

# Derivation of a Formula of Blaschke and Petkantschin using Probabilistic Ideas

Mohsen Sharifitabar\*

Dept. of Mathematical Sci., Sharif Univ. of Tech.

March 14, 2024

## Abstract

We give a new proof for the well-known Blaschke–Petkantschin formula which is based on the polar decomposition of rectangular matrices and may be of interest in random matrix theory.

**Keywords.** Blaschke–Petkantschin formula, Polar decomposition, Random matrices.

**AMS subject classifications.** 60D05, 60B20.

## 1 Introduction

The Blaschke–Petkantschin formula is an integration formula which was introduced by Blaschke[3] and Petkantschin[11]. Since then, this formula and its generalizations was developed as a powerful tool in many fields, e.g. Analysis, Stereology, Stochastic Geometry [1, 2, 5, 8, 4, 7]. In its classical form, it can be interpreted as a decomposition of  $k$ -fold product measure of  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. However it has been restated and generalized by many authors; see [10, 12, 6, 9]. Most of these works have used differential forms. In this paper we present an elegant proof for Blaschke–Petkantschin formula (in matrix form) by a different approach, deploying random Gaussian matrices and their properties.

---

\*sharifitabar@sharif.ir

## 2 Matrix Polar Integration Formula and Its Proof

Using polar coordinates, one can reduce an integral of a radial function over  $n$ -dimensional space to a one-dimensional integral. The appropriate generalization in this context is to replace radial with the property that the values of the function depend only on the relative positions of arguments.

Before proceeding further, we present some notations which are used throughout this paper.

**Some Notations** Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $(n \geq k)$ .

- $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$  : All  $n \times k$  real matrices
- $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(n, k) : \{X \in \mathcal{M}(n, k) \mid \text{rank}(X) = k\}$   
 $(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(n, k))$  is open and dense in  $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$  if the latter considered as  $\mathbb{R}^{nk}$
- $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  (Orthogonal  $n \times k$  matrices) :  $\{O \in \mathcal{M}(n, k) \mid O^T O = 1_k\}$
- $\mathcal{P}(k)$  (Positive-definite matrices) :  $\{P \in \mathcal{M}(k) \mid P > 0\}$

The following theorem makes this generalization precise.

**Theorem 2.1** (Polar Integration Formula (PIF)). *Let  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq k$  and  $\varphi : (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be orthogonally-symmetric i.e. for any  $O \in O(n)$ ,  $\varphi(Ox_1, \dots, Ox_k) = \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ . Then:*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^k)^k} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) C_{n,k} |\det[x_1, \dots, x_k]|^{n-k} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \end{aligned}$$

where in  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k)$  of the right-hand side,  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^k$  are considered as vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  via the natural embedding of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $[x_1, \dots, x_k]$  is the  $k \times k$  matrix whose columns are  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $C_{n,k}$  is a constant.

In this paper, we prove theorem (2.1) using random matrix point of view. In fact, what we are about to prove is a stronger theorem in matrix form which is of its own interest.

**Proposition 2.2** (Polar Decomposition). *A matrix  $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(n, k)$  can be decomposed uniquely as  $X = OP$  where  $O \in \mathcal{O}(n, k)$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}(k)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P$  and  $O$  are as above, then:

$$X^T X = P^T O^T O P = P^2 \implies P = \sqrt{X^T X}.$$

$$O = X P^{-1} = X (\sqrt{X^T X})^{-1} \quad (\text{uniqueness})$$

It can be easily checked that these  $P$  and  $O$  satisfy the desired conditions (*existence*).  $\square$

### Two Observations:

(i) To any  $x_1, \dots, x_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , assign  $X = [x_1, \dots, x_k] \in \mathcal{M}(n, k)$  so  $\varphi : (\mathbb{R}^n)^k \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be considered as a real-valued function on  $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$ . Now the orthogonal symmetry of  $\varphi$  reads  $\varphi(X) = \varphi(UX)$  for all  $U \in \mathcal{O}(n)$  or equivalently if  $X = OP$  is the polar decomposition of  $X$ ,  $\varphi$  depends only on the positive-definite part of  $X$ , i.e.  $P$ .

