Energy decay for small solutions to semilinear wave equations with weakly dissipative structure

Yoshinori Nishii^{*} Hideaki Sunagawa [†] Hiroki Terashita [‡]

January 9, 2022

Dedicated to the memory of Professor Kôji Kubota

Abstract: This article gives an energy decay result for small data solutions to a class of semilinear wave equations in two space dimensions possessing weakly dissipative structure relevant to the Agemi condition.

Key Words: Semilinear wave equations, energy decay, weakly dissipative structure.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L71, 35B40.

1 Introduction and the result

This article deals with large-time behavior of the solution u = u(t, x) to the Cauchy problem

$$\Box u = F(\partial u), \qquad (t, x) \in (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2, \qquad (1.1)$$

$$u(0,x) = \varepsilon f(x), \ \partial_t u(0,x) = \varepsilon g(x), \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$
(1.2)

where $\Box = \partial_t^2 - \Delta = \partial_t^2 - (\partial_1^2 + \partial_2^2)$ and $\partial u = (\partial_0 u, \partial_1 u, \partial_2 u)$ with $\partial_0 = \partial_t = \partial/\partial t$, $\partial_1 = \partial/\partial x_1$, $\partial_2 = \partial/\partial x_2$ for $t \ge 0$, $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. We suppose that $f, g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ are compactly-supported C^{∞} -functions, ε is a small positive parameter, and $F : \mathbb{R}^{1+2} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function vanishing of quadratic order in a neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{R}^{1+2}$. More explicitly, we suppose that

$$F(\partial u) = F_{q}(\partial u) + F_{c}(\partial u) + O(|\partial u|^{4})$$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University. 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan. (E-mail: y-nishii@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp)

[†]Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University. 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan. (E-mail: sunagawa@math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp)

[‡]Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University. 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan. (E-mail: u233599e@ecs.osaka-u.ac.jp)

near $\partial u = 0$, where the quadratic homogeneous part $F_q(\partial u)$ and the cubic homogeneous part $F_c(\partial u)$ are given by

$$F_{q}(\partial u) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2} B_{jk}(\partial_{j}u)(\partial_{k}u), \quad F_{c}(\partial u) = \sum_{j,k,l=0}^{2} C_{jkl}(\partial_{j}u)(\partial_{k}u)(\partial_{l}u)$$
(1.3)

with some real constants B_{jk} and C_{jkl} , respectively.

Before going into the details, let us summarize some of the known results briefly to make our motivation clear. From the viewpoint of nonlinear perturbation, two-dimensional case is of special interest for the wave equations because the essential nonlinear effects can be observed in the large-time behavior of the solutions even if the initial data are sufficiently small. If both F_q and F_c are absent and ε is suitably small, we can show global existence of a unique C^{∞} -solution for (1.1)–(1.2) by the standard way (see e.g., Chapter 6 in [20]), however, we cannot expect the same conclusion for general F_q and F_c . In other words, we need some structural conditions for the quadratic and cubic parts of F if we expect the small data global existence for (1.1)–(1.2). One of the most famous structural conditions may be the so-called null conditions, which we explain now. In what follows \mathbb{S}^1 stands for the unit circle in \mathbb{R}^2 , and we write $\hat{\omega} = (\omega_0, \omega_1, \omega_2)$ for $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{S}^1$ with the convention $\omega_0 = -1$. We say that the quadratic (resp. cubic) null condition is satisfied if $F_q(\hat{\omega})$ (resp. $F_c(\hat{\omega})$) vanishes identically on \mathbb{S}^1 . Remember that

$$F_{\mathbf{q}}(\hat{\omega}) = \sum_{j,k=0}^{2} B_{jk} \omega_{j} \omega_{k}, \quad F_{\mathbf{c}}(\hat{\omega}) = \sum_{j,k,l=0}^{2} C_{jkl} \omega_{j} \omega_{k} \omega_{l}$$

with the coefficients B_{jk} and C_{jkl} appearing in (1.3). According to the classical result by Godin [8] (see also [2], [14], [19], [39], etc.), the Cauchy problem (1.1)–(1.2) admits a unique global C^{∞} -solution for suitably small ε if both the quadratic and cubic null conditions are satisfied. Note that the (quadratic) null condition is originally introduced by Klainerman [26] and Christodoulou [6] in three-dimensional, quadratic quasilinear case. In 3D case, we do not need structural restrictions on the cubic part, while in 2D case, what we can expect under the quadratic null condition only (without the cubic one) is the lower estimate for the lifespan T_{ε} in the form $T_{\varepsilon} \geq \exp(c/\varepsilon^2)$ with some c > 0, and this is best possible in general (see [8] for an example of small data blow-up). According to [8], it holds under the quadratic null condition that

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to +0} \varepsilon^2 \log T_{\varepsilon} \ge \frac{1}{\sup_{(\rho,\omega) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1} \left[-F_{\rm c}(\hat{\omega}) (\mathcal{F}_0[f,g](\rho,\omega))^2 \right]}$$

with the convention $1/0 = +\infty$, where $\mathcal{F}_0[f, g](\rho, \omega)$ is the radiation field associated with f, g (see Section 3.5 in [20] or Section 6.2 in [13] for its definition). More information on the detailed lifespan estimates and the related topics can be found in [1], [3], [7], [12], [13], [15], [17], [18], [20], [36], [38], etc., and the references cited therein.

