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#### Abstract

Suppose $F: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ be a convex function of class $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ which is even and positively homogeneous of degree 1 . We denote $\gamma_{1}=\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x}{\|u\|_{p}^{N}}$. and define the norm $\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=\left(\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x-\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ be a smooth bounded domain. Then for $p>1$ and $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$, we have $$
\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty
$$ where $0<\lambda \leq \lambda_{N}=N^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ and $\kappa_{N}$ is the volume of a unit Wulff ball. Moreover, by using blow-up analysis and capacity technique, we prove that the supremum can be attained for any $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$.
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## 1 Introduction and main results

Suppose $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ be a bounded smooth domain. When $1<p<$ $N$, the Sobolev embedding theorem implies that $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ is continuous for $1 \leq q \leq \frac{N p}{N-p}$. In particular, $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{q}(\Omega)$ for $1 \leq q<\infty$, but the

[^0]embedding $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \nprec L^{\infty}(\Omega)$. A counterexample is given by the function $u(x)=$ $(-\ln |\ln | x| |)_{+}$as $\Omega$ is the unit ball. It was proposed independently by Yudovich [55], Pohozaev [38], Peetre [39] and Trudinger [46] that $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ is embedded in the Orlicz space $L_{\varphi_{\alpha}}(\Omega)$ determined by the Young function $\varphi_{\alpha}(t)=e^{\alpha|t|^{N-1}}-1$ for some positive number $\alpha$, it was sharpened by Moser [36] who found the best exponent and proved the following result:
Theorem A There exists a sharp constant $\alpha_{N}:=N^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \omega_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ such that
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\|\nabla u\|_{N} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty, \forall \alpha \leq \alpha_{N}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\omega_{N}$ is the volume of unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Moreover, the supremum in (1.1) is $+\infty$ if $\alpha>\alpha_{N}$. Related inequalities for unbounded domains were proposed by D. M. Cao [7] in dimension two and J. M. do Ó [15], Adachi-Tanaka [1] in high dimension, however they just considered the subcritical Trudinger-Moser inequality. $\operatorname{Ruf}[40]$ (for the case $N=2$ ), Li and Ruf [26] (for the general case $N \geq 2$ ) obtained the Trudinger-Moser inequality in the critical case by replacing the Dirichlet norm with the standard Sobolev norm in $W^{1, N}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Subsequntly, Masmoudi and Sani [34] derived Trudinger-Moser inequalities with the exact growth condition in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, These inequality plays an important role in geometric analysis and partial differential equations, we refer to $[10,12,4,13,23,16,34]$ and references therein. In [24], Lam, Lu and Zhang provide a precise relationship between subcritical and critical Trudinger-Moser inequality. The similar result in Lorentz-Sobolev norms was also proved by Lu and Tang [31]. Trudinger-Moser inequality for first order derivatives was extended to high order derivatives by D. Adams [2] for bounded domains when dimension $N \geq 2$. B. Ruf and F. Sani [41] studied the Adams type inequality with higher derivatives of even orders for unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. In [22], Lam and Lu applied a rearrangement-free argument to prove sharp Adams' inequality in general case.

One important problem on Trudinger-Moser inequalities is whether or not extremal functions exist. Existence of extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality was first obtained by Carleson-Chang [8] when $\Omega$ is the unit ball, by M. Struwe [42] when $\Omega$ is close to the ball in the sense of measure, then by M. Flucher
[19] and K. Lin [29] when $\Omega$ is a general bounded smooth domain. Recently based on the work by Malchiodi and Martinazzi in [33], Mancini and Martinazzi [35] reproved the Carleson and Chang's result by using a new method based on the Dirichlet energy, also allowing for perturbations of the functional. In the entire Euclidean space, existence of extremal functions was proved by Ruf [40] (for the case $N=2$ ) and Li and $\operatorname{Ruf}[26]$ (for the general case $N \geq 2$ ). For extremal functions of singular version, Csató and Roy [11] proved that extremal functions exist in bounded domain of 2 dimension. Li and Yang [27] proved that extremal functions exist in the entire Euclidean space.

Moreover, there are some extensions of the Trudinger-Moser inequality. Let $\alpha_{1}(\Omega)$ be the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian, Adimurthi and O. Druet [3] proved that

$$
\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{4 \pi u^{2}\left(1+\alpha\|u\|_{2}^{2}\right)} d x<+\infty
$$

for $0 \leq \alpha<\alpha_{1}(\Omega)$, the supremum is infinity for any $\alpha \geq \alpha_{1}(\Omega)$. This result was generalized by Yang $[51,52]$ to the cases of high dimension and a compact Riemannian surface. Lu-Yang [32] and J. Zhu [58] considered the case involving the $L^{p}$ norm for any $p>1$. For existence of extremal functions of Adimurthi-Druet type inequalities, they proved in $[52,32]$ that supremums $(N=2)$ are attained for sufficiently small $\alpha \geq 0$, and that the supremum $(N \geq 3)[51]$ is attained for all $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha<\alpha_{1}(\Omega)$. Subsequently, J.M. do $O$ and M. de Souza generalized the similar result in whole Euclidean space [14] and high dimension case [17], and the existence of extremal functions was also obtained. A stronger version was established by Tintarev [45], namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x-\alpha\|u\|_{2}^{2} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{4 \pi u^{2}} d x<+\infty, \quad 0 \leq \alpha<\alpha_{1}(\Omega), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Yang [53] obtained extremal functions for (1.2), which was also extended to singular version (see [54]). In [37], the author extends the result of Tintarev to the higher dimension as the following result:

Theorem B. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ be a smooth bounded domain and define

$$
\alpha(\Omega)=\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x}{\|u\|_{N}^{N}} .
$$

Then for any $0 \leq \alpha<\alpha(\Omega)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x-\alpha\|u\|_{N}^{N} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\alpha_{N}|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty, \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{N}:=N^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \omega_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ and $\omega_{N}$ is the volume of unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$.
Another interesting research is that Trudinger-Moser inequality has been generalized to the case of anisotropic norm. In this paper, denote that $F \in C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash 0\right)$ is a positive, convex and homogeneous function, $F_{\xi_{i}}=\frac{\partial F}{\partial \xi_{i}}$ and its polar $F^{o}(x)$ represents a Finsler metric on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We will replace the isotropic Dirichlet norm $\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{N} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ by the anisotropic Dirichlet norm $\left(\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. In [49], Wang and Xia proved the following result:
Theorem C (Anisotropic Trudinger-Moser Inequality). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ be a smooth bounded domain. Let $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ and $\left(\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x\right) \leq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N} u^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{N}=N^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ and $\kappa_{N}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F^{o}(x) \leq 1\right\} . \lambda_{N}$ is sharp in the sense that if $\lambda>\lambda_{N}$ then there exists a sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)$ such that $\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda u^{N-1}} d x$ diverges.

The above inequality is related with $N$-Finsler-Laplacian operator $Q_{N}$ which is defined by

$$
Q_{N} u:=\sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\left(F^{N-1}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u)\right),
$$

when $N=2$ and $F(\xi)=|\xi|, Q_{2}$ is just the ordinary Laplacian. This operator is closely related to a smooth, convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, which is called the Wulff shape (or equilibrium crystal shape) of $F$. This operator $Q_{N}$ was studied in some literatures, see $[5,6,18]$ and the references therein. In [56], they obtained the existence of extremal functions for the sharp geometric inequality (1.4).

