

Weak-strong uniqueness in weighted L^2 spaces and weak suitable solutions in local Morrey spaces for the MHD equations

Pedro Gabriel Fernández-Dalgo^{*†}, Oscar Jarrín^{‡§}

Abstract

We consider here the magneto-hydrodynamics (MHD) equations on the whole space. For the 3D case, in the setting of the weighted L^2 spaces we obtain a weak-strong uniqueness criterion provided that the velocity field and the magnetic field belong to a fairly general multipliers space. On the other hand, we study the local and global existence of weak suitable solutions for intermittent initial data, which is characterized through a local Morrey space. This large initial data space was also exhibit in a contemporary work [4] in the context of 3D Navier-Stokes equations. Finally, we make a discussion on the local and global existence problem in the 2D case.

Keywords : MHD equations; Weak-strong uniqueness; Multipliers spaces, Local Morrey spaces; Global weak solutions; Suitable solutions.

AMS classification : 35Q30, 76D05.

1 Introduction

In a recent work [10], P. G. Fernandez-Dalgo & P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset obtained *new energy controls* for the homogeneous and incompressible Navier-Stokes (NS) equations, which allowed them to develop a theory to construct weak solutions for initial data \mathbf{u}_0 belonging to the weighted space

^{*}LaMME, Univ Evry, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 91025, Evry, France

[†]e-mail : pedro.fernandez@univ-evry.fr

[‡]Dirección de Investigación y Desarrollo (DIDE), Universidad Técnica de Ambato, Ambato, Ecuador

[§]e-mail : or.jarrin@uta.edu.ec

$L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3) = L^2(w_\gamma dx)$, where $0 < \gamma \leq 2$ and $w_\gamma(x) := (1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}$. Moreover, this method also gives a *new proof* of the existence of discretely self-similar solutions.

Due to the structural similarity between the (NS) equations and the magneto-hydrodynamics equations (see equations (MHD) below) it is quite natural to extend those recent results obtained for the (NS) equations to the more general setting of the coupled magneto-hydrodynamics system which writes down as follows:

$$(MHD) \begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{b} = \Delta \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla q + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_0. \end{cases}$$

Here the fluid velocity $\mathbf{u} : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, the fluid magnetic field $\mathbf{b} : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$, the fluid pressure $p : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and the term $q : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (which appears in physical models considering Maxwell's displacement currents [1], [22]) are the unknowns. On the other hand, the data of the problem are given by the fluid velocity at $t = 0$: $\mathbf{u}_0 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$; the magnetic field at $t = 0$, $\mathbf{b}_0 : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$; and the tensors $\mathbb{F} = (F_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$, $\mathbb{G} = (G_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 3}$ (where $F_{i,j}, G_{i,j} : [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$) whose divergences: $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}, \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}$, represent volume forces applied to the fluids.

In the setting of this coupled system, in a previous work [8], we adapted the *energy controls* given in [10] for the (NS) equations to the (MHD) equations and this approach allowed us to establish the existence of discretely self-similar solutions for discretely self-similar initial data belonging to L^2_{loc} ; and moreover, the existence of global suitable weak solutions when the initial data $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0$ belong to the weighted spaces $L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, for $0 < \gamma \leq 2$, and the tensor forces \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G} belong to the space $L^2((0, +\infty), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. For all the details see Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 in [8]. In this paper, we continue with the research program started in [8] for the (MHD) equations in two directions.

1.1 Weak-strong uniqueness in the weighted L^2 spaces

It is well-known that uniqueness of weak suitable solutions remains an outstanding open problem and in this sense the research community has attained the attention to look for supplementary assumptions in order to ensure the

uniqueness of weak solutions. This kind of results are well-known as *weak-strong uniqueness theorems*. In our first result, we complement the study of the (MHD) equations in the framework of the weighted L^2 spaces with a weak-strong uniqueness theorem. This result, is obtained in the setting of a multiplier space \mathbb{X}_T we shall introduce as follows: for a time $0 < T < +\infty$ fix, let us denote E_T the *energy space* of the time-dependent vector fields \mathbf{v} such that \mathbf{v} belongs to $L^\infty((0, T), L^2)$ and moreover $\nabla \mathbf{v}$ belongs to $L^2((0, T), L^2)$. This space is doted by its usual norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{E_T}^2 = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\mathbf{v}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \|\nabla \mathbf{v}(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

Then, we define \mathbb{X}_T the space of pointwise multipliers on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ from E_T to $L^2((0, T), L^2)$, which is a Banach space with the norm:

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} = \sup_{\|\mathbf{v}\|_{E_T} \leq 1} \|\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2((0, T), L^2)}.$$

Moreover, we define $\mathbb{X}_T^{(0)}$ the space of multipliers $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{X}_T$ such that for every $t_0 \in [0, T)$ we have

$$\lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t_0^+} \|\mathbf{1}_{(t_0, t_1)}(t) \mathbf{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} = 0.$$

The multiplier space $\mathbb{X}_T^{(0)}$ gives us a natural and general framework to prove a weak-strong uniqueness criterion. More precisely, based on the classical Prodi-Serrin's type condition [20, 21] (for the (NS) equations) and considering the new energy controls on the (MHD) equations in the weighted spaces we have our first main result.

Theorem 1 (Weak-strong uniqueness) *Let $0 \leq \gamma \leq 2$. Let $0 < T < +\infty$. Let $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0 \in L_{w_\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be divergence-free vector fields, and moreover, consider forcing tensors $\mathbb{F}(t, x) = (F_{i,j}(t, x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \in L^2((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^2)$ and $\mathbb{G}(t, x) = (G_{i,j}(t, x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \in L^2((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^2)$.*

Let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ two solutions of the problem (MHD) such that :

- *$\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ belong to the space $L^\infty((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^2)$ and $\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \nabla \mathbf{b}, \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ belong to $L^2((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^2)$*
- *the maps $t \in [0, T) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(t, \cdot)$ and $t \in [0, T) \mapsto (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}})(t, \cdot)$ are weakly continuous from $[0, T)$ to $L_{w_\gamma}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and are strongly continuous at $t = 0$*

:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|(\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{u}_0, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}} = 0.$$

- there exist non-negative locally finite measures μ and ν on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) = & \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 - \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + p \right] \mathbf{u} \right) \\ & + \nabla \cdot ([(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + q] \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) - \mu, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} \right) = & \Delta \left(\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 - |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2 - \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} + \tilde{p} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \right) \\ & + \nabla \cdot ([(\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \tilde{q}] \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) - \nu. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

If $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{X}_T^{(0)}$ and if the products $\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbf{b} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ are well defined as distributions then we have $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q) = (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$.

Some comments are in order. P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset used the space $\mathbb{X}_T^{(0)}$ to prove a weak-strong uniqueness criterion of weak Leray solutions (see the Theorem 12.4, page 359 of the book [18]). Thus, we improve here this result to the more general setting of the weighted spaces.

Let us observe some examples, where we have the embedding $E \subset \mathbb{X}_T^{(0)}$ for the following scale-invariant spaces E . For a proof of these embeddings see the Proposition 12.2, page 361 of [18]. First, for $2 \leq p < +\infty$ such that $2/p + 3/q = 1$, the classical Prodi-Serrin criterion [20, 21] considers the space $E = L^p([0, T], L^q(\mathbb{R}^3))$. This result was improved by P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset to next general spaces: in [16] it is considered $E = L^p([0, T], \mathcal{M}(\dot{H}^{3/q} \rightarrow L^2))$, where $\mathcal{M}(\dot{H}^{3/q} \rightarrow L^2)$ denotes the pointwise multiplier space from $\dot{H}^{3/q}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. Thereafter, in [17] the multiplier space $\mathcal{M}(\dot{H}^{3/q} \rightarrow L^2)$ is substituted by an homogeneous Morrey space and we have $E = L^p([0, T], \dot{M}^{2,q}(\mathbb{R}^3))$. On the other hand, W. Von Wahl considers in [23] the space $E = \mathcal{C}([0, T], L^3(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and thereafter, this result was generalized by

P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset in [16] to the space $E = \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathcal{V}_0^1)$, where the space \mathcal{V}_0^1 is defined as the closure of the space $L^3(\mathbb{R}^3)$ in the multiplier spaces from $\dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ denoted as $\mathcal{M}(\dot{H}^1 \rightarrow L^2)$.

Finally, we may observe that we also need the assumption that $\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbf{b} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ are well-defined in the distributional sense, which essentially is a technical requirement due to the general setting of the space $\mathbb{X}_T^{(0)}$ in which we state this result. However, in some particular cases this assumption is not longer required. For example, this is the case of the space $L^p((0, T), L^q) \subset \mathbb{X}_T^{(0)}$ (with $2/p + 3/q = 1$ and $2 < p < +\infty$) where the products above are well defined. To illustrate quickly this fact, let us focus on the term $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{p}$. We remark first that $\nabla \tilde{p} \in L^{p'}((0, T), L_{loc}^{q'})$ where $\frac{1}{p'} = 1 - \frac{1}{p}$, $\frac{1}{q'} = 1 - \frac{1}{q}$. Indeed, let $\frac{1}{\tilde{p}} = \frac{1}{p'} - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{\tilde{q}} = \frac{1}{q'} - \frac{1}{2}$, by interpolation we find that $\sqrt{w_\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \sqrt{w_\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \in L^{\tilde{p}}((0, T), L^{\tilde{q}})$. Then, for a test function $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, using the continuity of the Riesz transforms, and moreover, assuming that $\mathbb{F} = 0$ (only for the sake of simplicity) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi \nabla \tilde{p}\|_{L^{p'} L^{q'}} &\leq C_\varphi \|w_\gamma \nabla \tilde{p}\|_{L^{p'} L^{q'}} \leq C_\varphi \sum_{i,j,k} \|w_\gamma \partial_k (\tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j) + \partial_k (\tilde{b}_i \tilde{b}_j)\|_{L^{p'} L^{q'}} \\ &\leq C (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^{\tilde{p}} L^{\tilde{q}}} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2 L^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\|_{L^{\tilde{p}} L^{\tilde{q}}} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\|_{L^2 L^2}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if $\mathbf{u} \in L^p((0, T), L^q)$ then we have $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \tilde{p} \in L_{loc}^1([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

1.2 Weak suitable solutions for intermittent initial data

For the (NS) equations, in a recently paper [4] written by Bradshaw, Tsai & Kukavika, the main theorem on global existence given in [10] by P.G. Fernández-Dalgo & P.G. Lemarié-Rieusset is improved with respect to the initial data. We *relax* the method developed in [10] to *enlarge* the initial data space and thus we generalize the previous works to the framework of the (MHD) equations. More precisely, following some ideas of [2] (for the (NS) equations) we define local Morrey-type space $B_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L_{loc}^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ as the Banach space of all functions $u \in L_{loc}^2$ such that :

$$\|u\|_{B_2}^2 = \sup_{R \geq 1} R^{-2} \int_{|x| \leq R} |u|^2 dx < +\infty.$$

Moreover, we define the time-space version of the local Morrey-type space: $B_2 L^2(0, T)$, as the Banach space defined as the space of all functions $u \in L_{loc}^2((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{B_2 L^2(0, T)}^2 = \sup_{R \geq 1} R^{-2} \int_{|x| \leq R} \int_0^T |u|^2 dt dx < +\infty.$$

In this framework, our second main result reads as follows:

Theorem 2 (Local and global weak suitable solutions) *Let $0 < T < +\infty$. Let $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0 \in B_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be divergence-free vector fields. Let \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} be tensors belonging to $B_2L^2(0, T)$. Then, there exists a time $0 < T_0 < T$ such that the system (MHD) has a solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ which satisfies :*

- \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belong to $L^\infty((0, T_0), B_2)$ and $\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{b}$ belong to $B_2L^2(0, T_0)$.
- The pressure p and the term q are related to $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbb{F}$ and \mathbb{G} by:

$$p = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}) \quad \text{and} \quad q = - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (G_{i,j}),$$

where $\mathcal{R}_i = \frac{\partial_i}{\sqrt{-\Delta}}$ denotes the Riesz transform.

- The map $t \in [0, T) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot), \mathbf{b}(t, \cdot))$ is $*$ -weakly continuous from $[0, T)$ to $B_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and for all compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ we have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|(\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{L^2(K)} = 0.$$

- The solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ is suitable : there exists a non-negative locally finite measure μ on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) = & \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 - \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + p \right] \mathbf{u} \right) \\ & + \nabla \cdot ([(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + q] \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) - \mu. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have the global control on the solution: for all $0 \leq t \leq T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{ \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(t)\|_{B_2}^2, \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})\|_{B_2L^2(0, T_0)}^2 \} \\ & \leq C \|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{B_2}^2 + C \|(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})\|_{B_2L^2(0, t)}^2 + C \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(s)\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(s)\|_{B_2}^6 ds. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

- Finally, if the data verify:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-2} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_0(x)|^2 dx = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbb{F}(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbb{G}(t, x)|^2 dx ds = 0,$$

then $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ is a global weak solution.

Remark 1.1 A vector field \mathbf{u} denotes the vector (u_1, u_2, u_3) and for a tensor $\mathbb{F} = (F_{i,j})$ we use $\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}$ to denote the vector $(\sum_i \partial_i F_{i,1}, \sum_i \partial_i F_{i,2}, \sum_i \partial_i F_{i,3})$. Moreover, as $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0$ then we can write $(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{u})$.

It is worth to make the following comments on this result. Remark first that we prove a global control on the solutions (3) which is not exhibited in [4]. This new control is also valid for the (NS) equations (taking $\mathbf{b} = 0$, $\mathbf{b}_0 = 0$ and $\mathbb{G} = 0$ in the (MHD) system). On the other hand, it is interesting to note that the main difference between this result and our previous work [8] is that, in the more general setting of the space $B_2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, the control on the pressure p and the term q is a little more technical, and so the method seems not to be adaptable to study the existence of self-similar solutions of equations (MHD) as done in Theorem 2 in [8].

Getting back to the (NS) equations, the global existence and uniqueness of solutions for the 2D case with initial data $\mathbf{u}_0 \in B_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ was an open problem proposed by A. Basson in [2]. In Appendix A we make a discussion on problematic arising on the local and global existence for the 2D case, and moreover, we give a sketch of the proof of a result analogous to Theorem 2 in dimension 2.

Organization of the paper. In Section 2 we state some useful tools on the weighted spaces and the local Morrey spaces. Then, in Section 3 we give a proof of the weak-strong uniqueness criterion stated in Theorem 1. Section 4 is devoted to some *a priori* estimates and stability results on the (MHD) equations. In Section 5, we use these *a priori* results to prove the local and global existence of weak suitable solutions stated in Theorem 2. Finally, Appendix A is devoted to treat the 2D case.

2 The weighted spaces and local Morrey spaces

In order to understand how Theorem 2 generalizes the results obtained by [10], in this section we state some previous results on the weighted spaces and the local Morrey spaces. We state these results in the general space \mathbb{R}^d .

Definition 2.1 Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and $1 < p < +\infty$. We denote $B_\gamma^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the Banach space of all functions $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that :

$$\|u\|_{B_\gamma^p} = \sup_{R \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{R^\gamma} \int_{B(0,R)} |u(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} < +\infty.$$

Moreover, for $0 < T \leq +\infty$, $B_\gamma^p L^p(0, T)$ is the Banach space of all functions $u \in (L_t^p L_x^p)_{\text{loc}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that

$$\|u\|_{B_\gamma^p L^p(0, T)} = \sup_{R \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{R^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_{B(0, R)} |u(t, x)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} dx dt < +\infty.$$

In what follows, we will denote $B_\gamma^p(\mathbb{R}^d) = B_\gamma^p$ and $B_2^2 = B_2$.