Recently, a lot of efforts have been made for finding weaker structural conditions than the null conditions which ensure the small data global existence (see e.g., [2], [4], [10], [11], [16], [20], [21], [22], [23], [24], [25], [27], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35] and so on). The Agemi condition, which we are interested in, is one of them. From now on we always assume that the quadratic null condition is satisfied, and we put $P(\omega) = F_{\rm c}(\hat{\omega})$ for $\omega \in \mathbb{S}^1$ to focus our attentions on contributions from the cubic part of F. We say that the Agemi condition is satisfied if

$$P(\omega) \ge 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^1. \tag{A}$$

It has been shown by Hoshiga [16] and Kubo [27] that (A) implies the small data global existence to (1.1)-(1.2) for the positive time direction (see also [37] and [9] for closely related results for the Klein-Gordon and Schrödinger equations). Moreover, if the inequality in (A) is strict, i.e.,

$$P(\omega) > 0, \quad \omega \in \mathbb{S}^1, \tag{A}_+$$

then we have

$$||u(t)||_E = O((\log t)^{-1/4+\delta})$$

as $t \to +\infty$ with arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$, where the energy norm $\|\phi(t)\|_E$ for a function $\phi(t, x)$ is defined by

$$\|\phi(t)\|_{E}^{2} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} |\partial\phi(t,x)|^{2} dx$$

(see [24], [25]). It is obvious that (**A**) is weaker than the cubic null condition, and a typical example of $F_{\rm c}(\partial u)$ satisfying (**A**₊) is the cubic damping term $-(\partial_t u)^3$. Note also that the energy decay of this kind never occur under the cubic null condition unless $f = g \equiv 0$ (see e.g., Chapter 9 in [20] for the detail). Therefore it will be fair to say that (**A**₊) yields dissipative structure.

Now we come to a question naturally: Does the energy decay occur when (A) is satisfied but the cubic null condition and (A_+) are violated? To the authors' knowledge, there are no previous works which address this question except [35] (see Remark 1.3 below), and it is far from trivial because the expected dissipation is weaker. The aim of this article is to give an affirmative answer to this question in the single case. The main result is as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Assume that quadratic null condition and (A) are satisfied but the cubic null condition is violated. For the global solution u to (1.1)–(1.2), there exist positive constants C and λ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_E \le \frac{C\varepsilon}{(1+\varepsilon^2\log(t+2))^{\lambda}}$$

for $t \geq 0$, provided that ε is sufficiently small.

Remark 1.1. The cubic nonlinear terms below are examples of $F_{c}(\partial u)$ which satisfy (A) but fail to satisfy the cubic null condition and (A_{+}) :

$$-(\partial_1 u)^2 \partial_t u, \qquad -(\partial_1 u)^2 (\partial_t u + \partial_2 u), \qquad -(\partial_t u + \partial_2 u)^3.$$

The corresponding $P(\omega)$'s are ω_1^2 , $\omega_1^2(1-\omega_2)$, $(1-\omega_2)^3$, respectively. We will give more precise estimates of λ for these three cases in Section 5.

Remark 1.2. An analogous result for cubic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^1 will be presented in the forthcoming paper [30].

Remark 1.3. It may be natural to ask what happens in the system case, since the null conditions and the Agemi-type structural conditions can be defined also for systems (see [24]). Concerning this question, two of the authors have pointed out in [35] that the situation is much more delicate than the single case by considering the two-component system

$$\begin{cases} \Box u_1 = -(\partial_t u_2)^2 \partial_t u_1, \\ \Box u_2 = -(\partial_t u_1)^2 \partial_t u_2. \end{cases}$$

See [35] for the details, and also [28], [29] for closely related results on a system of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. It seems that there are many interesting problems to be studied in systems with weakly dissipative structure.

We close the introduction with the contents of this article. In the next section we introduce a detailed pointwise estimate for the solution to (1.1)–(1.2) under the quadratic null condition and (A). Section 3 is devoted to two important lemmas which play key roles in our analysis. After that, Theorem 1.1 will be proved in Section 4, and some remarks on the decay rates will be given in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we will give an outline of the proof of Lemma 2.1.

