

THE GLOBAL CURVATURE ESTIMATE FOR THE $n - 2$ HESSIAN EQUATION

CHANGYU REN AND ZHIZHANG WANG

ABSTRACT. This paper establishes the global curvature estimate for the $n - 2$ curvature equation with the general right hand side which partially solves this longstanding problem.

1. INTRODUCTION

The present paper continues to study the longstanding problem that how to establish the global C^2 or curvature estimate for the k -Hessian equation or the k -curvature equation with the right hand side function depending on the gradient term or the normal direction.

Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a bounded domain and $u(x)$ is an unknown function defined on Ω , namely $x \in \Omega$. Denote Du and D^2u to be the gradient and the Hessian of u . We let $\psi(x, \xi, p)$ be a function defined on $(x, \xi, p) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$. The k -Hessian equation means

$$(1.1) \quad \sigma_k(D^2u) = \psi(x, u, Du),$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$, where σ_k are the k -th elementary symmetric function defined by, for $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$,

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n} \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_k}.$$

Thus, $\sigma_k(D^2u)$ is defined to be the summation of the k -th order principal minors of the Hessian matrix D^2u .

Following [11], we need to introduce a set (admissible set): the Gårding's cone, which guarantees the ellipticity of the k -Hessian equation (1.1).

Definition 1. For a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, a function $v \in C^2(\Omega)$ is called k -convex if the eigenvalues $\kappa(x) = (\kappa_1(x), \dots, \kappa_n(x))$ of the hessian $\nabla^2 v(x)$ is in Γ_k for all $x \in \Omega$, where Γ_k is the Gårding's cone

$$\Gamma_k = \{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_m(\kappa) > 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

Corresponding to the k -Hessian equation, we can propose the k -curvature equation. Suppose M is an n dimensional compact hypersurface in the $n + 1$ dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} and we use X to denote its position vector. We let $\nu(X), \kappa(X)$

Research of the first author is supported by NSFC Grant No. 11871243 and the second author is supported by NSFC Grants No.11871161 and 11771103.

be the unit outer-normal vector and principal curvature vector of $M \subset R^{n+1}$ at position vector X respectively. The prescribed k -Hessian curvature equation is

$$(1.3) \quad \sigma_k(\kappa(X)) = \psi(X, \nu).$$

Here, ψ is a function defined on $(X, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n$. A C^2 regular hypersurface $M \subset R^{n+1}$ is called k -convex if its principal curvature vector $\kappa(X) \in \Gamma_k$ for all $X \in M$.

To establish the global C^2 estimate for the k -Hessian equation (1.1) or the global curvature estimate for the k -curvature equation (1.3) is some longstanding problem, which was clearly posed by Guan-Li-Li in [24] at first. Moreover, it is very nature to consider the equation (1.3) with the right hand side function containing the gradient term or the normal vector in view of the papers [11, 12, 13].

Let's brief review the research history on the global C^2 estimate for the Hessian equation. For ψ being independent of the normal vector or the gradient term, the C^2 -estimate was obtained by Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [11] for a general class of fully nonlinear operators, including the Hessian type and the quotient Hessian type. The Pogorelov type interior C^2 estimate for the Hessian equation have been obtained by Chou-Wang [16]. Sheng-Urbas-Wang [44] obtained the Pogorelov type interior C^2 estimate for the curvature equation of the graphic hypersurface. C^2 estimates for the complex Hessian equations defined on Kähler manifolds have been obtain by Hou-Ma-Wu [27]. The curvature estimate was also established for the equation of the prescribing curvature measure problem by Guan-Li-Li [24] and Guan-Lin-Ma [23]. If the function ψ is convex with respect to the normal or the gradient, it is well known that the global C^2 estimate has been obtained by Guan [20]. Recently, Guan [22] obtained an important result of C^2 estimates for some fully nonlinear equations defined on Riemannian manifolds.

If the right hand side function ψ depending on the gradient term or the normal, let's give a review on some recent obtained results. Guan-Ren-Wang [26] obtained the global curvature estimate of the closed convex hypersurface and the star-shaped 2-convex hypersurface. The complex corresponded theorem has been established by Phong-Picard-Zhang [38] on the Hermitian manifold. Li-Ren-Wang [31] substituted the convex condition to $k+1$ -convex condition for any Hessian equations and derived the Pogorelov type interior C^2 estimate. For the case $k = n - 1$, Ren-Wang [41] completely solved the longstanding problem, that they obtained the global curvature estimates of $n - 1$ convex solutions for $n - 1$ Hessian equations. Chen-Li-Wang [15] established the global curvature estimate for the prescribed curvature problem in arbitrary warped product spaces. Li-Ren-Wang [30] considered the global curvature estimate of convex solutions for a class of general Hessian equations.

Let's review some geometric applications of the Hessian equation. The famous Minkowski problem, namely, the prescribed Gauss-Kronecker curvature on the outer normal, has been widely discussed by Nirenberg [34], Pogorelov [40], Cheng-Yau [14]. Alexandrov also posed the problem of prescribing general Weingarten curvature on the outer normal [2, 21]. The prescribing curvature measure problem in convex geometry also has been extensively studied by Alexandrov [1], Pogorelov [39], Guan-Lin-Ma [23], Guan-Li-Li [24]. The prescribing mean curvature problem

and Weingarten curvature problem also have been considered and obtained fruitful results by Bakelman-Kantor [4], Treibergs-Wei [46], Oliker [35], Caffarelli-Nirenberg-Spruck [12, 13]. The prescribed curvature problems in Riemannian manifolds have been considered by Li-Oliker [33], Barbosa-deLira-Oliker [6] and Andrade-Barbosa-de Lira [3]. Spruck-Xiao [45] established the curvature estimate for the prescribed scalar curvature problem in space forms and gave a simple proof of our curvature estimate for the same equation in the Euclidean space. Phong-Picard-Zhang [36, 37] has considered Fu-Yau equation in high dimensional spaces, which is a complex 2-Hessian equation with the right hand side function depending on the gradient term. Guan-Lu [25] considered the curvature estimate for the isometric embedding system in general Riemannian manifold, which can derive a 2-Hessian curvature equation with the right hand side function depending on the normal. Our estimate established in [26] is also applied by Xiao [50] and Bryan-Ivaki-Scheuer [10] respectively in some geometric flow problems. Very recently, Ren-Wang-Xiao [42] considers entire space like hypersurfaces with constant σ_{n-1} curvature in Minkowski space, using some techniques developing in [26, 30] and [41].

Now, we state our main theorem.

Theorem 2. *Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a closed $n - 2$ -convex hypersurface satisfying the curvature equation (1.3) with $k = n - 2$ for some positive function $\psi(X, \nu) \in C^2(\Gamma)$, where Γ is an open neighborhood of the unit normal bundle of M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n$, then there is a constant C depending only on $n, k, \|M\|_{C^1}, \inf \psi$ and $\|\psi\|_{C^2}$, such that*

$$(1.4) \quad \max_{X \in M, i=1, \dots, n} \kappa_i(X) \leq C.$$

A straightforward corollary of the above theorem is the following C^2 estimate for the Dirichlet problem of the $n - 2$ Hessian equation.

Corollary 3. *For the Dirichlet problem (1.1) of the σ_{n-2} equation defined on some bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, there exists some constant C depending on ψ and $\nabla u, u$ and the domain Ω , such that we have the global C^2 estimate*

$$\|u\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})} \leq C + \max_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla^2 u|.$$

Remark 4. *We believe that Theorem 2 holds for $2k > n$. In section 3, we will establish the estimate (1.4), when we assume that the Conjecture 13 holds.*

In section 4, we will prove that the left hand side of the inequality (3.1) is bigger than the summation of four quadratic forms $\mathbf{A}_{k;i}, \mathbf{B}_{k;i}, \mathbf{C}_{k;i}, \mathbf{D}_{k;i}$ defined there. In view of the four forms, $k = n - 1$ and $k = n - 2$ are two special cases, namely that some terms disappear. The case $k = n - 3$ in fact owns the general expression. However, $k = n - 1$ and $k = n - 2$ are two essential cases we need to solve at first. Thus, the present paper is a very important step to solve the whole conjecture. Comparing the case $k = n - 1$, the difficulty of the case $k = n - 2$ is that $\mathbf{C}_{k;i}$ becomes more complicated. The novelty of our new proof lies that we discover the method to compete square of the four quadratic forms, which is very different from the argument of the case $k = n - 1$ provided in [41].

As in [41], our new estimate can give two geometric applications. The first one is that we can solve the prescribed $n - 2$ curvature equation (1.3) in the cone Γ_{n-2} . Suppose r_1, r_2 and Condition (1.4), Condition (1.5) are same as [41]. We have

Theorem 5. *Suppose $k = n - 2$ and the positive function $\psi \in C^2(\bar{B}_{r_2} \setminus B_{r_1} \times \mathbb{S}^n)$ satisfies conditions (1.4) and (1.5) in [41], then the equation (1.3) has an unique $C^{3,\alpha}$ starshaped solution M in $\{r_1 \leq |X| \leq r_2\}$.*

Here, M is called a star-shaped hypersurface, if it can be viewed as a radial graph defined on \mathbb{S}^n and its radial function is positive. Thus, the support function of a closed star-shaped hypersurface with respect to its outer normal direction is positive.

The second application is to solve the prescribed $n - 2$ curvature problem of the n dimensional spacelike graphic hypersurface in Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. The Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$ is the set \mathbb{R}^{n+1} endowed with the indefinite metric

$$ds^2 = dx_1^2 + \cdots + dx_n^2 - dx_{n+1}^2.$$

The terminology "spacelike" means the tangent space of the hypersurface lies in the outside of the light cone. We have

Theorem 6. *Let Ω be some bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with smooth boundary and $\psi(x, \xi, p) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a positive function with $\psi_\xi \geq 0$. Let $\varphi \in C^4(\bar{\Omega})$ be space like. Consider the following Dirichlet problem*

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_n) = \psi(X, \nu), & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases},$$

where $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \cdots, \kappa_n$ and X, ν are the principal curvatures and the position vector, the unit normal of a space like graphic hypersurface defined by $(x, u(x))$ in Minkowski space $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$. If the problem (1.5) has a sub solution, then it has an unique space like solution u in Γ_{n-2} belonging to $C^{3,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$.

The prescribing curvature problem of the spacelike graphic hypersurface over some bounded domain in Minkowski space has been studied by various authors, such as Bartnik-Simon [7], Delanö [17], Bayard [8, 9], Urbas [48], etc. In [41], Ren-Wang solves the prescribed $n - 1$ curvature problem. Here, we solves the prescribed $n - 2$ curvature problem. The other cases $2 < k < n - 2$ are still open. Hypersurfaces with prescribed curvatures in Lorentzian manifolds also attract some attentions, seeing papers [18, 19, 43] and reference therein.

Here, we omit the proofs of Corollary 3, Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 in this paper, since they are same as [41], if we have Theorem 2.

The organization of our paper is as follows. In section 2, we explain more notations and list facts and lemmas repeatedly used in the paper. In section 3, when we assume a key inequality holds and $2k > n$, we can establish the curvature estimate. In section 4, we obtain that the proof of the key inequality can be deduced from four quadratic forms $\mathbf{A}_{k;i}, \mathbf{B}_{k;i}, \mathbf{C}_{k;i}, \mathbf{D}_{k;i}$. Further, to derive the key inequality for the case $k = n - 2$, we need to divide into five cases, which will be proved in the last two sections. In section 5, we will prove more algebraic lemmas, which is prepared to prove the key inequality for $k = n - 2$. In section 6, by competing square, we can establish the key inequality for the first three cases. In the last section, we prove

the key inequality for the last two cases, applying the idea of handling the convex solutions developing in [26, 31].

2. PRELIMINARY

The operator $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ for $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ has been defined by (1.2). For convenience, we further set $\sigma_0(\kappa) = 1$ and $\sigma_k(\kappa) = 0$ for $k > n$ or $k < 0$. Following [11], the Gårding's cone Γ_k is an open, convex, symmetric (invariant under the interchange of any two κ_i) cone with vertex at the origin, containing the positive cone: $\{\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n; \text{each component } \kappa_i > 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$. Korevaar [29] has shown that the cone Γ_k also can be characterized as

$$\left\{ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n; \sigma_k(\kappa) > 0, \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_{i_1}} > 0, \dots, \frac{\partial^k \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_{i_1} \dots \partial \kappa_{i_k}} > 0, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \right\}.$$

Suppose $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$, then using the above fact, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \kappa_k + \kappa_{k+1} + \dots + \kappa_n > 0 \quad \text{for } \kappa \in \Gamma_k.$$

Thus, if $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, the number of possible negative entries of κ is at most $n - k$.

For convenience, in this paper, we define another set:

$$\bar{\Gamma}_k = \{ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \sigma_m(\kappa) > 0, \quad m = 1, \dots, k-1 \text{ and } \sigma_k(\kappa) \geq 0 \}.$$

Note that the meaning of $\bar{\Gamma}_k$ is not the closure of Γ_k in \mathbb{R}^n . Thus, we clearly have

$$\Gamma_n \subset \bar{\Gamma}_n \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_k \subset \bar{\Gamma}_k \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \bar{\Gamma}_1.$$

Same as [29], using the fact that $\frac{\sigma_k}{\sigma_{k-1}}$ is degenerated elliptic in Γ_{k-1} , $\bar{\Gamma}_k$ also can be characterized as

$$\left\{ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n; \sigma_k(\kappa) \geq 0, \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_{i_1}} \geq 0, \dots, \frac{\partial^k \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_{i_1} \dots \partial \kappa_{i_k}} \geq 0, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n \right\}.$$

Let $\kappa(A)$ be the eigenvalue vector of a matrix $A = (a_{ij})$. Suppose F is a function defined on the set of symmetric matrices. We let

$$f(\kappa(A)) = F(A).$$

Thus, we denote

$$F^{pq} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial a_{pq}}, \quad \text{and} \quad F^{pq,rs} = \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial a_{pq} \partial a_{rs}}.$$

For a local orthonormal frame, if A is diagonal at a point, then at this point, we have

$$F^{pp} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial \kappa_p} = f_p, \quad \text{and} \quad F^{pp,qq} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \kappa_p \partial \kappa_q} = f_{pq}.$$

Thus the definition of the k -th elementary symmetric function can be extended to symmetric matrices. Suppose W is an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix and $\kappa(W)$ is its eigenvalue vector. We define

$$\sigma_k(W) = \sigma_k(\kappa(W)),$$

which is the summation of the k -th principal minors of the matrix W .

Now we will list some algebraic identities and properties of σ_k . In this paper, we will denote $(\kappa|a) = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{a-1}, \kappa_{a+1}, \dots, \kappa_n)$. For any $1 \leq l \leq n$, the notation $\sigma_l(\kappa|ab\dots)$ means $\sigma_l((\kappa|ab\dots))$. Thus, we define

- (i) $\sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa) := \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_p} = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p)$ for any given index $p = 1, \dots, n$;
- (ii) $\sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) := \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa_p \partial \kappa_q} = \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq)$ for any given indices $p, q = 1, \dots, n$ and $\sigma_k^{pp,pp}(\kappa) = 0$.

Using the above definitions, we have

- (iii) $\sigma_k(\kappa) = \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + \sigma_k(\kappa|i)$ for any given index i ;
- (iv) $\sum_{i=1}^n \sigma_k(\kappa|i) = (n-k)\sigma_k(\kappa)$;
- (v) $\sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) = k\sigma_k(\kappa)$.

Thus, for a Codazzi tensor $W = (w_{ij})$, we have

- (vi) $-\sum_{p,q,r,s} \sigma_k^{pq,rs}(W)w_{pql}w_{rsl} = \sum_{p,q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(W)w_{pql}^2 - \sum_{p,q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(W)w_{ppl}w_{qql}$, where w_{pql} means the covariant derivative of w_{pq} with respect to l and $\sigma_k^{pq,rs}(W) = \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k(W)}{\partial w_{pq} \partial w_{rs}}$. The meaning of Codazzi tensors can be found in [26].

