

ANDERSON LOCALIZATION FOR LONG-RANGE OPERATORS WITH SINGULAR POTENTIALS

WENWEN JIAN, JIA SHI, AND XIAOPING YUAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we use Cartan estimate for meromorphic functions to prove Anderson localization for a class of long-range operators with singular potentials.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this paper, we study quasi-periodic operators. Start with the almost Mathieu operator

$$(1.1) \quad H = H_{\omega, \lambda, \theta} = \lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + \Delta,$$

where Δ is the lattice Laplacian on \mathbb{Z}

$$\Delta(n, n') = \begin{cases} 1, & |n - n'| = 1, \\ 0, & |n - n'| \neq 1, \end{cases}$$

$\lambda > 0$ is the coupling, $\theta \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ is the phase and $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$ is the frequency. Jitomirskaya [11] proved that for Diophantine ω and almost every θ , the almost Mathieu operator H (1.1) satisfies Anderson localization for $\lambda > 2$. Anderson localization means that H has pure point spectrum with exponentially decaying eigenfunctions. Bourgain and Goldstein [3] proved Anderson localization for quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators

$$(1.2) \quad H = H_{\omega, \lambda, \theta} = \lambda v(\theta + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + \Delta$$

when $\lambda > \lambda_0(v)$, where v is a nonconstant real analytic potential on \mathbb{T} . Their results is non-perturbative, which means that λ_0 does not depend on ω . The proof was based on fundamental matrix and Lyapunov exponent.

If the Laplacian Δ is replaced by a Toeplitz operator

$$(1.3) \quad S_\phi(n, n') = \hat{\phi}(n - n')$$

with ϕ real analytic, we obtain the long-range operators

$$(1.4) \quad H = H_{\omega, \lambda, \theta} = \lambda \cos 2\pi(\theta + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + S_\phi.$$

Bourgain and Jitomirskaya [5] proved that there exists $\lambda_0(\phi)$ such that for any Diophantine ω and almost every θ , H (1.4) satisfies Anderson localization when $\lambda > \lambda_0$.

More generally, we can study the long-range operators of the form

$$(1.5) \quad H = H_\omega(x) = v(x + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + \varepsilon S_\phi,$$

where v is non-constant and real analytic on \mathbb{T} . Using Green's function estimates, Bourgain [1] proved that when $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(v, \phi)$, $H_\omega(x)$ satisfies Anderson localization for $(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{T}^2$ in a set of full measure. Note that in the long range case, we cannot use the fundamental matrix formalism.

Recently, using more elaborate semi-algebraic arguments, Bourgain and Kachkovskiy [6] proved Anderson localization for two interacting quasi-periodic particles. For the Anderson localization results of quasi-periodic operators on \mathbb{Z}^d , we refer to [8, 4, 2, 13].

Key words and phrases. Anderson localization, long-range operators, singular potentials.

In the cases above, the potentials v are bounded functions and the operators H are bounded. Now we consider quasi-periodic operators with unbounded potentials. For example, we can study the Maryland model

$$(1.6) \quad H = H_{\omega, \lambda, \theta} = \lambda \tan \pi(\theta + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + \Delta,$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad \theta + n\omega - \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For Diophantine frequencies ω , the Maryland model (1.6) satisfies Anderson localization for all θ [18]. Jitomirskaya and Liu [12] proved arithmetic spectral transitions for the Maryland model. Recently, using transfer matrix and Lyapounov exponent, Jitomirskaya and Yang [15] developed a constructive method to prove Anderson localization for the Maryland model. We can also prove Anderson localization for the Maryland model with long range interactions [19].

Now we replace \tan by more general singular potentials. Kachkovskiy [16] proved Anderson localization for the following class of quasi-periodic Schrödinger operators

$$H(x) = f(x + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + \Delta, x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (\mathbb{Z} + \omega\mathbb{Z}),$$

for Diophantine ω and almost everywhere x , where f is defined on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$, 1-periodic, continuous on $(0, 1)$, $f(0+) = -\infty$, $f(1-) = +\infty$, $\log |f| \in L^1(0, 1)$, and Lipschitz monotone. That is, there exists $\gamma > 0$ such that $f(y) - f(x) \geq \gamma(y - x)$ for all $0 < x < y < 1$. Jitomirskaya and Yang [14] study the singular continuous spectrum for operators of the form

$$H_{\omega, \theta} = \frac{g(\theta + n\omega)}{f(\theta + n\omega)} \delta_{nn'} + \Delta,$$

where f is an analytic function and g is Lipschitz.

In this paper, we will consider the following class of long-range operators with singular potentials

$$(1.8) \quad H_{\omega}(x) = \frac{g(x + n\omega)}{f(x + n\omega)} \delta_{nn'} + \varepsilon S_{\phi},$$

where f, g are real analytic on \mathbb{T} . This extends the Maryland model.

We will prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1. *Consider the following long-range operators with singular potentials*

$$(1.9) \quad H_{\omega}(x) = v(x + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + \varepsilon S_{\phi},$$

where $v = \frac{g}{f}$, f, g are real analytic on \mathbb{T} , f, v are nonconstant, $Z(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : f(x) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$. We will always assume

$$(1.10) \quad x + n\omega \notin Z(f), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Assume $\omega \in DC$ (diophantine condition),

$$(1.11) \quad \|k\omega\| > a|k|^{-A}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

and ϕ real analytic satisfying

$$(1.12) \quad |\hat{\phi}(n)| < e^{-\rho|n|}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for some $\rho > 0$. Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there is $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(f, g, \phi) > 0$, such that if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, for almost all $\omega \in DC$, $H_{\omega}(x_0)$ satisfies Anderson localization.

Our result is non-perturbative, since ε_0 does not depend on ω . In the long range case here, the transfer matrix formalism is not applicable. Our basic strategy is the same as that in [1], which is based on a combination of large deviation estimates and semi-algebraic set theory. The key point is the Green's function estimates for

$$(1.13) \quad G_{[0,N]}(x, E) = (R_{[0,N]}(H(x) - E)R_{[0,N]})^{-1},$$

where R_Λ is the restriction operator to $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$. The main difficulty here is that v is singular. We will first prove Cartan estimate for meromorphic functions in Section 2, then we can obtain Lojasiewicz type lemma in Section 3, which is needed for Green's function estimates in Section 4. Finally, we recall some facts about semi-algebraic sets and give the proof of Anderson localization in Section 5.

