

# PERIODS AND $(\chi, b)$ -FACTORS OF CUSPIDAL AUTOMORPHIC FORMS OF METAPLECTIC GROUPS

CHENYAN WU

**ABSTRACT.** We give constraints on existence of  $(\chi, b)$ -factors in the global  $A$ -parameter of a genuine cuspidal automorphic representation  $\sigma$  of the metaplectic group in terms of the invariant, lowest occurrence index, of theta lifts to odd orthogonal groups. We also give a refined result that relates the invariant, first occurrence index, to non-vanishing of period integral of residue of Eisenstein series associated to the cuspidal datum  $\chi \otimes \sigma$ . This complements our previous results for symplectic groups.

## INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a classical group over a number field  $F$ . Let  $\mathbb{A}$  be the ring of adèles of  $F$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphic representation of  $G(\mathbb{A})$  in the discrete spectrum, though later we consider only cuspidal automorphic representations. By the theory of endoscopic classification developed by [Art13] and extended by [Mok15, KMSW], one attaches a global  $A$ -parameter to  $\sigma$ . These works depend on the stabilisation of the twisted trace formula which has been established by a series of works by Mœglin and Waldspurger. We refer the readers to their books [MW16a, MW16b]. Via the Shimura-Waldspurger correspondence of the metaplectic group  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ , which is the non-trivial double cover of the symplectic group  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ , and odd orthogonal groups, Gan and Ichino[GI18] also attached global  $A$ -parameters to genuine automorphic representations of  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$  in the discrete spectrum. This depends on the choice of an additive character  $\psi$  that is used in the Shimura-Waldspurger correspondence. In this introduction, we also let  $G$  denote  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$  by abuse of notation and we suppress the dependence on  $\psi$ . The  $A$ -parameter  $\phi(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$  is a formal sum

$$\phi(\sigma) = \boxplus_i (\tau_i, b_i)$$

---

*Date:* September 19, 2021.

*Key words and phrases.* Arthur Parameters, Poles of L-functions, Periods of Automorphic Forms, Theta Correspondence, Arthur Truncation of Eisenstein Series and Residues.

The research is supported in part by General Program of National Natural Science Foundation of China (11771086), National Natural Science Foundation of China (#11601087) and by Program of Shanghai Academic/Technology Research Leader (#16XD1400400).

where  $\tau_i$  is an irreducible conjugate self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of some  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{A}_E)$ ,  $b_i$  is a positive integer which represents the unique  $b_i$ -dimensional irreducible representation of Arthur's  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ , together with some conditions on  $(\tau_i, b_i)$  so that the type is compatible with the type of the dual group of  $G$ . (Here  $E$  is a quadratic extension of  $F$  when  $G$  is a unitary group and  $E = F$  otherwise.) The exact conditions for  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$  are spelled out in Sec. 2. Here we have adopted the notation of [Jia14] where the theory of  $(\tau, b)$  was introduced.

One principle of the  $(\tau, b)$ -theory is that if  $\phi(\sigma)$  has  $(\tau, b)$  as a simple factor, then there should exist a kernel function constructed out of  $(\tau, b)$  that transfers  $\sigma$  to a certain automorphic representation  $\mathcal{D}(\sigma)$  in the  $A$ -packet attached to ' $\phi(\sigma) \boxminus (\tau, b)$ ', i.e.,  $\phi(\sigma)$  with the factor  $(\tau, b)$  removed. From the work of Rallis[Ral82] and Kudla-Rallis[KR94], it is clear when  $\tau$  is a character  $\chi$ , the kernel function is the theta kernel possibly twisted by  $\chi$ . (See also Sec. 7 of [Jia14].)

Now we focus on the case of metaplectic group. We will put  $\psi$  back into notation to emphasise, for example, that the  $L$ -functions etc depend on it. Let  $X$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic space. We will now write  $\tilde{G}(X)$  for  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$  to match the notation in the body of the article.

As the poles of the tensor product  $L$ -function  $L_\psi(s, \sigma \times \tau)$  detect the existence of a  $(\tau, b)$ -factor in the  $A$ -parameter  $\phi_\psi(\sigma)$ , it is natural to consider invariants of theta correspondence when  $\tau$  is a quadratic automorphic character  $\chi$  of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A})$ . This is because by the regularised Rallis inner product formula[KR94] which was proved using the regularised Siegel-Weil formula also proved there, the partial  $L$ -function  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  detects whether theta lifts to the odd orthogonal groups in certain Witt towers vanish or not. The complete theory that interprets the existence of poles or non-vanishing of the complete  $L$ -function as obstruction to the local-global principle of the theta correspondence was done in [Yam14] and then extended to the 'second term' range by [GQT14].

Let  $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma)$  denote the lowest occurrence index of  $\sigma$  in the theta correspondence to all Witt towers associated to the quadratic character  $\chi$ . (See Sec. 4 for the precise definition.) Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  denote the set of all irreducible genuine cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$ . We note that there is no algebraic group  $\tilde{G}(X)$  and that the notation is used purely for aesthetic reason. Then we get the following constraint on  $(\chi, b)$ -factors of  $\sigma$  in terms of the invariant  $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma)$ .

**Theorem 0.1** (Thm. 4.4). *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and  $\chi$  be a quadratic automorphic character. Let  $\phi(\sigma)$  denote the  $A$ -parameter of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $\psi$ . Then*

- (1) If  $\phi(\sigma)$  has a  $(\chi, b)$ -factor, then  $\mathrm{LO}_{\chi, \psi}(\sigma) \leq \dim X - b + 1$ .
- (2) If  $\mathrm{LO}_{\chi, \psi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 < \dim X + 2$ , then  $\phi(\sigma)$  cannot have a  $(\chi, b)$ -factor with  $b > \dim X - 2j$ .
- (3) If  $\mathrm{LO}_{\chi, \psi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 > \dim X + 2$ , then  $\phi(\sigma)$  cannot have a  $(\chi, b)$ -factor for any  $b$ .

*Remark 0.2.* Coupled with results of [JW18], we see that in both  $\mathrm{Sp}$  and  $\mathrm{Mp}$  cases, if  $\phi(\sigma)$  has a  $(\chi, b)$ -factor, then  $\mathrm{LO}_{\chi, \psi}(\sigma) \leq \dim X - b + 1$ .

Following an idea of Mœglin[Mœg97] who considered the even orthogonal and the symplectic case, we consider, instead of the partial  $L$ -function  $L^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$ , the Eisenstein series attached to the cuspidal datum  $\chi \otimes \sigma$  (c.f. Sec. 3). We get much more precise relation between poles of the Eisenstein series and  $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma)$ . This line of investigation has been taken up by [GJS09] in the odd orthogonal group case and by [JW16] in the unitary group case. We treat the metaplectic group case in this paper. Let  $\mathcal{P}_1(\chi, \sigma)$  denote the set of all positive poles of the Eisenstein series we consider.

**Theorem 0.3** (Thm. 6.4). *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and let  $s_0$  be the maximal element in  $\mathcal{P}_1(\chi, \sigma)$ . Write  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$ . Then*

- (1)  $j$  is an integer such that  $\frac{1}{4}(\dim X - 2) \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1)$ .
- (2)  $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1$ .

*Remark 0.4.* Coupled with results of [JW18], we see that  $s_0$  is a half integer in  $(0, \frac{1}{4} \dim X + 1]$  in the  $\mathrm{Mp}$  case and an integer in the same range in the  $\mathrm{Sp}$  case; and  $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = \dim X + 2 - 2s_0$  in both cases.

It is known by Langlands' theory[Lan71] that the pole in the theorem is simple. (See also [MW95].) We note that the equality in the theorem is actually informed by considering periods of residue of the Eisenstein series. We get only the upper bound  $2j + 1$  by considering only poles of Eisenstein series. The odd orthogonal case was considered in [GJS09] and the symplectic case in [JW18]. The unitary case is work in progress[JW14] where some technicality of the period integrals is still to be worked out. In this paper, we extend all results in the symplectic case to the metaplectic case to complete the other half of the picture. As pointed out in [Gan12], unlike the orthogonal or symplectic case, the local factors change in the metaplectic case when taking contragredient. Thus it is important, in the present case, to employ complex conjugation in the definition of the global theta lift.

Let  $\mathrm{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma)$  denote the first occurrence index of  $\sigma$  in the Witt tower of  $Y$  where  $Y$  is a quadratic space (c.f. Sec. 4). We get a result on  $\mathrm{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma)$  and non-vanishing of periods of residue of the Eisenstein series (or distinction by subgroups of  $G(X)$ ). Note that  $\mathrm{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma)$  is a finer invariant than  $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma)$

and that non-vanishing of periods of residues is finer information than that of having simple poles. The following theorem is part of Cor. 6.3. Let  $\mathcal{E}_{s_0}(g, f_s)$  denote the residue of the Eisenstein series at  $s = s_0$  and let  $\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)$  be the theta function attached to the Schwartz function  $\Phi$ . The space  $X_1$  is the symplectic space formed from adjoining a hyperbolic plane to  $X$  and  $Z_1 \subset X_1$  is formed similarly. The group  $J(Z_1, L)$  is the subgroup of  $G(Z_1)$  that fixes a totally isotropic subspace  $L$  of  $Z$  element-wise.

**Theorem 0.5.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and let  $Y$  be an anisotropic quadratic space of odd dimension. Assume that  $\text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma) = \dim Y + 2r$ . Let  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - (\dim Y + 2r) + 2)$ . Assume that  $s_0 > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}_{s_0}(g, f_s)\overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)}$  is  $J(Z_1, L)$ -distinguished for some choice of data where  $Z$  is some sub-symplectic space of  $X$  and  $L$  is some isotropic subspace of  $Z$  such that  $\dim X - \dim Z + \dim L = r$  and  $\dim L \equiv r \pmod{2}$ .*

We hope our period integrals can inform problems in Arithmetic Geometry. For example, similar work in [GJS09] has been used in [BMM16]. (See also [BMM17] and [HH12]). It should be pointed out that unlike the orthogonal or the unitary case, our period integrals may involve a Jacobi group  $J(Z_1, L)$  when  $L$  is non-trivial (which occurs half of the time). This is to account for the lack of ‘odd symplectic group’.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 1, we set up some common notation and in Sec. 2, we describe the global  $A$ -parameters of genuine automorphic representations of the metaplectic group following [GI18]. In Sec. 3, we introduce the Eisenstein series attached to the cuspidal datum  $\chi \otimes \sigma$  and deduce results on its maximal pole. In Sec. 4, we define the two invariants, the first occurrence and the lowest occurrence of theta lifts, and we are able to show that the lowest occurrence index poses constraints on existence of  $(\chi, b)$ -factors in the  $A$ -parameter of  $\sigma$ . Then in Sec. 5, we turn to study Fourier coefficients of theta lifts and we get results on vanishing and non-vanishing of certain periods on  $\sigma$ . Inspired by these period integrals, in Sec. 6, we study the augmented integrals which are periods of residues of Eisenstein series. We make use of Arthur truncation to regularise the integrals. We derive results on first occurrence index and vanishing and non-vanishing of periods of residues of Eisenstein series. Due to the use of Arthur truncation, we need to compute many integrals in order to evaluate periods in Sec. 6. We devote Sec. 7 to the computation.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author would like to thank her post-doctoral mentor, Dihua Jiang, for introducing her to the subject and leading her down this fun field of research.

## 1. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

Since this article aims at generalising results in [JW18] to the case of metaplectic groups, we will adopt similar notation to what was used there.

Let  $F$  be a number field and  $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}_F$  be its ring of adeles. Let  $(X, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X)$  be a non-degenerate symplectic space over  $F$ . For a non-negative integer  $a$ , let  $\mathcal{H}_a$  be the direct sum of  $a$  copies of the hyperbolic plane. We form the augmented symplectic space  $X_a$  by adjoining  $\mathcal{H}_a$  to  $X$ . Let  $e_1^+, \dots, e_a^+, e_1^-, \dots, e_a^-$  be a basis of  $\mathcal{H}_a$  such that  $\langle e_i^+, e_j^- \rangle = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\langle e_i^+, e_j^+ \rangle = \langle e_i^-, e_j^- \rangle = 0$ , for  $i, j = 1, \dots, a$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta. Let  $\ell_a^+$  (resp.  $\ell_a^-$ ) be the span of  $e_i^+$ 's (resp.  $e_i^-$ 's). Then  $\mathcal{H}_a = \ell_a^+ \oplus \ell_a^-$  is a polarisation of  $\mathcal{H}_a$ . Let  $G(X_a)$  be the isometry group of  $X_a$  with action on the right.

