

# FROM BIPOLAR EULER-POISSON SYSTEM TO UNIPOLAR EULER-POISSON SYSTEM IN THE PERSPECTIVE OF MASS

SHUAI XI<sup>1</sup>, LIANG ZHAO<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Mathematics and Systems Science,  
Shandong University of Science and Technology, Qingdao 266590, P. R. China

<sup>2</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Shanghai Jiao Tong University  
Shanghai 200240, P. R. China

**Abstract.** The main purpose of this paper is to provide an effective procedure to study rigorously the relationship between unipolar and bipolar Euler-Poisson system in the perspective of mass. Based on the fact that the mass of an electron is far less than that of an ion, we amplify this property by letting  $m_e/m_i \rightarrow 0$  and using two different singular limits to illustrate it, which are the zero-electron mass limit and the infinity-ion mass limit. We use the method of asymptotic expansions to handle the problem and find that the limiting process from bipolar to unipolar systems is actually the process of decoupling, but not the vanishing of equations of the corresponding the other particle.

**Keywords:** Euler-Poisson system; zero-electron mass limit; infinity-ion mass limit; unipolar; bipolar.

**AMS Subject Classification (2010) :** 35B40, 35L60, 35Q35

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper, we mainly discuss the fundamental relationship between the unipolar and bipolar system in the perspective of mass based on the famous Euler-Poisson system. As the limiting system of the non-relativistic limit for the Euler-Maxwell system (See [15, 22] and other limiting problems [16, 17, 18]), Euler-Poisson system plays an important role in describing the motions of charged fluids (ions and electrons) in semi-conductors or plasmas when the effect of the magnetic field is weak. We consider an un-magnetized plasma consisting of electrons with charge  $-1$  and ions with charge  $+1$ . More specifically, the scaled Euler-Poisson system in the  $d$  dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be described as, with  $e$  standing for

the electrons and  $i$  the ions,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e + \operatorname{div}(n_e u_e) = 0, \\ m_e \partial_t (n_e u_e) + m_e \operatorname{div}(n_e u_e \otimes u_e) + \nabla p_e(n_e) = n_e \nabla \phi, \\ \partial_t n_i + \operatorname{div}(n_i u_i) = 0, \\ m_i \partial_t (n_i u_i) + m_i \operatorname{div}(n_i u_i \otimes u_i) + \nabla p_i(n_i) = -n_i \nabla \phi, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi = n_i - n_e, \\ t = 0 : (n_\nu, u_\nu) = (n_{\nu,0}, u_{\nu,0}), \quad \nu = e, i, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

here for  $\nu = e, i$ ,  $n_\nu$  stand for the particle density and  $u_\nu$  the average velocity of ions and electrons respectively,  $\phi$  is the scaled electric potential. All of these are functions of the position  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and the time  $t > 0$ . The pressure functions  $p_\nu(n_\nu)$  are supposed to be smooth and strictly increasing for all  $n_\nu > 0$ . Usually, they are of the form

$$p_\nu(n_\nu) = a_\nu^2 n_\nu^{\varepsilon_\nu}, \quad \nu = e, i,$$

where  $\varepsilon_\nu \geq 1$  and  $a_\nu > 0$  are constants. The fluid is isothermal if  $\varepsilon_\nu = 1$  and adiabatic if  $\varepsilon_\nu > 1$ . The parameters  $m_\nu$  stand for the mass of an electron and an ion respectively and  $\lambda > 0$  is the scaled Debye length. For details of the scaling and physical background of the model, we refer to [3, 6, 4, 19] and the references therein. In order to make  $\phi$  uniquely determined, we add a restriction condition

$$\phi(x) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{when} \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty.$$

Physicists believe that the ions can be regarded as background when studying the equations of electrons because of the huge mass difference between them. That is to say, the unipolar model for electrons was formerly derived from the bipolar model by assuming that the mass of electrons can be neglected compared to that of ions. On the other hand, the unipolar model for electrons can also be formally derived based on similar assumptions and simplifications. However, this kind of simplifications lack rigorous proof. To study this, we amplify the difference between the mass of ions and electrons by letting  $m_e/m_i \rightarrow 0$  and use two different singular limits to illustrate it, which are the zero-electron mass limit and the infinity-ion mass limit. We will prove that the unipolar models are indeed the simplification of the bipolar models.

As is mentioned above, the study of the limit  $m_e/m_i \rightarrow 0$  consists of two natural ways. One is to let  $m_i = 1$  and  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ , which is the known-to-all **zero-electron mass limit**. The limit is based on the assumption that  $m_e$  can be ignored when  $m_i$  is fixed. Letting

$m_e \rightarrow 0$  and  $m_i = 1$  in (1.1), formally we get the system for ions

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i + \operatorname{div}(n_i u_i) = 0, \\ \partial_t (n_i u_i) + \operatorname{div}(n_i u_i \otimes u_i) + \nabla p_i(n_i) = -n_i \nabla \phi, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi = n_i - n_e, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

and the system for electrons

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e + \operatorname{div}(n_e u_e) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_e + (u_e \cdot \nabla) u_e + \nabla P_e = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $P_e$  is a function of  $n_e$  and  $u_e$ . At the same time, we can also obtain the Maxwell-Boltzmann relationship [12],

$$\nabla p_e(n_e) = n_e \nabla \phi,$$

which is used to replace  $n_e$  in (1.2), leading to the solvability of (1.2) (see the details in Section 2). We then take back  $n_e$  into (1.3) to solve for  $u_e$  and  $P_e$ , which yields the formal limiting equations for the electrons and success in decoupling. Thus we get the unipolar model of ions (1.2) from the bipolar model (1.1).

Another way is to consider just the opposite, we set  $m_e = 1$  and  $m_i \rightarrow \infty$ . It is based on the fact that  $m_i$  turns to infinity when  $m_e$  is fixed. We call it the **infinity-ion mass limit**. We let  $m_e = 1$  and  $m_i \rightarrow +\infty$  in (1.1), which yields the formal limit system for electrons

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e + \operatorname{div}(n_e u_e) = 0, \\ \partial_t (n_e u_e) + \operatorname{div}(n_e u_e \otimes u_e) + \nabla p_i(n_e) = n_e \nabla \phi, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi = n_i - n_e, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

and the system for ions

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i + \operatorname{div}(n_i u_i) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_i + (u_i \cdot \nabla) u_i = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

It is easy to get the local existence of smooth solutions to (1.5) by the energy method, then we substitute  $n_i$  we have solved in (1.5) into (1.4). The solvability of (1.4) is guaranteed by Lax[10] and Kato[8]. Thus, the decoupling is successful. That is to say we get the unipolar model of electrons (1.4) from the bipolar model (1.1). The details of the formal asymptotic analysis can be found in Section 2.

The main purpose of this paper is to provide an effective procedure to study rigorously the relationship between unipolar and bipolar systems in the perspective of mass. As to the zero-electron mass limit, many former works have been done for the unipolar Euler-Poisson system for electrons (see [1, 2, 7, 20, 21]). Due to the complex structure of the bipolar Euler-Poisson system, few results have been obtained by now for the bipolar case. See [5]

for the one-dimensional case in a bounded domain and [11] for the quasi-neutral limit. For the unipolar cases, authors tended to believe that when letting  $m_e \rightarrow 0$ , the equations of ions stay the same (see (1.2)), so it is rational to ignore the limiting process of the equations of the ions, and put emphasis on the equations of electrons. This is a misunderstanding. Although the equations for ions (1.2) looks the same as those of ions in (1.1), the value of  $u_i$  and  $n_i$  are different, which actually are dependent on the parameter  $\varepsilon \triangleq \sqrt{m_e/m_i}$ . Thus, the system for ions (1.2) is only invariant in forms. It is improper to just ignore the effect of the ions, and only do the asymptotic analysis to the equations of electrons when considering the two-fluid model. Thus, the limit process from bipolar to unipolar system is actually the process of decoupling, but not the vanishing of equations of the corresponding the other particle.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some basic lemmas and give the formal asymptotic analysis as well as the error estimates. The main results of this paper are Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, which are stated at the end of each subsections in Section 2. Section 3 and Section 4 are devoted to the detailed proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 in the sense of the zero-electron mass limit and the infinity-ion mass limit, respectively.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES AND MAIN RESULTS

**2.1. Notations and inequalities.** In the following, we denote by  $C$  a generic positive constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . For a multi-index  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$  and  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d) \in \mathbb{N}^d$ ,  $\beta < \alpha$  stands for  $\beta \neq \alpha$  and  $\beta_j \leq \alpha_j$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, d$ . For a multi-index  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$ , we denote

$$\partial_x^\alpha = \frac{\partial^{|\alpha|}}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}} \quad \text{with} \quad |\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_d.$$

We denote by  $\|\cdot\|_s$ ,  $\|\cdot\|$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  the norm of the usual Sobolev spaces  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , respectively. Moreover, we denote for simplicity  $H^0 = L^2$ . The inner product in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Throughout the paper, we denote  $\nu = e, i$ , and

$$\varepsilon = \sqrt{\frac{m_e}{m_i}}.$$

We first give several inequalities that will be used in the later proof.

**Lemma 2.1.** (*Moser-type calculus inequalities, see [9] and [14] ). Let  $s \geq 1$  be an integer. Suppose  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\nabla u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $v \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s$  and all smooth function  $f$ , we have  $\partial_x^\alpha(uv) - u\partial_x^\alpha v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $\partial_x^\alpha f(u) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha(uv) - u\partial_x^\alpha v\| &\leq C_s (\|\nabla u\|_\infty \|\nabla^{|\alpha|-1} v\| + \|\nabla^{|\alpha|} u\| \|v\|_\infty), \\ \|\partial_x^\alpha f(u)\| &\leq C_\infty (\|\nabla u\|_\infty + 1)^{|\alpha|-1} \|\nabla^{|\alpha|} u\|, \end{aligned}$$

where the constant  $C_\infty > 0$  depends on  $\|u\|_\infty$  and  $s$ , and  $C_s > 0$  is a generic constant which depends only on  $s$ . Moreover, if  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$ , then the embedding  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d) \hookrightarrow W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is continuous and thus we have

$$\|\partial_x^\alpha(uv) - u\partial_x^\alpha v\| \leq C_s \|\nabla u\|_{s-1} \|v\|_{s-1}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 2$  be an integer. For all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $2 \leq |\alpha| \leq s$ , if  $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $v \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^\alpha(uv) - u\partial_x^\alpha v - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \partial_{x_i} u \partial_x^{\alpha-i} v\| &\leq C_s (\|\nabla^2 u\|_\infty \|\nabla^{|\alpha|-2} v\| + \|\nabla^{|\alpha|} u\| \|v\|_\infty) \\ &\leq C_s \|\nabla^2 u\|_{s-2} \|v\|_{s-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha^i \in \mathbb{N}^d$  is a multi-index and  $\partial_{x_i} \partial_x^{\alpha^i} = \partial_x^\alpha$ . Term  $\sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \partial_{x_i} u \partial_x^{\alpha^i} v$  denotes all the terms related to the first order derivatives of  $u$  by using the Leibniz Formula.

**Lemma 2.3.** *For any smooth vector-valued function  $u$  and scalar function  $\Phi$ , we have*

$$|\langle u \Delta \Phi, \nabla \Phi \rangle| \leq C \|\nabla u\|_\infty \|\nabla \Phi\|^2,$$

where the constant  $C > 0$  is independent of  $u$  and  $\Phi$ .