Our aim is to establish and find extremal functions for Trudinger-Moser inequality involving $N$-Finsler-Laplacian and $L^{p}$ norm. For $p>1$, we denote

$$
\gamma_{1}=\inf _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), u \neq 0} \frac{\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x}{\|u\|_{p}^{N}}
$$

and

$$
\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=\left(\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x-\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} .
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ be a smooth bounded domain. Then for any $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma}=\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty, \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{N}=N^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ and $\kappa_{N}$ is the volume of a unit Wulff ball.
Remark 1.2. From Theorem 1.1, for $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$, we can derive the following weak version:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}\left(1+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty, \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the special case $p=N$ in (1.6), we refer reader to [57]. Next, let's show that we have got better result. Indeed, if $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}, u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ and $\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x \leq 1$. Set $v=\left(1+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}} u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$, note that $F$ is a positively homogeneous function of degree 1. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}^{N} & =\left(1+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right) \int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x-\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}-\gamma^{2}\|u\|_{p}^{2 N} \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying (1.5) to the function $v$, we obtain (1.6). This implies that (1.5) is a stronger inequality.

Theorem 1.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ be a smooth bounded domain. Then the supremum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma}=\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be attained by $u_{0} \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \bigcap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=1$.
This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we give some preliminaries, meanwhile, under anisotropic Dirichlet norm and $L^{p}$ norm, we establish the Lions type concentration-compactness principle of Trudinger-Moser Inequalities. In Section 3, we give the existence of subcritical maximizers. In Section 4, we analyze the convergence of maximizing sequence and its blow-up behavior, an upper bound is established by capacity estimates. In Section 5, we provide the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by contradiction arguments and the construction of test function.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we will give some preliminaries for our use later. Let $F: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow$ $[0,+\infty)$ be a convex function of class $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$ which is even and positively homogeneous of degree 1 , so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t \xi)=|t| F(\xi) \quad \text { for any } \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also assume that $F(\xi)>0$ for any $\xi \neq 0$ and $\operatorname{Hess}\left(F^{2}\right)$ is positive definite in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$. Then by Xie and Gong [50], $\operatorname{Hess}\left(F^{N}\right)$ is also positive definite in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}$. A typical example is $F(\xi)=\left(\sum_{i}\left|\xi_{i}\right|^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$ for $q \in[1, \infty)$. Let $F^{o}$ be the support function of $K:=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F(x) \leq 1\right\}$, which is defined by

$$
F^{o}(x):=\sup _{\xi \in K}\langle x, \xi\rangle,
$$

so $F^{o}: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ is also a convex, homogeneous function of class $C^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}\right)$. From [5], $F^{o}$ is dual to $F$ in the sense that

$$
F^{o}(x)=\sup _{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\langle x, \xi\rangle}{F(\xi)}, \quad F(x)=\sup _{\xi \neq 0} \frac{\langle x, \xi\rangle}{F^{o}(\xi)} .
$$

Consider the map $\phi: S^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}, \phi(\xi)=F_{\xi}(\xi)$. Its image $\phi\left(S^{N-1}\right)$ is smooth, convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, which is called the Wulff shape (or equilibrium crystal shape) of $F$. Then $\phi\left(S^{N-1}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid F^{o}(x)=1\right\}$ (see [48], Proposition 2.1). Denote $\mathcal{W}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F^{o}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \leq r\right\}$, we call $\mathcal{W}_{r}(0)$ is a Wulff ball of radius $r$ with center at 0 . We will use the convex symmetrization, which is defined in [5]. The convex symmetrization generalizes the Schwarz symmetrization(see [44]). Let us consider a measured function $u$ on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$, one dimensional decreasing rearrangement of $u$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\sharp}(t)=\sup \{s \geq 0:|\{x \in \Omega: u(x) \geq s\}|>t\} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The convex symmetrization of $u$ with respect to $F$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\star}(x)=u^{\sharp}\left(\kappa_{N} F^{o}(x)^{N}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad x \in \Omega^{\star} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\kappa_{N} F^{o}(x)^{N}$ is just the Lebesgue measure of a homothetic Wulff ball with radius $F^{o}(x)$ and $\Omega^{\star}$ is the homothetic Wulff ball centered at the origin having the same
measure as $\Omega$. In [5], the authors proved a Pólya-Szegö principle and a comparison result for solutions of the Dirichlet problem for elliptic equations for the convex symmetrization, which generalizes the classical results for Schwarz symmetrization due to Talenti [44].

Now, we give the definition of anisotropic perimeter of a set with respect to $F$, a co-area formula and an isoperimetric inequality. Precisely, for a a subset $E \subset \Omega$ and a function of bounded variation $u \in B V(\Omega)$, anisotropic bounded variation of $u$ with respect to $F$ is

$$
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|_{F}=\sup \left\{\int_{\Omega} u \cdot \operatorname{div} \sigma d x: \sigma \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right), F^{o}(\sigma) \leq 1\right\} .
$$

Define the anisotropic perimeter of $E$ with respect to $F$ as

$$
P_{F}(E):=\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla \chi_{E}\right|_{F},
$$

where $\chi_{E}$ is the characteristic function of the set $E$. From the reference [20], we have the co-area formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|_{F}=\int_{0}^{\infty} P_{F}(|u|>t) d t \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the isoperimetric inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{F}(E) \geq N \kappa_{N}^{1 / N}|E|^{1-\frac{1}{N}} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will establish the Lions type concentration-compactness principle [30] for Trudinger-Moser Inequalities under anisotropic Dirichlet norm and $L^{p}$ norm, which is the extention of Theorem 1.1 in [9] and Lemma 2.3 in [56].

Lemma 2.1. Suppose $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ be a sequence such that $\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=1, u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \not \equiv 0$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. Then for any

$$
0<q<q_{N}(u):=\left(1-\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}^{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N} q\left|u_{n}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{N}=N^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}$ and $\kappa_{N}$ is the volume of a unit Wulff ball. Moreover, this conclusion fails if $p \geq p_{N}(u)$.

Proof. Since $\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=1$, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1+\gamma\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{p}^{N}\right)=1+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N} .
$$

Let

$$
v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left(\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}},
$$

we have $\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right) d x=1$ and $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v:=u /\left(1+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$. By Lemma 2.3 of [56], it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N} r\left|v_{n}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<+\infty \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $0<r<\left(1-\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right) d x\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}$. Since $q<q_{N}(u)=\left(1-\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}^{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} & =q\left(1+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \\
& <\left(\frac{1+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}}{1-\left(\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N}\right)}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \\
& =\left(1-\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right) d x\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}} \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Take $r<\left(1-\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla v_{n}\right) d x\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}$ such that $\left.q \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{n}\right) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}<r$ for $n$ large enough. Thus
for $n$ large enough. The result is followed from (2.7).
Now, as the similar process of Theorem 2.2 in [43], we give the following estimate involving N-Finsler-Laplacian and $L^{p}$ norm.