Let  $L$  be an isotropic subspace of  $X$ . Let  $Q(X, L)$  denote the parabolic subgroup of  $G(X)$  that stabilises  $L$  and let  $N(X, L)$  denote its unipotent radical. We write  $Q_a$  (resp.  $N_a$ ) for  $Q(X_a, \ell_a^-)$  (resp.  $N(X_a, \ell_a^-)$ ) as shorthand. We also write  $M_a$  for the Levi subgroup of  $Q_a$  such that with respect to the 'basis'  $\ell_a^+, X, \ell_a^-, M_a$  consists of elements of the form

$$m(x, h) = \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & h & \\ & & x^* \end{pmatrix} \in G(X_a)$$

for  $x \in \mathrm{GL}_a$  and  $h \in G(X)$  where  $x^*$  is the adjoint of  $x$ . Fix a maximal compact subgroup  $K_{G(X), v}$  of  $G(X)(F_v)$  for each place  $v$  of  $F$  and set  $K_{G(X)} = \prod_v K_{G(X), v}$ . We require that  $K_{G(X)}$  is good in the sense that the Iwasawa decomposition holds and that it is compatible with Levi decomposition (c.f. [MW95, I.1.4]). Most often we abbreviate  $K_{G(X_a)}$  as  $K_a$ .

Next we describe the metaplectic groups. Let  $v$  be a place of  $F$ . Let  $\tilde{G}(X_a)(F_v)$  denote the metaplectic double cover of  $G(X_a)(F_v)$ . It sits in the unique non-trivial central extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow \tilde{G}(X_a)(F_v) \rightarrow G(X_a)(F_v) \rightarrow 1.$$

unless  $F_v = \mathbb{C}$ , in which case, the double cover splits. Let  $\tilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  be the double cover of  $G(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  defined as the restricted product of  $\tilde{G}(X_a)(F_v)$  over all places  $v$  modulo the group

$$\{(\zeta_v) \in \oplus_v \mu_2 \mid \prod_v \zeta_v = 1\}.$$

We note that there is a canonical lift of  $G(X)(F)$  to  $\tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$  and that  $\tilde{G}(X)(F_v)$  splits uniquely over any unipotent subgroup of  $G(X)(F_v)$ .

Fix a non-trivial additive character  $\psi : F \setminus \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^1$ . It will be used in the construction of Weil representation that underlies the theta correspondence

and the definition of Arthur parameter for cuspidal automorphic representations of the metaplectic group. For any subgroup  $H$  of  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  (resp.  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(F_v)$ ) we write  $\widetilde{H}$  for its preimage in  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  (resp.  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(F_v)$ ). We note that  $\mathrm{GL}_a(F_v)$  occurs as a direct factor of  $M_a(F_v)$  and on  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_a(F_v)$  multiplication is given by

$$(g_1, \zeta_1)(g_2, \zeta_2) = (g_1 g_2, \zeta_1 \zeta_2 (\det(g_1), \det(g_2))_v)$$

which has a Hilbert symbol twist when multiplying the  $\mu_2$ -part. Analogous to the notation  $m(x, h)$  above, we write

$$\widetilde{m}(x, h, \zeta) = \left( \begin{pmatrix} x & & \\ & h & \\ & & x^* \end{pmatrix}, \zeta \right) \in \widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$$

for  $x \in \mathrm{GL}_a(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $h \in G(X)(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\zeta \in \mu_2$ . There is also a local version.

As we integrate over automorphic quotients often, we write  $[G]$  for  $G(F) \backslash G(\mathbb{A})$  if  $G$  is an algebraic group and  $[\widetilde{G}]$  for  $G(F) \backslash \widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A})$  if  $\widetilde{G}(\mathbb{A})$  covers a subgroup  $G(\mathbb{A})$  of a metaplectic group.

Let  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\widetilde{G}(X))$  denote the set of all irreducible genuine cuspidal automorphic representations of  $\widetilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$ . We note that there is no algebraic group  $\widetilde{G}(X)$  and that it is used purely for aesthetic reason.

## 2. ARTHUR PARAMETERS

We recall the description of  $A$ -parameters attached to genuine irreducible cuspidal automorphic representations of metaplectic groups from [GI18]. The  $A$ -parameters are defined via those attached to irreducible automorphic representations of odd special orthogonal groups via theta correspondence. In this section, we write  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$  rather than  $\widetilde{G}(X)$ .

The global elliptic  $A$ -parameters  $\phi$  for  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$  are of the form:

$$\phi = \boxplus_i (\tau_i, b_i)$$

where

- $\tau_i$  is an irreducible self-dual cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}(\mathbb{A})$ ;
- $b_i$  is a positive integer which represents the unique  $b_i$ -dimensional irreducible representation of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ ;

such that

- $\sum_i n_i b_i = 2n$ ;
- if  $b_i$  is odd, then  $\tau_i$  is symplectic, that is,  $L(s, \tau_i, \wedge^2)$  has a pole at  $s = 1$ ;
- if  $b_i$  is even, then  $\tau_i$  is orthogonal, that is,  $L(s, \tau_i, \mathrm{Sym}^2)$  has a pole at  $s = 1$ ;

- the factors  $(\tau_i, b_i)$  are pairwise distinct.

Given a global elliptic  $A$ -parameters  $\phi$  for  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$ , for each place  $v$  of  $F$ , we can attach a local  $A$ -parameter

$$\phi_v = \boxplus_i(\phi_{i,v}, b_i)$$

where  $\phi_{i,v}$  is the  $L$ -parameter of  $\tau_{i,v}$  given by the local Langlands correspondence [Lan89, HT01, Hen00, Sch13] for  $\mathrm{GL}_{n_i}$ . We can write the local  $A$ -parameter  $\phi_v$  as a homomorphism

$$\phi_v : L_{F_v} \times \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C})$$

where  $L_{F_v}$  is the local Langlands group, which is the Weil-Deligne group of  $F_v$  for  $v$  non-archimedean and is the Weil group of  $F_v$  for  $v$  archimedean. We associate to it the  $L$ -parameter

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\phi_v} : L_{F_v} &\rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(\mathbb{C}) \\ w &\mapsto \phi_v(w, \begin{pmatrix} |w|^{\frac{1}{2}} & \\ & |w|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $L^2(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  denote the subspace of  $L^2(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(F) \backslash \mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A}))$  on which  $\mu_2$  acts as the non-trivial character. Let  $L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  denote the subspace of  $L^2(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  that is the direct sum of all irreducible sub-representations of  $L^2(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  under the action of  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$ . Let  $L^2_{\phi, \psi}(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  denote subspace of  $L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  generated by the full near equivalence class of  $\pi \subset L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  such that the  $L$ -parameter of  $\pi_v$  with respect to  $\psi$  is  $\varphi_{\phi_v}$  for almost all  $v$ . We note here that for a genuine irreducible automorphic representation of the metaplectic group, its  $L$ -parameter depends on the choice of an additive character  $\psi$ . If one changes  $\psi$  to  $\psi_a := \psi(a \cdot)$ , the  $L$ -parameter changes in the way prescribed in [GI18, Remark 1.3]. See also [GS]. Then we have the decomposition:

**Theorem 2.1** ([GI18, Theorem 1.1]).

$$L^2_{\mathrm{disc}}(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}) = \bigoplus_{\phi} L^2_{\phi, \psi}(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}).$$

where  $\phi$  runs over global elliptic  $A$ -parameters for  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$ .

Thus we see that the  $A$ -parameter of  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\mathrm{Mp}_{2n})$  has a factor of the form  $(\chi, b)$  where  $\chi$  is a quadratic automorphic character of  $\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A})$  and  $b$  maximal among all factors of the form  $(\chi, \cdot)$  if and only if the partial  $L$ -function  $L^S_{\psi}(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  has its rightmost pole at  $s = (b + 1)/2$ . The additive character  $\psi$  used in the definition of the  $L$ -function is required to agree with the one used in defining the  $A$ -parameter of  $\sigma$ .

## 3. EISENSTEIN SERIES

For  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\text{Mp}_{2n})$  and a quadratic automorphic character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ , the  $L$ -function  $L_\psi(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  appears in the constant term of Eisenstein series on  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  attached to the maximal parabolic subgroup  $Q_a$ . We study the poles of these Eisenstein series in this section.

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{M_a}^* = \text{Rat}(M_a) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_{M_a, \mathbb{C}}^* = \text{Rat}(M_a) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}$  where  $\text{Rat}(M_a)$  denotes the group of rational characters of  $M_a$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{M_a} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\text{Rat}(M_a), \mathbb{R})$ . As  $Q_a$  is a maximal parabolic subgroup,  $\mathfrak{a}_{M_a}^* \cong \mathbb{R}$  and we identify  $\mathfrak{a}_{M_a, \mathbb{C}}^*$  with  $\mathbb{C}$  via  $s \mapsto s \left( \frac{\dim X + a + 1}{2} \right)^{-1} \rho_{Q_a}$ , following [Sha10], where  $\rho_{Q_a}$  is the half sum of the positive roots in  $N_a$ . Thus we may regard  $\rho_{Q_a}$  as the number  $(\dim X + a + 1)/2$ . In fact, it is clear that  $\rho_{Q_a}(m(x, h)) = |\det x|_{\mathbb{A}}^{(\dim X + a + 1)/2}$  for  $m(x, h) \in M_a(\mathbb{A})$ .

Let  $H_a$  be the homomorphism  $M_a(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{M_a}$  such that for  $m \in M_a(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\xi \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_a}^*$  we have  $\exp(\langle H_a(m), \xi \rangle) = \prod_v |\xi(m_v)|_v$ . We may think of  $H_a(m(x, h)) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_a} \cong \mathbb{R}$  as  $\log(|\det x|_{\mathbb{A}})$ . We extend  $H_a$  to  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  via the Iwasawa decomposition and then to  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  via projection.

Let  $\chi_\psi$  be the genuine character of  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_1(F_v)$  defined by

$$\chi_\psi((g, \zeta)) = \zeta \gamma(g, \psi_{1/2})^{-1}$$

where  $\gamma(\cdot, \psi_{1/2})$ , valued in the 4-th roots of unity, is defined via the Weil index. We note that the notation here agrees with that in [GI14, page 521]. Then via the determinant map, we get a genuine character of  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_a(F_v)$  which we also denote by  $\chi_\psi$ .

Let  $\sigma$  be a genuine cuspidal automorphic representation of  $\widetilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$ . Let  $\chi$  be a quadratic automorphic character of  $\text{GL}_a(\mathbb{A})$ . Then  $\chi \chi_\psi : (g, \zeta) \mapsto \chi(g) \chi_\psi((g, \zeta))$  gives a genuine automorphic character of  $\widetilde{\text{GL}}_a(\mathbb{A})$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_{a, \psi}(s, \chi, \sigma)$  denote the space of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued smooth functions  $f$  on  $N_a(\mathbb{A}) M_a(F) \backslash \widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  that satisfy the following properties:

- (1)  $f$  is right  $\widetilde{K}_a$ -finite;
- (2) for any  $(x, \zeta) \in \widetilde{\text{GL}}_a(\mathbb{A})$  and  $g \in \widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  we have

$$f(\widetilde{m}(x, I_X, \zeta)g) = \chi \chi_\psi((x, \zeta)) |\det(x)|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s + \rho_{Q_a}} f(g);$$

- (3) for any fixed  $k \in \widetilde{K}_a$ , the function  $h \mapsto f(\widetilde{m}(I_a, h, \zeta)k)$  on  $\widetilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$  is a smooth right  $\widetilde{K}_{G(X)}$ -finite vector in the space of  $\sigma$ .

Let  $f \in \mathcal{A}_{a, \psi}(0, \chi, \sigma)$  and  $s \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since the metaplectic group splits over  $G(X_a)(F)$ , we can form the Eisenstein series on the metaplectic group as in the case of non-cover group case:

$$E(g, s, f) = E(g, f_s) = \sum_{\gamma \in Q_a(F) \backslash G(X_a)(F)} f_s(\gamma g)$$

where  $f_s(g) = \exp(\langle H_a(g), s \rangle) f(g) \in \mathcal{A}_{a,\psi}(s, \chi, \sigma)$ . By the general theory of Eisenstein series, it is absolutely convergent for  $\mathrm{Re} s > \rho_{Q_a}$  and has meromorphic continuation to the whole  $s$ -plane with finitely many poles in the half plane  $\mathrm{Re} s > 0$ , which are all real in this case.