In order to simplify the later proof, we introduce the enthalpy function, defined as

$$h'_\nu(n) = \frac{p'_\nu(n)}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad h_\nu(1) = 0.$$

Then for  $n_\nu^{m_e, m_i} > 0$ , system (1.1) can be rewritten into

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e^{m_e, m_i} + \operatorname{div}(n_e^{m_e, m_i} u_e^{m_e, m_i}) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_e^{m_e, m_i} + (u_e^{m_e, m_i} \cdot \nabla) u_e^{m_e, m_i} + \frac{\nabla h_e(n_e^{m_e, m_i})}{m_e} = \frac{\nabla \phi^{m_e, m_i}}{m_e}, \\ \partial_t n_i^{m_e, m_i} + \operatorname{div}(n_i^{m_e, m_i} u_i^{m_e, m_i}) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_i^{m_e, m_i} + (u_i^{m_e, m_i} \cdot \nabla) u_i^{m_e, m_i} + \frac{\nabla h_i(n_i^{m_e, m_i})}{m_i} = -\frac{\nabla \phi^{m_e, m_i}}{m_i}, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^{m_e, m_i} = n_i^{m_e, m_i} - n_e^{m_e, m_i}, \\ t = 0 : (n_\nu^{m_e, m_i}, u_\nu^{m_e, m_i}) = (n_{\nu,0}^{m_e, m_i}, u_{\nu,0}^{m_e, m_i}), \quad \nu = e, i, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where the initial data of  $\phi^{m_e, m_i}$ , i.e.  $\phi_0^{m_e, m_i}$ , is defined by

$$-\lambda^2 \Delta \phi_0^{m_e, m_i} = n_{i,0}^{m_e, m_i} - n_{e,0}^{m_e, m_i}.$$

The next result concerns the local existence of smooth solutions which can be easily obtained by employing the theory of Lax[10] and Kato[8] for the symmetrizable hyperbolic system.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$  be an integer and  $(n_{\nu,0}^{m_e,m_i}, u_{\nu,0}^{m_e,m_i}) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $n_{\nu,0}^{m_e,m_i} \geq 2\underline{n}$  for some given constant  $\underline{n} > 0$ , independent of  $m_e$  and  $m_i$ . Then there exists  $T_1^{m_e,m_i} > 0$  such that the Cauchy problem (2.1) has a unique smooth solution  $(n_\nu^{m_e,m_i}, u_\nu^{m_e,m_i}, \phi^{m_e,m_i})$  defined on the time interval  $[0, T_1^{m_e,m_i}]$ , satisfying  $n^{m_e,m_i} \geq \underline{n}$  and*

$$\begin{aligned} (n_\nu^{m_e,m_i}, u_\nu^{m_e,m_i}) &\in C([0, T_1^{m_e,m_i}]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T_1^{m_e,m_i}]; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)), \\ \phi^{m_e,m_i} &\in C([0, T_1^{m_e,m_i}]; H^{s+1}(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1([0, T_1^{m_e,m_i}]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)). \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2. Asymptotic analysis for zero-electron mass limit ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, m_i = 1$ ).

2.2.1. *Formal expansion.* As to the zero-electron mass limit, by setting  $m_i = 1$ , we look for an approximation of solution  $(n_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, u_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, \phi^{\varepsilon,1})$  to (2.1) in the form of power series with respect to the small parameter  $\varepsilon$ . In this subsection, we denote the integer  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 2$ . Assume that the initial data of  $(n_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, u_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, \phi^{\varepsilon,1})$  admit an asymptotic expansion with respect to  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$(n_{\nu,0}^{\varepsilon,1}, u_{\nu,0}^{\varepsilon,1}, \phi_0^{\varepsilon,1})(x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2j} (\bar{n}_\nu^{e,j}, \bar{u}_\nu^{e,j}, \bar{\phi}^{e,j})(x), \quad \nu = e, i,$$

where  $(\bar{n}_\nu^{e,j}, \bar{u}_\nu^{e,j}, \bar{\phi}^{e,j})_{j \geq 0}$  are sufficiently smooth. We further assume the following ansatz:

$$(n_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, u_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, \phi^{\varepsilon,1})(t, x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2j} (n_\nu^{e,j}, u_\nu^{e,j}, \phi^{e,j})(t, x). \quad (2.2)$$

In what follows, we use a formal expansion formula, which is obtained by the Taylor's formula

$$h_\nu \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2j} n_\nu^{e,j} \right) = h_\nu(n_\nu^{e,0}) + h'_\nu(n_\nu^{e,0}) \sum_{j \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2j} n_\nu^{e,j} + \sum_{j \geq 2} \varepsilon^{2j} h_\nu^{e,j-1} \left( (n_\nu^{e,k})_{k \leq j-1} \right),$$

where  $\{h_\nu^{e,j}\}_{j \geq 1}$  are smooth functions depending only on  $h_\nu$  and  $(n_\nu^{e,k})_{k \leq j}$ . We also denote  $h_\nu^{e,0} = 0$ . For simplicity, from now on, we denote  $(n_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, u_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, \phi^{\varepsilon,1})$ ,  $(n_\nu^{e,j}, u_\nu^{e,j}, \phi^{e,j})_{j \geq 0}$ ,  $(\bar{n}_\nu^{e,j}, \bar{u}_\nu^{e,j}, \bar{\phi}^{e,j})_{j \geq 0}$  and  $\{h_\nu^{e,j}\}_{j \geq 0}$  by  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$ ,  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{j \geq 0}$ ,  $(\bar{n}_\nu^j, \bar{u}_\nu^j, \bar{\phi}^j)_{j \geq 0}$  and  $\{h_\nu^j\}_{j \geq 0}$  respectively in the section of zero-electron mass limit. Substituting the expansions (2.2) into system (2.1) and comparing the coefficients before each order of  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain

(1) The leading profiles  $(n_\nu^0, u_\nu^0, \phi^0)$  satisfy the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e^0 + \operatorname{div}(n_e^0 u_e^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_e^0 + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla P_e^0 = 0, \\ \partial_t n_i^0 + \operatorname{div}(n_i^0 u_i^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_i^0 + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + \nabla(h_i(n_i^0) + \phi^0) = 0, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^0 = n_i^0 - n_e^0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$P_e^0 = h'_e(n_e^0) n_e^1 - \phi^1, \quad (2.4)$$

with the initial data

$$(n_\nu^0, u_\nu^0)(0, x) = (\bar{n}_\nu^0, \bar{u}_\nu^0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.5)$$

Noticing that the coefficients before the  $\varepsilon^{-2}$  term imply

$$\nabla h_e(n_e^0) - \nabla \phi^0 = 0, \quad (2.6)$$

we deduce that up to a constant  $n_e^0 = h_e^{-1}(\phi^0)$ . Thus the equations for ions and the Poisson equation in (2.3) are actually the following unipolar Euler-Poisson system for ions

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i^0 + \operatorname{div}(n_i^0 u_i^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t (u_i^0) + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + \nabla(h_i(n_i^0) + \phi^0) = 0, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^0 = n_i^0 - h_e^{-1}(\phi^0). \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

The solvability of the Poisson equation can be found in [13], in which  $\phi^0$  is expressed as a function of  $n_i^0$  and can be viewed as a zeroth order term. Thus the first two equations are symmetrizable hyperbolic, to which the unique local smooth solution exists due to the famous work of Lax[10] and Kato[8]. By this time  $n_e^0$  is also known since it is a function of  $\phi^0$ , and  $(u_e^0, P_e^0)$  satisfy the following incompressible Euler equations:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{div}(n_e^0 u_e^0) = -\partial_t n_e^0, \\ \partial_t u_e^0 + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla P_e^0 = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

to which the local existence of smooth solutions is ensured by the theories of Lax[10] and Kato[8]. Then Cauchy problem (2.3) with (2.5) has then been solved, and  $P_e^0$  is used to solve  $(n_e^1, \phi^1)$  by (2.4).

(2) For the  $\varepsilon^2$  term, we find

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e^1 + \operatorname{div}(n_e^0 u_e^1 + n_e^1 u_e^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_e^1 + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^1 + (u_e^1 \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla P_e^1 = 0, \\ \partial_t n_i^1 + \operatorname{div}(n_i^0 u_i^1 + n_i^1 u_i^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_i^1 + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^1 + (u_i^1 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + \nabla(h_i'(n_i^0) n_i^1 + \phi^1) = 0, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^1 = n_i^1 - n_e^1, \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$P_e^1 = h_e'(n_e^0) n_e^2 + h_e^1(n_e^1) - \phi^2,$$

with the initial data

$$(n_\nu^1, u_\nu^1)(0, x) = (\bar{n}_\nu^1, \bar{u}_\nu^1)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.10)$$

Since  $P_e^0$  and  $n_e^0$  are known, by (2.4), we substitute  $\phi^1$  into the Poisson equation in (2.9) with

$$n_e^1 = \frac{P_e^0 + \phi^1}{h'_e(n_e^0)}.$$

Now the equations for ions in (2.9) turn out to be the following linear system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i^1 + \operatorname{div} (n_i^0 u_i^1 + n_i^1 u_i^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_i^1 + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^1 + (u_i^1 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + \nabla (h'_i(n_i^0) n_i^1 + \phi^1) = 0, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^1 = n_i^1 - \frac{P_e^0 + \phi^1}{h'_e(n_e^0)}, \end{cases}$$

to which we can get the unique solution  $n_i^1, u_i^1$  and  $\phi^1$ , and thus  $n_e^1$ . Also,  $u_e^1$  and  $P_e^1$  satisfy the following

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e^1 + \operatorname{div} (n_e^0 u_e^1 + n_e^1 u_e^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_e^1 + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^1 + (u_e^1 \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla P_e^1 = 0, \end{cases}$$

in which  $P_e^1$  is used to solve  $(u_e^2, \phi^2)$ .

(3) For  $j \geq 2$ , in general the profiles  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)$  are obtained by induction. Assume that  $(n_\nu^k, u_\nu^k, \phi^k)_{0 \leq k \leq j-1}$  are smooth and already determined in previous steps. Then  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)$  satisfy the linear system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e^j + \operatorname{div} (n_e^0 u_e^j + n_e^j u_e^0) = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{div} (n_e^k u_e^{j-k}), \\ \partial_t u_e^j + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^j + (u_e^j \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla P_e^j = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (u_e^k \cdot \nabla) u_e^{j-k}, \\ \partial_t n_i^j + \operatorname{div} (n_i^0 u_i^j + n_i^j u_i^0) = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{div} (n_i^k u_i^{j-k}), \\ \partial_t u_i^j + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^j + (u_i^j \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + \nabla P_i^j = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (u_i^k \cdot \nabla) u_i^{j-k}, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^j = n_i^j - n_e^j, \end{cases} \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} P_e^j = h'_e(n_e^0) n_e^{j+1} + h_e^j((n_e^k)_{k \leq j}) - \phi^{j+1}, \\ P_i^j = h'_i(n_i^0) n_i^j + h_i^{j-1}((n_i^k)_{k \leq j-1}) + \phi^j, \end{cases}$$

with the initial data

$$(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j)(0, x) = (\bar{n}_\nu^j, \bar{u}_\nu^j)(x), \quad \nu = e, i. \quad (2.12)$$

Generally, we can get  $(n_i^j, u_i^j, \phi^j)$  from  $P_e^{j-1}$  and the third to fifth equations in (2.11), and thus  $n_e^j$ . Then we can obtain  $(u_e^j, P_e^j)$  from the first two equations in (2.11). We then have the following Proposition.



