

# Bifurcation of periodic orbits by perturbing $n$ -dimensional piecewise smooth differential systems with two switching planes <sup>\*</sup>

Jihua Yang

*School of Mathematics and Computer Science, Ningxia Normal University,  
Guyuan, 756000, PR China*

**Abstract** In this paper, the general perturbation problem of piecewise smooth integrable differential systems with two switching planes is considered. Firstly, when the unperturbed system has a family of periodic orbits, the first order Melnikov vector function is derived which can be used to study the number of periodic orbits bifurcated from the period annuli. Then, by using the obtained Melnikov vector function, we get an upper bound of the number of periodic orbits of a concrete  $n$ -dimensional piecewise smooth differential system.

**Keywords**  $n$ -dimensional differential system; periodic orbit; Melnikov function

## 1 Introduction and main results

One of the main problems in the qualitative theory of polynomial differential systems is the study of their limit cycles. Two main questions arise in this setting in dimension two: the study of the the number of limit cycles depending on the degree of the polynomial, and the study of how many limit cycles emerge from the period annuli around a center when one perturbs it inside a given class of differential equations. These problems have been studied intensively in planar smooth or piecewise smooth differential systems, one can see [4–6, 9, 11, 13, 15, 16, 19, 20, 22, 24–30]. Our main aim is to bring this study to higher dimension.

As far as we know, high-dimensional ( $n > 2$ ) smooth or piecewise smooth differential systems with a switching plane have not been studied much. Similar to the 2-dimensional case, there are two main methods which can be used to study the number of periodic orbits for high-dimensional differential systems. One is to

---

<sup>\*</sup>E-mail address: yangjh@mail.bnu.edu.cn, jihua1113@163.com

use the Melnikov function established in [10, 21]. The other is to use the averaging method developed in [1, 7, 10, 18]. In [21], the authors established a formula for the first order Melnikov vector function of high-dimensional piecewise smooth differential systems which plays a crucial role in the study of the number of periodic orbits. Recently, a new development to high-dimensional case on the upper bound of periodic orbits was given in [10]. For more results, see [2, 3, 8, 14, 17, 23, 24] and the references therein. However, because of the complexity of the calculation of Melnikov function of high-dimensional systems, there is no literature to estimate the upper bound of the number of periodic orbits of high-dimensional system by using Melnikov function.

In the present paper, we first give the first order Melnikov vector function of high-dimensional ( $n > 2$ ) piecewise smooth differential systems with two switching planes which can be used to determine the number of periodic orbits bifurcated from period annuli. Then, by using the obtained Melnikov vector function, we study the number of periodic orbits of an  $n$ -dimensional perturbed differential system.

Consider an  $n$ -dimensional ( $n \geq 2$ ) piecewise smooth differential system

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f^1(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon g^1(\mathbf{x}), \quad x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f^2(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon g^2(\mathbf{x}), \quad x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f^3(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon g^3(\mathbf{x}), \quad x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f^4(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon g^4(\mathbf{x}), \quad x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, \quad (1.4)$$

or

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}} = f(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon g(\mathbf{x}), \quad (1.5)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ ,  $0 \leq \varepsilon \ll 1$ ,  $f^k(\mathbf{x})$  and  $g^k(\mathbf{x})$  are  $C^\infty$  vector functions for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$  and

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} f^1(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ f^2(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \\ f^3(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \\ f^4(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, \end{cases} \quad g(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} g^1(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ g^2(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \\ g^3(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \\ g^4(\mathbf{x}), & x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0. \end{cases}$$

In order that system (1.5) has a family of periodic orbits near the origin for  $\varepsilon = 0$ , we make the following assumptions:

- (A1) Suppose that  $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set with  $U \cup \{x_1 = 0, x_2 = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ . System  $(1.k)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  has  $n-1$  different  $C^\infty$  first integrals  $H_i^k(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ , such that for each  $\mathbf{x} \in U^k$ , the gradient

$$DH_1^k(\mathbf{x}), DH_2^k(\mathbf{x}), \dots, DH_{n-1}^k(\mathbf{x}), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

are linearly independent, where

$$U^1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U | x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0\}, \quad U^2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U | x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0\},$$

$$U^3 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U | x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0\}, \quad U^4 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U | x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0\}.$$

- (A2) Let  $\mathbf{H}^k(\mathbf{x}) = (H_1^k(\mathbf{x}), H_2^k(\mathbf{x}), \dots, H_{n-1}^k(\mathbf{x}))^T$ . There exists an open set  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  such that for each  $h = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{n-1})^T \in G$ , the curves

$$L_h^1 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U^1 | \mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x}) = h\}, \quad L_h^2 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U^2 | \mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{H}^2(B(h))\},$$

$$L_h^3 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U^3 | \mathbf{H}^3(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{H}^3(C(h))\}, \quad L_h^4 = \{\mathbf{x} \in U^4 | \mathbf{H}^4(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{H}^4(D(h))\}$$

contain no critical point of  $(1.5)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  and have four different end points  $A(h)$ ,  $B(h)$ ,  $C(h)$  and  $E(h)$  in  $U$  satisfying

$$A(h) = (0, a_2(h), \dots, a_n(h))^T, \quad B(h) = (b_1(h), 0, b_3(h), \dots, b_n(h))^T,$$

$$C(h) = (0, c_2(h), \dots, c_n(h))^T, \quad E(h) = (e_1(h), 0, e_3(h), \dots, e_n(h))^T.$$

The system  $(1.1)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  has an orbital arc  $L_h^1$  starting from  $A(h)$  and ending at  $B(h)$ , the system  $(1.2)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  has an orbital arc  $L_h^2$  starting from  $B(h)$  and ending at  $C(h)$ , the system  $(1.3)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  has an orbital arc  $L_h^3$  starting from  $C(h)$  and ending at  $E(h)$ , and the system  $(1.4)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  has an orbital arc  $L_h^4$  starting from  $E(h)$  and ending at  $A(h)$ . Thus,  $L_h = L_h^1 \cup L_h^2 \cup L_h^3 \cup L_h^4$  is a periodic orbit of  $(1.5)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  surrounding the origin for  $h \in G$ .

- (A3) The curves  $L_h^k$ ,  $h \in G$ ,  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$  are not tangent to the switching plane  $x_1 = 0$  ( $x_2 = 0$  resp.) at points  $A(h)$  and  $C(h)$  ( $B(h)$  and  $E(h)$  resp.). That is to say, for  $h \in G$ ,

$$\det \frac{\partial(H_1^k, H_2^k, \dots, H_{n-1}^k)}{\partial(x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n)} \quad \left( \text{resp.} \quad \det \frac{\partial(H_1^k, H_2^k, \dots, H_{n-1}^k)}{\partial(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_n)} \right)$$

is not equal to zero at points  $A(h)$  and  $C(h)$  (resp.  $B(h)$  and  $E(h)$ ).

By assumptions (A1)–(A3),  $\{L_h, h \in G\}$  is a family of periodic orbits of system  $(1.5)|_{\varepsilon=0}$  and each  $L_h$  is piecewise smooth. Without loss of generality, we suppose that  $L_h$  has a clockwise orientation, as shown in Fig. 1. Suppose that the orbit of system  $(1.1)$  starting from  $A(h)$ . Let  $B_\varepsilon(h) = (b_{1\varepsilon}(h), 0, b_{3\varepsilon}(h), \dots, b_{n\varepsilon}(h))$  be its first intersection point with the hyperplane  $x_2 = 0$ . Let  $C_\varepsilon(h) = (0, c_{1\varepsilon}(h), \dots, c_{n\varepsilon}(h))$

be the first intersection point of the orbit starting from  $B_\varepsilon(h)$  of system (1.2) with the hyperplane  $x_1 = 0$ . Let  $E_\varepsilon(h) = (e_{1\varepsilon}(h), 0, e_{3\varepsilon}(h), \dots, e_{n\varepsilon}(h))$  be the first intersection point of the orbit starting from  $C_\varepsilon(h)$  of system (1.3) with the hyperplane  $x_2 = 0$ . Let  $A_\varepsilon(h) = (0, a_{2\varepsilon}(h), \dots, a_{n\varepsilon}(h))$  be the first intersection point of the orbit starting from  $E_\varepsilon(h)$  of system (1.4) with the hyperplane  $x_1 = 0$ , see Fig. 2.