We will use the following notations. For positive numbers a, b , $a \lesssim b$ means $Ca \leq b$ for some constant $C > 0$. $a \ll b$ means C is large. $a \sim b$ means $a \lesssim b$ and $b \lesssim a$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\|x\| = \inf_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - m|$.

2. CARTAN ESTIMATE FOR MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

In this section, we will prove Cartan estimate for meromorphic functions. We need the following lower bounds for analytic functions.

Theorem 2.1 (Theorem 11.2 in [17]). *If an analytic function $f(z)$ has no zeros in a disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R\}$ and if $|f(0)| = 1$, then*

$$\log |f(z)| \geq -\frac{2r}{R-r} \log M_f(R), \forall |z| = r < R,$$

where $M_f(R) = \max_{|z|=R} |f(z)|$.

Theorem 2.2. *Take $0 < R_2 < R_1 = R \leq 1$, $f(z)$ is a meromorphic function in the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R\}$ with neither zeros nor poles on $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = R\}$ and $|f(0)| = 1$. Let a_1, \dots, a_n be the zeros of $f(z)$ in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$ and $b_1, \dots, b_{n'}$ be the poles of $f(z)$ in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < R\}$, where we write down each zero and pole as many times as its multiplicity. Assume $|b_m| \geq \delta > 0$, $1 \leq m \leq n'$. Given $0 < H < 1$, $0 < H' < 1$, then there exists a system of disks $C_j, C_{j'}, 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq j' \leq n'$ with radii $r_j = H, r_{j'} = H'$ such that the estimate*

$$\log |f(z)| \geq -\frac{2R_2}{R-R_2} \log M_f(R) - n \log \frac{R+R_2}{H} - n' \left[\frac{2R}{R-R_2} \log \frac{1}{\delta} + \log \frac{R(R+R_2)}{H'} \right]$$

is valid in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R_2\}$ outside these disks.

Proof. The proof of Cartan estimate for analytic functions can be found in [17]. Following the same idea as in [17] with minor modification, we give the proof for meromorphic functions.

Let

$$(2.1) \quad \psi(z) = f(z) \left[\prod_{|b_m| < R} \frac{R(z - b_m)}{R^2 - \overline{b_m}z} \right] \left[\prod_{|a_m| < R} \frac{R(z - a_m)}{R^2 - \overline{a_m}z} \right]^{-1} \left(\prod_{|b_m| < R} \frac{R}{b_m} \right) \left(\prod_{|a_m| < R} \frac{a_m}{R} \right),$$

then $\psi(z)$ is an analytic function without zeros in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R\}$ and $|\psi(0)| = 1$. By Theorem 2.1, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \log |\psi(z)| \geq -\frac{2R_2}{R-R_2} \log M_\psi(R), \forall |z| \leq R_2.$$

Hence

$$(2.3) \quad \log |f(z)| \geq -\frac{2R_2}{R-R_2} \log M_\psi(R) + \log \prod_{|a_m| < R} \frac{R|z - a_m|}{|R^2 - \overline{a_m}z|} - \log \prod_{|b_m| < R} \frac{R|z - b_m|}{|R^2 - \overline{b_m}z|}$$

$$-\log \prod_{|b_m| < R} \frac{R}{|b_m|} - \log \prod_{|a_m| < R} \frac{|a_m|}{R}, \forall |z| \leq R_2.$$

Let

$$(2.4) \quad s_1 = \log \prod_{|a_m| < R} \frac{R|z - a_m|}{|R^2 - \overline{a_m}z|} = n \log R + \log \prod_{|a_m| < R} |z - a_m| - \log \prod_{|a_m| < R} |R^2 - \overline{a_m}z|.$$

Since $\prod_{|a_m| < R} |z - a_m| \geq H^n$ outside disks $C_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ with radii $r_j = H$, we have

$$(2.5) \quad s_1 \geq n \log R + n \log H - n \log R(R + R_2) = -n \log \frac{R + R_2}{H}$$

holds in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R_2\}$ outside disks $C_j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ with radii $r_j = H$.

Let

$$(2.6) \quad s_2 = -\log \prod_{|b_m| < R} \frac{R|z - b_m|}{|R^2 - \overline{b_m}z|} = -n' \log R - \log \prod_{|b_m| < R} |z - b_m| + \log \prod_{|b_m| < R} |b_m| + \log \prod_{|b_m| < R} |z - \frac{R^2}{\overline{b_m}}|.$$

Since $\prod_{|b_m| < R} |z - \frac{R^2}{\overline{b_m}}| \geq (H')^{n'}$ outside disks $C'_{j'}, 1 \leq j' \leq n'$ with radii $r'_{j'} = H'$, we have

$$(2.7) \quad s_2 \geq -n' \log R - n' \log(R + R_2) + n' \log \delta + n' \log H' = -n' \left[\log \frac{1}{\delta} + \log \frac{R(R + R_2)}{H'} \right]$$

holds in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R_2\}$ outside disks $C'_{j'}, 1 \leq j' \leq n'$ with radii $r'_{j'} = H'$.

By (2.1),

$$(2.8) \quad M_\Psi(R) = M_f(R) \left(\prod_{|b_m| < R} \frac{R}{|b_m|} \right) \left(\prod_{|a_m| < R} \frac{|a_m|}{R} \right).$$

Using (2.3), (2.5), (2.7), (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} \log |f(z)| &\geq -\frac{2R_2}{R - R_2} \log M_f(R) - \frac{R + R_2}{R - R_2} \log \prod_{|b_m| < R} \frac{R}{|b_m|} \\ &\quad - \frac{R + R_2}{R - R_2} \log \prod_{|a_m| < R} \frac{|a_m|}{R} - n \log \frac{R + R_2}{H} - n' \left[\log \frac{1}{\delta} + \log \frac{R(R + R_2)}{H'} \right] \\ &\geq -\frac{2R_2}{R - R_2} \log M_f(R) - n \log \frac{R + R_2}{H} - n' \left[\frac{2R}{R - R_2} \log \frac{1}{\delta} + \log \frac{R(R + R_2)}{H'} \right] \end{aligned}$$

holds in $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| \leq R_2\}$ outside disks $C_j, C'_{j'}, 1 \leq j \leq n, 1 \leq j' \leq n'$ with radii $r_j = H, r'_{j'} = H'$. \square

3. LOJASIEWICZ TYPE LEMMAS

For real analytic functions, we have the following Lojasiewicz type inequality.

Lemma 3.1 (Lemma 7.3 in [1]). *Let v_0 be non-constant real analytic on \mathbb{T} , there is a constant $c_0 = c_0(v_0) > 0$ such that*

$$\text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |v_0(x) - E| < \delta\} < \delta^{c_0}$$

for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and sufficiently small $\delta > 0$.