For $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, suppose $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$, then we have

- (vii) $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|n) \geq \dots \geq \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) > 0$.

More details about the proof of these formulas can be found in [28] and [49].

For $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have the famous Newton's inequality and the Maclaurin's inequality.

- (viii) $\frac{\sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa)}{C_n^{k-1} C_n^{k-1}} \geq \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa)}{C_n^k C_n^{k-2}}$, for $k \geq 2, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n$. (Newton's inequality)
- (ix) $\left[\frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)}{C_n^k}\right]^{1/k} \leq \left[\frac{\sigma_l(\kappa)}{C_n^l}\right]^{1/l}$ for $k \geq l \geq 1, \kappa \in \Gamma_k$. (Maclaurin's inequality)

Using Newton's inequality, it is not difficult to prove

- (x) $\frac{\sigma_s(\kappa)\sigma_k(\kappa)}{C_n^s C_n^k} \geq \frac{\sigma_{s-r}(\kappa)\sigma_{k+r}(\kappa)}{C_n^{s-r} C_n^{k+r}}$, for $1 \leq r \leq s \leq k, \kappa \in \Gamma_k$.

Here C_n^k is the combinational number, namely $C_n^k = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}$.

We need to refer two important concavities. The functions $\sigma_k^{1/k}(\kappa)$ and $\left(\frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)}{\sigma_l(\kappa)}\right)^{1/(k-l)}$ for $l < k$ are concave functions in Γ_k which is showed in [11] and [47].

Now, we list several lemmas frequently used in the other sections.

Lemma 7. *Assume that $k > l$, $W = (w_{ij})$ is a Codazzi tensor which is in Γ_k .*

Denote $\alpha = \frac{1}{k-l}$. Then, for $h = 1, \dots, n$ and any $\delta > 0$, we have the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.2) \quad & -\sum_{p,q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(W)w_{pph}w_{qqh} + \left(1 - \alpha + \frac{\alpha}{\delta}\right) \frac{(\sigma_k(W))_h^2}{\sigma_k(W)} \\
& \geq \sigma_k(W)(\alpha + 1 - \delta\alpha) \left(\frac{(\sigma_l(W))_h}{\sigma_l(W)}\right)^2 - \frac{\sigma_k(W)}{\sigma_l(W)} \sum_{p,q} \sigma_l^{pp,qq}(W)w_{pph}w_{qqh}.
\end{aligned}$$

The proof can be found in [24] and [26]. Now we give another Lemma whose proof is same as the proof of the inequality (12) in [32].

Lemma 8. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$. Then for any given indices $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, if $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_j$, we have*

$$|\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)| \leq \Theta \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j), \text{ where } \Theta = \sqrt{\frac{k(n-k)}{n-1}}.$$

We also have

Lemma 9. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. Then for any $0 \leq s \leq k \leq n$, we have*

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{\kappa_1^s \sigma_{k-s}(\kappa)}{\sigma_k(\kappa)} \geq \frac{C_n^{k-s}}{C_n^k}.$$

Proof. Obviously, we have $\kappa_1 > 0$. Define $\tilde{\kappa} = \frac{\kappa}{\kappa_1} = \left(1, \dots, \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_1}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^k} \leq 1 \text{ and } \tilde{\kappa} \in \Gamma_k. \text{ By Maclaurin's inequality, we get}$$

$$\frac{\sigma_{k-s}(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^{k-s}} \geq \left[\frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^k} \right]^{\frac{k-s}{k}} \geq \frac{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})}{C_n^k},$$

which implies

$$\frac{\kappa_1^s \sigma_{k-s}(\kappa)}{\sigma_k(\kappa)} = \frac{\sigma_{k-s}(\tilde{\kappa})}{\sigma_k(\tilde{\kappa})} \geq \frac{C_n^{k-s}}{C_n^k}.$$

□

Using the above lemma, we can prove

Lemma 10. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. Suppose any given indices i, j satisfy $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $i \neq j$.*

$$(a) \text{ If } \kappa_i \leq 0, \text{ then } -\kappa_i < \frac{(n-k)\kappa_1}{k}.$$

$$(b) \text{ If } \kappa_i \leq \kappa_j \leq 0, \text{ then } -(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) < \frac{2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)}.$$

Proof. (a) Since $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, by

$$\sigma_k(\kappa) = \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + \sigma_k(\kappa|i) > 0, \text{ and } \kappa_i \leq 0,$$

we know that $\sigma_k(\kappa|i) > 0$, which implies $(\kappa|i) \in \Gamma_k$. Applying Lemma 9 to $(\kappa|i)$ and using the above inequality, we get

$$-\kappa_i < \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa|i)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)} \leq \frac{C_{n-1}^k \kappa_1}{C_{n-1}^{k-1}} = \frac{(n-k)\kappa_1}{k}.$$

(b) Same as (a), using $\kappa_j \leq 0$, we know $\sigma_k(\kappa|j) > 0$. Thus, it is clear that

$$\sigma_k(\kappa|j) = \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) + \sigma_k(\kappa|ij) > 0,$$

then, rewriting the above inequality, we have $-\kappa_i < \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa|ij)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)}$. Since $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_j \leq 0$, the above inequality implies $-(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) < \frac{2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)}$. \square

Lemma 11. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \bar{\Gamma}_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. Then for any $1 \leq s < k$, we have*

$$\sigma_s(\kappa) \geq \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_s.$$

Proof. Using $\kappa \in \bar{\Gamma}_k \subset \Gamma_s$, we have

$$\kappa_1 > 0, \kappa_2 > 0, \dots, \kappa_s > 0,$$

and

$$\sigma_s(\kappa|1) \geq 0, \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|12) \geq 0, \dots, \sigma_1(\kappa|12 \cdots s) \geq 0.$$

Using the above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_s(\kappa) &= \kappa_1 \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|1) + \sigma_s(\kappa|1) \\ &\geq \kappa_1 \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|1) = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \sigma_{s-2}(\kappa|12) + \kappa_1 \sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|12) \\ &\geq \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \sigma_{s-2}(\kappa|12) = \cdots \\ &\geq \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_s. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Lemma 12. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. For any given indices $1 \leq j \leq k$, there exists a positive constant θ only depending on n, k such that*

$$\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq \frac{\theta \sigma_k(\kappa)}{\kappa_j}.$$

Epecially, we have $\kappa_1 \sigma_k(\kappa|1) \geq \theta \sigma_k(\kappa)$.

Proof. We note that $\kappa_j > 0$. We divide into two cases to prove our Lemma.

(a) If we have $\sigma_k(\kappa|j) \leq 0$, we easily see that

$$\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) = \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa) - \sigma_k(\kappa|j)}{\kappa_j} \geq \frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)}{\kappa_j}.$$

(b) If we have $\sigma_k(\kappa|j) > 0$, using $\kappa \in \Gamma_k$, we have $(\kappa|j) \in \Gamma_k$. Thus, applying Lemma 11 to $(\kappa|j)$, we get

$$\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq \frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_k}{\kappa_j}.$$

In view of (2.1), for any $j > k$, we have $|\kappa_j| \leq n\kappa_k$. Thus, there exists some constant θ only depending on n, k such that $\theta \sigma_k(\kappa) \leq \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_k$. Therefore, we obtain our lemma. \square

3. THE CURVATURE ESTIMATE

In this section, following the steps of [41], we establish the global curvature estimate. At first, we pose a conjecture.

Conjecture 13. *Assume that $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $2k > n$, κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ , and $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ has the absolutely positive lower bound and upper bound, $N_0 \leq \sigma_k(\kappa) \leq N$. For any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, the following quadratic form is non negative,*

$$(3.1) \quad \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \geq 0,$$

for any n dimensional vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, when κ_1 and the constant K are sufficiently large. Here a_j is defined by

$$(3.2) \quad a_j = \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa).$$

The sections 4-7 will prove the above Conjecture for $k = n - 2$. In [41], we have proved the Conjecture for $k = n - 1$. If $k = n$, the inequality (3.1) is well known. Thus, until to now, the above Conjecture holds when $k \geq n - 2$.

If we assume the Conjecture 13 holds, we can establish the following global curvature estimate.

Theorem 14. *Suppose $M \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is a closed k -convex hypersurface satisfying the curvature equation (1.3) and $2k > n$ for some positive function $\psi(X, \nu) \in C^2(\Gamma)$, where Γ is an open neighborhood of the unit normal bundle of M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n$, then there is a constant C depending only on $n, k, \|M\|_{C^1}, \inf \psi$ and $\|\psi\|_{C^2}$, such that*

$$\max_{X \in M, i=1, \dots, n} \kappa_i(X) \leq C.$$

Since the support function u is positive, we use the following test function which has been used in [26, 41],

$$\phi = \log \log P - N_1 \log u.$$

Here N_1 is an undetermined positive constant and the function P is defined by

$$P = \sum_l e^{\kappa_l}.$$

For the given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &= e^{\kappa_i} \left[K (\sigma_k)_i^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} h_{ppi} h_{qqi} \right], \quad B_i = 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \sigma_k^{ii,ll} e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli}^2, \\ C_i &= \sigma_k^{ii} \sum_l e^{\kappa_l} h_{lli}^2; \quad D_i = 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \sigma_k^{ll} \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} h_{lli}^2, \quad E_i = \frac{1 + \log P}{P \log P} \sigma_k^{ii} P_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

Following the arguments in [41], using our test function ϕ , we can obtain the desired curvature estimate if we can prove

$$(3.3) \quad A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \geq 0$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Before proving (3.3), we need the following lemma.

Lemma 15. Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $2k > n$, and κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ . We let $\varepsilon_{n,k} = \frac{1}{3k}$, then we have

$$(3.4) \quad (2 - \varepsilon_{n,k})e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) + (2 - \varepsilon_{n,k}) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|l) \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)$$

for all indices i, l satisfying $l \neq i$, if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Proof. Obviously we have the following identity,

$$\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|l) = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + (\kappa_i - \kappa_l) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il).$$

Multiplying $\frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i}$ in both sides of the above identity, we have

$$(3.5) \quad e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) + \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|l) = e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) + \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i).$$

Using (3.5), in order to prove (3.4), we only need to show

$$(3.6) \quad (2 - \varepsilon_{n,k}) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1},$$

which we will divide into four cases to prove.

Case (a): Suppose $\kappa_l \leq \kappa_i$. We have

$$\frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} = e^{\kappa_l} \frac{e^{\kappa_i - \kappa_l} - 1}{\kappa_i - \kappa_l} \geq e^{\kappa_l} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here we have used the inequality $e^t > 1 + t$ for $t > 0$.

Case (b): Suppose $0 < \kappa_l - \kappa_i \leq 1$. By the mean value theorem, there exists some constant ξ satisfying $\kappa_i < \xi < \kappa_l$, such that

$$\frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} = e^\xi \geq e^{\kappa_i} \geq e^{\kappa_l - 1} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Case (c): Suppose $1 < \kappa_l - \kappa_i \leq \kappa_1$. We have

$$(2 - \varepsilon_{n,k}) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq (2 - \varepsilon_{n,k}) e^{\kappa_l} \frac{1 - e^{-1}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq (2 - \varepsilon_{n,k}) (1 - e^{-1}) \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1} \geq \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1}.$$

Here, in the above inequalities, we have used $(2 - \varepsilon_{n,k})(1 - e^{-1}) > 1$.

Case (d): Suppose $\kappa_l - \kappa_i > \kappa_1$. In this case, our condition implies $\kappa_i < 0$. By

Lemma 10 and $2k > n$, we know that $-\kappa_i < \frac{n-k}{k} \kappa_1 \leq \frac{k-1}{k} \kappa_1$, then we have

$$\kappa_l - \kappa_i \leq \kappa_1 - \kappa_i < \frac{2k-1}{k} \kappa_1.$$

Thus, in this case,

$$(2 - \varepsilon_{n,k}) \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq (2 - \varepsilon_{n,k}) e^{\kappa_l} \frac{1 - e^{-\kappa_1}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \geq \frac{(2 - \varepsilon_{n,k})(1 - e^{-\kappa_1})}{(2k-1)/k} \frac{e^{\kappa_l}}{\kappa_1}.$$

We obviously have

$$\frac{(2 - \varepsilon_{n,k})}{(2k - 1)/k} > 1 + \frac{1}{3k}.$$

Thus we get $\frac{(2 - \varepsilon_{n,k})(1 - e^{-\kappa_1})}{(2k - 1)/k} \geq 1$ if κ_1 is sufficiently large, which gives the desired inequality. \square

We divide into two cases to establish (3.3), for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$,

- (I) $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$;
- (II) $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$.

The following lemma deals with the Case (I).

Lemma 16. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $n < 2k$ and κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ . For any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i \leq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have*

$$A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \geq 0,$$

when the constant K and κ_1 both are sufficiently large.

The proof is same as Lemma 13 in [41]. The difference lies that we use the inequality (3.4) to replace the inequality (4.19) in [41].

For the Case (II), we first prove that

Lemma 17. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $n < 2k$, κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ and $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ has a lower bound $\sigma_k(\kappa) \geq N_0 > 0$. Then for any given indices i, j satisfying $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ and $j \neq i$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have*

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{2\kappa_i(1 - e^{\kappa_j - \kappa_i})}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa),$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Proof. If $\kappa_i = \kappa_j$, the left hand side of (3.7) should be viewed as a limitation when κ_j converging to κ_i , about which we refer [5] for more explanation. It is easy to see that the limitation is $2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa)$. Thus, a straightforward calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \\ &= 2\kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - 2\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + 2\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 8, $|\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)|$ can be bounded by $\sqrt{k} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)$. Thus, since we have $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) = \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)$, the above formula is positive if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

If $\kappa_i \neq \kappa_j$, we have the following identity,

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa) = \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \frac{\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa)}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \\ &= \frac{2\kappa_i}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) - \frac{\kappa_i + \kappa_j}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa). \end{aligned}$$

In view of (3.8), in order to prove (3.7), it suffices to show

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{-2\kappa_i e^{\kappa_j - \kappa_i}}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq -\frac{\kappa_i + \kappa_j}{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa).$$

Let's define some function:

$$(3.10) \quad L = \begin{cases} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) e^{\kappa_i - \kappa_j} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) - 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) & \kappa_i > \kappa_j, \\ 2\kappa_j e^{\kappa_j - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) & \kappa_i < \kappa_j. \end{cases}$$

Obviously, $L \geq 0$ implies (3.9). Thus, let's prove $L \geq 0$ in the following for $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$ and $\kappa_i < \kappa_j$ respectively.

If $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$, we let $t = \kappa_i - \kappa_j$. Thus we have $t > 0$. We divide into two cases to prove L is non negative for $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$.

Case (a): Suppose $t \geq \sqrt{\kappa_1}$. In this case, our assumption gives $e^t \geq e^{\sqrt{\kappa_1}} > \frac{(\sqrt{\kappa_1})^{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}$. Here we have used the Taylor expansion in the second inequality.

If $\kappa_j \leq 0$, using $n \leq 2k-1$ and Lemma 10, we have $-\kappa_j < \frac{(n-k)\kappa_1}{k} \leq \frac{(k-1)\kappa_1}{k}$. Thus, since $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have $\kappa_i + \kappa_j > \frac{\kappa_1}{2k}$ if $\kappa_1 > 10$. If $\kappa_j > 0$, it is easy to see $\kappa_i + \kappa_j > \frac{\kappa_1}{2k}$. Thus, in any cases, we have

$$L \geq \frac{\kappa_1^{k+\frac{3}{2}}}{2k(2k+1)!} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) - 2\kappa_1 \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \geq \kappa_1 \left(\frac{\kappa_1^{k-1} \sqrt{\kappa_1} \theta N_0}{2k(2k+1)!} - 2\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \right) \geq 0,$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here we have used $\kappa_1 \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \geq \theta \sigma_k(\kappa)$.