By Lemma 1 in [21], one knows that  $A_\varepsilon(h)$ ,  $B_\varepsilon(h)$ ,  $C_\varepsilon(h)$  and  $E_\varepsilon(h)$  are smooth in  $\varepsilon$  with  $A_\varepsilon(h)|_{\varepsilon=0} = A(h)$ . Then one can define

$$H^1(A_\varepsilon(h)) - H^1(A(h)) = \varepsilon F(h, \varepsilon). \quad (1.6)$$

Similar to the proof of Lemma 2 in [21], one has the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.1.** *For each  $h_0 \in G$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0(h_0) > 0$  such that  $F(h, \varepsilon) \in C^\infty$  for  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ ,  $h \in G$  with  $|h - h_0| < \varepsilon_0$ . In particular,  $F(h, 0) \in C^\infty$  for  $h \in G$ . Moreover, for a given  $h_0 \in G$ , system (1.5) has a periodic orbit near  $L_{h_0}$  if and only if  $F(h, \varepsilon)$  has a zero in  $h$  near  $h_0$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ .*

Similar to the smooth system, we call the function  $A \rightarrow A_\varepsilon$  the Poincaré map of system (1.5). Let  $M(h) = F(h, 0)$ . We call  $M(h)$  the first order Melnikov function of system (1.5). Hence, under assumptions (A1) – (A3), one can see that an isolated zero of  $M(h)$  corresponds to a periodic orbit of (1.5). The formula of  $M(h)$  is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under the assumptions (A1) – (A3), the first order Melnikov vector function  $M(h)$  of system (1.5) is*

$$\begin{aligned} M(h) = & \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x})g^1(\mathbf{x})dt + \overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \\ & \times \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{BC}} D\mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x})g^2(\mathbf{x})dt \\ & + \overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{CE}} D\mathbf{H}^3(\mathbf{x})g^3(\mathbf{x})dt \\ & + \overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{EA}} D\mathbf{H}^4(\mathbf{x})g^4(\mathbf{x})dt. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

Further, if  $M(h_0) = 0$  and  $\det DM(h_0) \neq 0$  for some  $h_0 \in G$ , then for  $|\varepsilon|$  small enough there exists a unique periodic orbits near  $L_{h_0}$  for system (1.5).

**Remark 1.1.** (i) Let  $\Phi$  be a matrix or column vector. Then  $\overline{\Phi}$  denotes the matrix (resp. column vector)  $\Phi$  removing the first column (resp. first element) and  $\underline{\Phi}$  denotes the matrix (resp. column vector)  $\Phi$  removing the second column (resp. second element).

(ii) If in (1.1)  $\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\mathbf{H}^3(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{H}^4(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $f^1(\mathbf{x}) = f^2(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $f^3(\mathbf{x}) = f^4(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $g^1(\mathbf{x}) = g^2(\mathbf{x})$  and  $g^3(\mathbf{x}) = g^4(\mathbf{x})$ , then the first order Melnikov function  $M(h)$  in (1.6) coincides with Theorem 1 obtained in [21].

Next we apply Theorem 1.1 to the following  $n$ -dimensional piecewise smooth differential system having the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon g_1^1(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon g_2^1(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon g_3^1(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = \varepsilon g_n^1(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases} \quad x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon g_1^2(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon g_2^2(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon g_3^2(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = \varepsilon g_n^2(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases} \quad x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon g_1^3(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon g_2^3(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon g_3^3(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = \varepsilon g_n^3(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases} \quad x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon g_1^4(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon g_2^4(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon g_3^4(\mathbf{x}), \\ \dots \\ \dot{x}_n = \varepsilon g_n^4(\mathbf{x}), \end{cases} \quad x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0,$$

where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} g_i^1(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^i x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}, \\ g_i^2(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m b_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^i x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}, \\ g_i^3(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m c_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^i x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}, \\ g_i^4(\mathbf{x}) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m d_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^i x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \dots x_n^{k_n}, \\ i &= 1, 2, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the above first order Melnikov function in (1.7), we obtain an upper bound of the number of periodic orbits which bifurcate from the period annulus around origin of system (1.8) $|_{\varepsilon=0}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Consider system (1.8) with  $\varepsilon > 0$  small enough. Using the first order Melnikov function (1.7), system (1.8) has at most  $m^{n-1}$  periodic orbits for  $m \geq 2$ . If  $m = 1$ , then system (1.8) can have 1 periodic orbit.*

**Remark 1.2.** If the switching plane is  $x_1 = 0$ , the authors in [17] obtained that the upper bound of the number of periodic orbits is also  $m^{n-1}$  by using the averaging method. So, we conjecture that the number of switching planes does not affect the number of periodic orbits.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we will prove Theorem 1.1. The proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 3. In Appendix, we give a Lemma which will be used in Section 2. It should be noted that the idea of the proof Theorem 1.1 comes from that of H. Tian and M. Han [21].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

It is easy to get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}^1(A_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^1(A) &= [\mathbf{H}^1(A_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^4(A_\varepsilon)] + [\mathbf{H}^4(A_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^4(E_\varepsilon)] \\
&\quad + [\mathbf{H}^4(E_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^3(E_\varepsilon)] + [\mathbf{H}^3(E_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^3(C_\varepsilon)] \\
&\quad + [\mathbf{H}^3(C_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^2(C_\varepsilon)] + [\mathbf{H}^2(C_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^2(B_\varepsilon)] \\
&\quad + [\mathbf{H}^2(B_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^1(B_\varepsilon)] + [\mathbf{H}^1(B_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^1(A)] \\
&:= L_1 + L_2 + L_3 + L_4 + L_5 + L_6 + L_7 + L_8,
\end{aligned}$$

which follows directly

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\varepsilon L_1|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \left[ D\mathbf{H}^1(A) - D\mathbf{H}^4(A) \right] D_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\
D_\varepsilon L_2|_{\varepsilon=0} &= D\mathbf{H}^4(A) D_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} - D\mathbf{H}^4(E) \Big] D_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\
D_\varepsilon L_3|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \left[ D\mathbf{H}^4(E) - D\mathbf{H}^3(E) \right] D_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\
D_\varepsilon L_4|_{\varepsilon=0} &= D\mathbf{H}^3(E) D_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} - D\mathbf{H}^3(C) \Big] D_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\
D_\varepsilon L_5|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \left[ D\mathbf{H}^3(C) - D\mathbf{H}^2(C) \right] D_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\
D_\varepsilon L_6|_{\varepsilon=0} &= D\mathbf{H}^2(C) D_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0} - D\mathbf{H}^2(B) \Big] D_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\
D_\varepsilon L_7|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \left[ D\mathbf{H}^2(B) - D\mathbf{H}^1(B) \right] D_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}, \\
D_\varepsilon L_8|_{\varepsilon=0} &= D\mathbf{H}^1(B) D_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon|_{\varepsilon=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Notice that the first (resp. second) component of both  $D_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon$  and  $D_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon$  (resp.  $D_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon$  and  $D_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon$ ) equal to 0. We have for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$\begin{aligned}
D\mathbf{H}^i(A) D_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon &= \overline{D\mathbf{H}^i(A)} \overline{D_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon}, \quad D\mathbf{H}^i(C) D_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon = \overline{D\mathbf{H}^i(C)} \overline{D_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon}, \\
D\mathbf{H}^i(B) D_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon &= \underline{D\mathbf{H}^i(B)} \underline{D_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon}, \quad D\mathbf{H}^i(E) D_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon = \underline{D\mathbf{H}^i(E)} \underline{D_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

By assumption **(A3)** the square matrices  $\overline{D\mathbf{H}^i(A)}$ ,  $\underline{D\mathbf{H}^i(B)}$ ,  $\overline{D\mathbf{H}^i(C)}$  and  $\underline{D\mathbf{H}^i(E)}$  are invertible. From assumption **(A1)**, one knows that  $D\mathbf{H}^k(\mathbf{x}) f^k(\mathbf{x}) = 0, k =$

1, 2, 3, 4. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
L_8 &= \mathbf{H}^1(B_\varepsilon) - \mathbf{H}^1(A) = \int_{\widehat{AB_\varepsilon}} d\mathbf{H}^1 \\
&= \int_{\widehat{AB_\varepsilon}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x})[f^1(\mathbf{x}) + \varepsilon g^1(\mathbf{x})]dt \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\widehat{AB_\varepsilon}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x})g^1(\mathbf{x})dt \\
&= \varepsilon \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x})g^1(\mathbf{x})dt + O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$D_\varepsilon L_8|_{\varepsilon=0} = \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x})g^1(\mathbf{x})dt. \quad (2.3)$$

