arXiv:2002.08831v1 [math.NA] 20 Feb 2020

EFFICIENTLY UPDATING A COVARIANCE MATRIX AND ITS LDL DECOMPOSITION

By

DON MARCH (*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, MS6054, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831*)

AND

VANDY TOMBS (*Oak Ridge National Laboratory, P.O. Box 2008, MS6054, Oak Ridge, TN, 37831*)

Abstract. Equations are presented which efficiently update or downdate the covariance matrix of a large number of m-dimensional observations. Updates and downdates to the covariance matrix, as well as mixed updates/downdates, are shown to be rank-k modifications, where k is the number of new observations added plus the number of old observations removed. As a result, the update and downdate equations decrease the required number of multiplications for a modification to $\Theta((k+1)m^2)$ instead of $\Theta((n+k+1)m^2)$ or $\Theta((n-k+1)m^2)$, where n is the number of initial observations. Having the rank-k formulas for the updates also allows a number of other known identities to be applied, providing a way of applying updates and downdates directly to the inverse and decompositions of the covariance matrix. To illustrate, we provide an efficient algorithm for applying the rank-k update to the LDL decomposition of a covariance matrix.

1. Introduction.

Methods for analyzing multidimensional signals frequently involve the calculation of the covariance matrix of the observation vectors in the sample set. Being the single parameter which describes the spread of data in a multivariate Gaussian distribution, the covariance matrix is as fundamental as the standard deviation is for univariate data, and it frequently plays an analogous role in analysis.

For example, each pixel in a hyperspectral image records a spectrum that is sampled across many different wavelengths. Many of the formulas used to analyze hyperspectral imagery model the background of a scene (i.e., the non-target pixels) as a multivariate Gaussian distribution; the covariance matrix is used to determine the significance of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 15A23, 15A24, 15B99, 65F30; Secondary 62-04, 68W27.

Key words and phrases. Covariance matrix, rank-k updates and downdates, online statistical algorithms. The authors wish to thank Dr. Wayne Barrett for his helpful comments after reading an early draft of this paper.

E-mail address: marchdd@ornl.gov

E-mail address: tombsvj@ornl.gov

©2019 UT-Battelle

a deviation from the mean background spectrum. Equations used in hyperspectral imagery analysis that involve the covariance matrix include methods for anomaly detection, supervised and unsupervised classification, and sub-pixel target detection [8].

It is sometimes useful to recalculate the covariance matrix after including additional observation vectors (an *update*) or after removing a subset of the original observation vectors (a *downdate*). For example, [7] presents variations of the Reed-Xiaoli (RX) anomaly detector which use a local covariance matrix; rather than modeling the background of a scene using all pixels, the background is calculated using the pixels within a sliding window. As this window slides one step, many of the pixels inside the previous window boundary will be inside the new window boundary, but some additional pixels are included and some of the former pixels are dropped. The result is a *mixed update/downdate* to the statistics calculated over the sliding window. In [2], similar methods that require updating the covariance matrix are used to improve the performance of target detectors.

While recalculating the covariance matrix can improve algorithm accuracy, a higher computational cost is incurred as well. Suppose that X is an $m \times n$ matrix containing n observation vectors, each with m features; let $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ denote the mean column vector. The sample covariance matrix[1] can be calculated as

$$S = \frac{1}{n-1}\left(X - \overline{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\left(X - \overline{\mathbf{x}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T,$$

which expresses removing the sample mean from the data matrix and then multiplying the resulting matrix by its transpose. As a result, the covariance matrix is symmetric positive semidefinite, and positive definite if and only if X has rank m (see [5]). The number of operations[2] necessary to calculate the matrix product is $nm^2 - (m^2 + m)/2$. Thus, if we add k observations to the sample set, or remove k observations, then the matrix product requires $(n \pm k)m^2 - (m^2 + m)/2$ operations.

In this paper, we derive update and downdate equations that allow efficient updates to the covariance matrix and its matrix decompositions. An analogous procedure is the familiar rule that allows the average of a set to be quickly updated as observations are added to, or removed from, the sample. We state the rule both for motivation and since it is used throughout the paper.

