T} > 0$ , it follows that  $n = 2m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence  $e^{\alpha T} = 1$ . So  $\alpha T = 0$ . Since  $T > 0$ , we have  $\alpha = 0$ . It means that complex eigenvalues of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  are of the form  $\mu = \pm\beta i$ .

Now we proved that nonnull complex eigenvalues  $\pm\alpha i$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  yield rational quotient. As proved above, any real Jordan Block of  $\mathcal{D}$  has dimension 1 or 2 if it is real or complex, respectively. Furthermore, we showed that unique real eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{D}$  is 0. Therefore its real Jordan block is written as  $J_0 = [0]$ . Thus  $e^{tJ_0}$  is constant. It means that in direction of 0 the curve  $e^{tJ}$  is constant. Consequently, solutions associated to the eigenvalue 0 are trivially periodic. On the other hand, suppose that there exist non null complex eigenvalues  $\pm\alpha_i i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . By proved above, its real Jordan blocks are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(t\alpha_i) & -\sin(t\alpha_i) \\ \sin(t\alpha_i) & \cos(t\alpha_i) \end{pmatrix}, \quad i = 1, \dots, r.$$

As  $e^{TJ} = Id$  we have  $\alpha_i \cdot T = p_i \cdot 2\pi$  for some  $p_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . It means for any  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$  that  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j = p_i/p_j$  is a rational number

Reciprocally, assume that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$  are semisimple and they are 0 or  $\pm\alpha_1 i, \dots, \pm\alpha_r i$  with  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  is rational for  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ . Trivially the solution is constant for the eigenvalue 0. We thus work with the eigenvalues  $\pm\alpha_i i$  with  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ . By assumption,  $\pm\alpha_i i$  is semisimple eigenvalue for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . It implies that every real Jordan block has dimension two and the solution applied at this block gives the following matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(t\alpha_i) & -\sin(t\alpha_i) \\ \sin(t\alpha_i) & \cos(t\alpha_i) \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we know that there exists  $p_{ij}, q_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $q_{ij} > 0$  such that  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j = p_{ij}/q_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ . In particular, we can written  $\alpha_i = (p_{i1}/q_{i1})\alpha_1$  for  $i = 2, \dots, r$ . Supposing that  $\alpha_1 > 0$  it is sufficient to take  $T = q_{21}q_{31} \dots q_{r1}(2\pi/\alpha_1)$  to get satisfies  $e^{TJ} = Id$ , where  $J$  is the Jordan form. In other words,  $Id$  is a periodic point of  $e^{TJ}$  with period  $T > 0$ , which is equivalent  $Id$  to be periodic point of  $e^{TD}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 1** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear vector field on  $G$ . In a natural way, we define a derivation  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(\mathcal{X})$  on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated to  $\mathcal{X}$ . On contrary, it is not true that a derivation yields a linear vector field if  $G$  is only connected. However, if  $G$  is connected and simply connected, then there is a one-to-one relation between derivation and linear vector field (see [10] for more details).

Let  $\tilde{G}$  be the simply connected covering of the Lie group  $G$  and  $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  the canonical projection. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear vector field and  $\mathcal{D}$  its associated derivation. By Theorem 2.2 of [1], there exists a unique linear vector field  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  on  $\tilde{G}$  whose associated derivation is  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let us denote by  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  the flow of  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ . Thus for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  we have

$$\pi(\tilde{\varphi}_t(\exp_{\tilde{G}} X)) = \pi(\exp_{\tilde{G}}(e^{t\mathcal{D}} X)) = \exp_G(e^{t\mathcal{D}} X) = \varphi_t(\exp_G X).$$

By connectedness, it holds that

$$\pi \circ \tilde{\varphi}_t = \varphi_t \circ \pi \quad \text{for any } t \in \mathbb{R}$$

implying that  $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$  are  $\pi$ -related.