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $p>1$ and $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$. Let $f \in L^{1}(\Omega)$ and $u \in$ $C^{1}(\bar{\Omega}) \bigcap W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q_{N} u=f+\gamma\|u\|_{p}^{N-p}|u|^{p-2} u \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{N} u=\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}(\nabla u) F_{\xi_{i}}(\nabla u)\right)$. Then for any $1<q<N, u \in W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ and

$$
\|u\|_{W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(q, N, \gamma, \gamma_{1}\right)\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} .
$$

Proof. Fix $t>0$. Testing (2.10) by $u^{t}:=\min \{u, t\} \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ and integrating by parts, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u^{t}\right) d x & \leq \int_{\Omega} f u^{t} d x+\gamma \int_{\Omega}\left\|u^{t}\right\|_{p}^{N-p}\left|u^{t}\right|^{p} d x \\
& \leq t\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{1}} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u^{t}\right) d x \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u^{t}\right) d x \leq \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-\gamma} t\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\mathcal{W}_{r}(0)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: F^{o}(x) \leq r\right\}$ be a Wulff ball of the same measure as $\Omega$. Let $v^{\star}$ be the convex symmetrization of $u^{t}$ with respect to $F$ and $\left|\mathcal{W}_{\rho}(0)\right|=\mid x \in$ $\left.\mathcal{W}_{r}(0): v^{\star} \geq t\right\} \mid$. In [5], the authors proved the Pólya-Szegö principle

$$
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{r}(0)} F^{N}\left(\nabla v^{\star}\right) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u^{t}\right) d x .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\inf _{\phi \in W_{0}^{1, N}\left(\mathcal{W}_{r}(0)\right), \phi \mid \mathcal{W}_{\rho}=t} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{r}(0)} F^{N}(\nabla \phi) d x & \leq \int_{\mathcal{W}_{r}(0)} F^{N}\left(\nabla v^{\star}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-\gamma} t\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

On the other hand, the above infimum can be achieved by

$$
\phi_{0}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
t \log \frac{r}{F^{o}(x)} / \log \frac{r}{\rho} & \text { in } & \mathcal{W}_{r}(0) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\rho}(0),  \tag{2.14}\\
t & \text { in } & \mathcal{W}_{\rho}(0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since $F\left(\nabla F^{o}(x)\right)=1$, through the direct computation, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{r}(0)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{0}\right) d x & =\int_{\rho}^{r} F^{N}\left(\frac{t}{\log \frac{r}{\rho}} \frac{\nabla F^{o}(x)}{-s}\right) \int_{\partial \omega_{s}} \frac{1}{\left|\nabla F^{o}(x)\right|} d \sigma d s \\
& =\int_{\rho}^{r} \frac{t^{N}}{\left(\log \frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{N}} \frac{1}{s^{N}} \int_{\partial \omega_{s}} \frac{1}{\nabla F^{o}(x) \mid} d \sigma d s \\
& =\int_{\rho}^{r} \frac{t^{N}}{\left(\log \frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{N}} \frac{1}{s^{N}} N \kappa_{N} s^{N-1} d s \\
& =\frac{N \kappa_{N} t^{N}}{\left(\log \frac{r}{\rho}\right)^{N-1}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence $\frac{N \kappa_{N} t^{N}}{\left(\log \frac{\frac{1}{\rho}}{\rho}\right)^{-1}} \leq \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-\gamma} t\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}$, it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
|\{x \in \Omega: u(x) \geq t\}|=\left|\mathcal{W}_{\rho}(0)\right| & =\kappa_{N} \rho^{N} \\
& \leq \kappa_{N} r^{N} \exp \left(-N\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} t\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}-\gamma}{\gamma_{1}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\right) \\
& \leq|\Omega| \exp \left(-N\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} t\left(\frac{\gamma_{1}-\gamma}{\gamma_{1}}\|f\|_{L^{1}}^{-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\right) \\
& =:|\Omega| e^{-N C_{1} t} . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

For every $0<b<N C_{1}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} e^{b u} d x & \leq \int_{\{x: u(x) \leq 1\}} e^{b u} d x+\int_{\{x: u(x) \geq 1\}} e^{b u} d x \\
& \leq e^{b}|\Omega|+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{b(k+1)}|\{x \in \Omega: k \leq u \leq k+1\}| \\
& \leq e^{b}|\Omega|+e^{b}|\Omega| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{\left(b-N C_{1}\right) k} \leq C(b)|\Omega| \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (2.12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\{x: u \leq t\}} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x \leq \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-\gamma} t\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} \frac{F^{N}(\nabla u)}{1+u^{2}} d x & =\int_{\{x: u(x) \leq 1\}} \frac{F^{N}(\nabla u)}{1+u^{2}} d x+\int_{\{x: u(x) \geq 1\}} \frac{F^{N}(\nabla u)}{1+u^{2}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\{x: u(x) \leq 1\}} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x+\sum_{m \geq 0} \int_{\left\{x: 2^{m} \leq u(x) \leq 2^{m+1}\right\}} \frac{F^{N}(\nabla u)}{u^{2}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\{x: u(x) \leq 1\}} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x+\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{m}} \int_{\left\{x: 2^{m} \leq u(x) \leq 2^{m+1}\right\}} \frac{F^{N}(\nabla u)}{u} d x \\
& \leq \frac{\gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-\gamma}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}+\sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{1}{2^{m}} \frac{2 \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-\gamma}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)} \\
& =\frac{5 \gamma_{1}}{\gamma_{1}-\gamma}\|f\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}, \tag{2.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the estimate (2.18) for $t=1$ and $t=2^{m+1}$ in last inequality. For $1<q<N, \frac{q}{N}+\frac{N-q}{N}=1$, by Young's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} F^{q}(\nabla u) d x & =\int_{\Omega} \frac{F^{q}(\nabla u)}{\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{q / N}}\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{q / N} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} \frac{F^{N}(\nabla u)}{1+u^{2}} d x+\int_{\Omega}\left(1+u^{2}\right)^{q /(N-q)} d x \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The desired bound now follows from (2.17) and (2.19).

## 3 Maximizers of the subcritical case

In this section, we will show the existence of the maximizers for Trudinger-Moser in the subcritical case.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 2)$ be a smooth bounded domain. Then for any $\epsilon \in\left(0, \lambda_{N}\right)$, the supremum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}=\sup _{u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p} \leq 1} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be attained by $u_{\epsilon} \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega) \bigcap C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ with $\left\|u_{\epsilon, n}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=1$. In the distributional sense, $u_{\epsilon}$ satisfies the following equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} u_{\epsilon}-\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} u_{\epsilon}^{p-1}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{3.2}\\
u_{\epsilon}=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega, \\
\lambda_{\epsilon}=\int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} d x .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover,

$$
\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\epsilon}>0
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}=\Lambda_{\gamma} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $u_{\epsilon, n}$ be a maximizing sequence for $\Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}$, i.e., $u_{\epsilon, n} \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega),\left\|u_{\epsilon, n}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p} \leq$ 1 and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon, n}\right|^{N-1}} d x \rightarrow \Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since $\gamma<\gamma_{1}$, thus

$$
\left(1-\frac{\gamma}{\gamma_{1}}\right) \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, n}\right) d x \leq \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, n}\right) d x-\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon, n}\right\|_{p}^{N} \leq 1
$$

which lead to $u_{\epsilon, n}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$, so there exists some $u_{\epsilon} \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ such that up to a subsequence, $u_{\epsilon, n} \rightharpoonup u_{\epsilon}$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), u_{\epsilon, n} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}$ strongly in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for any $q \geq 1$, and $u_{\epsilon, n} \rightarrow u_{\epsilon}$ a. e. in $\Omega$. We claim that $u_{\epsilon} \not \equiv 0$. If otherwise, then
$\limsup _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, n}\right) d x \leq 1$. The anisotropic Trudinger-Moser inequality implies $e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon, n}\right|^{N-1}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{s}(\Omega)$ for any $1<s<\frac{\lambda_{N}}{\lambda_{N}-\epsilon}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon, n}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x=|\Omega| \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is impossible. So $u_{\epsilon} \not \equiv 0$ and Lemma 2.1 implies $\int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon, n}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} d x \text { in } L^{s}(\Omega), ~(\Omega)}$ for some $s>1$. Consequently, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon, n}\right| \frac{N}{N-1}} d x=\int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $u_{\epsilon}$ attains the supremum. We claim $\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=1$. In fact, if $\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}<1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}=\int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x<\int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|\frac{u_{\epsilon}}{\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}}\right|^{N-1}} d x \leq \Lambda_{N, \epsilon} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Furthermore, we know that $u_{\epsilon}$ satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation in distributional sense. By regularity theory obtained in [28], we have $u_{\epsilon} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$.