**Proposition 2.2.** *Assume that the initial data  $(\bar{n}_\nu^j, \bar{u}_\nu^j, \bar{\phi}^j)_{j \geq 0}$  are sufficiently smooth with  $\bar{n}_\nu^0 > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then there exist unique smooth profiles  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{j \geq 0}$ , which are obtained as solutions to problems (2.3) with (2.5), (2.9) with (2.10) and (2.11) with (2.12) on the time interval  $[0, T_1^e]$  **with  $T_1^e$  independent of  $\varepsilon$** . In other words, there exists a unique asymptotic expansion up to any order of the form (2.2).*

**2.2.2. Error estimates and main result.** Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed integer and  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$  be the exact solution to problem (2.1) (with  $m_i = 1$ ) defined on the time interval  $[0, T_1^{\varepsilon,1}]$ . We denote by  $(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{e,m})$  the approximate solution of order  $m$  defined on  $[0, T_1^e]$  by

$$(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{e,m}) = \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} (n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j),$$

where  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{0 \leq j \leq m}$  are constructed in the previous subsection. The proof of the convergence of the asymptotic expansion (2.2) is to establish the limit

$$(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon) - (n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{e,m}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and obtain its convergence rate as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  on a time interval independent of  $\varepsilon$ , when the convergence holds at  $t = 0$ . For convenience, we denote  $(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{e,m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{e,m})$  by  $(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, \phi_\varepsilon^m)$  in the section of zero-electron mass limit.

For  $\nu = e, i$ , we define the remaining terms  $(R_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m}, R_{u_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m})$  by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + \operatorname{div} (n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) = R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,1,m}, \\ \partial_t u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + (u_{e,\varepsilon}^m \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla (h_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \phi_\varepsilon^m) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,1,m}, \\ \partial_t n_{i,\varepsilon}^m + \operatorname{div} (n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m) = R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,1,m}, \\ \partial_t u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + (u_{i,\varepsilon}^m \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + \nabla (h_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) + \phi_\varepsilon^m) = R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,1,m}, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi_\varepsilon^m = n_{i,\varepsilon}^m - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m. \end{cases} \quad (2.13)$$

It is clear that the convergence rate depends strongly on the order of the remaining terms with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Since the profiles  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{0 \leq j \leq m}$  are sufficiently smooth, we have

**Proposition 2.3.** *If (2.3), (2.9) and (2.11) hold, then we can find  $\tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m}$ , such that*

$$\operatorname{div} \tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m} = R_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m},$$

and for all integers  $m \geq 1$ , the remaining terms satisfy

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1^e} \left\| \left( \tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m}, R_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m}, R_{u_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m} \right) (t) \right\|_s \leq C_m \varepsilon^{2m+2}, \quad (2.14)$$

where  $C_m > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

**Proof.** By the definition of  $R_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m}$  in (2.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m} &= \partial_t n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m + \operatorname{div} (n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} \partial_t n_\nu^j + \operatorname{div} \left( \left( \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} n_\nu^j \right) \left( \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} u_\nu^j \right) \right) \\
&= \partial_t n_\nu^0 + \operatorname{div} (n_\nu^0 u_\nu^0) + \sum_{j=1}^m \varepsilon^{2j} \left( \partial_t n_\nu^j + \sum_{k=0}^j \operatorname{div} (n_\nu^k u_\nu^{j-k}) \right) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \varepsilon^{2j+2m} \left( \sum_{k=j}^m \operatorname{div} (n_\nu^k u_\nu^{m+j-k}) \right) \\
&= \operatorname{div} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m \varepsilon^{2j+2m} \left( \sum_{k=j}^m n_\nu^k u_\nu^{m+j-k} \right) \right) \triangleq \operatorname{div} (\tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m}),
\end{aligned}$$

then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1^\varepsilon} \|\tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{\varepsilon,1,m}(t)\|_s \leq C_m \varepsilon^{2m+2}.$$

By the definition of  $R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,1,m}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,1,m} &= \partial_t u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + (u_{e,\varepsilon}^m \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla (h_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \phi_\varepsilon^m) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} \partial_t u_e^j + \left( \left( \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} u_e^j \right) \cdot \nabla \right) \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} u_e^j \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla \left( h_e(n_e^0) + h'_e(n_e^0) \sum_{j \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2j} n_e^j + \sum_{j \geq 2} \varepsilon^{2j} h_e^{j-1} \left( (n_e^k)_{k \leq j-1} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} \nabla \phi^j \\
&= \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \nabla (h_e(n_e^0) - \phi^0) + (\partial_t u_e^0 + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla (h'_e(n_e^0) n_e^1 - \phi^1)) \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon^{2j} \left( \partial_t u_e^j + \sum_{k=0}^j (u_e^k \cdot \nabla) u_e^{j-k} + \nabla (h'_e(n_e^0) n_e^{j+1} + h_e^j \left( (n_e^k)_{k \leq j} \right) - \phi^{j+1}) \right) \\
&\quad + O(\varepsilon^{2m}),
\end{aligned}$$

and by the definition of  $R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,1,m}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,1,m} &= \partial_t u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + (u_{i,\varepsilon}^m \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + \nabla (h_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) + \phi_\varepsilon^m) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} \partial_t u_i^j + \left( \left( \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} u_i^j \right) \cdot \nabla \right) \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} u_i^j \\
&\quad + \nabla \left( h_i(n_i^0) + h'_i(n_i^0) \sum_{j \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2j} n_i^j + \sum_{j \geq 2} \varepsilon^{2j} h_i^{j-1} \left( (n_i^k)_{k \leq j-1} \right) \right) + \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} \nabla \phi^j \\
&= \partial_t u_i^0 + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + h_i(n_i^0) + \nabla \phi^0 \\
&\quad + \varepsilon^2 (\partial_t u_i^0 + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^1 + (u_i^1 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + \nabla (h'_i(n_i^0) n_i^1 + \phi^1))
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{j=2}^m \varepsilon^{2j} \left( \partial_t u_i^j + \sum_{k=0}^j (u_i^k \cdot \nabla) u_i^{j-k} + \nabla \left( h_i'(n_i^0) n_i^j + h_i^{j-1} \left( (n_i^k)_{k \leq j-1} \right) + \phi^j \right) \right) \\
& + O(\varepsilon^{2m+2}).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, combining (2.3), (2.9), (2.11) and the Maxwell-Boltzmann relationship (2.6), implies (2.14).  $\square$

The main result for the zero-electron mass limit is the following convergence result, of which the proof will be given in Section 3.

**Theorem 2.1** (The zero-electron mass limit). *Under the conditions of Proposition 2.3, let  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 2$  and  $m \geq 1$  be integers. Assume*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|n_{e,0}^{\varepsilon,1} - n_{e,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(0, \cdot)\|_s + \|n_{i,0}^{\varepsilon,1} - n_{i,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(0, \cdot)\|_s \\
& + \varepsilon \|u_{e,0}^{\varepsilon,1} - u_{e,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(0, \cdot)\|_s + \|u_{i,0}^{\varepsilon,1} - u_{i,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(0, \cdot)\|_s \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{2m+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Then, for the isothermal fluid, there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$ , which depends on  $T_1^e$  but is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have  $T_1^{\varepsilon,1} \geq T_1^e$  and for all integers  $2m > s$ , the solution  $(n_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, u_\nu^{\varepsilon,1}, \phi^{\varepsilon,1})$  to the problem (2.1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1^e} (\|n_e^{\varepsilon,1}(t) - n_{e,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(t)\|_s^2 + \|n_i^{\varepsilon,1}(t) - n_{i,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(t)\|_s^2 + \|\nabla(\phi^{\varepsilon,1}(t) - \phi_\varepsilon^{e,m}(t))\|_s^2) \\
& + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1^e} (\varepsilon \|u_e^{\varepsilon,1}(t) - u_{e,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(t)\|_s^2 + \|u_i^{\varepsilon,1}(t) - u_{i,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(t)\|_s^2) \leq C_2 \varepsilon^{2(2m+1-s)}.
\end{aligned}$$

That is to say, the zero-electron mass limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the bipolar Euler-Poisson system (2.1) is the unipolar Euler-Poisson equations for ions (2.7) and the incompressible Euler equations (2.8).

### 2.3. Asymptotic analysis for infinity-ion mass limit ( $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0, m_e = 1$ ).

**2.3.1. Formal expansion.** As to the infinity-ion mass limit, by setting  $m_e = 1$ , we look for an approximation of solution  $(n_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, u_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, \phi^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})$  to (2.1) in the form of power series. In this subsection, we denote the integer  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$ . Assume that the initial data of  $(n_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, u_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, \phi^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})$  admit an asymptotic expansion with respect to  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$(n_{\nu,0}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, u_{\nu,0}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, \phi_0^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})(x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2j} (\bar{n}_\nu^{i,j}, \bar{u}_\nu^{i,j}, \bar{\phi}^{i,j})(x), \quad \nu = e, i,$$

where  $(\bar{n}_\nu^{i,j}, \bar{u}_\nu^{i,j}, \bar{\phi}^{i,j})_{j \geq 0}$  are sufficiently smooth. We further assume the following ansatz:

$$(n_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, u_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, \phi^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})(t, x) = \sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2j} (n_\nu^{i,j}, u_\nu^{i,j}, \phi^{i,j})(t, x). \tag{2.16}$$

In what follows, we use the formal expansion which is obtained by the Taylor's formula

$$h_\nu \left( \sum_{j \geq 0} \varepsilon^{2j} n_\nu^{i,j} \right) = h_\nu(n_\nu^{i,0}) + h'_\nu(n_\nu^{i,0}) \sum_{j \geq 1} \varepsilon^{2j} n_\nu^{i,j} + \sum_{j \geq 2} \varepsilon^{2j} h_\nu^{i,j-1} \left( (n_\nu^{i,k})_{k \leq j-1} \right),$$

where  $\{h_\nu^{i,j}\}_{j \geq 1}$  are smooth functions depending only on  $h_\nu$  and  $(n_\nu^{i,k})_{k \leq j}$ . We also formally denote  $h_\nu^{i,-1} = h_\nu^{i,0} = 0$ . For simplicity, from now on, we denote  $\left(n_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, u_\nu^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, \phi^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}\right)$ ,  $(n_\nu^{i,j}, u_\nu^{i,j}, \phi^{i,j})_{j \geq 0}$ ,  $(\bar{n}_\nu^{i,j}, \bar{u}_\nu^{i,j}, \bar{\phi}^{i,j})_{j \geq 0}$  and  $\{h_\nu^{i,j}\}_{j \geq -1}$  by  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$ ,  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{j \geq 0}$ ,  $(\bar{n}_\nu^j, \bar{u}_\nu^j, \bar{\phi}^j)_{j \geq 0}$  and  $\{h_\nu^j\}_{j \geq -1}$  in the section of infinity-ion mass limit. Substituting the expansions (2.16) into system (2.1) and comparing the coefficients before each order of  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain

(1) The leading profiles  $(n_\nu^0, u_\nu^0, \phi^0)$  satisfy the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i^0 + \operatorname{div}(n_i^0 u_i^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_i^0 + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 = 0, \\ \partial_t n_e^0 + \operatorname{div}(n_e^0 u_e^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_e^0 + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla h_e(n_e^0) = \nabla \phi^0, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^0 = n_i^0 - n_e^0, \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

with the initial data

$$(n_\nu^0, u_\nu^0)(0, x) = (\bar{n}_\nu^0, \bar{u}_\nu^0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (2.18)$$

Through energy method, it is easy to get the unique local smooth solution  $(n_i^0, u_i^0)$  to the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_i^0 + \operatorname{div}(n_i^0 u_i^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_i^0 + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

Since  $n_i^0$  is known, we can see that the third to fifth equation in (2.17) is actually the decoupled unipolar Euler-Poisson system for electrons,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_e^0 + \operatorname{div}(n_e^0 u_e^0) = 0, \\ \partial_t u_e^0 + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla h_e(n_e^0) = \nabla \phi^0, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^0 = n_i^0(t, x) - n_e^0, \end{cases} \quad (2.20)$$

and thus  $(n_e^0, u_e^0, \phi^0)$  is known due to Lax[10] and Kato[8].