2 A detailed pointwise estimate under (A)

In this section we introduce a detailed pointwise estimate for the solution to (1.1)-(1.2)under the quadratic null condition and (A). In what follows, we write $\langle z \rangle = \sqrt{1+|z|^2}$ for $z \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $0 < \mu < 1/10$. Assume that the quadratic null condition and (A) are satisfied. If ε is suitably small, there exists a positive constant C, not depending on ε , such that the solution u to (1.1)–(1.2) satisfies

$$\left|\partial u(t, r\omega)\right| \le \frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}} \min\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\omega)\varepsilon^2 \log t}}, \frac{1}{\langle t - r \rangle^{1-\mu}}\right\}$$
(2.1)

for $(t, r, \omega) \in [2, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

The estimate (2.1) is non-trivial, but the ideas and tools needed in the proof are essentially not new. So we shall put the proof of Lemma 2.1 off until the final section, and we are getting into the proof of Theorem 1.1 by using (2.1) first.

3 Key lemmas

This section is devoted to two important lemmas which play key roles in our analysis. Throughout this section, we suppose that $\Psi(\theta)$ is a real-valued function on $[0, 2\pi]$ which can be written as a (finite) linear combination of the terms $\cos^{p_1}\theta \sin^{p_2}\theta$ with $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$.

Lemma 3.1. If $\Psi(\theta) \ge 0$ for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$, then we have either of the following three assertions:

- (a) $\Psi(\theta) = 0$ for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$.
- (b) $\Psi(\theta) > 0$ for all $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$.
- (c) There exist positive integers m, ν_1, \ldots, ν_m , points $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m \in [0, 2\pi]$, and positive constants c_1, \ldots, c_m such that
 - $\Psi(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus \{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m\},\$
 - $\Psi(\theta) = (\theta \theta_j)^{2\nu_j} (c_j + o(1))$ as $\theta \to \theta_j$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{N} be the set of zeros of Ψ on $[0, 2\pi]$. It is easy to see that the case $\mathcal{N} = \emptyset$ corresponds to the case (**b**) in the statement. Next we consider the case of $\sharp \mathcal{N} = \infty$. It follows from the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem that \mathcal{N} has an accumulation point. This is impossible unless Ψ vanishes identically on $[0, 2\pi]$ since Ψ is a trigonometric polynomial. Therefore we have (**a**). What remains is the case where $0 < \sharp \mathcal{N} < \infty$. In this case we can write \mathcal{N} as $\{\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_m\}$ with $m = \sharp \mathcal{N}$. Note that $\Psi(\theta) > 0$ for $\theta \in [0, 2\pi] \setminus \mathcal{N}$. Now let us focus on local behavior of $\Psi(\theta)$ near the point θ_j . We observe that we can take $\kappa_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that $\Psi^{(l)}(\theta_j) = 0$ for $l \leq \kappa_j - 1$ and $\Psi^{(\kappa_j)}(\theta_j) \neq 0$. By the Taylor expansion, we have

$$\Psi(\theta) = \sum_{l \le \kappa_j} \frac{\Psi^{(l)}(\theta_j)}{l!} (\theta - \theta_j)^l + O((\theta - \theta_j)^{\kappa_j + 1}) = (\theta - \theta_j)^{\kappa_j} \left(\frac{\Psi^{(\kappa_j)}(\theta_j)}{\kappa_j!} + o(1)\right)$$

as $\theta \to \theta_j$. By the assumption that Ψ is non-negative, we see that κ_j must be an even integer and $\Psi^{(\kappa_j)}(\theta_j)$ must be strictly positive. Therefore we arrive at the case (c) by setting $c_j = \Psi^{(\kappa_j)}(\theta_j)/(\kappa_j!)$ and $\nu_j = \kappa_j/2$.

Lemma 3.2. Assume that Ψ satisfies (c). We set $\nu = \max\{\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_m\}$. Then, for $0 < \gamma < 1/(2\nu)$, we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\Psi(\theta)^{\gamma}} < \infty$$

Proof. We consider only the case where $\theta_j \neq 0, 2\pi$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. The other case can be also shown by minor modifications. We take positive constants δ_j $(j = 1, \ldots, m)$ so small that the intervals $J_j = (\theta_j - \delta_j, \theta_j + \delta_j)$ satisfy

$$J_j \cap J_k = \emptyset$$
 for $1 \le j < k \le m$

and

$$\Psi(\theta) \ge \frac{c_j}{2} (\theta - \theta_j)^{2\nu_j} \text{ for } \theta \in J_j.$$

We also set

$$K = [0, 2\pi] \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{m} J_j.$$

Since K is compact, we can take M > 0 such that $\Psi(\theta) \ge M$ for $\theta \in K$. So it follows that

$$\int_{K} \frac{d\theta}{\Psi(\theta)^{\gamma}} \le \frac{2\pi}{M^{\gamma}} < \infty.$$