(ii) By Polar Decomposition Theorem,  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(n, k) \approx \mathcal{O}(n, k) \times \mathcal{P}(k)$ . Therefore if one fixes appropriate measures  $dX$ ,  $dO$  and  $dP$  on  $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$ ,  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(k)$  respectively, any integral on  $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$  can be written as an integral over  $\mathcal{O}(n, k) \times \mathcal{P}(k)$  after multiplying by the appropriate Jacobian factor.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $n \geq k$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  acts on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  (by multiplication on the left). There exist a unique probability measure  $\mu^*$  on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  invariant under this action. Moreover,  $\mu^*$  is also invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{O}(k)$  (by multiplication on the right).*

*Proof.* Both  $G = \mathcal{O}(n)$  and  $H = \mathcal{O}(k)$  are compact Lie groups and so possess unique Haar probability measures  $\mu_G$  and  $\mu_H$ . Now  $G$  and  $H$  act on  $X = \mathcal{O}(n, k)$  from left and right, respectively. The action of  $G$  is transitive and  $g.(x.h) = (g.x).h$  for any  $g \in G$  and  $h \in H$  and  $x \in X$ . Now let  $\mu$  be any arbitrary probability (Borel) measure on  $X$  and for any  $A \subseteq X$  define:

$$\mu^*(A) = \int_G \int_H \mu(g.A.h) d\mu_H(h) d\mu_G(g).$$

It is obvious that  $\mu^*$  is invariant under the action of  $G$  and  $H$ . Conversely, for any  $G$ -invariant probability measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  on  $X$ , one can fix some  $x_0 \in X$  and define  $\mu$  on  $G$  as  $\mu(K) = \tilde{\mu}(K.x_0)$ . Therefore  $\mu$  is a probability measure because the action is transitive. It is also invariant under multiplication of  $G$  and hence it should be the unique Haar probability measure on  $G$ , i.e.  $\mu_G$ . This means  $\tilde{\mu}(K.x_0) = \mu_G(K)$  for any  $K \subseteq G$ . One can replace  $\tilde{\mu}$  by  $\mu^*$  everywhere to conclude  $\tilde{\mu}(K.x_0) = \mu_G(K) = \mu^*(K.x_0)$ . Uniqueness follows by using the transitivity of the action one more time.  $\square$

We will refer to  $\mu^*$  in the above theorem as the *homogeneous measure* on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  and integrate functions on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  with respect to this measure.

**Theorem 2.4** (Matrix Polar Integration Formula (MPIF)). *For any function  $\varphi : \mathcal{M}(n, k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}(n, k)} \varphi(X) dX = \int_{\mathcal{P}(k)} \int_{\mathcal{O}(n, k)} \varphi(OP) D_{n, k} (\det P)^{n-k} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) dO dP$$

where  $dX = \prod_{i, j} dX_{ij}$ ,  $dP = \prod_{i \leq j} dP_{ij}$  and  $dO$  is the homogeneous probability measure on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  and  $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0$  are the eigenvalues of  $P$  and  $D_{n, k}$  is a constant.

**Matrix Polar Integration Formula  $\Rightarrow$  Polar Integration Formula:**

*Proof.* It was noted in observation (i) that the left hand side of PIF can be written as  $\int_{\mathcal{M}(n, k)} \varphi(X) dX$ . Now using MPIF and noting that  $\varphi(OP)$  is only a function of  $P$  (as mentioned in observation (i)), we obtain,

$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) dx_1 \cdots dx_k = \int_{\mathcal{P}(k)} \varphi(P) D_{n, k} (\det P)^{n-k} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) dP.$$