Let  $\mathcal{P}_{a,\psi}(\chi, \sigma)$  denote the set of positive poles of  $E(g, s, f)$  for  $f$  running over  $\mathcal{A}_{a,\psi}(0, \chi, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Assume that  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\psi}(\chi, \sigma)$  is non-empty and let  $s_0$  be its maximal member. Then for all integers  $a \geq 1$ ,  $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}_{a,\psi}(\chi, \sigma)$  and is its maximal member.*

*Proof.* We remark how to carry over the proofs in [Mœg97] which treated orthogonal/symplectic case and [JW16] which treated the unitary case. The proofs relied on considering constant terms of the Eisenstein series, which are just integrals over unipotent groups. Since the metaplectic group splits over the unipotent groups, the proofs carry over. We just need to replace occurrences of  $\chi$  in [JW16, Prop. 2.1] with the genuine character  $\chi\chi_\psi$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be the set of places of  $F$  that contains the archimedean places and outside of which  $\psi$ ,  $\chi$  and  $\sigma$  are unramified. Assume one of the followings.*

- *The partial L-function  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0 > \frac{1}{2}$  and that it is holomorphic for  $\mathrm{Re} s > s_0$ .*
- *The partial L-function  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  is non-vanishing at  $s = s_0 = \frac{1}{2}$  and is holomorphic for  $\mathrm{Re} s > \frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Then for all integers  $a \geq 1$ ,  $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \in \mathcal{P}_{a,\psi}(\sigma, \chi)$ .*

*Proof.* By Langlands' theory of Eisenstein series, the poles are determined by those of its constant terms. In our case, this amounts to studying the poles of the intertwining operator  $M(w, s)$  attached to the longest Weyl element  $w$  in the Bruhat decomposition of  $Q_a(F) \backslash G(X_a)(F)/Q_a(F)$ . Those attached to shorter Weyl elements will not be able to cancel out the pole at  $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$ . For  $v \notin S$ , the local intertwining operator  $M_v(w, s)$  sends the normalised spherical vector of to a multiple of the normalised spherical vector in another induced representation. The Gindikin-Karpelevich formula extended to Brylinski-Deligne extensions by [Gao18] shows that the ratio is

$$\prod_{1 \leq j \leq a} \frac{L_\psi^S(s - \frac{1}{2}(a-1) + j - 1, \sigma \times \chi)}{L_\psi^S(s - \frac{1}{2}(a-1) + j, \sigma \times \chi)} \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq a} \frac{\zeta^S(2s - (a-1) + i + j - 2)}{\zeta^S(2s - (a-1) + i + j - 1)}$$

where  $\zeta^S$  is the partial Dedekind zeta function. A little simplification shows that it is equal to  $I_1 \cdot I_2$  with

$$I_1 = \frac{L_\psi^S(s - \frac{1}{2}(a-1), \sigma \times \chi)}{L_\psi^S(s + \frac{1}{2}(a+1), \sigma \times \chi)}, \quad I_2 = \prod_{1 \leq j \leq a} \frac{\zeta^S(2s - (a-1) + j - 1)}{\zeta^S(2s - (a-1) + 2j - 1)}.$$

If we assume the first condition, then the numerator of  $I_1$  has a pole at  $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$  which cannot be cancelled out by other terms. If we assume the second condition, then  $\zeta^S(2s - (a-1))$  has a pole at  $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1)$  which cannot be cancelled out by other terms. Also note at ramified places the local intertwining operators are non-zero. Thus we have shown that  $s = s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a-1) \in \mathcal{P}_{a,\psi}(\sigma, \chi)$ .  $\square$

Next we related the Eisenstein series  $E(s, g, f) = E(g, f_s)$  to Siegel Eisenstein series on the ‘doubled group’ to glean more information on the location of poles.

Let  $X'$  be the symplectic space with the same underlying vector space as  $X$  but with the negative symplectic form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{X'} = -\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ . Let  $W = X \oplus X'$  and form  $W_a = \ell_a^+ \oplus W \oplus \ell_a^-$ . Let  $X^\Delta = \{(x, x) \in W | x \in X\}$  and  $X^\nabla = \{(x, -x) \in W | x \in X\}$ . Then  $W_a$  has the polarisation  $(X^\Delta \oplus \ell_a^+) \oplus (X^\nabla \oplus \ell_a^-)$ . Let  $P_a$  be the Siegel parabolic subgroup of  $G(W_a)$  that stabilises  $X^\nabla \oplus \ell_a^-$ . For a  $\widetilde{f}K_{G(W_a)}$ -finite section  $\mathbb{F}_s$  in  $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_a(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{G}(W_a)(\mathbb{A})} \chi\chi_\psi |_{\mathbb{A}}^s$ , we form the Siegel Eisenstein series  $E^{Pa}(\cdot, \mathbb{F}_s)$ .

Since  $G(X_a)$  acts on  $\ell_a^+ \oplus X \oplus \ell_a^-$  and  $G(X)$  acts on  $X'$ , we have the obvious embedding  $\iota : G(X_a)(\mathbb{A}) \times G(X)(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow G(W_a)(\mathbb{A})$  which induces a homomorphism  $\widetilde{\iota} : \widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A}) \times_{\mu_2} \widetilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \widetilde{G}(W_a)(\mathbb{A})$ . The cocycles for the cover groups are compatible by [RR93, Theorem 4.1].

The following is the metaplectic version of the [JW18, Proposition 2.3] whose proof generalises immediately. We note that the integrand is non-genuine, and thus descends to a function on  $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mathbb{F}_s$  be a  $\widetilde{K}_{G(W_a)}$ -finite section of  $\text{Ind}_{\widetilde{P}_a(\mathbb{A})}^{\widetilde{G}(W_a)(\mathbb{A})} \chi\chi_\psi |_{\mathbb{A}}^s$  and  $\phi \in \sigma$ . Define a function  $F_{\phi,s}$  on  $\widetilde{G}(X_a)(\mathbb{A})$  by*

$$(3.1) \quad F_{\phi,s}(g_a) = \int_{G(X)(\mathbb{A})} \mathbb{F}_s(\widetilde{\iota}(g_a, g))\phi(g)dg.$$

Then

- (1) *It is absolutely convergent for  $\text{Re } s > (\dim X + a + 1)/2$  and has meromorphic continuation to the whole  $s$ -plane;*
- (2) *It is a section in  $\mathcal{A}_{a,\psi}(s, \chi, \sigma)$ ;*

(3) *The following identity holds*

$$\int_{[G(X)]} E^{Pa}(\tilde{v}(g_a, g), \mathbb{F}_s) \phi(g) dg = E(g_a, F_{\phi, s}).$$

Now we list the location of possible poles of the Siegel Eisenstein series obtained in [Ike92, Ike96].

**Proposition 3.4.** *The poles with  $\mathrm{Re} s > 0$  of  $E^{Pa}(g, \mathbb{F}_s)$  are at most simple and are contained in the set*

$$\Xi_{m+a, \psi}(\chi) = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + a) - j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}, 0 \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + a) \right\}.$$

Combining the above propositions, we get:

**Proposition 3.5.** *The maximal member  $s_0$  of  $\mathcal{P}_{1, \psi}(\chi, \sigma)$  is of the form  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1)$ .*

*Proof.* By Prop. 3.1,  $s_0 + \frac{1}{2}(a - 1)$  is the maximal member of  $\mathcal{P}_{a, \psi}(\chi, \sigma)$ . To ensure that (3.1) provides enough sections for poles of the Siegel Eisenstein series to manifest, we need to take  $a$  large enough. See [JW18, Lemma 2.6] for details. Then by Prop. 3.3, it is a pole of the Siegel Eisenstein series whose possible poles are determined in Prop. 3.4. Thus  $s_0$  must be of the form  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$  for  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. FIRST OCCURRENCE AND LOWEST OCCURRENCE OF THETA CORRESPONDENCE

The locations of poles of the Eisenstein series defined in Sec. 3 are intimately related to the invariants, called the lowest occurrences of theta lifts, attached to  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$ . The lowest occurrences are defined via the more familiar notion of first occurrences. In Sec. 6, we will derive a relation between periods of residue of Eisenstein series and first occurrences.

First we review briefly the definition of theta lift. For each automorphic additive character  $\psi$  of  $\mathbb{A}$ , there is a Weil representation  $\omega_\psi$  of the metaplectic group  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}(\mathbb{A})$  unique up to isomorphism. In our case,  $X/F$  is a symplectic space and  $Y/F$  is a quadratic space. From them, we get the symplectic space  $Y \otimes X$ . We consider the Weil representation of  $\tilde{G}(Y \otimes X)(\mathbb{A})$  realised on the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}((Y \otimes X)^+(\mathbb{A}))$  where  $(Y \otimes X)^+$  denotes any maximal isotropic subspace of  $Y \otimes X$ . Different choices of isotropic subspaces give different Schwartz spaces which are intertwined by Fourier transforms. Thus the choice is not essential and sometimes we simply write  $\mathcal{S}_{X, Y}(\mathbb{A})$  for  $\mathcal{S}((Y \otimes X)^+(\mathbb{A}))$ .

Let  $G(Y)$  denote the isometric group of the quadratic space  $Y$ . We have the obvious homomorphism  $G(X)(\mathbb{A}) \times G(Y)(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(Y \otimes X)(\mathbb{A})$ . By [Kud94], there is a homomorphism  $\tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A}) \times G(Y)(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mp}(Y \otimes X)(\mathbb{A})$  that cover

it. Thus we arrive at the representation  $\omega_{\psi,X,Y}$  of  $\tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A}) \times G(Y)(\mathbb{A})$  on  $\mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$ , which is also called the Weil representation. The explicit formulae can be found in [Ike96], for example.

For  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$ ,  $g \in \tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$  and  $h \in G(Y)(\mathbb{A})$ , we form the theta series

$$\theta_{\psi,X,Y}(g, h, \Phi) = \sum_{w \in (Y \otimes X)^+(F)} \omega_{\psi,X,Y}(g, h)\Phi(w).$$

It is absolutely convergent and is automorphic in both  $\tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$  and  $G(Y)(\mathbb{A})$ . In general, it is of moderate growth.

With this we define the global theta lift. Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$ . For  $\phi \in \sigma$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X,Y}(\mathbb{A})$ , define

$$\theta_{\psi,X}^Y(h, \phi, \Phi) = \int_{[G(X)]} \theta_{\psi,X,Y}(g, h, \Phi) \overline{\phi(g)} dg.$$

The integrand is the product of two genuine functions on  $\tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$  and hence can be regarded as a function on  $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ . We define the global theta lift  $\theta_{\psi,X}^Y(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$  to be the space generated by the above integrals.

**Definition 4.1.** (1) The first occurrence index  $\text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma)$  of  $\sigma$  in the Witt tower of  $Y$  is defined to be

$$\min_{Y'} \{\dim Y' \mid \theta_{\psi,X}^{Y'}(\sigma) \neq 0\},$$

where  $Y'$  runs through all the quadratic spaces in the same Witt tower as  $Y$ .

(2) For a quadratic automorphic character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{A}^\times$ , define the lowest occurrence index  $\text{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma)$  with respect to  $\chi$ , to be

$$\min_Y \{\text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma)\}$$

where  $Y$  runs over all quadratic spaces with  $\chi_Y = \chi$  and  $\dim_Y$  is odd.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and  $s_0$  be the maximal member of  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\psi}(\chi, \sigma)$ . Then*

- (1)  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$  for some  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $0 \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1)$ ;
- (2)  $\text{LO}_{\psi,\chi}(\sigma) \leq 2j + 1$ ;
- (3)  $2j + 1 \geq r_X$  where  $r_X = \dim X/2$  is the Witt index of  $X$ .

*Proof.* The third part is stated in terms of Witt index of  $X$  so that the analogy with [JW16, Thm. 3.1] is more obvious.

The first part is Prop. 3.5 which we reproduce here.