On the other hand, since $2\gamma\nu_j \leq 2\gamma\nu < 1$, we have

$$\int_{J_j} \frac{d\theta}{\Psi(\theta)^{\gamma}} \le \left(\frac{2}{c_j}\right)^{\gamma} \int_{-\delta_j}^{\delta_j} \frac{d\theta}{|\theta|^{2\gamma\nu_j}} < \infty$$

for $j = 1, \ldots, m$. Summing up, we arrive at

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{\Psi(\theta)^{\gamma}} = \int_{K} \frac{d\theta}{\Psi(\theta)^{\gamma}} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{J_{j}} \frac{d\theta}{\Psi(\theta)^{\gamma}} < \infty,$$

as desired.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. In what follows, we denote various positive constants by the same letter C which may vary from one line to another. Since f and g are compactlysupported, we can take R > 0 such that

$$\operatorname{supp} f \cup \operatorname{supp} g \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2; |x| \le R\}.$$

$$(4.1)$$

Then, by the finite propagation property, we have

$$\operatorname{supp} u(t, \cdot) \subset \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^2; |x| \le t + R \}$$

$$(4.2)$$

for $t \geq 0$.

As mentioned in the introduction, we already know that the conclusion is true under (\mathbf{A}_+) . So, in what follows, we assume that the quadratic null condition and (\mathbf{A}) are satisfied but the cubic null condition and (\mathbf{A}_+) are violated. Then we see that the case (\mathbf{a}) and (\mathbf{b}) in Lemma 3.1 are excluded by $\Psi(\theta) = P(\cos\theta, \sin\theta)$, whence it satisfies (c). Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, there exists $0 < \lambda < 1/4$ such that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{P(\cos\theta,\sin\theta)^{2\lambda}} < \infty.$$

With this λ , we choose μ such that

$$0 < \mu < \min\left\{\frac{1}{10}, \frac{1-4\lambda}{2-4\lambda}\right\}.$$

Let $t \ge 2$ from now on. By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\partial u(t, r\omega)| &\leq \frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\omega)\varepsilon^2 \log t}} \right)^{2\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{\langle t - r \rangle^{1-\mu}} \right)^{1-2\lambda} \\ &= \frac{C\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon^2 \log t)^{\lambda}} \cdot \frac{1}{P(\omega)^{\lambda}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{t} \langle t - r \rangle^{(1-\mu)(1-2\lambda)}} \end{aligned}$$
(4.3)

for $(t, r, \omega) \in [2, \infty) \times (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Next we set $\rho = (\varepsilon^2 \log t)^{\frac{2\lambda}{1-2\mu}}$. For small $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $0 < \rho < t$, and thus $0 < t + R - \rho \le t + R$. Then we can split

$$2\|u(t)\|_{E}^{2} = \int_{|x| \le t+R-\rho} |\partial u(t,x)|^{2} dx + \int_{t+R-\rho \le |x| \le t+R} |\partial u(t,x)|^{2} dx$$

=: $I_{1} + I_{2}$.

We also note that

$$r/t \le (t+R)/t \le 1 + R/2$$
 for $0 \le r \le t + R$,

and

$$0 < \rho \le R + t - r \le \sqrt{2}(1+R)\langle t - r \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le r \le t + R - \rho.$$

By using the polar coordinates, we deduce from (2.1) and (4.3) that

$$I_{1} \leq \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{t+R-\rho} \left(\frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}\langle t-r\rangle^{1-\mu}}\right)^{2} r dr d\theta$$
$$\leq C\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t+R-\rho} \frac{r dr}{t\langle t-r\rangle^{2-2\mu}}$$
$$\leq C\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t+R-\rho} \frac{dr}{(R+t-r)^{2-2\mu}}$$
$$\leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{2}}{\rho^{1-2\mu}}$$

and

$$I_{2} \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{2}}{(\varepsilon^{2}\log t)^{2\lambda}} \left(\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{d\theta}{P(\cos\theta,\sin\theta)^{2\lambda}} \right) \left(\int_{t+R-\rho}^{t+R} \frac{rdr}{t\langle t-r\rangle^{2(1-\mu)(1-2\lambda)}} \right)$$
$$\leq \frac{C\varepsilon^{2}}{(\varepsilon^{2}\log t)^{2\lambda}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\sigma}{\langle \sigma \rangle^{2(1-\mu)(1-2\lambda)}},$$

respectively. Since $2(1 - \mu)(1 - 2\lambda) > 1$, we see that the integral in the last line converges. Eventually we have

$$\|u(t)\|_E^2 \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{\rho^{1-2\mu}} + \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(\varepsilon^2 \log t)^{2\lambda}} \le \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{(\varepsilon^2 \log(t+2))^{2\lambda}}.$$

Also we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_{E}^{2} \leq C\varepsilon^{2} \int_{0}^{t+R} \frac{rdr}{t\langle t-r\rangle^{2-2\mu}} \leq C\varepsilon^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\sigma}{\langle \sigma \rangle^{2-2\mu}} \leq C\varepsilon^{2}$$

by (2.1). Summing up, we arrive at the desired estimate.