Also, the space $B_{\gamma, 0}^p$ is defined as the subspace of all functions $u \in B_\gamma^p$ such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^\gamma} \int_{B(0, R)} |u(x)|^p dx = 0,$$

and similar, $B_{\gamma, 0}^p L^p(0, T)$ is the subspace of all functions $u \in B_\gamma^p L^p(0, T)$ such that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_{B(0, R)} |u(t, x)|^p dx dt = 0.$$

The following result shows how B_γ^p is strongly lied with the weighted spaces $L_{w_\gamma}^p = L^p(w_\gamma dx)$ (where $w_\gamma = (1 + |x|)^{-\gamma}$) considered in [8] and [10].

Lemma 2.1 *Consider $\gamma \geq 0$ and let $\gamma < \delta < +\infty$. We have the continuous embedding*

$$L_{w_\gamma}^p \subset B_{\gamma, 0}^p \subset B_\gamma^p \subset L_{w_\delta}^p.$$

Moreover, for all $0 < T \leq +\infty$ we have:

$$L^p((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^p) \subset B_{\gamma, 0}^p L^p(0, T) \subset B_\gamma^p L^p(0, T) \subset L^p((0, T), L_{w_\delta}^p).$$

Proof. Only the embedding $L^p((0, T), L_{w_\gamma}^p) \subset B_{\gamma, 0}^p L^p(0, T)$ is not proved in [9] and we prove it. Let $\lambda > 1$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $u_n(t, x) = u(t, \lambda^n x)$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{R \geq 1} \frac{1}{(\lambda^n R)^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq \lambda^n R} |u(t, x)|^p dx dt &= \sup_{R \geq 1} \frac{\lambda^{(d-\gamma)n}}{R^\gamma} \int_0^T \int_{|x| \leq R} |u(t, \lambda^n x)|^p dx dt \\ &= \lambda^{(d-\gamma)n} \|u_n\|_{B_\gamma^p L^p(0, T)}^p \leq C \lambda^{(d-\gamma)n} \|u_n\|_{L^p L_{w_\gamma}^p}^p \leq C \int_0^T \int |u(s, x)|^p \frac{1}{(\lambda^n + |x|)^\gamma} dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude by dominated convergence. \diamond

Thereafter, we have the following result involving the interpolation theory of Banach spaces:

Theorem 3 ([9]) *The space B_γ^p can be obtained by interpolation: for all $0 < \gamma < \delta < \infty$ we have $B_\gamma^p = [L^p, L_{w_\delta}^p]_{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}, \infty}$; and the norms $\|\cdot\|_{B_\gamma^p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{[L^p, L_{w_\delta}^p]_{\frac{\gamma}{\delta}, \infty}}$ are equivalent.*

This theorem has a useful corollary and in order to state it we recall first the following result on the Muckenhoupt weights (see [11] for a definition).

Lemma 2.2 (Muckenhoupt weights, [10]) *If $0 < \delta < d$ and $1 < p < +\infty$. Then, $w_\delta(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-\delta}$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover we have:*

- *The Riesz transforms R_j are bounded on $L_{w_\gamma}^p$: $\|R_j f\|_{L_{w_\gamma}^p} \leq C_{p,\delta} \|f\|_{L_{w_\gamma}^p}$*
- *The Hardy–Littlewood maximal function operator is bounded on $L_{w_\gamma}^p$:*

$$\|\mathcal{M}_f\|_{L_{w_\gamma}^p} \leq C_{p,\delta} \|f\|_{L_{w_\gamma}^p}.$$

With this lemma at hand, the next important corollary of Theorem 3 follows:

Corollary 2.1 *If $0 < \delta < d$ and $1 < p < +\infty$, then we have:*

- *The Riesz transforms R_j are bounded on B_δ^p : $\|R_j f\|_{B_\delta^p} \leq C_{p,\delta} \|f\|_{B_\delta^p}$*
- *The Hardy–Littlewood maximal function operator is bounded on B_δ^p :*

$$\|\mathcal{M}_f\|_{B_\delta^p} \leq C_{p,\delta} \|f\|_{B_\delta^p}.$$

Proof. Remark that Theorem 3 implies $B_\delta^p = [L^p, L_{w_{\delta_0}}^p]_{\frac{\delta}{\delta_0}, \infty}$, for some $\delta < \delta_0 < d$. So, we conclude directly by Lemma 2.2. \diamond

To close this section, let us recall the following useful Sobolev embedding for the weighted spaces. For a proof, see the Lemma 3 of [10].

Lemma 2.3 *Let $\gamma \geq 0$. Let $f \in L_{w_\gamma}^2$ such that $\nabla f \in L_{w_\gamma}^2$ then $f \in L_{w_{3\gamma}}^6$ and*

$$\|f\|_{L_{w_{3\gamma}}^6} \leq C_\delta (\|f\|_{L_{w_\gamma}^2} + \|\nabla f\|_{L_{w_\gamma}^2}). \quad (4)$$

3 Weak-strong uniqueness in weighted spaces

We start by the following characterization of the pressure terms that we shall need later to prove our result on the weak-strong uniqueness.

3.1 Remark on the pressure terms

We may observe that the recent results and their proofs given in [9], about the characterization of the pressure term in the (NS) equations can be immediately adapted to the framework of the coupled (MHD) system. Here, we adapt the proof of the main result in [9] to the (MHD) system.

Proposition 3.1 *Let $d \in \{2, 3\}$ be the spatial dimension. Let $0 < T < +\infty$. Let \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G} be tensors $\mathbb{F}(t, x) = (F_{i,j}(t, x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$, $\mathbb{G}(t, x) = (G_{i,j}(t, x))_{1 \leq i, j \leq d}$ such that \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G} belongs to $L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_{d+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{R})$ be a solution of the following problem*

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{u} - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{S} + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{b} = \Delta \mathbf{b} - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{b}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{R} + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0 \quad \nabla \wedge \mathbf{R} = 0 \quad \nabla \wedge \mathbf{S} = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}(0, x) = \mathbf{u}_0(x), \quad \nabla \mathbf{b}(0, x) = \mathbf{b}_0(x), \end{cases}$$

such that : \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belong to $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_{d+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{S} belong to $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{u}(t, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_0$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and also $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \mathbf{b}(t, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_0$. Moreover, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be an arbitrary test function such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ on a neighborhood of the origin. We define the function

$$\Phi_{i,j,\varphi} = (1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_d,$$

where G_d denotes the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta$.

Then, there exist two functions $f(t), g(t) \in L^1((0, T))$ such that

$$\mathbf{S} = \nabla p_\varphi + \partial_t g \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{R} = \nabla q_\varphi + \partial_t f$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} p_\varphi &= \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}) \\ &+ \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(x-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y)) (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j})(t, y) dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_\varphi &= \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (-G_{i,j}) \\ &+ \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(x-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y)) (-G_{i,j}(t, y)) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, the following facts hold:

- $\nabla p_\varphi, \nabla q_\varphi$ do not depend on the choice of φ : if we change φ in ψ , then we have

$$p_\varphi(t, x) - p_\psi(t, x) = \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\psi}(-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y))(u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j})(t, y) dy$$

and

$$q_\varphi(t, x) - q_\psi(t, x) = \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\psi}(-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y))(-G_{i,j}(t, y)) dy$$

- ∇p_φ is the unique solution of the Poisson problem

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = -\nabla(\nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b} - \mathbb{F}))$$

with

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\tau \Delta} \mathbf{w} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}',$$

and ∇q_φ is the unique solution of the Poisson problem

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = -\nabla(\nabla \cdot (\nabla \cdot (-\mathbb{G}))$$

with

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow +\infty} e^{\tau \Delta} \mathbf{w} = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'.$$

- If \mathbb{F} belongs to $L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belong to $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, then $g = 0$ and $\nabla p_\varphi = \nabla p_0$, where we have¹

$$p_0 = \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}) + \sum_{i,j} ((1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}).$$

- If \mathbb{G} belongs to $L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and if \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belong to $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, then $f = 0$ and $\nabla q_\varphi = \nabla q_0$, where

$$q_0 = \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (-G_{i,j}) + \sum_{i,j} ((1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (-G_{i,j}).$$

¹Remark that actually p_0 does not depend on φ and could have been defined as $p_0 = \sum_{i,j} (\partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (u_i u_j + b_i b_j - F_{i,j})$.

Proof. First, we take the divergence operator in the equation

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{u} - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b}) - \mathbf{S} + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F},$$

and since we have $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{b}) = 0$, then we obtain the identity

$$-\sum_{i,j} (\partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j + b_i b_j) + \partial_i \partial_j F_{i,j}) - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S} = 0,$$

hence we have

$$-\Delta \mathbf{S} = \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}) \right).$$

Following the same computations for the second equation in the system above we also get the identity

$$-\Delta \mathbf{R} = \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j} \partial_i \partial_j (-G_{i,j}) \right).$$

On the other hand, let us set the functions $h_{i,j} = u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}$, and $\Phi_{i,j,\varphi} = (1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_d$. Then, by Proposition 3 in [9] we can define the functions p_φ and q_φ as follows:

$$p_\varphi = \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * h_{i,j} + \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(x-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y)) h_{i,j}(y) dy,$$

and

$$q_\varphi = \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (-G_{i,j}) + \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(x-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y)) (-G_{i,j})(y) dy.$$

Taking the gradient operator in these expressions we write

$$\nabla p_\varphi = \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * h_{i,j} \right) + \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j} ((1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * h_{i,j} \right) = U_1 + U_2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla q_\varphi &= \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (-G_{i,j}) \right) + \nabla \left(\sum_{i,j} ((1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (-G_{i,j}) \right) \\ &= V_1 + V_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us set $\tilde{S} = \mathbf{S} - \nabla p_\varphi$ and $\tilde{R} = \mathbf{R} - \nabla q_\varphi$. We remark first that we have the identities $\Delta(\nabla p_\varphi) = \Delta \mathbf{S}$ and $\Delta(\nabla q_\varphi) = \Delta \mathbf{R}$, hence we obtain $\Delta \tilde{S} = 0$ and $\Delta \tilde{R} = 0$ and conclude that \tilde{S}, \tilde{R} are harmonic in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Now, we will prove that \tilde{S} and \tilde{R} belong to the space $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For this, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $\alpha(t) = 0$ for all $|t| \geq \varepsilon$, and moreover, let $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Then, denoting by $*$ = $*_{t,x}$ the convolution in the temporal and spatial variables, for $t \in (\varepsilon, T - \varepsilon)$ we write

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}(t)*_{t,x}(\alpha \otimes \beta) &= (\mathbf{u} * (-\partial_t \alpha \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes \Delta \beta) \\ &\quad + (-\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b} + \mathbb{F}) \cdot *(\alpha \otimes \nabla \beta))(t, \cdot) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} ((h_{ij}) * (\nabla(\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (\alpha \otimes \beta)))(t, \cdot) - (U_2 * (\alpha \otimes \beta))(t, \cdot), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(t)*_{t,x}(\alpha \otimes \beta) &= (\mathbf{b} * (-\partial_t \alpha \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes \Delta \beta) \\ &\quad + (-\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{u} + \mathbb{G}) \cdot *(\alpha \otimes \nabla \beta))(t, \cdot) \\ &\quad - \sum_{i,j} ((-G_{ij}) * (\nabla(\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_d) * (\alpha \otimes \beta)))(t, \cdot) - (V_2 * (\alpha \otimes \beta))(t, \cdot). \end{aligned}$$

At this point, we may apply the Proposition 1 in [9] to conclude that $\tilde{S} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{R} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot)$ belong to the space $L^1_{w_{d+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As a consequence of this fact we have $\tilde{S} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot), \tilde{R} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and recalling that they are harmonic we get that $\tilde{S} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot)$ and $\tilde{R} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot)$ are polynomials. But, as these functions belong to $L^1_{w_{d+1}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ they are constants functions.

Now, if we assume that \mathbb{F} belongs to $L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and moreover \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belong to $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, then we find that the polynomial $\tilde{S} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot)$ belongs to $L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and thus it is equals to 0. Similarly, by the assumption that \mathbb{G} belongs to $L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belong to $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, we get that the corresponding polynomial $\tilde{R} * (\alpha \otimes \beta)(t, \cdot)$ is equals to 0. So we can use the identity approximation $\phi_\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^4} \alpha(\frac{t}{\varepsilon}) \beta(\frac{x}{\varepsilon})$ and taking the limit when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain that \tilde{S} and \tilde{R} are constants in the spatial variable. Thus, we have $\mathbf{S} = \nabla p_\varphi + a(t)$, where $a(t) = 0$ under the assumptions $\mathbb{F} \in L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$, and $\mathbf{R} = \nabla q_\varphi + b(t)$ where $b(t) = 0$ under the assumptions $\mathbb{G} \in L^1((0, T), L^1_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_d}(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

We remark now that as the functions a and b above do not depend the spatial variable then we may take a function $\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\int \beta dx = 1$

and write $a = a *_x \beta$. Thus, for t_0 a Lebesgue point of the functions $t \mapsto \|\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(w_{d+1}dx)}$ and $t \mapsto \|\mathbf{b}(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(w_{d+1}dx)}$, we get the identities

$$a(t) = \partial_t(\mathbf{u}_{t_0} * \beta - \mathbf{u} * \beta + \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{u} * \Delta \beta - (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{b} - \mathbb{F}) * \nabla \beta - p_\varphi * \nabla \beta ds) = \partial_t g,$$

and

$$b(t) = \partial_t(\mathbf{b}_{t_0} * \beta - \mathbf{b} * \beta + \int_{t_0}^t \mathbf{b} * \Delta \beta - (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \otimes \mathbf{u} - \mathbb{G}) * \nabla \beta - q_\varphi * \nabla \beta ds) = \partial_t f.$$

Here, we observe that as we have $\partial_t \partial_j g = \partial_j a = 0$ and $\partial_j g(0, \cdot) = 0$, then we find that g depends only on temporal variable. Moreover, the expression of g given above proves that $g \in L^1((0, T))$. Using the same argument we also get $f \in L^1((0, T))$.

◇

3.2 Proof of Theorem 1

By the characterization of the pressure terms given above (with the dimension $d = 3$), we know that p and q can be taken as follows, let us choose $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $\varphi(x) = 1$ on a neighborhood of the origin and let us denote $h_{i,j} = u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}$, and $\Phi_{i,j,\varphi} = (1 - \varphi) \partial_i \partial_j G_3$, then we can take

$$p = \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_3) * h_{i,j} + \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(x - y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y)) h_{i,j}(y) dy,$$

and

$$q = \sum_{i,j} (\varphi \partial_i \partial_j G_3) * (-G_{i,j}) + \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(x - y) - \Phi_{i,j,\varphi}(-y)) (-G_{i,j})(y) dy.$$

In fact, let us emphasize that we can characterize the pressure terms in a almost general context only using the Riesz transforms, we refer to [7] for more details. However, the general characterization given in the Proposition 3.1 is very useful to study the (MHD) equations with initial data in B_2 (see Appendix).

For instance, as $\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}, \sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{b} \in L^2((0, T), L^2)$ and $\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{u}, \sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{b} \in L^2((0, T), L^2)$, we obtain by interpolation that $w_\gamma u_i u_j$ and $w_\gamma b_i b_j$ belongs to $L^{\hat{a}} L^{\hat{b}}$ with $\frac{2}{\hat{a}} + \frac{3}{\hat{b}} = \frac{3}{2}$. Taking $r \in \left(1, \min\left\{\frac{3}{2}, \frac{3}{\gamma}\right\}\right)$, and $a \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\frac{2}{\hat{a}} + \frac{3}{r} = 3$, we get that

$$\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j), \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (b_i b_j) \in L^a((0, T), L^r_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)),$$

and by the continuity of the Riesz transforms on $L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have

$$\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j F_{i,j}, \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j G_{i,j} \in L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Indeed, the following estimate holds: taking \hat{b} given by $\frac{2}{a} + \frac{3}{b} = \frac{3}{2}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j) \right\|_{L^{\hat{a}}((0, T), L^r_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ & \leq C_{\gamma, r} \|u_i u_j\|_{L^{\hat{a}}((0, T), L^r_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ & \leq C_{\gamma, r} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_i\|_{L^\infty((0, T), L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \cdot \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} u_j\|_{L^{\hat{a}}((0, T), L^{\hat{b}}(\mathbb{R}^3))} \\ & \leq \gamma^{\frac{1}{\hat{a}}} \tilde{C}_{\gamma, r} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3))}^{1+\frac{\hat{a}-2}{\hat{a}}} \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_0^T (\|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^3)})^2 ds \right)^{\frac{1}{\hat{a}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Thus, as the Riesz transforms are well-defined for all the terms composing the pressure terms, we have necessarily the identities

$$p = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}), \quad (6)$$

and

$$q = \nabla \left(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (-G_{i,j}) \right).$$

The same identities hold true for the pressure terms \tilde{p} and \tilde{q} .