Case (b): Suppose $t < \sqrt{\kappa_1}$. Using $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have $\kappa_j > \kappa_1/2$ if $\kappa_1 > 10$. For simplification purpose, denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|i,j)$. We have

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} L &= (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) e^t \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 2\kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \\ &= (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) e^t (\kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) - 2\kappa_i (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) \\ &= [\kappa_j (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) e^t - 2\kappa_i^2] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + [(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) e^t - 2\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\ &= [\kappa_j (\kappa_j + \kappa_i) (e^t - 1) - t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i) - t\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + [(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) (e^t - 1) - t] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality, we have used $t = \kappa_i - \kappa_j$. We further divide into two sub-cases to prove the nonnegativity of L .

Subcase (b1): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \geq 0$. Note that $e^t > 1 + t$. By (3.11) and $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, $\kappa_j > \kappa_1/2$, we get $L \geq 0$.

Subcase (b2): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$. Inserting the identity

$$(3.12) \quad (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} = \sigma_k(\kappa) - \kappa_i \kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \tilde{\sigma}_k$$

into the last equality of (3.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.13) \quad L &= [(\kappa_j^2 + \kappa_j \kappa_i)(e^t - 1) - t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i) - t\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - t\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&\quad + (e^t - 1)[\sigma_k(\kappa) - \kappa_i \kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \tilde{\sigma}_k] \\
&\geq [\kappa_j^2(e^t - 1) - 3t\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + (e^t - 1)[\sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_j > 0, t > 0$, and $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$.

For $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)$ and $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)$, we have following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.14) \quad \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) &= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - t\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \\
&= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \frac{t}{\kappa_i} [\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)] \\
&= \left(1 - \frac{t}{\kappa_i}\right) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + \frac{t}{\kappa_i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&\geq \left(1 - \frac{t(1+\Theta)}{\kappa_i}\right) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j),
\end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here in the fourth inequality, we have used Lemma 8 to estimate the term $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.15) \quad \kappa_j^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k &= \kappa_j [\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}] + \sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k \\
&= \kappa_j \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + \sigma_k(\kappa) - \sigma_k(\kappa|i) \\
&= (\kappa_j + \kappa_i) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.16) \quad 3\kappa_i = 3\kappa_j + 3t \leq 3\kappa_j + 3\sqrt{\kappa_1} \leq 4\kappa_j,$$

where we have used $\kappa_j > \kappa_1/2$ and κ_1 is sufficiently large. Thus, using (3.15) and (3.16), (3.13) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
L &\geq (e^t - 1)(\kappa_j + \kappa_i) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 3t\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&\geq t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 4t\kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&= t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i - 4) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + 4t\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&\geq t(\kappa_j + \kappa_i - 4) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j)/2 + 4t\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&\geq \frac{t}{2} (\kappa_j + \kappa_i - 4 - 8\Theta) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq 0
\end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here in the fourth inequality, we have used (3.14) and in the last inequality, we have used Lemma 8 to give the lower bound of $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij)$.

If $\kappa_i < \kappa_j$, we let $t = \kappa_j - \kappa_i$, which yields $0 < t \leq \kappa_1 - \kappa_i < \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$. For simplification purpose, we still denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|ij)$. Thus, using $t = \kappa_j - \kappa_i$ we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad L &= 2\kappa_i e^t \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \\
&= 2\kappa_i e^t (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) (\kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) \\
&= [2\kappa_i^2 e^t - (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \kappa_j] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + (2\kappa_i e^t - \kappa_i - \kappa_j) \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&= [2\kappa_i^2 (e^t - 1) - 3t\kappa_i - t^2] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + [2\kappa_i (e^t - 1) - t] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

We divide into two cases to prove $L \geq 0$.

Case (c1): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \geq 0$. Since we have $e^t > 1 + t$, $t < \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$ and $\kappa_j > \kappa_i > \kappa_1/2$, in view of (3.17), we get $L \geq 0$.

Case (c2): Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$. Inserting the identity (3.12) into the last formula of (3.17) and using $2\kappa_i = \kappa_i + \kappa_j - t$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.18) \quad L &= [2\kappa_i^2 (e^t - 1) - 3t\kappa_i - t^2] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - t e^t \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&\quad + (e^t - 1) [\sigma_k(\kappa) - \kappa_i \kappa_j \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \tilde{\sigma}_k] \\
&\geq [(\kappa_i^2 - \kappa_i t) (e^t - 1) - 4t\kappa_i] \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + (e^t - 1) [\sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality, we have used $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} < 0$, $t < \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n < \kappa_i$ and κ_1 is sufficiently large. Note that comparing the previous case $\kappa_i > \kappa_j$, this case exchanges the position of i and j . Thus, exchanging the indices i and j in (3.15) and (3.14), we get the formulae,

$$(3.19) \quad \kappa_i^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \sigma_k(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_k = (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j), \quad \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) \geq \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)}{2}.$$

Combing (3.18) with (3.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
L &\geq (e^t - 1) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - [(e^t - 1)t + 4t] \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&= [(e^t - 1) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) - (e^t + 3)t] \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + (e^t + 3)t \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&\geq [(e^t - 1) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) - (e^t + 3)t] \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)}{2} + (e^t + 3)t \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&\geq [(e^t - 1) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) - (1 + 2\Theta)(e^t + 3)t] \frac{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)}{2} \\
&\geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here, in the fourth inequality, we have used Lemma 8 to give the lower bound of $\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}$, and in the last inequality, we have used $e^t > 1 + t$, $\kappa_j \geq \kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, $t \leq \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$. \square

Now, we are in the position to handle the Case (II).

Lemma 18. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, $n < 2k$ and κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ . Then for any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have*

$$A_i + B_i + C_i + D_i - E_i \geq 0,$$

when the positive constant K and κ_1 both are sufficiently large.

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 15 in [41]. We only need to show that the following two inequalities hold,

(3.20)

$$e^{\kappa_i} [K(\sigma_k(\kappa))_i^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) h_{ppi} h_{qqi}] + 2 \sum_{l \neq i} \frac{e^{\kappa_l} - e^{\kappa_i}}{\kappa_l - \kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ll}(\kappa) h_{lli}^2 \geq \frac{1}{\log P} e^{\kappa_i} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) h_{iii}^2,$$

$$(3.21) \quad 2 \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ll,ii}(\kappa) h_{lli}^2 - \frac{1}{\log P} \sum_{l \neq i} e^{\kappa_l} \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) h_{lli}^2 \geq 0,$$

which is corresponding to the inequalities (4.26) and (4.28) in [41] respectively.

By the definition of P , we know $\log P > \kappa_1$. Combing the inequality (3.1) with Lemma 17, we have the proof of the inequality (3.20).

In order to prove the inequality (3.21), we only need to show the following inequality

$$2\kappa_1 \sigma_k^{ii,ll}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \geq 0.$$

It is clear that

$$(3.22) \quad \begin{aligned} 2\kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) &\geq 2\kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \\ &= 2\kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) - \kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i) \\ &= \kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i) \leq 0$, (3.21) obviously holds. If $\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i) > 0$, we have $(\kappa|1i) \in \Gamma_{k-1}$. Therefore, by Lemma 9, we have

$$(3.23) \quad \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1i) \leq \frac{n-k}{k-1} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) \kappa_1.$$

Thus if we have $n < 2k$ which implies $n \leq 2k-1$, combing (3.22) with (3.23), we get

$$2\kappa_1 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|il) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \geq \frac{2k-1-n}{k-1} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|1i) \kappa_1 \geq 0,$$

which gives the inequality (3.21). □

4. AN INEQUALITY

In this section, we will establish an inequality that the left hand side of (3.1) is bigger than the summation of four quadratic forms defined in the following. The argument is similar to [41], but will become a little more complicated.

We define some notations. Let $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an n -dimensional vector. Suppose $1 \leq i \leq n$ is some given index. We define four quadratic forms, $\mathbf{A}_{k;i}$, $\mathbf{B}_{k;i}$, $\mathbf{C}_{k;i}$, $\mathbf{D}_{k;i}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq i} [\sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq)] \xi_p \xi_q; \\ \mathbf{B}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} 2\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq i} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) \xi_p \xi_q; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{C}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} [\kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij)\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij)] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p,q \neq i, p \neq q} [\sigma_k(\kappa|ipq)\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ipq)] \xi_p \xi_q; \\
\mathbf{D}_{k;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq i} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \xi_p \xi_q;
\end{aligned}$$

We define a constant,

$$(4.1) \quad c_{k,K} = \frac{1}{K\kappa_i\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) - 1}.$$

It is easy to see that if $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have

$$\kappa_i\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \geq \kappa_1\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1)/2 \geq \theta\sigma_k(\kappa)/2 > 0,$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here θ is the constant given in Lemma 12. Therefore, $c_{k,K}$ is a positive constant and can be very small if the constant K is sufficiently large. Thus, throughout the paper, we always assume K is sufficiently large and then $c_{k,K}$ is positive.

We mainly will prove the following lemma in this section.

Lemma 19. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$, κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ and $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ has a positive lower bound $\sigma_k(\kappa) \geq N_0$. Then for any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, for any n dimensional vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.2) \quad & \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \\
& \geq \frac{1}{c_{k,K}} [\mathbf{A}_{k;i} + \sigma_k(\kappa) \mathbf{B}_{k;i} + \mathbf{C}_{k;i} - c_{k,K} \mathbf{D}_{k;i}],
\end{aligned}$$

when κ_1 and K both are sufficiently large. Here a_j and $c_{k,K}$ are defined by (3.2) and (4.1).

Before to prove the above lemma, we need some algebraic identities.

Lemma 20. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_k$. Suppose $1 \leq i, j, p, q \leq n$ are given indices. a_j and $c_{k,K}$ are defined by (3.2) and (4.1). We have the following five identities:*

(1)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) [-\sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa)] - \kappa_i^2 [\sigma_k^{ii,jj}(\kappa)]^2 + a_j [\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) - 1] \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \\
& = \frac{1}{c_{k,K}} [\sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) + \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa)] (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij).
\end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_i \left[\sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,qq}(\kappa) + \sigma_k^{qq}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,pp}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \right] - \sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{qq}(\kappa) \\
& \quad - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_k^{ii,pp}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,qq}(\kappa) + \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \\
& = -\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q).
\end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\left[\sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) + \sigma_k^{jj}(\kappa) \right] (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) = 2\sigma_k(\kappa) - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij).$$

(4)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^{qq}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{ii,pp}(\kappa) - \sigma_k^{ii}(\kappa) \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa) &= \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \kappa_i \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq) \\ &\quad + \kappa_q \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) - \kappa_q \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq). \end{aligned}$$

(5)

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) &= \sigma_k \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) + \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_k(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) \\ &\quad - \kappa_q \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) + \kappa_q \kappa_i \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For simplification purpose, we omit the κ in our notations in the following argument, which means that we let

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_k(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp} = \sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp,qq} = \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa).$$

(1) Using the identity

$$-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj} + \sigma_k^{ii} = (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij),$$

and $a_j = \sigma_k^{jj} + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (4.3) \quad & \kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} (-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 + a_j [\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \\ &= \kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} (-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj} + \sigma_k^{ii}) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &\quad + (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{ii} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj} \\ &= (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{jj} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \\ &\quad + (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{ii} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{ii,jj} - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &= (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \\ &\quad + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &= (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij). \end{aligned}$$

Here in the above last equality we have used the following identity,

$$\begin{aligned} & (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} \\ &= \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) [\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) - \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij)] + \sigma_k^{jj} [\kappa_j \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i)] \\ &= \kappa_i \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_k^{jj} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\ &= -\sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij). \end{aligned}$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_i(\sigma_k^{pp}\sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \sigma_k^{qq}\sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii}\sigma_k^{pp,qq}) - \sigma_k^{pp}\sigma_k^{qq} - \kappa_i^2\sigma_k^{ii,pp}\sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \kappa_i\sigma_k^{ii}\sigma_k^{pp,qq} \\
&= \kappa_i\sigma_k^{qq}\sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{pp}\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) - \kappa_i^2\sigma_k^{ii,pp}\sigma_k^{ii,qq} \\
&= \kappa_i\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q)\sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{pp}\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \\
&= -\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i p)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q).
\end{aligned}$$

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj})(\kappa_i + \kappa_j) &= \kappa_i\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) + \kappa_j\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + \kappa_i\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|j) + \kappa_j\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i) \\
&= 2\sigma_k - \sigma_k(\kappa|i) - \sigma_k(\kappa|j) + \kappa_i^2\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_i\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&\quad + \kappa_j^2\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ij) \\
&= 2\sigma_k - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_i^2\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j^2\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij).
\end{aligned}$$

(4) We further denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|ipq)$ here. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_k^{qq}\sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii}\sigma_k^{pp,qq} \\
&= [\kappa_p\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq) + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|pq)]\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ip) - \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq)[\kappa_p\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ip) + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip)] \\
&= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|pq)\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ip) - \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|pq)\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \\
&= (\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1})(\kappa_q\tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}) - (\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-2})(\kappa_q\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}) \\
&= \kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}^2 - \kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + \kappa_q\tilde{\sigma}_{k-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} - \kappa_q\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

(5) We also denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\kappa|ipq)$ here. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_k^{pp}\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) &= \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p)[\kappa_p\sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq)] \\
&= \kappa_p\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p)\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|p)\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&= [\sigma_k - \sigma_k(\kappa|p)]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + [\kappa_q\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + (\kappa_q + \kappa_i)\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&= \sigma_k\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - [\kappa_q\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + (\kappa_q + \kappa_i)\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} + \tilde{\sigma}_k]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} \\
&\quad + [\kappa_q\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-3} + (\kappa_q + \kappa_i)\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}]\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1} \\
&= \sigma_k\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_k\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2} - \kappa_q\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-2}^2 + \kappa_q\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{k-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{k-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of the Lemma 19: For the sake of simplification, we still omit the κ in the following calculation, which means that we still let

$$\sigma_k = \sigma_k(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp} = \sigma_k^{pp}(\kappa), \quad \sigma_k^{pp,qq} = \sigma_k^{pp,qq}(\kappa).$$

Let's calculate the left hand side of (3.1). By Lemma 12, we have

$$K\kappa_i\sigma_k^{ii} - 1 \geq K\kappa_1\sigma_k^{11}/2 - 1 \geq K\theta\sigma_k/2 - 1 \geq 0,$$

if the positive constant K and κ_1 both are sufficiently large. A straightforward calculation shows,

(4.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii} \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \\
&= \kappa_i K \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 + 2\kappa_i \xi_i \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right] \\
&\quad + [\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 - \kappa_i \sum_{p \neq i; q \neq i} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \\
&\geq \kappa_i K \left(\sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 - \frac{\kappa_i^2 \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right]^2}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 - \kappa_i \sum_{p \neq i; q \neq i} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \\
&= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{jj})^2 - \frac{\kappa_i^2 (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} + a_j \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{qq} - \frac{\kappa_i^2 (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp} - \sigma_k^{ii,pp})(K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{qq} - \sigma_k^{ii,qq})}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} - \kappa_i \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \right] \xi_p \xi_q,
\end{aligned}$$

where, in the second inequality, we have used,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\kappa_i^2 \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right]^2}{\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}} + 2\kappa_i \xi_i \left[\sum_{j \neq i} (K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} - \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) \xi_j \right] \\
&+ [\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \xi_i^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can multiple the term $\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}$ in both sides of (4.4). Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.5) \quad & [\kappa_i K (\sigma_k^{ii})^2 - \sigma_k^{ii}] \left\{ \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_k^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_k^{ii} \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \right\} \\
&\geq \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{jj} (-\sigma_k^{jj} + 2\kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii,jj}) - \kappa_i^2 (\sigma_k^{ii,jj})^2 + a_j (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sigma_k^{ii} \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} [\kappa_i (\sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}) - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{qq}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_k^{ii,pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} \right] \xi_p \xi_q
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) [\kappa_i (\sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{ii,qq} + \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq}) - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_k^{qq}] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\
&= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\sigma_k^{ii} + \sigma_k^{jj}) (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[(\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) (\kappa_i \sigma_k^{qq} \sigma_k^{ii,pp} - \kappa_i \sigma_k^{ii} \sigma_k^{pp,qq} - \sigma_k^{pp} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\
&= (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) + \kappa_j^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ij) - 2\sigma_k(\kappa|ij) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\sigma_k \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ij) - c_{k,K} \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ij) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + (\kappa_i K \sigma_k^{ii} - 1) \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-2}^2(\kappa|ipq) - \kappa_i^2 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-3}(\kappa|ipq) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \sigma_k \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) + \sigma_k(\kappa|ipq) \sigma_{k-2}(\kappa|ipq) - \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|ipq) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - c_{k,K} \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|ip) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|i q) \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\
&= \frac{1}{c_{k,K}} \left[\kappa_i^2 \mathbf{A}_{k;i} + \sigma_k \mathbf{B}_{k;i} + \mathbf{C}_{k;i} - c_{k,K} \mathbf{D}_{k;i} \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality, we have used identities (1),(2) of Lemma 20 and in the fourth equality, we have used identities (3),(4),(5) of Lemma 20. We have completed our proof.