5 Remarks on the decay rates

It is worthwhile to mention the exponent λ appearing in Theorem 1.1. In view of the argument in Section 4, we can see that λ is determined by ν coming from Lemma 3.2. To be more precise, we can take $\lambda = 1/(4\nu) - \delta$ with arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$, and 2ν is the maximum of the vanishing order of zeros of $\Psi(\theta) = P(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$.

Now, let us compute ν for the examples of $F_{\rm c}(\partial u)$ raised in Remark 1.1.

(1) We first focus on $F_c(\partial u) = -(\partial_1 u)^2 \partial_t u$. Since $\Psi(\theta) = \cos^2 \theta$, we can check that

$$\Psi(\theta) = (\theta - \pi/2)^2 (1 + o(1)) \quad (\theta \to \pi/2),$$

$$\Psi(\theta) = (\theta - 3\pi/2)^2 (1 + o(1)) \quad (\theta \to 3\pi/2),$$

and $\Psi(\theta) > 0$ when $\theta \neq \pi/2$, $3\pi/2$. These tell us that $\nu = 1$, and thus we have $||u(t)||_E = O((\log t)^{-1/4+\delta})$ as $t \to \infty$, where $\delta > 0$ can be arbitrarily small.

(2) In the case of $F_c(\partial u) = -(\partial_1 u)^2(\partial_t u + \partial_2 u)$, we see that $\Psi(\theta) = \cos^2 \theta(1 - \sin \theta)$, and its zeros are $\theta = \pi/2$ and $3\pi/2$. Near these points, we have

$$\Psi(\theta) = (\theta - \pi/2)^4 (1/2 + o(1)) \quad (\theta \to \pi/2)$$

and

$$\Psi(\theta) = (\theta - 3\pi/2)^2 (2 + o(1)) \quad (\theta \to 3\pi/2).$$

Hence $\nu = \max\{2, 1\} = 2$, from which it follows that $||u(t)||_E = O((\log t)^{-1/8+\delta})$ as $t \to \infty$ with arbitrarily small $\delta > 0$.

(3) For $F_{\rm c}(\partial u) = -(\partial_t u + \partial_2 u)^3$, we have $\Psi(\theta) = (1 - \sin \theta)^3$. This vanishes only when $\theta = \pi/2$, and it holds that

$$\Psi(\theta) = (\theta - \pi/2)^6 (1/8 + o(1)) \quad (\theta \to \pi/2).$$

Therefore $\nu = 3$, and this implies that $||u(t)||_E$ decays like $O((\log t)^{-1/12+\delta})$ as $t \to \infty$ with $0 < \delta \ll 1/12$.

Remark 5.1. It is not certain whether these decay rates are the best or not. It may be an interesting problem to specify the optimal rates for the energy decay.

6 Proof of Lemma 2.1

What remains is the proof of Lemma 2.1, whose outline will be given in this section. We note that many parts of the argument below are almost the same as those in the previous works [23], [24], [25], [35], etc., but we must be more careful in some parts. We divide the argument into several small steps.

Step 1: First we remember a sort of the commuting vector fields technique which we need. We introduce

$$S := t\partial_t + x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2, \ L_1 := t\partial_1 + x_1\partial_t, \ L_2 := t\partial_2 + x_2\partial_t, \ \Omega := x_1\partial_2 - x_2\partial_1,$$

and we set $\Gamma = (\Gamma_j)_{0 \le j \le 6} = (S, L_1, L_2, \Omega, \partial_0, \partial_1, \partial_2)$. For a multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_6) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ge 0}^7$, we write $|\alpha| = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_6$ and $\Gamma^{\alpha} = \Gamma_0^{\alpha_0} \Gamma_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \Gamma_6^{\alpha_6}$. We define $|\cdot|_s$ by

$$|\phi(t,x)|_s = \sum_{|\alpha| \le s} |\Gamma^{\alpha}\phi(t,x)|.$$

We write r = |x| and $\mathcal{D} = (\partial_r - \partial_t)/2$. We also set $\Lambda_{\infty} := \{(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2; |x| \ge t/2 \ge 1\}$. Then we have

$$\left| |x|^{1/2} \partial \phi(t,x) - \hat{\omega}(x) \mathcal{D}\left(|x|^{1/2} \phi(t,x) \right) \right| \le C \langle t + |x| \rangle^{-1/2} |\phi(t,x)|_1$$
(6.1)

for $(t, x) \in \Lambda_{\infty}$, where $\hat{\omega}(x) = (-1, x_1/|x|, x_2/|x|)$. See Corollary 3.1 in [25] for the proof.