Similarly, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
D_\varepsilon L_6|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \int_{\widehat{BC}} D\mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x})g^2(\mathbf{x})dt, \\
D_\varepsilon L_4|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \int_{\widehat{CE}} D\mathbf{H}^3(\mathbf{x})g^3(\mathbf{x})dt, \\
D_\varepsilon L_2|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \int_{\widehat{EA}} D\mathbf{H}^4(\mathbf{x})g^4(\mathbf{x})dt.
\end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

In view of (2.1)-(2.3), one obtains

$$\underline{D_\varepsilon B_\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} = \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x})g^1(\mathbf{x})dt. \quad (2.5)$$

From (2.1), (2.2), (2.4) and (2.5) one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{D_\varepsilon C_\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{BC}} D\mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x}) g^2(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
&\quad + \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^2(B)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x}) g^1(\mathbf{x}) dt, \\
\overline{D_\varepsilon E_\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{CE}} D\mathbf{H}^3(\mathbf{x}) g^3(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
&\quad + \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{BC}} D\mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x}) g^2(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
&\quad + \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^2(B)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B)} \right]^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x}) g^1(\mathbf{x}) dt, \\
\overline{D_\varepsilon A_\varepsilon}|_{\varepsilon=0} &= \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{EA}} D\mathbf{H}^4(\mathbf{x}) g^4(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
&\quad + \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{CE}} D\mathbf{H}^3(\mathbf{x}) g^3(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
&\quad + \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\widehat{BC}} D\mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x}) g^2(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
&\quad + \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \underline{D\mathbf{H}^2(B)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x}) g^1(\mathbf{x}) dt,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

By (1.6), one has

$$D_\varepsilon [\mathbf{H}^1(A_\varepsilon(h)) - \mathbf{H}^1(A(h))] = F(h, \varepsilon) + \varepsilon D_\varepsilon F(h, \varepsilon).$$

Hence, it follows from  $M(h) = F(h, 0)$  that

$$M(h) = \sum_{i=1}^8 D_\varepsilon L_i|_{\varepsilon=0}. \tag{2.7}$$



Now, combining with (2.1), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6), we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{M}(h) = & \overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \\
& \times \underline{D\mathbf{H}^2(B)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{AB}} D\mathbf{H}^1(\mathbf{x}) g^1(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
& + \overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \\
& \times \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{BC}} D\mathbf{H}^2(\mathbf{x}) g^2(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
& + \overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{CE}} D\mathbf{H}^3(\mathbf{x}) g^3(\mathbf{x}) dt \\
& + \overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \int_{\widehat{EA}} D\mathbf{H}^4(\mathbf{x}) g^4(\mathbf{x}) dt.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

From assumption **(A2)**, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{H}^1(A(h)) &= \mathbf{H}^1(B(h)) = h, \quad \mathbf{H}^2(B(h)) = \mathbf{H}^2(C(h)), \\
\mathbf{H}^3(C(h)) &= \mathbf{H}^3(E(h)), \quad \mathbf{H}^4(E(h)) = \mathbf{H}^4(A(h)).
\end{aligned}$$

Differentiating both sides of the above four equalities with respect to  $h$  yields

$$\overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A(h))} \left[ \overline{[DA(h)]^T} \right]^T = \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B(h))} \left[ \underline{[DB(h)]^T} \right]^T = I, \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{D\mathbf{H}^2(B(h))} \left[ \underline{[DB(h)]^T} \right]^T &= \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C(h))} \left[ \overline{[DC(h)]^T} \right]^T, \\
\overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C(h))} \left[ \overline{[DC(h)]^T} \right]^T &= \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E(h))} \left[ \underline{[DE(h)]^T} \right]^T, \\
\underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E(h))} \left[ \underline{[DE(h)]^T} \right]^T &= \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A(h))} \left[ \overline{[DA(h)]^T} \right]^T,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

where  $I$  is an  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  identity matrix. From (2.9), we have

$$\left[ \underline{[DB(h)]^T} \right]^T = \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B(h))} \right]^{-1}. \tag{2.11}$$

Hence, by (2.10) and (2.11), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[ \overline{[DA(h)]^T} \right]^T &= \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \\
&\quad \times \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^2(B)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B)} \right]^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Inserting (2.12) into (2.9) gives

$$\overline{D\mathbf{H}^1(A)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^4(A)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^4(E)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^3(E)} \right]^{-1} \overline{D\mathbf{H}^3(C)} \left[ \overline{D\mathbf{H}^2(C)} \right]^{-1} \underline{D\mathbf{H}^2(B)} \left[ \underline{D\mathbf{H}^1(B)} \right]^{-1} = I.$$

Therefore, (1.7) follows from (2.8) and the above equality. This ends the proof.  $\diamond$

Then, by using Theorem 1.1, we calculate the first order Melnikov vector function  $M(h, \delta)$  of a class of  $n$ -dimensional piecewise smooth differential systems having the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = H_{x_2}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) + \varepsilon P(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}, \delta), \\ \dot{x}_2 = -H_{x_1}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) + \varepsilon Q(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}, \delta), \\ \dot{\mathbf{y}} = \varepsilon R(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}, \delta), \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

where  $\mathbf{y} = (x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{n-2})^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\delta \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is a vector parameter with  $D$  compact and

$$\begin{aligned} H(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) &= \begin{cases} H^1, & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ H^2, & x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \\ H^3, & x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \\ H^4, & x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, \end{cases} \\ P(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}, \delta) &= \begin{cases} g_1^1, & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ g_1^2, & x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \\ g_1^3, & x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \\ g_1^4, & x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, \end{cases} \\ Q(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}, \delta) &= \begin{cases} g_2^1, & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ g_2^2, & x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \\ g_2^3, & x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \\ g_2^4, & x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, \end{cases} \\ R(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}, \delta) &= \begin{cases} (g_3^1, g_4^1, \dots, g_n^1)^T, & x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ (g_3^2, g_4^2, \dots, g_n^2)^T, & x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \\ (g_3^3, g_4^3, \dots, g_n^3)^T, & x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \\ (g_3^4, g_4^4, \dots, g_n^4)^T, & x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

with  $H^k, g_i^k (k = 1, 2, 3, 4; i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$   $C^\infty$  functions.

It is easy to verify that the unperturbed system of (2.13) has  $H, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n$  as its  $n - 1$  first integrals. The first two equations of (2.13) define a planar Hamiltonian

system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = H_{x_2}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}), \\ \dot{x}_2 = -H_{x_1}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) \end{cases} \quad (2.15)$$

with Hamiltonian function  $H(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y})$  containing  $n-2$  parameters  $x_i (i = 3, 4, \dots, n)$ . Now we make two basic assumptions **(H1)** and **(H2)** for system (2.13) corresponding to **(A1)**-**(A3)**.

**(H1)** For each  $\hat{h} \in G_1 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n-2}$  with  $G_1$  an open set, there is an open interval  $J$  dependent on  $\hat{h}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{AB}: L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1 &= \{(x_1, x_2) | H^1(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}) = h_1, x \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0\}, \\ \widehat{BC}: L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^2 &= \{(x_1, x_2) | H^2(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}) = H^2(B(h), \hat{h}), x > 0, x_2 < 0\}, \\ \widehat{CE}: L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^3 &= \{(x_1, x_2) | H^3(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}) = H^3(C(h), \hat{h}), x < 0, x_2 < 0\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\widehat{EA}: L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^4 = \{(x_1, x_2) | H^4(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}) = H^4(D(h), \hat{h}), x < 0, x_2 > 0\}$$

contain no critical points of system (2.15). System (2.15) has an orbital arc  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1$  starting from  $A(h)$  and ending at  $B(h)$ , an orbital arc  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^2$  starting from  $B(h)$  and ending at  $C(h)$ , an orbital arc  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^3$  starting from  $C(h)$  and ending at  $E(h)$  and an orbital arc  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^4$  starting from  $E(h)$  and ending at  $A(h)$ . Thus,  $L_h = L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1 \cup L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^2 \cup L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^3 \cup L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^4$  is a periodic orbit of (2.15).

