arXiv:2002.08644v2 [math.CV] 30 Mar 2022

ON CONTINUOUS EXTENSION OF CONFORMAL HOMEOMORPHISMS OF INFINITELY CONNECTED PLANAR DOMAINS

JUN LUO AND XIAOTING YAO

Abstract. We consider conformal homeomorphisms φ of generalized Jordan domains U onto planar domains Ω that satisfy both of the next two conditions: (1) at most countably many boundary components of Ω are non-degenerate and their diameters have a finite sum; (2) either the degenerate boundary components of Ω or those of U form a set of sigma-finite linear measure. We prove that φ continuously extends to the closure of U if and only if every boundary component of Ω is locally connected. This generalizes the Carathéodory's Continuity Theorem and leads us to a new generalization of the well known Osgood-Taylor-Carathéodory Theorem. There are three issues that are noteworthy. Firstly, none of the above conditions (1) and (2) can be removed. Secondly, our results remain valid for non-cofat domains and do not follow from the extension results, of a similar nature, that are obtained in very recent studies on the conformal rigidity of circle domains. Finally, when φ does extend continuously to the closure of U, the boundary of Ω is a Peano compactum. Therefore, we also show that the following properties are equivalent for any planar domain Ω:

(1) The boundary of Ω is a Peano compactum.

(2) Ω has Property S.

(3) Every point on the boundary of Ω is locally accessible.

(4) Every point on the boundary of Ω is locally sequentially accessible.

(5) Ω is finitely connected at the boundary.

(6) The completion of Ω under the Mazurkiewicz distance is compact.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* **Primary 30D40, 54C20, Secondary 54F15.**

Key words and phrases. **Carathéodory's Continuity Theorem, Circle Domain, Generalized Jordan Domain, Peano Compactum.**

1. Introduction

We consider conformal homeomorphisms $\varphi : U \to \Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ of generalized Jordan domains U. Such a domain has at most countably many non-degenerate boundary components $\gamma_k (k \geq 1)$, each of which is a Jordan curve, such that the diameters of γ_k converge to zero. Our aim is to find conditions under which φ has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$.

To start off, we recall some well known results concerning the special case that U is actually a circle domain, *i.e. a connected open subset of* $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ *whose complementary components are points or (geometric) disks*. When U is an open disk, the Carathéodory's **Continuity Theorem** has provided the earliest criterion for the existence of $\overline{\varphi}$ [6]. See also [2, Theorem 3] or [28, p. 18].

Theorem A (**Continuity Theorem**). *A conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ of the unit disk $\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \overline{\Omega}$ if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is locally connected.*

Remark. *Recall that a* **Peano continuum** *is the image of $[0, 1]$ under a continuous map. By the Hahn-Mazurkiewicz-Sierpínski Theorem* [19, §50, II, Theorem 2]*, a continuum is locally connected if and only if it is a Peano continuum. Therefore, the* **Continuity Theorem** *may be restated as follows: a conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ onto a planar domain Ω continuously extends to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano continuum.*

If Ω in the above theorem is a **Jordan domain**, so that $\partial\Omega$ is a **Jordan curve**, the extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \to \overline{\Omega}$ is actually a homeomorphism. This has been obtained earlier by Osgood and Taylor [27, Corollary 1] and independently by Carathéodory [7]. We refer to it as the Osgood-Taylor-Carathéodory Theorem, or shortly the **OTC Theorem**. See for instance [2, Theorem 4].

Theorem B (**OTC Theorem**). *A conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ has a continuous and injective extension to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ if and only if the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a Jordan curve.*

There are very recent generalizations of the **OTC Theorem**. In order to present how they differ from what we obtain, especially Theorem 3, these results are cited as Theorems C to F in the sequel. The first one is a direct corollary of [14, Theorem 3.2].

Theorem C (**OTC Theorem** — for Countably Connected Circle Domains)**.** *Every conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ of a countably connected circle domain D onto a circle domain Ω extends to be a homeomorphism between $\overline{D}$ and $\overline{\Omega}$.*

The second one includes Theorem C as a special case.

Theorem D ([15, Theorem 2.1])**.** *Let D be a circle domain in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ whose boundary has σ-finite linear measure. Let Ω be another circle domain and let $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ be a conformal homeomorphism. Then φ extends to be a homeomorphism $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{D} \to \overline{\Omega}$.*

The next extension result makes no assumption on the linear measure of ∂D, although the circle domain D is additionally required to satisfy the so-called **quasihyperbolic condition**. Here the codomain Ω is also required to be a **cofat** generalized Jordan domain. On the other hand, Theorem 3 concerns generalized Jordan domains that may or may not be cofat.

Theorem E ([25, Theorem 6.1])**.** *Let D be a circle domain with $\infty \in D$ and let h be a conformal map from D onto another domain Ω with $\infty = h(\infty) \in \Omega$. Suppose that D satisfies the quasihyperbolic condition and that the complementary components of Ω are points and uniformly fat closed Jordan domains. Then h extends to be a homeomorphism from $\overline{D}$ onto $\overline{\Omega}$.*

The last one, inferred from [29, Theorem 6.2], generalizes Theorem B.

Theorem F (**OTC Theorem** — for Cofat Generalized Jordan Domains)**.** *Let $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ be a conformal homeomorphism between generalized Jordan domains that are countably connected. If Ω_1 and Ω_2 are both* **cofat** *then φ extends to a homeomorphism between $\overline{\Omega_1}$ and $\overline{\Omega_2}$.*

Theorems C to F provide fundamental generalizations of the **Continuity Theorem** and the **OTC Theorem**. These results are closely related to the conformal rigidity for circle domains that satisfy certain conditions [14, 15, 25]. In the present paper, we deal with the problem of continuous extension for conformal homeomorphisms $\varphi : U \to \Omega$ from a generalized Jordan domain onto a planar domain. On the one hand, the underlying domains may have uncountably many boundary components. On the other, unlike in Theorems C to F, we neither assume U to

be a circle domain nor require the codomain Ω to be **cofat**. Thus the generalizations we obtain do not follow from Theorems C to F. Here a domain $\Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be cofat provided that there exists a number $\tau > 0$ such that every component P of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Omega$ is either a point or is τ-**fat**, in the sense that for any point x in $P \cap \mathbb{C}$ the inequality $\dfrac{\text{Area}\,(P \cap D_r(x))}{\text{Area}\,(D_r(x))} \geq \tau$ holds for any disk $D_r(x)$ centered at x that does not contain P. Clearly, a convex (closed) domain is fat. See the following Figure 1 for simple fat and non-fat domains.




FIGURE 1. A non-convex fat domain, a disk, and a non-fat domain.

We will discuss the following.

Extension Problem. *Under what conditions does a conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to \Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ of a circle domain (or generalized Jordan domain) have a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$?*

There are basic observations and fundamental examples that shall orient our discussions along proper directions. Firstly, even if $\varphi : U \to \Omega$ is just a homeomorphism, it is routine to check that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the boundary components of U and those of Ω. Following [14], we denote this correspondence by φ^B. Recall that the non-degenerate boundary components of a generalized Jordan domain $U \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ are Jordan curves whose diameters form a **null sequence**, *i.e.* a finite sequence or an infinite one converging to zero. If φ does have a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$, every component of $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano continuum, possibly a point; moreover, the uniform continuity of $\overline{\varphi}$ requires that the **diameters** of the non-degenerate components of $\partial\Omega$ form a null sequence, too. This indicates that $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum. Here a Peano compactum means a compact metrisable space whose components are each a Peano continuum, possibly a single point, such that for any $C > 0$ at most finitely many of the components are of diameter $> C$. See [20, Theorems 1 to 3] concerning how this notion arises

from the models discussed in [4, 5]. These models have two aims. One is to describe the Julia set J of a rational map $f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from a topological point of view; the other is to analyse the dynamics of the restriction $f|_J$.

Accordingly, in the above extension problem we shall add the following.

(Assumption 1). The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum.

Secondly, with **Assumption 1** the diameters of the non-degenerate components of $\partial\Omega$ form a null sequence, denoted $\{\delta_n : n \geq 1\}$. However, if δ_n does not converge to zero sufficiently fast (for instance, such that $\sum_n \delta_n = \infty$), then it is possible that φ^B maps a point component of ∂U to a non-degenerate component of $\partial\Omega$. See **Example 5.3** for the concrete construction of a domain $\Omega \subset \{z : 1 < |z| < 2\}$ with countably many boundary components, one of which is $\partial\mathbb{D} = \{z : |z| = 1\}$. Due to the advancements made by He and Schramm in [14], the domain Ω allows the existence of a conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ defined on a circle domain D. We will show that for any conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ of a circle domain D onto Ω the boundary correspondence φ^B maps a point component of ∂D to $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Therefore, in the above extension problem we also add the following.

(Assumption 2). The diameters of the non-degenerate components of $\partial\Omega$ have a finite sum.

Thirdly, if the point components of ∂U form a set that is too large, say having positive 2-dimensional Lebesgue measure, then it is possible that φ^B maps a point component of ∂U to a non-degenerate component of $\partial\Omega$. See for instance [13, Theorem 4.1] for a conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : D \to D^*$ between circle domains such that the boundary components of D are each a singleton and exactly one component of ∂D^* is a circle. It is known that there is no continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{D} \to \overline{D^*}$. To avoid such situations, and with motivations from discussions in [15] on the conformal rigidity of circle domains, we further add the following.

(Assumption 3). The point components of ∂U form a set of sigma-finite linear measure.

Now we are well prepared to present our first generalization of the **Continuity Theorem**, to conformal homeomorphisms of a circle domain that may be uncountably connected.

Theorem 1 (**First Generalization of Continuity Theorem**). *Let $\Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a domain satisfying* **Assumptions 1-2**. *Let $D \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a circle domain satisfying* **Assumption 3**. *Then any conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{D} \to \overline{\Omega}$.*

A key step in the proof for Theorem 1 is to find upper bounds for the oscillations of φ, in which **Assumption 3** plays a key role. Particularly, from [15, Lemma 1.3] (Lemma 4.1 in this paper) we can infer Lemma 4.2, which is then used to construct upper bounds for the oscillations of φ as required. See Theorem 4.5. With the help of Lemma 4.4, we obtain another generalization of the **Continuity Theorem** by replacing **Assumption 3** with the following. **(Assumption 3*)**. The point components of $\partial\Omega$ form a set of sigma-finite linear measure.

Theorem 2 (**Second Generalization of Continuity Theorem**). *Let $\Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a domain satisfying* **Assumptions 1-2** *and* **3***. *Then any conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ of a circle domain D onto Ω has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{D} \to \overline{\Omega}$,*

Two issues concerning **Theorems 1-2** are noteworthy. Firstly, **Theorem 1** (or **2**) actually indicates that, under **Assumptions 2-3** (or **2-3***), any conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ of a circle domain D onto Ω has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{D} \to \overline{\Omega}$ if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum. Secondly, we may replace the circle domain D by a **generalized Jordan domain**. See Theorems 4.6 and 4.9. Therefore, we can obtain the following.

Theorem 3 (**Generalized OTC Theorem**). *Let Ω_1, Ω_2 be generalized Jordan domains. Let $\{P_n\}$ (respectively, $\{Q_n\}$) denote the non-degenerate components of $\partial\Omega_1$ (respectively, of $\partial\Omega_2$). Then any conformal homeomorphism $f : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ extends to a continuous map from $\overline{\Omega_1}$ onto $\overline{\Omega_2}$ provided that the following two conditions are both satisfied:*

(i) *The inequality $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(Q_n) < \infty$ holds for the diameters of Q_n.*
(ii) *The point components of $\partial\Omega_1$ or those of $\partial\Omega_2$ form a set of σ-finite linear measure.*

If, in addition, we have $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(P_n) < \infty$ then f extends to a homeomorphism from $\overline{\Omega_1}$ onto $\overline{\Omega_2}$. Consequently, this extension further extends to a homeomorphism of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ onto itself.

Remark. *Theorem 3 differs from Theorem F. Firstly, Theorem F concerns countably connected domains while Theorem 3 also applies to uncountably connected domains. Secondly, the* **cofatness** *of Ω_1 and Ω_2 in Theorem F is replaced by two properties: (1) for one of them the point components of the boundary form a set of* **sigma-finite linear measure** *and (2) for both of them the* **diameters** *of the non-degenerate boundary components have a finite sum. Theorefore, Theorem 3 neither implies nor follows from any of Theorems C to F.*

In our proofs for **Theorems 1** and **2**, we employ a fundamental fact that connects the **Property S** of a planar domain to the topology of its boundary. Here, a metric space X is said to have Property S provided that for each $\epsilon > 0$, the set X is the union of finitely many connected sets of diameter less than ϵ [31, p. 20].

In Theorem 2.6 we characterize all planar domains with Property S as those whose boundaries are Peano compacta. This generalizes [31, p. 112, Theorem (4.2)], from simply connected domains to all planar domains. There are more criterions, which are summarized in the following.