Once again, using MPIF for  $n = k$ , substituting  $\varphi(X) |\det X|^{n-k}$  for  $\varphi$  and noting that for a  $k \times k$  matrix  $X$ ,  $|\det X| = \det P$  where  $X = OP$  is the polar decomposition, one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^k)^k} \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) |\det[x_1, \dots, x_k]|^{n-k} dx_1 \cdots dx_k \\ = \int_{\mathcal{P}(k)} \varphi(P) D_{n, k} (\det P)^{n-k} \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_i + \lambda_j) dP. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two equalities completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 2.5.* It is clear from the above proof that  $C_{n,k} = \frac{D_{n,k}}{D_{k,k}}$ . Our proof does not evaluate  $C_{n,k}$ , but using some results from random determinants it can be computed for even  $n - k$ . Let  $\varphi(x_1, \dots, x_k) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2}$  which is orthogonally invariant. In PIF the l.h.s. is a Gaussian integral which can be easily evaluated. The r.h.s. is the  $(n - k)$ -th moment of a Gaussian determinant after multiplication by an appropriate factor. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (\sqrt{2\pi})^{kn} &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n)^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2} dx_1 \dots dx_k \\ &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^k)^k} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \|x_i\|^2} C_{n,k} |\det[x_1, \dots, x_k]|^{n-k} dx_1 \dots dx_k \\ &= (\sqrt{2\pi})^{k^2} C_{n,k} \mathbb{E}[|\Delta_k|^{n-k}], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta_k$  is the determinant of a  $k \times k$  matrix with independent standard Gaussian entries. These determinants have been studied since 1920's when Wishart introduced random determinants in statistics. It is known that (see [])

$$\mathbb{E}[|\Delta_k|^{2r}] = \left(\frac{k}{2}\right)^{-kr} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(r + \frac{j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2})}.$$

For even  $n - k$ , we obtain:

$$C_{n,k} = (\pi k)^{\frac{k(n-k)}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n-k+j}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{j}{2})}.$$

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be an  $n \times k$  matrix with independent standard Gaussian entries and let  $X = OP$  be its polar decomposition. Then:*

- (i)  *$O$  and  $P$  are independent.*
- (ii)  *$O$  is distributed according to the homogeneous measure on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$ .*
- (iii)  *$P$  is distributed as a measure on  $\mathcal{P}(k)$  which is invariant under orthogonal changes of coordinates  $P \longrightarrow V^T P V$  ( $V \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ ).*

To prove this proposition, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $U \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{O}(k)$  and  $X$  be an  $n \times k$  random matrix as in (2.6). (i.e.  $X_{ij}$ 's are independent standard Gaussian random variables). Then  $UXV \sim X$ .*

*Proof.* The entries of  $UXV$  are linear combinations of  $X_{ij}$ 's and hence jointly Gaussian. So it is sufficient to compute covariances:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Cov}((UXV)_{ij}, (UXV)_{rs}) &= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{a,b} U_{ia} X_{ab} V_{bj}, \sum_{c,d} U_{rc} X_{cd} V_{ds}\right) \\
&= \sum_{a,b,c,d} U_{ia} U_{rc} V_{bj} V_{ds} \text{Cov}(X_{ab}, X_{cd}) \\
&= \sum_{a,b,c,d} U_{ia} U_{rc} V_{bj} V_{ds} \delta_{ac} \delta_{bd} \\
&= \sum_{a,b} U_{ia} U_{ra} V_{bj} V_{bs} \\
&= \left(\sum_a U_{ia} U_{ra}\right) \left(\sum_b V_{bj} V_{bs}\right) \\
&= (UU^T)_{ir} (VV^T)_{js} = \delta_{ir} \delta_{js}
\end{aligned}$$

□

*Proof of Proposition(2.6):*

- (ii) By lemma(2.7),  $UX \sim X$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ . But if  $X = OP$  is the polar decomposition of  $X$ , then  $UX = (UO)P$  will be the polar decomposition of  $UX$ , so  $UO \sim O$  and this is the case for any  $U \in \mathcal{O}(n)$ , i.e. the distribution of  $O$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathcal{O}(n)$ . Now the claim is concluded from Theorem (2.3).
- (i) Let  $\mu(.|P)$  be the conditional probability measure induced on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  knowing the positive-definite part of polar decomposition to be  $P$ . Again since  $UX \sim X$  and  $UX = (UO)P$ , one has  $UO \sim O$  under  $\mu(.|P)$  which implies that  $\mu(.|P)$  is distributed as the homogeneous measure on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  which does not depend on  $P$ . Hence  $O$  and  $P$  are independent.
- (iii) By Lemma (2.7),  $XV \sim X$  for  $V \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ . Now if  $X = OP$  is the polar decomposition of  $X$ , the one of  $XV$  will be  $XV = (OV)(V^T P V)$  and hence  $V^T P V \sim P$ .