**(H2)** The curves  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^k (k = 1, 2, 3, 4)$  are not tangent to  $x_2$ -axis (resp.  $x_1$ -axis) at points  $A(h)$  and  $C(h)$  (resp.  $B(h)$  and  $E(h)$ ). In other words, for each  $\hat{h} \in G_1$ ,  $h_1 \in J_{\hat{h}}$ ,

$$H_y^k(A(h), \hat{h}) H_y^k(C(h), \hat{h}) H_x^k(B(h), \hat{h}) H_x^k(E(h), \hat{h}) \neq 0, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Next, we will give the first order Melnikov vector function of system (2.13) by Theorem 1.1. For the sake of simplicity, we take  $H^1 = H^2 = H^3 = H^4 = H$  in (2.14). In this case, the coefficient matrices of the curvilinear integrals in (1.7) are identity matrices. That is,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{DH^1(A)} [\overline{DH^4(A)}]^{-1} \overline{DH^4(E)} [\overline{DH^3(E)}]^{-1} \overline{DH^3(C)} [\overline{DH^2(C)}]^{-1} &= I, \\ \overline{DH^1(A)} [\overline{DH^4(A)}]^{-1} \overline{DH^4(E)} [\overline{DH^3(E)}]^{-1} &= I, \\ \overline{DH^1(A)} [\overline{DH^4(A)}]^{-1} &= I. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** Assume that **(H1)** and **(H2)** are satisfied. Then the first order Melnikov vector function  $M(h, \delta)$  of system (2.13) can be written as

$$M(h, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^4 (M_1^k(h, \delta) + N_k(h, \delta)) \\ \sum_{k=1}^4 M_2^k(h, \delta) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^4 M_{n-1}^k(h, \delta) \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.17)$$

$$= (M_1(h, \delta), M_2(h, \delta), \dots, M_{n-1}(h, \delta))^T, \quad h = (h_1, \hat{h})^T,$$

where

$$M_1^k(h, \delta) = \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^k} g_2^k(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dx_1 - g_1^k(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dx_2,$$

$$N_k = \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^k} H_{x_{i+2}}(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) g_{i+2}^k(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dt, \quad (2.18)$$

$$M_j^k(h, \delta) = \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^k} g_{j+1}^k(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dt, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 2, \dots, n-1.$$

**Proof.** Denote

$$\mathbf{H}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) = (H, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)^T,$$

$$g^k(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) = (g_1^k, g_2^k, \dots, g_n^k)^T.$$

Then, by straightforward computation, one has for  $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$D\mathbf{H}(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) g^k(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} H_{x_1} & H_{x_2} & H_{x_3} & H_{x_4} & \dots & H_{x_n} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} g_1^k \\ g_2^k \\ g_3^k \\ \vdots \\ g_n^k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^n H_{x_i} g_i^k \\ g_3^k \\ g_4^k \\ \vdots \\ g_n^k \end{pmatrix}.$$

By substituting the above equality into (1.7) and in view of (2.16), we obtain (2.17). This completes the proof.  $\diamond$

Now, we give a lemma which provides an effective way to calculate  $M_2^k(h, \delta), M_3^k(h, \delta), \dots, M_{n-1}^k(h, \delta)$  in (2.18).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}_{j+1}^k(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) &= \int_0^{x_2} g_{j+1}^k(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dx_2, \\ \bar{M}_j^k(h, \delta) &= \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^k} \bar{R}_{j+1}^k(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dx_1.\end{aligned}$$

*Then, we obtain*

$$M_j^k(h, \delta) = \frac{\partial \bar{M}_j^k(h, \delta)}{\partial h_1}, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, 4; j = 2, 3, \dots, n-1.$$

**Proof.** By Lemma A.1 in Appendix, one gets for smooth functions  $p$  and  $q$

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{\partial \left( \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1} q(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dx_1 - p(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}, \delta) dx_2 \right)}{\partial h_1} \\ &= \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1} (p_x + q_y) dt + q(B(h), \hat{h}, \delta) \frac{\partial b(h)}{h_1} + p(A(h), \hat{h}, \delta) \frac{\partial a(h)}{\partial h_1}.\end{aligned}$$

Particularly, taking  $q = \bar{R}_{j+1}^1$  and  $p = 0$ , one has

$$\frac{\partial \bar{M}_j^1(h, \delta)}{\partial h_1} = \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1} (\bar{R}_{j+1}^1)_{x_2} dt + \bar{R}_{j+1}^1(B(h), \hat{h}, \delta).$$

Notice that

$$\bar{R}_{j+1}^1(B(h), \hat{h}, \delta) = \bar{R}_{j+1}^1(b(h), 0, \hat{h}, \delta) = 0,$$

we get the desired result. The others can be proved similarly. This completes the proof.  $\diamond$

**Remark 2.1.** If  $H(x_1, x_2, \mathbf{y})$  is independent of  $\mathbf{y}$ , then the first order Melnikov function in Theorem 2.1 can be written as

$$M(h, \delta) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^4 M_1^k(h, \delta) \\ \sum_{k=1}^4 M_2^k(h, \delta) \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k=1}^4 M_{n-1}^k(h, \delta) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.19)$$

### 3 An application to an $n$ -dimensional differential system

In this section, we estimate the number of periodic orbits of the  $n$ -dimensional piecewise smooth differential system (1.8). For  $\varepsilon = 0$ , system (1.8) has  $n - 1$  first integrals

$$H(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2), \quad x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n. \quad (3.1)$$

Apparently,  $(0, 0, \hat{h})$  is a linear center in the plane  $\mathbf{y} = \hat{h}$ , where

$$\mathbf{y} = (x_3, x_4, \dots, x_n)^T, \quad \hat{h} = (h_3, h_4, \dots, h_n)^T. \quad (3.2)$$

In the remainder of this section, we use the same notions as in the previous section. Thus,  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}} = L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1 \cup L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^2 \cup L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^3 \cup L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^4$  is a family of periodic orbits of the following differential system

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1, \end{cases} \quad \begin{matrix} x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \\ x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, \\ x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, \\ x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, \end{matrix} \quad \begin{cases} \dot{x}_1 = x_2, \\ \dot{x}_2 = -x_1, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{AB} : L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1 &= \{(x_1, x_2) | H(x_1, x_2) = h_1, x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, h_1 > 0\}, \\ \widehat{BC} : L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^2 &= \{(x_1, x_2) | H(x_1, x_2) = h_1, x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0, h_1 > 0\}, \\ \widehat{CE} : L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^3 &= \{(x_1, x_2) | H(x_1, x_2) = h_1, x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0, h_1 > 0\}, \\ \widehat{EA} : L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^4 &= \{(x_1, x_2) | H(x_1, x_2) = h_1, x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0, h_1 > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $H(x_1, x_2)$  in (3.1) is independent of  $\mathbf{y}$ , the first order Melnikov function  $M(h, \delta)$  of system (1.8) has the form of (2.19). We first calculate  $M_1(h) = \sum_{k=1}^4 M_1^k(h)$ , here  $h = (h_1, \hat{h})^T = (h_1, h_3, h_4, \dots, h_n)^T$ . Put

$$I_{k_1 k_2}^i(h_1) = \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^i} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_2, \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4.$$

Let  $O$  be the coordinate origin, by Green's formula two times, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1 &= \oint_{L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}} \cup \overrightarrow{BO} \cup \overrightarrow{OA}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1 - \int_{\overrightarrow{BO}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1 \\
&= -k_2 \iint_{\text{int}(L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}} \cup \overrightarrow{BO} \cup \overrightarrow{OA})} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2-1} dx_1 dx_2 - \int_{\overrightarrow{BO}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1, \\
\int_{L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}}} x_1^{k_1+1} x_2^{k_2-1} dx_2 &= \oint_{L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}} \cup \overrightarrow{BO} \cup \overrightarrow{OA}} x_1^{k_1+1} x_2^{k_2-1} dx_2 \\
&= (k_1 + 1) \iint_{\text{int}(L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}} \cup \overrightarrow{BO} \cup \overrightarrow{OA})} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2-1} dx_1 dx_2,
\end{aligned}$$

which follow directly

$$\int_{L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1 = \begin{cases} -\frac{k_2}{k_1+1} I^1_{k_1+1, k_2-1}(h_1), & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 1, \\ \frac{1}{k_1+1} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}}, & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