Theorem 4. *Let $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a domain. The following are equivalent:*

(1) $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum;

(2) Ω has Property S;

(3) Every point of $\partial\Omega$ is locally accessible;

(4) Every point of $\partial\Omega$ is locally sequentially accessible;

(5) Ω is finitely connected at the boundary;

(6) The completion of Ω under the Mazurkiewicz distance d is compact.

Remark. *Theorem 4 demonstrates an interplay between the topology of Ω, that of $\partial\Omega$, and the completion of the metric space (Ω, d). For the definition of Mazurkiewicz distance d one may refer to Remark 2.13. For a special case of Theorem 4, when Ω is simply connected, one may refer to* [17]. *Note that Theorem 4 is also motivated by and provides new generalizations of known criteria for a simply connected planar domain to have Property S. See* [31, p. 112, Theorem (4.2)]. *This theorem will be cited fully in the current paper as Theorem 2.5. Also note*

that the completion of (Ω, d) *is compact if and only if* Ω *is finitely connected at the boundary. See* [3, Theorem 1.1]. *The authors of* [3] *also obtain the equivalences of (2), (4) and (5) for countably connected planar domains* Ω [3, Theorem 1.2] *or for slightly more general choices of* $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ [3, Theorem 4.4]. *Theorem 4 improves those earlier results by obtaining the equivalence of all those properties for an arbitrary planar domain* Ω.

The other parts of the paper are arranged as follows. In Section 2 we have two aims. One is to obtain Theorem 2.1, which characterizes all generalized Jordan domains U, in terms of (1) the simple connectedness of U at the boundary and (2) the connectedness of all cluster sets for any continuous map from U to a compact metric space. The other is to obtain Theorem 4.

In Section 3 we give topological counterparts for **Theorems 1-3**. See Theorem 3.1. Here we also analyze the monotonicity and injectivity of the continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$ of a homeomorphism φ from a generalized Jordan domain onto a domain $\Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, if it exists. In Theorem 3.5, we show that $\overline{\varphi}$ is monotone if and only if Ω is also a generalized Jordan domain.

In Section 4 we will prove Theorems 1 to 3. These proofs are both based on certain estimates from above of the oscillations of some conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to \Omega$, from a generalized Jordan domain U onto a domain $\Omega \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, so that Theorem 3.1 may be applied.

In Section 5 we concretely construct a countably connected domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that there are conformal homeomorphisms φ from a circle domain D onto Ω and the boundary map φ^B sends a point component of ∂D to a non-degenerate component of $\partial\Omega$. See Example 5.3. Moreover, we may construct this domain Ω as a generalized Jordan domain. See Remark 5.7.

2. Theory of Cluster Sets for Generalized Jordan Domains

This section has two aims. One is to characterize generalized Jordan domains in terms of the connectedness of cluster sets. The other is to find necessary and sufficient conditions for a planar domains to have property S, that is, to prove Theorem 4. Here we recall that the boundary components of a generalized Jordan domain are points or Jordan curves and that there are at most countably many Jordan curves, whose diameters converge to zero. Moreover,

for any continuous map $f : U \to X$ of a planar domain U to a compact metric space X, the **cluster set** at $z_0 \in \partial U$, denoted as $C(f, z_0)$, consists of all w such that there exists an infinite sequence $z_k \in U$ satisfying $z_k \to z_0$ and $f(z_k) \to w$. In other words, we have

$$C(f, z_0) := \bigcap_{r>0} \overline{f(D_r(z_0) \cap U)}.$$

Clearly, every cluster set is nonempty and closed, thus is also a compactum. For the basics of cluster sets, one may refer to [8].

To this end, we shall obtain as well as the following.

Theorem 2.1. *The following statements are equivalent for any domain $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$: (1) U is a generalized Jordan domain, (2) U is simply connected at the boundary, (3) for any continuous map f of U into a compact metric space X all cluster sets $C(f, z)$ with $z \in \partial U$ are connected.*

The property of being **simply connected at the boundary**, to be defined as follows, is a special sub-case for the property of being finitely connected at the boundary, which is to be discussed slightly later in Remark 2.13, before we start to prove Theorem 4.

Definition 2.2. *A domain $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be* **simply connected at a point** *$z_0 \in \partial U$ provided that for any disk $D_r(z_0)$ centered at z_0 with radius $r > 0$ there is a set N_r open in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $z_0 \in N_r \subset D_r(z_0)$ such that $N_r \cap U$ is connected.*

Note that for any continuous map $f : U \to X$ of a domain $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ into a compact metric space, if U is simply connected at $z_0 \in \partial U$ then the cluster set $C(f, z_0)$ is equal to $\bigcap_{r>0} \overline{f(N_r \cap U)}$, where N_r is chosen as in Definition 2.2. So the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3) in Theorem 2.1 is immediate. Therefore, we only need to consider the implications (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1), which are respectively done in Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.

Theorem 2.3. *Each generalized Jordan domain is simply connected at the boundary.*

Theorem 2.4. *If a domain $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is not a generalized Jordan domain, there is a continuous map f of U to a compact metric space X such that $C(f, z_0)$ is disconnected for some $z_0 \in \partial U$.*

We divide the rest of this section into three parts. Firstly, we obtain an intrinsic connection between a metric property of a planar domain Ω, the so-called "Property S", and the topology of its boundary $\partial\Omega$. See Theorem 2.6. Secondly, with the help of this connection, we continue to prove Theorems 2.3 to 2.4. Finally, we prove Theorem 4.

2.1. **Property S and Peano Compactum.** For planar domains, Property S and the property of having a Peano compactum as its boundary are closely connected. A special case of this connection is already known. Actually, by [31, p. 112, Theorem (4.2)], we have.

Theorem 2.5. *If $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a domain whose boundary is a continuum the following are equivalent:*

(i) *Ω has Property S,*
(ii) *every point of $\partial\Omega$ is locally accessible from Ω,*
(iii) *every point of $\partial\Omega$ is accessible from all sides from Ω,*
(iv) *$\partial\Omega$ is locally connected, or equivalently, a Peano continuum.*

Here a metric space X is said to have **Property S** provided that for each $\epsilon > 0$ the set X is the union of finitely many connected sets of diameter less than ϵ [31, p. 20]. Moreover, a point $p \in \partial\Omega$ is said to be **locally accessible from** Ω provided that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there is a number $\delta > 0$ such that for any $x \in \Omega$ with $|x - p| < \delta$ one can find a simple arc $\overline{xp} \subset \Omega \cup \{p\}$ that joins x to p and has a diameter smaller than ϵ [1]. Also note that if a point $x \in \partial\Omega$ is locally accessible it is also said to be **regularly accessible** [31, p. 111] .

Theorem 2.6. *A domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ has Property S if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum.*

Theorem 2.6 is motivated by and also provides a partial generalization for Theorem 2.5. Here we remove the requirement that $\partial\Omega$ be a continuum and keep items (ii) and (iii) untouched. In order to prove Theorem 2.6, we will use a notion introduced in [20], the **Schönflies condition** for planar compacta.

Definition 2.7. *A compactum $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is said to satisfy the* **Schönflies condition** *provided that for the closed strip $W = W(L_1, L_2)$ bounded by two arbitrary parallel lines L_1 and L_2, the* **difference** *$W \setminus K$ has at most finitely many components intersecting L_1 and L_2 both.*

The above notion, and related results, has motivations from recently developed topological models that are very helpful in the study of polynomial Julia sets [4, 5, 9, 18]. These models also date back to the 1980's, when Thurston and Douady and their colleagues started applying the **Carathéodory's Continuity Theorem** to the study of polynomial Julia sets, which are assumed to be connected and locally connected. See for instance [10] and [30].

Notice that a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the Schönflies condition if and only if it is a Peano compactum [20, Theorem 3]. This happens if and only if the **intersection** $W \cap K$ has at most finitely many components intersecting L_1 and L_2 both, with $W = W(L_1, L_2)$ defined in the same way. See [20, Lemma 3.8(3)]. Also note that the Schönflies condition involves parallel lines, so we stay on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, not on the extended complex plane $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. On the other hand, we can obtain a similar criterion by replacing W with a closed annulus determined by two arbitrary disjoint Jordan curves $J_1, J_2 \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is the closure of $U = U(J_1, J_2)$, the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (J_1 \cup J_2)$ with $\partial U = J_1 \cup J_2$. Such a criterion then works for all compacta lying on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$. See for instance [20, Remark 3.9]. In other words, we shall have the following lemma, which is also a direct corollary of [20, Theorem 7].

Lemma 2.8. *A compact set $K \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a Peano compactum if and only if for any two disjoint Jordan curves J_1, J_2 either of the next two conditions is satisfied: (1) $\overline{U(J_1, J_2)} \setminus K$ has at most finitely many components that intersect each of J_1 and J_2 both; (2) $\overline{U(J_1, J_2)} \bigcap K$ has at most finitely many components that intersect each of J_1 and J_2 both.*

Proof for Theorem 2.6. Let us start from a proof for the "only if" part. With no loss of generality, let us assume $\infty \in \Omega$ and fix a closed disk D_0 centered at ∞ and lying in Ω. Then Ω as a domain in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ has Property S if and only if $\Omega_1 = \Omega \setminus D_0$ as a domain in $\mathbb{C}$ has; moreover, $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum if and only if $\partial\Omega_1$ is.

If $\partial\Omega$ is not a Peano compactum, then neither is $\partial\Omega_1$. So there are two parallel lines L_1, L_2 such that for the **closed strip** $W = W(L_1, L_2)$ bounded by L_1 and L_2, *i.e.* the closure of the component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2)$ whose boundary is $L_1 \cup L_2$, the **difference** $W \setminus \partial\Omega_1$ has infinitely many components intersecting both L_1 and L_2. Denote these components as $W_1, W_2, \ldots$.

All those W_n are locally compact and locally connected. So each of them is arcwise connected [31, p. 38, (5.2)]. Thus we may choose open arcs $\alpha_n \subset W_n$ joining a point a_n on $W_n \cap L_1$ to a point b_n on $W_n \cap L_2$. Here an open arc means a simple arc without the two end points. Renaming the arcs α_n and going to an appropriate subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that for any $n > 1$ the two arcs α_{n-1} and α_{n+1} lie in different components of $W \setminus \alpha_n$. It is clear that the arcs α_n may be arranged inside W **linearly** from left to right. See Figure 2 for a simplified depiction of this arrangement.




FIGURE 2. The arcs α_n and the Jordan domains D_n.

Now, let $D_n (n \geq 1)$ be the bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \alpha_n \cup \alpha_{n+1})$. Then each D_n is a Jordan domain; moreover, the closure $\overline{D_n}$ contains a continuum $M_n \subset \partial\Omega_1$ that separates α_n from α_{n+1} in $\overline{D_n}$ [20, lemma 3.6]. Such a continuum M_n must intersect both L_1 and L_2. So we can choose $x_n \in M_{2n-1}$ for all $n \geq 1$ with $\mathrm{dist}(x_n, L_1) = \mathrm{dist}(x_n, L_2) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{dist}(L_1, L_2)$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a number smaller than $\frac{1}{4}\mathrm{dist}(L_1, L_2)$. Since $x_n \in (D_{2n-1} \cap \partial\Omega_1)$ we may find a point $y_n \in (D_{2n-1} \cap \Omega_1)$ satisfying $|x_n - y_n| < \epsilon$. Clearly, for any $m, n \geq 1$ the two points $y_n, y_{n+m} \in \Omega_1$ are separated in $\overline{W}$ by M_{2n}, which lies in $\overline{D_{2n}} \cap \partial\Omega_1$. In other words, we have obtained an infinite set $\{y_n\}$ of points in Ω_1, no two of which may be contained in a single connected subset of Ω_1 that has diameter $< \epsilon$. In other words, Ω_1 and hence Ω does not have Property S.

In the sequel, we prove the "if" part. Suppose that Ω does not have Property S. Then we can find $\epsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{diam}(\Omega)\right)$, such that Ω is not the union of finitely many connected subsets

of diameter $\leq 4\epsilon$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega$ be two points with $|x_1 - x_2| > 2\epsilon$. Then $A_2 = \{x_1, x_2\}$ has the next property (*): *no pair of its points is contained in a single connected subset of* Ω *with diameter* $\leq 2\epsilon$. Once $A_n = \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ has been chosen so that it has the property (*), we claim that there always exists a further point x_{n+1} in $\Omega \setminus A_n$ such that $A_{n+1} = A_n \cup \{x_{n+1}\}$ has the property (*). If not, for every $x \in \Omega$ there would be a connected set Ω_x, of diameter $\leq 2\epsilon$, that contains x and some $x_i \in A_n$. Let E_i consist of all those Ω_x that contains x_i. Then $E_1, \ldots, E_n$ are connected sets of diameter $\leq 4\epsilon$ and their union covers Ω. This is a contradiction.