LEMMA 1.1. Let X and Y be multisets of real numbers and let $\overline{x}$ and $\overline{y}$ denote the arithmetic means of those sets. Then the updated mean is given by

$$\overline{(X \uplus Y)} = \frac{|X|\,\overline{x} + |Y|\,\overline{y}}{|X| + |Y|}. \tag{1.1}$$

Additionally, if $Y \subset X$, the downdated mean is

$$\overline{(X \backslash Y)} = \frac{|X|\,\overline{x} - |Y|\,\overline{y}}{|X| - |Y|}. \tag{1.2}$$

[1] An unqualified *covariance matrix* is used throughout to refer to the sample covariance matrix.

[2] When providing the operation counts, we mean addition, subtraction, multiplication, and division. When reporting the number of additions and multiplications separately, subtractions are counted as addition and divisions are counted as multiplication.

While a naive calculation of the updated or downdated mean would require $(n \pm k - 1)m$ additions and m multiplications, the original mean can be reused to avoid much of the work, arriving at the same result after km additions and $2m$ multiplications. Similarly, the update and downdate equations stated below allow recalculating the covariance matrix using on the order of $(k+1)m^2$ operations instead of $(n \pm k)m^2$.

Another familiar procedure is the online update of the covariance of two variables. A single-pass algorithm for updating the covariance of a dataset was presented by Bennett, et al. in [1]: given a dataset X_1 of ordered pairs $x = (u, v)$ with mean $(\overline{u}, \overline{v})$, the covariance of the updated dataset $X_2 = X_1 \cup \{(s, t)\}$ is given by

$$\operatorname{Cov}(X_2) = \operatorname{Cov}(X_1) + \tfrac{n-1}{n}(s - \overline{u})(t - \overline{v}). \tag{1.3}$$

In this paper we develop updates and downdates to the covariance matrix that take the form of rank-k modifications; that is, given a $m \times m$ covariance matrix S_1, recalculating the covariance matrix after adding or removing k vectors to the dataset can be expressed as $S_2 = \alpha S_1 + \beta K K^T$ where K is a $m \times k$ matrix and α and β are scalars. A covariance matrix could also be updated by applying covariance and standard deviation updates to the individual entries of the matrix, and such an update does perform substantially fewer operations than the recalculation of the covariance matrix using the updated dataset. The benefit of expressing the operation as a rank-k modification is twofold: first, calculating KK^T is an efficient, stable, and easily parallelizable operation; and second, rank-k modifications can lead to similarly efficient updates to the inverse or matrix decompositions of the original matrix.

The following theorem and corollary are the main results of the paper, along with Theorem 4.2, which combines the operations enabled by Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 into a rank-k mixed update/downdate.

THEOREM 1.2 (Rank-k covariance matrix downdate). Let X_1 be an $m \times n$ matrix with covariance matrix S_1 and let X_2 be formed by deleting k columns of Y from X_1. Then the covariance matrix of X_2 is given by the rank-k downdate[3]

$$(n - k - 1)\, S_2 = (n - 1)\, S_1 - K K^T$$

where

$$K = Y - \left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T.$$

COROLLARY 1.3 (Rank-k covariance matrix update). Let X_1 be an $m \times n$ matrix with covariance matrix S_1 and let Y be an $m \times k$ matrix. Let X_2 be formed by appending the columns of Y to X_1. Then the covariance matrix of X_2 is given by the rank-k update

$$(n + k - 1)\, S_2 = (n - 1)\, S_1 + K K^T$$

where

$$K = Y - \left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+k}}\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T.$$

[3]These equations assume that the sample covariance matrix is calculated with Bessel's correction, that is, dividing variances and covariances by $n - 1$ when there are n observations sampled. If the correction is not applied, the coefficients on S_2 and S_1 can be replaced with $(n - k)$ and n, respectively. The fraction in the radical remains unchanged.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we state the necessary notation and lemmas for the proof of the later theorems. Section 3 contains the derivation and proof of the equation for a rank-k covariance matrix update or downdate. Section 4 states and proves the rank-k mixed update/downdate of the covariance matrix. Finally, in Section 5, we show how the rank-k updates provide an efficient method for updating covariance matrix factorizations.

2. Notation and Lemmas. If X is an $m \times n$ matrix, we write the mean column of X as $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ and use $\overline{x}_i$ to denote the ith entry in the mean column. Let $\mathbf{1}_n$ be a column vector with n entries that all equal 1. We frequently use this in expressions such as $X - \mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}_n^T$ to denote subtracting the column vector $\mathbf{a}$ from every column of X.