Using the Cartan estimate in Section 2, we can prove Lojasiewicz type inequality for meromorphic functions.

Lemma 3.2. Let $v = \frac{g}{f}$, f, g are real analytic on \mathbb{T} , f, v are nonconstant, $Z(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : f(x) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$. There is a constant $c_0 = c_0(v) > 0$ such that

$$\text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |v(x) - E| < \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon^{c_0}$$

for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. Consider a covering $[0, 1] \subset \cup_{l=1}^m (p_l - r_l, p_l + r_l)$, where $0 < |v'(p_l)| < \infty$. By Theorem 2.2, $\{x \in (p_l - r_l, p_l + r_l) : |v'(x)| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\}$ is contained in a union of at most C intervals of total measure at most $C\varepsilon^c$, where $C = C(v)$, $c = c(v)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |v(x) - E| < \varepsilon\} &\leq \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |v'(x)| \leq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \\ &+ \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |v(x) - E| < \varepsilon, |v'(x)| > \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \leq C\varepsilon^c + C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} < \varepsilon^{c_0}. \end{aligned}$$

□

By Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2, we have

Lemma 3.3. Let v, f, g as in Lemma 3.2, there is a constant $c = c(f, g) > 0$ such that

$$\text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |g(x) - Ef(x)| < \varepsilon\} < \varepsilon^c$$

for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |g(x) - Ef(x)| < \varepsilon\} &\leq \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |g(x) - Ef(x)| < \varepsilon, |f(x)| \geq \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \\ &+ \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |f(x)| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\} \leq \text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |v(x) - E| < \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\} + \varepsilon^{c_0} < \varepsilon^c. \end{aligned}$$

□

We introduce the uniform Lojasiewicz inequality.

Lemma 3.4 (Lemma 6.1 in [9]). We denote by $C_r^\omega(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ the Banach space of real analytic functions with continuous extension to $A_r = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 1 - r < |z| < 1 + r\}$ and norm $\|f\|_r = \sup_{z \in A_r} |f(z)|$. Let $0 \neq f \in C_r^\omega(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Then there are constants $\delta = \delta(f) > 0, S = S(f) > 0, b = b(f) > 0$ such that if $g \in C_r^\omega(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ with $\|g - f\|_r < \delta$, then

$$\text{mes}\{x \in \mathbb{T} : |g(x)| < t\} < St^b, \forall t > 0.$$

Now, we can prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.5. Let f, g as in Lemma 3.2, there is a constant $c = c(f, g) > 0$ such that

$$\text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} |g(x) - Ef(x)| < \varepsilon\right\} < \varepsilon^c$$

for all $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. If $E > 0$, consider

$$(3.1) \quad s_1 = \left\| -\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}g + \frac{E}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}f - f \right\|_r \leq \frac{\|f\|_r + \|g\|_r}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}.$$

Since $\forall E \geq C_1 = C_1(f, g) > 0, s_1 < \delta(f)$, by Lemma 3.4,

$$(3.2) \quad \text{mes}\left\{x \in \mathbb{T} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} |g(x) - Ef(x)| < \varepsilon\right\} < S\varepsilon^b, \forall E \geq C_1.$$

If $E < 0$, consider

$$(3.3) \quad s_2 = \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}g - \frac{E}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}f - f \right\|_r \leq \frac{\|f\|_r + \|g\|_r}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}.$$

Since $\forall E \leq -C_1, s_2 < \delta(f)$, by Lemma 3.4,

$$(3.4) \quad \text{mes} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} : \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} |g(x) - Ef(x)| < \varepsilon \right\} < S\varepsilon^b, \forall E \leq -C_1.$$

This proves Lemma 3.5 for $|E| \geq C_1$. If $|E| \leq C_1$, Lemma 3.5 follows from Lemma 3.3. \square

4. GREEN'S FUNCTION ESTIMATES

In this section, we will prove Green's function estimates for

$$(4.1) \quad G_N(x, E) = (R_{[0, N)}(H(x) - E)R_{[0, N)})^{-1},$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad H(x) = v(x + n\omega)\delta_{nn'} + \varepsilon S_\phi,$$

with the potential $v = \frac{g}{f}$, f, g are real analytic on \mathbb{T} , f, v are nonconstant, $Z(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : f(x) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ and ϕ real analytic satisfying

$$(4.3) \quad |\hat{\phi}(n)| < e^{-\rho|n|}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for some $\rho > 0$. Without loss, we assume $\hat{\phi}(0) = 0$ and $\|f\|_\infty \leq 1$.

We will follow the method in [1], but as mentioned in Section 1, the operator H is unbounded and the energy E is unbounded. Write

$$(4.4) \quad H_N(x) - E = F_N(x, E)B_N(x, E),$$

where

$$(4.5) \quad F_N(x, E)(n, n') = \frac{\sqrt{1+E^2}}{f(x+n\omega)}\delta_{nn'},$$

$$(4.6) \quad B_N(x, E)(n, n) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}(g(x+n\omega) - Ef(x+n\omega)),$$

$$(4.7) \quad B_N(x, E)(n, n') = \frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+E^2}}f(x+n\omega)\hat{\phi}(n-n'), n \neq n'.$$

Then

$$(4.8) \quad G_N(x, E) = B_N(x, E)^{-1}F_N(x, E)^{-1}.$$

We will prove estimates for

$$(4.9) \quad |B_N(x, E)^{-1}(n, n')| = \frac{|\det B_{n, n'}(x, E)|}{|\det B_N(x, E)|}, \quad n, n' \in [0, N),$$

where $B_{n, n'}(x, E)$ refers to the (n, n') -minor of $B_N(x, E)$.

Lemma 4.1. *Under the assumptions above, for $\omega \in DC$, if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$,*

$$\frac{1}{N} \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log |\det B_N(x, E)| dx > \int_{\mathbb{T}} \log \frac{|g(x) - Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} dx - (\kappa + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}}),$$

where $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(\phi, f, g) > 0$, $\kappa = \kappa(\phi, f, g) > 0$.