The above procedure, to choose x_n for all $n \geq 3$, may be repeated indefinitely. In this way, we can find infinitely many points $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in \Omega$ such that no pair of them is contained in a single connected subset of Ω having diameter $\leq 2\epsilon$. By the compactness of $\overline{\Omega}$ and by going to an appropriate subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $\lim_{i\to\infty} x_i = x$. The way we choose the points x_i then implies that $x \in \partial\Omega$.

Given $r \in (0, \epsilon)$, choose $i_0 \geq 1$ such that $x_i \in D_r(x)$ for all $i \geq i_0$. Fix a point $x_0 \in \Omega$ with $|x - x_0| > \epsilon$ and choose arcs $\alpha_i \subset \Omega$ starting from x_0 and ending at x_i. For any $i \geq i_0$ let $a_i \in \alpha_i$ be the last point at which α_i leaves $\partial D_\epsilon(x)$; let $b_i \in \alpha_i$ be the first point after a_i at which α_i encounters $\partial D_r(x)$. See Figure 3. Now let $\beta_i \subset \alpha_i$ be the sub-arc from a_i to b_i and $\gamma_i \subset \alpha_i$ the




FIGURE 3. The relative locations for x_i, a_i, b_i and the arcs β_i.

one from b_i to x_i. Since no pair of the points x_i is contained in a single connected subset of Ω that is of diameter $\leq 2\epsilon$, we see that all those arcs $\{\beta_i : i \geq i_0\}$ are disjoint. Moreover, we can further infer that no two of them may be contained in the same component of $A \setminus \partial\Omega$, where A is the **closed annulus** with boundary circles $\partial D_r(x)$ and $\partial D_\epsilon(x)$. Indeed, if this happens for

β_k, β_j with $k \neq j \geq i_0$ then $\beta_k \cup \beta_j$ lies in a component P of $A \setminus \partial\Omega$, which is a subset of Ω. In such a case $\gamma_k \cup \beta_k \cup P \cup \beta_j \cup \gamma_j$ is a connected subset of Ω containing $\{x_k, x_j\}$ that lies in $D_\epsilon(x)$ and hence has a diameter $\leq 2\epsilon$. This is prohibited, by the choices of $\{x_i\}$.

Now, let $P_i (i \geq i_0)$ be the component of $A \setminus \partial\Omega$ that contains β_i. Then $P_i \cap P_j = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j \geq i_0$ and $A \setminus \partial\Omega$ has infinitely many components that intersect $\partial D_r(x)$ and $\partial D_\epsilon(x)$ both. By Lemma 2.8, this indicates that $\partial\Omega$ is not a Peano compactum. □

2.2. **Proofs for Theorems 2.3 and 2.4.** We start from Theorem 2.3.

Proof for Theorem 2.3. Since a Jordan curve separates $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ into two domains, ∂U has at most countably many components that are Jordan curves. Denote these Jordan curves as $\{\Gamma_n\}$.

We shall need **Zoretti's Theorem** [32, p. 35, Corollary 3.11].

Theorem (**Zoretti's Theorem**). *If K is a component of a compact set M (in the plane) and ϵ is any positive number, then there exists a simple closed curve J which encloses K and is such that $J \cap M = \emptyset$, and every point of J is at a distance less than ϵ from some point of K.*

If $\{z_0\}$ is a point component of ∂U, by **Zoretti's Theorem**, we can choose an infinite sequence of Jordan curves $J_i \subset U$ with $i \geq 1$ such that the component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_i$ containing z_0, denoted as W_i, also contains J_{i+1}. By [26, p. 117, Theorem 11.2] we see that $W_i \cap U$ is connected. This indicates that U is simply connected at $z_0 \in \partial U$. In the sequel, we only consider the case that $z_0 \in \Gamma_p$ for some $p \geq 1$. By the Schönflies Theorem [22, p. 72, Theorem 4], we may assume that $\Gamma_p = \{|z| = 1\}$ and $U \subset \mathbb{D}^* := \{|z| > 1\} \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Given an open subset V_0 of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ that contains z_0, we fix a closed disk $D \subset V_0$ centered at z_0. As U is a generalized Jordan domain, the boundary ∂U is a Peano compactum. By Theorem 2.6, we see that U has property S. Therefore, we may find finitely many domains $M_n (1 \leq n \leq N)$, of diameter less than $\operatorname{dist}\left(D, \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus V_0\right)$, such that $\bigcup_n M_n = U$ and every M_n has Property S. This is possible by [31, p. 21, Corollary (15.41)], which reads as follows.

Theorem. *Any metric space having Property S is the sum of a finite number of arbitrarily small connected subsets each having Property S. Furthermore these subsets may be chosen either as open sets or as closed sets.*

Let W be the union of all those M_n with $z_0 \in \overline{M_n}$. Renaming the domains M_n, we may find an integer N_0 such that $z_0 \in \overline{M_n}$ if and only if $1 \leq n \leq N_0$. Therefore, we actually have $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_0} M_n$.

Using **Zoretti's Theorem** repeatedly, we may find a sequence of pairwise disjoint Jordan curves $\gamma_k \subset U$ that converge to Γ_p under Hausdorff distance. Fix a closed subarc $w_0 \subset U$ that lies in $\mathbb{D}^* \cap \partial D$. Then w_0 separates $\mathbb{D}^* \cap \partial D$ into two open arcs, denoted as a and b, each of which connects w_0 to Γ_p. Choosing an appropriate subsequence, we may assume that every γ_k separates w_0 from Γ_p thus intersects both a and b. Recall that D is chosen to be a closed disk. Applying the Cut Wire Theorem [24, p. 72, Theorem 5.2], we see that the compact set $\gamma_k \cap D$ has a component intersecting both a and b, denoted as α_k, which is necessarily a closed sub-arc of γ_k. See Figure 4.




FIGURE 4. Relative locations of z_0, w_0, a, b and α_k.

Let W_k be the union of all these $M_n (1 \leq n \leq N)$ that intersect α_k. Then W_k is connected hence is a domain, that contains the whole arc α_k. Since there are finitely many choices for the domains M_n, we can find an infinite subsequence, say $\{k_i : i \geq 1\}$, such that these domains W_{k_i} coincide with one another.

We **claim** that each of these domains W_{k_i} contains W.

Recall that $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_0} M_n$ and that $z_0 \notin \overline{M_n}$ for $n > N_0$. Also recall that $U \setminus W$ is contained in the union of those M_n, with $N_0 < n \leq N$ and that $z_0 \in \overline{M_n}$ for $1 \leq n \leq N_0$, we shall have

$z_0 \notin \overline{U \setminus W}$. By the previous claim, for any r smaller than the distance from z_0 to $\overline{U \setminus W}$, the intersection $D_r(z_0) \cap U$ is contained in W, which is further contained in $W_{k_1} \subset U$. If r is small enough, with $D_r(z_0) \subset D$, then $N_r := D_r(z_0) \cup W_{k_1}$ is an open neighborhood of z_0 such that $N_r \cap U = W_{k_1} \cap U = W_{k_1}$. This will complete our proof, since W_{k_1} is known to be connected.

To verify the above mentioned claim, we connect z_0 to a point $w_n \in M_n$ by an open arc $\beta_n \subset M_n$ for $1 \leq n \leq N_0$. Such an arc always exists, since M_n has Property S and since $z_0 \in \overline{M_n}$ [31, p. 111 (4.1)]. Recall that $\lim_{i\to\infty} \alpha_{k_i} = D \cap \Gamma_p$ under Hasudorff distance. This limit is a circular arc, with end points u and v. Given $1 \leq n \leq N_0$ and $\delta > 0$ smaller than the distance from $\{u, v\}$ to β_n, the union $\alpha_{k_i} \cup D_\delta(u) \cup D_\delta(v)$ separates z_0 from w_n in U for all but finitely many i. This indicates that β_n and hence M_n intersects α_{k_i} for all but finitely many i. Thus M_n is contained in all but finitely many W_{k_i}, indicating that $W = \bigcup_{n=1}^{N_0} M_n$ is contained in all but finitely many W_{k_i}. Consequently, we have $W \subset W_{k_i}$ for $i \geq 1$. □

Remark 2.9. *Notice that Ntalampekos and Younsi have obtained the result of Theorem 2.3 in* [25]*. Observe that, although these authors focus on homeomorphisms $h : U \to X$ between planar domains, their proof for* [25, Proposition 3.5] *is still valid when X is a general compact metric space and when h is only assumed to be a continuous map. Thus the implication* (1) ⇒ (2) *of Theorem 2.1 is already included in* [25]*. For further details concerning this observation, we refer to* [25, Theorem 3.6] *and* [25, Lemma 3.7]*. However, our proof for Theorem 2.3 is based on more direct arguments. These arguments are not dependent on Moore's decomposition theorem* [23]*, which plays an important role in the proof for* [25, Proposition 3.5]*. For the moment, it is not clear whether our strategy works for similar questions concerning the connectedness of cluster sets for continuous maps of domains $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n \geq 3$ into a compact metric space. Note that, in such a situation, we do not have a result like Moore's decomposition theorem.*

Now we continue to prove Theorem 2.4, by obtaining Propositions 2.11 and 2.12. In the proof for Proposition 2.12, we will need the following lemma whose result is immediate.

Lemma 2.10. *Let $f : U \to \Omega$ be a homeomorphism between planar domains such that the inverse $\varphi = f^{-1} : \Omega \to U$ has a continuous extension to $\overline{\Omega}$, still denoted as φ. Then $C(f, z_0) = \varphi^{-1}(z_0)$ for all $z_0 \in \partial U$.*

Proposition 2.11. *Let $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a domain. If ∂U is not a Peano compactum there is a bounded continuous map $f : U \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $C(f, z_0)$ is disconnected for some $z_0 \in \partial U$.*

Proof. We may assume that $\infty \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ lies in U and consider ∂U as a compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$. Since ∂U is not a Peano compactum, we may apply [12, Theorem 4.8] and infer that $K = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U$ is not a Peano compactum, either. Applying [20, Theorem 3] we see that K does not satisfy the Schönflies condition. See also Definition 2.7. That is to say, there exist two parallel lines L_1, L_2 such that the **unbounded closed strip** W, with $\partial W = L_1 \cup L_2$, is such that $W \setminus K = W \cap U$ has infinitely many components, say W_n with $n \geq 1$, intersecting both L_1 and L_2.

With no loss of generality we assume that the lines L_i are horizontal. Moreover, by scaling we may assume that the distance between L_1 and L_2 is exactly one. The compactness of ∂U implies that there is a rectangle $R_0 \subset W$ containing all but two of those W_n. By applying a map of the form $x + \mathbf{i}y \mapsto ax + \mathbf{i}y$, we also assume that R_0 is a square of side length one.

Now, by going to an appropriate subsequence, we may further assume that the limit under Hausdorff distance $\lim_{n\to\infty} \overline{W_n} = M$ exists. This limit M is a continuum. If $z \in M$ then any disk D_z centered at z intersects infinitely many W_n, say, at a point z_n. Since all those sets W_n are distinct components of $W \setminus K = W \cap U$, we can further infer that the segment from z to z_n hence the disk D_z intersects $\overline{W_k} \setminus W_k$ for infinitely many k. Therefore, M is a subset of ∂U. Now, fix $z_0 \in M$ whose distance to L_1 is $\frac{1}{2}$. We will construct a continuous map $f : U \to [-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2}]$ such that the cluster set $C(f, z_0)$ is a subset of $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \{0\} \cup \left[\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2}\right]$ that intersects the two intervals $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{3}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2}\right]$ both. This then indicates that $C(f, z_0)$ is disconnected.

To do that, we start from a function $f_0 : U \to \mathbb{R}$ defined as follows. Firstly, $f_0(z) = 0$ for all $z \in U$ that do not belong to any W_n; secondly, if $z \in W_n$ for some $n \geq 1$, let $f_0(z)$ be the infimum of the diameter of all arcs that connect z to a point on $(L_1 \cup L_2) \cap W_n$. Clearly, f_0 is continuous and its range lies in $[0, \sqrt{2}]$. Now, it suffices to set $f(z) = f_0(z)$ for $z \notin (\bigcup_n W_n)$ and $f(z) = (-1)^n f_0(z)$ for $z \in W_n$. Indeed, for this map f and the point $z_0 \in M$ chosen as above, the image $f(U \cap D_r(z_0))$ with $r < \frac{1}{6}$ is a subset of $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{3}\right] \cup \{0\} \cup \left[\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2}\right]$ that intersects the two intervals $\left[-\sqrt{2}, -\frac{1}{3}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \sqrt{2}\right]$ both. □

Proposition 2.12. *Given a domain $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. If ∂U is a Peano compactum but U is not a generalized Jordan domain, there is a continuous map $f : U \to \mathbb{D}$ such that the cluster set $C(f, z_0)$ for some $z_0 \in \partial U$ is disconnected.*

Proof. Assume that $\infty \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ lies in U. As ∂U is not a generalized Jordan domain, by Torhorst Theorem [19, p. 512, §61, II, Theorem 4], at least one of the components of ∂U, say Q, contains a cut point z_0. Here a point z_0 of a connected set Q is called a cut point of Q if $Q \setminus z_0$ is no longer connected, so that there is a separation $Q \setminus \{z_0\} = Q_1 \cup Q_2$, satisfying $\overline{Q_1} \cap Q_2 = \emptyset = Q_1 \cap \overline{Q_2}$.