Similarly, one can get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{L^2_{h_1, \hat{h}}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1 &= \begin{cases} -\frac{k_2}{k_1+1} I^2_{k_1+1, k_2-1}(h_1), & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 1, \\ -\frac{1}{k_1+1} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}}, & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 = 0, \end{cases} \\
\int_{L^3_{h_1, \hat{h}}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1 &= \begin{cases} -\frac{k_2}{k_1+1} I^3_{k_1+1, k_2-1}(h_1), & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 1, \\ -\frac{(-1)^{k_1}}{k_1+1} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}}, & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 = 0, \end{cases} \\
\int_{L^4_{h_1, \hat{h}}} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_1 &= \begin{cases} -\frac{k_2}{k_1+1} I^4_{k_1+1, k_2-1}(h_1), & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 1, \\ \frac{(-1)^{k_1}}{k_1+1} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}}, & k_1 \geq 0, k_2 = 0. \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Thus, by (2.19), (3.4) and (3.5), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1(h) &= \int_{L^1_{h_1, \hat{h}}} g_2^1(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_1 - g_1^1(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_2 \\
&+ \int_{L^2_{h_1, \hat{h}}} g_2^2(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_1 - g_1^2(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_2 \\
&+ \int_{L^3_{h_1, \hat{h}}} g_2^3(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_1 - g_1^3(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_2 \\
&+ \int_{L^4_{h_1, \hat{h}}} g_2^4(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_1 - g_1^4(x_1, x_2, h_3, \dots, h_n) dx_2 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0 \\ k_2 \neq 0}}^m \frac{k_2}{k_1+1} a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1+1, k_2-1}^1 - \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1 k_2}^1 \\
&+ \sum_{k_1+k_3+\dots+k_n=0}^m \frac{1}{k_1+1} a_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0 \\ k_2 \neq 0}}^m \frac{k_2}{k_1+1} b_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1+1, k_2-1}^2 - \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m b_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1 k_2}^2 \\
&- \sum_{k_1+k_3+\dots+k_n=0}^m \frac{1}{k_1+1} b_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}} \tag{3.6} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0 \\ k_2 \neq 0}}^m \frac{k_2}{k_1+1} c_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1+1, k_2-1}^3 - \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m c_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1 k_2}^3 \\
&- \sum_{k_1+k_3+\dots+k_n=0}^m \frac{(-1)^{k_1}}{k_1+1} c_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0 \\ k_2 \neq 0}}^m \frac{k_2}{k_1+1} d_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1+1, k_2-1}^4 - \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m d_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1 k_2}^4 \\
&+ \sum_{k_1+k_3+\dots+k_n=0}^m \frac{(-1)^{k_1}}{k_1+1} d_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} (2h_1)^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}} \\
&:= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \left[ \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 I_{k_1, k_2}^1(h_1) + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 I_{k_1, k_2}^2(h_1) + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 I_{k_1, k_2}^3(h_1) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^4 I_{k_1, k_2}^4(h_1) \right] + \sum_{k_1+k_3+\dots+k_n=0}^m h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \beta_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n} h_1^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}},
\end{aligned}$$



where

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 &= \begin{cases} -a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 - \frac{k_2+1}{k_1} a_{k_1-1, k_2+1, k_3 \dots k_n}^2, & k_1 \neq 0, \\ -a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1, & k_1 = 0, \end{cases} \\
\alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 &= \begin{cases} -b_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 - \frac{k_2+1}{k_1} b_{k_1-1, k_2+1, k_3 \dots k_n}^2, & k_1 \neq 0, \\ -b_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1, & k_1 = 0, \end{cases} \\
\alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 &= \begin{cases} -c_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 - \frac{k_2+1}{k_1} c_{k_1-1, k_2+1, k_3 \dots k_n}^2, & k_1 \neq 0, \\ -c_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1, & k_1 = 0, \end{cases} \\
\alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^4 &= \begin{cases} -d_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 - \frac{k_2+1}{k_1} d_{k_1-1, k_2+1, k_3 \dots k_n}^2, & k_1 \neq 0, \\ -d_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1, & k_1 = 0, \end{cases} \\
\beta_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n} &= \frac{1}{k_1 + 1} 2^{\frac{k_1+1}{2}} [a_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2 - b_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2 - (-1)^{k_1} c_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2 \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k_1} d_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}^2].
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $\alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) and  $\beta_{k_1 0 k_3 \dots k_n}$  can be chosen arbitrarily.

**Lemma 3.1.**

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{k_1 k_2}^1(h_1) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{11} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^1(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{12} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^1(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ even}, \\ \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{13} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^1(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{14} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^1(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \\
I_{k_1 k_2}^2(h_1) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{21} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^2(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{22} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^2(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ even}, \\ \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{23} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^2(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{24} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^2(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \\
I_{k_1 k_2}^3(h_1) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{31} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^3(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{32} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^3(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ even}, \\ \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{33} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^3(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{34} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^3(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ odd}, \end{cases} \\
I_{k_1 k_2}^4(h_1) &= \begin{cases} \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{41} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^4(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{42} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^4(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ even}, \\ \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{43} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^4(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{44} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^4(h_1), & k_1 + k_2 \text{ odd}, \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

where  $\gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{ij}$  ( $i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) are constants.

**Proof.** We only prove the first equality in (3.7). The others can be shown similarly. Differentiating both sides of the following equation

$$H(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) = h_1 \tag{3.8}$$

with respect to  $x_2$ , one obtains

$$x_2 + x_1 \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial x_2} = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

Multiplying (3.9) by  $x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2-1} dx_2$ , integrating over  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1$  and noting that (3.4), one has

$$I_{k_1 k_2}^1(h_1) = \frac{k_2 - 1}{k_1 + 2} I_{k_1+2, k_2-2}^1(h_1), \quad k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 2. \quad (3.10)$$

Similarly, multiplying (3.8) by  $x_1^{k_1-2} x_2^{k_2} dx_2$  and integrating over  $L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1$  give

$$I_{k_1 k_2}^1(h_1) = 2h_1 I_{k_1-2, k_2}^1(h_1) - I_{k_1-2, k_2+2}^1(h_1), \quad k_1 \geq 2, k_2 \geq 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Eliminating  $I_{k_1+2, k_2-2}^1(h_1)$  and  $I_{k_1-2, k_2+2}^1(h_1)$  in (3.10) and (3.11) yields

$$I_{k_1 k_2}^1(h_1) = \frac{2k_1}{k_1 + k_2 + 1} h_1 I_{k_1-2, k_2}^1(h_1), \quad k_1 \geq 2, k_2 \geq 0 \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$I_{k_1 k_2}^1(h_1) = \frac{2(k_2 - 1)}{k_1 + k_2 + 1} h_1 I_{k_1, k_2-2}^1(h_1), \quad k_1 \geq 0, k_2 \geq 2. \quad (3.13)$$

Now we use mathematical induction to prove the first equality in (3.7). From (3.12) and (3.13), one can get

$$\begin{cases} I_{0,2}^1(h_1) = \frac{2}{3} h_1 I_{0,0}^1(h_1), & I_{2,0}^1(h_1) = \frac{4}{3} h_1 I_{0,0}^1(h_1), \\ I_{0,3}^1(h_1) = h_1 I_{0,1}^1(h_1), & I_{1,2}^1(h_1) = \frac{1}{2} h_1 I_{1,0}^1(h_1), \\ I_{2,1}^1(h_1) = h_1 I_{0,1}^1(h_1), & I_{3,0}^1(h_1) = \frac{3}{2} h_1 I_{1,0}^1(h_1), \end{cases} \quad (3.14)$$

which follow directly that the first equality in (3.7) holds for  $k_1 + k_2 = 2, 3$ . Suppose that the first equality in (3.7) holds when  $k_1 + k_2 \leq l - 1$ , where  $l \geq 4$  is an even number. Then, taking  $(k_1, k_2) = (0, l), (1, l-1), (2, l-2), \dots, (l-2, 2)$  in (3.13) and  $(k_1, k_2) = (l-1, 1), (l, 0)$  in (3.12), one gets

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{0,l}^1(h_1) \\ I_{1,l-1}^1(h_1) \\ I_{2,l-2}^1(h_1) \\ \vdots \\ I_{l-1,1}^1(h_1) \\ I_{l,0}^1(h_1) \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{l+1} \begin{pmatrix} (l-1)h_1 I_{0,l-2}^1(h_1) \\ (l-2)h_1 I_{1,l-3}^1(h_1) \\ (l-3)h_1 I_{2,l-4}^1(h_1) \\ \vdots \\ (l-1)h_1 I_{l-3,1}^1(h_1) \\ lh_1 I_{l-2,0}^1(h_1) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.15)$$

Hence, by (3.15), one has for  $k_1 + k_2 = l$

$$\begin{aligned} I_{k_1, k_2}(h_1) &= h_1 [\gamma_{k_1 k_2}^1 h_1^{\frac{l-2}{2}} I_{0,0}(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^2 h_1^{\frac{l-4}{2}} I_{0,0}(h_1)] \\ &= \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{11} h_1^{\frac{l}{2}} I_{0,0}(h_1) + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{12} h_1^{\frac{l-2}{2}} I_{0,0}(h_1). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to the above proof, if  $k_1 + k_2 = l$  is an odd number, we can prove that the second equality in (3.7) holds too. This ends the proof.  $\diamond$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $M_1(h)$  can be expressed as

$$M_1(h) = \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 h^{\frac{k_1+k_2+1}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \quad (3.16)$$

here  $\lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1$  is a constant.