Since $e^{t} \leq 1+t e^{t}$ for $t \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
\leq & |\Omega|+\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) \int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{N-1}} d x \\
= & |\Omega|+\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) \lambda_{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to $\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\epsilon}>0$.
Obviously, $\lim \sup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon} \leq \Lambda_{\gamma}$. On the other hand, for any $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ with $\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p} \leq 1$, by Fatou's lemma, we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \leq \liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{N-1}} d x \leq \liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon},
$$

which implies that $\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon} \geq \Lambda_{\gamma}$. Thus $\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}=\Lambda_{\gamma}$.

## 4 Maximizers of the critical case

In this section, by using blow-up analysis, we analyze the behavior of the maximizers $u_{\epsilon}$ in section 3 . Since $u_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$, up to a subsequence, we can assume $u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup u_{0}$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega), u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ strongly in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for any $q \geq 1$, and $u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ a.e. in $\Omega$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

### 4.1 Blow-up analysis

Let $c_{\epsilon}=\max _{\bar{\Omega}} u_{\epsilon}=u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)$. If $c_{\epsilon}$ is bounded, then for any $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ with $\|u\|_{N, F, \gamma, p} \leq 1$, by Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
& \leq \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}\left|u_{0}\right|^{N-1}} d x \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore $u_{0}$ is the desired maximizer. Moreover, $u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow u_{0}$ and $u_{0} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$ by standard elliptic regularity theory. In the following, we consider another case, we assume $c_{\epsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$ and $x_{\epsilon} \rightarrow x_{0}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We assume $x_{0} \in \Omega$, at the end of this section, we shall exclude the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$.

Lemma 4.1. $u_{0} \equiv 0$ and $F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x \rightharpoonup \delta_{x_{0}}$ weakly in the sense of measure as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, where $\delta_{x_{0}}$ is the Dirac measure at $x_{0}$.

Proof. Suppose $u_{0} \not \equiv 0$. Notice that $\lim _{\inf }^{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\epsilon}>0$, by Lemma 2.1 and Hölder inequality, we have $\frac{1}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{2-N}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for some $q>1$. Then by Lemma 2.2 in [56], $u_{\epsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $\Omega$, which contradicts $c_{\epsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Hence $u_{0} \equiv 0$.

Notice that $\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x=1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N} \rightarrow 1$. If $F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x \rightharpoonup \mu \neq \delta_{x_{0}}$ in the sense of measure as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, then there exists $\theta<1$ and $r>0$ small enough such that

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x<\theta
$$

Consider the cut-off function $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, which is supported in $\mathcal{W}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)$ for some $r>0,0 \leq \phi \leq 1$ and $\phi=1$ in $\mathcal{W}_{\frac{r}{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)$. Since $u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for any $q>1$, we have

$$
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla\left(\phi u_{\epsilon}\right) d x \leq \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{r}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon} d x<\theta\right.\right.
$$

For sufficiently small $\epsilon>0$, using anisotropic Moser-Trudinger inequality to $\phi u_{\epsilon}$,we have $e^{\lambda_{N} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x$ is uniformly bounded in $L^{s}\left(\mathcal{W}_{\frac{r}{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ for some $s>1$. From Lemma 2.2 in [56], $u_{\epsilon}$ is uniformly bounded in $\mathcal{W}_{\frac{r}{2}}\left(x_{0}\right)$, which contradicts to $c_{\epsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$. Hence $F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x \rightharpoonup \delta_{0}$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{\epsilon}^{N}=\lambda_{\epsilon} c_{\epsilon}^{-N /(N-1)} e^{-\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\Omega_{\epsilon}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} x \in \Omega\right\}$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\epsilon}(x)=c_{\epsilon}^{-1} u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} x\right) \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\epsilon}(x)=c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(x)-1\right)=c_{\epsilon}^{1 /(N-1)}\left(u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} x\right)-c_{\epsilon}\right) . \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following:
Lemma 4.2. Let $r_{\epsilon}, \psi_{\epsilon}(x)$ and $\varphi_{\epsilon}$ be defined as in (4.2)-(5.3). Then
(i) there hold $r_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$;
(ii) $\psi_{\epsilon}(x) \rightarrow 1$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$;
(iii) $\varphi_{\epsilon}(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \left(1+\kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} F^{o}(x)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{\frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_{N} \varphi} d x=1 \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) From (4.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{\epsilon}^{N} c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)} & =\lambda_{\epsilon} e^{-\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} \\
& =\int_{\Omega}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{N-1}} d x \cdot e^{-\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} \\
& \leq c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)} e^{\left(\frac{\lambda_{N}}{2}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} \int_{\Omega} e^{\frac{\lambda_{N}}{2}\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{N-1}} d x \cdot e^{-\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} \\
& \leq C c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)} e^{-\frac{\lambda_{N}}{2} c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} r_{\epsilon}=0$.
(ii) By a direction calculation, $0 \leq \psi_{\epsilon} \leq 1$ and $\psi_{\epsilon}$ is a weak solution to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}\left(\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}\right) F_{\xi}\left(\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \\
& =c_{\epsilon}^{-N} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{N-1}-1\right)} \psi_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}+\gamma c_{\epsilon}^{p-N} r_{\epsilon}^{N}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} \psi_{\epsilon}^{p-1} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $c_{\epsilon}^{-N} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-1\right)} \psi_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \leq c_{\epsilon}^{-N} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\int_{B_{r_{\epsilon}^{-1}}}\left(c_{\epsilon}^{p-N} r_{\epsilon}^{N}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} \psi_{\epsilon}^{p-1}\right)^{p /(p-1)} d x\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \\
= & c_{\epsilon}^{1-N} r_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{p}}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-1} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we obtain that $\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}\left(\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}\right) F_{\xi}\left(\nabla \psi_{\epsilon}\right)\right)$ is bounded in $L^{p /(p-1)}\left(B_{r_{\epsilon}^{-1}}\right)$. Using elliptic regularity theory (see[47]), we have $\psi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \psi$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, where $\psi$ is a weak solution to the equation

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}(\nabla \psi) F_{\xi}(\nabla \psi)\right)=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