**Lemma 3.2** *Under the conditions stated above, if  $g \in G$  and  $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{g}) = g$  and if flow  $\tilde{\varphi}_t(\tilde{g})$  is periodic with period  $T$ , then also is  $\varphi_t(g)$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\tilde{\varphi}_t(\tilde{g})$  is periodic. Then there exists a time  $T > 0$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{t+T}(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{\varphi}_t(\tilde{g})$ . Since  $\pi(\tilde{g}) = g$ , it follows

$$\varphi_{t+T}(g) = \varphi_{t+T}(\pi(\tilde{g})) = \pi(\tilde{\varphi}_{t+T}(\tilde{g})) = \pi(\tilde{\varphi}_t(\tilde{g})) = \varphi_t(\pi(\tilde{g})) = \varphi_t(g).$$

Therefore  $\varphi_t(g)$  is a periodic orbit with period  $T > 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group. Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear vector field on  $G$  and denote by  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\varphi_t$  their derivation and flow, respectively. If the eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  which are not null are  $\pm\alpha_1 i, \dots, \pm\alpha_r i$  and semisimple with rational quotient  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ , then there exist periodic orbits for the linear flow  $\varphi_t$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose that our assumptions about eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  are true. Therefore the flow  $e^{t\mathcal{D}}$  is periodic by Proposition 3.1. It means that there is a  $T > 0$  such that  $e^{(t+T)\mathcal{D}} = e^{t\mathcal{D}}$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . By Proposition 2.1,

$$(d\tilde{\varphi}_{t+T})_e = (d\tilde{\varphi}_t)_e.$$

Since  $\tilde{G}$  is connected and  $\tilde{\varphi}_{t+T}$  and  $\tilde{\varphi}_t$  are homomorphism, it follows that  $\tilde{\varphi}_{t+T}(\tilde{g}) = \tilde{\varphi}_t(\tilde{g})$  for any  $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$ . It means that  $\tilde{\varphi}_t(\tilde{g})$  is a periodic orbit. Take  $g \in G$  such that  $\pi(\tilde{g}) = g$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\varphi_t(g)$  is periodic orbit with period  $T$  if  $g$  is not a fixed point.  $\square$

A direct consequence is that any linear flow  $\varphi_t$  with derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  that have eigenvalues with real part nonnull do not have periodic orbits.

**Corollary 3.4** *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3, if a derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  has only real eigenvalues, then there are not periodic orbits to the linear flow  $\varphi_t$  associate to  $\mathcal{D}$ .*

**Proof:** Suppose, contrary our claim, that  $\varphi_t(g)$  is a periodic orbit for a not fixed point  $g \in G$ . From Theorem 3.3 we see that the unique real eigenvalue is 0. Furthermore, 0 is a semisimple eigenvalue. It implies that  $\mathcal{D}$  is null. By Proposition 2.1,

$$(d\varphi_t)_e = e^{t\mathcal{D}} = I_{\mathfrak{g}}, t \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $I_{\mathfrak{g}}$  is the identity map. Denoting by  $I_G$  the identity homomorphism of  $G$  we see that  $\varphi_t = I_G$  for any  $t$  because  $G$  is connected. This gives  $\varphi_t(g) = g$  for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . It means that every  $g$  is a fixed point, which is a contradiction.  $\square$

In the sequel, let  $X$  be a right invariant vector field on  $G$ . Define a vector field by  $\mathcal{X} = X + I_*X$ , where  $I_*X$  is the left invariant vector field associated to  $X$ . Here  $I_*$  is the differential of inverse map  $i(g) = g^{-1}$  (more details is founded in [10]). It is possible to show that  $\mathcal{X}$  is linear and its associated derivation is given by  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(\mathcal{X}) = -\text{ad}(X)$ . Furthermore, the differential equation (1) is written as

$$\dot{g} = X(g) + (I_*X)(g), g \in G.$$

It is possible to show that linear flow  $\varphi_t$  is solution of (1) if and only if  $\varphi_t(g) \cdot \exp(tX)$  is solution of  $\dot{g} = X(g)$ .

**Theorem 3.5** *Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group and  $X$  be a right invariant vector field on  $G$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- i) *there exists a periodic orbit for the right invariant flow  $\exp(tX)$ ;*
- ii) *the eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(X)$  which are not null are  $\pm\alpha_1 i, \dots, \pm\alpha_r i$  and semisimple with rational quotient  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ .*

**Proof:** Assume that there exists a  $g \in G$  such that  $\exp(tX)g$  is periodic with period  $T > 0$ . It is equivalent to  $\exp(tX)$  be periodic with period  $T > 0$ . From this we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \exp((t+T)X) = \exp(tX) &\Rightarrow \text{Ad}(\exp(-(t+T)X)) = \text{Ad}(\exp(-tX)) \\ &\Rightarrow e^{(t+T)\mathcal{D}} = e^{t\mathcal{D}}, \end{aligned}$$

which means that the flow  $e^{t\mathcal{D}}$  is periodic. By Proposition 3.1, the eigenvalues of the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  are the form 0 or  $\pm\alpha_1 i, \dots, \pm\alpha_r i$  where  $\alpha_i \neq 0$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $\alpha_i/\alpha_j$  is a rational for  $i, j = 1, \dots, r$ , and  $\pm\alpha_i i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , are semisimple.