There are several key observations used frequently in later proofs.

LEMMA 2.1. Let A_1 be an $m \times n$ matrix and let B be an $m \times k$ matrix. If A_2 is formed by appending the columns of B to A_1 then

$$A_2 A_2^T = A_1 A_1^T + BB^T. \tag{2.1}$$

If the columns of B are a subset of the columns of A_1, and A_2 is formed by deleting the columns of B from A_1 then

$$A_2 A_2^T = A_1 A_1^T - BB^T. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. The downdate equality can be checked for each entry of the matrix product. Using a_{ij} to denote the i, j entry in A_1,

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 A_2^T = \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \le k \le n, \\ \text{if } \mathbf{a}_k \notin B}} a_{ik} a_{jk} \right] &= \left[\sum_{1 \le k \le n} a_{ik} a_{jk} - \sum_{\substack{1 \le k \le n, \\ \text{if } \mathbf{a}_k \in B}} a_{ik} a_{jk} \right] \\ &= \left[\sum_{1 \le k \le n} a_{ik} a_{jk} \right] - \left[\sum_{\substack{1 \le k \le n, \\ \text{if } \mathbf{a}_k \in B}} a_{ik} a_{jk} \right] \\ &= A_1 A_1^T - BB^T. \end{aligned}$$

Showing the update version is similar, but it also follows directly from swapping the roles of A_2 and A_1. □

LEMMA 2.2. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix with mean column vector $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$. Then for any compatible column vector $\mathbf{x}$,

$$A \left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T = \left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right) \left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T = n\overline{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{x}^T \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{1}_n^T\right) A^T = \left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{1}_n^T\right) \left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T = n\mathbf{x}\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. The lemma is a result of

$$\begin{aligned} A\left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T &= \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}x_j\right] \\ &= \left[\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik}\right)x_j\right] = \left[n\overline{a}_i x_j\right] = n\overline{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{x}^T \end{aligned}$$

and, where $\mathbf{w}$ is any compatible vector,

$$\left(\mathbf{w}\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\left(\mathbf{x}\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_j\right] = \left[nw_i x_j\right] = n\mathbf{w}\mathbf{x}^T.$$

Then setting $\mathbf{w} = \overline{\mathbf{a}}$ shows the second equality in (2.3), and (2.4) follows from transposing both sides of (2.3). □

LEMMA 2.3. Let A be an $m \times n$ matrix, let $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ be the mean column of A, and let s and t be real numbers. Then

$$\left(A + s\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\right)\left(A + t\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\right)^T = AA^T + n\left(st + s + t\right)\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T \tag{2.5}$$

and, in particular, if $s = t = -1$ then

$$\left(A - \overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\left(A - \overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T = AA^T - n\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. Let $M = \overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T$. Then by distributing and applying Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \left(A + s\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\right)\left(A + t\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\right)^T &= \left(A + sM\right)\left(A + tM\right)^T \\ &= \left(A + sM\right)\left(A^T + tM^T\right) \\ &= AA^T + sMA^T + tAM^T + stMM^T \\ &= AA^T + ns\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T\right) + nt\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T\right) + nst\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T\right) \\ &= AA^T + n\left(st + s + t\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T\right). \end{aligned}$$

□

3. Update Theorems. We now restate and prove the theorem given in the introduction.

THEOREM 1.2 (Rank-k covariance matrix downdate). Let X_1 be an $m \times n$ matrix with covariance matrix S_1 and let Y be an $m \times k$ matrix where the columns of Y are a subset of the columns of X_1. Let X_2 be formed by deleting the columns of Y from X_1. Let the mean columns of X_1, X_2, and Y be $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1$, $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$, and $\overline{\mathbf{y}}$, respectively. Then the covariance matrix of X_2 is given by the rank-k downdate

$$\left(n - k - 1\right)S_2 = \left(n - 1\right)S_1 - KK^T \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$K = Y - \left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. The calculations for the original and subsequent covariance matrices are

$$(n-1)S_1 = \left(X_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)\left(X_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_n^T\right)^T$$
$$(n-k-1)S_2 = \left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)\left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)^T.$$