□

**Corollary 2.8.** *Using the polar decomposition isomorphism  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(n, k) \approx \mathcal{O}(n, k) \times \mathcal{P}(k)$ , one has  $dX = dO \times d\mu_{n,k}$  where  $dX$  is the standard Lebesgue measure on*

$\mathcal{M}(n, k)$ ,  $dO$  is the homogeneous measure on  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  and  $\mu_{n, k}$  is a measure on  $\mathcal{P}(k)$  invariant under orthogonal changes of basis  $P \longrightarrow V^T P V$ ,  $V \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ .

*Proof.* Note that the random matrix  $X$  described in Theorem (2.6) defines the following probability measure on  $\mathcal{M}(n, k)$ :

$$\prod_{i,j} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} X_{ij}^2} dX_{ij} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{nk} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} X_{ij}^2} dX = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{nk} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(X^T X)} dX.$$

Proposition (2.6) implies

$$\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{nk} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(X^T X)} dX = dO \times d\rho_{n,k},$$

where  $\rho_{n,k}$  is invariant under  $P \longrightarrow V^T P V$ . Now note that  $X^T X = P^2$ , so

$$dX = dO \times (\sqrt{2\pi})^{nk} e^{\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P^2)} d\rho_{n,k}.$$

Since  $\text{Tr}(P^2) = \text{Tr}((V^T P V)^2)$ , defining  $\mu_{n,k}$  by:

$$d\mu_{n,k} = (\sqrt{2\pi})^{nk} e^{\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P^2)} d\rho_{n,k}$$

proves the claim. □

**Lemma 2.9.** *The measure  $dP = \prod_{i \leq j} dP_{ij}$  on  $\mathcal{P}(k)$  is invariant under orthogonal changes of coordinates  $P \longrightarrow V^T P V$  ( $V \in \mathcal{O}(k)$ ).*

*Proof.* We prove the statement on the larger space of symmetric  $k \times k$  matrices; say  $\mathcal{S}(k)$ . To do so, Let  $P_{ij}$ 's ( $i \leq j$ ) be independent standard Gaussian and  $P_{ji} = P_{ij}$  for  $i < j$ . Now  $Q = V^T P V$  is again a symmetric random matrix whose entries are linear combinations of  $P$  entries; hence jointly Gaussian. Moreover, for  $i \leq j$ ,  $i' \leq j'$  we may write:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(Q_{ij}, Q_{i'j'}) &= \text{Cov}\left(\sum_{s,t} V_{si} V_{tj} P_{st}, \sum_{s',t'} V_{s'i'} V_{t'j'} P_{s't'}\right) \\ &= \sum_{s,t,s',t'} V_{si} V_{tj} V_{s'i'} V_{t'j'} \text{Cov}(P_{st}, P_{s't'}) \\ &= \sum_{s,t} V_{si} V_{tj} V_{si'} V_{tj'} \\ &= \left(\sum_s V_{si} V_{si'}\right) \left(\sum_t V_{tj} V_{tj'}\right) \\ &= (V^T V)_{ii'} (V^T V)_{jj'} = \delta_{ii'} \delta_{jj'} = \delta_{(i,j)(i',j')} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $Q = V^T P V \sim P$ . Bearing in mind that the probability measure for the random matrix above is

$$\prod_{i \leq j} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} P_{ij}^2} dP_{ij} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P^2)} dP,$$

we have proved that

$$\left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(P^2)} dP = \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \right)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(Q^2)} dQ.$$

But  $\text{Tr}(Q^2) = \text{Tr}(P^2)$  and hence  $dP = dQ$ .  $\square$

*Proof of MPIF.* Consider  $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}(n, k)$  as an open dense subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{nk}$  parameterized by  $X_{ij}$ 's;  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq k$  and  $\mathcal{P}(k)$  as an open set in  $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}}$  parameterized by  $p_{ij}$ 's;  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq k$  and assume that  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  give a smooth parameterization of an open dense subset of the manifold  $\mathcal{O}(n, k)$  in which  $m = nk - \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$ . We are going to compute the Jacobian of the transformation

$$X = F(O, P) = F(u_r, p_{ij}; 1 \leq r \leq m, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq k) = OP$$

Let us show the  $i^{\text{th}}$  column of any matrix  $A$  by  $A_i$ . Differentiating  $X_i = OP_i$  with respect to  $u_r$ 's and  $p_{st}$ 's leads us to the followings:

$$\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial u_r} = \frac{\partial O}{\partial u_r} P_i \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial p_{st}} = O \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial p_{st}} = \delta_{it} O_s + \delta_{is} O_t \quad (2.2)$$

$$\frac{\partial X_i}{\partial p_{ll}} = O \frac{\partial P_i}{\partial p_{ll}} = \delta_{il} O_l \quad (2.3)$$