(2) In general, for  $j \geq 1$ , the profiles  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)$  are obtained by induction. Assume that  $(n_\nu^k, u_\nu^k, \phi^k)_{0 \leq k \leq j-1}$  are smooth and already determined in previous steps. Then  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)$

satisfy the linear system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t n_i^j + \operatorname{div} (n_i^0 u_i^j + n_i^j u_i^0) = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{div} (n_i^k u_i^{j-k}), \\ \partial_t u_i^j + (u_i^0 \cdot \nabla) u_i^j + (u_i^j \cdot \nabla) u_i^0 + \nabla P_i^j = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (u_i^k \cdot \nabla) u_i^{j-k}, \\ \partial_t n_e^j + \operatorname{div} (n_e^0 u_e^j + n_e^j u_e^0) = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \operatorname{div} (n_e^k u_e^{j-k}), \\ \partial_t u_e^j + (u_e^0 \cdot \nabla) u_e^j + (u_e^j \cdot \nabla) u_e^0 + \nabla P_e^j = - \sum_{k=1}^{j-1} (u_e^k \cdot \nabla) u_e^{j-k}, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi^j = n_i^j - n_e^j, \end{array} \right. \quad (2.21)$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} P_e^j = h'_e(n_e^0) n_e^j + h_e^{j-1} ((n_e^k)_{k \leq j-1}) - \phi^j, \\ P_i^j = h'_i(n_i^0) n_i^{j-1} + h_i^{j-2} ((n_i^k)_{k \leq j-2}) + \phi^{j-1}, \end{array} \right.$$

with the initial data

$$(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j)(0, x) = (\bar{n}_\nu^j, \bar{u}_\nu^j)(x), \quad \nu = e, i. \quad (2.22)$$

We mention here that formally  $h_\nu^{-1} = h_\nu^0 = 0$ . Generally, we can get  $(n_i^j, u_i^j)$  from the first two equations in (2.21), and then insert  $n_i^j$  into the Poisson equation to solve the third to fifth equations in (2.21) and thus getting  $(n_e^j, u_e^j, \phi^j)$ . We then have the following proposition.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Assume that the initial data  $(\bar{n}_\nu^j, \bar{u}_\nu^j, \bar{\phi}^j)_{j \geq 0}$  are sufficiently smooth with  $\bar{n}_\nu^0 > 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Then there exist unique smooth profiles  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{j \geq 0}$ , which are obtained as solutions to problems (2.17) with (2.18) and (2.21) with (2.22) on the time interval  $[0, T_1^i]$  **with  $T_1^i$  independent of  $\varepsilon$** . That is to say there exists a unique asymptotic expansion up to any order of the form (2.16).*

**2.3.2. Error estimates and main result.** Let  $m \geq 1$  be a fixed integer and  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$  be the exact solution to problem (2.1) defined on the time interval  $[0, T_1^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}]$ . We denote by  $(n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^{i, m}, u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^{i, m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{i, m})$  the approximate solution of order  $m$  defined on  $[0, T_1^i]$  by

$$(n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^{i, m}, u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^{i, m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{i, m}) = \sum_{j=0}^m \varepsilon^{2j} (n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j),$$

where  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{0 \leq j \leq m}$  are constructed in the previous subsection. The convergence of the asymptotic expansion (2.16) is to establish the limit

$$(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon) - (n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^{i, m}, u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^{i, m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{i, m}) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and obtain its convergence rate as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  on a time interval independent of  $\varepsilon$ , when the convergence holds at  $t = 0$ . For convenience, we denote  $(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{i,m}, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^{i,m}, \phi_\varepsilon^{i,m})$  by  $(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, \phi_\varepsilon^m)$  in the section of infinity-ion mass limit.

For  $\nu = e, i$ , define the remaining terms  $(R_{n_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}, R_{u_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m})$  by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + \operatorname{div}(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) = R_{n_e}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}, \\ \partial_t u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + (u_{e,\varepsilon}^m \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + \nabla(h_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \phi_\varepsilon^m) = R_{u_e}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}, \\ \partial_t n_{i,\varepsilon}^m + \operatorname{div}(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m) = R_{n_i}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}, \\ \partial_t u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + (u_{i,\varepsilon}^m \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + \varepsilon^2 \nabla(h_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) + \phi_\varepsilon^m) = R_{u_i}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}, \\ -\lambda^2 \Delta \phi_\varepsilon^m = n_{i,\varepsilon}^m - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the convergence rate depends strongly on the order of the remaining terms with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Since the profiles  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{0 \leq j \leq m}$  are sufficiently smooth, a straightforward computation gives the following result.

**Proposition 2.5.** *Let (2.17) and (2.21) hold. There exist a smooth function  $\tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}$  such that*

$$\operatorname{div} \tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m} = R_{n_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m},$$

and for all integers  $m \geq 1$ , the remaining terms satisfy

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1^i} \|(\tilde{R}_{n_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}, R_{n_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m}, R_{u_\nu}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon},m})(t)\|_s \leq C_m \varepsilon^{2m+2},$$

where  $C_m > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ .

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 2.3, we omit it here. The main result for the infinity-ion mass limit is the following convergence result, of which the proof will be given in Section 4.

**Theorem 2.2** (The infinity-ion mass limit). *Under the conditions of Proposition 2.5, Let  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$  and  $m \geq 1$  be integers. Assume*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| n_{e,0}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - n_{e,\varepsilon}^{i,m}(0, \cdot) \right\|_s + \left\| n_{i,0}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - n_{i,\varepsilon}^{i,m}(0, \cdot) \right\|_s \\ & + \left\| \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_{i,0}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - u_{i,\varepsilon}^{i,m}(0, \cdot)) \right\|_s + \left\| u_{e,0}^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} - u_{e,\varepsilon}^{i,m}(0, \cdot) \right\|_s \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{2m+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 > 0$  is a constant independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Then there exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$ , which depends on  $T_1^i$  but is independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  we have  $T_1^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \geq T_1^i$  and for all integers  $m \geq 1$ , the solution  $(n^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, u^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, \phi^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}})$  to the problem (2.1) satisfies

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1^i} \left( \left\| n_e^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(t) - n_{e,\varepsilon}^{i,m}(t) \right\|_s^2 + \left\| n_i^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(t) - n_{i,\varepsilon}^{i,m}(t) \right\|_s^2 + \left\| \nabla (\phi^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(t) - \phi_\varepsilon^{i,m}(t)) \right\|_s^2 \right)$$

$$+ \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T_1^i} \left( \left\| u_e^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(t) - u_{e, \varepsilon}^{i, m}(t) \right\|_s^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left\| u_i^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}(t) - u_{i, \varepsilon}^{i, m}(t) \right\|_s^2 \right) \leq C_2 \varepsilon^{4m+2}.$$

That is to say, the infinity-ion mass limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  of the bipolar Euler-Poisson system (2.1) is the unipolar Euler-Poisson equations for electrons (2.20) and the equations (2.19).

**Remark 2.1.** We mention the difference of the conditions needed for the zero-electron mass limit and the infinity-ion mass limit. In Theorem 2.1, we require the fluid to be isothermal and the integer  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 2$ , which is like the situation in [12]. And in Theorem 2.2, the condition that the fluid should be isothermal is not needed, and  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$ .

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

**3.1. Energy estimates.** In this section, we study the zero-electron mass limit of the bipolar Euler-Poisson equations. We assume the fluid to be isothermal, i.e.,  $p_\nu(n_\nu^{\varepsilon, 1}) = a_\nu^2 n_\nu^{\varepsilon, 1}$ . In this section, we continue to use  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$  and  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{j \geq 0}$  to substitute  $(n_\nu^{\varepsilon, 1}, u_\nu^{\varepsilon, 1}, \phi^{\varepsilon, 1})$  and  $(n_\nu^{\varepsilon, j}, u_\nu^{\varepsilon, j}, \phi^{\varepsilon, j})_{j \geq 0}$ . All the corresponding notations are in accordance with those in Subsection 2.2. The exact solution  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$  is defined on the time interval  $[0, T_1^{\varepsilon, 1}]$  and the approximate solution  $(n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, \phi_\varepsilon^m)$  on the time interval  $[0, T_1^e]$ , with  $T_1^e$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Let

$$T_2^{\varepsilon, 1} = \min(T_1^{\varepsilon, 1}, T_1^e) > 0,$$

then the exact solution and the approximate solution are both defined on the time interval  $[0, T_2^{\varepsilon, 1}]$ . On this time interval, we denote

$$(N_\nu^\varepsilon, U_\nu^\varepsilon, \Phi^\varepsilon) \triangleq (n_\nu^\varepsilon - n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, u_\nu^\varepsilon - u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, \phi^\varepsilon - \phi_\varepsilon^m), \quad \nu = e, i.$$

It is easy to check that  $(N_\nu^\varepsilon, U_\nu^\varepsilon)$  satisfy

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t N_e^\varepsilon + (U_e^\varepsilon + u_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_e^\varepsilon + (N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \operatorname{div} U_e^\varepsilon = -(N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e, \varepsilon}^m + U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) - R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ \varepsilon \partial_t U_e^\varepsilon + \varepsilon ((U_e^\varepsilon + u_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \cdot \nabla) U_e^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h'_e(N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_e^\varepsilon \\ \quad = -\varepsilon (U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e, \varepsilon}^m - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (h'_e(N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_{e, \varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e, \varepsilon}^m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ \partial_t N_i^\varepsilon + (U_i^\varepsilon + u_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_i^\varepsilon + (N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \operatorname{div} U_i^\varepsilon = -(N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i, \varepsilon}^m + U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) - R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ \partial_t U_i^\varepsilon + ((U_i^\varepsilon + u_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \cdot \nabla) U_i^\varepsilon + h'_i(N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_i^\varepsilon \\ \quad = -(U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i, \varepsilon}^m - (h'_i(N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) - h'_i(n_{i, \varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i, \varepsilon}^m - \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon - R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ (N_\nu^\varepsilon, U_\nu^\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (n_{\nu, 0}^\varepsilon - n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot), u_{\nu, 0}^\varepsilon - u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot)), \end{array} \right. \quad (3.1)$$

coupled with the Poisson equation for  $\Phi^\varepsilon$

$$-\lambda^2 \Delta \Phi^\varepsilon = N_i^\varepsilon - N_e^\varepsilon, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi^\varepsilon(x) = 0.$$