Proof. Assume $f(z), g(z)$ are analytic in $\{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| \leq \rho_1\}$. Fix $0 < \alpha < \frac{\rho_1}{4}$, by analyticity, there is $\eta_0 > 0$ such that

$$(4.10) \quad \inf_{E \in \mathbb{R}} \sup_{\frac{\alpha}{2} < y_0 < \alpha} \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|g(x \pm iy_0) - Ef(x \pm iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} > \eta_0.$$

Take $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 < \eta_0^2$. For $z = x + iy, |y| \leq \frac{\rho_1}{2}$, by Hadamard inequality,

$$(4.11) \quad |\det B_N(z, E)| \leq \prod_{0 \leq n < N} \left[\frac{|g(z + n\omega) - Ef(z + n\omega)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} + C\varepsilon_0 \right].$$

By Denjoy-Koksma type inequality (Lemma 12 in [11]),

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \log |\det B_N(z, E)| &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \log \left[\frac{|g(x + iy + n\omega) - Ef(x + iy + n\omega)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} + C\varepsilon_0 \right] \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \log \left[\frac{|g(x + iy) - Ef(x + iy)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} + C\varepsilon_0 \right] dx + N^{-\delta_1} < C, \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_1 = \delta_1(\omega) > 0$.

Consider the diagonal matrix D

$$(4.13) \quad D_{nn} = \frac{g(x + iy_0 + n\omega) - Ef(x + iy_0 + n\omega)}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}}$$

and non-diagonal matrix S

$$(4.14) \quad S_{n,n'} = \frac{f(x + iy_0 + n\omega)\hat{\phi}(n - n')}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}}, n \neq n'.$$

Since

$$(4.15) \quad B_N(x + iy_0, E) = D + \varepsilon S = (I + \varepsilon S D^{-1})D,$$

we have

$$(4.16) \quad \frac{1}{N} \log |\det B_N(x + iy_0, E)| = \frac{1}{N} \log |\det(I + \varepsilon S D^{-1})| + \frac{1}{N} \log |\det D|.$$

By (4.10) and Denjoy-Koksma type inequality, we have

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \log |\det D| &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \log |D_{nn}| = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \log(|D_{nn}| + \varepsilon_0) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \log \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{|D_{nn}|} \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \log \left[\frac{|g(x + iy_0 + n\omega) - Ef(x + iy_0 + n\omega)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} + \varepsilon_0 \right] - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\eta_0} \\ &\geq \int_0^1 \log \left[\frac{|g(x + iy_0) - Ef(x + iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} + \varepsilon_0 \right] dx - N^{-\delta_1} - \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\eta_0} \\ &> \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x + iy_0) - Ef(x + iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx - 2 \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\eta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \log |\det(I + \varepsilon S D^{-1})| &= -\frac{1}{N} \log |\det(I + \varepsilon S D^{-1})^{-1}| \\ &= -\frac{1}{N} \log \left| \det \left[I + \sum_{s \geq 1} (-\varepsilon S D^{-1})^s \right] \right| \geq -\frac{1}{N} \log \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} \left[1 + \sum_{s \geq 1} \|(\varepsilon S D^{-1})^s e_n\| \right] > -C \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\eta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.16), (4.17), (4.18),

$$(4.19) \quad \frac{1}{N} \log |\det B_N(x + iy_0, E)| > \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x + iy_0) - Ef(x + iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx - C \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\eta_0}, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since

$$(4.20) \quad u(z) = \frac{1}{N} \log |\det B_N(z, E)|$$

is subharmonic in $\{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : |y| < \rho_1\}$, we have for $y_1 = \frac{\rho_1}{2}$,

$$(4.21) \quad \int_0^1 u(x + iy_0) dx \leq \frac{y_1 - y_0}{y_1} \int_0^1 u(x) dx + \frac{y_0}{y_1} \int_0^1 u(x + iy_1) dx.$$

By (4.12), (4.19), (4.21),

$$(4.22) \quad \int_0^1 u(x) dx > \frac{y_1}{y_1 - y_0} \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x + iy_0) - Ef(x + iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx - C \left(\frac{\alpha}{\rho_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\eta_0} \right).$$

By Lemma 3.5,

$$(4.23) \quad \inf_{E \in \mathbb{R}} \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x) - Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx > -C_{f,g}, C_{f,g} > 0.$$

By subharmonicity,

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x) - Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x + iy_0) - Ef(x + iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x - iy_0) - Ef(x - iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.23), (4.24),

$$(4.25) \quad \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x + iy_0) - Ef(x + iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx > -C, C > 0.$$

Using (4.22), (4.25), we obtain

$$(4.26) \quad \int_0^1 u(x) dx > \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x + iy_0) - Ef(x + iy_0)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx - \frac{y_0}{y_1 - y_0} C - C \left(\frac{\alpha}{\rho_1} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\eta_0} \right).$$

Replace y_0 by $-y_0$, using (4.24), (4.26), we have

$$\int_0^1 u(x) dx > \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x) - Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} dx - C \left(\frac{\alpha}{\rho_1} + \varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

This proves Lemma 4.1. □

We also need the following large deviation theorem.

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 2.3 in [19]). *Let $u : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be periodic with bounded subharmonic extension \tilde{u} to $|\operatorname{Im} z| \leq 1$. Assume $\omega \in DC$. Then*

$$\operatorname{mes} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{T} : \left| \sum_{0 \leq |m| < M} \frac{M - |m|}{M^2} u(x + m\omega) - \hat{u}(0) \right| > M^{-\sigma} \right\} < e^{-cM^\sigma}, \quad c > 0$$

for some $\sigma = \sigma(\omega) > 0$.

Now we can prove Green's function estimates.

Proposition 4.3. *Under the assumptions of Lemma 4.1, we have for $\omega \in DC$, $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, there is $\Omega = \Omega_N(E) \subset \mathbb{T}$ satisfying*

$$\text{mes}\Omega < e^{-cN^\sigma}, \quad c, \sigma > 0$$

such that if $x \notin \Omega$, then for some $|m| < \sqrt{N}$, we have the Green's function estimate

$$|G_{[0,N)}(x+m\omega, E)(n, n')| < e^{-c_0(|n-n'|-(\kappa+\varepsilon_0^\delta)N)}, \quad n, n' \in [0, N),$$

where $c_0 = c_0(\rho) > 0$, $\kappa = \kappa(\phi, f, g) > 0$, $\delta = \delta(f, g) > 0$.