The Liouville theorem (see [21]) implies $\psi \equiv 1$.
(iii) We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}\left(\nabla \varphi_{\epsilon}\right) F_{\xi}\left(\nabla \varphi_{\epsilon}\right)\right) \\
& =e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-1\right)} \psi_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}+\gamma c_{\epsilon}^{p} r_{\epsilon}^{N}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} \psi_{\epsilon}^{p-1} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega_{\epsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $p>N$, for $R>0$ and sufficiently small $\epsilon$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} & \leq\left(\int_{B_{R r_{\epsilon}}} u_{\epsilon}^{p} d x\right)^{N / P-1} \\
& =c_{\epsilon}^{N-p} r_{\epsilon}^{N^{2} / p-N}\left(\int_{B_{R}} \psi_{\epsilon}^{p} d x\right)^{N / P-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 \leq \psi_{\epsilon} \leq 1, \psi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 1$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, we have $\int_{B_{R}} \psi^{p} d x>0$. Thus

$$
c_{\epsilon}^{p} r_{\epsilon}^{N}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} \psi_{\epsilon}^{p-1} \leq 2\left(\int_{B_{R}} \psi_{\epsilon}^{p} d x\right)^{N / P-1} c_{\epsilon}^{N} r_{\epsilon}^{N^{2} / p} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0 .
$$

When $1<p \leq N$, obviously, $c_{\epsilon}^{p} r_{\epsilon}^{N}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} \psi_{\epsilon}^{p-1} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. From regularity theory in [47], up to a subsequence, there exists $\varphi \in C^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \varphi$ in
$C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. From the definition of $\psi_{\epsilon}$ and $\varphi_{\epsilon}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} x\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}} & =c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(x)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-1\right) \\
& =c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\left(1+\psi_{\epsilon}(x)-1\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-1\right) \\
& =\frac{N}{N-1} \varphi_{\epsilon}(x)+c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}} O\left(\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(x)-1\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{N}{N-1} \varphi_{\epsilon}(x)+O\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(x)-1\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By $\epsilon \rightarrow 0, \varphi$ satisfies

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}(\nabla \varphi) F_{\xi}(\nabla \varphi)\right)=e^{\frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_{N} \varphi} \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \\
\varphi(x) \leq \varphi(0)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Combing (4.2) and (4.3), for any $R>0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)} e^{\frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_{N} \varphi} d x & =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left(u_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} x\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)} d x \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\epsilon}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R} r_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}(y)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d y \\
& =\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{\epsilon}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R} r_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} u_{\epsilon}(y)^{N /(N-1)} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}(y)^{N^{N}-1}} d y \\
& \leq 1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{\frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_{N} \varphi} d x \leq 1
$$

From co-area formula (2.4) and isoperimetric inequality (2.5), through a simple computation, it follows from Höder inequlity, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{\frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_{N} \varphi} d x \geq 1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{\frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_{N} \varphi} d x=1 \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies $\varphi$ is symmetric with respect to $F^{o}$, i.e., $\varphi(x)=\varphi\left(F^{o}(x)\right)$ (see [48], Prop. 6.1). Thus we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(x)=-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \left(1+\kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} F^{o}(x)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote $\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)$ be a Wulff ball of radius $R$ with center at $x_{\epsilon}$. For any $0<a<1$, use the notation

$$
u_{\epsilon, a}=\min \left\{u_{\epsilon}, a c_{\epsilon}\right\} .
$$

Then we have the following:
Lemma 4.3. For any $0<a<1$, there holds

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, a}\right) d x=a .
$$

Proof. Testing the equation (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, a}\right) d x & =\int_{\Omega} F^{N-1}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) F_{\xi}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) \cdot u_{\epsilon, a} d x \\
& =-\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) F_{\xi}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)\right) u_{\epsilon, a} d x \\
& =\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} u_{\epsilon, a} d x+\gamma \int_{\Omega}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} u_{\epsilon}^{p-1} u_{\epsilon, a} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any $R>0$, we have $\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \subset\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\}$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, a}\right) d x= \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} u_{\epsilon, a} d x \\
&+\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} u_{\epsilon, a} d x+\gamma \int_{\Omega}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} u_{\epsilon}^{p-1} u_{\epsilon, a} d x \\
&>a c_{\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} u_{\epsilon, a} d x+o_{\epsilon}(1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $x=x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} y$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a c_{\epsilon} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{1}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} u_{\epsilon, a} d x \\
= & a \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)} \psi_{\epsilon}(y)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(y)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-1\right)} d y \rightarrow \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)} e^{\frac{N}{N-1} \lambda_{N} \varphi} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $R \rightarrow \infty$, we derive that

$$
\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, a}\right) d x \geq a
$$

Similarly, we choose ( $u_{\epsilon}-u_{\epsilon, a}$ ) as a test function of (3.3), we obtain $\liminf _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.u_{\epsilon, a}\right)\right) \geq 1-a$. Notice that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, a}\right) d x & =\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{\epsilon, a}\right)\right) d x \\
& =1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}-\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla\left(u_{\epsilon}-u_{\epsilon, a}\right)\right) d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, a}\right) d x \leq a .
$$

We have finished the proof of the lemma.
Lemma 4.4. There holds

$$
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{N-1}} d x \leq|\Omega|+\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} .
$$

Proof. For any $0<a<1$, by Lemma 4.3, we have $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon, a}\right) d x=a<1$. From anisotropic Trudinger-Moser inequality, $e^{\lambda_{N} u_{\epsilon, a}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}$ is bounded in $L^{q}(\Omega)$ for some $q>1$. Notice that $u_{\epsilon, a} \rightarrow 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$, which implies $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N} u_{\epsilon, a}^{\frac{N}{N}-1}}=|\Omega|$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} & =\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x+\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon, a}^{N-1}} d x+\frac{1}{\left(a c_{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon} \frac{N}{N_{\alpha}-1}} d x+\frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{\left(a c_{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $a \rightarrow 1$, we conclude our proof.
As a consequence of Lemma 4.4, for any $\theta<\frac{N}{N-1}$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{\theta}}=+\infty . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If otherwise, $\frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, by Lemma 4.4, we have $\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \leq$ $|\Omega|$, which is impossible.

Lemma 4.5. For any $1<q<N, c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup G$ in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$, where $G$ is a distributional solution to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}(\nabla G) F_{\xi}(\nabla G)\right)=\delta_{x_{0}}+\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N-p} G^{p-1} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{4.10}\\
G=0 \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
\end{array}\right.
$$

Furthermore, $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow G$ in $C_{\text {loc }}^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$, and $G$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x)=-\frac{1}{\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \log F^{o}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+A_{x_{0}}+\xi(x) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{x_{0}}$ is a constant depending only on $x_{0}, \xi \in C^{0}(\bar{\Omega}) \bigcap C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\Omega \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$ and $\xi(x)=$ $O\left(F^{o}\left(x-x_{0}\right)\right)$ as $x \rightarrow x_{0}$.