For the second part we make use of the regularised Siegel-Weil formula that links residue of Siegel Eisenstein series to theta integral. Assume that  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(m + 1) - j$  is the maximal element in  $\mathcal{P}_{1,\psi}(\chi, \sigma)$ . By Prop. 3.1,  $s_0 +$

$\frac{1}{2}(a-1) = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + a) - j$  is the maximal element in  $\mathcal{P}_{a,\psi}(\chi, \sigma)$ . In other words,  $E^{Q_a}(g, f_s)$  has a pole at  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + a) - j$  for some  $f$ . (We put in a superscript to be clear that we are talking about the Eisenstein series associated to the parabolic subgroup  $Q_a$ .) Furthermore if  $a$  is large enough this  $f_s$  can be taken to be of the form  $F_{\phi,s}$  (see Prop. 3.3). Enlarge  $a$  if necessary to ensure that  $2j < \dim X + a$ . Then the regularised Siegel-Weil formula shows that

$$\mathrm{Res}_{s=\frac{1}{2}(\dim X+a)-j} E^{P_a}(\tilde{l}(g_a, g), \mathbb{F}_s) = \sum_Y \int_{[G(Y)]} \theta_{\psi, W_a, Y}(\tilde{l}(g_a, g), h, \Phi_Y) dh$$

where the sum runs over quadratic spaces  $Y$  of dimension  $2j+1$  with  $\chi_Y = \chi$  and  $\Phi_Y \in \mathcal{S}_{W_a, Y}(\mathbb{A})$  are appropriate  $\tilde{K}_{G(W_a)}$ -finite Schwartz functions so that the theta integrals are absolutely convergent. We remark that for any Schwartz functions there is a natural way to ‘truncate’ them for regularising the theta integrals. See [KR94] and [Ich01] for details. Now we integrate both sides against  $\phi(g)$  over  $[G(X)]$ . Then the left hand side becomes a residue of  $E^{Q_a}(g_a, s, F_{s,\phi})$ , which is non-vanishing for some choice of  $\phi \in \sigma$ . Thus at least one term on the right hand side must be non-zero. In other words, there exists a quadratic space  $Y$  of dimension  $2j+1$  and of character  $\chi$  such that

$$\int_{[G(X)]} \int_{[G(Y)]} \theta_{\psi, W_a, Y}(\tilde{l}(g_a, g), h, \Phi_Y) \phi(g) dh dg \neq 0.$$

We may replace  $\Phi_Y$  by  $\Phi_Y^{(1)} \otimes \Phi_Y^{(2)}$  with  $\Phi_Y^{(1)} \in \mathcal{S}_{X_a, Y}(\mathbb{A})$  and  $\Phi_Y^{(2)} \in \mathcal{S}_{X, Y}(\mathbb{A})$  such that the inequality still holds. Then after separating the variables we get

$$\int_{[G(Y)]} \theta_{\psi, X_a, Y}(g_a, h, \Phi_Y^{(1)}) \int_{[G(X)]} \overline{\theta_{\psi, X, Y}(g, h, \Phi_Y^{(2)})} \phi(g) dg dh \neq 0.$$

The complex conjugate appears because we have switched from  $X'$  to  $X$ . In particular, the inner integral is non-vanishing, but it is exactly the complex conjugate of the theta lift of  $\phi$  to  $G(Y)$ . Thus the lowest occurrence  $\mathrm{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma)$  must be lower or equal to  $2j+1$ .

For the third part, assume that the lowest occurrence is realised by  $Y$ . Thus  $\dim Y = 2j'+1$  with  $j' \leq j$ . Let  $\pi = \theta_{\psi, X}^Y(\sigma)$ . It is non-zero and it is cuspidal because it is the first occurrence representation in the Witt tower of  $Y$ . It is also irreducible by [JS07, Theorem 1.3]. By stability,  $\mathrm{FO}_{\psi, Y}^X(\pi) \leq 2(2j'+1)$ . Then use the involutive property in [JS07, Theorem 1.3]:

$$\theta_{\psi, Y}^X(\pi) = \theta_{\psi, Y}^X(\theta_{\psi, X}^Y(\sigma)) = \sigma.$$

Note in [JS07], the theta lift was defined without complex conjugation on the theta series, which resulted in the use of  $\psi^{-1}$  in the inner theta lift. Hence  $\dim X \leq 2(2j'+1) \leq 2(2j+1)$  and we get  $2j+1 \geq r_X$ .  $\square$

Thus we glean some information on poles of  $L_\psi(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  (or equivalently factors of the form  $(\chi, b)$  in the Arthur parameter of  $\sigma$ ) and lowest occurrence of theta lift.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and  $\chi$  be a quadratic automorphic character. Then*

- (1) *If the partial L-function  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  has a pole at  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j > 0$ , then  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) \leq 2j + 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 < \dim X + 2$ , then  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  is holomorphic for  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$ .*
- (3) *If  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 > \dim X + 2$ , then  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  is holomorphic for  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$ .*

*Proof.* The poles  $s$  of  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  with  $\text{Re } s \geq \frac{1}{2}$  are contained in the set  $\{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \dots, \frac{\dim X + 1}{2}\}$  by [Wu17, Prop. 6.2] where the extraneous  $+\frac{1}{2}$  should be removed from  $L(s + \frac{1}{2}, \pi \times \chi, \psi)$  in the statement there. Assume that  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  has a pole at  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j > 0$ . Let  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j'$  be its maximal pole. Then by Prop. 3.2,  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j'$  is in  $\mathcal{P}_{1, \psi}(\chi, \sigma)$ . Let  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j''$  be the maximal member in  $\mathcal{P}_{1, \psi}(\chi, \sigma)$ . By Thm. 4.2,  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) \leq 2j'' + 1 \leq 2j' + 1 \leq 2j + 1$ .

Assume that  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 < \dim X + 2$  and  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  has a pole in  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$ , say at  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j_0$  for  $j_0 < j$ . Then by part (1),  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) \leq 2j_0 + 1 < 2j + 1$ . We arrive at a contradiction.

Assume that  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 > \dim X + 2$  and  $L_\psi^S(s, \sigma \times \chi)$  has a pole in  $\text{Re } s > \frac{1}{2}$ , say at  $s = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j_0$  for  $j_0 < \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1)$ . Then by part (1),  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) \leq 2j_0 + 1 < m + 2$ . We arrive at a contradiction.  $\square$

In terms of A-parameter, the theorem above says:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and  $\chi$  be a quadratic automorphic character. Let  $\phi(\sigma)$  denote the A-parameter of  $\sigma$  with respect to  $\psi$ . Then*

- (1) *If  $\phi(\sigma)$  has a  $(\chi, b)$ -factor, then  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) \leq \dim X - b + 1$ .*
- (2) *If  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 < \dim X + 2$ , then  $\phi(\sigma)$  cannot have a  $(\chi, b)$ -factor with  $b > \dim X - 2j$ .*
- (3) *If  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1 > \dim X + 2$ , then  $\phi(\sigma)$  cannot have a  $(\chi, b)$ -factor for any  $b$ .*

## 5. FOURIER COEFFICIENTS OF THETA LIFT AND PERIODS

In this section we compute certain Fourier coefficients of theta lifts from the metaplectic group  $\tilde{G}(X)$  to odd orthogonal groups and we derive some vanishing and non-vanishing result of period integrals over Jacobi subgroups of  $G(X)$ .

We define these subgroups first. Let  $Z$  be a non-degenerate symplectic subspace of  $X$  and let  $L$  be a totally isotropic subspace of  $Z$ . Then  $G(Z)$  is a sub-symplectic group of  $G(X)$ . Let  $Q(Z, L)$  be the parabolic subgroup of  $G(Z)$  that stabilises  $L$  and  $J(Z, L)$  be the Jacobi subgroup that fixes  $L$  element-wise. When  $L = 0$ , then  $J(Z, L)$  is just  $G(Z)$ . We point out that the need for Jacobi groups is special to the symplectic/metaplectic case due to the lack of ‘odd symplectic group’. Compare with the unitary case[JW16, Sec. 5] and the orthogonal case[GJS09]. In this section,  $Z$  (resp.  $Z'$ ,  $Z''$ ) will always be a non-degenerate symplectic subspace of  $X$  and  $L$  (resp.  $L'$ ,  $L''$ ) will always be an isotropic subspace of  $Z$  (resp.  $Z'$ ,  $Z''$ ). Then we define distinction.

**Definition 5.1.** Let  $G$  be a reductive group and  $J$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphic representation of  $G$ . For  $f \in \sigma$ , if the period integral

$$(5.1) \quad \int_{[J]} f(g) dg.$$

is absolutely convergent and non-vanishing, we say that  $f$  is  $J$ -distinguished. Assume that for all  $f \in \sigma$ , the period integral is absolutely convergent. If there exists  $f \in \sigma$  such that  $f$  is  $J$ -distinguished, then we say  $\sigma$  is  $J$ -distinguished.

Let  $Y$  be an anisotropic quadratic space, so that it sits at the bottom of its Witt tower. We can form the augmented quadratic spaces  $Y_r$  analogously by adjoining  $r$ -copies of split quadratic planes to  $Y$ . Let  $\Theta_{\psi, X, Y_r}$  denote the space of theta functions  $\theta_{\psi, X, Y_r}(\cdot, 1, \Phi)$  for  $\Phi$  running over  $\mathcal{S}_{X, Y_r}(\mathbb{A})$ . Then we have the following that is derived from computation of Fourier coefficients of theta lift of  $\sigma$  to various  $G(Y_r)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and let  $Y$  be an odd dimensional anisotropic quadratic space. Assume that  $\mathrm{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma) = \dim Y + 2r_0$ . Let  $Z$  be a non-degenerate symplectic subspace of  $X$  and  $L$  a totally isotropic subspace of  $Z$ . Let  $r$  be a non-negative integer. Then the following hold.*

- (1) *If  $\dim X - \dim Z + \dim L + r < r_0$ , then  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{\psi, X, Y_r}}$  is not  $J(Z, L)$ -distinguished.*
- (2) *If  $\dim X - \dim Z + \dim L = r_0$  and  $\dim L = 0, 1$ , then  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{\psi, X, Y}}$  is  $J(Z, L)$ -distinguished for some choice of  $(Z, L)$ .*

*Proof.* This is the metaplectic analogue of [JW18, Proposition 3.2]. The period integrals involved are the complex conjugates of

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{[J(Z, L)]} \overline{\phi(g)} \theta_{\psi, X, Y_r}(g, 1, \Phi) dg$$

where  $\phi \in \sigma$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X, Y_r}(\mathbb{A})$ . As both factors of the integrand are genuine in  $\tilde{G}(X)(\mathbb{A})$ , the product is a well-defined function of  $G(X)(\mathbb{A})$ , which we can

restrict to  $J(Z, L)(\mathbb{A})$ . We note that the proof of [JW18, Proposition 3.2] involves taking Fourier coefficients of automorphic forms (on orthogonal groups) in the spaces of  $\theta_{\psi, X}^{Y_t}(\sigma)$  for varying  $t$  and these Fourier coefficients can be written as sums of integrals which have (5.2) as inner integrals. The proof there also works for odd orthogonal groups.  $\square$

We have a converse in the following form.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\widetilde{G}(X))$  and let  $Y$  be an odd dimensional anisotropic quadratic space. Assume that  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{\psi, X, Y}}$  is  $J(Z, L)$ -distinguished for some choice of  $(Z, L)$  with  $\dim L = 0, 1$ , but that it is not  $J(Z', L')$ -distinguished for all  $(Z', L')$  with  $\dim L' = 0, 1$  and  $\dim Z' - \dim L' > \dim Z - \dim L$ . Then if we set  $r = \dim X - \dim Z + \dim L$ , then  $\text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma) = \dim Y + 2r$ .*

We also have the implication.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\widetilde{G}(X))$  and let  $Y$  be an odd dimensional anisotropic quadratic space. Assume that for some  $t > 0$ ,  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{\psi, X, Y}}$  is not  $J(Z', L')$ -distinguished for all  $(Z', L')$  with  $\dim L' = 0, 1$  and  $\dim Z' - \dim L' > t$ . Then  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{\psi, X, Y}}$  is not  $J(Z'', L'')$ -distinguished for all  $(Z'', L'')$  with  $\dim Z'' - \dim L'' > t$ .*

Both these Propositions can be proved in the same way as in [JW18].

## 6. PERIODS OF EISENSTEIN SERIES

In this section, to avoid clutter we write  $G$  for the  $F$ -rational points  $G(F)$  of  $G$  etc. We also suppress the additive character  $\psi$  from notation. In this section, again  $Z$  (resp.  $Z', Z''$ ) will always be a non-degenerate symplectic subspace of  $X$  and  $L$  (resp.  $L', L''$ ) will always be an isotropic subspace of  $Z$  (resp.  $Z', Z''$ ). The periods considered in this section involve an Eisenstein series and a theta function. They are supposed to run parallel to those in Sec. 5 which involve a cuspidal automorphic form and a theta function.