Proof. Take $\tilde{C} > 10(C_{f,g} + 1)$, where $C_{f,g}$ is in (4.23). The function

$$(4.27) \quad u(x) = \frac{1}{N} \log[|\det B_N(x, E)| + \tilde{C}^{-N}]$$

admits a bounded subharmonic extension $u(z)$ to $|\text{Im}z| \leq \rho_1$. By Theorem 4.2, for $x \notin \Omega$, $\text{mes}\Omega < e^{-cN^\sigma}$, there is $|m| < \sqrt{N}$, such that $u(x+m\omega) \geq \hat{u}(0) - N^{-\sigma}$. By Lemma 4.1,

$$(4.28) \quad |\det B_N(x+m\omega, E)| \geq e^{N \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x)-Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} dx - (\kappa+\varepsilon_0^{\frac{1}{4}})N - N^{1-\sigma}}.$$

We want to obtain an upper bound on $|\det B_{n,n'}(x, E)|$ uniformly in x . Express $\det B_{n,n'}(x, E)$ as a sum over paths γ as

$$(4.29) \quad \sum_s \sum_{|\gamma|=s} \pm \det[R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma} B_N(x, E) R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma}] \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} \right)^{s-1} \prod_{i=1}^{s-1} [\hat{\phi}(\gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i) f(x + \gamma_{i+1}\omega)],$$

where $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s)$ is a sequence in $[0, N)$ with $\gamma_1 = n$, $\gamma_s = n'$.

Hence

$$(4.30) \quad |\det B_{n,n'}(x, E)| < \sum_s \sum_{|\gamma|=s} \varepsilon^{s-1} e^{-\rho \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |\gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i|} |\det[R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma} B_N(x, E) R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma}]|.$$

If we denote $b = \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} |\gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i| \geq |n - n'|$ and use the fact that there are at most $2^{s-1} \binom{b}{s-1} (s, b)$ -paths, then

$$(4.31) \quad |\det B_{n,n'}(x, E)| < \sum_{b \geq |n-n'|} \sum_{s \leq b+1} 2^{s-1} \binom{b}{s-1} \varepsilon^{s-1} e^{-\rho b} \max_{|\gamma|=s} |\det[R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma} B_N(x, E) R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma}]|.$$

By Hadamard inequality,

$$(4.32) \quad |\det[R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma} B_N(x, E) R_{[0,N)\setminus\gamma}]| \leq \prod_{k \in [0,N)\setminus\gamma} \left[\frac{|g(x+k\omega) - Ef(x+k\omega)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} + \varepsilon_0(\|\hat{\phi}\|_1 + 1) \right].$$

Let

$$(4.33) \quad S_1 = \sum_{k \in [0,N)} \log \left[\frac{|g(x+k\omega) - Ef(x+k\omega)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} + \varepsilon_0(\|\hat{\phi}\|_1 + 1) \right].$$

By Denjoy-Koksma type inequality and Lemma 3.5,

$$(4.34) \quad \begin{aligned} S_1 &\leq N \int_0^1 \log \left[\frac{|g(x) - Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} + \varepsilon_0(\|\hat{\phi}\|_1 + 1) \right] dx + N^{1-\delta_1} \\ &\leq N \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x) - Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} dx + N \varepsilon_0^{\delta_2}, \delta_1 = \delta_1(\omega) > 0, \delta_2 = \delta_2(f, g) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$(4.35) \quad S_2 = \sum_{k \in \gamma} \log \left[\frac{|g(x+k\omega) - Ef(x+k\omega)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} + \varepsilon_0(\|\hat{\phi}\|_1 + 1) \right], \quad |\gamma| = s.$$

By Lemma 3.5, using the method of Lemma 11.29 in [1], we can prove that if $|\gamma| = s > \varepsilon_0^{\delta_0} N$, then for all x , $S_2 \geq \frac{3}{4}s \log \varepsilon_0$, where $\delta_0 = \delta_0(f, g) > 0$.

By (4.31)-(4.35),

$$(4.36) \quad |\det B_{n,n'}(x, E)| < \sum_{b \geq |n-n'|} \sum_{s \leq b+1, s \leq \varepsilon_0^{\delta_0} N} 2^{s-1} \binom{b}{s-1} \varepsilon^{s-1} e^{-\rho b} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^s e^{N \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x)-Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} dx + N \varepsilon_0^{\delta_2}} \\ + \sum_{b \geq |n-n'|} \sum_{s \leq b+1, s > \varepsilon_0^{\delta_0} N} 2^{s-1} \binom{b}{s-1} \varepsilon^{s-1} e^{-\rho b} \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon_0}\right)^{\frac{3}{4}s} e^{N \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x)-Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} dx + N \varepsilon_0^{\delta_2}}.$$

Follow the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [19], we have

$$(4.37) \quad |\det B_{n,n'}(x, E)| < e^{N \int_0^1 \log \frac{|g(x)-Ef(x)|}{\sqrt{1+E^2}} dx + N \varepsilon_0^{\delta_2} + N \varepsilon_0^{\frac{\delta_0}{2}} - \frac{\rho}{2}|n-n'|}.$$

Using (4.28), (4.37), we have for $x \notin \Omega$, there is $|m| < \sqrt{N}$, such that

$$(4.38) \quad |B_N(x + m\omega, E)^{-1}(n, n')| < e^{-\frac{\rho}{2}|n-n'| + (\kappa + \varepsilon_0^{\delta})N}.$$

This proves the Green's function estimate. \square

Remark 4.4. In the proof of Proposition 4.3, we only need to assume

$$\|k\omega\| > \frac{a}{|k|^A}, \quad \forall 0 < |k| \leq N.$$

5. PROOF OF ANDERSON LOCALIZATION

In this section, we give the proof of Anderson localization as in [3].

We first recall some basic facts of semi-algebraic sets. Let

$$\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \dots, P_s\} \subset \mathbb{R}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$$

be a family of real polynomials whose degrees are bounded by d . A semi-algebraic set is given by

$$(5.1) \quad S = \bigcup_j \bigcap_{l \in L_j} \{\mathbb{R}^n : P_l s_{jl} = 0\},$$

where $L_j \subset \{1, \dots, s\}$, $s_{jl} \in \{\leq, \geq, =\}$ are arbitrary. We say that S has degree at most sd and its degree is the inf of sd over all representations as in (5.1).

We need the following quantitative version of the Tarski-Seidenberg principle.

Proposition 5.1 ([7]). *Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a semi-algebraic set of degree B , then any projection of S is semi-algebraic of degree at most B^C , $C = C(n)$.*

Next fact deals with the intersection of a semi-algebraic set of small measure and the orbit of a diophantine shift.

Proposition 5.2 (Corollary 9.7 in [1]). *Let $S \subset [0, 1]^n$ be semi-algebraic of degree B and $\text{mes}_n S < \eta$. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{T}^n$ satisfy a DC and*

$$\log B \ll \log N \ll \log \frac{1}{\eta}.$$

Then for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}^n$,

$$\#\{k = 1, \dots, N : x_0 + k\omega \in S\} < N^{1-\delta}$$

for some $\delta = \delta(\omega) > 0$.

We will make essential use of the following transversality property.