Now, we state the main result of the following several sections.

Theorem 21. *Conjecture 13 holds when $k = n - 2$ and $n \geq 5$.*

The proof of the above theorem is very complicated. Thus, we first give an outline. For convenience, we let $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$. We divide into five cases to verify the above Theorem 21 in section 6 and 7. These cases are

Case A: Suppose $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|i) \leq 0$ and $\kappa_n \leq \kappa_{n-1} \leq 0$.

Case B: Suppose $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|i) \leq 0$ and $\kappa_n < 0, \kappa_{n-1} > 0$. This case needs to be divided into three sub-cases:

Case B1: Suppose

$$\kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|i) \geq (1 + \delta_0) \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \quad \text{with} \quad \delta_0 = \frac{1}{32n(n-2)}.$$

Case B2: Suppose $\kappa_1 \dots \kappa_{n-2} \geq 2(n-2) \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$.

Case B3: For the given constant δ_0 in Case B1, we suppose

$$\kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|i) \leq (1 + \delta_0) \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \text{ and } \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2} < 2(n-2) \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa).$$

Case C: Suppose $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|i) \geq 0$.

In section 6, for the given index i , if $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we will prove the quadratic form

$$(4.6) \quad \kappa_i^2 \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} + \mathbf{C}_{n-2;i} - c_{n-2,K} \mathbf{D}_{n-2;i}$$

is non-negative for the cases A, B1 and B2, when κ_1 is sufficiently large. Using Lemma 19, we obtain the proof of Theorem 21 for same cases. Note that the conditions appearing in sub-cases B1 and B2 are only used in Lemma 34. In section 7, we will give a straightforward proof of Theorem 21 for the cases B3 and C.

5. MORE ALGEBRAIC LEMMAS

In this section, we list more algebraic results about σ_k which will be used in section 6. For a m dimensional vector $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m)$, in this section, we always denote $\kappa^2 = (\kappa_1^2, \dots, \kappa_m^2)$ which is also a m dimensional vector.

Lemma 22. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. For any $0 < k \leq m$, we have*

$$(5.1) \quad \sigma_k^2(\kappa) = \sigma_k(\kappa^2) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa),$$

$$\text{where by (1.2), we have } \sigma_k(\kappa^2) = \sum_{1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_k \leq m} \kappa_{j_1}^2 \cdots \kappa_{j_k}^2.$$

Proof. We will prove (5.1) by induction of the dimension m . At first, for $m = 1$, it is obvious. Now we assume that our Lemma is true for $m - 1$, namely that for any $0 < k \leq m - 1$, (5.1) holds. Let's prove our Lemma for m . If $k = m$, (5.1) is clear. Thus, we only need to consider the proof for $k < m$ in the following.

For a m dimensional vector κ , we clearly have

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_k^2(\kappa) &= [\kappa_1 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) + \sigma_k(\kappa|1)]^2 \\ &= \kappa_1^2 \sigma_{k-1}^2(\kappa|1) + 2\kappa_1 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) \sigma_k(\kappa|1) + \sigma_k^2(\kappa|1) \\ &= \kappa_1^2 \left[\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa^2|1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k-1+i}(\kappa|1) \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1) \right] \\ &\quad + 2\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) [\sigma_{k+1}(\kappa) - \sigma_{k+1}(\kappa|1)] + \sigma_k^2(\kappa|1) \\ &= \kappa_1^2 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa^2|1) + 2\kappa_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} [\sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) - \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa|1)] \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1) \\ &\quad + 2\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) \sigma_{k+1}(\kappa) - 2\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) \sigma_{k+1}(\kappa|1) + \sigma_k^2(\kappa|1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the inductive assumption that (5.1) holds for $k - 1$ and the $m - 1$ dimensional vector $(\kappa|1)$ in the third equality. A straightforward calculation

shows

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad & \kappa_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} [\sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) - \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa|1)] \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) [\sigma_{k-i}(\kappa) - \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa|1)] \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} [\sigma_{k+1+i}(\kappa) - \sigma_{k+1+i}(\kappa|1)] \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa|1) \\
&\quad - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+1+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+1+i}(\kappa|1) \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1).
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.4) \quad & - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa|1) - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+1+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1) \\
&= - \sigma_{k+1}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) - (-1)^k \sigma_{2k}(\kappa).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (5.3), (5.4) into (5.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.5) \quad & \sigma_k^2(\kappa) = \kappa_1^2 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa^2|1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa) - 2(-1)^k \sigma_{2k}(\kappa) \\
&\quad + \sigma_k^2(\kappa|1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+1+i}(\kappa|1) \sigma_{k-1-i}(\kappa|1) - 2 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa|1) \sigma_{k+1}(\kappa|1) \\
&= \kappa_1^2 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa^2|1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa) \\
&\quad + \sigma_k^2(\kappa|1) - 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa|1) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa|1) \\
&= \kappa_1^2 \sigma_{k-1}(\kappa^2|1) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa) + \sigma_k(\kappa^2|1) \\
&= \sigma_k(\kappa^2) + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} \sigma_{k+i}(\kappa) \sigma_{k-i}(\kappa),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used inductive assumption (5.1) for k and the $m-1$ dimensional vector $(\kappa|1)$ in the third equality. \square

Lemma 23. Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \bar{\Gamma}_m$. For any vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and any $0 \leq s \leq m$, the quadratic form

$$\sum_j \sigma_s(\kappa|j) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_s(\kappa|pq) \xi_p \xi_q$$

is nonnegative.

Proof. Using (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j \sigma_s(\kappa|j) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_s(\kappa|pq) \xi_p \xi_q \\ &= \sum_j \xi_j^2 \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_s \neq j \\ j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s}} \kappa_{j_1} \kappa_{j_2} \cdots \kappa_{j_s} + \sum_{p \neq q} \xi_p \xi_q \sum_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_s \neq p, q \\ j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s}} \kappa_{j_1} \kappa_{j_2} \cdots \kappa_{j_s} \\ &= \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s} \kappa_{j_1} \kappa_{j_2} \cdots \kappa_{j_s} \left(\sum_{j \neq j_1, \dots, j_s} \xi_j^2 + \sum_{\substack{p \neq q \\ p, q \neq j_1, \dots, j_s}} \xi_p \xi_q \right) \\ &= \sum_{j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_s} \kappa_{j_1} \kappa_{j_2} \cdots \kappa_{j_s} \sigma_1^2(\xi|j_1, j_2, \dots, j_s), \end{aligned}$$

which is clearly nonnegative. \square

Lemma 24. Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \bar{\Gamma}_m$. For any vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the quadratic form

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_j 2\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pq) \xi_p \xi_q$$

is nonnegative.

Proof. It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_j 2\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pq) \xi_p \xi_q \\ &= \sum_j \left(\sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js) \right) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \left(\sum_{s \neq p, q} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pqs) \right) \xi_p \xi_q \\ &= \sum_s \left(\sum_{j \neq s} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq s} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pqs) \xi_p \xi_q \right). \end{aligned}$$

If we have $\kappa \in \bar{\Gamma}_m$, we obviously have $\kappa \in \Gamma_{m-1}$. Thus, using Lemma 10 in [41], the above quadratic form is nonnegative. \square

Lemma 25. Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then for any $1 \leq s \leq m$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|i) \sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|i) = \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa) \sigma_{m-1}(\kappa) - (s+1) \sigma_m(\kappa) \sigma_{m-(s+1)}(\kappa).$$

Proof. By (iv) in section 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.7) \quad s\sigma_{m-s}(\kappa)\sigma_{m-1}(\kappa) &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|i) \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|i)\sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|i) + \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|i)\sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.8) \quad \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|i)\sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j) &= \sum_{i \neq j} [\kappa_j \sigma_{m-(s+1)}(\kappa|ij) + \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|ij)] \sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j) \\
&= \sigma_m(\kappa) \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma_{m-(s+1)}(\kappa|ij) + \sum_{i \neq j} \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|ij)\sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j) \\
&= s(s+1)\sigma_m(\kappa)\sigma_{m-(s+1)}(\kappa) + (s-1) \sum_{i=1}^m \sigma_{m-s}(\kappa|i)\sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|i),
\end{aligned}$$

inserting (5.8) into (5.7), we obtain our lemma. \square

Lemma 26. Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. For any given indices $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|js) \\
&= 3\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|j) - 2\sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|j)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) - 4\sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|j)\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|j) - 6\sigma_{m-7}(\kappa|j)\sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since we have $\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|js) = \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|j) - \kappa_s \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.9) \quad &\sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|js) \\
&= \sum_{s \neq j} [\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|j) - 2\kappa_s \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|j) + \kappa_s^2 \sigma_{m-5}^2(\kappa|js)] \\
&= (m-1)\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|j) - 2\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|j) \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) + \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s^2 \sigma_{m-5}^2(\kappa|js).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (v) and (iv) in section 2, we have the following four identities,

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) = (m-4)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|j),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.11) \quad & \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s^2 \sigma_{m-5}^2(\kappa|js) \\
&= \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) [\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|js)] \\
&= \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|j) \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) - \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|js) \\
&= (m-4) \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|j) - \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) [\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js)] \\
&= (m-4) \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|j) - 4 \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|j) + \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.12) \quad & \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js) \\
&= \sum_{s \neq j} [\sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|j) - \kappa_s \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|js)] \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js) \\
&= \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|j) \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js) - \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|js) \\
&= 2 \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|j) \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) - \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|js) [\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|j) - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|js)] \\
&= 2 \sigma_{m-5}(\kappa|j) \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) - 5 \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|j) \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|j) + \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|js),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.13) \quad & \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|js) \\
&= \sum_{s \neq j} [\sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|j) - \kappa_s \sigma_{m-7}(\kappa|js)] \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|js) \\
&= \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|j) \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|js) - \sum_{s \neq j} \kappa_s \sigma_{m-7}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|js) \\
&= \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|j) \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|j) - \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-7}(\kappa|js) \sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j) \\
&= \sigma_{m-6}(\kappa|j) \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|j) - 6 \sigma_{m-7}(\kappa|j) \sigma_{m-1}(\kappa|j).
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (5.10)-(5.13) into (5.9), we obtain our lemma. \square

Lemma 27. Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \Gamma_s$ with $1 \leq s \leq m$. For any m dimensional vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the following quadratic form

$$\sum_j \sigma_{s-1}^2(\kappa|j) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q} [\sigma_{s-1}^2(\kappa|pq) - \sigma_s(\kappa|pq) \sigma_{s-2}(\kappa|pq)] \xi_p \xi_q$$

is nonnegative.

Proof. Let $k = s, l = 1, \delta = 1$ and $w_{iih} = \xi_i$ in (2.2), then we get

$$(5.14) \quad \sum_{p,q} [\sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|p)\sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|q) - \sigma_s(\kappa)\sigma_s^{pp,qq}(\kappa)]\xi_p\xi_q \geq 0.$$

If $p = q = j$, we have

$$\sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|p)\sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|q) - \sigma_s(\kappa)\sigma_s^{pp,qq}(\kappa) = \sigma_{s-1}^2(\kappa|j).$$

If $p \neq q$, we have

$$\sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|p)\sigma_{s-1}(\kappa|q) - \sigma_s(\kappa)\sigma_s^{pp,qq}(\kappa) = \sigma_{s-1}^2(\kappa|pq) - \sigma_s(\kappa|pq)\sigma_{s-2}(\kappa|pq).$$

Using the above two identities and (5.14), we obtain our lemma. \square

Lemma 28. Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \Gamma_{m-2}$. For any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, the following quadratic form

$$(5.15) \quad \sum_j 2\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j)\xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pq)\xi_p\xi_q$$

is nonnegative.

Proof. Denote the quadratic form (5.15) by L . Assume $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_m$. If $\kappa_m \geq 0$, by Lemma 24, we know $L \geq 0$. Thus, in the following, we will assume $\kappa_m < 0$.

We can rewrite L to be

$$(5.16) \quad L = 2 \sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j)\xi_j^2 + 2\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)\xi_m^2 \\ - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pq)\xi_p\xi_q - 2 \sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm)\xi_m\xi_j.$$

If the indices $j, p, q \neq m$, using the identity $\kappa_m = \frac{\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|j) &= \kappa_m \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|jm) + \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)} \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|jm) + \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pq) &= \kappa_m \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|pqm) + \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pqm) \\ &= \frac{\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)} \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|pqm) + \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pqm). \end{aligned}$$

Inserting the above two identities into (5.16), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.17) \quad & \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m) \cdot L \\
& = \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) \left[\sum_{j \neq m} 2\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|jm)\xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|pqm)\xi_p\xi_q \right] \\
& \quad + 2 \sum_{j \neq m} [-\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|jm) + \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)]\xi_j^2 \\
& \quad - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} [-\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|pqm) + \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pqm)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)]\xi_p\xi_q \\
& \quad + 2\sigma_{m-3}^2(\kappa|m)\xi_m^2 - 2 \sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)\xi_m\xi_j.
\end{aligned}$$

Let's denote

$$L' = \sum_{j \neq m} 2\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|jm)\xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|pqm)\xi_p\xi_q.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$(5.18) \quad 2\sigma_{m-3}^2(\kappa|m)\xi_m^2 - 2 \sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)\xi_m\xi_j \geq -\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm)\xi_j \right)^2.$$

Inserting (5.18) into (5.17), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.19) \quad & \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)L - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa)L' \\
& \geq 2 \sum_{j \neq m} [\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m) - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|jm)]\xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} [\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|pqm) - \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|pqm)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)]\xi_p\xi_q \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|jm)\xi_j \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\kappa \in \Gamma_{m-2}$, by (2.1) we have

$$\kappa_{m-2} + \kappa_{m-1} + \kappa_m > 0.$$

As we have assumed $\kappa_m < 0$, we have $\kappa_{m-2} > 0$. In fact, we further have that the only possible zero entry is κ_{m-1} . If it occurs, namely $\kappa_{m-1} = 0$, it is easy to see that the $m-1$ dimensional vector $\tilde{\kappa} = (\kappa|m-1) \in \Gamma_{m-2}$. Thus, in this case, the

quadratic form L can be rewritten as

(5.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
L &= 2\sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa})\xi_{m-1}^2 - 2 \sum_{j \neq m-1} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|j)\xi_{m-1}\xi_j + \sum_{j \neq m-1} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|j)\xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \neq m-1} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|j)\xi_j^2 - \sum_{p,q \neq m-1, p \neq q} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|pq)\xi_p\xi_q \\
&\geq \sum_{j \neq m-1} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|j)\xi_{m-1}^2 - 2 \sum_{j \neq m-1} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|j)\xi_{m-1}\xi_j + \sum_{j \neq m-1} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|j)\xi_j^2 \\
&= \sum_{j \neq m-1} \sigma_{m-3}(\tilde{\kappa}|j)(\xi_{m-1} - \xi_j)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies our lemma. Here, in the first inequality, we have used Lemma 10 in [41]. Thus, in the following, we always assume $\kappa_{m-1} \neq 0$. On the other hand, $\kappa_{m-2} > 0$ implies $\kappa_i > 0$ for $i \leq m-2$. Therefore, every entry of κ is non zero. Hence, we can let $\mu_i = \frac{1}{\kappa_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. We further let $\tilde{\xi}_i = \mu_i \xi_i$. By (5.19), using the notation $\mu_i, \tilde{\xi}_i$, we have