The goal is to reuse as much of the calculation of S_1 as possible in the calculation in S_2. The mean column is going to be subtracted from each column of X_2 to calculate the covariance matrix. Thus, it will make no difference if we first shift X_2 by subtracting the same vector from each column (before calculating and subtracting the mean); in particular, we can subtract $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1$ from each column:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n-k-1)S_2 \\
&\quad= \left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)\left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)^T \\
&\quad= \left(\left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right) - \left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)\left(\left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right) - \left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)^T \\
&\quad= \left(A - \overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)\left(A - \overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)^T,
\end{aligned}$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{a}} = (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)$ is the mean column of $A = X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T$. Applying the special case (2.6) of Lemma 2.3 gives

$$(n-k-1)S_2 = AA^T - (n-k)\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}\,\overline{\mathbf{a}}^T, \tag{3.3}$$

where the $(n-k)$ factor is due to the number of columns in A (which is the same size as X_2). Using Lemma 1.1, we can write $\overline{\mathbf{a}}$ as

$$\overline{\mathbf{a}} = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \frac{n\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - k\overline{\mathbf{y}}}{n-k} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \left(\frac{-k}{n-k}\right)(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,. \tag{3.4}$$

Note that the columns of A are a subset of the columns of $X_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_n^T$; the columns that have been removed are $Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_k^T$. Thus, we can use Lemma 2.1 to rewrite AA^T as

$$\begin{aligned}
AA^T &= \left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)\left(X_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_{n-k}^T\right)^T \\
&= (X_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_n^T)(X_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_n^T)^T - (Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_k^T)(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_k^T)^T \\
&= (n-1)S_1 - (Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_k^T)(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_k^T)^T.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5) with the right-hand side of (3.3),

$$\begin{aligned}
&(n-k-1)S_2 \\
&\quad= (n-1)S_1 - (Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_k^T)(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\,\mathbf{1}_k^T)^T - \tfrac{k^2}{n-k}\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T \\
&\quad= (n-1)S_1 - \left(BB^T + \tfrac{k^2}{n-k}\,\overline{\mathbf{b}}\,\overline{\mathbf{b}}^T\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{b}} = \overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1$ is the mean column of $B = Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_k^T$. The last term in (3.6) is in the form of the right hand side of (2.5) in Lemma 2.3 with $k(st+s+t) = \frac{k^2}{n-k}$ since B has k columns. We wish to use the lemma to factor (3.6) as $(n-1)S_1 - KK^T$ where $K = B + c\left(\overline{\mathbf{b}}\,\mathbf{1}_k^T\right)$, so we set $s = t = c$. Solving for c in $k\left(c^2 + 2c\right) = \frac{k^2}{n-k}$ gives $c = -1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k}}$, and we now have

$$(n-k-1)S_2 = (n-1)S_1 - KK^T$$

where

$$K = B + c\left(\overline{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{1}_k^T\right) = Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_k^T + c\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T$$
$$= Y - \left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-k}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T.$$

□

Note that the equation $k(st+s+t) = \frac{k^2}{n-k}$ has many different solutions. For example, we can also choose $s = 0$ and $t = \frac{k}{n-k}$ and then apply Lemma 2.3 to arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}(n-k-1)\,S_2 &= (n-1)\,S_1 - \left(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_k^T\right)\left(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_k^T + \tfrac{k}{n-k}\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T\right)^T \\ &= (n-1)\,S_1 - \left(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_k^T\right)\left(Y - \tfrac{1}{n-k}\left(n\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - k\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - k\overline{\mathbf{y}} + k\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T\right)^T \\ &= (n-1)\,S_1 - \left(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_k^T\right)\left(Y - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_k^T\right)^T \qquad (3.7)\end{aligned}$$

which is (1.3) generalized to the entire covariance matrix.

COROLLARY 1.3 (Rank-k covariance matrix update). Let X_1 be an $m \times n$ matrix with covariance matrix S_1 and let Y be an $m \times k$ matrix. Let X_2 be formed by appending the columns of Y to X_1. Then the covariance matrix of X_2 is given by the rank-k update

$$(n+k-1)\,S_2 = (n-1)\,S_1 + KK^T$$

where

$$K = Y - \left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n+k}{n}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T \qquad (3.8)$$
$$= Y - \left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} \pm \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+k}}\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\right)\mathbf{1}_k^T. \qquad (3.9)$$