Lemma 5.3 (Lemma 9.9 in [1]). *Let $S \subset [0, 1]^{2n}$ be a semi-algebraic set of degree B and $\text{mes}_{2n} S < \eta$, $\log B \ll \log \frac{1}{\eta}$. We denote $(\omega, x) \in [0, 1]^n \times [0, 1]^n$ the product variable and $\{e_j : 0 \leq j \leq n-1\}$ the ω -coordinate vectors. Fix $\varepsilon > \eta^{\frac{1}{2n}}$. Then there is a decomposition $S = S_1 \cup S_2$, S_1 satisfying*

$$\text{mes}_n(\text{Proj}_\omega S_1) < B^C \varepsilon$$

and S_2 satisfying the transversality property

$$\text{mes}_n(S_2 \cap L) < B^C \varepsilon^{-1} \eta^{\frac{1}{2n}}$$

for any n -dimensional hyperplane L such that $\max_{0 \leq j \leq n-1} |\text{Proj}_L(e_j)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{100}$.

By application of the resolvent identity, we have the following

Lemma 5.4. *Let $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be an interval of size N and $\{I_\alpha\}$ subintervals of size $M = N^\tau$, $\tau > 0$ is small. Assume $\forall k \in I$, there is some α such that*

$$(5.2) \quad \left[k - \frac{M}{4}, k + \frac{M}{4} \right] \cap I \subset I_\alpha$$

and $\forall \alpha$,

$$(5.3) \quad |G_{I_\alpha}(n_1, n_2)| < e^{-c_0(|n_1 - n_2| - (\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M)}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in I_\alpha.$$

Then

$$(5.4) \quad |G_I(n_1, n_2)| < 2e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in I,$$

$$(5.5) \quad |G_I(n_1, n_2)| < e^{-\frac{1}{2}c_0|n_1 - n_2|}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in I, |n_1 - n_2| > \frac{N}{10}.$$

Proof. For $m, n \in I$, there is some α such that

$$(5.6) \quad \left[m - \frac{M}{4}, m + \frac{M}{4} \right] \cap I \subset I_\alpha.$$

By resolvent identity,

$$(5.7) \quad |G_I(m, n)| \leq e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} + \sum_{m_1 \in I_\alpha, m_2 \notin I_\alpha} |G_{I_\alpha}(m, m_1)| e^{-\rho|m_1 - m_2|} |G_I(m_2, n)|.$$

If $|m_1 - m| \leq \frac{M}{8}$, then $|m_1 - m_2| \geq \frac{M}{8}$, hence

$$(5.8) \quad \sum_{|m_1 - m| \leq \frac{M}{8}, m_2 \notin I_\alpha} |G_{I_\alpha}(m, m_1)| e^{-\rho|m_1 - m_2|} < M e^{-\rho \frac{M}{8}} e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

If $|m_1 - m| > \frac{M}{8}$, then

$$(5.9) \quad \sum_{|m_1 - m| > \frac{M}{8}, m_2 \notin I_\alpha} |G_{I_\alpha}(m, m_1)| e^{-\rho|m_1 - m_2|} < M e^{-c_0 \frac{M}{8}} e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} < \frac{1}{4}.$$

By (5.7), (5.8), (5.9),

$$(5.10) \quad \max_{m, n \in I} |G_I(m, n)| < e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} + \frac{1}{2} \max_{m, n \in I} |G_I(m, n)|.$$

(5.4) follows from (5.10).

Take $m, n \in I, |m - n| > \frac{N}{10}$, assume (5.6), by resolvent identity,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.11) \quad |G_I(m, n)| &\leq \sum_{n_0 \in I_\alpha, n_1 \notin I_\alpha} |G_{I_\alpha}(m, n_0)| e^{-\rho|n_0 - n_1|} |G_I(n_1, n)| \\
 &\leq M e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} \sum_{|m - n_1| > \frac{M}{4}} e^{-c_0|m - n_1|} |G_I(n_1, n)| \\
 &\leq M^t N^t e^{t c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} e^{-c_0(|m - n_1| + \dots + |n_{t-1} - n_t|)} |G_I(n_t, n)|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $t \leq 10 \frac{N}{M}, |m - n_1| > \frac{M}{4}, \dots, |n_{t-1} - n_t| > \frac{M}{4}$.

If $|n - n_t| \leq M$, then by (5.4), (5.11),

$$(5.12) \quad |G_I(m, n)| \leq M^t N^t e^{t c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} e^{-c_0(|m - n| - M)} 2e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} \leq e^{20c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)N - c_0|m - n|} < e^{-\frac{1}{2}c_0|m - n|}.$$

If $t = 10 \frac{N}{M}$, then by (5.4), (5.11),

$$(5.13) \quad |G_I(m, n)| \leq M^t N^t e^{t c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} e^{-t c_0 \frac{M}{4}} 2e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)M} \leq e^{40c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)N - \frac{5}{2}c_0N} < e^{-2c_0N} < e^{-c_0|m - n|}.$$

(5.5) follows from (5.12), (5.13). This proves Lemma 5.4. \square

Now we can prove the main result.

Theorem 5.5. *Consider the following long-range operators with singular potentials*

$$(5.14) \quad H_\omega(x) = v(x + n\omega) \delta_{nn'} + \varepsilon S_\phi,$$

where $v = \frac{g}{f}$, f, g are real analytic on \mathbb{T} , f, v are nonconstant, $Z(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{T} : f(x) = 0\} \neq \emptyset$. Assume $\omega \in DC$ (diophantine condition),

$$(5.15) \quad \|k\omega\| > a|k|^{-A}, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$$

and ϕ real analytic satisfying

$$(5.16) \quad |\hat{\phi}(n)| < e^{-\rho|n|}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

for some $\rho > 0$. Fix $x_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Then there is $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(f, g, \phi) > 0$, such that if $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$, for almost all $\omega \in DC$, $H_\omega(x_0)$ satisfies Anderson localization.