Now, let $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$, $a = p - \tilde{p}$ and $b = q - \tilde{q}$. We remark first that by the characterization of the terms q and \tilde{q} we have $q = \tilde{q}$ and then $b = 0$. So, we will prove the identities $\mathbf{v} = 0$, $\mathbf{w} = 0$ and $a = 0$.

Using the identity

$$\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} = \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \quad (7)$$

we write

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) &= \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) + \partial_t \left(\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} \right) \\ &\quad - \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{b}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Recall that by assumption the terms $\mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbf{b} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ are well-defined as distributions so it remains to must verify that the terms $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{b}$ are also well-defined in the distributional sense. For this we have the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Within the framework of Theorem 1, as we have $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{X}_T$ then we get $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} \in L^1_{loc}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{b} \in L^1_{loc}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.*

Proof. We shall verify that we have $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} \in L^1_{loc}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. The treatment for the other term $\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{b}$ follows the same lines.

As we have $\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}$, then we formally write

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \Delta \mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}) - \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla p + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}), \quad (9)$$

where we must prove that each term in the right side belong to $L^1_{loc}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. Since all the terms are treated in a similar way it is enough to detail the computations for the terms $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla p$. Let $0 < t \leq T$ and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be an arbitrary test function. For the function φ given, we set $\psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that $0 \leq \psi \leq 1$ and $\psi = 1$ on $supp(\varphi)$.

For the term $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u})$, since $div(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ then $(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u})$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int \varphi \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \, dx \, ds &= \sum_{i,j} \int_0^t \int \varphi \tilde{u}_i \partial_j (u_j u_i) \, dx \, ds \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \int_0^t \int \varphi \tilde{u}_i \partial_j (\psi u_j u_i) \, dx \, ds, \end{aligned}$$

hence we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^t \int \varphi \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \, dx \, ds \right| &\leq C \sum_{i,j} \int_0^t \|\varphi \tilde{u}_i\|_{\dot{H}^1} \|\partial_j (\psi u_j u_i)\|_{\dot{H}^{-1}} \, ds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \|\nabla(\varphi \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L^2} \|\psi(\mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u})\|_{L^2} \, ds \leq \|\nabla(\varphi \tilde{\mathbf{u}})\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \|\mathbf{u} \otimes (\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u})\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2} \quad (10) \\ &\leq C_{\gamma, T} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^\infty L_x^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2 L_x^2}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}\|_{E_T} \, ds \\ &\leq C_{\gamma, T} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}) \\ &\quad \times \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}) < +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We study now the term $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla p$. Recall first that by Proposition 2.1 of [8] we have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla p &= \nabla \left(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j}) \right) \\ &= \nabla \left(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - b_i b_j) \right) - \nabla \left(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (F_{i,j}) \right) \\ &= \nabla p_1 + \nabla p_2.\end{aligned}$$

The term $\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla p_2$ is easily estimated by the hypothesis on the tensor \mathbb{F} and the computations above. Thereafter, for the term ∇p_1 , following the same estimates performed in (10), and using the fact that $\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j$ is a bounded operator in the space L^2 , we find

$$\begin{aligned}\left| \int_0^t \int \varphi \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla p_1 \, dx \, ds \right| &\leq C_{\gamma, T} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}) \\ &\quad \times (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}) \\ &\quad + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{b}\|_{L_t^\infty L_x^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2})) < +\infty.\end{aligned}$$

■

Once all the terms in (8) are well-defined as distributions, by the locally energy balances (1) and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) + \mu + \nu &= \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 \\ &- \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + p \right] \mathbf{u} \right) + \nabla \cdot ([(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + q] \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) \\ &+ \Delta \left(\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 - |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2 - \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} + \tilde{p} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \right) \\ &+ \nabla \cdot ([(\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \tilde{q}] \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) \\ &- \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{b},\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) + \mu + \nu &= \underbrace{\Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) + \Delta \left(\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} \right)}_{(1)} \\
&\underbrace{- |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 - |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2 - |\nabla \tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2 - \mathbf{u} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \partial_t \mathbf{b}}_{(2)} \\
&- \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + p \right] \mathbf{u} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} + \tilde{p} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \right) \\
&+ \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + q) \mathbf{b} + \nabla \cdot ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \tilde{q}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\
&+ \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we use (7) to treat the terms (1) and (2), and thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) + \mu + \nu &= \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \\
&\underbrace{- (\partial_t \mathbf{u} - \Delta \mathbf{u}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - (\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - (\partial_t \mathbf{b} - \Delta \mathbf{b}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} - (\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{b}} - \Delta \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{b}}_{(3)} \\
&- \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + p \right] \mathbf{u} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} + \tilde{p} \right] \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \right) \\
&+ \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + q) \mathbf{b} + \nabla \cdot ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \tilde{q}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\
&+ \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) + \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thereafter, to study the term (3) we use the fact that $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ and $(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ are two solutions of the equations (MHD). Then we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) + \mu + \nu &= \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \\
&\underbrace{- \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} \mathbf{u} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \right) + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \mathbf{u}}_{(4)} \\
&\underbrace{- \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \mathbf{b} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2} \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \right) + ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{b} + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}}_{(5)} \\
&+ \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}) \mathbf{b}) - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} \\
&+ \nabla \cdot ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{v}}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \mathbf{b} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\
&- \nabla \cdot (a \mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned}$$

We will verify that each term in the right side can be written as a sum of terms of the form

$$\nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{z})$$

where at least two elements of $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z}\}$ belong to $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$, or terms of the form

$$((\mathbf{x} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{z}$$

where $\mathbf{y} \in \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ and at least one element of $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}\}$ belongs to $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}\}$. As we will see this fact permit to use the hypothesis $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{X}_T$ to obtain a good control and use the Grönwall inequality.

We start by studying the terms (4) and (5). For the term (4), remark that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \mathbf{u} \\ &= ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u} + ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{u} \\ &= \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2}\mathbf{u} + \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \right) - ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{v} + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u} \\ &= \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|^2}{2}\mathbf{u} + \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{u}} - \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2}\mathbf{u} \right) + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u}, \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain

$$(4) = -\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}})}{2}\mathbf{v} + \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2}\mathbf{u} \right) + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u}$$

In a similar way, for the term (5), observe that we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{b} + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{b}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\ &= -((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{b} + ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{b} + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\ &= \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2}\tilde{\mathbf{u}} + \frac{|\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|^2}{2}\mathbf{u} \right) - ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{b} + ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \end{aligned}$$

and thus we obtain

$$(5) = -\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} + \tilde{\mathbf{b}})}{2}\mathbf{v} + \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^2}{2}\mathbf{u} \right) + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{b}$$

With these identities on the terms (4) and (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) + \mu + \nu = \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \\
& - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}})}{2} \mathbf{v} + \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2} \mathbf{u} \right) + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u} \\
& - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} + \tilde{\mathbf{b}})}{2} \mathbf{v} + \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \mathbf{u} \right) + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{b} \\
& \underbrace{+ \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{b}) - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}}_{(6)} \\
& \underbrace{+ \nabla \cdot ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \mathbf{b} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}}_{(7)}, \\
& - \nabla \cdot (a \mathbf{v}),
\end{aligned}$$

where, it remains to treat the terms (6) and (7). As

$$\begin{aligned}
& \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{b}) + \nabla \cdot ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \\
& = \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{b}) \\
& \quad + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{w}) - \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{b}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \\
& = \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \nabla \cdot ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{b})
\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6) + (7) & = \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + \nabla \cdot ((\tilde{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{b}) \\
& \quad - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \mathbf{b} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\
& = \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{w}) \\
& \quad + ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \mathbf{b}) + ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{u}) + ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) + ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \\
& \quad - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{u}} - ((\tilde{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \nabla) \tilde{\mathbf{u}}) \cdot \mathbf{b} - ((\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}) \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}} \\
& = \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{b}) \\
& \quad - ((\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{b}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by this identity we are able to write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) + \mu + \nu = \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 \\
& - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}})}{2} \mathbf{v} + \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2}{2} \mathbf{u} \right) + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u} \\
& - \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} + \tilde{\mathbf{b}})}{2} \mathbf{v} + \frac{|\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \mathbf{u} \right) + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{b} \\
& + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{b}) \\
& - ((\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{b} \\
& - \nabla \cdot (a\mathbf{v}),
\end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) + |\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2 + \mu + \nu \\
& = \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \right) - \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\left(\frac{\mathbf{v} \cdot (\mathbf{u} + \tilde{\mathbf{u}})}{2} \mathbf{v} + \frac{\mathbf{w} \cdot (\mathbf{b} + \tilde{\mathbf{b}})}{2} \mathbf{v} + \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \mathbf{u} \right)}_{\mathbf{A}_1} \\
& + \nabla \cdot \underbrace{\left((\mathbf{v} \cdot \tilde{\mathbf{b}}) \mathbf{w} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{w} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{b} \right)}_{\mathbf{A}_2} \\
& - \underbrace{((\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{u} - ((\mathbf{w} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{b} + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}) \cdot \mathbf{u} + ((\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{b}}_{\mathbf{A}_3} \\
& - \nabla \cdot (a\mathbf{v}).
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We will apply (11) to a suitable test function which we shall define as follows. First, we consider a function α_{η, t_0, t_1} which converges almost everywhere to $\mathbb{1}_{[t_0, t_1]}$ when $\eta \rightarrow 0$ and such that $\partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1}$ is the difference between two identity approximations, the first one in t_0 and the second one in t_1 . For this, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a non-decreasing function which is equal to 0 on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2})$ and equal to 1 on $(1, +\infty)$. For $0 < \eta < \min(\frac{t_0}{2}, T - t_1)$ we set

$$\alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1}(t) = \alpha\left(\frac{t - t_0}{\eta}\right) - \alpha\left(\frac{t - t_1}{\eta}\right). \tag{12}$$

Thereafter, we consider a non-negative function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is equal to 1 for $|x| \leq 1$ and to 0 for $|x| \geq 2$ and we set

$$\phi_R(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right). \tag{13}$$

Finally, for $\epsilon > 0$ we define the function $w_{\gamma,\epsilon} = \frac{1}{(1+\sqrt{\epsilon^2+|x|^2})^\delta}$. We may observe that $\alpha_{\eta,a,s}(t)\phi_R(x)w_{\gamma,\epsilon}(x)$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\alpha_{\eta,a,s}(t)\phi_R(x)w_{\gamma,\epsilon}(x) \geq 0$. Thus, using the local energy balance (11) with this particular test function we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \iint \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta,t_0,t_1} \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds + \iint (|\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2) \alpha_{\eta,t_0,t_1} \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds \\
& \leq - \sum_i \iint \partial_i (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \alpha_{\eta,t_0,t_1} (w_{\gamma,\epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma,\epsilon}) dx ds \\
& \quad - \sum_i \iint (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)_i \alpha_{\eta,t_0,t_1} (w_{\gamma,\epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma,\epsilon}) dx ds \\
& \quad + \iint A_3 \alpha_{\eta,t_0,t_1} \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_i \iint (a\mathbf{v})_i \alpha_{\eta,t_0,t_1} (w_{\gamma,\epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma,\epsilon}) dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

In this inequality, we take the limit when $\eta \rightarrow 0$. By the dominated convergence theorem we obtain (when the limit in the left side is well-defined)

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \iint \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta,t_0,t_1} \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int (|\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2) \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds \\
& \leq - \sum_i \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int \partial_i (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}) (w_{\gamma,\epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma,\epsilon}) dx ds \\
& \quad - \sum_i \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)_i (w_{\gamma,\epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma,\epsilon}) dx ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int A_3 \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_i \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int (a\mathbf{v})_i (w_{\gamma,\epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma,\epsilon}) dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, if t_0 and t_1 are Lebesgue points of the measurable function

$$A_{R,\epsilon}(t) = \int (|\mathbf{v}(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbf{w}(t, x)|^2) \phi_R(x) w_{\gamma,\epsilon}(x) dx,$$

and moreover, as we have

$$- \int \int \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta,a,s} \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds = -\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_t \alpha_{\eta,a,s} A_{R,\epsilon}(s) ds,$$

then we obtain

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} - \int \int \frac{|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta,a,s} \phi_R w_{\gamma,\epsilon} dx ds = \frac{1}{2} (A_{R,\epsilon}(t_1) - A_{R,\epsilon}(t_0)).$$

Thereafter, the continuity at 0 of \mathbf{v} and \mathbf{w} permit to let t_0 go to 0 and thus we replace t_0 by 0 in this inequality. Moreover, if we let t_1 go to t , where $t \in (0, T)$, then by the weak continuity we obtain $A_{R,\epsilon}(t) \leq \liminf_{t_1 \rightarrow t} A_{R,\epsilon}(t_1)$, so we may as well replace t_1 by t . Thus, for every $t \in (0, T)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{|\mathbf{v}(t, \cdot)|^2 + |\mathbf{w}(t, \cdot)|^2}{2} \phi_R w_{\gamma, \epsilon} dx + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int (|\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2) \phi_R w_{\gamma, \epsilon} dx ds \\ & \leq - \sum_i \int_0^t \int \partial_i (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}) (w_{\gamma, \epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma, \epsilon}) dx ds \\ & \quad - \sum_i \int_0^t \int (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2)_i (w_{\gamma, \epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma, \epsilon}) dx ds \\ & \quad + \int_0^t \int A_3 w_\gamma dx ds + \sum_i \int_0^t \int (a\mathbf{v})_i (w_{\gamma, \epsilon} \partial_i \phi_R + \phi_R \partial_i w_{\gamma, \epsilon}) dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

In this inequality, we take now limit when $R \rightarrow +\infty$, and moreover, the limit when $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{|\mathbf{v}(t, \cdot)|^2 + |\mathbf{w}(t, \cdot)|^2}{2} w_\gamma dx + \int_0^t \int (|\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2) w_\gamma dx ds \\ & \leq - \int_0^t \int \nabla (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w}) \cdot \nabla w_\gamma dx ds - \underbrace{\int_0^t \int (\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2) \cdot \nabla w_\gamma dx ds}_{I_1} \\ & \quad + \underbrace{\int_0^t \int A_3 w_\gamma dx ds}_{I_2} + \underbrace{\int_0^t \int a\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla w_\gamma dx ds}_{I_3}. \end{aligned}$$

At this point, in the following technical lemmas we estimate the terms I_1 , I_2 and I_3 . To make the notation more convenient we write

$$\int (|\mathbf{v}|^2 + |\mathbf{w}|^2) w_\gamma dx = \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2, \quad \int (|\nabla \mathbf{v}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{w}|^2) w_\gamma dx = \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and then we have

Lemma 3.2 $|I_1| \leq C_\gamma \int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$

Proof. We observe first that we have $|\nabla w_\gamma| \leq C_\gamma w_{\frac{3}{2}\gamma}$ and then we get

$$|I_1| \leq C_\gamma \int_0^t \int |\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2| w_{3/2\gamma} dx ds.$$

We observe moreover that each term in the expression $\mathbf{A}_1 + \mathbf{A}_2$ writes down as the product of three vectors: $(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{z}$ where at least two vectors belong to $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ and the third one belongs to $\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\}$. So, we will estimate the generic expression $(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{z}$, where, without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z} \in \{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, \tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}\}$. Remark that for $\delta > 0$ (which we will set later), by the Hölder inequalities and the Young inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int |(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{z}| w_{3/2\gamma} dx &\leq \int (\sqrt{w_\gamma}|\mathbf{x}|)(\sqrt{w_\gamma}|\mathbf{z}|)(\sqrt{w_\gamma}|\mathbf{y}|) dx \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{z}\|_{L^6} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{y}\|_{L^2} \leq \underbrace{\delta^{-1} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^3}^2 + \delta \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{z}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{y}\|_{L^2}^2}_{(a)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by the interpolation inequalities and the Young inequalities we have

$$\|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^3}^2 \leq \delta^{-2} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta^2 \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^6}^2,$$

hence we can write

$$(a) \leq \delta^{-3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^6}^2 + \delta \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{z}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{y}\|_{L^2}^2 = (b).$$

At this point, we use the Sobolev embedding (4) to estimate the terms $\|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^6}^2$ and $\|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{z}\|_{L^6}^2$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (b) &\leq \delta^{-3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{x}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\nabla\mathbf{x}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{z}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\nabla\mathbf{z}\|_{L^2}^2) \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{y}\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by the previous estimate we get

$$\begin{aligned} (b) &\leq \delta^{-3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2 + \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2) \\ &\quad + \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2) \left(\sup_{0 < s < T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{y}\|_{L^2}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that we can set the parameter δ small enough such that it verifies $\max \left[\delta, \delta \left(\sup_{0 < s < T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\mathbf{y}\|_{L^2}^2 \right) \right] \leq 1/64$, and by the previous estimate we finally get

$$\int |(\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y})\mathbf{z}| w_{3/2\gamma} dx \leq C_\gamma \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{64} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2}^2,$$

hence, integrating in the temporal variable we have the desired estimate. \blacksquare

To estimate the term I_2 we use the information $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{X}_T$ and we have the following result.