For simplicity, we let  $\lambda = 1$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}
W_e^\varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} N_e^\varepsilon \\ \varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_i^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} N_i^\varepsilon \\ U_i^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\
H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \\ \varepsilon (U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (h'_e(N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \end{pmatrix}, \\
H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m \\ (U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + (h'_i(N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m \end{pmatrix}, \\
H_{e,\varepsilon}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\
R_e^\varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} R_{n_e}^\varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_{u_e}^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_i^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} R_{n_i}^\varepsilon \\ R_{u_i}^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

and for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $u_\nu^\varepsilon = (u_{\nu,1}^\varepsilon, \dots, u_{\nu,d}^\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
A_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{e,j}^\varepsilon & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} n_e^\varepsilon e_j^\top \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j & u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \\
A_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{i,j}^\varepsilon & n_i^\varepsilon e_j^\top \\ h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j & u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where  $(e_1, \dots, e_d)$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{I}_d$  is the  $d \times d$  unit matrix, thus (3.1) can be written as

$$\partial_t W_\nu^\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^d A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} W_\nu^\varepsilon = -H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^1 + H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^2 - R_\nu^\varepsilon, \quad \nu = e, i. \quad (3.2)$$

with the initial data

$$t = 0 : \quad W_\nu^\varepsilon = W_{\nu,0}^\varepsilon, \quad \nu = e, i, \quad (3.3)$$

in which

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{e,0}^\varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} N_e^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \\ \varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_{e,0}^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot) \\ \varepsilon (u_{e,0}^\varepsilon - u_{e,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot)) \end{pmatrix}, \\
W_{i,0}^\varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} N_i^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \\ U_i^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_{i,0}^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot) \\ u_{i,0}^\varepsilon - u_{i,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot) \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

System (3.2)-(3.3) for  $W_\nu^\varepsilon$  is symmetrizable hyperbolic when  $n_\nu^\varepsilon > 0$ , which is ensured by Proposition 2.1. Indeed, let

$$A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & n_e^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & n_i^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix},$$



thus for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) &= A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) A_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) u_{e,j}^\varepsilon & \frac{1}{\varepsilon} p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j^\top \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j & n_e^\varepsilon u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{A}_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) &= A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) A_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) u_{i,j}^\varepsilon & p'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j^\top \\ p'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j & n_i^\varepsilon u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix},\end{aligned}$$

then  $A_\nu^0$  is positively definite and  $\tilde{A}_\nu^j$  is symmetric for all  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Thus, the theories of Kato for the local existence of smooth solutions can also be applied to (3.2)-(3.3).

By standard arguments, to prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to establish uniform estimates of  $W_\nu^\varepsilon$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Since (3.2)-(3.3) is symmetrizable hyperbolic, we deduce that there exists a time  $\bar{T}^\varepsilon \leq T_2^{\varepsilon,1}$  (For convenience, we still denote  $\bar{T}^\varepsilon$  as  $T_2^{\varepsilon,1}$ ), such that  $W_\nu^\varepsilon \in C([0, T_2^{\varepsilon,1}]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$ , which implies that the function  $t \rightarrow \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_s$  is continuous on  $[0, T_2^{\varepsilon,1}]$ . From (2.15) and  $m \geq 1$ , there exists  $\tilde{T}^{\varepsilon,1} \in (0, T_2^{\varepsilon,1}]$  such that

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, \tilde{T}^{\varepsilon,1}],$$

provided that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is bounded by a constant. If  $s > \frac{d}{2} + 1$ , the embedding from  $H^s(\mathbb{R}^d)$  to  $W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is continuous. Then we have

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, \tilde{T}^{\varepsilon,1}].$$

Since  $\tilde{T}^{\varepsilon,1} \leq T_2^{\varepsilon,1} \leq T_1^e$ , hence for  $\varepsilon < 1$ , it is obvious that the approximate solution satisfies

$$\|(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, \phi_\varepsilon^m)(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, \tilde{T}^{\varepsilon,1}],$$

which implies that

$$\|(n_e^\varepsilon, n_i^\varepsilon, \varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, \tilde{T}^{\varepsilon,1}].$$

Now it remains to show that  $u_e^\varepsilon$  is uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Noticing the initial condition (2.15), since  $2m > s$ , we immediately have for  $\varepsilon < 1$ ,

$$\|u_{e,0}^{\varepsilon,1}\|_s \leq \|u_{e,0}^{\varepsilon,1} - u_{e,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(0, \cdot)\|_s + \|u_{e,\varepsilon}^{e,m}(0, \cdot)\|_s \leq C_1 \varepsilon^{2m} + C \leq C,$$

which, by Proposition 2.1, implies that there exists a time  $\tilde{T}$ , such that  $u_e^\varepsilon$  is uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$  on the time interval  $[0, \tilde{T}]$ . This implies that  $U_e^\varepsilon$  is also uniformly bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$  on the same time interval. In the following, we will establish the estimates for  $W_\nu^\varepsilon$  on the time interval  $[0, T^{\varepsilon,1}]$ , with  $T^{\varepsilon,1} = \min(\tilde{T}^{\varepsilon,1}, \tilde{T})$ . In order to prove  $T_1^{\varepsilon,1} \geq T_1^e$ , we need to show that there exists a constant  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{\varepsilon,1}} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s \leq C \varepsilon^\mu.$$

3.1.1.  **$L^2$ -estimates.** In what follows, we always assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 hold.

**Lemma 3.1.** *For all  $t \in [0, T^{\varepsilon,1}]$  and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{\nu=e,i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) W_\nu^\varepsilon, W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

**Proof.** Step1: Taking the inner product of the equations for electrons in (3.2) with  $2A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon)W_e^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we obtain the following energy equality for  $W_e^\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle &= \langle \operatorname{div} A_e(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, H_{e,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle - 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, R_e^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\operatorname{div} A_e(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) = \partial_t A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon). \quad (3.6)$$

Now we deal with the right hand side of (3.5) term by term. From the mass conservation equation  $\partial_t n_e^\varepsilon = -\operatorname{div}(n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon)$ , obviously we have

$$\|\partial_t A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon)\|_\infty \leq C \|\partial_t n_e^\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq C \|\operatorname{div}(n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon)\|_{s-1} \leq C,$$

which implies

$$\langle \partial_t A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2. \quad (3.7)$$

In view of the expression of  $\tilde{A}_e^j(W_e^\varepsilon)$ , for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle &= \langle \partial_{x_j} (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) u_{e,j}^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon, N_e^\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle N_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} (p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j), U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &\quad + \varepsilon^2 \langle \partial_{x_j} (n_e^\varepsilon u_{e,j}^\varepsilon) U_e^\varepsilon, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

in which we have

$$\langle \partial_{x_j} (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) u_{e,j}^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon, N_e^\varepsilon \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \langle \partial_{x_j} (n_e^\varepsilon u_{e,j}^\varepsilon) U_e^\varepsilon, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2,$$

and

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^d \langle N_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} (p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j), U_e^\varepsilon \rangle = 2 \langle N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon), U_e^\varepsilon \rangle,$$

therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \langle \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2 \langle N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon), U_e^\varepsilon \rangle. \quad (3.8)$$

It follows from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\langle \operatorname{div} A_e(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2 \langle N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'(n_e^\varepsilon), U_e^\varepsilon \rangle. \quad (3.9)$$

For the remaining terms without  $H_{e,\varepsilon}^2$  on the right hand side of (3.5), it can be treated as

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle - 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, R_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ = & -2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m}), N_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \left[ \varepsilon^2 (U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + (h'_e(N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,m} \right], U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ = & -2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e,\varepsilon}^m, N_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \varepsilon^2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon (U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m}, N_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,m}, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ \leq & -2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & + C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \left( \|R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,m}\|^2 \right) \\ \leq & -2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & + C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

For the term containing  $H_{e,\varepsilon}^2$  on the right hand side of (3.5), a direct calculation gives

$$2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, H_{e,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle = 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle.$$

Back to (3.5), combining the above three estimates yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ \leq & C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \varepsilon^{4m+2} + 2r^\varepsilon, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where the remaining term

$$r^\varepsilon = \langle N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + n_e^\varepsilon (h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle.$$

Noticing that

$$n_e^\varepsilon = N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \quad p_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) = h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) + n_e^\varepsilon h''(n_e^\varepsilon), \quad (3.11)$$

and by the Taylor's formula, we have

$$h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) = -h_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{2} h_e'''(n_e^\varepsilon - \theta N_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon)^2, \quad \text{with } \theta \in [0, 1], \quad (3.12)$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} & N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + n_e^\varepsilon (h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \\ = & N_e^\varepsilon p_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) \nabla N_e^\varepsilon + N_e^\varepsilon p_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m - n_e^\varepsilon h_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \\ & + \frac{1}{2} n_e^\varepsilon h_e'''(n_e^\varepsilon - \theta N_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon)^2 \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} p_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) \nabla (N_e^\varepsilon)^2 + \frac{1}{2} n_e^\varepsilon h_e'''(n_e^\varepsilon - \theta N_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon)^2 \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} r^\varepsilon &= \frac{1}{2} \langle p_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) \nabla (N_e^\varepsilon)^2, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle n_e^\varepsilon h_e'''(n_e^\varepsilon - \theta N_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon)^2 \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \langle (N_e^\varepsilon)^2, \operatorname{div} (p_e''(n_e^\varepsilon) U_e^\varepsilon) \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle n_e^\varepsilon h_e'''(n_e^\varepsilon - \theta N_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon)^2 \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &\leq C \|N_e^\varepsilon\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (3.10) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \varepsilon^{4m+2}. \quad (3.13)$$

Step2: Taking the inner product of the equations for ions in (3.2) with  $2A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we obtain the following energy equality for  $W_i^\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle &= -2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle + 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle \\ &\quad - 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, R_i^\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$\operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) = \partial_t A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon), \quad (3.15)$$

which are treated term by term as follows. Noticing the expressions of  $A_i^0$ ,  $\operatorname{div} A_i$  and  $H_{i,\varepsilon}^1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle| &\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2, \\ |\langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, R_i^\varepsilon \rangle| &\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \|R_i^\varepsilon\|^2 \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+4}, \\ |\langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle| &\leq C \|\operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon)\|_\infty \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2 \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the term containing  $H_{i,\varepsilon}^2$  in the right hand side of (3.14), a direct calculation gives

$$2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle = -2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle.$$

Inserting the above four estimates into (3.14), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq -2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+4}. \quad (3.16)$$

Step3: Summing (3.13) and (3.16), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\nu=e,i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) W_\nu^\varepsilon, W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle &\leq 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &\quad + C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+2} \\ &= 2 \langle (n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - (n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \langle N_e^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - N_i^\varepsilon u_{i,\varepsilon}^m, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2},
\end{aligned}$$

in which we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \langle (n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - (n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& = -2 \langle \operatorname{div} (n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \operatorname{div} (n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& = 2 \langle \partial_t (N_e^\varepsilon - N_i^\varepsilon) - (R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m} - R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}), \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& = -\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2 \langle \tilde{R}_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m} - \tilde{R}_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& \leq -\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2},
\end{aligned}$$

and using Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
2 \langle N_e^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - N_i^\varepsilon u_{i,\varepsilon}^m, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle & = 2 \langle N_i^\varepsilon (u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle (N_e^\varepsilon - N_i^\varepsilon) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& = 2 \langle N_i^\varepsilon (u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle \Delta \Phi^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& \leq C \|N_i^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining these estimates yields (3.4).  $\square$