Proof. By Shnol's theorem [10], to establish Anderson localization, it suffices to show that if $\xi = (\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, E \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy

$$(5.17) \quad \xi_0 = 1, |\xi_n| < C|n|, \quad |n| \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(5.18) \quad H(x_0)\xi = E\xi,$$

then

$$(5.19) \quad |\xi_n| < e^{-c|n|}, \quad |n| \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Proposition 4.3, there is $\Omega = \Omega_N(E) \subset \mathbb{T}$, $\text{mes}\Omega < e^{-cN^\sigma}$, such that if $x \notin \Omega$, then for some $|m| < \sqrt{N}$,

$$(5.20) \quad |G_{[-N, N]}(x + m\omega, E)(n_1, n_2)| < e^{-c_0(|n_1 - n_2| - (\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)N)}, \quad |n_1|, |n_2| \leq N.$$

As in Section 4,

$$(5.21) \quad |G_{[-N, N]}(x + m\omega, E)(n_1, n_2)| = \frac{|f(x + m\omega + n_2\omega)|}{\sqrt{1 + E^2}} |B_{[-N, N]}(x + m\omega, E)^{-1}(n_1, n_2)|.$$

Truncate power series for f, g in (5.21), Ω may be assumed semi-algebraic of degree at most N^8 . Let $N_1 = N^{C_1}$, C_1 is a sufficiently large constant. Then by Proposition 5.2,

$$(5.22) \quad \#\{|j| \leq N_1 : x_0 + j\omega \in \Omega\} < N_1^{1-\delta_1}, \quad \delta_1 > 0.$$

Using (5.22), we may find an interval $I \subset [0, N_1]$ of size N such that

$$(5.23) \quad x_0 + j\omega \notin \Omega, \quad \forall j \in I \cup (-I).$$

Then for some $|m_j| < \sqrt{N}$,

$$(5.24) \quad |G_{[a,b]}(x_0, E)(n_1, n_2)| < e^{-c_0(|n_1-n_2|-(\kappa+\epsilon_0^\delta)N)}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in [a, b],$$

where $[a, b] = [j + m_j - N, j + m_j + N]$. By (5.17), (5.18), (5.24),

$$(5.25) \quad |\xi_j| \leq C \sum_{n \in [a,b], n' \notin [a,b]} e^{-c_0(|j-n|-(\kappa+\epsilon_0^\delta)N)} e^{-\rho|n-n'|} |n'| \leq CN_1 e^{c_0(\kappa+\epsilon_0^\delta)N} e^{-\frac{c_0}{2}N} < e^{-\frac{c_0}{3}N}.$$

Let j_0 be the center of I , we have

$$(5.26) \quad 1 = \xi_0 \leq \|G_{[-j_0, j_0]}(x_0, E)\| \|R_{[-j_0, j_0]} H(x_0) R_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus [-j_0, j_0]} \xi\|.$$

For $|n| \leq j_0$, by (5.25),

$$(5.27) \quad \begin{aligned} |(R_{[-j_0, j_0]} H(x_0) R_{\mathbb{Z} \setminus [-j_0, j_0]} \xi)_n| &\leq \sum_{|n'| > j_0} e^{-\rho|n-n'|} |\xi_{n'}| \\ &\leq \sum_{j_0 < |n'| \leq j_0 + \frac{N}{2}} e^{-\rho|n-n'|} e^{-\frac{c_0}{3}N} + C \sum_{|n'| > j_0 + \frac{N}{2}} e^{-\rho|n-n'|} |n'| < C e^{-\frac{c_0}{3}N} + CN_1 e^{-\rho \frac{N}{3}} < e^{-\frac{c_0}{4}N}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5.26), (5.27),

$$(5.28) \quad \|G_{[-j_0, j_0]}(x_0, E)\| > e^{\frac{c_0}{3}N},$$

hence

$$(5.29) \quad \text{dist}(E, \text{spec} H_{[-j_0, j_0]}(x_0)) < e^{-\frac{c_0}{3}N}.$$

Denote

$$(5.30) \quad \mathcal{E}_\omega = \bigcup_{|j| \leq N_1} \text{spec} H_{[-j, j]}(x_0).$$

It follows from (5.29) that if $x \notin \bigcup_{E' \in \mathcal{E}_\omega} \Omega(E')$, then for some $|m| < \sqrt{N}$,

$$(5.31) \quad |G_{[-N, N]+m}(x, E)(n_1, n_2)| < e^{-c_0(|n_1-n_2|-(\kappa+\epsilon_0^\delta)N)}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in [-N, N] + m.$$

Let $N_2 = N^{C_2}$, C_2 is a sufficiently large constant. Suppose

$$(5.32) \quad x_0 + n\omega \notin \bigcup_{E' \in \mathcal{E}_\omega} \Omega(E'), \quad \forall \sqrt{N_2} < |n| < 2N_2,$$

then by (5.31), there are $|m_n| < \sqrt{N}$ such that

$$(5.33) \quad |G_{[-N, N]+n+m_n}(x_0, E)(n_1, n_2)| < e^{-c_0(|n_1-n_2|-(\kappa+\epsilon_0^\delta)N)}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in [-N, N] + n + m_n.$$

Let $\Lambda = \bigcup_{\sqrt{N_2} < n < 2N_2} ([-N, N] + n + m_n) \supset [\sqrt{N_2}, 2N_2]$. By Lemma 5.4,

$$(5.34) \quad |G_\Lambda(x_0, E)(n_1, n_2)| < 2e^{c_0(\kappa+\epsilon_0^\delta)N}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in \Lambda,$$

$$(5.35) \quad |G_\Lambda(x_0, E)(n_1, n_2)| < e^{-\frac{c_0}{2}|n_1-n_2|}, \quad n_1, n_2 \in \Lambda, |n_1 - n_2| > \frac{N_2}{10}.$$

For $\frac{1}{2}N_2 \leq j \leq N_2$, by (5.34), (5.35),

$$(5.36) \quad \begin{aligned} |\xi_j| &\leq C \sum_{n \in \Lambda, n' \notin \Lambda} |G_\Lambda(x_0, E)(j, n)| e^{-\rho|n-n'|} |n'| \\ &\leq CN_2 \sum_{|n-j| > \frac{N_2}{10}} e^{-\frac{c_0}{2}|n-j|} + CN_2^2 e^{c_0(\kappa + \varepsilon_0^\delta)N} e^{-\rho \frac{N_2}{4}} \leq e^{-\frac{c_0}{50}N_2} \leq e^{-\frac{c_0}{50}j}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we need to prove (5.32). Consider for $|j| \leq N_1$, the set $S_j \subset \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ of (ω, x, E') where

$$(5.37) \quad \|k\omega\| > a|k|^{-A}, \quad \forall 0 < |k| \leq N,$$

$$(5.38) \quad x \in \Omega(E'),$$

$$(5.39) \quad E' \in \text{spec}H_{[-j, j]}(x_0).$$

Let

$$(5.40) \quad S = \text{Proj}_{\mathbb{T}^2} S_j.$$

Since $\text{mes}\Omega(E') < e^{-cN^\sigma}$,

$$(5.41) \quad \text{mes}S < N_1 e^{-cN^\sigma} < e^{-cN^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}}.$$

Since S_j is a semi-algebraic set of degree at most N_1^{10} , by Proposition 5.1, S is a semi-algebraic set of degree at most N_1^{10C} .