Lemma 3.3 Assume that $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{X}_T$. Then, for all $0 < t < T$ we have

$$|I_2| \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right) \\ + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right).$$

Proof. We observe that each term in the expression A_3 writes down as $\sum_{i,j=1}^3 x_j (\partial_j z_i) y_i$, where, without loss of generality we may assume that $\{\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{z}\}$ belong to $\{\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}\}$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}\}$. Then, to estimate each term, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities (in the spatial and temporal variables) we write

$$\int_0^t \|w_\gamma |\mathbf{x}| |\nabla \mathbf{z}| |\mathbf{y}|(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} ds \leq \left(\int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\mathbf{x}| |\mathbf{y}|(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ \times \left(\int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\nabla \mathbf{z}|(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thereafter, in order to estimate the first term in the right side, by definition of the multiplier space \mathbb{X}_T , and moreover, by definition of the energy space E_T we can write

$$\int_0^t \|w_\gamma |\mathbf{x}| |\nabla \mathbf{z}| |\mathbf{y}|(s, \cdot)\|_{L^1} ds \leq \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{x}\|_{E_T} \left(\int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\nabla \mathbf{z}|(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right)^{1/2} \\ \leq C \|\mathbf{y}\|_{\mathbb{X}_T} \left(\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{x}\|_{E_T}^2 + \int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\nabla \mathbf{z}|(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \right),$$

hence we get the desired estimate. \blacksquare

Finally, for the term I_3 we have:

$$\mathbf{Lemma 3.4} \quad |I_3| \leq C \int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \frac{1}{4} \int_0^t \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s, \cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.$$

Proof. Remark first that by the characterization of the pressure terms p and \tilde{p} given in (6) we have $p - \tilde{p} = \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j - b_i b_j + \tilde{b}_i \tilde{b}_j)$, where, as

$\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{u} - \tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ and $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{b} - \tilde{\mathbf{b}}$ then we can write

$$u_i u_j - \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j - b_i b_j + \tilde{b}_i \tilde{b}_j = v_i u_j + \tilde{u}_i v_j - w_j b_j - \tilde{b}_i w_j.$$

Then, as we have $|\nabla w_\gamma| \leq C_\gamma w_{\frac{3}{2}\gamma}$, by the Hölder inequalities we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_3| &\leq C_\gamma \left\| w_\gamma \left(\sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (u_i u_j - \tilde{u}_i \tilde{u}_j - b_i b_j + \tilde{b}_i \tilde{b}_j) \right) \right\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6} \\
&\leq C_\gamma \left\| w_\gamma \left(\sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (v_i u_j + \tilde{u}_i v_j - w_j b_j - \tilde{b}_i w_j) \right) \right\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6} \\
&\leq C_\gamma (\|w_\gamma(|\mathbf{u}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|)|\mathbf{v} \|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} + \|w_\gamma(|\mathbf{b}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|)|\mathbf{w} \|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}) \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6} \\
&\leq C_\gamma \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{u}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|)\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6} \\
&\quad + C_\gamma \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{b}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|)\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6} \\
&\leq C_\gamma \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{u}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|)\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C_\gamma \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^6} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{b}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|)\|_{L^2} \\
&= (a).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, for $\delta > 0$ we use first the Young inequalities, and thereafter, we use the Sobolev embedding (4) to estimate the terms $\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6}$ and $\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^6}$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(a) &\leq C_\gamma \delta^{-1} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^3}^2 + C_\gamma \delta \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{u}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C_\gamma \delta^{-1} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^3}^2 + C_\gamma \delta \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^6}^2 \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{b}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|)\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq C \delta^{-3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\gamma \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C_\gamma \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\nabla \mathbf{v}|\|_{L^2}^2) \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{u}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|)\|_{L^2} \right) \\
&\quad + C_\gamma \delta^{-3} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_\gamma \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|\|_{L^2}^2) \\
&\quad + C_\gamma \delta (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{w}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} |\nabla \mathbf{w}|\|_{L^2}^2) \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{b}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|)\|_{L^2} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, setting the parameter δ small enough such it verifies:

$$\max \left[\delta, \delta \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{u}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{u}}|)\|_{L^2} \right), \delta \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma}(|\mathbf{b}| + |\tilde{\mathbf{b}}|)\|_{L^2} \right) \right] \leq 1/4,$$

we have the desired estimate. \blacksquare

Thus, for $0 \leq t_0 < t_1 < T$ if we suppose that $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} = 0$ on $[0, t_0]$, we

have for all $t \in [t_0, t_1]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \\
& \quad + C \|\mathbf{1}_{(t_0, t_1)} \mathbf{u}\|_{X_T} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_1} \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \right) \\
& \quad + C \|\mathbf{1}_{(t_0, t_1)} \mathbf{b}\|_{X_T} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_1} \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds + \int_0^t \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we can take the supreme on $[0, t_1]$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_1} \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \\
& \leq C \int_0^{t_1} \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \\
& \quad + C \|\mathbf{1}_{(t_0, t_1)} \mathbf{u}\|_{X_T} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_1} \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds + \int_0^{t_1} \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \right) \\
& \quad + C \|\mathbf{1}_{(t_0, t_1)} \mathbf{b}\|_{X_T} \left(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t_1} \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds + \int_0^{t_1} \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We set the quantity

$$f(t_1) = \sup_{0 \leq t \leq t_1} \|(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(t)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_1} \|\nabla(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w})(s)\|_{L^2_{w_\gamma}}^2 ds.$$

Then, let $T_0 \in (t_0, T)$ such that for $t_1 \in (t_0, T_0)$ the quantities $\|\mathbf{1}_{(t_0, t_1)} \mathbf{u}\|_{X_T}$ and $\|\mathbf{1}_{(t_0, t_1)} \mathbf{b}\|_{X_T}$ are small enough. Thus, for all $t_0 < t_1 < T_0$ we find:

$$f(t_1) \leq C \int_0^{t_1} f(s) ds.$$

We conclude by the Grönwall's lemma that $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q) = (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ on $[t_0, T_0]$. Finally, as $t_0 \in [0, T)$ is arbitrary then we have the identities $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q) = (\tilde{\mathbf{u}}, \tilde{\mathbf{b}}, \tilde{p}, \tilde{q})$ on $[0, T)$. \diamond

4 Some results for the (MHD^*) system

Our main theorem bases on the two following results for the equations:

$$(MHD^*) \begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u} = \Delta \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} + (\mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} - \nabla p + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{b} = \Delta \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} + (\mathbf{c} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - \nabla q + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b} = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_0. \end{cases}$$

In this system, we shall consider two cases for the functions (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{c}) :

- when we will consider the (MHD) equations we take $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})$.
- when we will consider the regularized (MHD) equations we take $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{u} * \theta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{b} * \theta_\varepsilon)$, where, for $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and for a fixed, non-negative and radially non increasing test function $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is equals to 0 for $|x| \geq 1$ and $\int \theta dx = 1$; we define $\theta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \theta(x/\varepsilon)$.

4.1 A priori estimates

Theorem 4 *Let $0 < T < +\infty$. Let $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0 \in B_2$ be a divergence-free vector fields and let \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G} be tensors such that $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G} \in B_2 L^2(0, T)$. Moreover, let $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ be a solution of the problem (MHD^*) .*

We suppose that:

- \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belongs to $L^\infty((0, T), B_2)$ and $\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{b}$ belongs to $B_2 L^2(0, T)$.
- The pressure p and the term q are related to $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbb{F}$ and \mathbb{G} by
$$p = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (v_i u_j - c_i b_j - F_{i,j}) \quad \text{and} \quad q = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (v_i b_j - c_j u_i - G_{ij}).$$
- The map $t \in [0, T] \mapsto \mathbf{u}(t, \cdot)$ is $*$ -weakly continuous from $[0, T]$ to B_2 , and for all compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ we have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|(\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{L^2(K)} = 0.$$

- The solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ is suitable : there exists a non-negative locally finite measure μ on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) = & \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 - \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) \mathbf{v} + p \mathbf{u} \right) \\ & + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{c} + q \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) - \mu. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Then, exists a constant $C \geq 1$, which does not depend on T , and not on \mathbf{u}_0 , \mathbf{b}_0 , \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbb{F} , \mathbb{G} nor ϵ , such that:

- We have the following control on $[0, T)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \max\{\|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(t)\|_{B_2}^2, \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2\} \\ & \leq C\|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{B_2}^2 + C\|(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2 + C \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(s)\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(s)\|_{B_2}^6 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

- Moreover, if $T_0 < T$ is small enough:

$$C \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,T_0)}^2\right)^2 T_0 \leq 1,$$

then the following control respect to the data holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \max\{\|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(t, \cdot)\|_{B_2}^2, \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2\} \\ & \leq C \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,T_0)}^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Proof. In this proof, we will focus only in the case $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{u} * \theta_\epsilon, \mathbf{b} * \theta_\epsilon)$ (the case $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})$ can be treated in a similar way).

We start by proving the global control (15). The idea is to apply the energy balance (14) to a suitable test function. Let $0 < t_0 < t_1 < T$. We consider a function α_{η, t_0, t_1} which converges almost everywhere to $\mathbb{1}_{[t_0, t_1]}$ and such that $\partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1}$ is the difference between two identity approximations, the first one in t_0 and the second one in t_1 . For this, we take a non-decreasing function $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ which is equals to 0 on $(-\infty, \frac{1}{2})$ and is equals to 1 on $(1, +\infty)$. Then, for $0 < \eta < \min(\frac{t_0}{2}, T - t_1)$ we set the function

$$\alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1}(t) = \alpha\left(\frac{t - t_0}{\eta}\right) - \alpha\left(\frac{t - t_1}{\eta}\right).$$

On the other hand, we consider a non-negative function $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ which is equals to 1 for $|x| \leq 1/2$ and is equals to 0 for $|x| \geq 1$; and for $R \geq 1$ we set

$$\phi_R(x) = \phi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right). \quad (17)$$

Thus, by the energy balance (14) applied to test function $\alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R$, we

can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \iint \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds + \iint |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds \\
& \leq \iint \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \Delta \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \iint \left[\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) v_i + p u_i \right] \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \iint [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) c_i + q b_i] \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\iint F_{i,j} u_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \iint F_{i,j} \partial_i u_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds \right) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\iint G_{i,j} b_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \iint G_{i,j} \partial_i b_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds \right),
\end{aligned}$$

and taking the limit when η goes to 0, by the dominated convergence theorem we obtain (when the limit in the left side is well-defined):

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} \iint \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 \phi_R dx ds \\
& \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \Delta \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int \left[\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) v_i + p u_i \right] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) c_i + q b_i] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int F_{i,j} u_j \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int F_{i,j} \partial_i u_j \phi_R dx ds \right) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int G_{i,j} b_j \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int G_{i,j} \partial_i b_j \phi_R dx ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We define now the quantity

$$A_R(t) = \int (|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}(t, x)|^2) \phi_R(x) dx,$$

hence, if t_0 and t_1 are Lebesgue points of $A_R(t)$ and moreover, due to the

fact that

$$- \iint \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds = -\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} A_R(s) ds,$$

we have

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} - \iint \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds = \frac{1}{2} (A_R(t_1) - A_R(t_0)).$$

Then, since ϕ_R is a support compact function we can let t_0 go to 0 and thus we can replace t_0 by 0 in this inequality. Moreover, if we let t_1 go to t , then by the *-weak continuity we have $A_R(t) \leq \lim_{t_1 \rightarrow t} A_R(t_1)$, and thus we may replace t_1 by $t \in (0, T)$. In this way, for every $t \in (0, T)$ we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}(t, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx + \int_0^t \int (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \phi_R ds dx \\ & \leq \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_0(x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx + \int_0^t \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \Delta \phi_R ds dx \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int \left[\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) v_i + p u_i \right] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\ & \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int [(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) c_i + q b_i] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int F_{i,j} u_j \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_0^t \int F_{i,j} \partial_i u_j \phi_R dx ds \right) \\ & \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int G_{i,j} b_j \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_0^t \int G_{i,j} \partial_i b_j \phi_R dx ds \right). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

In this inequality, we still need to estimate the terms in the right-hand side. For the second term, as $R \geq 1$ we write

$$\frac{1}{R^2} \int (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) \Delta \phi_R dx \leq \frac{C}{R^4} \int_{B(0, R)} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) dx \leq C (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^2).$$

The third and fourth terms are estimates as follows. We consider first the expressions where the pressure terms p and q do not appear. Using the Hölder inequalities and the Sobolev embeddings we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \frac{(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b})}{2} (b_i * \theta_\epsilon) \partial_i \phi_R dx \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}(B(0, R))} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}(B(0, R))} \|\mathbf{b} * \theta_\epsilon\|_{L^6(B(0, R))} \|\nabla \phi_R\|_{L^\infty} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{R} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(B(0, R))}^{3/4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6(B(0, R))}^{1/4} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2(B(0, R))}^{3/4} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^6(B(0, R+1))}^{5/4} \\ & \leq \frac{C}{R} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2(B(0, R))}^{3/4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(B(0, R))}^{3/4} U^{1/4} B^{5/4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have denoted the quantities

$$U = \left(\int |\phi_{2R} \nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$B = \left(\int |\phi_{2(R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int_{|x| \leq 2(R+1)} |\mathbf{b}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus, we can write (by the Young's inequalities for products with $1 = \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{8} + \frac{5}{8}$):

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \int \frac{(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b})}{2} (b_i * \theta_\epsilon) \partial_i \phi_R dx \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(B(0,R))}}{R} \right)^{3/4} \left(\frac{\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2(B(0,R))}}{R} \right)^{3/4} \left(\frac{U}{R} \right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{B}{R} \right)^{5/4} \\ & \leq C \|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^6 + C \|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^2 + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int |\phi_{2R} \nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\phi_{2(R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx \end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is an arbitrarily small constant.

Now, in order to estimate the expressions where the pressure terms p and q appear, we need the following technical lemma which will be proved at the end of this section.