Proof. Since the k columns of Y are a subset of the $n+k$ columns of X_2, the update equation with K as stated in (3.8) follows from Theorem 1.2 by swapping the roles of X_1 and X_2. The alternate equation for K in (3.9) follows by using Lemma 1.1 to substitute for $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$ and simplifying. □

Using the equations in this section, updating and downdating the covariance matrix both require $(k+1)m^2 + (3k+4)m + 4$ operations,[4] plus a single square root. A naive calculation of the new covariance matrix, on the other hand, requires $(n \pm k)m^2 + (2n + (1 \pm 2)k + 2)m + 3$ operations.[5]

4. Mixed updates and downdates. When updating a statistic to include new observations, it is common to want to remove other observations at the same time. Using the update and downdate equations from Section 3, it is possible to perform a mixed update/downdate by simply performing an update and downdate in either order while

[4]The number of multiplications is $\left((k+2)m^2 + (k+6)m + 2\right)/2$ and the number of additions is $\left(km^2 + (5k+2)m + 6\right)/2$.

[5]The number of multiplications is $\left((n \pm k + 1)m^2 + (n \pm k + 5)m\right)/2$ and the number of additions is $\left((n \pm k - 1)m^2 + (3n + (2 \pm 3)k - 1)m + 2\right)/2$.

skipping the intermediate scaling of the covariance matrix. For example, using the form of the covariance matrix update expressed in (3.7), an update followed by a downdate is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(n+k_u-1)\,S_u &= (n-1)S_1+\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T\\ (n+k_u-k_d-1)\,S_2 &= (n+k_u-1)S_u-\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)^T\end{aligned}$$

where the matrix Y_u holds the k_u update observations with mean $\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u$; the downdate equivalents are Y_d, k_d, and $\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d$; and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u$ is the average of the updated data matrix $X_u=[X_1\ \ Y_u]$.

The combined update/downdate

$$\begin{aligned}(n+k_u-k_d-1)\,S_2 = (n-1)\,S_1 &+\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T\\ &-\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)^T\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

is clearly more efficient. In fact, it is also possible to calculate S_2 without referring to the intermediate data mean $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u$.

THEOREM 4.1 (Mixed update/downdate). Let X_1 be an $m\times n_1$ matrix with covariance matrix S_1 and let Y_u and Y_d be $m\times k_u$ and $m\times k_d$ matrices where the columns of Y_d are a subset of the columns of X_1. Let X_2 be the $m\times n_2$ data matrix formed by deleting the columns of Y_d from X_1 and appending the columns of Y_u. Let the mean columns of X_1 and X_2 be $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$, respectively. Then the covariance matrix of X_2 is given by

$$\begin{aligned}(n_2-1)\,S_2 = (n_1-1)\,S_1 &+\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T\\ &-\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)^T.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We begin by noticing that the combined update/downdate in equation (4.1) is nearly in the form we would like; specifically, the first term of $\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T$ is in the desired form. To get $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$ in the second term, we do the following:

$$\begin{aligned}\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T &= \left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2+\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)\right)\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T\\ &= \left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)-\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T\\ &= \left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T-\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)^T.\end{aligned}$$

We can then apply Lemma 2.2 to get

$$\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)^T=\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)\left(Y_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)-k_u\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)^T.$$

Similarly, the downdate portion of the combined update/downdate can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)^T &= \left(Y_d-\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1+\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\right)\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)^T\\ &= \left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)\left(Y_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)^T-k_d\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)^T.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, all that remains is to show

$$k_u\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)^T=k_d\left(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1\right)\left(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d-\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2\right)^T.\tag{4.2}$$

By Lemma 1.1, we have

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u = \frac{n_1\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 + k_u\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u}{n_1 + k_u} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 = \frac{(n_1 + k_u)\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u - k_d\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d}{n_1 + k_u - k_d}$$

which give the following:

$$k_u(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) = (n_1 + k_u)(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)$$
$$k_d(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2) = (n_1 + k_u)(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2).$$

Substituting these into (4.2) shows the equality to be true. □

The mixed update/downdate theorem is a more direct and aesthetically pleasing way of calculating the modified covariance matrix equation (4.1), and we find it more surprising than (3.7). However, it requires subtracting two different means ($\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$) from Y_u as well as Y_d. A more efficient mixed update/downdate would be of the form

$$(n + k_u - k_d - 1)S_2 = (n-1)S_1 + (Y_u - \mathbf{z}_u)(Y_u - \mathbf{z}_u)^T - (Y_d - \mathbf{z}_d)(Y_d - \mathbf{z}_d)^T. \quad (4.3)$$

An additional slight improvement would be if $\mathbf{z}_u = c\mathbf{z}_d$ for some scalar c, and the best that we can hope for is $\mathbf{z}_u = \mathbf{z}_d$.