**Proof.** By (3.6) and Lemma 3.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(h) &= \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0 \\ k_1+k_2=0 \bmod 2}}^m h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \left[ \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{11} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^1 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{12} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{21} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^2 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{22} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{31} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^3 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{32} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^3 \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^4 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{41} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} I_{00}^4 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{42} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-2}{2}} I_{11}^4 \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0 \\ k_1+k_2=1 \bmod 2}}^m h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \left[ \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{13} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^1 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{14} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^1 \right) \right. \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{23} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^2 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{24} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{33} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^3 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{34} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^3 \right) \\ &\quad \left. + \alpha_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^4 \left( \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{43} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{01}^4 + \gamma_{k_1 k_2}^{44} h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2-1}{2}} I_{10}^4 \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

By a straightforward calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_{00}^1 &= -\sqrt{2h_1}, \quad I_{10}^1 = -\frac{\pi}{2}h_1, \quad I_{01}^1 = -h_1, \quad I_{11}^1 = -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{2}h_1^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ I_{00}^2 &= -\sqrt{2h_1}, \quad I_{10}^2 = -\frac{\pi}{2}h_1, \quad I_{01}^2 = h_1, \quad I_{11}^2 = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{2}h_1^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ I_{00}^3 &= \sqrt{2h_1}, \quad I_{10}^3 = -\frac{\pi}{2}h_1, \quad I_{01}^3 = -h_1, \quad I_{11}^3 = \frac{2}{3}\sqrt{2}h_1^{\frac{3}{2}}, \\ I_{00}^4 &= \sqrt{2h_1}, \quad I_{10}^4 = -\frac{\pi}{2}h_1, \quad I_{01}^4 = h_1, \quad I_{11}^4 = -\frac{2}{3}\sqrt{2}h_1^{\frac{3}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, (3.16) follows from (3.17) and the above equalities. This ends the proof.  
 $\diamond$

Now, let's go back to calculate  $M_i(h) = \sum_{k=1}^4 M_i^k(h) (i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1)$  in (2.19). Their detailed expressions are given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.**  $M_i(h) (i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1)$  can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} M_2(h) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \\ M_3(h) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 h^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \\ &\dots \\ M_{n-1}(h) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^{n-1} h^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

where  $\lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^i (i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1)$  are constants.

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we only calculate  $M_2(h)$  and the others can be calculated similarly. For the sake of clarity, we use the notations given in Lemma 2.1. So we first compute  $\bar{R}_3^1(x_1, x_2, \hat{h})$  and  $\bar{M}_2^1(h)$ . It is easy to get that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{R}_3^1(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}) &= \int_0^{x_2} g_3^1(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}) dx_2 \\ &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \int_0^{x_2} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} dx_2 \\ &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \frac{1}{k_2+1} a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2+1}. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.4) and Lemma 3.1, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{M}_2^1(h) &= \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1} \bar{R}_3^1(x_1, x_2, \hat{h}) dx_1 \\ &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \frac{1}{k_2+1} a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \int_{L_{h_1, \hat{h}}^1} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2+1} dx_1 \\ &= - \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \frac{1}{k_1+1} a_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} I_{k_1+1, k_2}^1(h_1) \\ &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \bar{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2+2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2$  is a constant. Thus, by Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$M_2^1(h) = \frac{\partial \bar{M}_2^1(h)}{\partial h_1} = \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \bar{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}. \quad (3.19)$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} M_2^2(h) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \tilde{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \\ M_2^3(h) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \hat{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \\ M_2^4(h) &= \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \check{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_1^{\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

where  $\tilde{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2$ ,  $\hat{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2$  and  $\check{\lambda}_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2$  are constants. Therefore,  $M_2(h)$  in (3.18) follows from (2.19), (3.19) and (3.20). This completes the proof.  $\diamond$

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following result which was given by Han, Sun and Balanov in [10].

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n \in \mathbb{R}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  be real polynomials and assume that the map  $g = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  admits finitely many zeros. Then, the number of zeros of  $f$  is at most  $\deg f_1 \times \deg f_2 \times \dots \times \deg f_n$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** If  $m \geq 2$ , by Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3, one gets that the first order Melnikov vector function  $M(h)$  of system (1.8) has the form

$$M(h) = \begin{pmatrix} M_1(h) \\ M_2(h) \\ M_3(h) \\ \vdots \\ M_{n-1}(h) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} h_2 \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^1 h_2^{k_1+k_2} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \\ \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^2 h_2^{k_1+k_2} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \\ \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^3 h_2^{k_1+k_2} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{k_1+k_2+\dots+k_n=0}^m \lambda_{k_1 k_2 \dots k_n}^{n-1} h_2^{k_1+k_2} h_3^{k_3} \dots h_n^{k_n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.21)$$

where  $h_2 = \sqrt{h_1} > 0$ . Let  $M_1(h) = h_2 \tilde{M}_1(h)$ . Then  $\tilde{M}_1(h)$  is a polynomial of  $h = (h_2, h_3, \dots, h_n)^T$  with degree  $m$  and so are  $M_k(h)$  ( $k = 2, 3, \dots, n-1$ ). By Lemma 3.4, one has that  $M(h)$  has at most  $m^{n-1}$  zeros. Hence, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.1.

If  $m = 1$ , for the convenience of writing, we introduce a shorthand notation and rewrite system (1.8) as follows

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon(a_0^1 + a_1^1 x_1 + \cdots + a_n^1 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon(a_0^2 + a_1^2 x_1 + \cdots + a_n^2 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon(a_0^3 + a_1^3 x_1 + \cdots + a_n^3 x^n), \\
\cdots \\
\dot{x}_n = \varepsilon(a_0^n + a_1^n x_1 + \cdots + a_n^n x^n),
\end{cases} \quad x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0,$$

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon(b_0^1 + b_1^1 x_1 + \cdots + b_n^1 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon(b_0^2 + b_1^2 x_1 + \cdots + b_n^2 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon(b_0^3 + b_1^3 x_1 + \cdots + b_n^3 x^n), \\
\cdots \\
\dot{x}_n = \varepsilon(b_0^n + b_1^n x_1 + \cdots + b_n^n x^n),
\end{cases} \quad x_1 > 0, x_2 < 0,$$

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon(c_0^1 + c_1^1 x_1 + \cdots + c_n^1 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon(c_0^2 + c_1^2 x_1 + \cdots + c_n^2 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon(c_0^3 + c_1^3 x_1 + \cdots + c_n^3 x^n), \\
\cdots \\
\dot{x}_n = \varepsilon(c_0^n + c_1^n x_1 + \cdots + c_n^n x^n),
\end{cases} \quad x_1 < 0, x_2 < 0,$$

$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x}_1 = x_2 + \varepsilon(d_0^1 + d_1^1 x_1 + \cdots + d_n^1 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_2 = -x_1 + \varepsilon(d_0^2 + d_1^2 x_1 + \cdots + d_n^2 x^n), \\
\dot{x}_3 = \varepsilon(d_0^3 + d_1^3 x_1 + \cdots + d_n^3 x^n), \\
\cdots \\
\dot{x}_n = \varepsilon(d_0^n + d_1^n x_1 + \cdots + d_n^n x^n),
\end{cases} \quad x_1 < 0, x_2 > 0.$$
(3.22)