As ∂U is a Peano compactum, Q is a Peano continuum. Let W_Q be the component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus Q$ containing U. As W_Q is simply connected, we can choose a Riemann mapping $f_0 : W_Q \to \mathbb{D}$ that sends ∞ to 0. Due to the Carathéodory's Continuity Theorem, the inverse map $f_0^{-1} : \mathbb{D} \to W_Q$ has a continuous extension to the closure $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, denoted as φ_0.

Finally, we set $f = f_0|_U$ and $\varphi = \varphi_0|_{\overline{f_0(U)}}$. By Lemma 2.10 we have $\varphi^{-1}(z_0) = C(f, z_0)$. So we just need to show that $\varphi^{-1}(z_0)$ is disconnected. Indeed, if on the contrary $\varphi^{-1}(z_0)$ were connected it would be a sub-interval I, satisfying $\varphi(\partial\mathbb{D} \setminus I) = Q_1 \cup Q_2$. This would be absurd, since $Q \setminus \{z_0\} = Q_1 \cup Q_2$ is known to be a separation. □

2.3. **On Domains $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ Having a Peano Compactum as Boundary.** In order to obtain Theorem 4, we shall prove that the following six conditions are equivalent for all domains $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$:

(1) $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum.
(2) Ω has Property S.
(3) all points of $\partial\Omega$ are locally accessible.
(4) all points of $\partial\Omega$ are locally sequentially accessible.
(5) Ω is finitely connected at the boundary.
(6) The completion $\overline{\Omega}_d$ of Ω under the Mazurkiewicz distance d is compact.

Our arguments will center around two groups of implications: (1) ⇔ (2) ⇔ (5) ⇔ (6) and (2) ⇒ (3)/(4) and (3)/(4) ⇒ (1). The equivalence (1) ⇔ (2) is obtained by **Theorem 2.6**. The equivalence (5) ⇔ (6) has been given in [3, Theorem 1.1]. The equivalence (2) ⇔ (5) is to be

established in **Theorem 2.14**. The implication (2) ⇒ (3) is already known [31, p. 111, (a)] and the implications (3)/(4) ⇒ (1) and (2) ⇒ (4) will be discussed in **Theorem 2.15**.

Remark 2.13. *There are four issues we want to mention. Firstly,* **local accessibility** *is a synonym for* **regular accessibility**, *as introduced in* [31, p. 112, Theorem (4.2)]. *See also Theorem 2.5. Secondly, a point $\xi \in \partial\Omega$ is called* **locally sequentially accessible** *if for each $r > 0$ and for each sequence $\{\xi_n\}$ of points in Ω that converge to ξ the common part $\Omega \cap D_r(\xi)$ is an open set such that one of its components contains infinitely many ξ_n. Thirdly, a domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is* **finitely connected at a boundary point** *$x \in \partial\Omega$ provided that for any number $r > 0$ there is an open subset U_x of $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, with $x \in U_x \subset D_r(x)$, such that $U_x \cap \Omega$ has finitely many components. If Ω is finitely connected at every of its boundary points, we say that Ω is* **finitely connected at the boundary**. *Recall that if $U_x \cap \Omega$ is further required to be connected we say that Ω is simply connected at x and that if Ω is simply connected at every of its boundary points we say that Ω is simply connected at the boundary. See* **Theorem 2.1** *that characterizes all generalized Jordan domains. Lastly, the Mazurkiewicz distance d between $x \neq y \in \Omega$ is defined by $d(x, y) := \inf \operatorname{diam} \mathrm{E}$, where the infimum is taken over all connected sets $E \subset \Omega$ containing x and y simultaneously. See for instance* [3].

Theorem 2.14. *A domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ has Property S if and only if it is finitely connected at the boundary.*

Proof. Suppose that Ω is finitely connected at the boundary. Then, given $r > 0$ we can find for any $x \in \partial\Omega$ an open set G_x, lying in the open disk centered ar x with radius $\frac{r}{2}$, such that $G_x \cap \Omega$ has finitely many components [3, Definition 2.2]. Clearly, the collection $\{G_x : x \in \partial\Omega\}$ gives an open cover of the boundary $\partial\Omega$. So we can find a finite subcover of $\partial\Omega$, denoted as $\{G_1, \ldots, G_n\}$. Since $\Omega \setminus (\bigcup G_i)$ is a compact subset of Ω, we can cover it with finitely many small disks contained in Ω, with radius $< \frac{r}{2}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$ the intersection $G_i \cap \Omega$ has finitely many components, each of which is a domain. These domains and the above-mentioned small disks, that cover $\Omega \setminus (\bigcup G_i)$, form a finite cover of Ω by subdomains of Ω having a diameter $< r$. This shows that Ω has Property S.

In the sequel, we assume that Ω has Property S. Then, for any $r > 0$ we can cover Ω by finitely many domains $W_1, \ldots, W_N \subset \Omega$ which have a diameter smaller than an arbitrary $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{r}{3})$. For any $x \in \partial\Omega$, denote by U_x the union of all those W_i whose closure contains x and by E_x the union of all those W_i whose closure does not contain x. Then $\overline{E_x}$ is a compact set, whose distance to x is a positive number $r_x > 0$.

Let D be the disk centered at x with radius smaller than $\min\left\{\frac{r}{3}, r_x\right\}$ so that $D \cap \Omega \subset U_x$. It is clear that $G_x = U_x \cup D$ is an open subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, containing x and contained in $D_r(x)$, that satisfies $G_x \cap \Omega = U_x$. Since U_x is the union of some of the domains $W_1, \ldots, W_N$, we see that it has finitely many components. This verifies that Ω is finitely connected at x. □

Theorem 2.15. *Theorem 4 is true, since the implications* (3)/(4) ⇒ (1) *and* (2) ⇒ (4) *hold.*

Proof. Without losing generality, we may assume that $\infty \in \Omega$. Under this context $\partial\Omega$ may be considered as a compactum on $\mathbb{C}$. And we shall start from the implications (3) ⇒ (1) and (4) ⇒ (1), each of which will be obtained by a contrapositive proof.

Suppose on the contrary that $\partial\Omega$ were not a Peano compactum. Then it would not satisfy the Schönflies condition [20, Theorem 3]. In other words, there would exist an unbounded closed strip W, whose boundary consists of two parallel lines $L_1 \neq L_2$, such that $W \setminus \partial\Omega$ has infinitely many components, say W_n for $n \geq 1$, each of which intersects both L_1 and L_2.

The following construction is similar to that in the proof of Theorem 2.6. Since every W_n is arcwise connected, we may choose an open arc $\alpha_n \subset W_n$ joining a point on $W_n \cap L_1$ to a point on $W_n \cap L_2$. Suppose that the arcs α_n are arranged inside W linearly from left to right. See Figure 2 for a simplified depiction. Let $D_n (n \geq 1)$ be the bounded component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus (L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \alpha_n \cup \alpha_{n+1})$. Then each D_n is a Jordan domain; moreover, the closure $\overline{D_n}$ contains a continuum $M_n \subset \partial\Omega$ that separates α_n from α_{n+1} in $\overline{D_n}$ [20, lemma 3.6]. Such a continuum M_n must intersect both L_1 and L_2.

Now let L be the line parallel to L_1 with $\operatorname{dist}(L, L_1) = \operatorname{dist}(L, L_2)$. Then L intersects M_n for all $n \geq 1$. Pick an infinite sequence $\{z_n\}$ of points lying in $M_n \cap L$. By going to an appropriate

subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z_0 \in \partial\Omega$. Pick for all $n \geq 1$ a point $\xi_n \in (\Omega \cap D_n)$ such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} |\xi_n - z_n| = 0$.

For every $n \geq 1$, the arc connecting ξ_n to z_0 intersects $L_1 \cup L_2$. All those arcs are necessarily of diameter $\geq \operatorname{dist}(L, L_1)$. This indicates that z_0 is not locally accessible from Ω and hence verifies the implication (3) $\Rightarrow$ (1). On the other hand, we may consider the subsequence $\{\xi_{2n}\}(n \geq 1)$, every two points of which are in distinct components of $W \cap \Omega$. Fix a neighborhood V_0 of z_0, which entirely lies in the interior of W, then each component of $V_0 \cap \Omega$ contains at most one point of $\{\xi_{2n}\}$. This indicates that z_0 is not locally sequentially accessible from Ω and verifies the implication (4) $\Rightarrow$ (1).

The rest of our proof is to verify the implication (2) $\Rightarrow$ (4). Let $z_0 \in \partial\Omega$ and $\{z_n\}$ be an arbitrary infinite sequence that lies in Ω with $\lim_{n\to\infty} z_n = z_0$. Since Ω has Property S, for any $r > 0$, Ω is the union of finitely many connected sets of diameter less than r. Therefore, there exists a connected set containing infinitely many z_n and certainly such that z_0 lies in its boundary. Clearly, this connected set is contained in a single component of $\Omega \cap D_r(z_0)$. □

3. On Homeomorphisms of a Generalized Jordan Domain

The target of this section is to establish the following.

Theorem 3.1. *A homeomorphism φ of a generalized Jordan domain U onto a domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$ if and only if the conditions below are both satisfied.*

(1) *The boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum.*

(2) *The oscillations of φ satisfy $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$ for all $z_0 \in \partial U$.*

Suppose that the continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$ exists. Then $\overline{\varphi}$ is a monotone map if and only if Ω is also a generalized Jordan domain. Consequently, if further no arc on ∂U of positive diameter is sent by $\overline{\varphi}$ to a single point of $\partial\Omega$ then $\overline{\varphi}$ is actually a homeomorphism.

For any $r > 0$ and for any $z_0 \in \partial U$, we denote by $C_r(z_0)$ the circle centered at z_0 with radius r and define the oscillation of φ at $C_r(z_0) \cap U$, denoted as $\sigma_r(z_0)$, to be the supremum of the

spherical distance from $\varphi(x)$ to $\varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in C_r(z_0) \cap U$. Also note that a monotone map is a continuous map between compact metric spaces with connected point inverses .

We shall follow a philosophy employed in Arsove's Theorem from [2], which reads as follows.

Theorem. *Each of the following is necessary and sufficient for a bounded simply connected plane region Ω to have its boundary parametrizable as a closed curve* (or equivalently, being a Peano continuum)*:*

(1) all points of $\partial\Omega$ are locally accessible,

(2) all points of $\partial\Omega$ are locally sequentially accessible,

(3) some (equivalently, any) Riemann mapping function $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \Omega$ for Ω can be extended to a continuous mapping of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ onto $\overline{\Omega}$.

The first part of Theorem 3.1 is known for simply connected domains Ω [2, Theorem 1]. And a topological counterpart for the OTC Theorem is also established. See [2, Theorem 2]. In the sequel, we use **Property** S instead of the property of **being locally sequentially accessible**. As in earlier works, such as [1, 2], we also need to estimate from above the oscillations of the homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to \Omega$, which is now defined on a generalized Jordan domain U.

To do that, we shall employ a **bijection** between the **boundary components** of U and those of Ω. This bijection has been discussed in [14] and [25, Proposition 3.1]. It associates to any component Q of ∂U a component P of $\partial\Omega$, which actually consists of all the cluster sets $C(\varphi, z_0)$ with $z_0 \in Q$. Denote this bijection $\varphi^B(Q) = P$.

The rest of this section is divided into two parts. Our aim is to consruct a complete proof for Theorem 3.1. The first half is given in Theorem 3.2 and the later half in Theorems 3.3 and 3.5. All these materials are presented in two subsections.

3.1. **Topological Counterpart for Theorems 1-2.** We prove the first half of **Theorem 3.1**.

To begin with, let us recall a recent result by He and Schramm: *each countably connected domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is conformally homeomorphic to a circle domain D, unique up to Möbius equivalence* [14, Theorem 0.1]. Slightly later, they even prove that any domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ is conformally

equivalent to some circle domain provided that (1) $\partial\Omega$ has at most countably many components that are **not** geometric circles or single points and (2) the collection of those components has a countable closure in the space formed by all the components of $\partial\Omega$ [16]. However, Koebe's conjecture is still open if $\partial\Omega$ is complicated. Therefore, we may focus on domains Ω such that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is "simple" in some sense.