Proof. Firstly, we claim for any function $\phi \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \phi d x=\phi\left(x_{0}\right) . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, for any $0<a<1$ and $R>0$, we have $\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \subset\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\}$ as $\epsilon>0$ small enough, we denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \phi d x \\
= & \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} \phi d x+\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \phi d x \\
& +\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \phi d x \\
:= & I+I I+I I I .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 4.3 and (4.10), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I= & \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon} \leq a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \phi d x \\
& \leq \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} \int_{\Omega} u_{\epsilon, a}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon \epsilon u_{\epsilon, a}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right.} \phi d x \\
& =o_{\epsilon}(1) O(a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Making the change in variable $x=x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} y$, since $\phi\left(x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} \cdot\right) \rightarrow \phi\left(x_{0}\right)$ uniformly in $\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)$. Note $r_{\epsilon}^{N}=\lambda_{\epsilon} c_{\epsilon}^{-N /(N-1)} e^{-\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}}$, together with (4.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I & =\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \phi d x \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} c_{\epsilon} r_{\epsilon}^{-N} c_{\epsilon}^{-N /(N-1)} e^{-\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N /(N-1)}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} \phi d x \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)} c_{\epsilon} r_{\epsilon}^{-N} c_{\epsilon}^{-N /(N-1)} c_{\epsilon}^{1 /(N-1)} \psi_{\epsilon}(y)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) c_{\epsilon}^{N-1}}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(y)^{\left.\frac{N}{N-1}-1\right)} \phi\left(x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} y\right) r_{\epsilon}^{N} d y\right. \\
& =\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)} \psi_{\epsilon}(y)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left.\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\right)_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\psi_{\epsilon}(y)^{\left.\frac{N}{N-1}-1\right)} \phi\left(x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} y\right) d y\right. \\
& \rightarrow \phi\left(x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $R \rightarrow \infty$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I I I & =\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{T_{\epsilon}^{N-1}}} \phi d x \\
& \leq\|\phi\|_{\infty}\left(\int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{T_{\epsilon}^{N-1}}} d x-\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x\right) \\
& \leq\|\phi\|_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a} \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\}} \frac{u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} d x-\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}^{N}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x\right) \\
& \leq\|\phi\|_{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{a}-\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)}} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} d x\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{a \rightarrow 1} \lim _{R \rightarrow \infty} \lim _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\left\{u_{\epsilon}>a c_{\epsilon}\right\} \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \phi d x=0 .
$$

Combing the above discussion, we have proved the claim. From (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-Q_{N}\left(c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}\right)=\frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}+\gamma\left\|c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p}\left(c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{p-1} . \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (4.12) that $\frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}$ is bounded in $L^{1}(\Omega)$. From Lemma 2.2, we know that $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}$ is bounded in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ for any $1<q<N$. Hence there exists some $G \in W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ such that $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup G$ in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)$ for any $1<q<N$. Multiplying (4.13) with $\phi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\Omega} \phi Q_{N}\left(c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}\right) d x & =\int_{\Omega} \phi \frac{c_{\epsilon}}{\lambda_{\epsilon}} u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right) u_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
& +\gamma\left\|c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N-p} \int_{\Omega} \phi\left(c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}\right)^{p-1} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, using again (4.12), we have

$$
\int_{\Omega} \nabla \phi F^{N-1}(\nabla G) F_{\xi}(\nabla G) d x=\phi\left(x_{0}\right)+\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N-p} \int_{\Omega} \phi G^{p-1} d x
$$

Therefore, in the distributional sense,

$$
-\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}(\nabla G) F_{\xi}(\nabla G)\right)=\delta_{x_{0}}+\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N-p} G^{p-1} \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega .
$$

Applying with the standard elliptic regularity theory in [47], we get $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow G$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$. Finally, replacing the right hand term in equation (4.11), we use
the similar discussion as Lemma 4.7 in [57], the asymptotic representation of Green function can immediateiy derived. This complete the proof of Lemma 4.5.

Next, we will exclude the case $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. Denote $d_{\epsilon}=\operatorname{dist}\left(x_{\epsilon}, \partial \Omega\right)$ and $r_{\epsilon}$ be defined by (4.2). We obtain

Lemma 4.6. If $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$ and $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. Then $\frac{r_{\epsilon}}{d_{\epsilon}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Moreover, $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)(1<q<N)$ and $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that $\frac{r_{\epsilon}}{d_{\epsilon}} \rightarrow 0$. If not, there exist some constant $\delta$ such that $\frac{r_{\epsilon}}{d_{\epsilon}} \geq \delta$ and $y_{\epsilon} \in \partial \Omega, d_{\epsilon}=\left|x_{\epsilon}-y_{\epsilon}\right|$. Let

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{\epsilon}=\frac{u_{\epsilon}\left(y_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} x\right)}{c_{\epsilon}}
$$

As the similar procedure of interior case, we have

$$
\tilde{\psi}_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { in } \quad C^{1}\left(B_{R}^{+}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad\left\|\tilde{\psi}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\overline{\left.B_{R}^{+}\right)}\right.}=1
$$

This is impossible because of $\tilde{\psi}_{\epsilon}(0)=0$. Secondly, let $\Omega_{\epsilon}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}: x_{\epsilon}+r_{\epsilon} \epsilon \in \Omega\right\}$, we have know that $\frac{r_{\epsilon}}{d_{\epsilon}} \rightarrow 0$ by the first step, then $\Omega_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $\varphi_{\epsilon}$ and $\varphi$, the same argument as the proof of Lemma 4.2 , we get $\varphi_{\epsilon} \rightarrow \varphi$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. By the similar process as interior case, we have $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup \tilde{G}$ in weakly in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)(1<q<N)$ and in $C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$ with $\tilde{G}$ satisfying $-Q_{N} \tilde{G}=\gamma\|\tilde{G}\|_{p}^{N-p} \tilde{G}^{p-1}$ in $\Omega$ and $\tilde{G}=0$ on $\partial \Omega$. By the standard elliptic regularity theory, we have $\tilde{G} \in C^{1}(\bar{\Omega})$. Since $\gamma<\gamma_{1}$, test the eqution with function $\tilde{G}$, we get $\tilde{G} \equiv 0$. Thus we have $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightharpoonup 0$ weakly in $W_{0}^{1, q}(\Omega)(1<q<N)$ and $c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $C^{1}\left(\bar{\Omega} \backslash\left\{x_{0}\right\}\right)$.

Lemma 4.7. If $0<\gamma<\gamma_{1}$, the blow-up point $x_{0} \notin \partial \Omega$.

Proof. Suppose $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$. Then $\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N}^{N} \rightarrow 0$ implies

$$
\left(1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}=1-\frac{\gamma}{N-1}\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}+O\left(\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{2 N}\right)
$$

Let $w_{\epsilon}=\frac{u_{\epsilon}}{\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)}$, since $\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right)=1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{\gamma, \epsilon}=\int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|u_{\epsilon}\right|^{N-1}} d x=\int_{\Omega} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left(1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\left|w_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}} d x} d x \\
&=\int_{\Omega} e^{\left[\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left(1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}-1\right] w_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} e^{\left(\lambda_{N}-\epsilon\right)\left|w_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}\left[1-\left(1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\left.-\frac{1}{N-1}\right] c_{\epsilon}^{N-1}} \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}\left|w_{\epsilon}\right| \mathbb{T}^{N-1}} d x\right.} \\
&\left.\leq e^{\lambda_{N}\left[\frac{\gamma}{N-1} \| c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\right.} u_{\epsilon} \|_{p}^{N}+c_{\epsilon}^{-\frac{N}{N-1}} O\left(\left\|c_{\epsilon}^{N-1} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}\right)\right] \\
& \Lambda_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From Lemma 4.6, we have $\left\|c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N} \rightarrow 0$. Thus lettint $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and using (3.3), we have $\Lambda_{\gamma} \leq \Lambda_{0}$.