(5.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)L - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa)L'}{\sigma_{m-1}^2(\kappa|m)} \\
&\geq 2 \sum_{j \neq m} [\mu_j \sigma_1(\mu|jm) \sigma_2(\mu|m) - \sigma_1(\mu|m) \mu_j \sigma_2(\mu|jm)] \xi_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} [\sigma_1(\mu|m) \mu_p \mu_q \sigma_1(\mu|pqm) - \sigma_2(\mu|m) \mu_p \mu_q] \xi_p \xi_q - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j \neq m} \mu_j \sigma_1(\mu|jm) \xi_j \right)^2 \\
&= 2 \sum_{j \neq m} [\sigma_1^2(\mu|jm) - \sigma_2(\mu|jm)] (\mu_j \xi_j)^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_1(\mu|jm) \mu_j \xi_j \right)^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} [\sigma_1(\mu|m) \sigma_1(\mu|pqm) - \sigma_2(\mu|m)] \mu_p \xi_p \mu_q \xi_q \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_1^2(\mu|jm) \tilde{\xi}_j^2 + \sum_{j \neq m} [\sigma_1^2(\mu|jm) - 2\sigma_2(\mu|jm)] \tilde{\xi}_j^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sigma_1^2(\mu|pqm) - \sigma_2(\mu|pqm) - \frac{3}{2} \mu_p \mu_q - \frac{1}{2} (\mu_p + \mu_q) \sigma_1(\mu|pqm) \right) \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_1^2(\mu|jm) \tilde{\xi}_j^2 + 2 \sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, j} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_j^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \left(\sum_{s \neq m, p, q} \mu_s^2 - \mu_p \mu_q - \mu_p \sigma_1(\mu|pm) - \mu_q \sigma_1(\mu|qm) \right) \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[\sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_1^2(\mu|jm) \tilde{\xi}_j^2 + \left(\sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, j} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \mu_p \mu_q \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, j} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, p, q} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \right) - 2 \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \mu_p \sigma_1(\mu|pm) \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \right].
\end{aligned}$$

A straightforward calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.22) \quad & \sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, j} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \mu_p \mu_q \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \mu_p^2 \tilde{\xi}_q^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \mu_q^2 \tilde{\xi}_p^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} (\mu_p \tilde{\xi}_q)(\mu_q \tilde{\xi}_p) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} (\mu_p \tilde{\xi}_q - \mu_q \tilde{\xi}_p)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.23) \quad & \sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, j} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, p, q} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \\
&= \sum_{j, s \neq m; j \neq s} \mu_s^2 \tilde{\xi}_j^2 + \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \sum_{j \neq m, p, q} \mu_j^2 \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q \\
&= \sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{s \neq m, j} \mu_j^2 \tilde{\xi}_s^2 + \sum_{j \neq m} \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m, j} \mu_j^2 \tilde{\xi}_p \tilde{\xi}_q = \sum_{j \neq m} \mu_j^2 \left(\sum_{s \neq m, j} \tilde{\xi}_s \right)^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.24) \quad & \sum_{j \neq m} \sigma_1^2(\mu|jm) \tilde{\xi}_j^2 + \sum_{j \neq m} \mu_j^2 \left(\sum_{s \neq m, j} \tilde{\xi}_s \right)^2 - 2 \sum_{j \neq m} \mu_j \sigma_1(\mu|jm) \tilde{\xi}_j \sum_{s \neq m, j} \tilde{\xi}_s \\
&= \sum_{j \neq m} \left(\sigma_1(\mu|jm) \tilde{\xi}_j - \mu_j \sum_{s \neq m, j} \tilde{\xi}_s \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting (5.22), (5.23), (5.24) into (5.21), we obtain

$$\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m)L - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa)L' \geq 0.$$

Since κ_m is the minimum entry, we have the $m-1$ dimensional vector $\bar{\kappa} = (\kappa|m) \in \Gamma_{m-2}$. On the other hand, we can rewrite L' to be

$$(5.25) \quad L' = \sum_{j \neq m} 2\sigma_{(m-1)-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m} \sigma_{(m-1)-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \xi_p \xi_q.$$

Comparing L' with L , we observe that L and L' possess the same type. Thus, we can use the induction argument to handle L' . We repeat the above whole argument of handling L to deal with L' , then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{m-4}(\bar{\kappa}|m-1)L' \\
& \geq \sigma_{m-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \left[\sum_{j \neq m, m-1} 2\sigma_{m-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j, m-1) \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p \neq q; p, q \neq m, m-1} \sigma_{m-5}(\bar{\kappa}|p, q, m-1) \xi_p \xi_q \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\kappa_{m-2} > 0$, the $m - 2$ dimensional vector $(\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_{m-2}) \in \Gamma_{m-2}$. Therefore, the above quadratic form is nonnegative by Lemma 24. \square

Lemma 29. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \Gamma_{m-2}$. For any given index $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have*

$$4\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa) \geq \sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|js).$$

Proof. We may assume $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_m$. Thus, for any index s satisfying $1 \leq s \leq m$ and $s \neq j, m$, we have

$$0 < \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|js) \leq \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|ms),$$

which implies

$$\sum_{s \neq j} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|js) \leq \sum_{s \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|ms).$$

Therefore, we only need to consider the case $j = m$.

For simplification purpose, in our proof, we will always denote $\tilde{\sigma}_l = \sigma_l(\kappa|m)$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Using the identity $\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) = \kappa_m \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|m) + \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|m) > 0$, we get

$$(5.26) \quad \kappa_m > -\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}}.$$

Then by (x) in section 2, we have

$$\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa) = \kappa_m \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} + \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} > \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}} [\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} - \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}] > 0.$$

Thus, squaring the both sides of the above inequality, we get

$$(5.27) \quad 4\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa) > \frac{4}{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2} [\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} + \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2].$$

Using Lemma 26 and (5.27), we have

$$(5.28) \quad \left[4\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa) - \sum_{s \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|ms) \right] \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \\ > \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2 + 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^3 + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \\ + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 - 8\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}.$$

By Lemma 22, we have

$$(5.29) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2 > 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} + 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-7},$$

$$(5.30) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 > 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}.$$

We divide into two cases to deal with (5.28).

Case (a) Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1} \geq 0$. Since $\kappa \in \Gamma_{m-2}$, the only possible negative entries of κ are κ_m, κ_{m-1} . Using our assumption, we know $\kappa_{m-1} \geq 0$, which implies $(\kappa|m) \in \Gamma_{m-1}$.

In this case, inserting (5.29) and (5.30) into (5.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.31) \quad & \left[4\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa) - \sum_{s \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|ms) \right] \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \\
& > [2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} + 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}] [2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}] \\
& \quad + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2 + 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} [2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}] \\
& \quad + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 - 8\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} \\
& = 4(\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} - \tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}) \\
& \quad + 4(\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6}) \\
& \quad + 4(\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2 - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2) \\
& \quad + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (x) in section 2, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} - \tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7} \geq 0, \\
& \tilde{\sigma}_{m-6}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} \geq 0, \\
& \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2 - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, combing the above three formulae with (5.31), we obtain

$$\left[4\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa) - \sum_{s \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|ms) \right] \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \geq 0.$$

Case (b) Suppose $\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1} < 0$. Same as the argument of Case (a), we have $\kappa_{m-1} < 0$, which implies $\kappa_m < 0$. Thus, using (5.26), we know $\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} > 0$. Thus, we get $(\kappa|m) \in \Gamma_{m-2}$.

In this case, inserting (5.30) and (5.29) into (5.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.32) \quad & \left[4\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa) - \sum_{s \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|ms) \right] \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \\
& > \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2 [2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}] \\
& \quad + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2 + 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} [2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}] \\
& \quad + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 - 8\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} \\
& = 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^3 - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2 - 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} \\
& \quad - 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \\
& \geq 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} [2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} + 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}] - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} \\
& \quad + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2 - 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} - 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} \\
& \quad + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2} [2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} - 2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}] + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7} - 8\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} \right) \\
&\quad + \left(-2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} - 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \right) \\
&\quad + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, we also use (5.30) and (5.29) in the third inequality. Using (x) in section 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-8\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-6} + 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7} \geq 0, \\
&-2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} - 4\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} + 6\tilde{\sigma}_{m-7}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In the above second inequality, we have used $\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} \geq \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}^2\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}$. Thus, combining the above two inequalities with (5.32), we obtain

$$\left[4\sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa) - \sum_{s \neq m} \sigma_{m-4}^2(\kappa|ms) \right] \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}^2 \geq 0.$$

□

Lemma 30. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_m) \in \Gamma_{m-2}$, $m \geq 5$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_m$. For any given small constant $0 < \delta < 1$, if $-\kappa_m \geq \delta\kappa_1$, or $\kappa_{m-1} \geq \delta\kappa_1$, then there exists a constant $\delta' > 0$ only depending on δ , such that $\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) \geq \delta'\kappa_1^{m-3}$.*

Proof. For the sake of simplification, we denote $\tilde{\sigma}_s = \sigma_s(\kappa|1, m-1, m)$ in our proof. Since $\kappa \in \Gamma_{m-2}$, by (2.1) we have

$$\kappa_{m-2} + \kappa_{m-1} + \kappa_m > 0.$$

Thus, our assumption $-\kappa_m \geq \delta\kappa_1$ or $\kappa_{m-1} \geq \delta\kappa_1$ implies $\kappa_{m-2} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\kappa_1$. We select

$$(5.33) \quad \delta' = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{m-1}}\delta^{m-2}, \delta^{m-1} \right\}.$$

It is obvious

$$(5.34) \quad \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) - \kappa_m\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m) = \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m),$$

and

$$(5.35) \quad \kappa_1\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) + \kappa_m\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m) + \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|1m) = \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) > 0.$$

Now, we divide into three cases to prove our lemma.

Case (a): Suppose $-\kappa_m \geq \delta\kappa_1$ and $\kappa_{m-1} \leq 0$. Using (5.34) and our assumption, we have $\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m) > 0$.

Multiple κ_m in both side of (5.34) and insert it to (5.35), then we get

$$(5.36) \quad (\kappa_1 + \kappa_m)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) - \kappa_m^2\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m) + \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|1m) = \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) > 0.$$

Since we have

$$\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m) = \kappa_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} > 0,$$

we get $\kappa_{m-1} > -\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}}$. Thus, we have

$$(5.37) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m) &= \kappa_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-5} + \tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} > \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{m-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}} \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{C_{m-3}^{m-5}}{C_{m-3}^{m-4}C_{m-3}^{m-4}}\right)\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} \geq \frac{m-2}{2^{m-3}}\delta^{m-4}\kappa_1^{m-4}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, we have used Newton's inequality in the third inequality and used $\kappa_{m-2} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\kappa_1$ in the last inequality.

Note that, in this case, $\kappa_m \leq \kappa_{m-1} \leq 0$, which implies $\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|1m) = \kappa_2 \cdots \kappa_{m-1} \leq 0$. On the other hand, by Lemma 10, we have

$$\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_1 + \kappa_m > \left(1 - \frac{2}{m-2}\right)\kappa_1 > 0.$$

Thus, combining (5.36), (5.37) with $\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|1m) \leq 0$, we obtain

$$\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) \geq \frac{m-2}{2^{m-3}}\delta^{m-2}\kappa_1^{m-2}/(\kappa_1 + \kappa_m) \geq \delta'\kappa_1^{m-3}.$$

Here in the last inequality, we have used (5.33).

Case (b): Suppose $-\kappa_m \geq \delta\kappa_1, 0 < \kappa_{m-1} \leq \delta\kappa_1$. Therefore, our assumption implies $\kappa_m + \kappa_{m-1} \leq 0$. It is obvious that

$$(5.38) \quad \kappa_1\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) + \kappa_m\kappa_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4} + (\kappa_m + \kappa_{m-1})\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3} = \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa) > 0.$$

If $\kappa_{m-1} \geq -\frac{\kappa_m}{2}$, by (5.38), we have

$$\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) > -\kappa_m\kappa_{m-1}\tilde{\sigma}_{m-4}/\kappa_1 > \delta'\kappa_1^{m-3}.$$

If $\kappa_{m-1} < -\frac{\kappa_m}{2}$, by (5.38), we have

$$\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) > -(\kappa_m + \kappa_{m-1})\tilde{\sigma}_{m-3}/\kappa_1 > \delta'\kappa_1^{m-3}.$$

Here, in the above two inequalities, we both have used $\kappa_{m-2} \geq \frac{\delta}{2}\kappa_1$ and (5.33).

Case (c): Suppose $\kappa_{m-1} \geq \delta\kappa_1$. Using (5.35), we have

$$\kappa_m > \frac{-\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|1m) - \kappa_1\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m)},$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) &= \kappa_m\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m) + \sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m) \\ &> \frac{-\sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|1m)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m) - \kappa_1\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m) + \sigma_{m-3}^2(\kappa|1m)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving the above inequality with respect to $\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1)$ and using Newton's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) &> \frac{\sigma_{m-3}^2(\kappa|1m) - \sigma_{m-2}(\kappa|1m)\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m)} \bigg/ \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_1\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m)}\right) \\ &> \left(1 - \frac{C_{m-2}^{m-4}}{C_{m-2}^{m-3}C_{m-2}^{m-3}}\right)\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m) \bigg/ \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_1\sigma_{m-4}(\kappa|1m)}{\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1m)}\right) \\ &> \frac{m-1}{2}\kappa_3 \cdots \kappa_{m-1} \bigg/ \left(1 + \frac{\kappa_1 C_{m-2}^{m-4} \kappa_2 \cdots \kappa_{m-3}}{C_{m-2}^{m-3} \kappa_3 \cdots \kappa_{m-1}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\kappa_1 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_{m-1} \geq \delta\kappa_1$, we get

$$\sigma_{m-3}(\kappa|1) > \frac{m-1}{2}\delta^{m-3}\kappa_1^{m-3} \bigg/ \left(1 + \frac{m-3}{2\delta^2}\right) \geq \delta'\kappa_1^{m-3}.$$

□

6. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 21 FOR CASES A, B1 AND B2

In this section, we will divided into two steps to prove that (4.6) is a non negative form for cases A, B1 and B2. The main result of this section is the following theorem:

Theorem 31. *Suppose $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_{n-2}$, $n \geq 5$ and $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$ has positive upper and lower bounds $N_0 \leq \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \leq N$. Further suppose κ satisfies the condition of Case A, Case B1, or Case B2 defined in section 4. For any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have, for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,*

$$(6.1) \quad \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9}\mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \mathbf{C}_{n-2;i} \geq \frac{1}{20}\sigma_{n-3}^2(\kappa|i) \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j^2 \geq 0,$$

and

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{\kappa_i^2}{9}\mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)\mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} - c_{n-2,K}\mathbf{D}_{n-2;i} + \frac{1}{20}\sigma_{n-3}^2(\kappa|i) \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_j^2 \geq 0,$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here the definitions of $\mathbf{A}_{n-2;i}$, $\mathbf{B}_{n-2;i}$, $\mathbf{C}_{n-2;i}$, $\mathbf{D}_{n-2;i}$ are presented in section 4 and $c_{n-2,K}$ is defined by (4.1). It is clear that (6.1) and (6.2) give the non negativity of (4.6).

Throughout this section, we always use $\bar{\kappa}$ to denote $(\kappa|i)$. Thus, we have $(\bar{\kappa}|j) = (\kappa|ij)$ and $(\bar{\kappa}|pq) = (\kappa|ipq)$.

Lemma 32. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_{n-2}$, $n \geq 5$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_n$. We let $\delta = 1/10$. Then, for any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have*

$$(6.3) \quad 0 < \frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \leq (1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2 + \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)}{\kappa_i},$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Proof. In the cone Γ_{n-2} , the only possible non positive entries are κ_{n-1}, κ_n . We divide into three cases to prove our lemma.