Assume that  $Y$  is an anisotropic quadratic space of odd dimension. Let  $Z$  be a non-degenerate sub-symplectic space of  $X$ . Let  $V$  be its orthogonal complement in  $X$  so that  $X = V \perp Z$ . Form similarly the augmented space  $Z_1 = \ell_1^+ \oplus Z \oplus \ell_1^- \subset X_1$ . Then  $X_1 = V \perp Z_1$ . Let  $L$  be a totally isotropic subspace of  $Z$  of dimension 0 or 1. Then the subgroup  $J(Z_1, L)$  of  $G(Z_1)$  is defined to be the fixator of  $L$  element-wise. Via the natural embedding of  $G(Z_1)$  into  $G(X_1)$ , we regard  $J(Z_1, L)$  as a subgroup of  $G(X_1)$ .

Then the period integrals we consider are of the form

$$\int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} E(g, s, f) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} dg$$

for  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(s, \chi, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1, Y}(\mathbb{A})$ . In fact, the integrals diverge in general, but they can be regularised by using Arthur truncation [Art80, Art78] (See also [MW95, I.2.13] which includes the metaplectic case). We write down how Arthur truncation works in the present case. We follow closely Arthur's notation.

We continue to use our notation from Sec. 3. Note the Eisenstein series is attached to a maximal parabolic subgroup  $Q_1$  of  $G(X_1)$ . In this case,  $\mathfrak{a}_{M_1}$  is 1-dimensional and we identify it with  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , set  $\hat{\tau}^c$  to be the characteristic function of  $\mathbb{R}_{>\log c}$  and set  $\hat{\tau}_c = 1_{\mathbb{R}} - \hat{\tau}^c$ . Then the truncated Eisenstein series is

$$(6.1) \quad \Lambda^c E(g, s, f) = E(g, s, f) - \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)} E_{Q_1}(\gamma g, s, f) \hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma g))$$

where  $E_{Q_1}(\cdot, s, f)$  is the constant term of  $E(\cdot, s, f)$  along  $Q_1$ . The summation has only finitely many non-vanishing terms for each fixed  $g$ . The truncated Eisenstein series is rapidly decreasing while the theta series is of moderate growth. Thus if we replace the Eisenstein series with the truncated Eisenstein series, we get a period integral that is absolutely convergent:

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \Lambda^c E(g, s, f) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} dg.$$

We state our main theorems.

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{cusp}}(\tilde{G})$  and let  $Y$  be an anisotropic quadratic space of odd dimension. Assume that  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{\psi, X, Y}}$  is  $J(Z, L)$ -distinguished for some  $(Z, L)$  with  $\dim L = 0$  or  $1$ , but it is not  $J(Z', L')$ -distinguished for all  $(Z', L')$  such that  $\dim L' = 0, \dots, \dim L + 1$  and  $\dim Z' - \dim L' > \dim Z - \dim L$ . Set  $r = \dim X - \dim Z + \dim L$  and  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - (\dim Y + 2r) + 2)$ . Then  $E(g, s, f)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$  for some choice of  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{1, \psi}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$ .*

For a complex number  $s_1$ , let  $\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s)$  denote the residue of  $E(g, f_s)$  at  $s = s_1$ . When  $s_1 > 0$ , we consider the period integral

$$(6.3) \quad \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} dg.$$

We have the following result.

**Theorem 6.2.** *Adopt the same setup as Thm. 6.1. Assume further that  $s_0 > 0$ . Then the following holds.*

- (1)  $s_0$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}_{1, \psi}(\sigma, \chi)$  and it corresponds to a simple pole.
- (2)  $\mathcal{E}_{s_0}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)}$  is  $J(Z_1, L)$ -distinguished for some choice of  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi, 1}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1, Y}(\mathbb{A})$ .

- (3) If  $0 < s_1 \neq s_0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)}$  is not  $J(Z_1, L)$ -distinguished for any  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi, 1}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1, Y}(\mathbb{A})$ .
- (4) For  $(Z'', L'')$  with  $\dim L'' = 0$  or  $1$  such that  $\dim Z'' - \dim L'' > \dim Z - \dim L$ , then for any  $s_1 > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)}$  is not  $J(Z''_1, L'')$ -distinguished for any  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi, 1}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1, Y}(\mathbb{A})$ .

Now we bring in the first occurrence index for  $\sigma$ . By Prop. 5.2, if  $\text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma) = \dim Y + 2r$ , then  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{\psi, X, Y}}$  is  $J(Z, L)$  distinguished for some  $(Z, L)$  such that  $\dim X - \dim Z + \dim L = r$  and  $\dim L \equiv r \pmod{2}$  and it is not  $J(Z', L')$ -distinguished for any  $(Z', L')$  such that  $\dim X - \dim Z' + \dim L' < r$  or equivalently  $\dim Z' - \dim L' > \dim Z - \dim L$ . In other words the condition of Thm. 6.1 is satisfied. We get:

**Corollary 6.3.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{G})$  and let  $Y$  be an anisotropic quadratic space of odd dimension. Assume that  $\text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma) = \dim Y + 2r$ . Let  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - (\dim Y + 2r) + 2)$ . Then  $E(g, s, f)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$  for some choice of  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{1, \psi}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$ . Assume further that  $s_0 > 0$ . Then the following holds.*

- (1)  $s_0$  lies in  $\mathcal{P}_{1, \psi}(\sigma, \chi)$  and it corresponds to a simple pole.
- (2)  $\mathcal{E}_{s_0}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)}$  is  $J(Z_1, L)$ -distinguished for some choice of  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi, 1}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1, Y}(\mathbb{A})$  where  $Z$  is some sub-symplectic space of  $X$  and  $L$  is some isotropic subspace of  $Z$  such that  $\dim X - \dim Z + \dim L = r$  and  $\dim L \equiv r \pmod{2}$ .
- (3) If  $0 < s_1 \neq s_0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)}$  is not  $J(Z_1, L)$ -distinguished for any  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi, 1}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1, Y}(\mathbb{A})$ .
- (4) For  $(Z'', L'')$  with  $\dim L'' = 0$  or  $1$  such that  $\dim Z'' - \dim L'' > \dim Z - \dim L$ , then for any  $s_1 > 0$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{\psi, X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)}$  is not  $J(Z''_1, L'')$ -distinguished for any  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_{\psi, 1}(s, \chi_Y, \sigma)$  and  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}_{X_1, Y}(\mathbb{A})$ .

With these results on period integrals involving residue of Eisenstein series, we can strengthen Thm. 4.2.

**Theorem 6.4.** *Let  $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(\tilde{G}(X))$  and let  $s_0$  be the maximal element in  $\mathcal{P}_1(\chi, \sigma)$ . Write  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$ . Then*

- (1)  $j$  is an integer such that  $\frac{1}{4}(\dim X - 2) \leq j < \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1)$ .
- (2)  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = 2j + 1$ .

*Proof.* Part (1) is a restatement of Thm. 4.2 and we have also shown that  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) \leq 2j + 1$  there. We just need to show the other inequality. Assume that the lowest occurrence of  $\sigma$  is achieved in the Witt tower of  $Y$ . Thus  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) = \text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma)$ . We assume  $\text{FO}_{\psi}^Y(\sigma) = 2j' + 1$ . Then by Cor. 6.3,  $\frac{1}{2}(\dim X - (2j' + 1) + 2)$  is a member of  $\mathcal{P}_{1, \psi}(\sigma, \chi)$ . Since  $s_0 = \frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j$  is the maximal member, we get  $\frac{1}{2}(\dim X + 1) - j \geq \frac{1}{2}(\dim X - (2j' + 1) + 2)$  or  $j' \geq j$ . We have shown that  $\text{LO}_{\psi, \chi}(\sigma) \geq 2j + 1$ .  $\square$

Now we proceed to prove the main theorems. We cut the period integral (6.2) into several parts and evaluate each part. We proceed formally and justify that each part is absolutely convergent for  $\mathrm{Re} s$  and  $c$  large and that it has meromorphic continuation to all  $s \in \mathbb{C}$  at the end.

We have  $E_{Q_1}(\gamma g, s, f) = f_s(g) + M(w, s)f_s(g)$  as continued meromorphic functions in  $s$  where  $M(w, s)$  is the intertwining operator associated to the longest Weyl element  $w$  in  $Q_1(F) \backslash G(X_1)(F)/Q_1(F)$ . When  $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \rho_{Q_1}$ , we get

$$\Lambda^c E(g, s, f) = \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)} f_s(\gamma g) \hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma g)) - \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)} M(w, s) f_s(\gamma g) \hat{\tau}_c(H(\gamma g)).$$

The first summation is absolutely convergent for  $\mathrm{Re}(s) > \rho_{Q_1}$  while the second summation has only finitely non-vanishing terms for each fixed  $g$ . Both summations have meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane. Set

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_{c,s}(g) &= f_s(g) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} \hat{\tau}_c(H(g)); \\ \xi_s^c(g) &= M(w, s) f_s(g) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} \hat{\tau}_c(H(g)) \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$I(\xi) = \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{\gamma \in Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)} \xi(\gamma g) dg$$

for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  or  $\xi_s^c$ . Then the period integral we are concerned with is equal to

$$\int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \Lambda^c E(g, s, f) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} dg = I(\xi_{c,s}) - I(\xi_s^c)$$

as long as the integrals defining the two terms on right hand side are absolutely convergent.

Now we cut  $I(\xi)$  into several parts, we show the absolute convergence of each term and compute their values.

The set  $Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)$  corresponds to isotropic lines in  $X_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} Q_1 \backslash G(X_1) &\leftrightarrow \{\text{Isotropic lines in } X_1\} \\ \gamma &\leftrightarrow \ell_1^- \gamma. \end{aligned}$$

For an isotropic line  $\ell \in X_1$ , we write  $\gamma_\ell$  for an element in  $G(X_1)$  such that  $\ell_1^- \gamma_\ell = \ell$ . We will exercise our freedom to choose  $\gamma_\ell$  as simple as possible.

First we consider the  $G(Z_1)$ -orbits of  $Q_1 \backslash G(X_1)$ . If  $L$  is non-trivial, then we will further consider the  $J(Z_1, L)$ -orbits. Given an isotropic line in  $X_1$ , we pick a non-zero vector  $x$  on the line and write  $x = v + z$  according to the decomposition  $X_1 = V \perp Z_1$ . There are three cases, so we define:

- (1)  $\Omega_{0,1}$  to be the set of (isotropic) lines in  $X_1$  whose projection to  $V$  is 0;
- (2)  $\Omega_{1,0}$  to be the set of (isotropic) lines in  $X_1$  whose projection to  $Z_1$  is 0;

- (3)  $\Omega_{1,1}$  to be the set of (isotropic) lines in  $X_1$  whose projections to both  $V$  and  $Z_1$  are non-zero.

Each set is stable under the action of  $G(Z_1)$ . The set  $\Omega_{0,1}$  forms one  $G(Z_1)$ -orbit. We may take  $Fe_1^- = \ell_1^-$  as the orbit representative. Its stabiliser in  $G(Z_1)$  is the parabolic subgroup  $Q(Z_1, Fe_1^-)$  that stabilises  $Fe_1^-$ . The set  $\Omega_{1,0}$  is acted on by  $G(Z_1)$  trivially. A set of orbit representatives is  $(V - \{0\})/F^\times$  and for each representative the stabiliser is  $G(Z_1)$ . Finally consider the set  $\Omega_{1,1}$ . A line  $F(v + z)$  in  $\Omega_{1,1}$  is in the same  $G(Z_1)$ -orbit as  $F(v + e_1^-)$ . For  $v_1, v_2 \in V - \{0\}$ ,  $F(v_1 + e_1^-)$  and  $F(v_2 + e_1^-)$  are in the same orbit, if and only if there exists  $\gamma \in G(Z_1)$  and  $c \in F^\times$  such that  $v_1 + e_1^- \gamma = c(v_2 + e_1^-)$ . Thus a set of orbit representatives is  $F(v + e_1^-)$  for  $v$  running over a set of representatives of  $(V - \{0\})/F^\times$ . For each orbit representative, the stabiliser is  $J(Z_1, Fe_1^-)$ .