In other words, we would like to limit our discussions to the case when $\partial\Omega$ does not possess a difficult topology. To this end, we examine the necessary conditions for a homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to \Omega$ to have a continuous extension to the closure $\overline{U}$. At this point, we even do not require the homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to \Omega$ to be conformal.

The first half of Theorem 3.1 is exactly the following.

Theorem 3.2. *A homeomorphism φ of a generalized Jordan domain U onto a domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ continuously extends to $\overline{U}$ if and only if $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum and $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$ for all $z_0 \in \partial U$.*

Proof. Let us start from the "only if" part. Assume that φ has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$. The uniform continuity of $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$ indicates that $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$ for all $z_0 \in \partial U$. So the only thing to be verified is that the boundary $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum. Since U is a generalized Jordan domain, all the components of ∂U are each a point or a Jordan curve. Since each of those components is mapped by $\overline{\varphi}$ onto a component of $\partial\Omega$, the uniform continuity of $\overline{\varphi}$ then ensures that $\partial\Omega$ is also a Peano compactum.

In the sequel we continue to prove the "if" part. And we just need to show that each cluster set $C(\varphi, z_0)$ is a singleton. Suppose on the contrary that the cluster set $C(\varphi, z_0)$ at $z_0 \in \partial U$ contains two points, say $w_1 \neq w_2$. Then we can find an infinite sequence $z_n \to z_0$ of distinct points satisfying $\varphi(z_{2n-1}) \to w_1$ and $\varphi(z_{2n}) \to w_2$. Since $\partial\Omega$ is a Peano compactum, by Theorem 2.6 we see that Ω has Property S. That is to say, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find finitely many connected subsets of Ω, say $N_1, \ldots, N_k$, satisfying $\bigcup_i N_i = \Omega$ and $\max_{1\le i\le k} \operatorname{diam}(N_i) < \varepsilon$.

Here we choose $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}|w_1 - w_2|$. Then, there exist two of those connected sets N_i, say N_1 and N_2, such that (1) N_1 contains infinitely many points in $\{\varphi(z_{2n-1})\}$ and that (2) N_2 contains

infinitely many points in $\{\varphi(z_{2n})\}$. Since $z_n \to z_0$ and since $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$, we can further choose a small enough number $r > 0$, with $\sigma_r(z_0) < \varepsilon$, such that each of $N_1 \cap \{\varphi(z_{2n-1})\}$ and $N_2 \cap \{\varphi(z_{2n})\}$ contains at least one point that is outside of $\varphi(D_r(z_0) \cap U)$ and at least one point inside. Therefore, by the connectedness of N_i, we will have $N_i \cap \varphi(C_r(z_0) \cap U) \neq \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2$.

Let M be the union of the three sets $\{w_1\} \cup N_1$, $\varphi(C_r(z_0) \cap U)$, and $\{w_2\} \cup N_2$; each of them is of diameter $\leq \varepsilon$. As $\sigma_r(z_0)$ is defined to be the diameter of $\varphi(C_r(z_0) \cap U)$, we have $|w_1 - w_2| \leq \operatorname{diam}(M) \leq 3\varepsilon$. This is absurd, since we have chosen $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{3}|w_1 - w_2|$. □

3.2. **Topological Counterpart for Theorem 3.** We prove the second half of Theorem 3.1.

To do that, we firstly investigate into the boundary behaviour of an arbitrary homeomorphism $\varphi : U \to \Omega$ of a generalized Jordan domain U, which has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$ to the whole closure $\overline{U}$. More precisely, for any component Q of ∂U, we will obtain the following fundamental property of the restriction $\overline{\varphi}|_Q$.

Theorem 3.3. *The restriction $\overline{\varphi}|_Q : Q \to P = \overline{\varphi}(Q)$ is non-alternating.*

Remark 3.4. A continuous map $f : A \to B$ is said to be **non-alternating** provided that for no two points $x, y \in B$ does there exist a separation $A \setminus f^{-1}(x) = A_1 \cup A_2$ such that y lies in $f(A_1) \cap f(A_2)$ [31, p. 127, (4.2)]. Recall that, when U equals $\mathbb{D}^* = \{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} : |z| > 1\}$, the above property of being non-alternating is useful and has been employed in earlier studies (although without explicitly mentioning this term) on the Julia set K of a polynomial f with degree $d \geq 2$. In such a case, the domain Ω is chosen to be the attractive basin of ∞ of a polynomial f, denoted as U_∞. If K is connected there is a conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D}^* \to U_\infty$, called the Böttcher map, such that $\varphi(z^d) = f(\varphi(z))$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}^*$. If further K is locally connected there is a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\mathbb{D}^*} \to \overline{U_\infty}$, which necessarily satisfies $\overline{\varphi}(\partial\mathbb{D}^*) = K$. Now, define a closed equivalence on $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}^*$ by setting $x \sim y$ if and only if $\overline{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi}(y)$. For any $x \in \mathbb{T}$ let $[x]_\sim$ denote the equivalence class containing x. Moreover, $[x]_\sim$ can be considered as a subset of the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Theorem 3.3 says that the restriction $\overline{\varphi}|_{\mathbb{T}}$ is non-alternating, which happens if and only if the convex hulls of two arbitrary classes $[x]_\sim \neq [y]_\sim$ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ are disjoint. Among others, one may refer to [30, Proposition II.3.3]. Such an equivalence on $\mathbb{T}$ is also said to be **unlinked**. See for instance [10] or [18, Definition 4.9].

Proof for Theorem 3.3. By **Zoretti's Theorem**, P is a component of $\partial\Omega$. By definition of **non-alternating**, we only need to show that if $Q \setminus (\overline{\varphi})^{-1}(x) = A_1 \cup A_2$ is a separation for some $x \in P$ then $\overline{\varphi}(A_1) \cap \overline{\varphi}(A_2) = \emptyset$.

Assume on the contrary that there were a point $x \in P$ and a separation $Q \setminus (\overline{\varphi})^{-1}(x) = A_1 \cup A_2$ such that $\overline{\varphi}(z_1) = \overline{\varphi}(z_2)$ for $z_i \in A_i (i = 1, 2)$. Set $x' = \overline{\varphi}(z_1)$. We will show that such an assumption is absurd.

Since U is a generalized Jordan domain and since Q is assumed to be a Jordan curve, the point inverse $(\overline{\varphi})^{-1}(x)$ contains two points $y_1 \neq y_2$ such that $\{y_1, y_2\}$ separates z_1 from z_2 in Q. By Theorem 2.6, we know that U has property S. Moreover, applying [31, p. 111, (a)], we can further infer that each boundary point of U is accessible from U. Therefore, we can find an open arc $\alpha \subset U$ that connects y_1 to y_2. Clearly, the union $Q \cup \alpha$ is a θ-curve [31, p. 104, Definition] and $U \setminus \alpha$ consists of two domains. Let $U_i (i = 1, 2)$ be the one whose boundary contains z_i. See the left part of Figure 5, for relative locations of α, the domains U_i and the points y_i, z_i.




FIGURE 5. The domains U_i, the sub-arcs β_i and the points y_i, z_i, b_i.

Now, fix an arc $\beta \subset U$ that connects z_1 to z_2 and denote by $\beta_i \subset (U_i \cap \beta)$ the maximal open sub-arc of β that has z_i as one of its ends. Denote by b_i the other end point of β_i for $i = 1, 2$. Obviously, $b_1, b_2 \in \alpha$. Let β_3 be the closed sub-arc of α between b_1 and b_2. Then we have an arc $\beta' = \beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \beta_3$, lying in U and intersecting α at β_3. See the right part of Figure 5.

Since $\varphi : U \to \Omega$ is a homeomorphism, we know that $\varphi(\beta')$ is an arc contained in Ω such that (1) $\varphi(\beta') \cap \varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\beta_3)$ and (2) $\varphi(\beta_i) \subset \varphi(U_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$. As φ is a homeomorphism, we see that $J = \varphi(\alpha) \cup \{x\}$ is a Jordan curve and $\Omega \setminus J = \varphi(U_1) \cup \varphi(U_2)$. Since J does not contain the point $x' = \overline{\varphi}(z_1) = \overline{\varphi}(z_2)$ and since each of $\varphi(\beta_1)$ and $\varphi(\beta_2)$ has x' as one of its ends, we can

infer that $\varphi(\beta_1)$ and $\varphi(\beta_2)$ are both contained in the same component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J$. Therefore, one of the two components of $\Omega \setminus J$, either $\varphi(U_1)$ or $\varphi(U_2)$, will contain $\varphi(\beta_1) \cup \{x'\} \cup \varphi(\beta_2)$. This is impossible, since we have chosen the arcs $\beta_i \subset U_i (i = 1, 2)$ in a way so that $\varphi(\beta_i) \subset \varphi(U_i)$. □

A continuous map $f : X \to Y$ of a continuum onto another is monotone if and only if its point inverses are each a continuum [31, p. 70]. By definition, a monotone map is non-alternating. If $X = [0, 1]$ then Y is homeomorphic with $[0, 1]$ or $\{0\}$ [24, p. 129, Proposition 8.22]. Similarly, if X is a simple closed curve and if Y is not a single point then Y is a simple closed curve, too. This follows from the observation that $X \setminus f^{-1}(\{x, y\})$ and hence $Y \setminus \{x, y\}$ are both disconnected for any $x \neq y \in Y$, since by [31, p. 58, Theorem] we readily see that Y is a simple closed curve. Therefore, if the extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$ is monotone the codomain Ω is also a generalized Jordan domain. We will show that the converse of this remains true. That is to say, we will have the following Theorem 3.5.

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\varphi : U \to \Omega$ be a homeomorphism from a generalized Jordan domains U onto a domain $\Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$. Suppose that φ has a continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{U} \to \overline{\Omega}$. Then $\overline{\varphi}$ is monotone if and only if Ω is also a generalized Jordan domain.*

Proof. We just discuss the "if" part and show that if a component P of $\partial\Omega$ is a Jordan curve and Q is the component of ∂U with $\overline{\varphi}(Q) = P$ then the restriction $\overline{\varphi}|_Q$ is necessarily monotone. And we shall verify that $Q \setminus \overline{\varphi}^{-1}(x)$ is connected for any $x \in P$, which forces $\overline{\varphi}^{-1}(x)$ to be a continuum and completes the proof. Indeed, if on the contrary $Q \setminus \overline{\varphi}^{-1}(x)$ has at least two components, say A_1 and A_2, we may set $B_1 = Q \setminus (\overline{\varphi}^{-1}(x) \cup A_1)$ and verify that $Q \setminus \overline{\varphi}^{-1}(x) = A_1 \cup B_1$ is a separation. By Theorem 3.3, the restriction $\overline{\varphi}|_Q$ is non-alternating, so that $\overline{\varphi}(A_1) \cap \overline{\varphi}(B_1) = \emptyset$. This indicates that $\overline{\varphi}(A_1) = P \setminus \overline{\varphi}(Q \setminus A_1)$ and $\overline{\varphi}(B_1) = P \setminus \overline{\varphi}(Q \setminus B_1)$ are disjoint and are both open in P. Since none of them contains x, we see that $P \setminus \{x\} = \overline{\varphi}(A_1) \cup \overline{\varphi}(B_1)$ is a separation. This contradicts the fact that $P \setminus \{x\}$ is a sub-arc of P. □

Remark 3.6. *Theorem 3.5 establishes the second half of Theorem 3.1.*

4. Generalization of Carathéodory's Continuity Theorem

Our target is to prove Theorems 1 to 3, which will be done separately in three subsections.

4.1. **The First Generalization of Continuity Theorem.** Here we start off by recalling a useful result [15, Lemma 1.3], which reads as follows.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a Borel set of σ-finite linear measure, and let $X \subset \mathbb{R}$ be the set of points x such that the section $(\{x\} \times \mathbb{R}) \cap Z$ is uncountable. Then X has zero Lebesgue measure.*

In the above lemma, we may consider $\mathbb{R}^2$ as the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, that consists of the points $re^{\mathbf{i}\theta}$ with $r > 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. Then, we study the set R_0 of numbers $r > 0$ such that the circle $\left\{re^{\mathbf{i}\theta} : 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi\right\}$ intersects Z at uncountably many points. For any $r_2 > r_1 > 0$, we see that the part of Z in the annulus $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : r_1 \leq |z| \leq r_2\}$ is sent onto the rectangle $[r_1, r_2] \times [0, 2\pi]$ by the map $re^{\mathbf{i}\theta} \mapsto (r, \theta)$. If we define the distance between $r_1 e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_1}$ and $r_2 e^{\mathbf{i}\theta_2}$ to be $|r_1 - r_2| + |\theta_1 - \theta_2|$, the previous map and its inverse are both Lipschitz. Therefore, by Lemma 4.1, we have the following.