Case (a): Suppose $\kappa_n \geq 0$. If $\kappa_{n-3}\kappa_{n-4}C_{n-1}^{n-3} \leq \kappa_1^2$, by Lemma 11, we get

$$\frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \leq \frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-3} C_{n-1}^{n-3}}{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-5}} = \kappa_{n-3}\kappa_{n-4}C_{n-1}^{n-3} \leq \kappa_1^2,$$

which implies (6.3). If $\kappa_{n-3}\kappa_{n-4}C_{n-1}^{n-3} > \kappa_1^2$, namely $\kappa_{n-3}\kappa_{n-4} > \kappa_1^2/C_{n-1}^{n-3}$, by Lemma 11, we get

$$\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq \kappa_2 \cdots \kappa_{n-4} > \frac{\kappa_1^{n-5}}{(C_{n-1}^{n-3})^{\frac{n-5}{2}}} > 1,$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large. Thus, we obtain

$$\frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} = \frac{\kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{\kappa_i \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} < \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)}{\kappa_i},$$

which implies (6.3).

Case (b): Suppose $\kappa_n < 0, \kappa_{n-1} \geq 0$. We need to prove

$$\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - \left((1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2 + \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)}{\kappa_i} \right) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \leq 0.$$

Using the identity,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) &= \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) + \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \\ &= \kappa_i [\kappa_n \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n) + \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n)] + \kappa_n \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n) + \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|n), \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\kappa_n = \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) - \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n) - \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|n)}{\kappa_i \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n) + \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n)}.$$

In this case, for the sake of simplification, we always denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\bar{\kappa}|n)$. Inserting the above identity into the following first equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - \left((1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2 + \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)}{\kappa_i} \right) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \\ &= \kappa_n \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - (1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2 (\kappa_n \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}) - \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})}{\kappa_i} \\ &= \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) G1 + \frac{G2}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $G1, G2$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G1 &= \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} - (1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} - \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}} - \frac{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})}{\kappa_i}, \\ G2 &= \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} - (1 + \delta)\kappa_i \kappa_1^2 (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}) \\ & \quad - (1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2 (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we only need to show $G1 \leq 0, G2 \leq 0$.

Firstly, let's prove $G1 \leq 0$. We divide into the cases of $n = 5$ and $n > 5$ to prove it respectively. Suppose $n = 5$, then $\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) = 1$. By $\kappa_1 > 0$, we obviously have

$$G1 \leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}} - \frac{1}{\kappa_i} = 0.$$

Suppose $n > 5$. If we further assume $\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \leq \kappa_1^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}$, we clearly have $G1 \leq 0$. Therefore, we assume $\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \geq \kappa_1^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}$. With this assumption, we have

$$(6.4) \quad \frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-3}}{\kappa_i} \geq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}}{C_{n-2}^{n-4}} \geq \frac{\kappa_1^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}}{C_{n-2}^{n-4}} \geq \frac{1}{C_{n-2}^{n-4}} \kappa_1^2 \kappa_2 \cdots \kappa_{n-5}.$$

By the condition $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we know $(1 + \delta)\kappa_i > \kappa_1$ if $\kappa_1 > \frac{1}{\delta^2}$. Thus, (6.4) gives $\kappa_{n-3}\kappa_{n-4} \geq \frac{\kappa_1 \kappa_i}{C_{n-2}^{n-4}} \geq \frac{\kappa_1^2}{2C_{n-2}^{n-4}}$. Note that $\kappa_1 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_n$, then, by Lemma 11 and $\bar{\kappa} \in \Gamma_{n-3}$, we have

$$(6.5) \quad \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq \kappa_2 \cdots \kappa_{n-4} \geq \frac{\kappa_1^{n-5}}{(2C_{n-2}^{n-4})^{(n-5)/2}}.$$

Thus we get

$$G1 \leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}} - \frac{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})}{\kappa_i} < \frac{1}{\kappa_i} - \frac{\kappa_1^{n-5}}{\kappa_i (2C_{n-2}^{n-4})^{(n-5)/2}} < 0,$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Secondly, let's prove $G2 \leq 0$. Using $(1 + \delta)\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1$ and (x) in section 2, we have

(6.6)

$$\begin{aligned} G2 &\leq \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} - (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6})\kappa_1^3 - (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6})\kappa_1^2 \\ &\leq \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} - \left(1 - \frac{C_{n-2}^{n-3}C_{n-2}^{n-6}}{C_{n-2}^{n-4}C_{n-2}^{n-5}}\right)\kappa_1^3\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \left(1 - \frac{C_{n-2}^{n-2}C_{n-2}^{n-6}}{C_{n-2}^{n-3}C_{n-2}^{n-5}}\right)\kappa_1^2\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \\ &= \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} - \frac{n-1}{2(n-3)}\kappa_1^3\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \frac{3n-3}{4n-8}\kappa_1^2\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}, \end{aligned}$$

We also need to divide into two cases of $n = 5$ and $n > 5$ to prove it respectively. For $n = 5$, we may assume $(\bar{\kappa}|5) = (\kappa_a, \kappa_b, \kappa_c)$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_a \geq \kappa_b \geq \kappa_c \geq 0$, then we have $\tilde{\sigma}_1 = \kappa_a + \kappa_b + \kappa_c$, $\tilde{\sigma}_2 = \kappa_a\kappa_b + \kappa_a\kappa_c + \kappa_b\kappa_c$, $\tilde{\sigma}_3 = \kappa_a\kappa_b\kappa_c$. Thus, (6.6) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} G2 &\leq \tilde{\sigma}_2^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_3\tilde{\sigma}_1 - \kappa_1^3\tilde{\sigma}_1 - \kappa_1^2\tilde{\sigma}_2 \\ &= \kappa_a^2\kappa_b^2 + \kappa_a^2\kappa_c^2 + \kappa_b^2\kappa_c^2 + \kappa_a\kappa_b\kappa_c(\kappa_a + \kappa_b + \kappa_c) \\ &\quad - \kappa_1^3(\kappa_a + \kappa_b + \kappa_c) - \kappa_1^2(\kappa_a\kappa_b + \kappa_a\kappa_c + \kappa_b\kappa_c) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \geq 6$, by Lemma 9, we have

$$\kappa_1^3\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \geq \frac{(n-3)^2(n-4)}{12}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} G2 &\leq \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 - \frac{n-1}{2(n-3)} \kappa_1^3 \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \\ &\leq \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 - \frac{(n-1)(n-3)(n-4)}{24} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case (c): Suppose $\kappa_n \leq \kappa_{n-1} < 0, \kappa_{n-2} > 0$. For simplification purpose, in the proof of this case, we denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1)$. Using the identity

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) &= \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) + \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \\ &= \kappa_i [\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} + (\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}] \\ &\quad + (\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} + \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}, \end{aligned}$$

we get

$$(6.7) \quad \kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1} = \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) - \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - \kappa_i \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}}.$$

Then inserting (6.7) into the following first equality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - \left((1+\delta) \kappa_1^2 + \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)}{\kappa_i} \right) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \\ &= \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} + (\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})}{\kappa_i} \\ &\quad - (1+\delta) \kappa_1^2 [\kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-7} + (\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}] \\ &= \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) G3 + \frac{G4}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $G3, G4$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} G3 &= \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} - (1+\delta) \kappa_1^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}} - \frac{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})}{\kappa_i}, \\ G4 &= - (1+\delta) \kappa_i \kappa_1^2 (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}) - (1+\delta) \kappa_1^2 \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 \\ &\quad + \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} [(1+\delta) \kappa_i \kappa_1^2 (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-7}) + (1+\delta) \kappa_1^2 (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-7})] \\ &\quad - \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}). \end{aligned}$$

Obviously, same as the argument dealing with $G1$, we have $G3 \leq 0$. Now we consider the term $G4$. By Newton's inequality, we have $\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \geq 0$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6.8) \quad \frac{G4}{(1+\delta) \kappa_1^2} &\leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1+\delta) \kappa_1^2} - \kappa_i (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \\ &\quad + \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \kappa_i (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-7}) \\ &\quad + \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-7}) \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1+\delta) \kappa_1^2} - \kappa_i (\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} - \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}) \\ &\quad - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} + \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\kappa_n \leq \kappa_{n-1} < 0$, by Lemma 10 and $\bar{\kappa} \in \Gamma_{n-3}$, we get

$$(6.9) \quad 0 < \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \leq \frac{(\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1})^2}{4} \leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}^2}.$$

Using (6.9) and (x) in section 2, we get

$$(6.10) \quad -\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} + \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} \leq -\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} + \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}^2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} \leq 0.$$

Using (x) in section 2 again, $(1 + \delta)\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1$ and (6.9), we get

$$(6.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2} - \kappa_i(\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} - \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6}) \\ & \leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2} - \kappa_i \left(\frac{2n-4}{3(n-3)} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} - \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}^2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} \right) \\ & \leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2} - \kappa_i \left(\frac{2n-4}{3(n-3)} - \frac{(n-4)(n-5)}{6(n-3)^2} \right) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \\ & \leq \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2} - \kappa_i \left(\frac{2n-4}{3(n-3)} - \frac{(n-4)(n-5)}{6(n-3)^2} \right) \frac{(n-3)^2(n-4)}{2\kappa_1^3} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 \\ & \leq \left(1 - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{3} + \frac{1}{12}(n-4)^2(n-5) \right) \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2} \\ & \leq \left(1 - \frac{(n-2)(n-3)(n-4)}{4} \right) \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2}{(1 + \delta)\kappa_1^2} \\ & \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 5$. In the above second inequality, we have used

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}^2 \geq \frac{C_{n-3}^{n-4} C_{n-3}^{n-3}}{C_{n-3}^{n-3} C_{n-3}^{n-3}} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5}; \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-5} \geq \frac{C_{n-3}^{n-4} C_{n-3}^{n-5}}{C_{n-3}^{n-3} C_{n-3}^{n-6}} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6},$$

and in the third inequality of (6.11), we have used Lemma 9. Combing (6.10), (6.11) with (6.8), we obtain $G4 \leq 0$. □

Using Lemma 27, Lemma 23 and Lemma 32, we have:

Lemma 33. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_{n-2}$, $n \geq 5$ and κ_1 is the maximum entry of κ . Suppose $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$ has a positive upper bound N . Then for any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{8}{9}\kappa_i^2 \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} & \geq \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} [2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{p,q \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq)\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \xi_p \xi_q, \end{aligned}$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Proof. If κ_1 is sufficiently large, we clearly have

$$\frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)}{\kappa_i} \leq \frac{N}{\kappa_i} \leq \frac{1}{10} \kappa_1^2.$$

Thus, by Lemma 32, if κ_1 is sufficiently large, we have

$$\frac{8}{9} \kappa_i^2 > \frac{8}{9} (\kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n)^2 > \frac{8}{9} \frac{9}{10} \kappa_1^2 = \frac{2}{3} \left[\frac{1}{10} \kappa_1^2 + \left(1 + \frac{1}{10}\right) \kappa_1^2 \right] \geq \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})}.$$

Using Lemma 22 and Lemma 23, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} &= \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}^2|j) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p,q \neq i} \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}^2|pq) \xi_p \xi_q \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} [2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \xi_p \xi_q \\ &\geq \sum_{j \neq i} [2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{p,q \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \xi_p \xi_q. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above two inequalities, we obtain our lemma. \square

Lemma 34. *Assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_{n-2}$, $n \geq 5$, $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$ and $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$ has a upper bound N . Further suppose κ satisfies the condition of Case A, Case B1, or Case B2 defined in section 4. Then for any given indices i, j satisfying $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and $j \neq i$, if $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have*

$$-\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \frac{1}{40} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq 0,$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Proof. We use the notation L to denote the expression of the left hand side of the above inequality. Let's prove the non negativity of L case by case.

Case A: If the index $j = n$ or $n - 1$, using $\kappa_n \leq \kappa_{n-1} \leq 0$, we have

$$\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) = \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|ij) \leq 0.$$

Note that $\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \leq 0$, we obtain $L \geq 0$ immediately.

If the index $j \leq n - 2$, by Lemma 10 and $\bar{\kappa} \in \Gamma_{n-3}$, we have

$$(6.12) \quad -(\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) < \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1)}{\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1)}.$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) - \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\
&= -\kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) - (\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) \\
&\quad - \kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j) \\
&= -\kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} [\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) + \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j)] - (\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) \\
&\geq -(\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1}) \left[\frac{(\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1})}{4} [\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) + \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) \right] \\
&\geq \frac{-(\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1})}{4} \left[-\frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1)}{\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1)} [\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) + \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j)] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 4\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) \right] \\
&= \frac{-(\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1})}{2} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) \left[2 - \frac{\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) + \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j)}{\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1)} \right] > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality, we have used $\kappa_n \kappa_{n-1} \leq \frac{(\kappa_n + \kappa_{n-1})^2}{4}$, in the second inequality, we have used (6.12), and in the last inequality, we have used

$$\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1) = \kappa_j \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j) + \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j) > \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|n, n-1, j).$$

Thus $L \geq 0$.

Case B1: If the index $j < n$, we have $\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \leq 0$. Note that our assumption $\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \leq 0$, then we obtain $L \geq 0$ immediately. Thus, in the following, we only need to consider the non negativity of L for $j = n$.

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.13) \quad L &= [-\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) - \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|n)] \sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|n) + \frac{1}{40} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \\
&= [-\kappa_n \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|n) - 2\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|n)] \sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|n) + \frac{1}{40} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}).
\end{aligned}$$

For simplification purpose, we denote $\tilde{\sigma}_m = \sigma_m(\bar{\kappa}|n)$ in the proof of this lemma. Using the formula

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) &= \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) + \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \\
&= \kappa_i (\kappa_n \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}) + \kappa_n \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2},
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\kappa_n = \frac{\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} - \kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}}{\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}}.$$

Inserting the above formula into (6.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.14) \quad & (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3})L \\
& = [\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}^2 - 2\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}] \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{40} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}) \\
& = [\kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2 | n) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}] \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{40} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) (\kappa_i \tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} + \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the second equality, we have used Lemma 22.