Reciprocally, suppose that sentece ii) is true. By Proposition 3.1, there exist a time  $T > 0$  such that  $e^{(t+T)\mathcal{D}} = e^{t\mathcal{D}}$ . We thus get  $\exp((t+T)X) = \exp(tX)$ , which means that  $\exp(tX)$  is periodic with period  $T > 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a inner derivation on  $G$ . We know that there exists a right invariant vector field such that  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(X)$ . Thus we can construct the vector field  $\mathcal{X} = X + I_*X$  as above, which is linear and associated to  $\mathcal{D}$ . It means that every inner derivation yields a linear vector field independent if  $G$  is simply connected or not. If  $G$  is semisimple Lie group, then every derivation is inner.

## 4 Semisimple Lie group

In [11], the author study periodic orbits on compact, semisimple Lie groups. An important fact in this approach is that  $\mathcal{D}$  has only semisimple eigenvalues, therefore it simplifies the work to find periodic orbits. Now let  $G$  be a noncompact, semisimple Lie group. From Iwasawa's decomposition there exists three Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{a}$ , and  $\mathfrak{n}$  such that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ . One may think that periodic orbits are in compact part of  $G$  and that there are not periodic orbits on soluble part of Iwasawa's decomposition. We now work to verify this last assertion.

**Proposition 4.1** *Let  $G$  be a noncompact, semisimple Lie group such that its Lie algebra is decomposed as  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$ . If  $H \in \mathfrak{a}, X \in \mathfrak{n}$  and if  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(aH + bX)$  is a derivation for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then orbits that are not fixed points of the linear flow associated to  $\mathcal{D}$  are not periodic*

**Proof:** Let  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(aH + bX)$  be a derivation for some  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $H \in \mathfrak{a}$ , and  $X \in \mathfrak{n}$ . From Lemma 6.4.5 in [4] there exists a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\text{ad}(H)$  is a diagonal matrix with real entries and  $\text{ad}(X)$  is a upper triangular matrix with 0's on the diagonal. Hence the matrix of  $\mathcal{D}$  is a upper triangular matrix with real entries on diagonal. In consequence, all eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$  are real. From Corollary 3.4 it follows that orbits that are not fixed points of the linear flow associated to  $\mathcal{D}$  are not periodic.  $\square$

Proposition above says that linear flow that have only contribution of  $\mathfrak{a} \oplus \mathfrak{n}$  are not periodic. In this way, if there exists periodic orbit for linear flow on  $G$ , then the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  must have contribution of elements of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . To verify this, we study periodic orbits of linear flow on the semisimple Lie group  $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Let us denote by  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  the Lie algebra of  $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Since  $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  is a semisimple non-compact Lie groups, its Lie algebra has the following Iwasawa's decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\alpha \\ \alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}, a \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \oplus \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \nu \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \nu \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\beta = \left\{ Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

form a basis of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Furthermore, they brackets are given by

$$[Y, H] = 2YX + 4Z, [Y, Z] = -H, [H, Z] = 2Z.$$

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear vector field on  $\text{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  its derivation. Then there exist a right invariant vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(X)$ . Write  $X$  as

$$X = aY + bH + cZ, a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the basis  $\beta$ , the matrix of derivation is written as

$$\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(X) = \begin{pmatrix} 2b & -2a & 0 \\ -c & 0 & a \\ 4b & -4a + 2c & -2b \end{pmatrix}.$$

From this we see that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{D}$  are

$$\left\{0, -2\sqrt{-a^2 + ac + b^2}, 2\sqrt{-a^2 + ac + b^2}\right\}. \quad (2)$$

We are in a position to show a condition to an orbit of linear flow be periodic.

**Proposition 4.2** *Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear vector field on  $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  and  $X$  its associated right invariant vector field. Write  $X = aY + bH + cZ$  in the basis  $\beta$  of  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ . Orbits of the linear flow  $\varphi_t$  of  $\mathcal{X}$  that are not fixed points are periodic if  $a^2 > ac + b^2$ .*

**Proof:** It is a direct application of Theorem 3.3 with eigenvalues (2).  $\square$

The meaning of Propositions above is that to study periodic orbits of invariant and linear flows on  $\mathrm{Sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$  are necessary to consider the compact, abelian, and nilpotent parts of Iwasawa's decomposition. In other words, we need to consider a derivation  $\mathcal{D} = -\mathrm{ad}(X)$  with  $X = H + A + N$  where  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ ,  $A \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $N \in \mathfrak{n}$ .