Take $n = 1, B = N_1^{10C}, \eta = e^{-cN^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}}, \varepsilon = N_2^{-\frac{1}{10}}$ in Lemma 5.3, we have $S = S_1 \cup S_2$,

$$(5.42) \quad \text{mesProj}_\omega S_1 < B^C \varepsilon < N_1^C N_2^{-\frac{1}{10}} < N_2^{-\frac{1}{11}}.$$

We study the intersection of S_2 and sets

$$(5.43) \quad \{(\omega, x_0 + n\omega) : \omega \in [0, 1]\}, \quad \sqrt{N_2} < |n| < 2N_2,$$

where $x_0 + n\omega$ are considered mod 1. (5.43) lies in the parallel lines

$$(5.44) \quad L = L_m^{(n)} = \left[\omega = \frac{x}{n} \right] - \frac{m+x_0}{n} e_\omega, \quad |m| < N_2.$$

Since $|\text{Proj}_L e_\omega| < \frac{\varepsilon}{100}$, by Lemma 5.3,

$$(5.45) \quad \text{mes}(S_2 \cap L) < B^C \varepsilon^{-1} \eta^{\frac{1}{2}} < N_1^C N_2^{\frac{1}{10}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}cN^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}}.$$

Summing over n, m ,

$$(5.46) \quad \text{mes}\{\omega \in [0, 1] : (\omega, x_0 + n\omega) \in S_2, \exists \sqrt{N_2} < |n| < 2N_2\} < N_2^2 N_1^C N_2^{\frac{1}{10}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}cN^{\frac{\sigma}{2}}} < e^{-cN^{\frac{\sigma}{4}}}.$$

From (5.42), (5.46), we exclude an ω -set of measure $N_2^{-\frac{1}{11}} + e^{-cN^{\frac{\sigma}{4}}} < N_2^{-\frac{1}{12}}$. Summing over $|j| \leq N_1$, we get an ω -set $\mathcal{R}_N, \text{mes}\mathcal{R}_N < N_2^{-\frac{1}{13}} < N^{-10}$, such that for $\omega \notin \mathcal{R}_N$,

$$(5.47) \quad |\xi_j| < e^{-\frac{c_0}{50}|j|}, \quad \forall |j| \in \left[\frac{1}{2}N^{C_2}, N^{C_2} \right].$$

Let

$$(5.48) \quad \mathcal{R} = \bigcap_{N_0 \geq 1} \bigcup_{N \geq N_0} \mathcal{R}_N,$$

then $\text{mes}\mathcal{R} = 0$. If $\omega \notin \mathcal{R}$, then by (5.48), there is $N_0 \geq 1$ such that $\omega \notin \mathcal{R}_N, \forall N \geq N_0$. By (5.47),

$$(5.49) \quad |\xi_j| < e^{-\frac{c_0}{50}|j|}, \quad \forall |j| \in \bigcup_{N \geq N_0} \left[\frac{1}{2}N^{C_2}, N^{C_2} \right] = \left[\frac{1}{2}N_0^{C_2}, \infty \right).$$

This proves (5.19) and Theorem 5.5. \square

Acknowledgment. This paper was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11790272 and No. 11771093).

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bourgain. *Green's function estimates for lattice Schrödinger operators and applications*, volume 158 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2005.
- [2] J. Bourgain. Anderson localization for quasi-periodic lattice Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{Z}^d , d arbitrary. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 17(3):682–706, 2007.
- [3] J. Bourgain and M. Goldstein. On nonperturbative localization with quasi-periodic potential. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 152(3):835–879, 2000.
- [4] J. Bourgain, M. Goldstein, and W. Schlag. Anderson localization for Schrödinger operators on \mathbb{Z}^2 with quasi-periodic potential. *Acta Math.*, 188(1):41–86, 2002.
- [5] J. Bourgain and S. Jitomirskaya. Absolutely continuous spectrum for 1D quasiperiodic operators. *Invent. Math.*, 148(3):453–463, 2002.
- [6] J. Bourgain and I. Kachkovskiy. Anderson localization for two interacting quasiperiodic particles. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 29(1):3–43, 2019.
- [7] S. Basu, R. Pollack and M.-F. Roy. On the combinatorial and algebraic complexity of quantifier elimination. *JACM*, 43(6):1002–1045, 1996.
- [8] V. A. Chulaevsky and E. I. Dinaburg. Methods of KAM-theory for long-range quasi-periodic operators on \mathbb{Z}^V . Pure point spectrum. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 153(3):559–577, 1993.
- [9] P. Duarte and S. Klein. *Lyapunov exponents of linear cocycles*, volume 3 of *Atlantis Studies in Dynamical Systems*. Atlantis Press, Paris, 2016.
- [10] R. Han. Shnol's theorem and the spectrum of long range operators. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 147(7): 2887–2897, 2019.
- [11] S. Jitomirskaya. Metal-insulator transition for the almost Mathieu operator. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 150(3):1159–1175, 1999.
- [12] S. Jitomirskaya and W. Liu. Arithmetic spectral transitions for the Maryland model. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 70(6):1025–1051, 2017.
- [13] S. Jitomirskaya, W. Liu, and Y. Shi. Anderson localization for multi-frequency quasi-periodic operators on \mathbb{Z}^d . *arXiv:1908.03805*, 2019.
- [14] S. Jitomirskaya and F. Yang. Singular continuous spectrum for singular potentials. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 351(3):1127–1135, 2017.
- [15] S. Jitomirskaya and F. Yang. Pure point spectrum for the Maryland model: a constructive proof. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 1-12, 2019.
- [16] I. Kachkovskiy. Localization for quasiperiodic operators with unbounded monotone potentials. *J. Functional Analysis*, 277(10):3467–3490, 2019.
- [17] B. Y. Levin. *Lectures on entire functions*, volume 150 of *Translations of Mathematical Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [18] B. Simon. Almost periodic Schrödinger operators. IV. The Maryland model. *Ann. Physics*, 159(1):157–183, 1985.
- [19] J. Shi and X. Yuan. Anderson localization for the Maryland model with long range interactions. *arXiv:1909.06542*, 2019.

(Wenwen Jian) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA
E-mail address: wwjian16@fudan.edu.cn

(Jia Shi) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA
E-mail address: 15110180007@fudan.edu.cn

(Xiaoping Yuan) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA
E-mail address: xpyuan@fudan.edu.cn