Let $\delta'_0 = \frac{\delta_0}{2(n-2)^2}$. Firstly, we further suppose that $-\kappa_n \geq \delta'_0 \kappa_i$ or $\kappa_{n-1} \geq \delta'_0 \kappa_i$ holds, which implies $-\kappa_n \geq \delta'_0 \kappa_1/2$ or $\kappa_{n-1} \geq \delta'_0 \kappa_1/2$ holds, if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Using $\kappa_{n-2} + \kappa_{n-1} + \kappa_n > 0$ and $\kappa_{n-2} \geq \kappa_{n-1}$, we have

$$(6.15) \quad \kappa_{n-2} \geq \frac{\delta'_0}{4} \kappa_1,$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.16) \quad & \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2 | n) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \\
& = \kappa_i \sum_{j \neq i, n} \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa^2 | i j n) - \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa | i n) \sum_{j \neq i, n} \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa | i j n) \\
& = \sum_{j \neq i, n} (\kappa_i - \kappa_j) \frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-1}^2}{\kappa_i^2 \kappa_j^2} \\
& \geq - \frac{(n-2) \sqrt{\kappa_1} \kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-1}^2}{n \kappa_i^2 \kappa_j^2} \geq -\kappa_1^{2n-5-1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, in the first inequality, we have used $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$ and $\kappa_j \leq \kappa_1$ and in the last inequality, we have used $\kappa_{n-1} \leq \cdots \leq \kappa_2 \leq \kappa_1$. It is easy to see $\tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} \leq C_{n-2}^{n-6} \kappa_1^{n-6}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \leq (n-2) \kappa_1^{n-3}$, then combining with (6.16), we get

$$(6.17) \quad [\kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2 | n) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3}] \tilde{\sigma}_{n-6} \geq -2C_{n-2}^{n-6} \kappa_1^{3n-11-1/2},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. By Lemma 30 and the assumption that $-\kappa_n \geq \delta'_0 \kappa_1/2$ or $\kappa_{n-1} \geq \delta'_0 \kappa_1/2$ holds, we have

$$\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq (\delta'_1)^{n-3} \kappa_1^{n-3},$$

where δ'_1 is some small positive constant only depending on δ'_0 . Using Lemma 11, $\bar{\kappa} \in \Gamma_{n-3}$ and (6.15), we have

$$\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq \kappa_2 \cdots \kappa_{n-4} \geq (\delta'_2)^{n-5} \kappa_1^{n-5}, \text{ and } \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq (\delta'_2)^{n-4} \kappa_1^{n-4},$$

where $\delta'_2 = \delta'_0/4$. We select

$$\delta'_3 = \min\{\delta'_1, \delta'_2\}.$$

Thus, we get

$$(6.18) \quad \frac{1}{40}\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})\kappa_i\tilde{\sigma}_{n-4} \geq \frac{(\delta'_3)^{3n-11}}{40}\kappa_1^{3n-11},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Inserting (6.17) and (6.18) into (6.14), we obtain $L \geq 0$ if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Secondly, we consider the rest case, in which we suppose $-\kappa_n < \delta'_0\kappa_i$ and $\kappa_{n-1} < \delta'_0\kappa_i$ both hold, which implies $i \leq n-2$. Then using (6.16), we have

$$(6.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \kappa_i\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|n) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \\ &= (\kappa_i - \kappa_{n-1})\frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}^2}{\kappa_i^2} + \sum_{j < n-1; j \neq i} (\kappa_i - \kappa_j)\frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-1}^2}{\kappa_i^2 \kappa_j^2} \\ &\geq (1 - \delta'_0)\kappa_i\frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}^2}{\kappa_i^2} - \frac{(n-3)\sqrt{\kappa_1}}{n}\frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}^2}{\kappa_i^2} \\ &\geq (1 - 2\delta'_0)\kappa_i\frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}^2}{\kappa_i^2} \end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large, where in the first inequality, we have used $\kappa_{n-1} < \delta'_0\kappa_i$, $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$ and $\kappa_j \leq \kappa_1$, $\kappa_{n-1} \leq \kappa_j$. Using the assumption of Case B1, we have

$$\kappa_i\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq (1 + \delta_0)\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) = (1 + \delta_0)[\kappa_i\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) + \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa})],$$

which implies

$$-\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq \frac{\delta_0\kappa_i\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{1 + \delta_0} \geq \delta_0\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa).$$

Then we get

$$\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \leq \frac{-\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa})}{\delta_0} \leq -\frac{n-2}{\delta_0}\frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}\kappa_n}{\kappa_i}.$$

Note that

$$(6.20) \quad \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \leq (n-2)\frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}}{\kappa_i}.$$

Using (6.19) and the above two inequalities, we get

$$(6.21) \quad \kappa_i\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|n) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2}\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)\tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \geq \left[(1 - 2\delta'_0)\kappa_i + \frac{(n-2)^2}{\delta_0}\kappa_n \right] \frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}^2}{\kappa_i^2},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Since $-\kappa_n < \delta'_0\kappa_i = \frac{\delta_0}{2(n-2)^2}\kappa_i$, (6.21) is nonnegative.

Combing with (6.14), we obtain $L \geq 0$, if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Case B2: In view of the proof of Case B1, the condition $\kappa_i\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq (1 + \delta_0)\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$ is only used in the argument with the further assumption that $-\kappa_n < \delta'_0\kappa_i$ and $\kappa_{n-1} < \delta'_0\kappa_i$ both hold. Thus, we only need to give a new proof for this case with the new condition

$$\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2} \geq 2(n-2)\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa).$$

In fact, by (6.19), (6.20) and the above inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.22) \quad & \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|n) - \tilde{\sigma}_{n-2} \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} - \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \tilde{\sigma}_{n-3} \\
& \geq (1 - 2\delta'_0) \kappa_i \frac{\kappa_1^2 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}^2}{\kappa_i^2} - (n-2) \frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}}{\kappa_i} \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \\
& \geq \frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2}}{\kappa_i} [(1 - 2\delta'_0) \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2} - (n-2) \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)] \geq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Therefore we obtain $L \geq 0$, if κ_1 is sufficiently large. \square

Lemma 35. *For any given index $1 \leq i \leq n$, assume $\bar{\kappa} = (\kappa|i) \in \Gamma_{n-3}$. For any vector $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we define a quadratic form:*

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|j) \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) - \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \right] \xi_p \xi_q.$$

Then we have

$$(6.23) \quad \mathbf{H}_i \geq \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 4\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - \frac{4}{3} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \right] \xi_j^2.$$

Proof. We let

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H1}_i &= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|j) - \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|js) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|js) + \frac{\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \frac{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js)}{9} \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&+ \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) - \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|pq) - \frac{\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \frac{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq)}{9} \right] \xi_p \xi_q,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H2}_i &= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|js) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|js) - \frac{\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \frac{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js)}{9} \right] \xi_j^2 \\
&+ \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \frac{\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \frac{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq)}{9} \xi_p \xi_q.
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously, we have

$$\mathbf{H}_i = \mathbf{H1}_i + \mathbf{H2}_i.$$

Note that

$$\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|pq) = \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq),$$

and

$$\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|j) = \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|js) = \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js).$$

Thus, using the above two identities, we can rewrite $\mathbf{H1}_i$ to be

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H1}_i &= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\sum_{s \neq j, i} \left(\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|js) - \frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|js) \right)^2 \right] \xi_j^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\left(\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) - \frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \right)^2 \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\left(\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) - \frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \right)^2 \right] (\xi_p - \xi_q)^2 \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{H2}_i &= \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\frac{\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \frac{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js)}{9} \right] \xi_j^2 + \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\frac{\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \frac{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq)}{9} \right] \xi_p \xi_q \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|js) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|js) - \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{9\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js) \right] \xi_j^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p, q \neq i; p \neq q} \left[\frac{\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \frac{\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq)}{9} \right] (\xi_p + \xi_q)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|js) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|js) - \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})}{9\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js) \right] \xi_j^2 \\ &\geq \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|js) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|js) - \frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js) \right] \xi_j^2.\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 25 with $m = n-2, s = 3$ and Lemma 29 with $m = n-1$ respectively, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|js) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|js) &= \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 4\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j), \\ \sum_{s \neq j, i} \sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}|js) &\leq 4\sigma_{n-5}^2(\bar{\kappa}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, inserting the above two formulae into the last expression of $\mathbf{H2}_i$, we obtain (6.23). \square

Now, we are in the position to prove Theorem 31.

Proof of Theorem 31: Firstly, let's prove (6.1). Using Lemma 22, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(6.24) \quad &\kappa_j^2 \sigma_{n-4}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\ &= [\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j)]^2 - 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\ &= \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - 2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\ &= \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - 2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|j).\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 33, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.25) \quad & \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \mathbf{C}_{n-2;i} \\
& \geq \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} [2\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{p,q \neq i; p \neq q} \sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|pq)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \xi_p \xi_q \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \neq i} [\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - 2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}^2|j)] \xi_j^2 - \sum_{p,q \neq i; p \neq q} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \xi_p \xi_q \\
& = \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} [2\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \neq i} [\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - 2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 + \mathbf{H}_i,
\end{aligned}$$

when κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here, in the first inequality, we have used (6.24), the definition of $\mathbf{C}_{n-2;i}$ and $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|ipq) = 0$. Then inserting (6.23) into (6.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \mathbf{C}_{n-2;i} \\
& \geq \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} [2\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \sum_{j \neq i} [\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - 2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j)] \xi_j^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{3\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} \left[\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 4\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - \frac{4}{3}\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
& = \frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} \left[2\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 4\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 2\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \right] \xi_j^2 \\
& = \frac{\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})}{\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})} \sum_{j \neq i} \left[-2\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) - 2\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \frac{1}{9}\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \right] \xi_j^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 34, we know, if κ_1 is sufficiently large,

$$-2\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) - 2\sigma_{n-6}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \frac{1}{20}\sigma_{n-5}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \geq 0,$$

which implies (6.1).

Secondly, let's prove (6.2). The inequality (6.2) is equivalent to

(6.26)

$$[\kappa_i K \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - 1] \left[\frac{\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} + \frac{1}{20} \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) \xi_j^2 \right] - \mathbf{D}_{n-2;i} \geq 0.$$

Since $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, we have

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} \kappa_i K \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - 1 \right] \geq \left(\frac{K}{4} \kappa_1 \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|1) - 1 \right) \geq \left(\frac{K\theta}{4} N_0 - 1 \right),$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here θ is the constant defined in Lemma 12. Thus, $[\kappa_i K \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - 1]$ can be sufficiently large if the constant K is large enough. Lemma 27 and Lemma 28 imply that quadratic forms $\mathbf{A}_{n-2;i}$, $\mathbf{B}_{n-2;i}$ are nonnegative respectively. Thus, if K is sufficiently large, using $\kappa \in \Gamma_{n-2}$, we have

$$[\kappa_i K \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - 1] \frac{\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} \geq \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i},$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\kappa_i K \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - 1] \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \kappa_i K \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} \geq \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} \\ &= [\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) - \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa})] \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} \geq -\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{20} [\kappa_i K \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - 1] \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) \xi_j^2 \geq \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) \xi_j^2.$$

Therefore, in view of (6.26), we only need to show

$$(6.27) \quad \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} - \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \mathbf{B}_{n-2;i} + \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) \xi_j^2 - \mathbf{D}_{n-2;i} \geq 0,$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large.

Using Lemma 22, we have the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} &-2\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\ &= -2[\kappa_j\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j)]\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\ &= -2[\kappa_j\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j)]\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) - \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\ &= \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j) + \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}) \\ &= [\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}) - \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|j)]^2 - 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j) \\ &= \kappa_j^2 \sigma_{n-4}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa})\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) - \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|p)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|q) \\ &= [\kappa_p\kappa_q\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) + (\kappa_p + \kappa_q)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq)]\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) \\ &\quad - [\kappa_q\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) + \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq)][\kappa_p\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|pq) + \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|pq)] \\ &= -\sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq). \end{aligned}$$

Using the above two identities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{LHS of (6.27)} \\
&= \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} - \sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}) \left[\sum_{j \neq i} 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\xi_j^2 - \sum_{p,q \neq i} \sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|pq)\xi_p\xi_q \right] + \sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa})\xi_j^2 \\
&\quad - \left[\sum_{j \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j)\xi_j^2 + \sum_{p,q \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|p)\sigma_{n-3}(\bar{\kappa}|q)\xi_p\xi_q \right] \\
&= \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \sum_{j \neq i} [\kappa_j^2 \sigma_{n-4}^2(\bar{\kappa}|j) - 2\sigma_{n-4}(\bar{\kappa}|j)\sigma_{n-2}(\bar{\kappa}|j)]\xi_j^2 - \sum_{p,q \neq i} \sigma_{n-3}^2(\bar{\kappa}|pq)\xi_p\xi_q \\
&= \frac{8\kappa_i^2}{9} \mathbf{A}_{n-2;i} + \mathbf{C}_{n-2;i},
\end{aligned}$$

which is exactly the left hand side of (6.1). Thus, (6.1) implies (6.2).

7. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 21 FOR CASES B3 AND C

This section will focus on Cases B3 and C. We will use the idea and techniques developing in [26, 31]. Since the argument of the following lemma is similar to Lemma 9 in [31], we will omit some details in its proof.

Lemma 36. *For any given index $1 \leq i \leq n-3$ with $n \geq 5$, assume $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_{n-2}$, $\kappa_1 \geq \dots \geq \kappa_n$, $\kappa_i > \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$ and*

$$\kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|i) \leq (1 + \delta_0) \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa),$$

where $\delta_0 = \frac{1}{32n(n-2)}$ defined in section 4. Further suppose that $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$ has positive upper and lower bounds $N_0 \leq \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) \leq N$. For $i \leq \mu \leq n-3$, suppose there exists some positive constant $\delta < 1$, satisfying $\kappa_\mu/\kappa_1 \geq \delta$. Then there exists another sufficiently small positive constant δ' only depending on δ , such that, for any $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, if $\kappa_{\mu+1}/\kappa_1 \leq \delta'$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \kappa_i \left[K \left(\sum_j \sigma_{n-2}^{jj}(\kappa) \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_{n-2}^{pp,qq}(\kappa) \xi_p \xi_q \right] - \sigma_{n-2}^{ii}(\kappa) \xi_i^2 + \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \xi_j^2 \geq 0,$$

when κ_1 and K are sufficiently large. Here a_j is defined by (3.2).

Proof. For the sake of simplification, we let

$$\sigma_s = \sigma_s(\kappa), \quad \sigma_s^{pp} = \sigma_s^{pp}(\kappa), \quad \sigma_s^{pp,qq} = \sigma_s^{pp,qq}(\kappa), \quad s = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

in the proof. We further denote

$$F = K \left(\sum_j \sigma_{n-2}^{jj} \xi_j \right)^2 - \sigma_{n-2}^{pp,qq} \xi_p \xi_q.$$

Same as (3.20) in [31], by Lemma 7, we have,

(7.2)

$$F \geq \frac{\sigma_{n-2}}{\sigma_\mu^2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_a (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{a \neq b} \sigma_\mu^{aa} \sigma_\mu^{bb} \xi_a \xi_b + \sum_{a \neq b} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \sigma_\mu^{bb} - \sigma_\mu \sigma_\mu^{aa,bb}) \xi_a \xi_b \right].$$

Here we let $\alpha = \frac{1}{2n(n-2)} < 1$. For $\mu = 1$, which implies that $i = 1$, same as (3.21) in [31], we have

$$(7.3) \quad \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sum_{a,b} \xi_a \xi_b \geq \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4}\right) \xi_i^2 - C_\alpha \sum_{a \neq i} \xi_a^2,$$

where C_α is some positive constant only depending on α . Then, we get

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} F &\geq \frac{\sigma_{n-2}}{\sigma_1^2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4}\right) \xi_i^2 - \frac{C_\alpha}{\sigma_1^2} \sum_{a \neq i} \xi_a^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma_{n-2}^{ii}}{\kappa_i(1+\delta_0)(1+\sum_{j \neq i} \kappa_j/\kappa_i)^2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4}\right) \xi_i^2 - \frac{C_\alpha}{\sigma_1^2} \sum_{a \neq i} \xi_a^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma_{n-2}^{ii}}{\kappa_i} \xi_i^2 - \frac{C_\alpha}{\sigma_1^2} \sum_{a \neq i} \xi_a^2. \end{aligned}$$

In the last two inequalities we have used $(1 + \delta_0)\sigma_{n-2} \geq \kappa_i \sigma_{n-2}^{ii}$ and let δ' be sufficiently small to satisfy

$$1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} \geq (1 + \delta_0)[1 + (n-1)\delta']^2.$$

For $\mu > 1$, using Lemma 11 and $|\kappa_{n-1}| + |\kappa_n| \leq 3\kappa_{n-2}$, according to the argument of (3.25)-(3.27) in [31], we get for indices $1 \leq a, b \leq \mu$,

$$(7.5) \quad \sigma_{\mu-1}^2(\kappa|ab) - \sigma_\mu(\kappa|ab)\sigma_{\mu-2}(\kappa|ab) \leq C_1 \left(\frac{\kappa_{\mu+1}}{\kappa_b} \sigma_\mu^{aa}\right)^2.$$