Lemma 4.2. *Given a domain D and a point $z_0 \in \partial D$. Let R_0 denote the set of all $r > 0$ such that $C_r(z_0) = \{z : |z - z_0| = r\}$ contains uncountably many point components of ∂D. If the boundary components of ∂D form a set with σ-finite linear measure then R_0 has zero Lebesgue measure.*

We also need to the following.

Lemma 4.3 (**Wolff's Lemma,** [28, p. 20, Proposition 2.2])**.** *Let φ map a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ conformally into a disk $D_R(0) \subset \mathbb{C}$. Let $\Lambda_r(z_0)$ be the arc length of $\varphi(C_r(z_0) \cap D)$ with $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$, under Euclidean metric. Then we have*

$$\inf_{\rho < r < \sqrt{\rho}} \Lambda_r(z_0) \leq \frac{2\pi R}{\sqrt{\log 1/\rho}} \quad (0 < \rho < 1).$$

The proof of Wolff's Lemma also implies the next.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ be a conformal map between domains in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ and let $z_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then for any $\epsilon, \rho \in (0,1)$ there exists a set of positive measure $E \subset (0,\rho)$ such that $\Lambda_r(z_0) < \epsilon$ for all $r \in E$. In particular, if $R_0 \subset (0,\infty)$ is a set of measure zero, then $\liminf_{r\to 0, r\notin R_0} \Lambda_r(z_0) = 0$.*

By Wolff's Lemma, we have $\liminf_{r\to 0} \Lambda_r(z_0) = 0$. This is however different from what we need to verify, which is $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$. Here the oscillation $\sigma_r(z_0)$ is defined to be the **diameter** of $\varphi(C_r(z_0) \cap D)$. Also note that a combination of Theorem 3.1 with the following Theorem 4.5 implies **Theorem 1**.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\varphi : D \to \Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a conformal homeomorphism of a circle domain D. Assume that the point components of ∂D form a set of σ-finite linear measure and $\partial\Omega$ has countably many nondegenerate components $\{P_k\}$. Let Q_k be the component of ∂D with $\varphi^B(Q_k) = P_k$ for all $k \geq 1$. If for some fixed n the component P_n has the property of* **local diameter control**, *so that there is an open set $\Omega_n \supset P_n$ that satisfies $\sum_{P_k \subset \Omega_n} \operatorname{diam}(P_k) < \infty$, then $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$ for all $z_0 \in \partial Q_n$.*

Remark. *In Theorem 4.5, we do not require that $\partial\Omega$ be a Peano compactum. The only assumptions are about the linear measure of the point components of ∂D and about the diameters of P_k. Therefore, the result we obtain here is just the oscillation convergence $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$ for all $z_0 \in \partial Q_n$. Here we say nothing about the cluster sets $C(\varphi, z_0)$ for $z_0 \in \partial Q_n$.*

Proof for Theorem 4.5. For any $r > 0$ and any $z_0 \in Q_n$, let $C_r(z_0) = \{|z - z_0| = r\}$. By Lemma 4.2, the boundary components of D that intersect $C_r(z_0)$ forms a countable set for all $r > 0$ except for those lying in a set R_0 of zero Lebesgue measure.

Let $\Lambda_r(z_0)$ be the **arc length** of $\varphi(C_r(z_0) \cap D)$. By Lemma 4.4, we have $\liminf_{r\notin R_0, r\to 0} \Lambda_r(z_0) = 0$. Moreover, we can choose for any $\epsilon > 0$ a decreasing sequence of numbers in $(0,1) \setminus R_0$, say $r_1 > r_2 > \cdots\cdots$, such that $\lim_{m\to 0} r_m = 0$ and that $\Lambda_{r_m}(z_0) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ for all $m \geq 1$.

Let $\mathcal{Q}_D$ be the family of all those nondegenerate components $Q \neq Q_n$ of ∂D that intersect $\bigcup_m C_{r_m}(z_0)$. Then $\mathcal{Q}_D$ has at most countably many elements, denoted as T_i with $i = 1, 2, \cdots$.

Fix a point $w_0 \in \Omega$ and use Zoretti's Theorem to find for each $\varphi^B(T_i)$ a Jordan curve $\Gamma_i \subset \Omega$ such that Γ_i separates w_0 from $\varphi^B(T_i)$ and that every point of Γ_i is at a distance less than $2^{-i}\epsilon$ from some point of $\varphi^B(T_i)$. Let W_i denote the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma_i$ that contains $\varphi^B(T_i)$; moreover, let W_i^* denote the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\Gamma_i)$ that contains T_i. Similarly, we can find for P_n a Jordan domain $W \subset \Omega_n$ with $\Gamma = \partial W \subset \Omega$ such that Γ separates w_0 from P_n. The corresponding Jordan domain W^* is a neighborhood of Q_n. We may require that every $\varphi^B(T_i)$ lies in W by choosing a sufficiently small r_1, since $T_i \subset W^*$ if and only if $\varphi^B(T_i) \subset W$.

From the assumption that $\sum_{P_k \subset \Omega_n} \operatorname{diam}(P_k) < \infty$, we have $\sum_i \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_i) < \infty$. In the sequel, fix an integer $N \geq 1$ with $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_i) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$ and choose an integer M large enough such that $C_{r_m}(z_0) \setminus Q_n$ is disjoint from $\bigcup_{i=1}^{N} T_i$ for all $m \geq M$.

Consequently, we only need to verify that the inequality $|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| < \epsilon$ holds for any points $z_1 \neq z_2$ lying on $C_{r_m}(z_0) \cap D$, where $m \geq M$. To do that, we may consider the closed sub-arc of $C_{r_m}(z_0) \setminus Q_n$ from z_1 to z_2. Denote this arc as α, which is a compact set disjoint from each of $Q_n, T_1, \ldots, T_N$. The components of $\alpha \cap D$ form a countable family $\{\alpha_t : t \in \mathcal{I}\}$. All these α_t are open arcs or semi-closed arcs on the circle $C_r(z_0)$. Since

$$\{W_i^* : i \geq N+1\} \bigcup \{\alpha_t : t \in \mathcal{I}\}$$

is a cover of α, a compact set, and since each α_t is open in α, we may choose finite index sets $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \{N+1, N+2, \ldots\}$ and $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}$ such that

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha^* := \{W_i^* : i \in \mathcal{J}_0\} \bigcup \{\alpha_t : t \in \mathcal{I}_0\}$$

is a finite cover of α and $W_i^* \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in \mathcal{J}_0$. Choose some elements of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*$, say $C_1, \ldots, C_n$ with $z_1 \in C_1$ and $z_2 \in C_n$, that form a chain from z_1 to z_2 in the sense that $C_i \cap C_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Notice that $\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \{W_i : i \in \mathcal{J}_0\} \cup \{\varphi(\alpha_t) : t \in \mathcal{I}_0\}$ consists of finitely many connected sets, by which we can construct a chain from $\varphi(z_1)$ to $\varphi(z_2)$. Then we can infer that

$$|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| < \sum_{i \in \mathcal{J}_0} \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_i) + \sum_{t \in \mathcal{I}_0} \operatorname{diam}(\varphi(\alpha_t)) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon = \epsilon. \tag{1}$$

By the flexibility of $z_1, z_2 \in C_{r_m}(z_0) \cap D$, this completes our proof. $\square$

We may assume that the circle domain D in **Theorem 1** is just a generalized Jordan domain and keep the other assumptions. In other words, we shall have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2 \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a conformal homeomorphism of a generalized Jordan domain Ω_1. Suppose that the point components of $\partial\Omega_1$ form a set with σ-finite linear measure and $\partial\Omega_2$ has at most countably many nondegenerate components $\{P_n\}$ with $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(P_n) < \infty$. Then φ extends continuously to the closure $\overline{\Omega_1}$ if and only if $\partial\Omega_2$ is a Peano compactum.*

Proof. Let Q be the component of $\partial\Omega_1$ with $\varphi^B(Q) = P$. If Q is a point component of $\partial\Omega_1$, the above proof for Theorem 4.5 still works. Thus by Theorem 3.1, the conformal homeomorphism $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ continuously extends over Q, which indicates that P is necessarily a single point.

If Q is a Jordan curve and U_0 is the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus Q$ containing Ω_1, we can find a homeomorphism $H : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \to \hat{\mathbb{C}}$, sending Q onto the unit circle $\partial\mathbb{D}$, such that $H|_{U_0}$ is a conformal map between U_0 and $\{z \in \hat{\mathbb{C}} : |z| > 1\}$. Notice that $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ continuously extends over Q if and only if $\varphi \circ H^{-1} : H(\Omega_1) \to \Omega_2$ continuously extends over $\partial\mathbb{D}$. Denote by X the set consisting of all the point components of $\partial\Omega_1$. Since $H(X)$ consists of all the point components of $\partial H(\Omega_1)$ and hence it is also of σ-finite linear measure, the above proof for Theorem 4.5 is still valid and we can infer that the oscillation of $\varphi \circ H^{-1}$ at every $w \in \partial\mathbb{D}$ has zero as its inferior limit, as $r \to 0$. With this, we may apply Theorem 3.1 and infer that $\varphi \circ H^{-1} : H(\Omega_1) \to \Omega_2$ continuously extends over $\partial\mathbb{D} = H(Q)$, indicating that $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ continuously extends over Q. □

4.2. **The Second Generalization of Continuity Theorem.** We give a complete proof for Theorem 2. And the only issue is to verify that $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$ holds for all $z_0 \in \partial D$. In other words, we need to obtain the following.

Theorem 4.7. *Let $\varphi : D \to \Omega \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a conformal homeomorphism of a circle domain D. Assume that $\partial\Omega$ has countably many non-degenerate components $\{P_k\}$ and all its point components form a set of σ-finite linear measure. Let Q_k be the component of ∂D with $\varphi^B(Q_k) = P_k$ for all $k \geq 1$. If for some fixed n, P_n has the property of local diameter control, so that there exists an open set $\Omega_n \supset P_n$ satisfying $\sum_{P_k \subset \Omega_n} \operatorname{diam}(P_k) < \infty$, then $\liminf_{r\to 0} \sigma_r(z_0) = 0$ for all $z_0 \in \partial Q_n$.*

We can infer Theorem 2 by combining Theorem 3.1 and the above Theorem 4.7. Before proving Theorem 4.7, we need the following key lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *Let F_r consist of all points $q \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\{q\} = \varphi^B(Q)$ for some component Q of ∂D that intersects $C_r(z_0)$. Suppose that all the point components of $\partial\Omega$ constitute a set of σ-finite linear measure, denoted as B_Ω. Let $E \subset (0,\infty)$. Then the linear measure of F_r is zero for all but countably many $r \in E$.*

Proof. Let F consist of all the points $q \in \partial\Omega$ such that $\{q\} = \varphi^B(Q)$ for some non-degenerate component Q of ∂D. As F is at most countable and the sets $\widetilde{F_r} = F_r \setminus F$ are pairwise disjoint, we only need to verify that the linear measure of $\widetilde{F_r}$ is zero for all but countably many $r \in E$.

To do that, we consider two closed maps. One is the natural projection π_1 of the upper semi-continuous decomposition $\mathcal{D}_1$ of $\overline{\Omega}$, whose elements are either singletons lying in Ω or boundary components of Ω. The other one, denoted as π_2, sends every point $z \in \overline{D}$ to $\pi_1(\varphi(z))$ if $z \in D$ or to $\pi_1\left(\varphi^B(Q)\right)$ if z lies on a component Q of ∂D. As $\pi_2\left(C_r(z_0) \cap \partial D\right)$ is closed in the quotient space $\mathcal{D}_1$, its preimage under π_1 is a closed subset of $\overline{\Omega}$, denoted as B_r. Since $F_r \subset B_r$ and since $B_r \setminus F_r$ consists of at most countably many components of $\partial\Omega$, every F_r and hence every $\widetilde{F_r}$ is a Borel set. As B_Ω is assumed to have σ-finite linear measure, by Borel regularity of the linear measure $\mathcal{H}^1$ [11, p. 61, Theorem 1] we can write B_Ω as the union of at most countably many Borel sets A_i with finite linear measure. Now we claim that $\mathcal{H}^1\left(\widetilde{F_r}\right) = 0$ for all but countably many $r \in E$. Otherwise, there would exist some $i \geq 1$ such that $\mathcal{H}^1\left(\widetilde{F_r} \cap A_i\right) > 0$ for uncountably many r. This is impossible, since $\widetilde{F_r} \cap \widetilde{F_s} = \emptyset$ for $s \neq r$ and $\mathcal{H}^1(A_i) < \infty$. □

Now we are well prepared to construct a proof for Theorem 4.7.

Proof for Theorem 4.7. Let $\{k_i : i \geq 1\}$ be the collection of all those k_i with $P_{k_i} \subset \Omega_n$, arranged so that $k_1 < k_2 < \cdots$. Here we also recall that Q_{k_i} denotes the component of ∂D with $P_{k_i} = \varphi^B\left(Q_{k_i}\right)$.