**3.1.2. Higher order estimates.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s$ . Applying  $\partial_x^\alpha$  to (3.2), we get

$$\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^d A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon = -\partial_x^\alpha (H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^1 - H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^2 + R_\nu^\varepsilon) + J_{\nu,\varepsilon}^\alpha, \quad \nu = e, i, \quad (3.17)$$

where

$$J_{\nu,\varepsilon}^\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^d (A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} W_\nu^\varepsilon)). \quad (3.18)$$

**Lemma 3.2.** *For all  $t \in [0, T^{\varepsilon,1}]$  and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{\nu=e,i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle + \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2}.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

**Proof.** Step1: Taking the inner product of the equations for electrons in (3.17) with  $2A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  yields the following energy equality for  $\partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& = \langle \operatorname{div} A_e(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 + \partial_x^\alpha R_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& \quad + 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{e,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle + 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, J_{e,\varepsilon}^\alpha \rangle,
\end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

in which  $\operatorname{div} A_e$  is defined in (3.6). We will treat the right hand side of the above term by term as follows. First, similarly to (3.9), it is easy to get

$$|\langle \operatorname{div} A_e(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle| \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + 2 \langle \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'(n_e^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle. \quad (3.21)$$

For the terms without  $H_{e,\varepsilon}^2$  and  $J_{e,\varepsilon}^\alpha$  on the right hand side of (3.20), a straightforward calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 + \partial_x^\alpha R_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ = & -2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) + \partial_x^\alpha R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m}), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & - 2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha [\varepsilon^2 (U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,m}], \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon [\partial_x^\alpha ((h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m], \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

in which we have

$$\begin{aligned} -2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) + \partial_x^\alpha R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m}), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C (\|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2), \\ -2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha [\varepsilon^2 (U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m + R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,m}], \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C (\|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Besides, applying the Moser-type inequalities, we have

$$-2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C (\|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2),$$

and by the Taylor's formula

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon (\partial_x^\alpha ((h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ \leq & C \left( \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

The above four estimates imply

$$\begin{aligned} & -2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 + \partial_x^\alpha R_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ \leq & \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} (\|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2) + C (\|R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2) \\ & + C (\|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2) - 2 \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & - 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

For the term containing  $J_{e,\varepsilon}^\alpha$  on the right hand side of (3.20), for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $U_e^\varepsilon = (U_{e,1}^\varepsilon, \dots, U_{e,d}^\varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha (A_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} W_e^\varepsilon) - A_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha (\partial_{x_j} W_e^\varepsilon) \rangle \\ = & \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon) - u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \varepsilon^2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon (\partial_x^\alpha (u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} U_e^\varepsilon) - u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} U_e^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + \langle n_e^\varepsilon (\partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon) - n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\
= & \langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon) - u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + \varepsilon^2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon (\partial_x^\alpha (u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} U_e^\varepsilon) - u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} U_e^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + \left\langle n_e^\varepsilon \left( \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon \right), \partial_x^\alpha U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle \\
& + \left\langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \left( \partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon) - n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \partial_{x_i} n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right), \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right\rangle \\
& + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left( \left\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle + \left\langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_i} n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right\rangle \right) \\
\leq & C (\|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2) + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} (\|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left( \left\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle + \left\langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_i} n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right\rangle \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha^i$  is also a multi-index and  $\partial_{x_i} \partial_x^{\alpha^i} = \partial_x^\alpha$ . The last two terms can be estimated as follows. Taking integration by parts with respect to  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  successively to the first of the last two terms, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left( \left\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle + \left\langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_i} n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right\rangle \right) \\
= & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left( - \left\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^{\alpha^i} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle + \left\langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_i} n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right\rangle \right) \\
& - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left\langle \partial_{x_i} (n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^{\alpha^i} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle \\
= & \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left( \left\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle + \left\langle h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_i} n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right\rangle \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left( \left\langle \partial_{x_i} (n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^{\alpha^i} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle - \left\langle \partial_{x_j} (n_e^\varepsilon \partial_{x_i} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^{\alpha^i} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon \right\rangle \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq d \\ \alpha_i \neq 0}} \alpha_i \left\langle \partial_{x_i} p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^{\alpha^i} \partial_{x_j} U_{e,j}^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right\rangle + C \left( \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the definition of the enthalpy function. Noting that the fluid is isothermal, i.e.,  $p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) = a_e^2$ , we get

$$|\langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, J_{e,\varepsilon}^\alpha \rangle| \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2. \quad (3.23)$$

As to the term containing  $H_{e,\varepsilon}^2$  in the right hand side of (3.20), a direct calculation gives

$$2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{e,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle = 2 \langle (n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon) \rangle.$$

Therefore, (3.21)-(3.23) yield

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+2} \\
& \quad + 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + 2r_\alpha^\varepsilon,
\end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where

$$r_\alpha^\varepsilon = \langle \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon \rangle,$$

which can be estimated in a similar way as that in the proof of Lemma 3.1. Indeed, by (3.11)-(3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \\
& = \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon p''_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \nabla N_e^\varepsilon + \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon p''_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \\
& \quad - n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \left[ h''_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{2} h'''_e(n_e^\varepsilon - \theta N_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon)^2 \right] \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \\
& = \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon p''_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \nabla N_e^\varepsilon - n_e^\varepsilon \left[ \partial_x^\alpha (h''_e(n_e^\varepsilon) N_e^\varepsilon) - h''_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \right] \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m \\
& \quad + \frac{1}{2} n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'''_e(n_e^\varepsilon - \theta N_e^\varepsilon) (N_e^\varepsilon)^2) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m,
\end{aligned}$$

by the Moser-type inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m + n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'_e(n_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon)) \nabla n_{e,\varepsilon}^m\| \\
& \leq C \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1} + C \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 \\
& \leq C \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
2r_\alpha^\varepsilon & \leq C (\|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}) \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|} \\
& \leq C \left( \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \|U_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 \right) + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|N_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2.
\end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$



Combining (3.24) and (3.25), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & \leq 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

Step2: Taking the inner product of the equations for ions in (3.17) with  $2A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  yields the following energy equality for  $\partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle &= -2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle + 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle \\ &\quad - 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha R_i^\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

where  $\operatorname{div} A_i$  is defined in (3.15) and  $J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha$  is defined in (3.18). By (2.14) and uniform boundedness of  $n_i^\varepsilon$  and  $n_e^\varepsilon$ , it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle| &\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2, \\ |\langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha R_i^\varepsilon \rangle| &\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \|R_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 \\ &\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+4}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle| &\leq C \|\operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon)\|_\infty \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 \\ &\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For the term containing  $J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha$  on the right hand side of (3.27), applying the Moser-type inequalities to  $J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha$  together with uniform boundedness of  $n_i^\varepsilon$  and  $n_e^\varepsilon$  yields

$$|\langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha \rangle| \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2.$$

A direct computation yields

$$\langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle = -2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle.$$

Combining the above five estimates and (3.27), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq -2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+4}. \quad (3.28)$$

Step3: Summing (3.26) and (3.28), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\nu=e,i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & \leq 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & \quad + C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle - 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\quad + C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2} \\
&\quad + 2\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle - 2\langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\quad - 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (N_e^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - N_i^\varepsilon u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\quad + C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2} \\
&\quad + 2\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle - 2\langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

in which we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= -2\langle \operatorname{div} (\partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon u_e^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m u_{e,\varepsilon}^m)) - \operatorname{div} (\partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m u_{i,\varepsilon}^m)), \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\langle \partial_t (\partial_x^\alpha N_e^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon) - (\partial_x^\alpha R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m} - \partial_x^\alpha R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}), \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= -\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha \tilde{R}_{n_e}^{\varepsilon,m} - \partial_x^\alpha \tilde{R}_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\leq -\frac{d}{dt} \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2},
\end{aligned}$$

and using Lemma 2.3,

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (N_e^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - N_i^\varepsilon u_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (N_i^\varepsilon (u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - u_{i,\varepsilon}^m)), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha ((N_e^\varepsilon - N_i^\varepsilon) u_{e,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= 2\langle \partial_x^\alpha (N_i^\varepsilon (u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - u_{i,\varepsilon}^m)), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + 2\langle \Delta \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\quad - 2\langle \Delta \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m - \partial_x^\alpha (\Delta \Phi^\varepsilon u_{e,\varepsilon}^m), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\leq C \|N_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, the Moser-type inequalities imply

$$\begin{aligned}
&2\langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle - 2\langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon), \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + C \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above four inequalities yields (3.19).  $\square$

**3.2. Proof of Theorem 2.1.** We deal with (3.19) by induction for  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s$ . In view of the  $L^2$  estimate, we assume

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2(2m+1-(|\alpha|-1))} = C\varepsilon^{2(2m+2-|\alpha|)},$$

then, (3.19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{\nu=e,i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle + \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 \right) \\
& \leq C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C\varepsilon^{2(2m+1-|\alpha|)} + C\varepsilon^{4m+2} \\
& \leq C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C\varepsilon^{2(2m+1-|\alpha|)}. \tag{3.29}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon)$  is positive definite, consequently,  $\langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle$  is equivalent to  $\|\partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon\|^2$ . Summing (3.29) up to the  $\alpha$ -th order derivative and using the Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2(2m+1-|\alpha|)}, \tag{3.30}$$

so that the induction argument is complete. Combining (3.30) for all  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s$  and noticing (3.4), we have

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^{\varepsilon,1}} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2(2m+1-s)}, \quad \nu = e, i. \tag{3.31}$$

It suffices to prove  $T_1^{\varepsilon,1} \geq T_1^e$ , i.e.  $T_2^{\varepsilon,1} = T_1^e$ . By the definitions of  $T_1^e$ ,  $T_1^{\varepsilon,1}$ ,  $T_2^{\varepsilon,1}$  and  $T^{\varepsilon,1}$ , we have  $T^{\varepsilon,1} \leq T_2^{\varepsilon,1} \leq T_1^e$ . According to the argument of the uniform boundedness of  $W_\nu^\varepsilon$ , we may replace  $T^{\varepsilon,1}$  by  $T_*^{\varepsilon,1} \in (0, T_1^e]$  such that  $[0, T_*^{\varepsilon,1}]$  is the maximum time interval on which  $W_\nu^\varepsilon$  exists and satisfies

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s^2 \leq C, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*^{\varepsilon,1}],$$

for some constant  $C > 0$ . By (3.31), it is obvious that

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon(T_*^{\varepsilon,1})\|_s^2 \leq C\varepsilon^{2(2m+1-s)}.$$