Here C_1 is an absolutely constant. Same as (3.28) in [31], by (7.5), for any undetermined positive constant ϵ , we have

$$(7.6) \quad \sum_{a \neq b; a, b \leq \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \sigma_\mu^{bb} - \sigma_\mu \sigma_\mu^{aa,bb}) \xi_a \xi_b \geq -\epsilon \sum_{a \leq \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2$$

if δ' is sufficiently small and its upper bound depends on ϵ and δ . Same as (3.30) and (3.31) in [31], by (7.5), we also have

$$(7.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &2 \sum_{a \leq \mu; b > \mu} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sigma_\mu^{aa} \sigma_\mu^{bb} - \sigma_\mu \sigma_\mu^{aa,bb} \right] \xi_a \xi_b \\ &\geq -\epsilon \sum_{a \leq \mu; b > \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2 - \frac{2}{\epsilon} \sum_{a \leq \mu; b > \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{bb} \xi_b)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{a \neq b; a, b > \mu} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sigma_\mu^{aa} \sigma_\mu^{bb} - \sigma_\mu \sigma_\mu^{aa, bb} \right] \xi_a \xi_b \geq - \sum_{a \neq b; a, b > \mu} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{a \neq b; a, b \leq \mu} \sigma_\mu^{aa} \sigma_\mu^{bb} \xi_a \xi_b \\ &= \alpha \sum_{a \neq i; a \leq \mu} \sigma_\mu^{ii} \sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_i \xi_a + \frac{\alpha}{2} \sum_{a \neq b; a, b \neq i; a, b \leq \mu} \sigma_\mu^{aa} \sigma_\mu^{bb} \xi_a \xi_b \\ &\geq -\frac{\alpha}{4} (\sigma_\mu^{ii} \xi_i)^2 - n\alpha \sum_{a \leq \mu; a \neq i} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, combing (7.2), (7.6), (7.7), (7.8) with (7.9), we get

$$F \geq \frac{\sigma_{n-2}}{\sigma_\mu^2} \left[\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} - n\epsilon\right) (\sigma_\mu^{ii} \xi_i)^2 + (1 - n\alpha - n\epsilon) \sum_{a \leq \mu; a \neq i} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2 - C_\epsilon \sum_{a > \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2 \right],$$

where C_ϵ is a constant only depending on ϵ, α . For $a > \mu$, by Lemma 11 and $|\kappa_{n-1}| + |\kappa_n| \leq 3\kappa_{n-2}$, we have

$$(7.10) \quad \sigma_\mu^{aa} \leq C_2 \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{\mu-1}, \quad \text{and } \sigma_\mu \geq \kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_\mu.$$

For $a \leq \mu$, again using $|\kappa_{n-1}| + |\kappa_n| \leq 3\kappa_{n-2}$, we have

$$(7.11) \quad \sigma_\mu(\kappa|a) \leq C_3 \frac{\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{\mu+1}}{\kappa_a}.$$

Here, C_2, C_3 are two absolutely constants. We let ϵ satisfy $\alpha/8 \geq n\epsilon$, which implies $1 - n\alpha - n\epsilon \geq 0$. Then, we have

$$(7.12) \quad \begin{aligned} F &\geq \frac{\kappa_i \sigma_{n-2}^{ii}}{(1 + \delta_0) \sigma_\mu^2} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} - n\epsilon\right) (\sigma_\mu^{ii} \xi_i)^2 - \frac{\sigma_{n-2} C_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2} \sum_{a > \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} - n\epsilon\right) \sigma_{n-2}^{ii}}{(1 + \delta_0) \kappa_i} \left(\frac{\kappa_i \sigma_\mu^{ii}}{\sigma_\mu} \xi_i\right)^2 - \frac{N C_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2} \sum_{a > \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4} - n\epsilon\right) \sigma_{n-2}^{ii}}{(1 + \delta_0) \kappa_i} \left(1 - \frac{C_3 \kappa_{\mu+1}}{\kappa_i}\right)^2 \xi_i^2 - \frac{N C_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2} \sum_{a > \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2 \\ &\geq \frac{\sigma_{n-2}^{ii}}{\kappa_i} \xi_i^2 - \frac{N C_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2} \sum_{a > \mu} (\sigma_\mu^{aa} \xi_a)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here, in the third inequality, we have used $\sigma_\mu = \kappa_i \sigma_\mu^{ii} + \sigma_\mu(\kappa|i)$, (7.10), (7.11), and in the last inequality, we select constants δ' and ϵ satisfying

$$\delta' C_3 \leq \epsilon \delta, \quad \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{8}\right) (1 - \epsilon)^2 \geq 1 + \frac{\alpha}{16} = 1 + \delta_0.$$

In view of Lemma 10, we have $-\kappa_n \leq 2\kappa_1/(n-2)$, if $\kappa_n \leq 0$. Thus, we get, for $j = n$ or $n-1$,

$$a_j = \sigma_{n-2}^{jj} + (\kappa_i + \kappa_j)\sigma_{n-2}^{jj,ii} \geq \sigma_{n-2}^{jj},$$

if κ_1 is sufficiently large. It is obvious that $a_j \geq \sigma_{n-2}^{jj}$ for any index $j < n-1$.

Thus, at last, by (7.10) and Lemma 12, if the index $j > \mu$, we have

$$(7.13) \quad \begin{aligned} a_j - \kappa_i \frac{NC_\epsilon}{\sigma_\mu^2} (\sigma_\mu^{jj})^2 &\geq \sigma_{n-2}^{jj} - \frac{\kappa_i NC_\epsilon C_2^2}{\kappa_\mu^2} \geq \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|\mu+1) - \frac{NC_\epsilon C_2^2}{\delta^2 \kappa_1} \\ &\geq \frac{\theta \sigma_{n-2}}{\kappa_{\mu+1}} - \frac{NC_\epsilon C_2^2}{\delta^2 \kappa_1} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

when the constant δ' is selected to be sufficiently small and κ_1 is sufficiently large. Here θ is the constant defined in Lemma 12.

Combing (7.12), (7.13) with (7.1), we have proved our lemma. \square

Based on Lemma 36, an induction argument being similar to the proof of Corollary 10 in [31] can be applied here. Thus, we have

Corollary 37. *With the same assumptions as Lemma 36, there exists a finite sequences of positive numbers $\{\delta_j\}_{j=i}^{n-2}$, such that, if the following two inequalities hold for some index $1 \leq r \leq n-3$,*

$$(7.14) \quad \frac{\kappa_r}{\kappa_1} \geq \delta_r, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\kappa_{r+1}}{\kappa_1} \leq \delta_{r+1},$$

then, when K and κ_1 is sufficiently large, (7.1) holds.

Proof of the Theorem 21 for cases B3 and C: Firstly, suppose $1 \leq i \leq n-3$. In view of Corollary 37 and $\kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n$, if we can show that $\kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|i) \leq (1 + \delta_0)\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$ and κ_{n-2} has an upper bound, by an inductive argument, there exists some index $i \leq r \leq n-3$ satisfying (7.14), which implies our Theorem. Thus, in the following, we will prove needed two requirements case by case.

Case B3: By our assumption $\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2} < 2(n-2)\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa)$ and $\kappa_1 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_{n-2}$, it is clear that κ_{n-2} has an upper bound.

Case C: Since $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|i) \geq 0$, we have

$$(7.15) \quad \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa) = \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|i) + \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|i) \geq \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|i).$$

Combing $\sigma_{n-2}(\kappa|i) \geq 0$ with $\kappa \in \Gamma_{n-2}$, we have $(\kappa|i) \in \bar{\Gamma}_{n-2}$. Using Lemma 11 in (7.15), we get

$$\kappa_1 \cdots \kappa_{n-2} \leq \kappa_i \sigma_{n-3}(\kappa|i) \leq \sigma_{n-2}(\kappa),$$

which also implies that κ_{n-2} has an upper bound.

Secondly, suppose $n-2 \leq i \leq n$. Thus, we have

$$\kappa_{n-2} \geq \kappa_i \geq \kappa_1 - \sqrt{\kappa_1}/n.$$

However, we have proved that κ_{n-2} always has an upper bound for both Case B3 and Case C. Therefore, if κ_1 is sufficiently large, we have a contradiction.

Acknowledgement: The authors wish to thank Professor Pengfei Guan for his valuable suggestions and comments. Part of the work was done while the authors were visiting McGill University. They would like to thank their support and hospitality.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.D. Alexandrov, *Existence and uniqueness of a convex surface with a given integral curvature.* Doklady Acad. Nauk Kasah SSSR, 36 (1942), 131-134.
- [2] A.D. Alexandrov, *Uniqueness theorems for surfaces in the large. I* (Russian) Vestnik Leningrad. Univ., 11, (1956), 5-17. English translation: AMS Translations, series 2, 21, (1962), 341-354.
- [3] F.J. Andrade, J.L. Barbosa, J.H. de Lira, *Closed Weingarten hypersurfaces in warped product manifolds.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 58(4), 1691-1718 (2009).
- [4] I. Bakelman and B. Kantor, *Existence of spherically homeomorphic hypersurfaces in Euclidean space with prescribed mean curvature.* Geometry and Topology, Leningrad, 1, (1974), 3-10.
- [5] J. Ball, *Differentiability properties of symmetric and isotropic functions.* Duke Math. J., 51, (1984), 699-728.
- [6] J.L. Barbosa, J.H. de Lira, V.I. Oliker, *A priori estimates for starshaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed m -th curvature function in space forms.* Nonlinear Problems in Mathematical Physics and Related Topics, vol. I, pp. 35-52. Int. Math. Ser. (N. Y.), 1, Kluwer/Plenum, New York (2002).
- [7] R. Bartnik and L. Simon, *Spacelike hypersurfaces with prescribed boundary values and mean curvature.* Comm. Math. Pays. 87,131-152 (1982).
- [8] P. Baryard, *Problème de Dirichlet pour la courbure scalaire dans $\mathbb{R}^{3,1}$,* C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 332, 219-222 (2001).
- [9] P. Baryard, *Dirichlet problem for space-like hypersurfaces with prescribed scalar curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{n,1}$,* Calc. Var. 18, 1-30 (2003).
- [10] P. Bryan, M.N. Ivaki, J. Scheuer, *A unified flow approach to smooth, even L_p -Minkowski problems,* Anal. PDE 12, (2019), no. 2, 259-280.
- [11] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *The Dirichlet problem for nonlinear second order elliptic equations, III: Functions of the eigenvalues of the Hessian.* Acta Math., 155, (1985), 261 - 301.
- [12] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations IV: Starshaped compact Weigarten hypersurfaces.* Current topics in partial differential equations, Y.Ohya, K.Kasahara and N.Shimakura (eds), Kinokunize, Tokyo, 1985, 1-26.
- [13] L. A. Caffarelli, L. Nirenberg and J. Spruck, *Nonlinear second order elliptic equations. V. The Dirichlet problem for Weingarten hypersurfaces.* Comm. Pure and Appl. Math. 41 (1988), pp. 41-70.
- [14] S.Y. Cheng and S.T. Yau, *On the regularity of the solution of the n -dimensional Minkowski problem.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 29, (1976), 495-516.
- [15] D. Chen, H. Li and Z. Wang, *Starshaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed Weingarten curvature in warped product manifolds.* Calc. Var. (2018) 57: 42.
- [16] K.S. Chou and X.J. Wang, *A variational theory of the Hessian equation.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 54, (2001), 1029-1064.
- [17] P. Delanoë, *The Dirichlet problem for an equation of given Lorentz-Gaussian curvature.* (Russian) Ukrain. Mat. Zh. 42, 1704-1710(1990). Eng. trails.: Ukrain. Math. J. 42, 1538-1545.
- [18] C. Gerhardt, *Hypersurfaces of prescribed curvature in Lorentzian manifolds.* Indiana Univ. Math. J. 49, 1125-1153 (2000).
- [19] C. Gerhardt, *Hypersurfaces of prescribed scalar curvature in Lorentzian manifolds.* J. Reine Angew. Math., 554, (2003), 157-199.
- [20] B. Guan, *The Dirichlet problem for Hessian equations on Riemannian manifolds.* Calc. Var., 8, (1999), 45-69.
- [21] B. Guan and P. Guan, *Convex Hypersurfaces of Prescribed Curvature.* Ann. of Math., 156, (2002), 655-674.

- [22] B. Guan, *Second order estimates and regularity for fully nonlinear elliptic equations on Riemannian manifolds*. Duke Math. J. 163 (2014), 1491-1524.
- [23] P. Guan, C.S. Lin and X. Ma, *The Existence of Convex Body with Prescribed Curvature Measures*. Int. Math. Res. Not., (2009) 1947-1975.
- [24] P. Guan, J. Li and Y.Y. Li, *Hypersurfaces of Prescribed Curvature Measure*. Duke Math. J., 161, (2012), 1927-1942.
- [25] P. Guan and S. Lu, *Curvature estimates for immersed hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds*, Invent. Math. Vol. 208, Issue 1, 191-215.
- [26] P. Guan, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *Global C^2 estimates for curvature equation of convex solution*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. LXVIII (2015), 1287-1325.
- [27] Z. Hou, X. Ma and D. Wu, *A second order estimate for complex Hessian equations on a compact Kähler manifold*. Math. Res. Lett. 17 (2010), no.3, 547-561.
- [28] G. Huisken and C. Sinestrari, *Convexity estimates for mean curvature flow and singularities of mean convex surfaces*. Acta Math. 183 (1999), no. 1, 45-70.
- [29] N. J. Korevaar, *A priori interior gradient bounds for solutions to elliptic Weingarten equations*. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Linéaire 4 (1987), 405-421.
- [30] C. Li, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *Curvature estimates for convex solutions of some fully nonlinear Hessian-type equations*, Calc. Var. PDE 58 (2019), no. 6, Art. 188.
- [31] M. Li, C. Ren and Z. Wang, *An interior estimate for convex solutions and a rigidity theorem*, J. Funct. Anal. 270 (2016), 2691-2714.
- [32] M. Lin and N. S. Trudinger, *On some inequalities for elementary symmetric functions*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 50 (1994), 317-326.
- [33] Y.Y. Li and V.I. Oliker, *Star shaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed m -th mean curvature in elliptic space*. J. Partial Differ. Equ. 15(3), 68-80 (2002).
- [34] L. Nirenberg, *The Weyl and Minkowski problems in differential geometry in the large*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 6, (1953), 337-394.
- [35] V.I. Oliker, *Hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with prescribed Gaussian curvature and related equations of Monge-Ampère type*. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 9(8), 807-838 (1984).
- [36] D.H. Phong, S. Picard and X. Zhang, *The Fu-Yau equation with negative slope parameter*, Invent. Math. 209 (2017), no. 2, 541-576.
- [37] D.H. Phong, S. Picard and X. Zhang, *On estimates for the Fu-Yau generalization of a Strominger system*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 751 (2019), 243-274.
- [38] D.H. Phong, S. Picard and X. Zhang, *A second order estimates for general complex Hessian equations*. Anal. PDE, Vol 9, 2016, No.7, 1693-1709.
- [39] A.V. Pogorelov, *On the question of the existence of a convex surface with a given sum principal radii of curvature (in Russian)*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk., 8, (1953), 127-130.
- [40] A.V. Pogorelov, *The Minkowski Multidimensional Problem*. John Wiley, 1978.
- [41] C. Ren and Z. Wang, *On the curvature estimates for Hessian equations*, Amer. J. Math. 141 (2019), no. 5, 1281-1315.
- [42] C. Ren, Z. Wang and L. Xiao, *Entire spacelike hypersurfaces with constant σ_{n-1} curvature in Minkowski space*. Preprint.
- [43] O.C. Schnürer, *The Dirichlet problem for Weingarten hypersurfaces in Lorentzian manifolds*. Math. Z. 242, 159-181(2002).
- [44] W. Sheng, J. Urbas and X. Wang, *Interior curvature bounds for a class of curvature equations*. Duke Math. J., 123, (2004), no. 2, 235-264.
- [45] J. Spruck and L. Xiao, *A note on star-shaped compact hypersurfaces with prescribed scalar curvature in space forms*. Rev. Mat. Iberoam. 33 (2017), 547-554.
- [46] A. Treibergs and S.W. Wei, *Embedded hypersurfaces with prescribed mean curvature*. J. Diff. Geom. , 18, (1983), 513-521.
- [47] N. S. Trudinger, *The Dirichlet problem for the prescribed curvature equations*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 111 (1990), 153-170.
- [48] J. Urbas, *The Dirichlet problem for the equation of prescribed scalar curvature in Minkowski space*, Calc. Var. 18, (2003), 307-316.

- [49] X. Wang, *The k -Hessian equation. Geometric analysis and PDEs*, 177-252, Lecture Notes in Math., (1977), Springer, Dordrecht, (2009).
- [50] L. Xiao, *Motion of level set by general curvature*. arXiv:1602.0211.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, JILIN UNIVERSITY, CHANGCHUN, CHINA
E-mail address: `rency@jlu.edu.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCE, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI, CHINA
E-mail address: `zzwang@fudan.edu.cn`