Step 2: Next we make some reductions, whose essential idea goes back to John [17] and Hörmander [12]. Let u be the solution to (1.1)-(1.2) on $[0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$. We define $U(t,x) = \mathcal{D}(|x|^{1/2}u(t,x))$. We also introduce H(t,x) by

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \left(r^{1/2} F(\partial u) - \frac{1}{t} P(\omega) U^3 \right) - \frac{1}{8r^{3/2}} (4\Omega^2 + 1) u.$$

From the relation

$$(\partial_t + \partial_r)(\partial_t - \partial_r)(r^{1/2}\phi) = r^{1/2}\Box\phi + \frac{1}{4r^{3/2}}(4\Omega^2 + 1)\phi,$$

it follows that

$$(\partial_t + \partial_r)U(t, x) = -\frac{P(\omega)}{2t}U(t, x)^3 + H(t, x).$$
(6.2)

The basic estimates for H and u can be summarized in the following lemmas.

Lemma 6.1. Under that the quadratic null condition and (4.2), we have

$$|H(t,x)| \le Ct^{-1/2} \left(1 + t |\partial u|^2 + |u|_{\sharp,0} \right) |u|_{\sharp,0} |u|_1 + Ct^{-3/2} |u|_2$$
(6.3)

for $(t, x) \in \Lambda_{\infty,R} := \{(t, x) \in \Lambda_{\infty}; |x| \le t + R\}$, where R comes from (4.1), and

$$u(t,x)|_{\sharp,0} = |\partial u(t,x)| + \langle t+|x| \rangle^{-1} |u(t,x)|_{1}$$

Lemma 6.2. Let $0 < \mu < 1/10$. If the quadratic null condition and (A) are satisfied and ε is suitably small, then the solution u to (1.1)–(1.2) satisfies

$$|\partial u(t,x)| \le C\varepsilon \langle t+|x|\rangle^{-1/2} \langle t-|x|\rangle^{\mu-1}$$
(6.4)

and

$$|u(t,x)|_2 \le C\varepsilon \langle t+|x| \rangle^{-1/2+\mu} \tag{6.5}$$

for $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

For the proof, see Lemma 2.8 in [24] and Section 3 in [24], respectively. From (6.1), (6.3), (6.4) and (6.5), we have

$$|U(t,x)| \le \left| |x|^{1/2} \partial u(t,x) \right| + \left| |x|^{1/2} \partial u(t,x) - \hat{\omega} U(t,x) \right| \le C \varepsilon \langle t - |x| \rangle^{\mu - 1} \tag{6.6}$$

and

$$|H(t,x)| \le C\varepsilon^{2}t^{-1/2}\langle t+|x|\rangle^{\mu-1}\langle t-|x|\rangle^{\mu-1} + C\varepsilon t^{-3/2}\langle t+|x|\rangle^{\mu-1/2} \le C\varepsilon t^{2\mu-3/2}\langle t-|x|\rangle^{-\mu-1/2}$$
(6.7)

for $(t, x) \in \Lambda_{\infty,R}$. Now we set $V(t; \sigma, \omega) = U(t, (t + \sigma)\omega)$ and $G(t; \sigma, \omega) = H(t, (t + \sigma)\omega)$ for $(t; \sigma, \omega) \in [t_{0,\sigma}, \infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{S}^1$, where $t_{0,\sigma} = \max\{2, -2\sigma\}$. Then we see that (6.2) is reduced to

$$\partial_t V(t) = -\frac{P(\omega)}{2t} V(t)^3 + G(t), \qquad (6.8)$$

and we observe that

$$\Lambda_{\infty,R} = \bigcup_{(\sigma,\omega)\in(-\infty,R]\times\mathbb{S}^1} \{ (t,(t+\sigma)\omega) ; t \ge t_{0,\sigma} \}.$$

We also note that there exists a positive constant c_0 depending only on R such that

$$\langle \sigma \rangle / c_0 \le t_{0,\sigma} \le c_0 \langle \sigma \rangle$$
 (6.9)

for $\sigma \in (-\infty, R]$. It follows from (6.6) and (6.7) that

$$|V(t;\sigma,\omega)| \le C\varepsilon \langle \sigma \rangle^{\mu-1} \tag{6.10}$$

and

$$|G(t;\sigma,\omega)| \le C\varepsilon \langle \sigma \rangle^{-\mu - 1/2} t^{2\mu - 3/2} \tag{6.11}$$

for $(t, \sigma, \omega) \in [t_{0,\sigma}, \infty) \times (-\infty, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

Step 3: Let us also recall the following useful lemma due to Matsumura.

Lemma 6.3. Let $C_0 > 0$, $C_1 \ge 0$, p > 1, q > 1 and $t_0 \ge 2$. Suppose that a function $\Phi(t)$ satisfies

$$\frac{d\Phi}{dt}(t) \le -\frac{C_0}{t} \left| \Phi(t) \right|^p + \frac{C_1}{t^q}$$

for $t \geq t_0$. Then we have

$$\Phi(t) \le \frac{C_2}{(\log t)^{p^* - 1}}$$

for $t \ge t_0$, where p^* is the Hölder conjugate of p (i.e., $1/p + 1/p^* = 1$), and

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{\log 2} \left((\log t_0)^{p^*} \Phi(t_0) + C_1 \int_2^\infty \frac{(\log \tau)^{p^*}}{\tau^q} d\tau \right) + \left(\frac{p^*}{C_0 p}\right)^{p^* - 1}.$$

For the proof, see Lemma 4.1 of [24].