Now let  $\widetilde{X}$  be the  $1 \times nk$  row-vector  $[X_1^T, \dots, X_k^T]$  then the Jacobian is:

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \widetilde{X}_i}{\partial u_r} & (1 \leq r \leq m) \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{X}_i}{\partial p_{st}} & (1 \leq s < t \leq k) \\ \frac{\partial \widetilde{X}_i}{\partial p_{ll}} & (1 \leq l \leq k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} (\frac{\partial O}{\partial u_r} P_1)^T & \dots & (\frac{\partial O}{\partial u_r} P_k)^T \\ \dots & O_t^T & \dots & O_s^T & \dots \\ \dots & O_l^T & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow u_r \\ \leftarrow p_{st} \\ \leftarrow p_{ll} \end{matrix}$$

where below the separation line, dots mean zeros. Now  $dX = |\det(J)| dU \times dP$ . But by Corollary (2.8), we also have  $dX = dO \times d\mu_{n,k}$ . Comparing these



two representation, we conclude that  $|\det(J)|$  can be decomposed as  $|\det(J)| = g(O)f(P)$ ;  $dO = g(O)dU$  and  $d\mu_{n,k} = f(P)dP$ . Moreover,  $f(P)$  is invariant under orthogonal change of coordinates since both  $\mu_{n,k}$  and  $dP$  have this property. (Corollary (2.8) and lemma(2.9)). This shows that  $f$  depends only on eigenvalues of  $P$ ; say  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ . i.e.  $f(P) = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ . This fact allows us to compute  $f$  in the case that  $P = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$  is a diagonal matrix. Doing so, we have:

$$\det(J) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \left( \frac{\partial O_1}{\partial u_r} \right)^T & \dots & \lambda_k \left( \frac{\partial O_k}{\partial u_r} \right)^T \\ \dots & O_t^T & \dots & O_s^T & \dots \\ \dots & O_l^T & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow u_r \\ \leftarrow p_{st} \\ \leftarrow p_{ll} \end{matrix}$$

Factor  $\lambda_1$  from first  $n$  columns,  $\lambda_2$  from second  $n$  columns and so on, then we have:

$$\det(J) = (\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k)^n \det \begin{bmatrix} \left( \frac{\partial O_1}{\partial u_r} \right)^T & \dots & \left( \frac{\partial O_k}{\partial u_r} \right)^T \\ \dots & \lambda_s^{-1} O_t^T & \dots & \lambda_t^{-1} O_s^T & \dots \\ \dots & \lambda_l^{-1} O_l^T & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow u_r \\ \leftarrow p_{st} \\ \leftarrow p_{ll} \end{matrix}$$

Again by factoring  $(\lambda_s \lambda_t)^{-1}$  from the rows which correspond to  $p_{st}$ 's and  $\lambda_l^{-1}$  from the rows which correspond to  $p_{ll}$ 's we end up with the following:

$$\det(J) = (\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k)^{n-k} \det \begin{bmatrix} \left( \frac{\partial O_1}{\partial u_r} \right)^T & \dots & \left( \frac{\partial O_k}{\partial u_r} \right)^T \\ \dots & \lambda_t O_t^T & \dots & \lambda_s O_s^T & \dots \\ \dots & O_l^T & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow u_r \\ \leftarrow p_{st} \\ \leftarrow p_{ll} \end{matrix}$$

Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} \left( \frac{\partial O_1}{\partial u_r} \right)^T & \dots & \left( \frac{\partial O_k}{\partial u_r} \right)^T \\ \dots & \lambda_t O_t^T & \dots & \lambda_s O_s^T & \dots \\ \dots & O_l^T & \dots & \dots & \dots \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow u_r \\ \leftarrow p_{st} \\ \leftarrow p_{ll} \end{matrix}$$