## 5 Periodic orbits for linear flow on low dimension Lie group

In this section we study periodic orbits of linear flow on connected, simply connected, and solvable Lie groups of dimension 2 or 3. In the semisimple case,  $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$  was studied in the section above, and the unitary group  $SU(2)$  and the orthogonal group  $SO(3)$  were studied in [11].

### 5.1 Dimension 2

Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra of dimension 2. It is well know that there exists two possibilities of Lie algebras: abelian and solvable.

#### 5.1.1 Abelian case

In this case any derivation is given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

A simple account shows that the eigenvalues are

$$\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(a + d - \sqrt{(a - d)^2 + 4bc}\right), \frac{1}{2}\left(a + d + \sqrt{(a - d)^2 + 4bc}\right)\right\}.$$

By Theorem 3.3, the linear flow associated for the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  has periodic orbits if  $a + d = 0$  and  $(a - d)^2 + 4bc < 0$ .



### 5.1.2 Solvable case

The solvable, connected, simply connected Lie group of dimension 2 is the affine group  $Aff_0(2)$ . In [5], it is showed that derivations are inner and they are given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that eigenvalues are  $\{0, d\}$ . By Corollary 3.4, any linear flow in  $Aff_0(2)$  do not have periodic orbit.

## 5.2 Dimension 3

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group of dimension 3. In [9] it is classified all connected Lie groups of dimension 3, and in [2] we find a clear presentation of this classification. In [2], we find that for an (appropriate) ordered basis  $(E_1, E_2, E_3)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie bracket of a connected Lie group of dimension 3 is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [E_1, E_2] &= n_3 E_3 \\ [E_3, E_1] &= a E_1 + n_2 E_2 \\ [E_2, E_3] &= n_1 E_1 - a E_2, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where  $a, n_1, n_2$  e  $n_3$  are given by table 1 in [2]. In each case study bellow, we give values of  $a, n_1, n_2$  and  $n_3$ .

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a derivation on Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In the basis  $(E_1, E_2, E_3)$ , we can write the derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}(E_1) &= x_1 E_1 + y_1 E_2 + z_1 E_3 \\ \mathcal{D}(E_2) &= x_2 E_1 + y_2 E_2 + z_2 E_3 \\ \mathcal{D}(E_3) &= x_3 E_1 + y_3 E_2 + z_3 E_3 \end{aligned}$$

with  $x_1, x_2, x_3, y_1, y_2, y_3, z_1, z_2, z_3 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Applying Lie Brackets (3) at equalities

$$\mathcal{D}[E_i, E_j] = [\mathcal{D}(E_i), E_j] + [E_j, \mathcal{D}(E_i)], \quad \text{for } i, j = 1, 2, 3 \quad \text{and } i \neq j,$$

we obtain the following equation system

$$\begin{cases} n_3 x_3 + n_1 z_1 + a z_2 &= 0 \\ n_3 y_3 + n_2 z_3 - a z_1 &= 0 \\ n_3 z_3 - n_3 x_1 - n_3 y_2 &= 0 \\ n_2 x_2 + n_1 y_1 - a z_3 &= 0 \\ n_2 y_2 - n_2 x_1 - n_2 z_3 &= 0 \\ n_2 z_2 + n_3 y_3 + a z_1 &= 0 \\ n_1 x_1 - n_1 y_2 - n_1 z_3 &= 0 \\ n_1 y_1 + n_2 x_2 + a z_3 &= 0 \\ -n_2 x_2 + n_3 x_3 - a y_2 &= 0. \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Thus, taking values to  $a, n_1, n_2$  and  $n_3$  in Table 1 in [2] we can find derivations in each class of connected, simply connected Lie groups of dimension 3.