On the other hand, according to the anisotropic Trudinger-Moser inequality, $\Lambda_{0}$ is attained by a function $u \in W_{0}^{1, N}(\Omega)$ with $\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u)=1$. Define $v=$ $u /\left(1-\gamma \int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla u)\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}$. Thus

$$
\|v\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}=\left(\int_{\Omega} F^{N}(\nabla v) d x-\gamma\|v\|_{p}^{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}=1
$$

Since $u \not \equiv 0$ and $\gamma>0$, we get $|u| \leq|v|$ and $|u| \not \equiv|v|$. Thus

$$
\Lambda_{\gamma} \geq \int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}|v|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x>\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}|u|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x=\Lambda_{0}
$$

which is a contradiction with $\Lambda_{\gamma} \leq \Lambda_{0}$.

### 4.2 The upper bound estimate

We will use the capacity technique to give an upper bound estimate, which was used by Y. Li [25] and Yang-Zhu [54], our main result of this subsection is an upper bound estimate.

Lemma 4.8. $\Lambda_{\gamma} \leq|\Omega|+\kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}}$.

Proof. Notice that $x_{0} \in \Omega$. Take $\delta>0$ such that $\mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{0}\right) \subset \Omega$. For any $R>0$, we assume that $\epsilon$ is so small that $\delta>R r_{\epsilon}$. We denote by $o_{\epsilon}(1)\left(o_{\delta}(1) ; o_{R}(1)\right)$ the terms
which tend to 0 as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0(\delta \rightarrow 0 ; R \rightarrow \infty)$. From Lemma 4.5, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x= & \frac{1}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla G) d x+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)}-\operatorname{div}\left(F^{N-1}(\nabla G) F_{\xi}(\nabla G)\right) G d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\partial\left(\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)\right)} G F^{N-1}(\nabla G)\left\langle F_{\xi}(\nabla G), \nu\right\rangle d x+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\gamma \int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)}\|G\|_{p}^{N-p} G^{p} d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{\partial\left(\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)\right)} G F^{N-1}(\nabla G)\left\langle F_{\xi}(\nabla G), \nu\right\rangle d x+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N}-\int_{\partial \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} G F^{N-1}(\nabla G)\left\langle F_{\xi}(\nabla G), \nu\right\rangle d x+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N}-\frac{1}{\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \log \delta+A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N}-\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \delta+A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right) . \tag{4.14}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.3), we have on $\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)$ that $u_{\epsilon}(x)=c_{\epsilon}^{-\frac{1}{N-1}} \varphi_{\epsilon}\left(\frac{x-x_{\epsilon}}{r_{\epsilon}}\right)+c_{\epsilon}$. Thus
$\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x=c_{\epsilon}^{-\frac{N}{N-1}} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \varphi_{\epsilon}\right) d x=\frac{1}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla \varphi) d x+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right)$.

By a straightforward computation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla \varphi) d x=\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log R+\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}+o_{R}(1) . \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $i_{\epsilon}=\inf _{\partial \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} u_{\epsilon}, s_{\epsilon}=\sup _{\partial \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} u_{\epsilon}$. Since $\psi_{\epsilon}(x) \rightarrow 1$ in $C_{l o c}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, together with Lemma 4.5, we have $i_{\epsilon}>s_{\epsilon}$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough. Define a function space

$$
\mathbf{S}\left(i_{\epsilon}, s_{\epsilon}\right)=\left\{u \in \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)}:\left.u\right|_{\partial \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}}=i_{\epsilon},\left.u\right|_{\partial \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)}=s_{\epsilon}\right\},
$$

and $\inf _{u \in \mathbf{S}\left(i_{\epsilon}, s_{\epsilon}\right)} \int_{B_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \backslash B_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla u) d x$ is attained by $h(x)$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-Q_{N} h=0 \quad \text { in } \quad \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)},  \tag{4.17}\\
\left.h\right|_{\partial \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}}=i_{\epsilon}, \\
\left.h\right|_{\partial \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)}=s_{\epsilon} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The unique solution is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x)=\frac{s_{\epsilon}\left(\log F^{0}\left(x-x_{\epsilon}\right)-\log \left(R r_{\epsilon}\right)\right)+i_{\epsilon}\left(-\log \delta-\log F^{0}\left(x-x_{\epsilon}\right)\right)}{\log \delta-\log \left(R r_{\epsilon}\right)}, \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla h) d x=N \kappa_{N} \frac{\left(i_{\epsilon}-s_{\epsilon}\right)^{N}}{\left(\log \delta-\log \left(R r_{\epsilon}\right)\right)^{N-1}} . \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon}=\max \left\{s_{\epsilon}, \min \left\{u_{\epsilon}, i_{\epsilon}\right\}\right\}$. Then $\tilde{u}_{\epsilon} \in \mathbf{S}\left(i_{\epsilon}, s_{\epsilon}\right)$ and $F\left(\tilde{u}_{\epsilon}\right) \leq F\left(u_{\epsilon}\right)$ for $\epsilon>0$ small enough. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla h) d x & =\int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \tilde{u}_{\epsilon}\right) d x \\
& \leq \int_{\mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x \\
& =1+\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}-\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R r_{\epsilon}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)}} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x-\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{\delta}\left(x_{\epsilon}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla u_{\epsilon}\right) d x . \tag{4.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\gamma\left\|u_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}=\gamma c_{\epsilon}^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\|G\|_{p}^{N}+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right)$, combing (4.14)-(4.16) and (4.19)-(4.20), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\lambda_{N}}{N} \frac{\left(i_{\epsilon}-s_{\epsilon} \frac{N}{N-1}\right.}{\log \frac{\delta}{R}-\log r_{\epsilon}} \\
\leq & \left(1+\frac{\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}+\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}-A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)+o_{R}(1)}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \\
\leq & 1+\frac{\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}+\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}-A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)+o_{R}(1)}{(N-1) c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \tag{4.21}
\end{align*}
$$

here we use the inequality $(1+t)^{\frac{1}{N-1}} \leq 1+\frac{1}{N-1} t$ for any $-1 \leq t \leq 0$ with

$$
-1 \leq \frac{\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}+\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}-A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)+o_{R}(1)}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \leq 0 .
$$

On the other hand, using (4.8) and Lemma 4.5, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(i_{\epsilon}-s_{\epsilon}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \\
= & c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(1+\frac{\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}-A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)+o_{R}(1)}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}  \tag{4.22}\\
\geq & \left.c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}+\frac{N}{N-1}\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}-A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right)+o_{R}(1)\right),
\end{align*}
$$

here we use the inequality $(1+t)^{\frac{N}{N-1}} \geq 1+\frac{N}{N-1} t$ for any $-1 \leq t \leq 0$ with

$$
-1 \leq \frac{\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}-A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)+o_{R}(1)}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \leq 0 .
$$

Since $\log \frac{\delta}{R}-\log r_{\epsilon}=\log \frac{\delta}{R}+\frac{\lambda_{N}-\epsilon}{N} c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{N-1}}$, combing (4.21) and (4.22), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\frac{\lambda_{N}}{N}\left[c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}+\frac{N}{N-1}\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}-A_{x_{0}}+o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right)+o_{R}(1)\right)\right] \\
\leq & \left(\log \frac{\delta}{R}+\frac{\lambda_{N}-\epsilon}{N} c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}-\frac{1}{N} \log \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\right) \\
& \times\left[1+\frac{\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}+\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}-A_{x_{0}}+o(1)}{(N-1) c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}\right] \\
\leq & \frac{\lambda_{N}-\epsilon}{N} c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}+\frac{N}{N-1} \log \frac{\delta}{R}-\frac{1+o(1)}{N} \log \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}}-\frac{1}{N(N-1)} \log \kappa_{N} \\
& +\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}-\frac{\lambda_{N}}{N(N-1)} A_{x_{0}}+o(1) . \tag{4.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\left.\frac{1+o(1)}{N} \log \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \leq \frac{1}{N} \log \kappa_{N}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}+\frac{\lambda_{N}}{N} A_{x_{0}}++o_{\delta}(1)+o_{\epsilon}(1)\right)+o_{R}(1),
$$

which lead to

$$
\limsup _{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\lambda_{\epsilon}}{c_{\epsilon}^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} \leq \kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}}
$$

Recall Lemma 4.4, we have finished the proof.