It turns out that such a factorization is possible. Theorem 4.2 subsumes the rank-k update and downdate equations from Section 3.

THEOREM 4.2 (Rank-k mixed update/downdate). Let X_1 be an $m \times n_1$ matrix with covariance matrix S_1 and let Y_u and Y_d be $m \times k_u$ and $m \times k_d$ matrices where the columns of Y_d are a subset of the columns of X_1. Let X_2 be the $m \times n_2$ data matrix formed by deleting the columns of Y_d from X_1 and appending the columns of Y_u. Let the mean columns of X_1 and X_2 be $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$, respectively. Then the covariance matrix of X_2 is given by the rank-k ($k = k_u + k_d$) mixed update/downdate

$$(n_2 - 1)\, S_2 = (n_1 - 1)\, S_1 + K_u K_u^T - K_d K_d^T \quad (4.4)$$

where $K_u = \left(Y_u - \mathbf{z}\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T\right)$, $K_d = \left(Y_d - \mathbf{z}\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T\right)$, $z = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - c(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)$, and

$$c = \begin{cases} \dfrac{n_2 \pm \sqrt{n_1 n_2}}{n_2 - n_1} & \text{if } k_u \neq k_d \\ \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } k_u = k_d. \end{cases}$$

Proof. From the definition of $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2$,

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 = \frac{k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) - k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)}{n_2}$$

and

$$0 = \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 = n_1\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2) + k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2) - k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2)\,,$$

which give these two equalities:

$$n_2\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) = k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) - k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) \quad (4.5)$$
$$n_1\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) = k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2) - k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2). \quad (4.6)$$

Applying Lemma 2.2 with a compatible vector $\mathbf{a}$, these become:

$$n_2 \left((\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \right) (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T)^T = \left(Y_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) \left(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right)^T - \left(Y_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 \mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T \right) \left(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T \right)^T$$
$$n_2 (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T) \left((\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) \mathbf{1}_{n_2}^T \right)^T = \left(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) \left(Y_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right)^T - \left(\mathbf{a}\mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T \right) \left(Y_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 \mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T \right)^T.$$

Motivated by these factorizations, along with the form of the downdate seen in (3.2), we define $K_u = \left(Y_u - (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 + c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)) \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right)$ and examine $K_u K_u^T$:

$$\begin{aligned} K_u K_u^T &= \left(Y_u - (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 + c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)) \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) \left(Y_u - (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 + c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)) \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right)^T \\ &= \left(Y_u - (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 + c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)) \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) \left(Y_u - (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 + (c-1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)) \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right)^T \\ &= \left(\left(Y_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) - c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) \left(\left(Y_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) - (c-1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right)^T. \end{aligned}$$

Then applying Lemma 2.2,

$$\begin{aligned} K_u K_u^T = {} & \left(Y_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right) \left(Y_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 \mathbf{1}_{k_u}^T \right)^T + \left(c^2 - c \right) k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T \\ & - c k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2)^T - (c-1)\,k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T. \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Likewise, if $K_d = \left(Y_d - (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 + c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)) \mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T \right)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} K_d K_d^T = {} & \left(Y_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1 \mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T \right) \left(Y_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 \mathbf{1}_{k_d}^T \right)^T + \left(c^2 - c \right) k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T \\ & - c k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2)^T - (c-1)\,k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