Lemma 4.1 *Within the hypothesis of Theorem 4, the terms p and q belong $L_{\text{loc}}^{3/2}$. Moreover, there exist an arbitrarily small constant $C_0 > 0$ and a constant $C > 0$, which do not depend on T , \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbf{u}_0 , \mathbf{b}_0 , \mathbb{F} , \mathbb{G} nor ϵ ; such that for all $R \geq 1$ and for all $0 \leq t \leq T$ we have:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int (p u_i + q b_i) \partial_i \phi_R ds dx \\ & \leq C \|(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2 + C \int_0^t \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(s)\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(s)\|_{B_2}^6 \\ & \quad + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int \int_0^t |\varphi_{2(5R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\varphi_{2(5R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, the fifth and sixth terms (which involve the tensor forces \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G}) are easily estimate as follows. We will write down only the estimates for \mathbb{F} since the estimates for \mathbb{G} are completely similar:

$$\left| \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \int_0^t \int F_{i,j}(\partial_i u_j) \phi_R dx ds \right| \leq C \|\mathbb{F}\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2 + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int_0^t \int_{|x| < R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx ds,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \int_0^t \int F_{i,j} u_i \partial_j (\phi_R) dx ds \right| \leq C \|\mathbb{F}\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2 + C \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}(s)\|_{B_2}^2 ds.$$

where $C_0 > 0$ always denote a small enough constant.

Once we dispose of all these estimates, we are able to write

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t, x)|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}(t, x)|^2}{2} \right) \phi_R dx + \int_0^t \int (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \phi_R ds dx \\ & \leq \int \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(0, x)|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}(0, x)|^2}{2} \right) \phi_R dx + C \|\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G}\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2 ds \\ & \quad + C \int_0^t \|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}(s, \cdot)_{B_2}^2 + \|\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}(s, \cdot)_{B_2}^6 ds \\ & \quad + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int \int_0^t |\varphi_{2(5R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\varphi_{2(5R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where the desired energy control (15) follows. To finish this proof, the estimate (16) follows directly from (15) and the Lemma 3.1 in [8] (see the proof of Corollary 3.3, page 17, for all the details). \diamond

Proof of Lemma 4.1. As in the proof of the theorem above, we will consider only the case $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{u} * \theta_\varepsilon, \mathbf{b} * \theta_\varepsilon)$. Moreover, we will focus only on the expression which involves the pressure p , since the computations for the other expression, where the term q appears, are completely similar.

We write $\frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |p u_k| |\partial_k \phi_R| dx ds \leq \frac{c}{R^3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |p u_k| dx ds$, and recalling that $p = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j ((u_i * \theta_\varepsilon) u_j - (b_i * \theta_\varepsilon) b_j - F_{i,j})$, the last

expression allow us to write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{R^2} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |p u_k| |\partial_k \phi_R| dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{c}{R^3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |u_k \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j ((u_i * \theta_\varepsilon) u_j)| dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{c}{R^3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |u_k \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j ((b_i * \theta_\varepsilon) b_j - F_{i,j})| dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and since we have the same information on \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{b} it is enough to study the last term above. For $R \geq 1$ we define the following expressions:

$$p_1 = \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (\mathbf{1}_{|y| < 5R} (\theta_\varepsilon * b_i) b_j), \quad p_2 = - \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (\mathbf{1}_{|y| \geq 5R} (\theta_\varepsilon * b_i) b_j),$$

and

$$p_3 = - \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (\mathbf{1}_{|y| < 5R} F_{i,j}), \quad p_4 = \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (\mathbf{1}_{|y| \geq 5R} F_{i,j}),$$

and then, by the Young's inequalities (for products), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{c}{R^3} \sum_{k=1}^3 \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |u_k \sum_{i,j=1}^3 \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j ((b_i * \theta_\varepsilon) b_j - F_{i,j})| dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R^3} \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} (|p_1|^{3/2} + |p_2|^{3/2} + |\mathbf{u}|^3 + |p_3|^2 + |p_4|^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2) dx ds,
\end{aligned}$$

where we will study each term separately.

To study p_1 , by the continuity of \mathcal{R}_i on $L^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, since the test function θ_ε verifies $\int \theta_\varepsilon(x) dx = 1$ and $\text{supp}(\theta_\varepsilon) \subset B(0, 1)$ and moreover, by the Fubini's theorem we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x| \leq R} |p_1|^{3/2} dx &\leq C \int |p_1|^{3/2} dx \leq C \int |(\mathbb{1}_{|x| < 5R}(\theta_\epsilon * \mathbf{b}) \otimes \mathbf{b})|^{3/2} dx \\
&\leq C \left(\int |\mathbb{1}_{|x| < 5R}(\theta_\epsilon * \mathbf{b})|^3 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |\mathbb{1}_{|y| < 5R} \mathbf{b}|^3 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{|x| \leq 5R} \int_{|x-z| \leq 1} \theta_\epsilon(x-z) |\mathbf{b}(z)|^3 dz dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |(\mathbb{1}_{|y| < 5R} \mathbf{b})|^3 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{|x| \leq 5R} \int_{|z| \leq 5R+1} \theta_\epsilon(x-z) |\mathbf{b}(z)|^3 dz dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int |(\mathbb{1}_{|y| < 5R} \mathbf{b})|^3 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \int_{|z| \leq 5R+1} |\mathbf{b}|^3 dz.
\end{aligned}$$

With this estimate at hand, we see that

$$\int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbf{u}|^3 + |p_1|^{3/2} dx \leq C \int_{|x| \leq 5R+1} |\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\mathbf{b}|^3 dx,$$

and using the Sobolev embedding we write

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{C}{R^3} \int_{|x| \leq 5R+1} |\mathbf{u}|^3 dx &\leq \frac{C}{R^3} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(B(0,5R+1))}^{3/2} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^6(B(0,5R+1))}^{3/2} \\
&\leq \frac{C}{R^{3/2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2(B(0,5R+1))}^{3/2} \left(\left(\frac{1}{R^2} \int |\phi_{2(5R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} + \left(\frac{1}{R^2} \int_{|x| \leq 2(5R+1)} |\mathbf{u}|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right)^{3/2} \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^6 + C_0 \|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^2 + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int |\phi_{2(5R+1)} \nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is an arbitrarily small constant. Similar bounds works for \mathbf{b} .

We study now the term p_2 . Remark first that there exist a constant $C > 0$ (which does not depend on $R > 1$) such that for all $|x| \leq R$ and all $|y| \geq 5R$, the kernel $\mathbb{K}_{i,j}$ of the operator $\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j$ verifies $|\mathbb{K}_{i,j}(x-y)| \leq \frac{C}{|y|^3}$ (see [11] for

a proof) and then we write:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{|x| \leq R} |p_2|^{3/2} dx \right)^{2/3} \\
& \leq C \sum_{i,j} \left(\int_{|x| \leq R} \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} |\mathbb{K}_{i,j}(x-y)| |(\theta_\epsilon * b_i)(y) b_j(y)| \mathbf{1}_{|y| \geq 5R} dy \right)^{3/2} dx \right)^{2/3} \\
& \leq C \left(\int_{|x| \leq R} \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |(\theta_\epsilon * \mathbf{b}) \otimes \mathbf{b}| dy \right)^{3/2} dx \right)^{2/3} \\
& \leq CR^2 \int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |(\theta_\epsilon * \mathbf{b}) \otimes \mathbf{b}| dy \\
& \leq CR^2 \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |\theta_\epsilon * \mathbf{b}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq CR^2 \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} \int_{|y-z| < 1} \theta_\epsilon(y-z) |\mathbf{b}(z)|^2 dz dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq CR^2 \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \int_{|z| \geq 5R-1} \frac{1}{|z|^3} \theta_\epsilon(y-z) |\mathbf{b}(z)|^2 dz dy \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |\mathbf{b}|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \\
& \leq CR^2 \int_{|z| \geq 5R-1} \frac{1}{|z|^3} |\mathbf{b}|^2 dz.
\end{aligned}$$

With this estimate, and the fact that $B_2(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset L^2_{w_3}(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we finally obtain

$$\frac{C}{R^3} \int_{|y| \leq R} |p_2|^{3/2} dx \leq C \left(\int \frac{1}{(1+|z|)^3} |\mathbf{b}|^2 \right)^{3/2} \leq C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^3.$$

It remains to estimate the terms p_3 and p_4 which involve the tensor \mathbb{F} . For p_3 , using the continuity of the Riesz transform \mathcal{R}_i on L^2 , we obtain directly:

$$\frac{c}{R^3} \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |p_3|^2 dx ds \leq \frac{C}{R^3} \sum_{i,j} \int_0^t \int_{|x| < 5R} |\mathbb{F}_{i,j}|^2 dx ds \leq C \|\mathbb{F}\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2.$$

For the term p_4 , remark first that we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{|x| \leq R} |p_4|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} &\leq C \sum_{i,j} \left(\int_{|x| \leq R} \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} |\mathbb{K}_{i,j}(x-y) \mathbb{F}_{i,j}| dy \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i,j} \left(\int_{|x| \leq R} \left(\int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |\mathbb{F}_{i,j}| dy \right)^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \sum_{i,j} R^{3/2} \int_{|y| \geq 5R} \frac{1}{|y|^3} |\mathbb{F}_{i,j}| dy,
\end{aligned}$$

and then, for $0 < \delta < 1$, and by the Hölder inequalities we can write:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{C}{R^3} \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq R} |p_4|^2 dx ds &\leq C \sum_{i,j} \int_0^t \left(\int \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^3} |\mathbb{F}_{i,j}| dx \right)^2 ds \\
&\leq C \sum_{i,j} \int_0^t \int \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{2+\delta}} |\mathbb{F}_{i,j}|^2 dx ds \\
&\leq C \sum_{i,j} \int \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{2+\delta}} \int_0^t |\mathbb{F}_{i,j}|^2 ds dx \\
&\leq C \|\mathbb{F}\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proven. \diamond

4.2 A stability result

Theorem 5 *Let $0 < T < +\infty$. Let $\mathbf{u}_{0,n}, \mathbf{b}_{0,n}$ be divergence-free vector fields such that $(\mathbf{u}_{0,n}, \mathbf{b}_{0,n}) \in B_2$. Let \mathbb{F}_n and \mathbb{G}_n be tensors such that $(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{G}_n) \in B_2 L^2(0, T)$. Let $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n, p_n, q_n)$ be a solution of the (MHD*) problem:*

$$\begin{cases}
\partial_t \mathbf{u}_n = \Delta \mathbf{u}_n - (\mathbf{v}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_n + (\mathbf{c}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_n - \nabla p_n + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_n, \\
\partial_t \mathbf{b}_n = \Delta \mathbf{b}_n - (\mathbf{v}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_n + (\mathbf{c}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_n - \nabla q_n + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_n, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_n = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_n = 0, \\
\mathbf{u}_n(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_{0,n}, \quad \mathbf{b}_n(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_{0,n}.
\end{cases} \quad (19)$$

which verifies the same hypothesis of Theorem 4.

If $(\mathbf{u}_{0,n}, \mathbf{b}_{0,n})$ is strongly convergent to $(\mathbf{u}_{0,\infty}, \mathbf{b}_{0,\infty})$ in B_2 , and if the sequence $(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{G}_n)$ is strongly convergent to $(\mathbb{F}_\infty, \mathbb{G}_\infty)$ in $B_2 L^2(0, T)$; then there exists $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty, p_\infty, q_\infty)$ and an increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ with values in \mathbb{N} such that:

- $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges $*$ -weakly to $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^\infty((0, T), B_2)$, $(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges weakly to $(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty, \nabla \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $B_2 L^2(0, T)$.
- $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges strongly to $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.
- For $2 < \gamma < 5/2$, the sequence (p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}) converges weakly to (p_∞, q_∞) in $L^3((0, T), L^{6/5}_{w_{6\gamma}}) + L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$.

Moreover, $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty, p_\infty, q_\infty)$ is a solution of the problem (MHD*):

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\infty = \Delta \mathbf{u}_\infty - (\mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_\infty + (\mathbf{b}_\infty \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_\infty - \nabla p_\infty + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_\infty, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{b}_\infty = \Delta \mathbf{b}_\infty - (\mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_\infty + (\mathbf{b}_\infty \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_\infty - \nabla q_\infty + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_\infty, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_\infty = 0, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_\infty = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}_\infty(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_{0,\infty}, \quad \mathbf{b}_\infty(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_{0,\infty}, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

and verifies all the hypothesis of Theorem 4.

Proof. We will verify that the sequence $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)$ satisfy the hypothesis of the Rellich lemma (see Lemma 6 in [10]). Remark first that: since for $2 < \gamma$ we have that $\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n$ is bounded in $L^\infty((0, T), B_2) \subset L^\infty((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$ and moreover, since we have that $\nabla \mathbf{u}_n, \nabla \mathbf{b}_n$ is bounded in $B_2 L^2(0, T) \subset L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$, then for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ we have that $(\varphi \mathbf{u}_n, \varphi \mathbf{b}_n)$ are bounded in $L^2((0, T), H^1)$. On the other hand, for the pressure p_n and the term q_n we write $p_n = p_{n,1} + p_{n,2}$ with

$$p_{n,1} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (v_{n,i} u_{n,j} - c_{n,i} b_{n,j}), \quad p_{n,2} = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (F_{n,i,j}),$$

and we write $q_n = q_{n,1} + q_{n,2}$ with

$$q_{n,1} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (v_{n,i} b_{n,j} - c_{n,i} u_{n,j}), \quad q_{n,2} = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (G_{n,i,j}).$$

From now on we fix $\gamma \in (2, \frac{5}{2})$, and using the interpolation inequalities and the continuity of the Riesz transforms in the Lebesgue weighted spaces we get that the sequence $(p_{n,1}, q_{n,1})$ is bounded in $L^3((0, T), L^{6/5}_{w_{6\gamma}})$. Indeed, for the term $p_{n,1}$ recall that by Lemma 2.2 we have that for $0 < \gamma < 5/2$ the weight $w_{6\gamma/5}$ belongs to the Muckenhoupt class $\mathcal{A}_p(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (with $1 < p < +\infty$) and then we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{i,j} \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j (\mathbf{u}_{n,i} \mathbf{u}_{n,j}) w_\gamma \right\|_{L^{6/5}} &\leq \|(\mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n) w_\gamma\|_{L^{6/5}} \leq \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}_n\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}_n\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} (\|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{w_\gamma} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The term $q_{n,1}$ is estimated in a similar way. Moreover we have that the sequence and $(p_{n,2}, q_{n,2})$ is bounded in $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$. With these information, by equation (19) we obtain that $(\varphi \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n, \varphi \partial_t \mathbf{b}_n)$ are bounded in the space $L^2 L^2 + L^2 W^{-1,6/5} + L^2 H^{-1} \subset L^2((0, T), H^{-2})$. Thus, we can apply the Rellich lemma and there exists an increasing sequence $(n_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ in \mathbb{N} , and there exist a couple of functions $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ such that $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges strongly to $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$. We also have that $(\mathbf{v}_{n_k}, \mathbf{c}_{n_k}) = (\mathbf{v}_{n_k} * \theta_{\epsilon_{n_k}}, \mathbf{c}_{n_k} * \theta_{\epsilon_{n_k}})$ converges strongly to $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^2_{\text{loc}}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

As $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)$ are bounded in $L^\infty((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$ and $(\nabla \mathbf{u}_n, \nabla \mathbf{b}_n)$ are bounded in $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$, we have that $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges *-weakly to $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^\infty((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$, and $(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges weakly to $(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty, \nabla \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$. Moreover, by the Sobolev embeddings and the interpolation inequalities we have that $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges weakly to $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^3((0, T), L^3_{w_{3\gamma/2}})$. Also $(\mathbf{v}_{n_k}, \mathbf{c}_{n_k}) = (\mathbf{v}_{n_k} * \theta_{\epsilon_{n_k}}, \mathbf{c}_{n_k} * \theta_{\epsilon_{n_k}})$ converges weakly to $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L^3((0, T), L^3_{w_{3\gamma/2}})$, since it is bounded in $L^3((0, T), L^3_{w_{3\gamma/2}})$. In particular, we may observe that the terms $v_{n_k,i} u_{n_k,j}$, $c_{n_k,i} b_{n_k,j}$, $v_{n_k,i} b_{n_k,j}$ and $c_{n_k,i} u_{n_k,j}$ are weakly convergent in $(L^{6/5} L^{6/5})_{\text{loc}}$ and thus in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

As those terms are bounded in $L^3((0, T), L^{6/5}_{w_{\frac{6\gamma}{5}}})$, they are weakly convergent in $L^3((0, T), L^{6/5}_{w_{\frac{6\gamma}{5}}})$; and defining $p_\infty = p_{\infty,1} + p_{\infty,2}$ with

$$p_{\infty,1} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (v_{\infty,i} u_{\infty,j} - c_{\infty,i} b_{\infty,j}), \quad p_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (F_{\infty,i,j}),$$

and $q_\infty = q_{\infty,1} + q_{\infty,2}$ with

$$q_{\infty,1} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (v_{\infty,i} b_{\infty,j} - c_{\infty,i} u_{\infty,j}), \quad q_2 = - \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 R_i R_j (G_{\infty,i,j}),$$

we obtain that $(p_{n_k,1}, q_{n_k,1})$ are weakly convergent in $L^3((0, T), L^{6/5}_{w_{\frac{6\gamma}{5}}})$ to $(p_{\infty,1}, q_{\infty,1})$, and moreover, we get that $(p_{n_k,2}, q_{n_k,2})$ is strongly convergent in $L^2((0, T), L^2_{w_\gamma})$ to $(p_{\infty,2}, q_{\infty,2})$. So, we have that $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty, p_\infty, q_\infty)$ verify the three first equations in the system (MHD^*) in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$.