Thus we cut the summation over  $Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)$  into three parts:

$$I(\xi) = I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi) + I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi) + I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$$

with

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi) &= \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{\delta \in Q(Z_1, Fe_1^-) \setminus G(Z_1)} \xi(\delta g) dg \\ I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi) &= \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{v \in (V - \{0\})/F^\times} \xi(\gamma_F v g) dg \\ I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi) &= \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{\delta \in J(Z_1, Fe_1^-) \setminus G(Z_1)} \sum_{v \in (V - \{0\})/F^\times} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta g) dg. \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 6.5.** *For  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  or  $\xi_s^c$ , the integrals  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi)$ ,  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$  and  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$  are absolutely convergent for  $\text{Re } s$  and  $c$  large enough and that each has meromorphic continuation to the whole complex plane.*

*Proof.* The whole Sec. 5 of [JW18] deals with bounds and convergence issues for the symplectic group case. It carries over to the metaplectic case. Meromorphic continuation follows from computation in Sec. 7.  $\square$

Thus we are free to change order of summation and integral in evaluating the integrals. After cutting up the period integral into 6 terms, we can prove Thm. 6.1.

*Proof of Thm. 6.1.* First assume that  $\dim L = 0$ . Then the non-distinction conditions for groups ‘larger than’  $G(Z)$  in the assumption of the theorem mean that the conditions of Propositions 7.2 and 7.3 are satisfied. Thus the propositions show that  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$  and  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$  vanish.

Assume that  $M(w, s)$  does not have a pole at  $s = s_0$ , then by (7.1),  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_s^c)$  does not have a pole at  $s = s_0$ . By part (3) of Prop. 7.1, the assumption of

distinction by  $G(Z)$  shows that  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_{c,s})$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$ . This means that  $\Lambda^c E(g, s, f)$  and as a result  $E(g, s, f)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$ . We get a contradiction. Thus  $M(w, s)$  must have a pole at  $s = s_0$ , which implies that  $E(g, s, f)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$ .

Next assume that  $\dim L = 1$ . We note that in Sec. 7.2,  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi)$  is further cut into 3 parts:  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,1}}(\xi)$ ,  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,2}}(\xi)$  and  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,3}}(\xi)$  and that  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$  is further cut into 3 parts:  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,1}}(\xi)$ ,  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,2}}(\xi)$  and  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,3}}(\xi)$ . The non-distinction conditions for groups ‘larger than’  $J(Z, L)$  in the assumption of the theorem mean that the conditions of Propositions 7.4, 7.6, 7.7, 7.8, 7.9, 7.10 are satisfied. Thus  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,1}}(\xi)$ ,  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,3}}(\xi)$ ,  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$ ,  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,1}}(\xi)$ ,  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,2}}(\xi)$  and  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,3}}(\xi)$  all vanish. It remains to consider  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,2}}(\xi)$ .

Assume that  $M(w, s)$  does not have a pole at  $s = s_0$ , then by (7.7),  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,2}}(\xi_s^c)$  does not have a pole at  $s = s_0$ . By part (3) of Prop. 7.5, the assumption of distinction by  $J(Z, L)$  shows that  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,2}}(\xi_{c,s})$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$ . This means that  $\Lambda^c E(g, s, f)$  and as a result  $E(g, s, f)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$ . We get a contradiction. Thus  $M(w, s)$  must have a pole at  $s = s_0$ , which implies that  $E(g, s, f)$  has a pole at  $s = s_0$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Thm. 6.2.* Let  $s_1 > 0$ . We show that the integral (6.3) is absolute convergent and we then check if it is vanishing or not.

We take residue of the second term in (6.1) and set

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^c(g) &= \sum_{Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)} \mathcal{E}_{s_1, Q_1}(\gamma g, f_s) \hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma g)) \\ &= \sum_{Q_1 \setminus G(X_1)} \mathrm{res}_{s=s_1}(M(w, s) f_s(\gamma g)) \hat{\tau}^c(H(\gamma g)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that this is a finite sum for fixed  $g$ . Then the truncated residue is

$$\Lambda^c \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) = \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) - \theta^c(g).$$

The integral

$$\int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \theta^c(g) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} dg$$

is absolutely convergent when  $\mathrm{Re}(s_1)$  and  $c$  are large and has meromorphic continuation to all  $s_1 \in \mathbb{C}$  by Prop. 6.5. It is equal to  $\mathrm{res}_{s=s_1} I(\xi_s^c)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} dg \\ &= \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} (\Lambda^c \mathcal{E}_{s_1}(g, f_s) + \theta^c(g)) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(g, 1, \Phi)} dg \\ &= \mathrm{res}_{s=s_1}(I(\xi_{c,s}) - I(\xi_s^c)) + \mathrm{res}_{s=s_1} I(\xi_s^c) \\ &= \mathrm{res}_{s=s_1} I(\xi_{c,s}) \end{aligned}$$

In addition, the first equality shows that (6.3) is absolutely convergent.

Now if  $s_1 = s_0$ , then by Prop. 7.1 for  $\dim L = 0$  and Prop. 7.5 for  $\dim L = 1$ , (6.3) is non-vanishing for some choice of data. If  $s_1 \neq s_0$  or if we integrate over  $[J(Z''_1, L'')]$  with  $(Z'', L'')$  ‘larger than’  $(Z, L)$ , again by Prop. 7.1 for  $\dim L = 0$  and Prop. 7.5 for  $\dim L = 1$ , (6.3) always vanishes.  $\square$

## 7. COMPUTATION OF INTEGRALS

Set  $r = \dim X - \dim Z + \dim L$  and  $s_0 = (\dim X - (\dim Y + 2r) + 2)/2$ . The goal of this section is to compute  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi)$ ,  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$  and  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$  defined in (6.4).

**7.1. Case  $\dim L = 0$ .** We note that since  $\dim L = 0$ ,  $J(Z_1, L)$  is simply the symplectic group  $G(Z_1)$ .

**7.1.1.  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi)$ .** We collapse the integral and sum. We get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi) &= \int_{Q(Z_1, Fe_1^-)(F) \backslash G(Z_1)(\mathbb{A})} \xi(g) dg \\ &= \int_{K_G(Z_1)} \int_{[Q(Z_1, Fe_1^-)]} \xi(qk) dq dk \\ &= \int_{K_G(Z_1)} \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \int_{[N(Z_1, Fe_1^-)]} \xi(nm_1(t)hk) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q(X_1, Fe_1^-)}} dn dt dh dk. \end{aligned}$$

We note that  $\xi$  is the product of two genuine factors, so it is not genuine itself. Let  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(nm_1(t)hk) &= f_s(nm_1(t)hk) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(nm_1(t)hk, 1, \Phi) \hat{\tau}_c(H(nm_1(t)hk))} \\ &= \chi_Y \chi_\psi(t) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}} f_s(hk) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(nm_1(t)hk, 1, \Phi) \hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)))}. \end{aligned}$$

We integrate over  $n \in [N(Z_1, Fe_1^-)]$  first. The only term involving  $n$  is  $\theta_{X_1, Y}$ . If we realise the Weil representation on the mixed model  $\mathcal{S}((Y \otimes \ell_1^+ \oplus (Y \otimes X)^+)(\mathbb{A}))$ , then using explicit formula for Weil representation and noting that  $Y$  is anisotropic we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{[N(Z_1, Fe_1^-)]} \theta_{X_1, Y}(nm_1(t)hk, 1, \Phi) dn \\ &= \int_{[\mathrm{Hom}(\ell_1^+, Z)]} \sum_{w \in (Y \otimes X)^+(F)} \omega_{X_1, Y}(n(\mu, 0)m_1(t)hk, 1) \Phi(0, w) d\mu \\ &= \sum_{w \in (Y \otimes X)^+(F)} \omega_{X_1, Y}(m_1(t)hk, 1) \Phi(0, w) \\ &= \chi_Y \chi_\psi(t) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim Y/2} \theta_{X, Y}(h, 1, \Phi_k). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\Phi_k(\cdot)f = \omega_{X_1, Y}(k, 1)\Phi(0, \cdot)$  and for  $\mu \in \mathrm{Hom}(\ell_1^+, Z)$ ,  $n(\mu, 0)$  denote the unipotent element in  $N(Z_1, Fe_1^-)$  that is characterised by the condition that the  $X$ -component of the image of  $e_1^+$  under  $n(\mu, 0)$  is  $\mu(e_1^+)$ . Next we integrate over  $t \in [\mathrm{GL}_1]$ . More precisely, in the following expression,  $t$  should be viewed as any element in the pre-image of  $t$  in  $\widetilde{\mathrm{GL}}_1(\mathbb{A})$ , but the choice does not matter. Excluding terms not involving  $t$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{[\mathrm{GL}_1]} \chi_Y \chi_\psi(t) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}} \overline{\chi_Y \chi_\psi(t) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim Y/2} \hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t))) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q(X_1, Fe_1^-)}}} dt \\ &= \mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1) \int_0^c t^{s-s_0} d^\times t. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\mathrm{Re} s > s_0$ , we get

$$I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_{c,s}) = \mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1) \frac{c^{s-s_0}}{s-s_0} \int_{K_{G(Z_1)}} \int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(hk) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(h, 1, \Phi_k)} dh dk.$$

This expression provides a meromorphic continuation of  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi)$  as a function in  $s$ .

Next let  $\xi = \xi_s^c$ . The computation is analogous and we get for  $\mathrm{Re} s > -s_0$ ,

$$I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_s^c) = \mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1) \frac{c^{-s-s_0}}{s+s_0} \int_{K_{G(Z_1)}} \int_{[G(Z)]} M(w, s) f_s(hk) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(h, 1, \Phi_k)} dh dk.$$

With this computation, we get immediately the first two statements of the next proposition:

- Proposition 7.1.** (1) If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $G(Z)$ -distinguished, then both  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_{c,s})$  and  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_s^c)$  vanish identically.  
(2)  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_{c,s})$  does not have a pole at  $s \neq s_0$ .  
(3) If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is  $G(Z)$ -distinguished, then the residue

$$(7.2) \quad \mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^1) \int_{K_{G(Z_1)}} \int_{[G(Z)]} f_s(hk) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(h, 1, \Phi_k)} dh dk$$

of  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi_{c,s})$  at  $s = s_0$  does not vanish for some choice of data.

*Proof.* The proof of (3) is similar to that in [JW18, Prop. 4.1]. We start with some choice data such that

$$\int_{[G(Z)]} \phi(h) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(h, 1, \Psi)} dh \neq 0.$$

Then we can construct a section  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(s, \chi, \sigma)$  that ‘extends’  $\phi$  and a Schwartz function  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}((Y \otimes Fe_1^+ \oplus (Y \otimes X)^+)(\mathbb{A}))$  that ‘extends’  $\Psi$  such that (7.2) is non-vanishing.  $\square$

7.1.2.  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$ . For each  $v \in V - \{0\}$ , we look at the  $v$ -summand of  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$ :

$$(7.3) \quad \int_{[G(Z_1)]} \xi(\gamma_{Fv}g)dg.$$

Take  $v^+$  in  $V$  such that  $\langle v^+, v \rangle_V = 1$ . We take  $\gamma_{Fv}$  to be the element in  $G(X_1)(F)$  that is determined by  $e_1^+ \leftrightarrow v^+$  and  $e_1^- \leftrightarrow v$  on the span of  $e_1^+, e_1^-, v^+, v$  and identity action on the orthogonal complement. Then  $\gamma_{Fv}G(Z_1)\gamma_{Fv}^{-1} = G(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv)$ . Thus (7.3) is equal to

$$\int_{[G(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv)]} \xi(g\gamma_{Fv})dg.$$

This is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over the subgroup  $G(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv)$  of  $G(X)$ .