Subtracting the first term on the right side of (4.8) from the first term of (4.7) is equal to the mixed update/downdate of Theorem 4.1. Therefore, $K_u K_u^T - K_d K_d^T$ is the mixed update/downdate as long as all of the remaining terms of (4.7) cancel those of (4.8). The difference between these terms is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(c^2 - c \right) (k_u - k_d)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T - c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2) - k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_2))^T \\ & \quad - (c-1)\,(k_u\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_u - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1) - k_d\,(\overline{\mathbf{y}}_d - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1))\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T, \end{aligned}$$

which, after substituting (4.5) and (4.6), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(c^2 - c \right) (n_2 - n_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T - n_1 c\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T \\ & \quad - n_2\,(c-1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T \\ = {} & \left(\left(c^2 - c \right) (n_2 - n_1) - n_1 c - (c-1) n_2 \right) (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T \\ = {} & \left((n_2 - n_1)\,c^2 - (2n_2)\,c + n_2 \right) (\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)\,(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_2 - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)^T. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Finally, we solve for values of c that would make (4.9) zero, giving $c = \frac{1}{2}$ if $k_u = k_d$ and in all other cases

$$c = \frac{2n_2 \pm \sqrt{(2n_2)^2 - 4n_2\,(n_2 - n_1)}}{2\,(n_2 - n_1)} = \frac{n_2 \pm \sqrt{n_1 n_2}}{n_2 - n_1}.$$

□

Briefly, we note that an alternate way of writing (4.4). Let $K = [K_u \;\; iK_d]$, where i is the imaginary unit, in which case $(n_2 - 1)\,S_2 = (n_1 - 1)\,S_1 + KK^T$.

5. Updating the LDL Factorization of the Covariance Matrix. Given the equations for rank-k updates and downdates in Theorems 1.2 and 4.2, it is possible to apply many existing update theorems and numerical libraries to the covariance matrix. For example, the Sherman-Morrison-Woodbury formula enables the application of a rank-k update to a matrix as a rank-k update to the inverse of that matrix [6]. Similarly, a rank-k modification to a positive semidefinite matrix can be applied directly to the LDL and Cholesky decompositions, bypassing the calculation of the updated non-factored matrix [3].

In this section, we will show how the rank-k covariance matrix modifications can be used to update or downdate the LDL decomposition of a covariance matrix. Frequently, the reason to calculate the covariance matrix is to multiply by its inverse. For numerical stability and optimization, this should typically be implemented using an alternative computation, such as calculating the LDL decomposition and then using forward substitution.

Let $S_1 = L_1 D_1 L_1^T$ be a covariance matrix and its LDL decomposition. As stated in Section 1, the covariance matrix is positive semidefinite, and therefore has both Cholesky and LDL decompositions.[6] The goal is to find an efficient bulk downdate for the decomposition by taking advantage of the rank-k downdate to S_1 provided by Theorem 1.2. As is the case with Corollary 1.3, the downdate to the LDL decomposition immediately generalizes to the update as well.

Applying the downdate theorem,

$$(n-k-1)\,S_2 = (n-1)\,L_1 D_1 L_1^T - KK^T$$

where K is as defined in the theorem. Following [4], we reuse L_1 and D_1 to calculate the LDL decomposition of S_2. We can write $K = L_1 P$ where P is found using forward substitution, arriving at

$$(n-k-1)\,S_2 = (n-1)\,L_1 D L_1^T - (L_1 P)(L_1 P)^T.$$

Letting $D' = (n-1)\,D$ and simplifying,

$$(n-k-1)\,S_2 = L_1 (D' - PP^T) L_1^T.$$

We can find the LDL decomposition of $D' - PP^T$, namely $D' - PP^T = \widetilde{L}\widetilde{D}\widetilde{L}^T$ (see [4] for the proof of existence). Setting $L_2 = L_1\widetilde{L}$ and $D_2 = \widetilde{D}$ gives $S_2 = L_2 D_2 L_2$, the LDL decomposition of the downdated covariance matrix.

Gill et al. introduce a number of algorithms in [4] for updating the LDL factorization that can be applied to Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3. A one-pass algorithm of Method C1 in [4] is provided by Algorithm 1 in [3]. We introduce a modification of this algorithm that provides a rank-k update or downdate to the LDL factorization of the covariance matrix. The modifications incorporate the calculation of K from (3.2) into the algorithm so that only one column of K is required to be in memory at any time.