It remains to verify the conditions at the time $t = 0$. Remark that $(\partial_t \mathbf{u}_\infty, \partial_t \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ are locally in $L^2 H^{-2}$, and then $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ have representatives such that $t \mapsto (\mathbf{u}_\infty(t, \cdot), \mathbf{b}_\infty(t, \cdot))$ is continuous from $[0, T)$ to $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$ (hence *-weakly continuous from $[0, T)$ to B_2) and moreover, they coincide with

$\mathbf{u}_\infty(0, \cdot) + \int_0^t \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\infty ds$ and $\mathbf{b}_\infty(0, \cdot) + \int_0^t \partial_t \mathbf{b}_\infty ds$. Thus, in $\mathcal{D}'((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_\infty(0, \cdot) + \int_0^t \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\infty ds &= \mathbf{u}_\infty = \lim_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{u}_{n_k} = \lim_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbf{u}_{n_k,0} + \int_0^t \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{n_k} ds \\ &= \mathbf{u}_{\infty,0} + \int_0^t \partial_t \mathbf{u}_\infty ds, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\mathbf{u}_\infty(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_{\infty,0}$. Similar we have the identity $\mathbf{b}_\infty(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_{\infty,0}$. We conclude that $(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty, p_\infty, q_\infty)$ is a solution of the (MHD^*) equations.

Our next task is to verify the local energy balance. We define the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} A_{n_k} &= -\partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2}{2} \right) + \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2}{2} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2}{2} \right) \mathbf{v}_{n_k} \right) \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot (p_{n_k} \mathbf{u}_{n_k}) - \nabla \cdot (q_{n_k} \mathbf{b}_{n_k}) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_{n_k} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{n_k}) \mathbf{c}_{n_k}) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{u}_{n_k} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_{n_k}) + \mathbf{b}_{n_k} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_{n_k}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark that by the information on $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)$ and by interpolation we have $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)$ are bounded in $L^{10/3}((0, T), L^{10/3}_{w_{5\gamma/3}})$ and then $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ are locally bounded in $L_t^{10/3} L_x^{10/3}$ and locally strongly convergent in $L_t^2 L_x^2$. So, $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ converges strongly in $(L_t^3 L_x^3)_{loc}$. Moreover, by Lemma 4.1 we have that (p_{n_k}, q_{n_k}) are locally bounded in $L_t^{3/2} L_x^{3/2}$. Thus the quantity A_{n_k} converges in the distributional sense to

$$\begin{aligned} A_\infty &= -\partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) + \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) - \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) \mathbf{v}_\infty \right) \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot (p_\infty \mathbf{u}_\infty) - \nabla \cdot (q_\infty \mathbf{b}_\infty) + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot \mathbf{b}_\infty) \mathbf{c}_\infty) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_\infty) + \mathbf{b}_\infty \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, recall that by hypothesis of this theorem there exist μ_{n_k} a non-negative locally finite measure on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2}{2} \right) &= \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2 \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2}{2} \right) \mathbf{v}_{n_k} \right) - \nabla \cdot (p_{n_k} \mathbf{u}_{n_k}) - \nabla \cdot (q_{n_k} \mathbf{b}_{n_k}) \\ &\quad + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_{n_k} \cdot \mathbf{b}_{n_k}) \mathbf{c}_{n_k}) + \mathbf{u}_{n_k} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_{n_k}) + \mathbf{b}_{n_k} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_{n_k}) - \mu_{n_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, by definition of A_{n_k} we can write $A_{n_k} = |\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2 + \mu_{n_k}$, and thus we have $A_\infty = \lim_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} |\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2 + \mu_{n_k}$.

Now, let $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}((0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ be a non-negative function. As $\sqrt{\Phi}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k} + \nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k})$ is weakly convergent to $\sqrt{\Phi}(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty + \nabla \mathbf{b}_\infty)$ in $L_t^2 L_x^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iint A_\infty \Phi \, dx \, ds &= \lim_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} \iint A_{n_k} \Phi \, dx \, ds \geq \limsup_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} \iint (|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2) \Phi \, dx \, ds \\ &\geq \iint (|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_\infty|^2) \Phi \, dx \, ds. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, there exists a non-negative locally finite measure μ_∞ on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^3$ such that $A_\infty = (|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_\infty|^2) + \mu_\infty$, and then we obtain the desired local energy balance:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) &= \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}_\infty|^2 \\ &\quad - \nabla \cdot \left(\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) \mathbf{v}_\infty \right) - \nabla \cdot (p_\infty \mathbf{u}_\infty) - \nabla \cdot (q_\infty \mathbf{b}_\infty) \\ &\quad + \nabla \cdot ((\mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot \mathbf{b}_\infty) \mathbf{c}_\infty) + \mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_\infty) + \mathbf{b}_\infty \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_\infty) - \mu_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

In order to finish this proof, it remains to prove the convergence to the initial data $(\mathbf{u}_{0,\infty}, \mathbf{b}_{0,\infty})$. Once we dispose of this local energy equality, as in (31) we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_n(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_n(t, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R \, dx + \int_0^t \int (|\nabla \mathbf{u}_n|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_n|^2) \phi_R \, dx \, ds \\ &\leq \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_{0,n}(x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{0,n}(x)|^2}{2} \phi_R \, dx + \int_0^t \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_n|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_n|^2}{2} \Delta \phi_R \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int \left[\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_n|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_n|^2}{2} \right) v_{n,i} + p_n u_{n,i} \right] \partial_i \phi_R \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int [(\mathbf{u}_n \cdot \mathbf{b}_n) c_{n,i} + q_n b_{n,i}] \partial_i \phi_R \, dx \, ds \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int F_{n,i,j} u_{n,j} \partial_i \phi_R \, dx \, ds + \int_0^t \int F_{n,i,j} \partial_i u_{n,j} \phi_R \, dx \, ds \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int G_{n,i,j} b_{n,j} \partial_i \phi_R \, dx \, ds + \int_0^t \int G_{n,i,j} \partial_i b_{n,j} \phi_R \, dx \, ds \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \limsup_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_{n_k}(t, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx + \int_0^t \int (|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_{n_k}|^2) \phi_R dx ds \\
& \leq \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_0(x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx + \int_0^t \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \Delta \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int \left[\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) v_{\infty, i} + p_\infty u_{\infty, i} \right] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int \left[(\mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot \mathbf{b}_\infty) c_{\infty, i} + q_\infty b_{\infty, i} \right] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int F_{\infty, i, j} u_{\infty, j} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_0^t \int F_{\infty, i, j} \partial_i u_{\infty, j} \phi_R dx ds \right) \\
& \quad - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int G_{\infty, i, j} b_{\infty, j} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_0^t \int G_{\infty, i, j} \partial_i b_{\infty, j} \phi_R dx ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\mathbf{u}_{n_k} = \mathbf{u}_{0, n_k} + \int_0^t \partial_t \mathbf{u}_{n_k} ds$, we may observe that $\mathbf{u}_{n_k}(t, \cdot)$ converges to $\mathbf{u}_\infty(t, \cdot)$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^3)$, hence, it converges weakly in $L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ and we can write:

$$\int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty(t, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx \leq \limsup_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_{n_k}(t, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx.$$

Moreover, this weakly convergence gives

$$\int_0^t \int \frac{|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty(s, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx ds \leq \limsup_{n_k \rightarrow +\infty} \int_0^t \int \frac{|\nabla \mathbf{u}_{n_k}(s, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx ds,$$

and we have the same estimates for \mathbf{b}_∞ . In this way we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_\infty(t, x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx + \int_0^t \int (|\nabla \mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}_\infty|^2) \phi_R dx ds \\
& \leq \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_0(x)|^2}{2} \phi_R dx + \int_0^t \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \Delta \phi_R dx ds \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int \left[\left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}_\infty|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}_\infty|^2}{2} \right) v_{\infty, i} + p_\infty u_{\infty, i} \right] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{i=1}^3 \int_0^t \int [(\mathbf{u}_\infty \cdot \mathbf{b}_\infty) c_{\infty,i} + q_\infty b_{\infty,i}] \partial_i \phi_R dx ds \\
& - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int F_{\infty,i,j} u_{\infty,j} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_0^t \int F_{\infty,i,j} \partial_i u_{\infty,j} \phi_R dx ds \right) \\
& - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \left(\int_0^t \int G_{\infty,i,j} b_{\infty,j} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \int_0^t \int G_{\infty,i,j} \partial_i b_{\infty,j} \phi_R dx ds \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, letting t go to 0, we have:

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow 0} \|(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\phi_R(x)dx)}^2 \leq \|(\mathbf{u}_{0,\infty}, \mathbf{b}_{0,\infty})\|_{L^2(\phi_R(x)dx)}^2.$$

On the other hand, by weakly convergence we also have

$$\|(\mathbf{u}_{0,\infty}, \mathbf{b}_{0,\infty})\|_{L^2(\phi_R(x)dx)}^2 \leq \liminf_{t \rightarrow 0} \|(\mathbf{u}_\infty, \mathbf{b}_\infty)(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\phi_R(x)dx)}^2.$$

Thus we have the strong convergence to initial data in the Hilbert space $L^2(\phi_R(x)dx)$.

5 Proof of Theorem 2

5.1 Local in time existence

Following the ideas of [8], for the function $\phi_R(x) = \phi(\frac{x}{R})$ given in (17), and the Leray's projector \mathbb{P} , we define $\mathbf{u}_{0,R} = \mathbb{P}(\phi_R \mathbf{u}_0)$, $\mathbf{b}_{0,R} = \mathbb{P}(\phi_R \mathbf{b}_0)$, $\mathbb{F}_R = \phi_R \mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{G}_R = \phi_R \mathbb{G}$; and we consider the approximated problem $(MHD_{R,\epsilon})$:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\partial_t \mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon} = \Delta \mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon} - ((\mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon} * \theta_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon} + ((\mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon} * \theta_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon} - \nabla p_{R,\epsilon} + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_R, \\
\partial_t \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon} = \Delta \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon} - ((\mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon} * \theta_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon} + ((\mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon} * \theta_\epsilon) \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon} - \nabla q_{R,\epsilon} + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_R, \\
\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon} = 0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon} = 0, \\
\mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_{0,R}, \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon}(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_{0,R}.
\end{array} \right.$$

By the Appendix in [8] (see the page 35) we know that $(MHD_{R,\epsilon})$ has a unique solution $(\mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon}, \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon})$ in $L^\infty((0, +\infty), L^2) \cap L^2((0, +\infty), \dot{H}^1)$, and moreover, this solution belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0, +\infty), L^2)$ and it fulfills the hypothesis of the Theorem 4. Applying this result (for the case $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{c}) = (\mathbf{u} * \theta_\epsilon, \mathbf{b} * \theta_\epsilon)$) there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for every time T_0 small enough:

$$C \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{u}_{0,R}, \mathbf{b}_{0,R})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_{R,\epsilon}, \mathbb{G}_{R,\epsilon})\|_{B_2 L^2(0, T_0)}^2 \right) T_0 \leq 1,$$

we have the controls:

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_0} \|(\mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon}, \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon})(t)\|_{B_2}^2 \leq C \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{u}_{0,R}, \mathbf{b}_{0,R})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R},\epsilon}, \mathbb{G}_{R,\epsilon})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,T_0)}^2 \right),$$

and

$$\|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_{R,\epsilon}, \mathbf{b}_{R,\epsilon})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,T_0)}^2 \leq C \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{u}_{0,R}, \mathbf{b}_{0,R})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{R},\epsilon}, \mathbb{G}_{R,\epsilon})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,T_0)}^2 \right).$$

Then, in the setting of Theorem 5, we set $(\mathbf{u}_{0,n}, \mathbf{b}_{0,n}) = (\mathbf{u}_{0,R_n}, \mathbf{b}_{0,R_n})$, $\mathbb{F}_n = \mathbb{F}_{R_n}$, $\mathbb{G}_n = \mathbb{G}_{R_n}$ and $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n) = (\mathbf{u}_{R_n, \epsilon_n}, \mathbf{b}_{R_n, \epsilon_n})$; and letting $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ we find a local solution of the (MHD) equations which verifies the desired properties stated in Theorem 2.

5.2 Global in time existence

Let $\lambda > 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the (MHD) equations with initial value

$$(\mathbf{u}_{0,n}, \mathbf{b}_{0,n}) = (\lambda^n \mathbf{u}_0(\lambda^n \cdot), \lambda^n \mathbf{b}_0(\lambda^n \cdot)),$$

and the forcing tensors

$$(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{G}_n) = (\lambda^{2n} \mathbb{F}(\lambda^{2n} \cdot, \lambda^n \cdot), \lambda^{2n} \mathbb{G}(\lambda^{2n} \cdot, \lambda^n \cdot)).$$

Then, by the local in time existence proved above, there exists a solution $(\mathbf{v}_n, \mathbf{c}_n)$ on $(0, T_n)$, with

$$C \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{v}_{0,n}, \mathbf{c}_{0,n})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{G}_n)\|_{B_2 L^2(0,T_n)}^2 \right)^2 T_n = 1.$$

Remark also that by the well-known scaling properties of the (MHD) equations we have

$$(\mathbf{v}_n(t, x), \mathbf{c}_n(t, x)) = (\lambda^n \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda^{2n} t, \lambda^n x), \lambda^n \mathbf{b}_n(\lambda^{2n} t, \lambda^n x)),$$

where $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)$ is a solution of the (MHD) on $(0, \lambda^{2n} T_n)$ associated with the initial data $(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$ and then forcing tensors \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} .

At this point, we need the following simple remark which will be proved at the end of this section.

Remark 5.1 *If $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0 \in B_{2,0}$ and $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G} \in B_{2,0} L^2(0, +\infty)$, then for all $\lambda > 1$ we have:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\lambda^n}{1 + \|(\mathbf{v}_{0,n}, \mathbf{c}_{0,n})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_n, \mathbb{G}_n)\|_{B_2 L^2}^2} = +\infty.$$

Thus, for fixed $\lambda > 1$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \lambda^{2n} T_n = +\infty$. Then, for $T > 0$, let n_T such that for all $n \geq n_T$, $\lambda^{2n} T_n > T$, then $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)$ is a solution of the (MHD) equations on $(0, T)$.