## 5 Proof of main Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$. If $c_{\epsilon}$ is bounded, the inequality (4.1) implies that the Theorem holds. If $c_{\epsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$ is bounded, we know that the blow-up point $x_{0} \in \Omega$ by Lemma 4.7 and the rusult is followed from Lemma 4.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma_{1}$. We prove that the blow-up phenomena do not occur. In fact, if $c_{\epsilon} \rightarrow+\infty$. In Lemma 4.8, we have got the upper bound of $\Lambda_{\gamma}$, that is to say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{\gamma} \leq|\Omega|+\kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{0}$ is the blow-up point. If we can construct a sequence $\phi_{\epsilon} \in W^{1, N}(\Omega)$ with $\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}^{N}=\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x-\gamma\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}=1$, but

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x>|\Omega|+\kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the contradiction with (5.1), which implies that $c_{\epsilon}$ must be bounded and can be attained by the discussion at the beginning of subsection 4.1. Thus it suffice to construct the sequence $\phi_{\epsilon}$ such that (5.2) holds when the blow-up phenomena occur. From Lemma 4.5,

$$
G(x)=-\frac{1}{\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \log F^{o}\left(x-x_{0}\right)+A_{x_{0}}+\xi(x) .
$$

Define a sequence of functions

$$
\phi_{\epsilon}(x)= \begin{cases}C+C^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}\left(-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \left(1+\kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}}\left(F^{o}\left(x-x_{0}\right) \epsilon^{-1}\right)^{\frac{N}{N-1}}+B\right),\right. & x \in \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)},  \tag{5.3}\\ C^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}(G-\eta \xi), & x \in \mathcal{W}_{2 R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash \overline{\mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)}, \\ C^{-\frac{1}{N-1}} G, & \Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{2 R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right),\end{cases}
$$

where $B$ and $C$ are constants depending only on $\epsilon$, which will be determined later. The cutoff function $\eta \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\mathcal{W}_{2 R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right), 0 \leq \eta \leq 1$ and $\eta=1$ in $\mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$. To ensure $\phi_{\epsilon} \in W^{1, N}(\Omega)$, we require for all $x \in \partial \mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)$, there holds
$C+C^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}\left(-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \left(1+\kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} R^{\frac{N}{N-1}}+B\right)=C^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}\left(-\frac{1}{\left(N \kappa_{N}\right)^{\frac{1}{N-1}}} \log (R \epsilon)+A_{x_{0}}\right)\right.$,
which implies

$$
B=-C^{\frac{N}{N-1}}+\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \left(1+\kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} R^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)-\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log (R \epsilon)+A_{x_{0}} .
$$

On one hand, since

$$
F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right)=C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right)(1+O(R \epsilon))
$$

uniformly in $\mathcal{W}_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Thus, by Lemma 4.5 , we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{\mathcal { W } _ { R }}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x & =\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x+\int_{\mathcal{W}_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x \\
& =C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla G) d x+\int_{\mathcal{W}_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla G)(1+O(R \epsilon)) d x\right) \\
& =C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla G) d x+\int_{\mathcal{W}_{2 R}\left(x_{0}\right) \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla G) O(R \epsilon) d x\right) \\
& =C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}(\nabla G) d x+O(-R \epsilon \log (R \epsilon))\right) \\
& =C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N}-\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log (R \epsilon)+A_{x_{0}}+O(-R \epsilon \log (R \epsilon))\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, through the direct calculation, we have

$$
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x=C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \left(1+\kappa_{N}^{\frac{1}{N-1}} R^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}+o_{R}(1)\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x= & \int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)}+\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}\left(x_{0}\right)} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x \\
= & C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\gamma\|G\|_{p}^{N}-\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \epsilon+A_{x_{0}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}+O(-R \epsilon \log (R \epsilon))\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we also have

$$
\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}=C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\|G\|_{p}^{N}+O\left((R \epsilon)^{N}(-\log (R \epsilon))^{N}\right)\right) .
$$

Take $R=-\log \epsilon$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}^{N} & =\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x-\gamma\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N} \\
& =C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\left(\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} R+A_{x_{0}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}+O\left(R^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Choosing

$$
\begin{equation*}
C^{\frac{N}{N-1}}=-\frac{N}{\lambda_{N}} \log \epsilon+A_{x_{0}}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{N}} \log \kappa_{N}-\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}+O\left(R^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
B=\frac{N-1}{\lambda_{N}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{k}+O\left(R^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)+o_{R}(1) . \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can get $\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{N, F, \gamma, p}^{N}=\int_{\Omega} F^{N}\left(\nabla \phi_{\epsilon}\right) d x-\gamma\left\|\phi_{\epsilon}\right\|_{p}^{N}=1$.
Now we estimate $\int_{\Omega} e^{\lambda_{N}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{N-1}}$. Let $F^{o}\left(x-x_{0}\right)=\epsilon y$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} e^{\lambda_{N}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} & \geq \kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k}+O\left(R^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{W}_{R}(0)}\left(1+\kappa_{N}^{-\frac{1}{N-1}}|y|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)^{-N} d y \\
& =\kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k}+O\left(R^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)}\left(1+O\left(R^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right)\right) \\
& =\kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k}}+O\left(R^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) . \tag{5.7}
\end{align*}
$$

From the inequality $e^{t} \geq 1+\frac{t^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} e^{\left.\lambda_{N}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|\right|^{N-1}} d x \\
\geq & \int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{2 R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)}\left(1+\frac{\lambda_{N}^{N-1}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{N}}{(N-1)!}\right) d x \\
= & |\Omega|-\left|\mathcal{W}_{2 R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)\right|+C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}} \frac{\lambda_{N}^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}\left(\|G\|_{N}^{N}+O\left((R \epsilon)^{N}(-\log (R \epsilon))^{N}\right)\right. \\
= & |\Omega|+C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}} \frac{\lambda_{N}^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}\|G\|_{N}^{N}+O\left((-\log \epsilon)^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right) . \tag{5.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Omega} e^{\phi_{\epsilon}} d x & =\int_{\mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} e^{\lambda_{N}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x+\int_{\Omega \backslash \mathcal{W}_{R \epsilon}\left(x_{0}\right)} e^{\lambda_{N}\left|\phi_{\epsilon}\right|^{\frac{N}{N-1}}} d x \\
& \geq|\Omega|+\kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k}}+C^{-\frac{N}{N-1}} \frac{\lambda_{N}^{N-1}}{(N-1)!}\|G\|_{N}^{N}+O\left((-\log \epsilon)^{-\frac{N}{N-1}}\right), \tag{5.9}
\end{align*}
$$

By choosing a small $\epsilon>0$, we conclude $\int_{\Omega} e^{\phi_{\epsilon}} d x>|\Omega|+\kappa_{N} e^{\lambda_{N} A_{x_{0}}+\sum_{k=1}^{N} \frac{1}{k}}$. Hence we finish the proof of Theorem 1.2.
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