Similar to the above calculation, one can obtain the first order Melnikov function of system (3.22) as follows

$$M(h) = (M_1(h), M_2(h), \cdots, M_{n-1}(h))^T, \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1(h) &= \sqrt{h_1}(\rho_1^1 + \rho_2^1 \sqrt{h_1} + \rho_3^1 h_3 + \cdots + \rho_n^1 h_n), \\
M_2(h) &= \rho_1^2 + \rho_2^2 \sqrt{h_1} + \rho_3^2 h_3 + \cdots + \rho_n^2 h_n, \\
&\cdots \\
M_{n-1}(h) &= \rho_1^{n-1} + \rho_2^{n-1} \sqrt{h_1} + \rho_3^{n-1} h_3 + \cdots + \rho_n^{n-1} h_n,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_1^1 &= a_0^2 + a_0^1 - b_0^2 + b_0^1 - c_0^2 - c_0^1 + d_0^2 - d_0^1, \\
\rho_2^1 &= a_1^2 - b_1^2 + c_1^2 - d_1^2 + a_2^1 - b_2^1 + c_2^1 - d_2^1 \\
&\quad + \frac{\pi}{2}(a_2^2 - b_2^2 + c_2^2 - d_2^2 + a_1^1 + b_1^1 + c_1^1 + d_1^1), \\
\rho_k^1 &= \sqrt{2}(a_k^2 + a_k^1 - b_k^2 + b_k^1 - c_k^2 - c_k^1 + d_k^2 - d_k^1), \\
\rho_1^i &= \frac{\pi}{2}(a_0^{i+1} - b_0^{i+1} + c_0^i - d_0^{i+1}), \\
\rho_2^i &= \sqrt{2}(a_1^{i+1} + a_2^{i+1} + b_1^{i+1} - b_2^{i+1} - c_1^{i+1} - c_2^{i+1} - d_1^{i+1} + d_2^{i+1}), \\
\rho_k^i &= \frac{\pi}{2}(a_k^{i+1} - b_k^{i+1} + c_k^{i+1} - d_k^{i+1}), \\
k &= 3, 4, \dots, n; i = 2, 3, \dots, n-1.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that the coefficients  $\rho_j^i$  of  $M_i(h)$  in (3.23) can be chosen arbitrarily for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1; j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $a_j^i, b_j^i, c_j^i$  and  $d_j^i$  in (3.22) be zero except  $a_0^1 > 0, a_2^2 < 0, a_0^k$  and  $a_k^k (k = 3, \dots, n)$ . By Cramer's Rule, one can get that linear system of equations  $M(h) = 0$  has a unique root

$$X_0 = (\sqrt{h_1}, h_3, \dots, h_n) = \left( -\frac{a_0^1}{a_2^2}, -\frac{a_0^3}{a_3^3}, \dots, -\frac{a_0^n}{a_n^n} \right).$$

Further, one has

$$\det \frac{\partial(M_1(h), M_2(h), \dots, M_{n-1}(h))}{\partial(h_1, h_3, \dots, h_n)} \Big|_{X_0} = -\left(\frac{\pi}{2}\right)^{n-1} \frac{a_2^2}{2a_0^1} \prod_{k=2}^n a_k^k \neq 0.$$

Then, Theorem 1.1 gives the desired result. This ends the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\diamond$

### Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their sincere appreciation to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments. This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China(11701306), Construction of First-class Disciplines of Higher Education of Ningxia(Pedagogy)(NXYLXK2017B11), Ningxia Natural Science Foundation(2019AAC03247), Young Top-notch Talent of Ningxia and Key Program of Ningxia Normal University(NXSFZDA1901).

### References

- [1] A. Buica, J. Llibre, Averaging methods for finding periodic orbits via Brouwer degree, Bull. Sci. math. 128 (2004) 7–22.

- [2] C. Cardin, T. Carvalho, J. Llibre, Bifurcation of limit cycles from an  $n$ -dimensional linear center inside a class of piecewise linear differential systems, *Nonlinear Analysis* 75 (2012) 143–152.
- [3] V. Carmona, S. Fernández-García, E. Freire, Periodic orbits and invariant cones in three-dimensional piecewise linear systems, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems* 35 (2015) 59–72.
- [4] X. Cen, C. Liu, L. Yang, M. Zhang, Limit cycles by perturbing quadratic isochronous centers inside piecewise polynomial differential systems, *J. Differential Equations* 265 (2018) 6083–6126.
- [5] L. Guo, P. Yu, Y. Chen, Bifurcation analysis on a class of three-dimensional quadratic systems with twelve limit cycles, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 363 (2019) 124577.
- [6] M. Han, *Bifurcation theory of limit cycles*, Science Press, Beijing, 2013.
- [7] M. Han, On the maximum number of periodic solutions of piecewise smooth periodic equations by average method, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* 7 (2017) 788–794.
- [8] M. Han, K. Jiang, D. Green, Bifurcations of periodic orbits, subharmonic solutions and invariant Tori of high-dimensional systems, *Nonlinear Analysis* 36 (1999) 319–329.
- [9] M. Han, L. Sheng, Bifurcation of limit cycles in piecewise smooth systems via Melnikov function, *J. Appl. Anal. Comput.* 5 (2015) 809–815.
- [10] M. Han, H. Sun, Z. Balanov, Upper estimates for the number of periodic solutions to multi-dimensional systems, *J. Differential Equations* 266 (2019) 8281–8293.
- [11] E. Horozov, I. Iliev, Linear estimate for the number of zeros of Abelian integrals with cubic Hamiltonians, *Nonlinearity* 11 (1998) 1521–1537.
- [12] N. Hu, Z. Du, Bifurcation of periodic orbits emanated from a vertex in discontinuous planar systems, *Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simulat.* 18 (2013) 3436–3448.
- [13] S. Li, C. Liu, A linear estimate of the number of limit cycles for some planar piecewise smooth quadratic differential system, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 428 (2015) 1354–1367.



- [14] C. Li, Z. Ma, Y. Zhou, Periodic orbits in 3-dimensional systems and application to a perturbed Volterra system, *J. Differential Equations* 260 (2016) 2750–2762.
- [15] F. Liang, M. Han, V. Romanovski, Bifurcation of limit cycles by perturbing a piecewise linear Hamiltonian system with a homoclinic loop, *Nonlinear Anal.* 75 (2012) 4355–4374.
- [16] X. Liu, M. Han, Bifurcation of limit cycles by perturbing piecewise Hamiltonian systems, *Internat. J. Bifur. Chaos Appl. Sci. Engrg*, 20 (2010) 1379–1390.
- [17] J. Llibre, M. Teixeira, I. Zeli, Birth of limit cycles for a class of continuous and discontinuous differential systems in  $(d + 2)$ -dimension, *Dynamical Systems*, 31(3) (2016) 237–250.
- [18] J. Llibre, A. Mereu, D. Novaes, Averaging theory for discontinuous piecewise differential systems, *J. Differential Equations* 258 (2015) 4007–4032.
- [19] X. Sun, P. Yu, Exact bound on the number of zeros of Abelian integrals for two hyper-elliptic Hamiltonian systems of degree 4, *J. Differential Equations* 267 (2019) 7369–7384.
- [20] Y. Tian, P. Yu, Bifurcation of small limit cycles in cubic integrable systems using higher-order analysis, *J. Differential Equations* 264 (2018) 5950–5976.
- [21] H. Tian, M. Han, Bifurcation of periodic orbits by perturbing high-dimensional piecewise smooth integrable systems, *J. Differential Equations*, 263 (2017) 7448–7474.
- [22] L. Xie, Study on the geometrical properties and existence of orbit homoclinic to a saddle point in  $n$ -dimensional autonomous vector field with polynomials, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos*, 28(14) (2018) 1850169(7pages).
- [23] S. Xie, M. Han, X. Zhao, Bifurcation of periodic orbits of a three-dimensional piecewise smooth system, *Qual. Theory Dyn. Syst.* 18(3) (2019) 1077–1112.
- [24] Y. Xiong, Limit cycle bifurcations by perturbing non-smooth Hamiltonian systems with 4 switching lines via multiple parameters, *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications* 41 (2018) 384–400.
- [25] Y. Xiong, Limit cycle bifurcations by perturbing a piecewise Hamiltonian system with a double homoclinic loop, *International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos* 26(6) (2016) 1650103 (16 pages).

- [26] Y. Xiong, J. Hu, Limit cycle bifurcations in perturbations of planar piecewise smooth systems with multiply lines of critical points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 474 (2019) 194–218.
- [27] Y. Wang, M. Han, D. Constantinescu, On the limit cycles of perturbed discontinuous planar systems with 4 switching lines, *Chaos Solitons Fractals*, 83 (2016) 158–177.
- [28] J. Yang, P. Yu, M. Han, Limit cycle bifurcations near a double homoclinic loop with a nilpotent saddle of order  $m$ , *J. Differential Equations* 266 (2019) 455–492.
- [29] J. Yang, L. Zhao, Limit cycle bifurcations for piecewise smooth integrable differential systems, *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B* 22 (2017) 2417–2425.
- [30] J. Yang, L. Zhao, Bounding the number of limit cycles of discontinuous differential systems by using Picard-Fuchs equations, *J. Differential Equations* 264 (2018) 5734–5757.