We want to prove  $T_*^{\varepsilon,1} = T_1^e$ . If  $T_*^{\varepsilon,1} < T_1^e$ , we apply the theories of Kato for the local existence of smooth solutions with initial data  $W_\nu^\varepsilon(T_*^{\varepsilon,1})$ . Consequently, there exists  $T_{\varepsilon,1} > T_*^{\varepsilon,1}$  such that  $W_\nu^\varepsilon \in C([T_*^{\varepsilon,1}, T_{\varepsilon,1}]; H^s(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . When  $2m > s$  and  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small, we always have  $\varepsilon^{2(2m+1-s)} < C$ . Since the function  $t \rightarrow \|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s$  is continuous on  $[T_*^{\varepsilon,1}, T_{\varepsilon,1}]$ , there exists  $T'_{\varepsilon,1} \in (T_*^{\varepsilon,1}, T_{\varepsilon,1}]$  such that

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s^2 \leq C, \quad t \in [0, T'_{\varepsilon,1}].$$

This is contradictory to the maximality of  $T_*^{\varepsilon,1}$ . Thus, we have proved  $T_*^{\varepsilon,1} = T_1^e$ , which implies that  $T_1^{\varepsilon,1} \geq T_1^e$ .  $\square$

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.2

**4.1. Energy estimates.** In this section, we study the infinity-ion mass limit of the bipolar Euler-Poisson equations. We continue to use  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$  and  $(n_\nu^j, u_\nu^j, \phi^j)_{j \geq 0}$  to replace  $(n_\nu^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, u_\nu^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, \phi^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}})$  and  $(n_\nu^{i,j}, u_\nu^{i,j}, \phi^{i,j})_{j \geq 0}$ . All the corresponding notations are in accordance with those in Subsection 2.3. The exact solution  $(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)$  is defined on the time interval  $[0, T_1^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}]$  and the approximate solution  $(n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, \phi_\varepsilon^m)$  on the time interval  $[0, T_1^i]$ , with  $T_1^i$  independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Let

$$T_2^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} = \min \left( T_1^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}, T_1^i \right) > 0,$$

then the exact solution and the approximate solution are both defined on the time interval  $[0, T_2^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}]$ . On this time interval, we denote

$$(N_\nu^\varepsilon, U_\nu^\varepsilon, \Phi^\varepsilon) \triangleq (n_\nu^\varepsilon - n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, u_\nu^\varepsilon - u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m, \phi^\varepsilon - \phi_\varepsilon^m), \quad \nu = e, i.$$

For simplicity, set  $\lambda = 1$ . It is easy to check that  $(N_\nu^\varepsilon, U_\nu^\varepsilon)$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t N_e^\varepsilon + (U_e^\varepsilon + u_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_e^\varepsilon + (N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \operatorname{div} U_e^\varepsilon = -(N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e, \varepsilon}^m + U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) - R_{n_e}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ \partial_t U_e^\varepsilon + ((U_e^\varepsilon + u_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \cdot \nabla) U_e^\varepsilon + h'_e(N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_e^\varepsilon \\ \quad = -(U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e, \varepsilon}^m - (h'_e(N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_{e, \varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e, \varepsilon}^m + \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon - R_{u_e}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ \partial_t N_i^\varepsilon + (U_i^\varepsilon + u_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_i^\varepsilon + (N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \operatorname{div} U_i^\varepsilon = -(N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i, \varepsilon}^m + U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) - R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \partial_t U_i^\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} ((U_i^\varepsilon + u_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \cdot \nabla) U_i^\varepsilon + \varepsilon h'_i(N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) \nabla N_i^\varepsilon \\ \quad = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} (U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i, \varepsilon}^m - \varepsilon (h'_i(N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) - h'_i(n_{i, \varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i, \varepsilon}^m - \varepsilon \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon - \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon, m}, \\ (N_\nu^\varepsilon, U_\nu^\varepsilon)|_{t=0} = (n_{\nu, 0}^\varepsilon - n_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot), u_{\nu, 0}^\varepsilon - u_{\nu, \varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot)), \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

with the Poisson equation for  $\Phi^\varepsilon$

$$-\Delta \Phi^\varepsilon = N_i^\varepsilon - N_e^\varepsilon, \quad \lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} \Phi^\varepsilon(x) = 0.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} W_e^\varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} N_e^\varepsilon \\ U_e^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_i^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} N_i^\varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} U_i^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_{e, \varepsilon}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} N_e^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{e, \varepsilon}^m + U_e^\varepsilon \nabla n_{e, \varepsilon}^m \\ (U_e^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{e, \varepsilon}^m + (h'_e(N_e^\varepsilon + n_{e, \varepsilon}^m) - h'_e(n_{e, \varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{e, \varepsilon}^m \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_{i, \varepsilon}^1 &= \begin{pmatrix} N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i, \varepsilon}^m + U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i, \varepsilon}^m \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i, \varepsilon}^m + \varepsilon (h'_i(N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i, \varepsilon}^m) - h'_i(n_{i, \varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i, \varepsilon}^m \end{pmatrix}, \\ H_{e, \varepsilon}^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_{i, \varepsilon}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -\varepsilon \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$R_e^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} R_{n_e}^\varepsilon \\ R_{u_e}^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}, R_i^\varepsilon = \begin{pmatrix} R_{n_i}^\varepsilon \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} R_{u_i}^\varepsilon \end{pmatrix},$$

and for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $u_\nu^\varepsilon = (u_{\nu,1}^\varepsilon, \dots, u_{\nu,d}^\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} A_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{e,j}^\varepsilon & n_e^\varepsilon e_j^\top \\ h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j & u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \\ A_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) &= \begin{pmatrix} u_{i,j}^\varepsilon & \varepsilon n_i^\varepsilon e_j^\top \\ \varepsilon h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j & u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(e_1, \dots, e_d)$  is the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\mathbf{I}_d$  is the  $d \times d$  unit matrix. Thus the equations (4.1) can be written as

$$\partial_t W_\nu^\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^d A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} W_\nu^\varepsilon = -H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^1 + H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^2 - R_\nu^\varepsilon, \quad \nu = e, i, \quad (4.2)$$

with the initial data

$$t = 0 : \quad W_\nu^\varepsilon = W_{\nu,0}^\varepsilon, \quad \nu = e, i, \quad (4.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} W_{e,0}^\varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} N_e^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \\ \varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_{e,0}^\varepsilon - n_{e,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot) \\ u_{e,0}^\varepsilon - u_{e,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot) \end{pmatrix}, \\ W_{i,0}^\varepsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} N_i^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \\ U_i^\varepsilon(0, \cdot) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} n_{i,0}^\varepsilon - n_{i,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot) \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (u_{i,0}^\varepsilon - u_{i,\varepsilon}^m(0, \cdot)) \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

System (4.2)-(4.3) for  $W_\nu^\varepsilon$  is symmetrizable hyperbolic when  $n_\nu^\varepsilon > 0$ , which is ensured by Proposition 2.1. Indeed, let

$$A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & n_e^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) & 0 \\ 0 & n_i^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix},$$

thus for  $1 \leq j \leq d$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) &= A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) A_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) u_{e,j}^\varepsilon & p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j^\top \\ p'_e(n_e^\varepsilon) e_j & n_e^\varepsilon u_{e,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{A}_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) &= A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) A_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) = \begin{pmatrix} h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) u_{i,j}^\varepsilon & \varepsilon p'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j^\top \\ \varepsilon p'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j & n_i^\varepsilon u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \mathbf{I}_d \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

then for  $n_\nu^\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $A_\nu^0$  is positively definite and  $\tilde{A}_\nu^j$  is symmetric for all  $1 \leq j \leq d$ . Thus, the theories of Kato for the local existence of smooth solutions can also be applied to (4.2)-(4.3). Following the similar procedures as those in the section of zero-electron mass limit, we can obtain that there is a time  $T^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}} > 0$ , such that for  $\varepsilon < 1$  and  $\forall t \in [0, T^{1,\frac{1}{\varepsilon}}]$ ,

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|(n_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, u_{\nu,\varepsilon}^m, \phi_\varepsilon^m)(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \|(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon, \phi^\varepsilon)(t)\|_{W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \leq C.$$

In order to prove  $T_1^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}} \geq T_1^i$ , we need to show that there exists a constant  $\mu > 0$  such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T^\varepsilon} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s \leq C\varepsilon^\mu.$$

**4.1.1.  $L^2$ -estimates.** In what follows, we always assume that the conditions of Theorem 2.2 hold.

**Lemma 4.1.** *For all  $t \in [0, T^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}]$  and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{\nu=e, i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) W_\nu^\varepsilon, W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{\nu=e, i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|^2 + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 + C\varepsilon^{4m+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

**Proof.** Step1: Taking the inner product of the equations for ions in (4.2) with  $2A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we obtain the following energy equality for  $W_i^\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle &= \langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i, \varepsilon}^1 \rangle \\ &+ 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i, \varepsilon}^2 \rangle - 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, R_i^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$\operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) = \partial_t A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon). \quad (4.6)$$

Now we deal with each term on the right hand side of (4.5). In the later proof, we will frequently use the fact that for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ ,  $1 < \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ . First, from the mass conservation law  $\partial_t n_i^\varepsilon = -\operatorname{div}(n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon)$ , we have

$$\|\partial_t A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon)\|_\infty \leq C \|\partial_t n_i^\varepsilon\|_\infty \leq C \|\operatorname{div}(n_i^\varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon)\|_{s-1} \leq C,$$

which implies

$$\langle \partial_t A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2, \quad (4.7)$$

and in view of the expression of  $\tilde{A}_i^j(W_i^\varepsilon)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle &= \langle \partial_{x_j} (h_i'(n_i^\varepsilon) u_{i,j}^\varepsilon) N_i^\varepsilon, N_i^\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle N_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} (p_i'(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j), U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \langle \partial_{x_j} (n_i^\varepsilon u_{i,j}^\varepsilon) U_i^\varepsilon, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

in which

$$\langle \partial_{x_j} (h_i'(n_i^\varepsilon) u_{i,j}^\varepsilon) N_i^\varepsilon, N_i^\varepsilon \rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \langle \partial_{x_j} (n_i^\varepsilon u_{i,j}^\varepsilon) U_i^\varepsilon, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2,$$

and

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^d \langle N_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} (p_i'(n_i^\varepsilon) e_j), U_i^\varepsilon \rangle = 2 \langle N_i^\varepsilon \nabla p'(n_i^\varepsilon), U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|U_i^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \|N_i^\varepsilon\|^2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^2} \|U_i^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \|N_i^\varepsilon\|^2 \\
&\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^d \langle \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2. \quad (4.8)$$

It follows from (4.7) and (4.8) that

$$\langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2. \quad (4.9)$$

For the remaining terms without  $H_{e,\varepsilon}^2$  in the right hand side of (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle - 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, R_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&= -2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m + R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}), N_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\quad - 2 \left\langle n_i^\varepsilon \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} (U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + (h'_i(N_i^\varepsilon + n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m} \right], U_i^\varepsilon \right\rangle \\
&= -2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i,\varepsilon}^m, N_i^\varepsilon \rangle - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \langle n_i^\varepsilon (U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}, N_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \langle n_i^\varepsilon R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) N_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon (h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

in which by the Taylor's formula,

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i,\varepsilon}^m, N_i^\varepsilon \rangle - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \langle n_i^\varepsilon (U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2, \\
&-2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) N_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon (h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) - h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$-2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}, N_i^\varepsilon \rangle - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \langle n_i^\varepsilon R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}, U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \left( \|R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|^2 \right).$$