Thus we get

**Proposition 7.2.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $G(Z')$ -distinguished for all  $Z' \supset Z$  with  $\dim Z' = \dim Z + 2$ , then  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

7.1.3.  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$ . We collapse the sum over  $\delta$  and the integral to get

$$\int_{J(Z_1, Fe_1^-)(F) \setminus G(Z_1(\mathbb{A}))} \sum_{v \in (V - \{0\})/F^\times} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}g)dg.$$

Using the Iwasawa decomposition we get that each  $v$ -term is equal to

$$\int_{K_{G(Z_1)}} \int_{\mathrm{GL}_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z_1, Fe_1^-)]} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}gm_1(t)k)|t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q(Z_1, Fe_1^-)}} dg dt dk.$$

We take  $\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}$  to be the element that is given by  $e_1^+ \mapsto v^+$ ,  $v^+ \mapsto e_1^+ - v^+$ ,  $v \mapsto e_1^-$  and  $e_1^- \mapsto v + e_1^-$  on the span of  $e_1^+, e_1^-, v^+, v$  and identity on the orthogonal complement. Since  $\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}J(Z_1, Fe_1^-)\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}^{-1} = J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Fv)$ , we get the inner integral

$$\int_{[J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Fv)]} \xi(gm_1(t)k)dg$$

which is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over the subgroup  $J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Fv)$  of  $G(X)$ . Thus we get

**Proposition 7.3.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $J(Z', L')$ -distinguished for all  $Z' \supset Z$  with  $\dim Z' = \dim Z + 2$  and  $L'$  an isotropic line of  $Z'$  in the orthogonal complement of  $Z$ , then  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

7.2. **Case  $\dim L = 1$ .**

7.2.1.  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi)$ . We consider the  $J(Z_1, L)$ -orbits in  $Q(Z_1, Fe_1^-) \setminus G(Z_1)$  or equivalently the set of isotropic lines in  $Z_1$ . For an isotropic line  $\ell$  in  $Z_1$ , let  $\delta_\ell$  denote any element in  $G(Z_1)$  such that  $Fe_1^- \delta_\ell = \ell$ . There are three  $J(Z_1, L)$ -orbits. Let  $f_1^-$  be any non-zero element in  $L$  and  $f_1^+$  be an element in  $Z$  such that  $\langle f_1^+, f_1^- \rangle_Z = 1$ . Write  $Z = Ff_1^+ \oplus W \oplus Ff_1^-$  and form the augmented space  $W_1$ . We note  $Z_1 = Ff_1^+ \oplus W_1 \oplus Ff_1^-$ . An isotropic line  $F(af_1^+ + w + bf_1^-)$  in  $Z_1$  for  $a, b \in F$  and  $w \in W_1$  is in the same  $J(Z_1, L)$ -orbit as

$$\begin{aligned} Ff_1^+, & \quad \text{if } a \neq 0; \\ Fe_1^-, & \quad \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } w \neq 0; \\ Ff_1^-, & \quad \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } w = 0. \end{aligned}$$

The stabiliser of  $Ff_1^+$  in  $J(Z_1, L)$  is  $G(W_1)$  and we have  $\mathrm{Stab}_{J(Z_1, L)} Ff_1^+ \setminus J(Z_1, L) \cong N(Z_1, L)$ . To describe the stabiliser of  $Fe_1^-$ , let  $NJ_1$  be the subgroup of  $J(Z_1, L)$  consisting of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & I & 0 & 0 \\ & & & 1 & * \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the ‘basis’  $f_1^+, e_1^+, W, e_1^-, f_1^-$  and  $NJ_2$  to be the subgroup of  $J(Z_1, L)$  consisting of elements of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & * & * & * \\ & 1 & 0 & 0 & * \\ & & I & 0 & * \\ & & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then the stabiliser of  $Fe_1^-$  in  $J(Z_1, L)$  is  $NJ_2 \times Q(W_1, Fe_1^-)$ . For  $Ff_1^-$  the stabiliser is the full  $J(Z_1, L)$ . Thus  $I_{\Omega_{0,1}}(\xi)$  is further split into three parts:

$$(7.4) \quad J_{\Omega_{0,1},1}(\xi) = \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{\eta \in N(Z_1, L)} \xi(\delta_{Ff_1^+} \eta g) dg;$$

$$(7.5) \quad J_{\Omega_{0,1},2}(\xi) = \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{\eta \in NJ_2 \times Q(W_1, Fe_1^-) \setminus J(Z_1, L)} \xi(\eta g) dg;$$

$$(7.6) \quad J_{\Omega_{0,1},3}(\xi) = \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \xi(\delta_{Ff_1^-} g) dg.$$

Now we evaluate them one by one.

We collapse the sum and integral and find that (7.4) is equal to

$$\int_{N(Z_1, L)(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[G(W_1)]} \xi(\delta_{Ff_1^+} gn) dg dn.$$

Consider the inner integral. We may pick  $\delta_{Ff_1^+}$  to be the element that is given by  $e_1^+ \leftrightarrow -f_1^-$  and  $e_1^- \leftrightarrow f_1^+$  on the span of  $e_1^\pm, f_1^\pm$  and identity on the orthogonal complement. Since  $\delta_{Ff_1^+} G(W_1) \delta_{Ff_1^+}^{-1} = G(Ff_1^+ \oplus W \oplus Ff_1^-) = G(Z)$ , this inner integral is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over the subgroup  $G(Z)$  of  $G(X)$ . Thus we get

**Proposition 7.4.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $G(Z)$ -distinguished, then  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,1}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

We collapse the sum and integral and find that (7.5) is equal to

$$\int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[NJ_2]} \int_{Q(W_1, Fe_1^-(F)) \setminus G(W_1)(\mathbb{A})} \xi(n_2 n_1 g) dg dn_2 dn_1.$$

Then using Iwasawa decomposition of  $G(W_1)(\mathbb{A})$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[NJ_2]} \int_{[N(W_1, Fe_1^-)]} \int_{[G(W)]} \int_{[GL_1]} \xi(n_2 n_1 n m_1(t) g k) \\ & \quad |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q((W_1, Fe_1^-))}} dt dg dn_2 dn_1 dk \\ &= \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[NJ_2]} \int_{[N(W_1, Fe_1^-)]} \int_{[G(W)]} \int_{[GL_1]} \xi(n n_2 m_1(t) g n_1 k) \\ & \quad |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q((W_1, Fe_1^-))}^{-1}} dt dg dn_2 dn_1 dk. \end{aligned}$$

Now we collapse part of  $NJ_2$  and  $G(W)$  to get  $J(Z, Ff_1^-)$ . We get

$$\int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[N']} \int_{[J(Z, f_1^-)]} \int_{[GL_1]} \xi(n' m_1(t) g n_1 k) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{-2\rho_{Q((W_1, Fe_1^-))}^{-1}} dt dg dn' dk.$$

Note  $N' = N(Z_1, Fe_1^-) \cap N(Z_1, Fe_1^- \oplus Ff_1^-)$  is formed out of the leftover part of  $NJ_2$  and  $N(W_1, Fe_1^-)$ .

Suppose that  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \xi(n' m_1(t) g n_1 k) \\ &= f_s(n' m_1(t) g n_1 k) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(n' m_1(t) g n_1 k, 1, \Phi) \hat{\tau}_c(H(n' m_1(t) g n_1 k))} \\ &= \chi_Y \chi_\psi(m_1(t)) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s+\rho_{Q_1}} f_s(g n_1 k) \overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(n' m_1(t) g n_1 k, 1, \Phi) \hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t) n_1))} \end{aligned}$$

The only term that involves  $n'$  is  $\overline{\theta_{X_1, Y}(n'm_1(t)gn_1k, 1, \Phi)}$ . By explicit formula of Weil representation, we get

$$\int_{[N']} \theta_{X_1, Y}(n'm_1(t)gn_1k, 1, \Phi) dn' = \chi_Y \chi_\psi(t) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{\dim Y/2} \theta_{X, Y}(g, 1, \Phi_{n_1k}),$$

where  $\Phi_{n_1k}(\cdot) = \omega_{X_1, Y}(n_1k, 1)\Phi(0, \cdot)$ . In total, the exponent of  $|t|_{\mathbb{A}}$  is

$$s + \rho_{Q_1} + \dim Y/2 - 2\rho_{Q((W_1, Fe_1^-))} - 1 = s - s_0.$$

Thus  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,1}}(\xi_{c,s})$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z,L)]} f_s(g\bar{n}k) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(g, 1, \Phi_{\bar{n}k})} \int_{[GL_1]} \hat{\tau}_c(H(m_1(t)n_1)) |t|_{\mathbb{A}}^{s-s_0} dt dg d\bar{n}dk \\ &= \mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times) \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z,L)]} f_s(g\bar{n}k) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(g, 1, \Phi_{\bar{n}k})} \frac{(c/c(\bar{n}))^{s-s_0}}{s-s_0} dg d\bar{n}dk, \end{aligned}$$

where  $c(\bar{n}) = \exp(H(\bar{n}))$  where we note that  $H(\bar{n}) \in \mathfrak{a}_{M_1} \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

Thus it can possibly have a pole only at  $s = s_0$  with residue

$$\mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times) \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z,L)]} f_{s_0}(g\bar{n}k) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(g, 1, \Phi_{\bar{n}k})} dg d\bar{n}dk.$$

Note that the innermost integral is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over the subgroup  $J(Z, L)$  of  $G(X)$ . Similarly we evaluate  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,1}}(\xi_s^c)$  to get

$$(7.7) \quad \mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times) \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z,L)]} M(w, s) f_s(g\bar{n}k) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(g, 1, \Phi_{\bar{n}k})} \frac{(c/c(\bar{n}))^{-s-s_0}}{s+s_0} dg d\bar{n}dk.$$

Thus we get parts (1) and (2) of the following

- Proposition 7.5.** (1) If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $J(Z, L)$ -distinguished, then  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,2}}(\xi)$  vanishes identically for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ ;  
(2)  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,2}}(\xi)$  does not have a pole at  $s \neq s_0$ ;  
(3) If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is  $J(Z, L)$ -distinguished, then the residue

$$(7.8) \quad \mathrm{vol}(F^\times \setminus \mathbb{A}^\times) \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z,L)]} f_{s_0}(g\bar{n}k) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(g, 1, \Phi_{\bar{n}k})} dg d\bar{n}dk$$

of  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,2}}(\xi)$  does not vanish for some choice of data.

*Proof.* For the proof of (3), it can be checked that we can extend the proof in [JW18, Prop. 4.5] for the symplectic case to the metaplectic case. The proof

there is quite technical due to the presence of integration over  $[NJ_1]$  which is a unipotent subgroup. We start with some choice data such that

$$\int_{[J(Z,L)]} \phi(h) \overline{\theta_{X,Y}(h, 1, \Psi)} dh \neq 0.$$

Then we can construct a section  $f_s \in \mathcal{A}_1(s, \chi, \sigma)$  that ‘extends’  $\phi$  and a Schwartz function  $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(Y \otimes Fe_1^+(\mathbb{A})) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{S}_{X,Y}$  that ‘extends’  $\Psi$  such that (7.8) is non-vanishing.  $\square$

Finally we evaluate (7.6). We take  $\delta_{Ff_1^-}$  to be the element that is given by  $e_1^+ \leftrightarrow f_1^+$  and  $e_1^- \leftrightarrow f_1^-$  on the span of  $e_1^\pm, f_1^\pm$  and identity on the orthogonal complement. Then  $\delta_{Ff_1^-} J(Z_1, L) \delta_{Ff_1^-}^{-1} = J(Z_1, Fe_1^-)$ . Thus (7.6) is equal to

$$\int_{[J(Z_1, Fe_1^-)]} \xi(g \delta_{Ff_1^-}) = \int_{[N(Z_1, Fe_1^-)]} \int_{[G(Z)]} \xi(g n \delta_{Ff_1^-})$$

whose inner integral is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over  $[G(Z)]$ . Thus we get:

**Proposition 7.6.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $G(Z)$ -distinguished, then  $J_{\Omega_{0,1,3}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

7.2.2.  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$ . For each  $v \in V - \{0\}$ , fix  $v^+ \in V$  that is dual to  $v$ , i.e.,  $\langle v^+, v \rangle = 1$ . Then we take  $\gamma_{Fv}$  that is determined by  $v^+ \leftrightarrow e_1^+$  and  $v \leftrightarrow e_1^-$  on the span of  $e_1^+, e_1^-, v^+, v$  and identity on the orthogonal complement. Since  $\gamma_{Fv} J(Z_1, L) \gamma_{Fv}^{-1} \cong J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, L)$ ,  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$  is equal to

$$\sum_{v \in (V - \{0\})/F^\times} \int_{[J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, L)]} \xi(g \gamma_{Fv}) dg$$

which is a sum of period integrals on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over  $[J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, L)]$ . Thus we get

**Proposition 7.7.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $J(Z', L)$ -distinguished for all  $Z' \supset Z$  such that  $\dim Z' = \dim Z + 2$ , then  $I_{\Omega_{1,0}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

7.2.3.  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$ . We fix  $v \in V - \{0\}$  and consider each  $v$ -term

$$\int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{\delta \in J(Z_1, Fe_1^-) \setminus G(Z_1)} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta g) dg.$$

We note that  $J(Z_1, Fe_1^-) \setminus G(Z_1)$  parametrises the set of non-zero isotropic vectors in  $Z_1$ . We consider the  $J(Z_1, L)$ -orbit on this set. The analysis is similar to that in Sec. 7.2.1 where we considered isotropic lines in  $Z_1$ . Again we have  $L = Ff_1^-$  and an element  $f_1^+$  in  $Z$  such that  $\langle f_1^+, f_1^- \rangle_Z = 1$ . We write  $Z = Ff_1^+ \oplus W \oplus Ff_1^-$  and form the augmented space  $W_1$ . We note