## A Appendix

Consider a piecewise smooth near-Hamiltonian system of the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = H_y(x, y) + \varepsilon p(x, y, \delta), \\ \dot{y} = -H_x(x, y) + \varepsilon q(x, y, \delta), \end{cases} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where  $0 < |\varepsilon| \ll 1$ ,

$$(H(x, y), p(x, y, \delta), q(x, y, \delta)) = \begin{cases} (H^1(x, y), p^1(x, y, \delta), q^1(x, y, \delta)), & x \geq 0, y \geq 0, \\ (H^2(x, y), p^2(x, y, \delta), q^2(x, y, \delta)), & x > 0, y < 0, \\ (H^3(x, y), p^3(x, y, \delta), q^3(x, y, \delta)), & x < 0, y < 0, \\ (H^4(x, y), p^4(x, y, \delta), q^4(x, y, \delta)), & x < 0, y > 0 \end{cases}$$

and  $H^i(x, y), p^i(x, y, \delta), q^i(x, y, \delta) \in C^\infty$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ,  $\delta \in D \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $D$  compact. For an open interval  $\Sigma$ , we suppose that system (A.1) has a family of closed orbits  $\{L_h | h \in \Sigma\}$  around the origin with a clockwise orientation and each closed orbit  $L_h$  intersects  $x$ -axis (resp.  $y$ -axis) at two different points  $A_1(h) = (0, a_1(h))$  and  $A_3(h) = (0, a_3(h))$  (resp.  $A_2(h) = (a_2(h), 0)$  and  $A_4(h) = (a_4(h), 0)$ ) with  $a_1(h) > 0 > a_3(h)$  and  $a_2(h) > 0 > a_4(h)$ , see Fig. 3.

Then, from [12, 27], one knows that the first order Melnikov function of system (A.1) is

$$\begin{aligned} M(h) = & \int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} q^1 dx - p^1 dy + \frac{H_y^1(A_1) H_y^3(A_3) H_x^4(A_4)}{H_y^4(A_1) H_y^2(A_3) H_x^3(A_4)} \int_{\widehat{A_2 A_3}} q^2 dx - p^2 dy \\ & + \frac{H_y^1(A_1) H_x^4(A_4)}{H_y^4(A_1) H_x^3(A_4)} \int_{\widehat{A_3 A_4}} q^3 dx - p^3 dy + \frac{H_y^1(A_1)}{H_y^4(A_1)} \int_{\widehat{A_4 A_1}} q^4 dx - p^4 dy, \quad h \in \Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{A_1 A_2} &= \{(x, y) | H^1(x, y) = h, x \geq 0, y \geq 0\}, \\ \widehat{A_2 A_3} &= \{(x, y) | H^2(x, y) = H^2(A_2), x > 0, y < 0\}, \\ \widehat{A_3 A_4} &= \{(x, y) | H^3(x, y) = H^3(A_3), x < 0, y < 0\}, \\ \widehat{A_4 A_1} &= \{(x, y) | H^4(x, y) = H^4(A_4), x < 0, y > 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1(h, \delta) &= \int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} q^1(x, y, \delta) dx - p^1(x, y, \delta) dy, \\
M_2(h, \delta) &= \int_{\widehat{A_2 A_3}} q^2(x, y, \delta) dx - p^2(x, y, \delta) dy, \\
M_3(h, \delta) &= \int_{\widehat{A_3 A_4}} q^3(x, y, \delta) dx - p^3(x, y, \delta) dy, \\
M_4(h, \delta) &= \int_{\widehat{A_4 A_1}} q^4(x, y, \delta) dx - p^4(x, y, \delta) dy.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

Then, one has the following lemma for proving the Lemma 2.1 in Section 2.

**Lemma A.1.** For the integrals  $M_k(h, \delta)$  ( $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) in (A.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial M_1(h, \delta)}{\partial h} &= \int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} (p_x^1 + q_y^1) dt + p^1(0, a_1(h), \delta) a_1'(h) + q^1(a_2(h), 0, \delta) a_2'(h), \\
\frac{\partial M_2(h, \delta)}{\partial h} &= \int_{\widehat{A_2 A_3}} (p_x^2 + q_y^2) dt H_y^2(A_2) a_2'(h) - p^2(0, a_3(h), \delta) a_3'(h) - q^2(a_2(h), 0, \delta) a_2'(h), \\
\frac{\partial M_3(h, \delta)}{\partial h} &= \int_{\widehat{A_3 A_4}} (p_x^3 + q_y^3) dt H_y^3(A_3) a_3'(h) + p^3(0, a_3(h), \delta) a_3'(h) + q^3(a_4(h), 0, \delta) a_4'(h), \\
\frac{\partial M_4(h, \delta)}{\partial h} &= \int_{\widehat{A_4 A_1}} (p_x^4 + q_y^4) dt H_y^4(A_4) a_4'(h) - p^4(0, a_1(h), \delta) a_1'(h) - q^4(a_4(h), 0, \delta) a_4'(h).
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** We only prove the first equality and the others can be proved similarly. By Green's formula, one has

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1(h, \delta) &= \oint_{\widehat{A_1 A_2} \cup \overrightarrow{A_2 O} \cup \overrightarrow{O A_1}} q^1(x, y, \delta) dx - p^1(x, y, \delta) dy \\
&\quad - \int_{\overrightarrow{A_2 O}} q^1(x, 0, \delta) dx + \int_{\overrightarrow{O A_1}} p^1(0, y, \delta) dy, \\
&= \iint_{\text{int}(\widehat{A_1 A_2} \cup \overrightarrow{A_2 O} \cup \overrightarrow{O A_1})} [p_x^1(x, y, \delta) + q_y^1(x, y, \delta)] dx dy \\
&\quad - \int_{\overrightarrow{A_2 O}} q^1(x, 0, \delta) dx + \int_{\overrightarrow{O A_1}} p^1(0, y, \delta) dy, \\
&= \oint_{\widehat{A_1 A_2} \cup \overrightarrow{A_2 O} \cup \overrightarrow{O A_1}} \tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) dx - \int_{\overrightarrow{A_2 O}} q^1(x, 0, \delta) dx + \int_{\overrightarrow{O A_1}} p^1(0, y, \delta) dy,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) = \int_0^y [p_x^1(x, s, \delta) + q_y^1(x, s, \delta)] ds.$$

In view of

$$\int_{\overrightarrow{A_2 O}} \tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) dx = \int_{\overrightarrow{O A_1}} \tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) dy = 0,$$

one obtains

$$M_1(h, \delta) = \oint_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} \tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) dx - \int_{\widehat{A_2 O}} q^1(x, 0, \delta) dx + \int_{\widehat{O A_1}} p^1(0, y, \delta) dy.$$

Without loss of generality, suppose that there exists only one point  $B(h) = (b(h), \tilde{b}(h))$  on the curve  $\widehat{A_1 A_2}$  such that  $H_y^1(B(h)) \neq 0$ ,  $H_x^1(B(h)) = 0$ . Then, the curve  $\widehat{A_1 B}$  can be expressed as  $y = y_1(x, h)$  and the curve  $\widehat{B A_2}$  can be expressed as  $y = y_2(x, h)$  for  $0 < x < c(h)$ , see Fig. 4. Then, one has

$$\int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} \tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) dx = \int_0^{c(h)} \tilde{q}^1(x, y_1(x, h), \delta) dx + \int_{c(h)}^{a_2(h)} \tilde{q}^1(x, y_2(x, h), \delta) dx,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dh} \left( \int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} \tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) dx \right) &= \int_0^{c(h)} \tilde{q}_y^1(x, y_1(x, h), \delta) \frac{\partial y_1}{\partial h} dx + \int_{c(h)}^{a_2(h)} \tilde{q}_y^1(x, y_2(x, h), \delta) \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial h} dx \\ &= \int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} \tilde{q}_y^1(x, y, \delta) \frac{\partial y}{\partial h} dx. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, along  $\widehat{A_1 A_2}$ , one gets  $H_y^1 \frac{\partial y}{\partial h} = 1$  and  $\frac{dx}{dt} = H_y^1$ . Hence,

$$\frac{d}{dh} \left( \int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} \tilde{q}^1(x, y, \delta) dx \right) = \int_{\widehat{A_1 A_2}} (p_x^1 + q_y^1) dt.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dh} \left( \int_{\widehat{A_2 O}} q^1(x, 0, \delta) dx \right) &= \frac{d}{dh} \left( \int_{a_2(h)}^0 q^1(x, 0, \delta) dx \right) = -q^1(a_2(h), 0, \delta) a_2'(h), \\ \frac{d}{dh} \left( \int_{\widehat{O A_1}} p^1(0, y, \delta) dy \right) &= \frac{d}{dh} \left( \int_0^{a_1(h)} p^1(0, y, \delta) dy \right) = p^1(0, a_1(h), \delta) a_1'(h), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the desired conclusion. This ends the proof.  $\diamond$