### 5.2.1 Type $3\mathfrak{g}_1$ (Abelian Groups)

In this class the simply connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \cong 3\mathfrak{g}_1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Lie brackets (3) assume values  $a = n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 0$ . Trivially, by linear system (4), the matrix of any derivation is written as

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ z_1 & z_3 & z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The characteristic polynomial of  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $p(\lambda) = -\lambda^3 + \text{tr}(\mathcal{D})\lambda^2 + A\lambda + \det(\mathcal{D})$  where  $A = x_2y_1 - x_1y_2 + x_3z_1 - x_1z_3 + y_3z_2 - y_2z_3$ . By Theorem 3.3, we want to work with derivation with one null eigenvalue and two conjugate complex eigenvalues. It is direct that  $\det(\mathcal{D}) = 0$ . Then  $p(\lambda) = \lambda(-\lambda^2 + \text{tr}(\mathcal{D})\lambda + A)$  where  $A = x_2y_1 - x_1y_2 + x_3z_1 - x_1z_3 + y_3z_2 - y_2z_3$ . Now consider the polynomial  $q(\lambda) = -\lambda^2 + \text{tr}(\mathcal{D})\lambda + A$ . A simple account shows that the roots are  $\lambda = (\text{tr}(\mathcal{D}) \pm \sqrt{\text{tr}(\mathcal{D})^2 + 4A})/2$ . By Theorem 3.3 again, we want that  $\text{tr}(\mathcal{D}) = 0$ , which implies that  $\lambda_1 = \sqrt{A}$  and  $\lambda_2 = -\sqrt{A}$ . Summarizing, we have the conditions to the linear flow has periodic orbits.

**Proposition 5.1** *Let  $\varphi_t$  be a linear flow on the simply connected with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,6}$ . Then  $\varphi_t$  has periodic orbit if  $\text{tr}(\mathcal{D}) = \det(\mathcal{D}) = 0$  and  $x_2y_1 - y_1x_2 + x_3z_1 - x_1z_3 + y_3z_2 - y_2z_3 < 0$ .*

Now let  $X$  be a invariant vector field. Since  $3\mathfrak{g}_1$  is abelian, it follows that  $\text{ad}(X) = 0$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{D} = -\text{ad}(X) = 0$ . From Theorem 3.5 we conclude that the invariant flow  $\exp(tX)$  is not periodic. It means that the existence of periodic orbits for linear flow does not imply the existence of periodic orbits for invariant flow.

### 5.3 Type $\mathfrak{g}_{2,1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$

If a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{2,1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$ , then the semisimple Lie group  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})_0 \times \mathbb{R}$ . In this case, the Lie bracket (3) is characterized by  $a = 1$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = -1$ , and  $n_3 = 0$ . From linear system (4) it follows that the matrix of any derivation is

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_1 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its eigenvalues are  $\{0, x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2\}$ .

**Proposition 5.2** *Any linear flow on a Lie group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{2,1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$  do not have periodic orbits.*

#### 5.3.1 Type $\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$

The simply connected, matrix group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$  is isomorphic to Heisenberg group  $H_3$ . In this case, we adopt  $a = 0$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = 0$ , and  $n_3 = 0$ .

From linear system (4) we see that the matrix of any derivation is written as

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 + z_3 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & y_2 & y_3 \\ 0 & z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The eigenvalues of derivation  $\mathcal{D}$  are

$$\left\{ y_2 + z_3, \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( y_2 + z_3 - \sqrt{(y_2 - z_3)^2 + 4x_3z_2} \right), \quad \frac{1}{2} \left( y_2 + z_3 + \sqrt{(y_2 - z_3)^2 + 4x_3z_2} \right) \right\}.$$

**Proposition 5.3** *Let  $\varphi_t$  be a linear flow on a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,1}$ . Then  $\varphi_t$  has periodic orbit if  $y_2 + z_3 = 0$  and  $(y_2 - z_3)^2 + 4x_3z_2 < 0$ .*

#### 5.4 Type $\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$

The simply connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$  is isomorphic to  $G_{3,2}$ . The Lie bracket is given by  $a = 1$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = 0$ , and  $n_3 = 0$ . It follows, by linear system (4), that the matrix of any derivation is written as

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 0 & 0 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its eigenvalues are  $\{0, 0, 0\}$ .

**Proposition 5.4** *Let  $\varphi_t$  be a linear flow on a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$ . Then  $\varphi_t$  do not have periodic orbits.*

#### 5.5 Type $\mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$

The simply connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra of  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$  is isomorphic to  $G_{3,3}$  and its Lie bracket is given by  $a = 1$ ,  $n_1 = 0$ ,  $n_2 = 0$ , and  $n_3 = 0$ . From linear system (4) we deuce that the matrix of any derivation is given by

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ y_1 & y_2 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its eigenvalues are

$$\left\{ 0, \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 + y_2 - \sqrt{(x_2 - y_2)^2 + 4x_2y_1} \right), \frac{1}{2} \left( x_1 + y_2 + \sqrt{(x_2 - y_2)^2 + 4x_2y_1} \right) \right\}.$$