$Z_1 = Ff_1^+ \oplus W_1 \oplus Ff_1^-$ . A non-zero isotropic vector  $af_1^+ + w + bf_1^-$  in  $Z_1$  for  $a, b \in F$  and  $w \in W_1$  is in the same  $J(Z_1, L)$ -orbit as

$$\begin{aligned} af_1^+, & \quad \text{if } a \neq 0; \\ e_1^-, & \quad \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } w \neq 0; \\ bf_1^-, & \quad \text{if } a = 0 \text{ and } w = 0. \end{aligned}$$

For  $a \in F^\times$ , the stabiliser of  $af_1^+$  in  $J(Z_1, L)$  is  $G(W_1)$  and we have

$$\mathrm{Stab}_{J(Z_1, L)} af_1^+ \setminus J(Z_1, L) \cong N(Z_1, L).$$

Then the stabiliser of  $e_1^-$  in  $J(Z_1, L)$  is  $NJ_2 \rtimes J(W_1, Fe_1^-)$ , where we adopt the same notation  $NJ_1$  and  $NJ_2$  as in Sec. 7.2.1. For  $b \in F^\times$ , the stabiliser of  $bf_1^-$  in  $J(Z_1, L)$  is  $J(Z_1, L)$ . Thus  $I_{\Omega_{1,1}}(\xi)$  is further split into three parts:

$$(7.9) \quad J_{\Omega_{1,1,1}}(\xi) = \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{a \in F^\times} \sum_{\eta \in N(Z_1, L)} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta_{af_1^+} \eta g) dg;$$

$$(7.10) \quad J_{\Omega_{1,1,2}}(\xi) = \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{\eta \in NJ_2 \rtimes J(W_1, Fe_1^-) \setminus J(Z_1, L)} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \eta g) dg;$$

$$(7.11) \quad J_{\Omega_{1,1,3}}(\xi) = \int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \sum_{b \in F^\times} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta_{bf_1^-} g) dg.$$

We take the same  $\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}$  as in Sec. 7.1.3. We proceed to evaluate each part.

For each  $a$ -term in  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,1}}(\xi)$ , we get

$$\int_{N(Z_1, L)(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[G(W_1)]} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta_{af_1^+} gn) dg dn.$$

Since  $\delta_{af_1^+} G(W_1) \delta_{af_1^+}^{-1} = G(Z)$  and  $\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}$  commutes with  $G(Z)$ , the inner integral becomes

$$\int_{[G(Z)]} \xi(g \gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta_{af_1^+} n) dg$$

which is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over the subgroup  $G(Z)$  of  $G(X)$ . We get

**Proposition 7.8.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $G(Z)$ -distinguished, then  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,1}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

For  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,2}}(\xi)$  we collapse the integral and the sum

$$\int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[NJ_2]} \int_{J(W_1, Fe_1^-)(F) \setminus G(W_1)(\mathbb{A})} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} n_1 n_2 g) dg dn_2 dn_1$$

Then using Iwasawa decomposition of  $G(W_1)(\mathbb{A})$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[NJ_2]} \int_{[J(W_1, Fe_1^-)]} \int_{GL_1(\mathbb{A})} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} n_1 n_2 g m_1(t) k) dt dg dn_2 dn_1 dk \\ &= \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{GL_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z_1, Fe_1^- \oplus Ff_1^-)]} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} n_1 g m_1(t) k) dg dt dn_1 dk \\ &= \int_{K_G(W_1)} \int_{NJ_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{GL_1(\mathbb{A})} \int_{[J(Z_1, Fe_1^- \oplus Ff_1^-)]} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} g n_1 m_1(t) k) dg dt dn_1 dk. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} J(Z_1, Fe_1^- \oplus Ff_1^-) \gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}^{-1} = J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Ff_1^- \oplus Fv)$ , the innermost integral is equal to

$$\int_{[J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Ff_1^- \oplus Fv)]} \xi(g \gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} n_1 m_1(t) k) dg$$

which is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over  $J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Ff_1^- \oplus Fv)$ . Thus we get

**Proposition 7.9.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $J(Z', L' \oplus L)$ -distinguished for any  $Z' \supset Z$  such that  $\dim Z' = \dim Z + 2$  and  $L'$  is an isotropic line of  $Z'$  in the orthogonal complement of  $Z$ , then  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,2}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

For each  $b$ -term in  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,3}}(\xi)$ , we take  $\delta_{bf_1^-}$  to be the element that is given by  $e_1^+ \leftrightarrow f_1^+$  and  $e_1^- \leftrightarrow f_1^-$  and identity on the orthogonal complement. We evaluate

$$\int_{[J(Z_1, L)]} \xi(\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta_{bf_1^-} g) dg.$$

We have  $\delta_{bf_1^-} J(Z_1, L) \delta_{bf_1^-}^{-1} = J(Z_1, Fe_1^-)$  and  $\gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} J(Z_1, Fe_1^-) \gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)}^{-1} = J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Fv)$ . Thus we get

$$\int_{[J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Fv)]} \xi(g \gamma_{F(v+e_1^-)} \delta_{bf_1^-}) dg$$

which is a period integral on  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  over  $J(Fv^+ \oplus Z \oplus Fv, Fv)$ . We get

**Proposition 7.10.** *If  $\sigma \otimes \overline{\Theta_{X,Y}}$  is not  $J(Z', L')$ -distinguished for any  $Z' \supset Z$  such that  $\dim Z' = \dim Z + 2$  and  $L'$  is an isotropic line of  $Z'$  in the orthogonal complement of  $Z$ , then  $J_{\Omega_{1,1,3}}(\xi)$  vanishes for  $\xi = \xi_{c,s}$  and  $\xi_s^c$ .*

## REFERENCES

- [Art78] James G. Arthur. A trace formula for reductive groups. I. Terms associated to classes in  $G(\mathbf{Q})$ . *Duke Math. J.*, 45(4):911–952, 1978.
- [Art80] James Arthur. A trace formula for reductive groups. II. Applications of a truncation operator. *Compositio Math.*, 40(1):87–121, 1980.

- [Art13] James Arthur. *The endoscopic classification of representations*, volume 61 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2013. Orthogonal and symplectic groups.
- [BMM16] Nicolas Bergeron, John Millson, and Colette Moeglin. The Hodge conjecture and arithmetic quotients of complex balls. *Acta Math.*, 216(1):1–125, 2016.
- [BMM17] Nicolas Bergeron, John Millson, and Colette Moeglin. Hodge type theorems for arithmetic hyperbolic manifolds. In *Geometry, analysis and probability*, volume 310 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 47–56. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2017.
- [Gan12] Wee Teck Gan. Doubling zeta integrals and local factors for metaplectic groups. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 208:67–95, 2012.
- [Gao18] Fan Gao. The Langlands-Shahidi  $L$ -functions for Brylinski-Deligne extensions. *Amer. J. Math.*, 140(1):83–137, 2018.
- [GI14] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino. Formal degrees and local theta correspondence. *Invent. Math.*, 195(3):509–672, 2014.
- [GI18] Wee Teck Gan and Atsushi Ichino. The Shimura-Waldspurger correspondence for  $\mathrm{Mp}_{2n}$ . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 188(3):965–1016, 2018.
- [GJS09] David Ginzburg, Dihua Jiang, and David Soudry. Poles of  $L$ -functions and theta liftings for orthogonal groups. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu*, 8(4):693–741, 2009.
- [GQT14] Wee Teck Gan, Yannan Qiu, and Shuichiro Takeda. The regularized Siegel-Weil formula (the second term identity) and the Rallis inner product formula. *Invent. Math.*, 198(3):739–831, 2014.
- [GS] Wee Teck Gan and Gordan Savin. Representations of metaplectic groups i: Epsilon dichotomy and local langlands correspondence. preprint.
- [Hen00] Guy Henniart. Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands pour  $\mathrm{GL}(n)$  sur un corps  $p$ -adique. *Invent. Math.*, 139(2):439–455, 2000.
- [HH12] Hongyu He and Jerome William Hoffman. Picard groups of Siegel modular 3-folds and  $\theta$ -liftings. *J. Lie Theory*, 22(3):769–801, 2012.
- [HT01] Michael Harris and Richard Taylor. *The geometry and cohomology of some simple Shimura varieties*, volume 151 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2001. With an appendix by Vladimir G. Berkovich.
- [Ich01] Atsushi Ichino. On the regularized Siegel-Weil formula. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 539:201–234, 2001.
- [Ike92] Tamotsu Ikeda. On the location of poles of the triple  $L$ -functions. *Compositio Math.*, 83(2):187–237, 1992.

- [Ike96] Tamotsu Ikeda. On the residue of the Eisenstein series and the Siegel-Weil formula. *Compositio Math.*, 103(2):183–218, 1996.
- [Jia14] Dihua Jiang. Automorphic integral transforms for classical groups i: Endoscopy correspondences. In James W. Cogdell, Freydoon Shahidi, and David Soudry, editors, *Automorphic Forms and Related Geometry: Assessing the Legacy of I.I. Piatetski-Shapiro*, volume 614 of *Contemporary Mathematics*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.
- [JS07] Dihua Jiang and David Soudry. On the genericity of cuspidal automorphic forms of  $SO(2n + 1)$ . II. *Compos. Math.*, 143(3):721–748, 2007.
- [JW14] Dihua Jiang and Chenyan Wu. On  $(\chi, b)$ -factors of cuspidal automorphic representations of unitary groups, II. preprint, 2014.
- [JW16] Dihua Jiang and Chenyan Wu. On  $(\chi, b)$ -factors of cuspidal automorphic representations of unitary groups I. *J. Number Theory*, 161:88–118, 2016.
- [JW18] Dihua Jiang and Chenyan Wu. Periods and  $(\chi, b)$ -factors of cuspidal automorphic forms of symplectic groups. *Israel J. Math.*, 225(1):267–320, 2018.
- [KMSW] Tasho Kaletha, Alberto Mínguez, Sug Woo Shin, and Paul-James White. Endoscopic classification of representations: Inner forms of unitary groups. arXiv:1409.3731.
- [KR94] Stephen S. Kudla and Stephen Rallis. A regularized Siegel-Weil formula: the first term identity. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 140(1):1–80, 1994.
- [Kud94] Stephen S. Kudla. Splitting metaplectic covers of dual reductive pairs. *Israel J. Math.*, 87(1-3):361–401, 1994.
- [Lan71] Robert P. Langlands. *Euler products*. Yale University Press, New Haven, Conn.-London, 1971. A James K. Whittemore Lecture in Mathematics given at Yale University, 1967, Yale Mathematical Monographs, 1.
- [Lan89] R. P. Langlands. On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups. In *Representation theory and harmonic analysis on semisimple Lie groups*, volume 31 of *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, pages 101–170. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1989.
- [Mœg97] Colette Mœglin. Non nullité de certains relèvements par séries théta. *J. Lie Theory*, 7(2):201–229, 1997.
- [Mok15] Chung Pang Mok. Endoscopic classification of representations of quasi-split unitary groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 235(1108):vi+248, 2015.

- [MW95] C. Mœglin and J.-L. Waldspurger. *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series*, volume 113 of *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. Une paraphrase de l'Écriture [A paraphrase of Scripture].
- [MW16a] Colette Mœglin and Jean-Loup Waldspurger. *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue. Vol. 1*, volume 316 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [MW16b] Colette Mœglin and Jean-Loup Waldspurger. *Stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue. Vol. 2*, volume 317 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [Ral82] Stephen Rallis. Langlands' functoriality and the Weil representation. *Amer. J. Math.*, 104(3):469–515, 1982.
- [RR93] R. Ranga Rao. On some explicit formulas in the theory of Weil representation. *Pacific J. Math.*, 157(2):335–371, 1993.
- [Sch13] Peter Scholze. The local Langlands correspondence for  $GL_n$  over  $p$ -adic fields. *Invent. Math.*, 192(3):663–715, 2013.
- [Sha10] Freydoon Shahidi. *Eisenstein series and automorphic  $L$ -functions*, volume 58 of *American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2010.
- [Wu17] ChenYan Wu. A critical case of Rallis inner product formula. *Sci. China Math.*, 60(2):201–222, 2017.
- [Yam14] Shunsuke Yamana.  $L$ -functions and theta correspondence for classical groups. *Invent. Math.*, 196(3):651–732, 2014.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA, 3010, AUSTRALIA

*E-mail address:* chenyan.wu@unimelb.edu.au