Final step: Now we are in a position to reach (2.1). Let $(\sigma, \omega) \in (-\infty, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ be fixed, and we set $\Phi(t) = \Phi(t; \sigma, \omega) = P(\omega)V(t; \sigma, \omega)^2$ for $t \ge t_{0,\sigma}$. It follows from (6.8), (6.10) and (6.11) that

$$\partial_t \Phi(t) = 2P(\omega)V(t)\partial_t V(t)$$

= $-\frac{P(\omega)^2}{t}V(t)^4 + 2P(\omega)V(t)G(t)$
 $\leq -\frac{1}{t}\Phi(t)^2 + \frac{C_*\varepsilon^2}{t^{3/2-2\mu}\langle\sigma\rangle^{3/2}}$

with some $C_* > 0$ not depending on σ , ω and ε . Therefore we can apply Lemma 6.3 with $p = 2, q = 3/2 - 2\mu$ and $t_0 = t_{0,\sigma}$ to obtain

$$0 \le \Phi(t; \sigma, \omega) \le \frac{M(\sigma, \omega)}{\log t},$$

where

$$M(\sigma,\omega) = \frac{1}{\log 2} \left((\log t_{0,\sigma})^2 P(\omega) V(t_{0,\sigma};\sigma,\omega)^2 + \frac{C_* \varepsilon^2}{\langle \sigma \rangle^{3/2}} \int_2^\infty \frac{(\log \tau)^2}{\tau^{3/2 - 2\mu}} d\tau \right) + 1.$$

By virtue of (6.9) and (6.10), we see that $M(\sigma, \omega)$ can be dominated by a positive constant not depending on σ , ω and ε . Therefore we deduce that

$$|V(t;\sigma,\omega)| \le \sqrt{\frac{\Phi(t;\sigma,\omega)}{P(\omega)}} \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{P(\omega)\log t}}$$

for $(t, \sigma, \omega) \in [t_{0,\sigma}, \infty) \times (-\infty, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. By (6.1) and (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} r^{1/2}|\partial u(t,r\omega)| &\leq \sqrt{2}|V(t;r-t,\omega)| + \left|r^{1/2}\partial u(t,r\omega) - \hat{\omega}U(t,r\omega)\right| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{P(\omega)\log t}} + \frac{C\varepsilon}{\langle t+r\rangle^{1-\mu}} \end{aligned}$$

for $(t, r\omega) \in \Lambda_{\infty,R}$, whence

$$|\partial u(t, r\omega)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{rP(\omega)\log t}} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon\sqrt{P(\omega)\log t}}{t^{1-\mu}}\right) \le \frac{C\varepsilon}{\sqrt{t}} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{P(\omega)\varepsilon^2\log t}}$$

for $(t, r\omega) \in \Lambda_{\infty,R}$. Piecing together this with (6.4), we arrive at the desired estimate in the case of $(t, r\omega) \in \Lambda_{\infty,R}$. It is much easier to derive the bound for $|\partial u(t, r\omega)|$ in the case of $(t, r\omega) \notin \Lambda_{\infty,R}$ (indeed it follows from (6.4) only), so we skip it here.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor Soichiro Katayama and Dr.Yuji Sagawa for their useful conversations on this subject. The work of H. S. is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (No. 17K05322), JSPS.

References

- [1] S. Alinhac, Blowup for nonlinear hyperbolic equations, Birkhäuser Boston, 1995.
- S. Alinhac, The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions I, Invent. Math., 145 (2001), no. 3, 597–618.
- [3] S. Alinhac, The null condition for quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions II, Amer. J. Math., **123** (2001), no.6, 1071–1101.
- [4] S. Alinhac, An example of blowup at infinity for quasilinear wave equations, Astérisque, 284 (2003), 1–91.
- [5] S. Alinhac, Semilinear hyperbolic systems with blowup at infinity, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 55 (2006), no.3, 1209–1232.
- [6] D. Christodoulou, Global solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations for small initial data, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 39 (1986), no.2, 267–282.
- [7] J. M. Delort, Minoration du temps d'existence pour l'équation de Klein-Gordon nonlinéaire en dimension 1 d'espace, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire, 16 (1999), no.5, 563–591.
- [8] P. Godin, Lifespan of solutions of semilinear wave equations in two space dimensions, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 18 (1993), no.5–6, 895–916.
- [9] N. Hayashi, P. I. Naumkin and H. Sunagawa, On the Schrödinger equation with dissipative nonlinearities of derivative type, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 40 (2008), no.1, 278–291.