As for the term containing  $H_{i,\varepsilon}^2$  in (4.5), a direct calculation gives

$$2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle = -2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle.$$

Finally, using (4.5), (4.9) and the four estimates above yield

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) W_i^\varepsilon, W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
&\leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|^2 - 2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \left( \|R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|^2 \right). \quad (4.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Step2: Similar to what we have done in the previous section, taking the inner product of the equations for electrons in (4.2) with  $2A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle &= -2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle + 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, H_{e,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle \\
&\quad - 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, R_e^\varepsilon \rangle + \langle \operatorname{div} A_e(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\operatorname{div} A_e(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) = \partial_t A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) + \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_{x_j} \tilde{A}_e^j(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon). \quad (4.11)$$

The estimates are all the same as we did for the equations for ions in the zero-electron mass limit, since both of them do not contain the parameters  $\varepsilon$  and are only different in notations, we omit the proof. Indeed, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) W_e^\varepsilon, W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+4}. \quad (4.12)$$

Step3: Summing (4.10) and (4.12), following a similar procedure as the  $L^2$ -estimate in the previous section, we obtain (4.4).  $\square$

**4.1.2. Higher order estimates.** Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^d$  with  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq s$ . Applying  $\partial_x^\alpha$  to (4.2), we get

$$\partial_t \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon + \sum_{j=1}^d A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon = -\partial_x^\alpha (H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^1 - H_{\nu,\varepsilon}^2 + R_\nu^\varepsilon) + J_{\nu,\varepsilon}^\alpha, \quad \nu = e, i, \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$J_{\nu,\varepsilon}^\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^d (A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon - \partial_x^\alpha (A_\nu^j(n_\nu^\varepsilon, u_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} W_\nu^\varepsilon)).$$

**Lemma 4.2.** For all  $t \in [0, T^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}]$  and sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{\nu=e,i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle + \|\nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon\|^2 \right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

**Proof.** Step1: Taking the inner product of the equations for ions in (4.13) with  $2A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  yields the following energy equality for  $\partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & = \langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 + \partial_x^\alpha R_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\ & \quad + 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle + 2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

where  $\operatorname{div} A_i$  is defined in (4.6). We will treat the right hand side of the above term by term as follows. First, similarly to (4.9), it is easy to get

$$|\langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle| \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2. \quad (4.16)$$

For the terms without  $H_{i,\varepsilon}^2$  and  $J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha$  on the right hand side of (4.15), a straightforward calculation yields

$$-2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 + \partial_x^\alpha R_i^\varepsilon \rangle$$



$$\begin{aligned}
= & -2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i,\varepsilon}^m) + \partial_x^\alpha R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}), \partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& - 2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& - \frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha [(U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}], \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle - 2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m, \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& - 2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon [\partial_x^\alpha ((h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha (h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m], \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

to which applying the Moser-type inequalities yields

$$\begin{aligned}
-2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (N_i^\varepsilon \operatorname{div} u_{i,\varepsilon}^m) + \partial_x^\alpha R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}), \partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C (\|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2), \\
-2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2, \\
-2 \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2, \\
-\frac{2}{\varepsilon^2} \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha [(U_i^\varepsilon \cdot \nabla) u_{i,\varepsilon}^m + R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}], \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C (\|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2), \\
-2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha (h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m, \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle & \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and further by the Taylor's formula,

$$-2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon (\partial_x^\alpha ((h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m) - \partial_x^\alpha (h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_{i,\varepsilon}^m)) \nabla n_{i,\varepsilon}^m), \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2.$$

These estimates imply

$$-2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^1 + \partial_x^\alpha R_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq C \left( \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|R_{n_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|R_{u_i}^{\varepsilon,m}\|_{|\alpha|}^2 \right). \quad (4.17)$$

For the term containing  $J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha$  on the right hand side of (4.15), we have for  $1 \leq j \leq d$  and  $U_i^\varepsilon = (U_{i,1}^\varepsilon, \dots, U_{i,d}^\varepsilon)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha (A_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} W_i^\varepsilon) - A_i^j(n_i^\varepsilon, u_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha (\partial_{x_j} W_i^\varepsilon) \rangle \\
= & \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} N_i^\varepsilon) - u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} N_i^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \langle n_i^\varepsilon (\partial_x^\alpha (u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} U_i^\varepsilon) - u_{i,j}^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} U_i^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + \langle n_i^\varepsilon (\partial_x^\alpha (h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_{x_j} N_i^\varepsilon) - h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} N_i^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha U_{i,j}^\varepsilon \rangle \\
& + \langle h'_i(n_i^\varepsilon) (\partial_x^\alpha (n_i^\varepsilon \partial_{x_j} U_{i,j}^\varepsilon) - n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha \partial_{x_j} U_{i,j}^\varepsilon), \partial_x^\alpha N_i^\varepsilon \rangle \\
\leq & C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C (\|N_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2 + \|U_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2) + C (\|N_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + \|U_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|-1}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$|\langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, J_{i,\varepsilon}^\alpha \rangle| \leq C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2. \quad (4.18)$$

For the term containing  $H_{i,\varepsilon}^2$  in the right hand side of (4.15), a direct calculation gives

$$2 \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{i,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle = -2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle. \quad (4.19)$$

Therefore, using (4.15)-(4.19) yield

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_i^0(n_i^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_i^\varepsilon \rangle \leq -2 \langle n_i^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_i^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \|W_i^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+2}. \quad (4.20)$$

Step2: Taking the inner product of the equations for electrons in (4.13) with  $2A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  yields the following energy equality for  $\partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle &= -2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{e,\varepsilon}^1 \rangle + 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha H_{e,\varepsilon}^2 \rangle \\ &\quad - 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha R_e^\varepsilon \rangle + 2 \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, J_{e,\varepsilon}^\alpha \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \operatorname{div} A_i(n_e^\varepsilon, u_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\operatorname{div} A_e$  is defined in (4.11). The estimates are all the same as we did for the equations for ions in the zero-electron mass limit, since both of them do not contain the parameters  $\varepsilon$  and are only different in notations. We omit the proof. Indeed, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \langle A_e^0(n_e^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_e^\varepsilon \rangle \leq 2 \langle n_e^\varepsilon \partial_x^\alpha U_e^\varepsilon, \nabla \partial_x^\alpha \Phi^\varepsilon \rangle + C \|W_e^\varepsilon\|_{|\alpha|}^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+4}. \quad (4.21)$$

Step3: Summing (4.20) and (4.21), following the same procedure as we did for the higher order estimates in the previous section, we obtain (4.14).  $\square$

**4.2. Proof of Theorem 2.2.** Summing up (4.14) for  $|\alpha| \leq s$  and combining (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \sum_{\nu=e,i} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_s^2 \right) \\ &\leq C \sum_{\nu=e,i} \|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_s^2 + \|\nabla \Phi^\varepsilon\|_s^2 + C \varepsilon^{4m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon)$  is positive definite,  $\sum_{|\alpha| \leq s} \langle A_\nu^0(n_\nu^\varepsilon) \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon, \partial_x^\alpha W_\nu^\varepsilon \rangle$  is equivalent to  $\|W_\nu^\varepsilon\|_s^2$ . By applying the Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\|W_\nu^\varepsilon(t)\|_s^2 \leq C \varepsilon^{4m+2}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T^{1, \frac{1}{\varepsilon}}].$$

The rest of the proof is also based on the continuous method, which is similar as what we did in the section of the zero-electron mass limit, we omit it here.

**Acknowledgments.** Shuai Xi's research was supported by the Cultivation Project of Young and Innovative Talents in Universities of Shandong Province.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Ali and L. Chen, The zero-electron-mass limit in the Euler-Poisson system for both well-and ill-prepared initial data, *Nonlinearity*, **24** (2011) 2745–2761.
- [2] G. Ali, L. Chen, A. Jüngel and Y.J. Peng, The zero-electron-mass limit in the hydrodynamic model for plasmas, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **72** (2010) 4415–4427.
- [3] C. Besse, P. Degond, F. Deluzet, J. Claudel, G. Gallice and C. Tessieras, A model hierarchy for ionospheric plasma modeling, *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.*, **14** (2004) 393–415.
- [4] F. Chen, Introduction to Plasma Physics and Controlled Fusion, Vol. 1, *Plenum Press*, 1984.
- [5] T. Goudon, A. Jüngel and Y.J. Peng, Zero-mass-electrons limits in hydrodynamic models for plasmas, *Appl. Math. Lett.*, **12** (1999) 75–79.
- [6] A. Jüngel and Y.J. Peng, A hierarchy of hydrodynamic models for plasmas. Zero-electron-mass limits in the drift-diffusion equations, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, **17** (2000) 83–118.
- [7] A. Jüngel and Y.J. Peng, Zero-relaxation-time limits in the hydrodynamic equations for plasmas revisited, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, **51** (2000) 385–396.
- [8] T. Kato, The Cauchy problem for quasi-linear symmetric hyperbolic systems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.*, **58** (1975) 181–205.
- [9] S. Klainerman and A. Majda, Singular limits of quasilinear hyperbolic systems with large parameters and the incompressible limit of compressible fluids, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **34** (1981) 481–524.
- [10] P.D. Lax, Hyperbolic systems of conservation laws and the mathematical theory of shock waves, *SIAM Regional Conf. Lecture*, No. 11, Philadelphia, 1973.
- [11] Y.C. Li, Y.J. Peng and Y.G. Wang, From two-fluid Euler-Poisson equations to one-fluid Euler equations, *Asymptot. Anal.*, **85** (2013) 125–148.
- [12] Y.C. Li, Y.J. Peng and S. Xi, Rigorous derivation of a Boltzmann relation from isothermal Euler-Poisson systems, *J. Math. Phys.*, **59** (2018) 123501.
- [13] C.M. Liu, Z.J. Guo and Y.J. Peng, Global stability of large steady-states for an isentropic Euler-Maxwell system in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , *Commun. Math. Sci.*, **17** (2019) 1841–1860.
- [14] A. Majda, Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables, Vol. 53, *Springer Science & Business Media*, 1984.
- [15] Y.J. Peng and S. Wang, Convergence of compressible Euler-Maxwell equations to compressible Euler-Poisson equations, *Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B*, **28** (2007) 583–602.
- [16] Y.J. Peng and S. Wang, Convergence of compressible Euler-Maxwell equations to incompressible Euler equations, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **33** (2008), 349–376.
- [17] Y.J. Peng and S. Wang, Rigorous derivation of incompressible e-MHD equations from compressible Euler-Maxwell equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **40** (2008) 540–565.
- [18] Y.J. Peng and S. Wang, Asymptotic expansions in two-fluid compressible Euler-Maxwell equations with small parameters, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **23** (2009) 415–433.
- [19] H. Rishbeth and O. Garriott, Introduction to ionospheric physics, *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 1969.
- [20] J. Xu and W.A. Yong, Zero-electron-mass limit of hydrodynamic models for plasmas, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, **141** (2011) 431–447.

- [21] J. Xu and T. Zhang, Zero-electron-mass limit of Euler-Poisson equations, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, **33** (2013) 4743–4768.
- [22] J.W. Yang and S. Wang, The non-relativistic limit of Euler-Maxwell equations for two-fluid plasma, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **72** (2010) 1829–1840.