Given a point $z_0 \in \partial Q_n$ and an arbitrary number $\epsilon > 0$, we shall find a positive number $r < \epsilon$ such that $\sigma_r(z_0) < \epsilon$, which then completes our proof.

To this end, we firstly fix a point $w_0 \in \Omega$ and then use Zoretti's Theorem to find a simple closed curve $\Gamma_i \subset \Omega$ for each P_{k_i} such that Γ_i separates w_0 from P_{k_i} and that every point of Γ_{k_i} is at a distance less than $2^{-i}\epsilon$ from some point of P_{k_i}. Clearly, we have $\sum_i \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_i) < \infty$. And there is an integer $N \geq 1$ with $\sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_i) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon$. In the sequel, for $i \geq 1$, let W_i be the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Gamma_i$ that contains P_{k_i} and W_i^* the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \varphi^{-1}(\Gamma_i)$ that contains Q_{k_i}.

By Lemma 4.4, we can find $E \subset (0, \epsilon)$ of positive measure such that for all $r \in E$ we have the next three properties: (1) $\Lambda_r(z_0) < \dfrac{1}{4}\epsilon$, (2) $\varphi\left(C_r(z_0) \cap D\right) \subset \Omega_n$, (3) $C_r(z_0) \setminus Q_n$ intersects none of the components $Q_{k_1}, \ldots, Q_{k_N}$ of ∂D for all $r \in E$. By Lemma 4.8, the linear measure $\mathcal{H}^1(F_r)$ is zero for some $r \in E$. Thus for the above $\epsilon > 0$ we can choose open sets V_k, with k running through some index set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathbb{N}$ that is finite or countably infinite, such that $\{V_k : k \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is an open cover of F_r satisfying $\displaystyle\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} \operatorname{diam}(V_k) < \frac{1}{4}\epsilon$. Here all those V_k may be required to have a diameter smaller than an arbitrary constant $\delta > 0$.

Since every V_k is open in $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, we can use Zoretti's Theorem to find for each $q \in F_r$ a Jordan curve $J_q \subset \Omega$, lying in some V_k, that separates w_0 from the point component $\{q\}$ of $\partial\Omega$. Let U_q be the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus J_q$ that contains q. Let U_q^* be the component of $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \varphi^{-1}(J_q)$ containing the component of ∂D that is sent to $\{q\}$ by φ^B. By the flexibility of ϵ, we only need to verify that for the above mentioned r, the inequality $|\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| < \epsilon$ holds for any fixed points $z_1 \neq z_2$ lying on $C_r(z_0) \cap D$.

To this end, we shall consider the closed sub-arc of $C_r(z_0) \setminus Q_n$ that connects z_1 to z_2. This arc, denoted as α, is a compact set disjoint from each of $Q_n, Q_{k_1}, \ldots, Q_{k_N}$. Moreover, the components of $\alpha \cap D$ form a countable family $\{\alpha_t : t \in \mathcal{I}\}$. Let $\mathcal{J} = \{i : i \geq N+1\}$. Then

$$\{W_i^* : i \in \mathcal{J}\} \bigcup \left\{U_q^* : q \in F_r\right\} \bigcup \{\alpha_t : t \in \mathcal{I}\} \tag{2}$$

is a cover of α. Since each α_t is open in α, the above collection is an open cover of α. By the compactness of α, we may choose finite index sets $\mathcal{J}_0 \subset \mathcal{J}$, $F_0 \subset F_r$ and $\mathcal{I}_0 \subset \mathcal{I}$, such that

$$\mathcal{C}_\alpha^* := \{W_i^* : i \in \mathcal{J}_0\} \bigcup \left\{U_q^* : q \in F_0\right\} \bigcup \{\alpha_t : t \in \mathcal{I}_0\}$$

is a finite cover of α. As in Theorem 4.5, using elements of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha^*$ we can find a finite chain from z_1 to z_2. Similarly, using the elements of $\mathcal{C}_\alpha := \{W_i : i \in \mathcal{J}_0\} \cup \{U_q : q \in F_0\} \cup \{\varphi(\alpha_t) : t \in \mathcal{I}_0\}$

we can construct a finite chain from $\varphi(z_1)$ to $\varphi(z_2)$. As every U_q lies in some V_k, we can further find a finite index $\mathcal{K}_0 \subset \mathcal{K}$ such that every U_q with $q \in F_0$ is contained in V_k for some $k \in \mathcal{K}_0$. Now we can use the elements of $\{W_i : i \in \mathcal{J}_0\} \cup \{V_k : k \in \mathcal{K}_0\} \cup \{\varphi(\alpha_t) : t \in \mathcal{I}_0\}$ to construct a finite chain from $\varphi(z_1)$ to $\varphi(z_2)$. Because of this, the next inequality

$$(3) \quad |\varphi(z_1) - \varphi(z_2)| < \sum_{j\in\mathcal{J}_0} \operatorname{diam}(\Gamma_j) + \sum_{k\in\mathcal{K}_0} \operatorname{diam}(V_k) + \sum_{t\in\mathcal{I}_0} \operatorname{diam}(\varphi(\alpha_t)) < \frac{1}{2}\epsilon + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon = \epsilon$$

is also true. By the flexibility of $z_1, z_2 \in C_r(z_0) \cap D$, we have completed our proof. □

Now, combing the results of Theorems 4.7 and 3.1, we readily have Theorem 2. Moreover, we may assume that the circle domain D in **Theorem 2** is just a generalized Jordan domain and keep the other assumptions. In other words, we shall have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.9. *Let Ω_1 be a generalized Jordan domain and $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2 \subset \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ a conformal homeomorphism. Suppose that the point components of $\partial\Omega_2$ form a set with σ-finite linear measure and $\partial\Omega_2$ has at most countably many non-degenerate components $\{P_n\}$ with $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(P_n) < \infty$. Then φ extends continuously to $\overline{\Omega_1}$ if and only if $\partial\Omega_2$ is a Peano compactum.*

Proof. The proof is similar to and easier than that of Theorem 4.6, so we omit it here. □

4.3. **Generalization of Osgood-Taylor-Carathéodory Theorem.** Theorem 3 concerns generalized Jordan domains that may not be cofat or countably connected. The proof is given below.

Proof for Theorem 3. If the point components of $\partial\Omega_2$ form a set of σ-finite linear measure we apply Theorem 4.9 to the map $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ and obtain a well-defined continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\Omega_1} \to \overline{\Omega_2}$. Then, applying Theorem 4.6, we see that the inverse map $\psi = \varphi^{-1} : \Omega_2 \to \Omega_1$ also extends to be a continuous map $\overline{\psi} : \overline{\Omega_2} \to \overline{\Omega_1}$. Consequently, we can check that $\overline{\varphi} \circ \overline{\psi} = id_{\overline{\Omega_2}}$ and $\overline{\psi} \circ \overline{\varphi} = id_{\overline{\Omega_1}}$. This indicates that $\overline{\varphi}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ are both injective.

If the point components of $\partial\Omega_1$ form a set of σ-finite linear measure we apply Theorem 4.6 to the map $\varphi : \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ and obtain a well-defined continuous extension $\overline{\varphi} : \overline{\Omega_1} \to \overline{\Omega_2}$. Then, applying Theorem 4.9 to the inverse map $\psi = \varphi^{-1} : \Omega_2 \to \Omega_1$, we obtain another continuous map $\overline{\psi} : \overline{\Omega_2} \to \overline{\Omega_1}$ that extends ψ. Similarly, we can infer that $\overline{\varphi}$ and $\overline{\psi}$ are both injective. □

5. Examples Based on Transboundary Extremal Length

We shall construct a concrete example to show the failure of Theorems 1 and 2 without **Assumption 2**. To do that, we need to recall some basics from the theory of transboundary extremal length introduced in [29].

Given a domain $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, let $\mathcal{D}_U$ be the upper semi-continuous decomposition of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ consisting of singletons $\{z\}$ with $z \in U$ and the components of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U$. By Moore's decomposition theorem [23], the resulting hyperspace is topologically equivalent to the sphere. Let $\pi_U : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{D}_U$ be the natural projection, which is often denoted as π when the underlying domain U is clearly understood.

Following Schramm [29], we denote by $\mathcal{E}(U)$ the above hyperspace $\mathcal{D}_U$ and call it the **ends compactification** of U. Moreover, for any $p \in \mathcal{E}(U)$ or $p \subset \mathcal{E}(U)$, we use $\lceil p \rceil$ to denote the pre-image $\pi_U^{-1}(p)$. We also denote by $\mathcal{C}(U)$ the collection of all those $\pi_U(Q)$ with Q running through the components of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus U$. In particular, $\mathcal{C}_i(U)$ consists of those $p \in \mathcal{C}(U)$ with $\lceil p \rceil$ being a singleton and $\mathcal{C}_s(U) = \mathcal{C}(U) \setminus \mathcal{C}_i(U)$.

Let μ_0 be a measure defined by $\mu_0(B) = Area(U \cap \pi_U^{-1}(B))$ for any Borel set $B \subset \mathcal{E}(U)$, using the spherical area. Clearly, $\mu_0(\mathcal{C}(U)) = 0$. Let μ_1 be the counting measure on $\mathcal{C}(U)$. Then $\mu = \mu_0 + \mu_1$ is a measure on the Borel sigma-algebra of $\mathcal{C}(U)$, which will be considered as a copy of the sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Given an extended metric m, which means a nonnegative function $m : \mathcal{E}(U) \to [0, \infty]$, its area is defined as $A(m) = \int m^2(x) d\mu$. The m-length of a curve $\gamma : I \to \mathcal{E}(U)$, denoted $L_m(\gamma)$, consists of two parts. One is the integral

$$\int_{\gamma^{-1}(U)} m(\gamma(t))|d\gamma(t)|.$$

Note that U may be considered as a subset of $\mathcal{E}(U)$, so that $\gamma^{-1}(U)$ makes sense. The other is the summation $\sum_{p \in \gamma(I) \setminus U} m(p)$. The m-length of a collection Γ of curves in $\mathcal{E}(U)$ is defined to be $L_m(\Gamma) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} L_m(\gamma)$. The **(transboundary) extremal length** of Γ is given by

$$EL(\Gamma) = EL_U(\Gamma) = \sup_m \frac{L_m^2(\Gamma)}{A(m)},$$

where the supremum is taken over all the extended metrics m on $\mathcal{E}(U)$ that have finite area.

The next two propositions are useful in our construction.

Proposition 5.1 ([29, Lemma 1.1])**.** *Let $f : U \to U^*$ be a conformal homeomorphism between domains in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, and let Γ be a collection of curves in $\mathcal{E}(U)$. Set $\Gamma^* = \{f \circ \gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$. Then $EL_U(\Gamma) = EL_{U^*}(\Gamma^*)$.*

Proposition 5.2 ([29, Theorem 6.1])**.** *Let $U \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a τ-cofat domain, $0 < \tau < 1$, and let $A_1, A_2 \subset \mathcal{E}(U)$ be two connected disjoint sets. Let Γ be the collection of all curves γ in $\mathcal{E}(U)$ that have one endpoint in A_1 and the other endpoint in A_2, let d be the distance from $\lceil A_1 \rceil$ to $\lceil A_2 \rceil$, and set $a = \min\{\text{diameter}\,(\lceil A_1 \rceil), \text{diameter}\,(\lceil A_2 \rceil)\}$. Then*

(1) $EL(\Gamma) \leq 104\tau^{-1}(1 + \frac{d}{a})$.

(2) Assuming that $\mathcal{C}(U)$ is countable, $EL(\Gamma) \geq \dfrac{\tau d^2}{13(a+d)^2}$.

Example 5.3. *For any $n \geq 1$, let $A_n = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 + 2^{-n-1} \leq |z| \leq 1 + 2^{-n}\}$. Consider A_n as the range of $\phi_n : [0, 2\pi] \times [0, 1]$, with $\phi(u, v) = \left(1 + 2^{-n-1}(1 + v)\right) \exp(\mathbf{i}u)$. Let $j_n \geq 1$ be the only integer with $\pi\sqrt{n} - 1 \leq j_n < \pi\sqrt{n}$. Choose $2j_n$ horizontal segments $\alpha_{n,t} (1 \leq t \leq 2j_n)$ of length $\frac{2}{\sqrt{n}}$ in $[0, 2\pi] \times [0, 1]$. Arrange these segments symmetrically about the vertical line $u = \pi$,*




FIGURE 6. The segments $\alpha_{n,t}$ and how they are distributed, with $n = 9 = j_n$.

as displayed in Figure 6, such that they satisfy each of the following conditions.