Now look at the following  $nk \times 1$  column vectors:

$$R_l = \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ O_l \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \leftarrow l^{th} \ n \times 1 \ vector \qquad R_{st} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ O_t \\ \vdots \\ O_s \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} \leftarrow s^{th} \ n \times 1 \ vector \\ \leftarrow t^{th} \ n \times 1 \ vector \end{matrix}$$

where dots stand for zeros. It can be easily seen that these are unit orthogonal vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^{nk}$  and hence can be extended to an orthogonal basis and we may form the following orthogonal  $nk \times nk$  matrix:

$$U = \left[ \cdots \mid R_{st} \mid R_l \right]$$

We need some observations here to continue the proof. First,  $R_l$ 's and  $R_{st}$ 's do not depend on  $\lambda_i$ 's; so we may choose the first  $nk - \frac{k(k+1)}{2}$  columns of  $U$  independent of them, too. Next, Observe that the product of  $r^{th}$  row of  $B$  by  $\sqrt{2}R_{st}$  yields:

$$\left( \frac{\partial O_s}{\partial u_r} \right)^T O_t + \left( \frac{\partial O_t}{\partial u_r} \right)^T O_s = \left( \frac{\partial O_s}{\partial u_r} \right)^T O_t + O_s^T \frac{\partial O_t}{\partial u_r} = \frac{\partial}{\partial u_r} (O_s^T O_t) = 0$$

because  $O_s^T O_t = 0$ . The same is true for  $R_l$  since  $O_l^T O_l = 1$ . Also one can see that the lower rows of  $B$  are orthogonal to  $R_l$ 's and  $R_{st}$ 's except in the case that the indices are the same. All these facts together imply that  $BU$  has the following form:

$$BU = \begin{bmatrix} \star & 0 & 0 \\ * & \text{diag}(\frac{\lambda_t + \lambda_s}{\sqrt{2}}) & 0 \\ * & 0 & 1_k \end{bmatrix}$$

in which,  $\lambda_i$ 's do not appear in  $\star$ . Keeping in mind that  $\det(B) = \det(BU)$ , we find out that there exists a constant  $D_{n,k}$  such that

$$f(P) = f(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k) = D_{n,k}(\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_k)^{n-k} \prod_{s < t} (\lambda_s + \lambda_t) = D_{n,k}(\det P)^{n-k} \prod_{s < t} (\lambda_s + \lambda_t)$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] K. Alishahi and M. Sharifitabar. Volume degeneracy of the typical cell and the chord length distribution for poisson-voronoi tessellations in high dimensions. *Advances in Applied Probability*, 40(4):919938, 2008.
- [2] A. Baddeley and E. Jensen. *Stereology for Statisticians*. Chapman & Hall/CRC Monographs on Statistics & Applied Probability. CRC Press, 2004.
- [3] W. Blaschke. Integralgeometrie 1. ermittlung der dichten fr linear unterräume im  $e^n$ . *Actualits Scientifiques et Industrielles*, 252:1–22, 1935.
- [4] G. Bonnet, J. Grote, D. Temesvari, C. Thäle, N. Turchi, and F. Wespi. Monotonicity of facet numbers of random convex hulls. *Journal of mathematical analysis and applications*, 455(2):1351–1364, 2017.
- [5] P. Calka, A. Chapron, and N. Enriquez. Poisson–voronoi tessellation on a riemannian manifold. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2019.
- [6] P. J. Forrester. Matrix polar decomposition and generalizations of the blaschke-petkantschin formula in integral geometry. 2017.
- [7] F. Götze, A. Gusakova, and D. Zaporozhets. Random affine simplexes. *Journal of Applied Probability*, 56(1):39–51, 2019.
- [8] Z. Kabluchko, D. Temesvari, and C. Thäle. Expected intrinsic volumes and facet numbers of random beta-polytopes. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 292(1):79–105, 2019.
- [9] S. R. Moghadasi. Polar decomposition of the  $k$ -fold product of lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 85(2):315324, 2012.
- [10] J. Møller. *A simple derivation of a formula of Blaschke and Petkantschin*. Research report. Department of Theoretical Statistics. Institute of Mathematics. University of Aarhus. Department, Inst., Univ., 1985.
- [11] B. Petkantschin. Integralgeometrie 6. zusammenhänge zwischen den dichten der linearen unterräume im  $n$ -dimensionalen raum. *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, 11(1):249–310, 1935.

- [12] B. Rubin. On the blaschke-petkantschin formula and drury's identity. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.09113*, 2018.