We set now $(\mathbf{w}_n(t, x), \mathbf{d}_n(t, x)) = (\lambda^{n_T} \mathbf{u}_n(\lambda^{2n_T} t, \lambda^{n_T} x), \lambda^{n_T} \mathbf{b}_n(\lambda^{2n_T} t, \lambda^{n_T} x))$, where we observe that for $n \geq n_T$ the couple $(\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{d}_n)$ is a solution of (MHD) equations on $(0, \lambda^{-2n_T} T)$ with initial value $(\mathbf{v}_{0, n_T}, \mathbf{c}_{0, n_T})$ and forcing tensor $(\mathbb{F}_{n_T}, \mathbb{G}_{n_T})$. But, since we have $\lambda^{-2n_T} T \leq T_{n_T}$, then we obtain

$$C \left(1 + \|(\mathbf{v}_{0, n_T}, \mathbf{c}_{0, n_T})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_{n_T}, \mathbb{G}_{n_T})\|_{B_2 L^2(0, \lambda^{-2n_T} T)}^2 \right)^2 \lambda^{-2n_T} T \leq 1,$$

and thus, by Theorem 4 we are able to write:

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq \lambda^{-2n_T} T} \|(\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{d}_n)(t, \cdot)\|_{L_{w_\gamma}^2}^2 \leq C(1 + \|(\mathbf{v}_{0, n_T}, \mathbf{c}_{0, n_T})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_{n_T}, \mathbb{G}_{n_T})\|_{B_2 L^2(0, \lambda^{-2n_T} T)}^2),$$

and

$$\|\nabla(\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{d}_n)\|_{B_2 L^2(0, \lambda^{-2n_T} T)}^2 \leq C(1 + \|(\mathbf{v}_{0, n_T}, \mathbf{c}_{0, n_T})\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}_{n_T}, \mathbb{G}_{n_T})\|_{B_2 L^2(0, \lambda^{-2n_T} T)}^2).$$

From these estimates we get the following uniform controls for \mathbf{u}_n and \mathbf{b}_n :

$$\|(\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{d}_n)(t)\|_{B_2}^2 \geq \lambda^{n_T} \|(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)(\lambda^{2n_T} t, \cdot)\|_{B_2}^2,$$

and

$$\|\nabla(\mathbf{w}_n, \mathbf{d}_n)\|_{B_2 L^2(0, \lambda^{-2n_T} T)}^2 \geq \lambda^{n_T} \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n)\|_{B_2 L^2(0, T)}^2.$$

In order to finish this proof, observe that we have controlled uniformly $\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n$ and $\nabla \mathbf{u}_n, \nabla \mathbf{b}_n$ on $(0, T)$ for $n \geq n_T$. Then, we may apply Theorem 5 to obtain a solution on $(0, T)$. As $T > 0$ is an arbitrary time, we can use a diagonal argument to obtain a solution \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} on $(0, +\infty)$. Finally, the control for the solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ on $(0, +\infty)$ is given by Theorem 4. \diamond

Proof of Remark 5.1. It is enough to detail the computations for the functions $\mathbf{u}_{0, n}$ and \mathbb{F}_n since the computations for $\mathbf{b}_{0, n}$ and \mathbb{G}_n follows the same lines.

It is straightforward to see that we have

$$\frac{\|\mathbf{v}_{0, n}\|_{B_2}^2}{\lambda^n} = \sup_{R \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda^n R^2} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\lambda^n \mathbf{u}_0(\lambda^n x)|^2 dx = \sup_{R \geq 1} \frac{1}{(\lambda^n R)^2} \int_{|x| \leq \lambda^n R} |\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 dx,$$

and

$$\lim_{P \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{R \geq P} \frac{1}{(\lambda^n R)^2} \int_{|x| \leq \lambda^n R} |\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 dx = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 dx = 0.$$

Moreover, remark that we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|\mathbb{F}_n\|_{B_2 L^2(0, +\infty)}^2}{\lambda^n} &= \sup_{R \geq 1} \frac{1}{\lambda^n R^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\lambda^{2n} \mathbb{F}(\lambda^{2n} t, \lambda^n x)|^2 dx ds \\ &= \sup_{R \geq 1} \frac{1}{(\lambda^n R)^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|x| \leq \lambda^n R} |\mathbb{F}(t, x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\lim_{P \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{R \geq P} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbb{F}(t, x)|^2 dx ds = \lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{R^2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbb{F}(t, x)|^2 dx ds = 0.$$

◇

A The 2D case

In this appendix we make a discussion on the 2D case which is more delicate to treat. In comparison to the 3D case, the Leray projector is not bounded on the space $B_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and for this reason one must be careful in the handling of the pressure term in dimension 2. We indicate here how to treat the pressure and moreover, we give a sketch of the proof of the local and global existence of weak suitable solutions of the (MHD) system. It is worth remark that for the (NS) equations, A. Basson obtained in his Ph. D. thesis [2] the local existence of weak solutions for with initial data in $B_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Thus, our main contribution is the study of global weak solutions in the generalized setting of the (MHD) system which contains the (NS) as a particular case.

Let us start recalling the Basson's idea to handle the pressure term in dimension 2. The main point consists in giving a useful decomposition for the pressure. For this we shall fix some notation. First, we denote \mathcal{R} the vector field of the Riesz transforms and we shall write $H_{i,j}$ the kernel of the operator $\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j$ and we denote $\mathbb{H} = (H_{i,j})$. On the other hand, let $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a non negative function supported on $B(0, 2)$ such that $\varphi = 1$ on $B(0, 1)$. For the function φ given, and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the functions $\psi_k(x) = \varphi(2^{-k-1}x) - \varphi(2^{-k}x)$ and $\chi_k = \varphi(2^{-k-3}x) - \varphi(2^{-k+2}x)$. Hence we have, $\psi_k(x) = 1$ for all $2^{k-1} \leq |x| \leq 2^{k+3}$, and moreover, we have

$$\text{supp}(\chi_k) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 2^{k-2} \leq |x| \leq 2^{k+4}\},$$

and

$$\text{supp}(\psi_k) \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^2 : 2^k \leq |x| \leq 2^{k+2}\}.$$

Then, for a index-family \mathcal{A} that we shall set conveniently later, let $(\mathbf{u}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ and $(\mathbf{b}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be two families of time dependent vector fields defined on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2$, and let $(\mathbb{F}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$, $(\mathbb{G}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}}$ be two families of tensors defined on $[0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2$. For $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ we denote

$$A_\alpha = \mathbf{u}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{u}_\alpha - \mathbf{b}_\alpha \otimes \mathbf{b}_\alpha - \mathbb{F}_\alpha, \quad (21)$$

and then we define the terms $p_{\alpha,1}$, $\nabla p_{\alpha,2}$, $q_{\alpha,1}$ and $\nabla q_{\alpha,2}$ as follows:

$$p_{\alpha,1} = \varphi(x/8) \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\varphi(A_\alpha)) + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \chi_k \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\psi_k(A_\alpha)), \quad (22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla p_{\alpha,2} = & \nabla[(1 - \varphi(x/8)) \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\varphi(A_\alpha))] \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \nabla[(1 - \chi_k) \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\psi_k(A_\alpha))]. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

and

$$q_{\alpha,1} = \varphi(x/8) \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\varphi(-\mathbb{G}_\alpha)) + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \chi_k \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\psi_k(-\mathbb{G}_\alpha)), \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla q_{\alpha,2} = & \nabla[(1 - \varphi(x/8)) \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\varphi(-\mathbb{G}_\alpha))] \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^{+\infty} \nabla[(1 - \chi_k) \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\psi_k(-\mathbb{G}_\alpha))]. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Now, let us explain the general idea to study the local and global existence of solutions in the 2D case. First, we will consider a (MHD)-type system of approximated solutions $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n, p_n, q_n)$ where the key point is to split the terms p_n and q_n as the expressions above. Thereafter, using a local energy balance we will obtain a uniform bound on the approximated solutions. Finally, passing to the limit we will be able to get a solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ of the (MHD) system. Following these ideas we have the next result.

Theorem 6 (Local and global weak suitable solutions) *Let $0 < T < +\infty$. Let $\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0 \in B_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be divergence-free vector fields. Let \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} be tensors belonging to $B_2 L^2(0, T)$. Then, there exists a time $0 < T_0 < T$ such that the system (MHD) has a solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ which satisfies :*

- \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b} belong to $L^\infty((0, T_0), B_2)$ and $\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \mathbf{b}$ belong to $B_2 L^2(0, T_0)$.

- The pressure p and the term q are related to \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{b} , \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} as follows. Let $\tilde{\varphi} \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ be a test function such that $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = 1$ on a neighborhood of the origin. We define

$$\Phi_{i,j,\tilde{\varphi}} = (1 - \tilde{\varphi})\partial_i\partial_j G_2.$$

where $G_2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln(\frac{1}{|x|})$ is the fundamental solution of the operator $-\Delta$ (we have $-\Delta G_2 = \delta_0$). Then p and q can be defined by :

$$\begin{aligned} p_{\tilde{\varphi}}(t, x) &= \sum_{i,j} (\tilde{\varphi}\partial_i\partial_j G_2) * (u_i u_j - b_i b_j - F_{i,j})(t, x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\tilde{\varphi}}(x-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\tilde{\varphi}}(-y)) (u_i(t, y)u_j(t, y) \\ &\quad - b_i(t, y)b_j(t, y) - F_{i,j}(t, y)) dy, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\tilde{\varphi}}(t, x) &= \sum_{i,j} (\tilde{\varphi}\partial_i\partial_j G_2) * (-G_{i,j})(t, x) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j} \int (\Phi_{i,j,\tilde{\varphi}}(x-y) - \Phi_{i,j,\tilde{\varphi}}(-y))(-G_{i,j}(t, y)) dy. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

- The map $t \in [0, T] \mapsto (\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot), \mathbf{b}(t, \cdot))$ is $*$ -weakly continuous from $[0, T]$ to $B_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, and for all compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we have:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|(\mathbf{u}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}(t, \cdot) - \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{L^2(K)} = 0.$$

- The solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ is suitable : there exists a non-negative locally finite measure μ on $(0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^2$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) &= \Delta \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) - |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 - |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 - \nabla \cdot \left(\left[\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2}{2} + \frac{|\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} + p \right] \mathbf{u} \right) \\ &\quad + \nabla \cdot ([(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) + q] \mathbf{b}) + \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}) + \mathbf{b} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}) - \mu. \end{aligned}$$

In particular we have the global control on the solution: for all $0 \leq t \leq T_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} &\max\{ \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(t)\|_{B_2}^2, \|\nabla(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})\|_{B_2 L^2(0, T_0)}^2 \} \\ &\leq C \|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{B_2}^2 + C \|(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})\|_{B_2 L^2(0, t)}^2 + C \int_0^t 1 + \|(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(s)\|_{B_2}^4 ds. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

- Finally, if the data verify:

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-2} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbf{u}_0(x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_0(x)|^2 dx = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow +\infty} R^{-2} \int_0^{+\infty} \int_{|x| \leq R} |\mathbb{F}(t, x)|^2 + |\mathbb{G}(t, x)|^2 dx dt = 0,$$

then $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ is a global weak solution.

Sketch of the proof. This proof follows some of the main ideas of [2] and thus we will only detail its main steps. The key is to obtain *a priori* estimates for the following approximated solutions. Let ϕ_R as in (17). Then, in [2], Basson proves that we can take a sequence $R_n \rightarrow +\infty$ such that $\mathbb{P}(\phi_{R_n} \mathbf{u}_0)$ converges *-weakly to \mathbf{u}_0 and $\mathbb{P}(\phi_{R_n} \mathbf{b}_0)$ converges *-weakly to \mathbf{b}_0 in B_2 . As in section 5.1, we define $\mathbf{u}_{0,n} = \mathbb{P}(\phi_{R_n} \mathbf{u}_0)$, $\mathbf{b}_{0,n} = \mathbb{P}(\phi_{R_n} \mathbf{b}_0)$, $\mathbb{F}_n = \phi_{R_n} \mathbb{F}$, $\mathbb{G}_n = \phi_{R_n} \mathbb{G}$; and we consider the solutions of the approximated problem (MHD_n) :

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{u}_n = \Delta \mathbf{u}_n - (\mathbf{u}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_n + (\mathbf{b}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_n - \nabla p_n + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{F}_n, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{b}_n = \Delta \mathbf{b}_n - (\mathbf{u}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b}_n + (\mathbf{b}_n \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u}_n - \nabla q_n + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{G}_n, \\ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u}_n = 0, \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}_n = 0, \\ \mathbf{u}_n(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{u}_{0,n}, \mathbf{b}_n(0, \cdot) = \mathbf{b}_{0,n}, \end{cases}$$

which belongs to $L^\infty((0, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)) \cap L^2((0, +\infty), \dot{H}^1(\mathbb{R}^2))$, and moreover, belongs to $\mathcal{C}([0, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Now we write $\nabla p_n = \nabla p_{n,1} + \nabla p_{n,2}$, where the term $p_{n,1}$ is given by (22) and the term $\nabla p_{n,2}$ is defined in (23). Similarly, using the expressions (24) and (25) we write $\nabla q_n = \nabla q_{n,1} + \nabla q_{n,2}$. We need the following technical lemma.

Lemma A.1 *Let $\mathbb{F} = (F_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \in L^1_{loc}$ be a tensor. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla[(1 - \chi_k) \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j(\psi_k F_{i,j})]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} &\leq C \int \frac{\psi_k(y) |\mathbb{F}(y)|}{(1 + |y|)^3} dy \\ &\approx C 2^{-3k} \int \psi_k(y) |\mathbb{F}(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\nabla[(1 - \varphi(x/8)) \mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j(\varphi F_{i,j})]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \int \varphi(y) |\mathbb{F}(y)| dy$$

Proof. We will proceed as Proposition 1.2 in [3]. In dimension 2, the kernel \mathbb{H} satisfies $|\mathbb{H}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^2}$ and $|\nabla \mathbb{H}(x)| \leq \frac{C}{|x|^3}$. Remark that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by the localization properties of the functions ψ_k and χ_k , for $|x - y| < \frac{1+|y|}{16}$ we have $(1 - \chi_k)(x)\psi_k(y) = 0$ and $\nabla \chi_k(x)\psi_k(y) = 0$. Then we can write

$$\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j(\psi_k F_{i,j})(x) = \int_{|x-y| > \frac{1+|y|}{16}} H_{i,j}(x-y)\psi_k(y)F_{i,j}dy,$$

and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} & |\nabla[(1 - \chi_k)\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j(\psi_k F_{i,j})](x)| \\ & \leq |\nabla(1 - \chi_k)(x) \int_{|x-y| > \frac{1+|y|}{16}} H_{i,j}(x-y)\psi_k(y)F_{i,j}dy| \\ & \quad + |(1 - \chi_k)(x) \int_{|x-y| > \frac{1+|y|}{16}} \nabla H_{i,j}(x-y)\psi_k(y)F_{i,j}dy| \\ & \leq C2^{-k} \int \frac{\psi_k(y)|\mathbb{F}(y)|}{(1+|y|)^2} dy + C \int \frac{\psi_k(y)|\mathbb{F}(y)|}{(1+|y|)^3} dy \\ & \approx C \int \frac{\psi_k(y)|\mathbb{F}(y)|}{(1+|y|)^3} dy \approx C2^{-3k} \int \psi_k(y)|\mathbb{F}(y)| dy. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, by the localization properties of the function φ we have $(1 - \varphi(x/8))\varphi(y) = 0$ and $\nabla \varphi(x/8)\varphi(y) = 0$; and the second estimate stated in this lemma follows the same lines. \diamond

With this lemma at hand, we get back to the expression (21) (where we set $\alpha = (n, i, j) \in \mathbb{N} \times \{1, 2\} \times \{1, 2\}$) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla[(1 - \chi_k)\mathcal{R}_i \mathcal{R}_j(\psi_k A_{n,i,j})]\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} \\ & \leq C \int \frac{\psi_k(|\mathbf{u}_n|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_n|^2)}{(1+|y|)^3} dy + C \int \frac{\psi_k|\mathbb{F}_n|}{(1+|y|)^3} dy \\ & \approx 2^{-3k} \int \psi_k(|\mathbf{u}_n|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_n|^2) dy + 2^{-3k} \int \psi_k|\mathbb{F}_n| dy. \end{aligned}$$

Then, after summation over k and using Hölder inequality in the term with the forcing tensor, for $2 < \gamma_0 < 4$ we obtain

$$\|\nabla p_{n,2}\|_{L^\infty} < C \int \frac{|\mathbf{u}_n|^2 + |\mathbf{b}_n|^2}{(1+|x|)^3} dy + C \left(\int \frac{|\mathbb{F}_n|^2}{(1+|x|^{\gamma_0})} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (29)$$

hence $\|\nabla p_{n,2}\|_{L^\infty}$ is uniformly bounded. The same statement holds for $q_{n,2}$.