- $\phi_n(\alpha_{n,1}) \cap \phi_n(\alpha_{n,2j_n})$ *is a singleton.*
- $\phi_n(\alpha_{n,j_n}) \cap \phi_n(\alpha_{n,j_n+1}) \neq \emptyset$.
- $\alpha_{n,t+1}$ *is to the right of and slightly* **lower** *than* $\alpha_{n,t}$ *for* $1 \leq t \leq j_n - 1$.
- α_{n,j_n+t+1} *is to the right of and slightly* **higher** *than* α_{n,j_n+t} *for* $1 \leq t \leq j_n - 1$.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ *be the domain obtained by removing from* $\{z : 1 < |z| < 2\}$ *all those arcs* $\beta_{n,t}$ *for* $n \geq 1$ *and* $1 \leq t \leq 2j_n - 2$*, defined by*

$$\beta_{n,t} = \begin{cases} \phi_n(\alpha_{n,1} \cup \alpha_{n,2j_n}) & t = 1 \\ \phi_n(\alpha_{n,t}) & 2 \leq t \leq j_n - 1 \\ \phi_n(\alpha_{n,j_n} \cup \alpha_{n,j_n+1}) & t = j_n \\ \phi_n(\alpha_{n,t+1}) & j_n + 1 \leq t \leq j_n - 2 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

The boundary of Ω *is a Peano compactum that consists of two circles,* $C_1 = \{z : |z| = 1\}$ *and* $C_2 = \{z : |z| = 2\}$*, together with countably many arcs whose diameters have an* **infinite sum** *and form a null sequence. By* [14, Theorem 0.1]*, there are conformal homeomorphisms* $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ *of a circle domain* D *onto* Ω*. For any of those* φ*, the boundary map* φ^B *sends a point component of* ∂D *to* C_1*, a non-degenerate component of* Ω*. Let* P_0 *be this point component of* ∂D*. Then* φ *can not be continuously extended over* P_0*.*

In the sequel, the domain Ω is given as in Example 5.3. We will prove three lemmas that provide the technical details for Example 5.3.

Lemma 5.4. *Let the extended metric* $m : \mathcal{E}(\Omega) \to [0, \infty]$ *be defined by*

$$m(z) = \begin{cases} 1 & z \in \Omega \cup \pi(C_1) \cup \pi(C_2) \\ n^{-0.8} & z = \pi(\beta_{n,t}) \text{ for some } n \geq 1 \text{ and some } 1 \leq t \leq 2j_n - 2 \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

Then $A(m) \in (0, \infty)$*.*

Proof. By the choice of j_n and m, it is routine to check for all $n \geq 1$ the following equation

$$\int_{\{\pi(\beta_{n,t}):\ 1 \leq j \leq 2j_n - 2\}} m(z)^2 d\mu = \frac{2j_n - 2}{n^{1.6}} < \frac{2\pi\sqrt{n} - 2}{n^{1.6}} < \frac{2\pi}{n^{1.1}}. \tag{6}$$

This ends our proof. □

Lemma 5.5. *Let m be given as in Lemma 5.4. Let Γ be the collection of all the curves $\gamma \subset \mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ that connects $\pi(C_2)$ to $\pi(C_1)$. Then $L_m(\Gamma) = \infty$, indicating that $EL(\Gamma) = \infty$.*

Proof. Fix a constant $C > 0$ such that the arc length of any curve $\eta \subset \Omega$ as a curve in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ equipped with the spherical metric, which coincides with $L_m(\eta)$, is greater than C times the arc length of η as a curve in $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with the Euclidean metric. Also note that every arc $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has to cross the annulus A_n at least once. By the Cut Wire Theorem [24, p. 72, Theorem 5.2], we see that $\gamma \cap A_n$ has at least one component, denoted as γ_n, that intersects the two boundary components of A_n both. Then there are two possibilities: either $\gamma_n \ni \pi(\beta_{n,t})$ for some t or $\gamma_n \subset \Omega$. In the first, we have $L_m(\gamma_n) > n^{-0.8}$. In the second, the choices of the circular slits $\beta_{n,t}$ indicate that $\phi^{-1}(\gamma_n)$ crosses a rectangle R_n whose width is no less than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$, which further indicates that the arc length of γ_n as a curve in $\mathbb{C}$ is greater than $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. See the right part of Figure 6 for such a rectangle, depicted in shadow and located between two of the horizontal segments $\alpha_{n,t}$. The left and right sides lie on two vertical lines, whose equations are both marked. By the choice of $C > 0$, we have $L_m(\gamma_n) \geq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}$. Therefore, we can conclude that $L_m(\gamma_n) > \frac{1}{n}$ holds for all but finitely many $n \geq 1$. This indicates that the m-length of γ, denoted as $L_m(\gamma)$, is infinite. By the flexibility of $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we further see that the m-length of the whole collection Γ, defined by $L_m(\Gamma) = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} L_m(\gamma)$, is infinite. Consequently, the extremal length of Γ is also infinite. □

Lemma 5.6. *Let Ω be given as in Example 5.3. Let Γ be given as in Lemma 5.5. Let $\varphi : D \to \Omega$ be a conformal homeomorphism of a circle domain D onto Ω. Then the boundary map φ^B sends a point component of ∂D to C_1.*

Proof. Let $\psi = \varphi^{-1}$. Let $\pi_D : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \to \mathcal{E}(D)$ be the natural projection. Let $\Gamma^* = \{\psi \circ \gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ be the collection consisting of all curves $\psi(\gamma)$ with $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Note that ψ gives rise to a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{E}(\Omega)$ onto $\mathcal{E}(D)$ that extends ψ itself. From this we can infer that Γ^* coincides with the collection of all curves in $\mathcal{E}(D)$ connecting $\pi_D\left(\psi^B(C_2)\right)$ to $\pi_D\left(\psi^B(C_1)\right)$. Now, applying Proposition 5.1 we see that the extremal length of Γ^* is also infinite. Applying Proposition 5.2, we further see that one of the two boundary components, $\psi^B(C_2)$ and $\psi^B(C_1)$, is a singleton. As $\psi^B(C_2)$ is isolated, we see that $\psi^B(C_1)$ is a singleton, which corresponds to C_1 under φ^B. □

To conclude this section, we present the next remark.

Remark 5.7. *In Example 5.3 we construct a concrete domain Ω that satisfies all conditions of* **Theorem 1** *and* **2**, *except for* **Assumption 2**. *Since $\partial\Omega$ has countably many components, there are conformal homeomorphisms from a circle domain D onto Ω. However, none of those homeomorphisms extends continuously to the closure of D. Moreover, we can change Ω appropriately so that it becomes a generalized Jordan domain Ω_1, by slightly thickening the arcs $\beta_{n,t} \subset A_n$ to be pairwise disjoint Jordan curves. Since $\partial\Omega_1$ has countably many components, there exist conformal homeomorphisms from Ω_1 to some circle domain D. On the one hand, every conformal homeomorphism $h : \Omega_1 \to D$ has a continuous extension $\overline{h} : \overline{\Omega_1} \to \overline{D}$. On the other, the inequality $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(P_n) + \sum_n \operatorname{diam}(Q_n) < \infty$ in* **Theorem 3** *does not hold and the extension $\overline{h}$ is one-to-one everywhere except at points on $C_1 = \partial\mathbb{D}$, which is sent to a point component of ∂D. That is to say, the assumption $\sum_n \operatorname{diam}(P_n) + \sum_n \operatorname{diam}(Q_n) < \infty$ in* **Theorem 3** *can not be removed.*

Acknowledgement. The authors are grateful to Christopher Bishop (Stony Brook of SUNY), to Malik Younsi (University of Hawaii at Manoa), to Xiaoguang Wang (Zhejiang University) and to Weiyuan Qiu (Fudan University) for helpful suggestions and/or discussions. In particular, the construction of Example 5.3 is essentially based on private communication in emails between Christopher Bishop and one of the authors. The authors also owe their thanks to anonymous referee(s) for many suggestions that are of great help for the revisions, especially those related to the updated form of Theorem 3 and the newly added Lemma 4.4, which is directly used in our proofs. Finally, the first named author is supported by Chinese National Natural Science Foundation Projects # 11871483 and #11771391.

References

[1] M. G. Arsove. Intrinsic characterization of regions bounded by closed curves. *Duke Math. J.*, 34:425–429, 1967.

[2] M. G. Arsove. The Osgood-Taylor-Carathéodory theorem. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 19:38–44, 1968.

[3] A. Björn, J. Björn, and N. Shanmugalingam. The Mazurkiewicz distance and sets that are finitely connected at the boundary. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 26(2):873–897, 2016.

[4] A. M. Blokh, C. P. Curry, and L. G. Oversteegen. Locally connected models for Julia sets. *Adv. Math.*, 226(2):1621–1661, 2011.

[5] A. M. Blokh, C. P. Curry, and L. G. Oversteegen. Finitely Suslinian models for planar compacta with applications to Julia sets. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 141(4):1437–1449, 2013.

[6] C. Carathéodory. Über die Begrenzung einfach zusammenhängender Gebiete. *Math. Ann.*, 73(3):323–370, 1913.

[7] C. Carathéodory. Über die gegenseitige Beziehung der Ränder bei der konformen Abbildung des Inneren einer Jordanschen Kurve auf einen Kreis. *Math. Ann.*, 73(2):305–320, 1913.

[8] E. F. Collingwood and A. J. Lohwater. *The theory of cluster sets*. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics, No. 56. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1966.

[9] C. P. Curry. Irreducible Julia sets of rational functions. *J. Difference Equ. Appl.*, 16(5-6):443–450, 2010.

[10] A. Douady. Descriptions of compact sets in $\mathbf{C}$. In *Topological methods in modern mathematics (Stony Brook, NY, 1991)*, pages 429–465. Publish or Perish, Houston, TX, 1993.

[11] Lawrence C. Evans and Ronald F. Gariepy. *Measure theory and fine properties of functions*. Textbooks in Mathematics. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, revised edition, 2015.

[12] L. Feng, J. Luo and X. T. Yao, On Lambda Function and a Quantification of Torhorst Theorem, arxiv.org/pdf/2002.08638.pdf, submitted.

[13] F. W. Gehring and O. Martio, Quasiextremal distance domains and extension of quasiconformal mappings. *J. Analyse Math.*, 45 (1985): 181–206.

[14] Z.-X. He and O. Schramm. Fixed points, Koebe uniformization and circle packings. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 137(2):369–406, 1993.

[15] Z.-X. He and O. Schramm. Rigidity of circle domains whose boundary has σ-finite linear measure. *Invent. Math.*, 115(2):297–310, 1994.

[16] Z.-X. He and O. Schramm. Koebe uniformization for "almost circle domains". *Amer. J. Math.*, 117(3):653–667, 1995.

[17] D. A. Herron. Riemann maps and diameter distance. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 119(2):140–147, 2012.

[18] J. Kiwi. $\mathbb{R}$eal laminations and the topological dynamics of complex polynomials. *Adv. Math.*, 184(2):207–267, 2004.

[19] K. Kuratowski. *Topology. Vol. II*. New edition, revised and augmented. Translated from the French by A. Kirkor. Academic Press, New York, 1968.

[20] B. Loridant, J. Luo, and Y. Yang. A core decomposition of compact sets in the plane. *Adv. Math.*, 343:219–244, 2019.

[21] J. Milnor, *Dynamics in One Complex Variable*, Annals of Mathematics Studies, Vol. 160, Third Edition, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006.

[22] E. E. Moise. *Geometric topology in dimensions* 2 *and* 3. Vol. 47, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics.

[23] R. L. Moore. Concerning upper semi-continuous collections of continua. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 27(4):416–428, 1925.

[24] Sam B. Nadler, Jr. *Continuum Theory*, *Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, Vol. 158, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1992. An Introduction.

[25] D. Ntalampekos and M. Younsi. Rigidity theorems for circle domains. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 220(1):129–183, 2020.

[26] M. H. A. Newman. *Elements of the topology of plane sets of points*. Cambridge, at the University Press, 1951. 2nd ed.

[27] W. F. Osgood and E. H. Taylor. Conformal transformations on the boundaries of their regions of definitions. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 14(2):277–298, 1913.

[28] Ch. Pommerenke. *Boundary Behaviour of Conformal Maps*, *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*, Vol. 299, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.

[29] O. Schramm. Transboundary extremal length. *J. Anal. Math.*, 66:307–329, 1995.

[30] W. P. Thurston. On the geometry and dynamics of iterated rational maps. In *Complex dynamics*, pages 3–137. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2009. Edited by Dierk Schleicher and Nikita Selinger and with an appendix by Schleicher.

[31] G. T. Whyburn. *Analytic Topology*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 28. American Mathematical Society, New York, 1942.

[32] G. T. Whyburn. *Topological Analysis*. Second, revised edition. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 23. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1964.

School of Mathematics, Sun Yat-Sen University, Guangzhou 512075, China

Email address: luojun3@mail.sysu.edu.cn

School of Mathematical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

Email address: yaoxiaoting@fudan.edu.cn (corresponding author)