**Proposition 5.5** *Let  $\varphi_t$  be a linear flow on a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,3}$ . Then  $\varphi_t$  has periodic orbit if  $x_1 + y_2 = 0$  and  $(x_2 - y_2)^2 + 4x_2y_1 < 0$ .*

### 5.6 Type $\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^0$

In this class, any simply connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^0$  is isomorphic to  $SE(1,1)$ . Furthermore, Lie bracket is obtained by  $a = 0$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = -1$ , and  $n_3 = 0$ . From linear system (4) we see that the matrix of any derivation is

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ x_2 & x_1 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and its eigenvalues are  $\{0, x_1 - x_2, x_1 + x_2\}$ .

**Proposition 5.6** *Any linear flow on a Lie group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^0$  do not have periodic orbits.*

### 5.7 Type $\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^a$

Here, we have a family of Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^a$  given by conditions  $a > 0$  and  $a \neq 1$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = -1$ , and  $n_3 = 0$ . Furthermore, a simply connected Lie group  $G$  with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^a$  is isomorphic to  $G_{3,4}^a$ . By linear system (4), the matrix of any derivation is written as

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} -y_2 & ay_2 & x_3 \\ ay_2 & y_2 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields as eigenvalues  $\{0, -\sqrt{(1+a)y_2^2}, \sqrt{(1+a)y_2^2}\}$ .

**Proposition 5.7** *For  $a > 0$  and  $a \neq 1$ , any linear flow on a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,4}^a$  do not have periodic orbits.*

### 5.8 Type $\mathfrak{g}_{3,5}^a$

A family of Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,5}^a$  is characterize by  $a > 0$ ,  $n_1 = 1$ ,  $n_2 = 1$ , and  $n_3 = 0$ . In this case, simply connected Lie groups with Lie algebra are isomorphic to  $G_{3,5}^a$ . Solving linear system (4) we can write the matrix of any derivation as

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} y_2 & -ay_2 & x_3 \\ ay_2 & -ay_2 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields as eigenvalues

$$\{0, \frac{1}{2} \left( (-a-1)y_2 - \sqrt{-2ay_2y_2 - 3ay_2^2 + y_2^2} \right), \frac{1}{2} \left( (-a-1)y_2 + \sqrt{-2ay_2y_2 - 3ay_2^2 + y_2^2} \right)\}.$$

By Theorem 3.3, we must have  $(-a-1)y_2 = 0$  for the linear flow  $\varphi_t$  to have periodic orbits. Since  $a > 0$ , it implies that  $-a-1 \neq 0$ . We thus get  $y_2 = 0$ .

**Proposition 5.8** *Any linear flow on a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra of type  $\mathfrak{g}_{3,5}^a$  for some  $a > 0$  do not have periodic orbits.*

## References

- [1] Ayala, V; Tirao, J, *Linear control systems on Lie groups and controllability*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 64 (1999), 47-64.
- [2] Biggs, Rory; Remsing, Claudiu C., *Control systems on three-dimensional Lie groups: equivalence and controllability*,. Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems. July 2014. DOI: 10.1007/10883-014-9212-0.
- [3] F. Cardetti and D. Mittenhuber, *Local controllability for linear control systems on Lie groups*, Journal of Dynamical and Control Systems; vol. 11, No. 3., 353-373
- [4] A. W. Knap, *Lie groups beyond an introduction*, Progress in Mathematics, 140, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1996. MR1399083
- [5] Ph. Jouan; *Equivalence of Control Systems with Linear Systems on Lie Groups and Homogeneous Spaces*, Dynamics and Control Systems, 17 (2011) 591-616.
- [6] C., Chicone ; *Ordinary differentiation with applications*. Springer, New York, 2006.
- [7] F. Colonius and W. Kliemman, *Dynamical System and Linear Algebra*, Graduate studies in mathematics; volume 158, AMS, 2014.
- [8] C. Robinson, *Dynamical Systems. Stability, Symbolic Dynamics, and Chaos*, 2nd Edition, CRC Press, London, (1999).
- [9] A. L. Onishchik and E. B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III- Structure of Lie Groups and Lie Algebras*, Berlin: Springer (1990).
- [10] L.B. A. San Martin, *Grupos de Lie*, Editora da Unicamp, Campinas, (2017).
- [11] S.N. Stelmastchuk, *Linear flows on compact, semisimple Lie groups: stability, periodic orbits, and Poincaré-Bendixon's Theorem*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1806.08026>.