- [10] K. Hidano and K. Yokoyama, Global existence for a system of quasi-linear wave equations in 3D satisfying the weak null condition, to appear in International Mathematics Research Notices.
- [11] K. Hidano and D. Zha, Remarks on a system of quasi-linear wave equations in 3D satisfying the weak null condition, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 18 (2019), no.4, 1735– 1767.
- [12] L. Hörmander, The lifespan of classical solutions of nonlinear hyperbolic equations, Springer Lecture Notes in Math., 1256 (1987), 214–280.
- [13] L. Hörmander, Lectures on nonlinear hyperbolic differential equations, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1997.
- [14] A. Hoshiga, The initial value problems for quasi-linear wave equations in two space dimensions with small data, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl., 5 (1995), no.1, 67–89.
- [15] A. Hoshiga, The asymptotic behaviour of the radially symmetric solutions to quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions, Hokkaido Math. J., 24 (1995), no.3, 575–615.
- [16] A. Hoshiga, The existence of the global solutions to semilinear wave equations with a class of cubic nonlinearities in 2-dimensional space, Hokkaido Math. J., 37 (2008), no.4, 669–688.
- [17] F. John, Existence for large times of strict solutions of nonlinear wave equations in three space dimensions for small initial data, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 40 (1987), no.1, 79–109.
- [18] F. John, Nonlinear wave equations, formation of singularities, Pitcher Lectures in the Mathematical Sciences, Lehigh University, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [19] S. Katayama, Global existence for systems of nonlinear wave equations in two space dimensions, II, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 31 (1995), no.4, 645–665.
- [20] S. Katayama, Global solutions and the asymptotic behavior for nonlinear wave equations with small initial data, MSJ Memoirs, 36. Mathematical Society of Japan, 2017.
- [21] S. Katayama, Remarks on the asymptotic behavior of global solutions to systems of nonlinear wave equations, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 81 (2019), 55–84.
- [22] S. Katayama and H. Kubo, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to semilinear systems of wave equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 57 (2008), no.1, 377–400.
- [23] S. Katayama, T. Matoba and H. Sunagawa, Semilinear hyperbolic systems violating the null condition, Math. Ann., 361 (2015), no.1–2, 275–312.

- [24] S. Katayama, A. Matsumura and H. Sunagawa, Energy decay for systems of semilinear wave equations with dissipative structure in two space dimensions, NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl., 22 (2015), no.4, 601–628.
- [25] S. Katayama, D. Murotani and H. Sunagawa, The energy decay and asymptotics for a class of semilinear wave equations in two space dimensions. J. Evol. Equ., 12 (2012), no.4, 891–916.
- [26] S. Klainerman, The null condition and global existence to nonlinear wave equations, Lectures in Applied Math., 23 (1986), 293–326.
- [27] H. Kubo, Asymptotic behavior of solutions to semilinear wave equations with dissipative structure, Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems, Supplement Volume 2007 (2007), 602– 613.
- [28] C. Li, Y. Nishii, Y. Sagawa and H. Sunagawa, Large time asymptotics for a cubic nonlinear Schrödinger system in one space dimension, preprint, 2019 [arXiv:1905.07123].
- [29] C. Li, Y. Nishii, Y. Sagawa and H. Sunagawa, Large time asymptotics for a cubic nonlinear Schrödinger system in one space dimension, II, preprint, 2020 [arXiv:2001.10682].
- [30] C. Li, Y. Nishii, Y. Sagawa and H. Sunagawa, On the derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equation with weakly dissipative structure, in preparation.
- [31] H. Lindblad, Global solutions of nonlinear wave equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 45 (1992), no.9, 1063–1096.
- [32] H. Lindblad, Global solutions of quasilinear wave equations, Amer. J. Math., 130 (2008), no.1, 115–157.
- [33] H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski, The weak null condition for Einstein's equations, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, 336 (2003), no.11, 901–906.
- [34] H. Lindblad and I. Rodnianski, Global existence for the Einstein vacuum equations in wave coordinates, Comm. Math. Phys., 256 (2005), no.1, 43–110.
- [35] Y. Nishii and H. Sunagawa, Remarks on Agemi-type structural condition for systems of semilinear wave equations, preprint, 2019 [arXiv:1904.09083].
- [36] Y. Sagawa and H. Sunagawa, The lifespan of small solutions to cubic derivative nonlinear Schrödinger equations in one space dimension, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 36 (2016), no.10, 5743–5761.
- [37] H. Sunagawa, Large time behavior of solutions to the Klein-Gordon equation with nonlinear dissipative terms, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 58 (2006), 379–400.
- [38] H. Sunagawa, Lower bounds of the lifespan of small data solutions to the nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Osaka J. Math. 43 (2006), no.4, 771–789.

[39] D. Zha, Global and almost global existence for general quasilinear wave equations in two space dimensions, J. Math. Pures Appl., 123 (2019), 270–299.