Let X_1, Y, X_2, and S_1 be as defined in Theorem 1.2 (or Corollary 1.3). Let the mean columns of X_1 and Y be denoted as $\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1$ and $\overline{\mathbf{y}}$, respectively. Let d_{ij}, y_{ij}, and ℓ_{ij} be

[6] A Cholesky and LDL decomposition exists for any covariance matrix, but the decomposition is not guaranteed to be stable, unique, or to exclude zero entries along the diagonal unless the covariance matrix is positive definite [5].

the i,jth entries of D, Y, and L, respectively. Given the factorization $S_1 = LDL^T$, the matrices L and D are overwritten with the new factors of S_2 by Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1 Covariance matrix LDL factorization update or downdate

$\phi = 1$ for update or -1 for downdate
$D = (n-1)D$
$c = \sqrt{\frac{n}{n+\phi k}}$
$\boldsymbol{z} = \overline{\mathbf{y}} - c(\overline{\mathbf{y}} - \overline{\mathbf{x}}_1)$
for $j = 1$ to k **do**
  $\alpha = \phi$
  for $i = 1$ to m **do**
    $y_{ij} = y_{ij} + z_i$
  end for
  for $i = 1$ to m **do**
    $\widetilde{d} = d_{ii}$
    $\gamma = y_{ij}/(\alpha d_{ii} + y_{ij}^2)$
    $d_{ii} = d_{ii} + y_{ij}^2/\alpha$
    $\alpha = \alpha + y_{ij}^2/\widetilde{d}$
    for $p = i+1$ to m **do**
      $y_{pj} = y_{pj} - y_{ij}\ell_{pi}$
      $\ell_{pi} = \ell_{pi} + \gamma y_{pj}$
    end for
  end for
end for
$D = \frac{1}{n+\phi k-1} D$

Algorithm 1 performs $2km^2 + (8k+5)m + 4$ total operations,[7] plus a single square root. The naive calculation of the LDL decomposition of the new covariance matrix requires $(n \pm k)^3/3$ operations (Algorithm 4.1.2 in [5]), in addition to the operations required to update the covariance matrix itself. This algorithm can also be easily extended to implement the rank-k mixed update/downdate from Theorem 4.2; the outer loop runs twice, first iterating over the columns of Y_u with $\phi = 1$, and then over the columns of Y_d with $\phi = -1$.

Acknowledgements. This manuscript has been authored by UT-Battelle, LLC, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with the US Department of Energy (DOE). The US government retains and the publisher, by accepting the article for publication, acknowledges that the US government retains a nonexclusive, paid-up, irrevocable, worldwide license to publish or reproduce the published form of this manuscript, or allow others to do so, for US government purposes. DOE will provide public access to these

[7] The number of multiplications is $km^2 + (4k+4)m + 1$ and the number of additions is $km^2 + (4k+1)m + 1$.

results of federally sponsored research in accordance with the DOE Public Access Plan (http://energy.gov/downloads/doe-public-access-plan).

This research was supported in part by an appointment to the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Post-Master's Research Associate Program, sponsored by the US Department of Energy and administered by the Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education.

References

[1] J. Bennett, R. Grout, P. Pebay, D. Roe, and D. Thompson, *Numerically stable, single-pass, parallel statistics algorithms*, 2009 IEEE International Conference on Cluster Computing and Workshops, Aug 2009, pp. 1–8.

[2] C. E. Caefer, J. Silverman, O. Orthal, D. Antonelli, Y. Sharoni, and S. R. Rotman, *Improved covariance matrices for point target detection in hyperspectral data*, Optical Engineering **47** (2008), no. 7, 076402.

[3] T. A. Davis and W. W. Hager, *Multiple-rank modifications of a sparse Cholesky factorization*, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. **22** (2000), no. 4, 997–1013.

[4] P. E. Gill, G. H. Golub, W. Murray, and M. A. Saunders, *Methods for modifying matrix factorizations*, Math. Comp. **28** (1974), 505–535. MR 0343558 (49 #8299)

[5] G. H. Golub and C. F. Van Loan, *Matrix computations (3rd ed.)*, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, USA, 1996.

[6] W. W. Hager, *Updating the inverse of a matrix*, SIAM Review **31** (1989), no. 2, 221–239.

[7] S. Küçük and S. E. Yüksel, *Comparison of RX-based anomaly detectors on synthetic and real hyperspectral data*, 2015 7th Workshop on Hyperspectral Image and Signal Processing: Evolution in Remote Sensing (WHISPERS), June 2015, pp. 1–4.

[8] D. Manolakis, D. Marden, G. A. Shaw, et al., *Hyperspectral image processing for automatic target detection applications*, Lincoln Laboratory Journal **14** (2003), no. 1, 79–116.