We study now the terms $p_{n,1}$ and $q_{n,1}$. First, let $R \geq 1$ fix, and we set $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $2^{k_0-1} \leq 2R \leq 2^{k_0}$. Thereafter, for the expression A_n given in (21), by the localization properties of the function χ_k we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{|x| \leq 2R} |p_{n,1}|^{3/2} dx &\leq C \int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\varphi(x/8) \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\varphi(A_n))|^{3/2} dx \\
&\quad + C \sum_{k=1}^{k_0+1} \int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\chi_k \mathcal{R} \otimes \mathcal{R}(\psi_k(A_n))|^{3/2} dx \\
&\leq C \int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\varphi(\mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n + \mathbf{b}_n \otimes \mathbf{b}_n)|^{3/2} dx \\
&\quad + C \sum_{k=1}^{k_0+1} \int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\psi_k(\mathbf{u}_n \otimes \mathbf{u}_n + \mathbf{b}_n \otimes \mathbf{b}_n)|^{3/2} dx \\
&\quad + C \int |\varphi \mathbb{F}_n|^{3/2} + C \sum_{k=1}^{k_0+1} \int |\psi_k \mathbb{F}_n|^{3/2} \\
&\leq C \int_{|x| \leq 2^5 R} |\mathbf{u}_n|^3 + |\mathbf{b}_n|^3 dx + C \int_{|x| \leq 2^5 R} |\mathbb{F}_n|^{3/2} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Similarly, for the term $q_{n,1}$ we have the estimate:

$$\int_{|x| \leq 2R} |q_{n,1}|^{3/2} dx \leq C \int_{|x| \leq 2^5 R} |\mathbb{G}_n|^{3/2} dx.$$

Once we have all these estimates, we are able to establish the global energy controls (28) for the approximated solutions $(\mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{b}_n, p_n, q_n)$, where, for the sake of simplicity, we will get rid of the index n and we shall write $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$.

In this part of the proof we will proceed as in dimension 3, so we only detail the main computations. Let $0 < t_0 < t_1 < T$, we define $\alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1}(t)$ as in (12) so that α_{η, t_0, t_1} converges almost everywhere to $\mathbb{1}_{[t_0, t_1]}$ when $\eta \rightarrow 0$ and $\partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1}$ is the difference between two identity approximations, the first one in t_0 and the second one in t_1 . Moreover, we always define ϕ_R as in (17). Thus, we use the local energy balance to write the following estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \iint \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds + \iint (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds \\
& \leq \iint \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \Delta \phi_R dx ds \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^2 \iint \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) u_i \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \iint \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p \phi_R dx ds \\
& + \sum_{i=1}^2 \iint (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}) b_i \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds + \iint \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla q \phi_R dx ds \\
& - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \iint F_{i,j} u_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \iint F_{i,j} \partial_i u_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds \\
& - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \iint G_{i,j} b_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \partial_i \phi_R dx ds - \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2} \iint G_{i,j} \partial_i b_j \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

In each term in this estimate, first we divide by R^2 . Then we use the estimates $\|\nabla \phi_R\|_{L^\infty} \leq c/R$ and $\|\Delta \phi_R\|_{L^\infty} \leq c/R^2$ (where we recall that $R \geq 1$). Finally, for the terms involving the tensor \mathbb{F} and \mathbb{G} , we apply the Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities and the Young inequalities with two constants $C_0 > 0$ and $C = C(C_0) > 0$, where the first constant C_0 is arbitrarily small. Thus we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{R^2} \iint \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds + \frac{1}{R^2} \iint (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds + \frac{1}{R^3} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\mathbf{b}|^2 |\mathbf{u}|}{2} \right) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds \\
& + \underbrace{\frac{2}{R^2} \iint \phi_R (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla q) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds}_{(a)} \\
& + \frac{C}{R^2} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} |\mathbb{F}|^2 \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds + \frac{C}{R^2} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} |\mathbb{G}|^2 \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds \\
& + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

Now, we need to estimate the term (a). We will only treat the term $\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p$ since the other term $\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla q$ follows the same estimates. To control this term

we use the decomposition $\nabla p = \nabla p_1 + \nabla p_2$ where p_1 and ∇p_2 are always given by (22) and (23) respectively. First, as we have $\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{u}) = 0$ then we write

$$\int \phi_R \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p \, dx = - \int p_1 \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \phi_R \, dx + \int \phi_R \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p_2 \, dx.$$

On the other hand, we will need the following estimate: by the interpolation inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|x| \leq 2^{5R}} |\mathbf{u}|^3 \, dx &\leq \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{u}_n\|_{L^3}^3 \leq C \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla(\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{u})\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + C' \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Thus, getting back to the previous identity, using the estimates (29) and (30), and moreover, using the estimate (32), for $2 < \gamma_0 < 4$ and $2 < \gamma_1 < 10/3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{R^2} \left| \int \phi_R \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla p \, dx \right| &\leq \frac{C}{R^3} \int_{|x| \leq 2R} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |p_1|^{3/2}) \, dx + C \frac{\|\nabla p_2\|_{\infty}}{R} \left(\int \phi_R |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^3} \int_{|x| \leq 2^{5R}} (|\mathbf{u}|^3 + |\mathbf{b}|^3) \, dx + C \int \frac{|\mathbb{F}|^{3/2}}{(1+|x|)^3} \, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R} (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^2) \|\phi_R \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \frac{C}{R^2} \|\phi_R \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \int \frac{|\mathbb{F}|^2}{(1+|x|)^{\gamma_0}} \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{C}{R^3} \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + \frac{C'}{R^3} \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C \left(\int \frac{|\mathbb{F}|^2}{(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1}} \, dx \right)^{3/4} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R^3} \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^3 + \frac{C'}{R^3} \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\varphi_{2^{5R}} \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \\ &\quad + \frac{C}{R} (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^2) \left(\int_{|x| \leq 2R} |\mathbf{u}|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} + \frac{C}{R^2} \|\phi_R \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \, dx + C \int \frac{|\mathbb{F}|^2}{(1+|x|)^{\gamma_0}} \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, using this control on the term (a), we get back to estimate (31) and we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{R^2} \iint \partial_t \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \right) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds + \frac{1}{R^2} \iint (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds \\
& \leq \frac{C}{R^2} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} (|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{R^3} \left(\int \|\varphi_{2^5 R} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^3 + \|\varphi_{2^5 R} \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^3 \right) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} ds + C \int (\|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^3 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^3) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} ds \\
& \quad + C \int \frac{1}{R^4} \|\varphi_{2^5 R} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4 \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} ds + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int \|\varphi_{2^5 R} \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} ds \\
& \quad + C \int \frac{1}{R^4} \|\varphi_{2^5 R} \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} ds + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int \|\varphi_{2^5 R} \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} ds \\
& \quad + C \int \left(1 + \int \frac{|\mathbb{F}|^2 + |\mathbb{G}|^2}{(1+|x|)^{\gamma_1}} \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx \right) ds + C \int \int \frac{|\mathbb{F}|^2 + |\mathbb{G}|^2}{(1+|x|)^{\gamma_0}} \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds \\
& \quad + \frac{C}{R^2} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} (|\mathbb{F}|^2 + |\mathbb{G}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} ds ds + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \iint_{|x| \leq 2R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we let η goes to 0, and moreover, since for t_0, t_1 two Lebesgue points of the function $A_R(s) = \int |\mathbf{u}(s, x)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}(s, x)|^2 \phi_R(x) dx$, we have

$$- \iint \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds = -\frac{1}{2} \int \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} A_R(s) ds,$$

and

$$\lim_{\eta \rightarrow 0} - \iint \frac{|\mathbf{u}|^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2}{2} \partial_t \alpha_{\eta, t_0, t_1} \phi_R dx ds = \frac{1}{2} (A_R(t_1) - A_R(t_0)),$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{R^2} \int \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t_1)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}(t_1)|^2}{2} - \frac{|\mathbf{u}(t_0)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}(t_0)|^2}{2} \right) \phi_R dx \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 \phi_R) dx ds \\
& \leq C \int_{t_0}^{t_1} 1 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^4 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^4 ds \\
& \quad + C \|\mathbb{F}\|_{B_2 L^2(t_0, t_1)}^2 + C \|\mathbb{G}\|_{B_2 L^2(t_0, t_1)}^2 \\
& \quad + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} \int_{|x| \leq 2^5 R} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thereafter, by the continuity at 0 of the map $t \in [0, T) \mapsto (\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b})(t) \in L^2_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we can let t_0 go to zero. Moreover, by the *-weak continuity of this map we can let t_1 go to $t \in (0, T)$. Thus, for all $t \in (0, T)$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{R^2} \int \left(\frac{|\mathbf{u}(t)|^2 + |\mathbf{b}(t)|^2}{2} \right) \phi_R dx + \frac{1}{R^2} \int_0^t \int (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) \phi_R dx \\ & \leq C(\|\mathbf{u}_0\|_{B_2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}_0\|_{B_2}^2) + C\|\mathbb{F}\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2 + C\|\mathbb{G}\|_{B_2 L^2(0,t)}^2 \\ & \quad + C \int_{t_0}^{t_1} 1 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{B_2}^4 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{B_2}^4 ds \\ & \quad + \frac{C_0}{R^2} \int_0^t \int_{|x| \leq 2^5 R} (|\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

In this estimate, we use first the Young inequalities and moreover, we take the supreme on $R \geq 1$ to obtain the global energy control (28) for the approximated solutions. Once we have this global energy control, following the ideas of A. Basson in [2], and using a slightly modification of Lemma 3.1 in [8], we will be able to obtain a subsequence $(\mathbf{u}_{n_k}, \mathbf{b}_{n_k}, p_{n_k}, q_{n_k})$ which converges in the sense of distributions to a local solution $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{b}, p, q)$ of the (MHD) system on $[0, T_0]$, where

$$T_0 \approx \frac{1}{1 + \|(\mathbf{u}_0, \mathbf{b}_0)\|_{B_2}^2 + \|(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{G})\|_{B_2 L^2(0,+\infty)}^2}.$$

The pressure terms are given by the expressions $\nabla p = \nabla p_1 + \nabla p_2$, with $p_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p_{n_k,1}$ and $\nabla p_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla p_{n_k,2}$; and $\nabla q = \nabla q_1 + \nabla q_2$, with $q_1 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} q_{n_k,1}$ and $\nabla q_2 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla q_{n_k,2}$. Moreover, p_1 and ∇p_2 satisfy (22) and (23) (with $\mathbf{u}_\alpha = \mathbf{u}$) respectively. Similarly, the terms q_1 and ∇q_2 satisfy (24) and (25). Thus, by Proposition 3.1 (with $d = 2$) we get that p can be written as (26) and q can be written as (27). Finally, rescaling the local solution, we can obtain a global solution in the same way as in dimension 3. See Section 5.2 for the details. \diamond

Acknowledgement. We are very grateful to Pierre Gilles Lemarié-Rieusset for his encouragement in the study of the MHD equations through these interesting new methods developed for the Navier-Stokes equations. We are also grateful for his useful comments to get over some technical difficulties. On the other hand, we are grateful to the referee for the valuable comments to improve this paper.

References

- [1] G. K. Batchelor, *An Introduction to Fluid Dynamics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2000).
- [2] A. Basson, *Solutions spatialement homogènes adaptées des équations de Navier–Stokes*, Thèse, Université d’Évry (2006).
- [3] A. Basson, *Homogeneous Statistical Solutions and Local Energy Inequality for 3D Navier–Stokes Equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. 266 (2006).
- [4] Z. Bradshaw, Kukavica I. and T.P. Tsai, *Existence of global weak solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations in weighted spaces*, arXiv:1910.06929v1 (2019).
- [5] Z. Bradshaw and T.P. Tsai, *Global existence, Regularity and Uniqueness of infinite energy solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations*, Communications in Partial Differential Equations (2019).
- [6] Z. Bradshaw and T.P. Tsai, *Discretely self-similar solutions to the Navier–Stokes equations with data in L^2_{loc}* , to appear in Analysis and PDE (2019).
- [7] B.A Samaniego, P.A Samaniego, P.G Fernández-Dalgo, P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *On the use of the Riesz transforms to determine the pressure term in the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations on the whole space*, preprint arXiv:2001.10436 (2020).
- [8] P.G Fernández-Dalgo, O. Jarrín, *Discretely self-similar solutions for 3D MHD equations and global weak solutions in weighted L^2 spaces*, preprint (2019).
- [9] P.G Fernández-Dalgo, P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *Characterisation of the pressure term in the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations on the whole space*, preprint arXiv:2001.10436 (2020).
- [10] P.G Fernández-Dalgo, P.G Lemarié–Rieusset, *Weak Solutions for Navier–Stokes Equations with Initial Data in Weighted L^2 Spaces*. Arch Rational Mech Anal 237, 347–382 (2020).
- [11] L. Grafakos, *Modern harmonic analysis (2nd ed.)*, Springer (2009).

- [12] H. Jia and V. Šverák, *Local-in-space estimates near initial time for weak solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations and forward self-similar solutions*, Invent. Math. 196: 233–265 (2014).
- [13] N. Kikuchi and G. Seregin, *Weak solutions to the Cauchy problem for the Navier–Stokes equations satisfying the local energy inequality*, in Nonlinear equations and spectral theory. Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 220, M.S. Birman and N.N. Uraltseva eds., 141–164 (2007).
- [14] CC. Lai, *Forward Discretely Self-similar Solutions of the MHD Equations and the Viscoelastic Navier–Stokes Equations with Damping* J. Math. Fluid Mech (2019).
- [15] P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *Solutions faibles d’énergie infinie pour les équations de Navier–Stokes dans \mathbb{R}^3* , C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Serie I. 328: 1133–1138 (1999).
- [16] P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *Recent developments in the Navier–Stokes problem*, CRC Press (2002).
- [17] P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset. *The Navier-Stokes equations in the critical Morrey-Campanato space*. Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana, 3: 897-930 (2007).
- [18] P.G. Lemarié–Rieusset, *The Navier–Stokes problem in the 21st century*, Chapman & Hall/CRC, (2016).
- [19] J. Leray, *Essai sur le mouvement d’un fluide visqueux emplissant l’espace*, Acta Math. 63:193–248 (1934).
- [20] G. Prodi, *Un teorema di unicità per le equazioni di Navier-Stokes*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 48:173-182, 1959.
- [21] J. Serrin, *The initial value problem for the Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinear Problems (Proc. Sympos., Madison, Wis., 1962), pages 69-98. Univ. of Wisconsin Press, Madison, Wis., 1963.
- [22] J. A. Shercliff, *A Textbook of Magnetohydrodynamics*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, (1965).
- [23] W. Von Wahl. *The Navier-Stokes equations and abstract parabolic spaces*. Vieweg and Sohn. Wiesbaden (1985).

- [24] J. Zhang and T. Zhang *Global existence of discretely self-similar solutions to the generalized MHD system in Besov space* Journal of Mathematical Physics (2019)