

Precise determination of the inflationary epoch and constraints for reheating

Gabriel Germán^{1,*}

¹*Instituto de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Nacional
Autónoma de México, Cuernavaca, Morelos, 62210, Mexico*

We present a simple formula that allows to calculate the value of the inflaton field, denoted by ϕ , at the scale with wavenumber mode k . In the extreme case of instantaneous reheating ϕ_k is calculated exactly and all inflationary observables and quantities of interest follow. This formula, together with the fact that the scale factor a_p at the pivot scale wavenumber $k_p = 0.05/Mpc$ lies in the radiation era, allows the development of a diagrammatic approach to study the evolution of the universe. This scheme is complementary to the usual analytical method and some interesting results, independent of the model of inflation, can be obtained. As a concrete application of the ideas developed here we discuss them with some detail using the Starobinsky model of inflation.

* e-mail: gabriel@icf.unam.mx

I. INTRODUCTION

There have been several recent attempts to establish constraints on inflationary models [1], [2], [3], [4], particularly during the epochs of inflation and reheating, with varying degrees of success [5] - [18]. For reviews on reheating see e.g., [19], [20], [21]. Here, we present a simple approach where the inflationary epoch is precisely determined by constructing an equation for ϕ_k , the value of the inflation field at the comoving Hubble scale with wavenumber mode $k \equiv a_k H_k$ which we set equal to the pivot scale k_p . We use $k_p = \frac{0.05}{Mpc}$ where most parameter values are reported, in particular by the Planck collaboration [22], [23]. Once we have determined ϕ_k all inflationary quantities of interest follow. The outline of the paper is as follows: in sections II and III we establish general results which then apply to a particular model in Section IV. Section II provides a brief discussion on the obtention of the ϕ_k -equation and establish it in Eq. (4). Section III studies the reheating epoch where formulas for the number of e-folds during reheating and during radiation domination are given as functions of the reheating temperature. Also bounds for these quantities are found for the minimal reheating temperature $T_{re} \approx 10 MeV$ as required by nucleosynthesis. Section IV contains a study of the Starobinsky model along the lines described above. This is done in the $\omega_{re} = 0$ case, where ω_{re} is the equation of state parameter (EoS) during reheating. We also compare the diagrammatic approach with the usual study of analytical expressions and show how both procedures give essentially the same results and complement each other. In Section V we solve exactly the case of instantaneous reheating for the Starobinsky model. Finally, Section VI contains the main conclusions of the paper.

II. THE INFLATIONARY EPOCH

We work in Planck mass units, where $M_{pl} = 2.4357 \times 10^{18} GeV$ and set $M_{pl} = 1$, the pivot scale wavenumber $k_p \equiv a_p H_p = 0.05 \frac{1}{Mpc}$, used in particular by the Planck collaboration, becomes a dimensionless number given by $k_p = 1.3105 \times 10^{-58}$. This can be compared with $k_0 \equiv a_0 H_0 = 8.7426 \times 10^{-61} h$. To find the value of a_p and from there the number of e-folds $N_{p0} \equiv \ln \frac{a_0}{a_p}$ from a_p up to the present at a_0 we solve the Friedmann equation for a_p

$$k_p = H_0 \sqrt{\frac{\Omega_{md,0}}{a_p} + \frac{\Omega_{rd,0}}{a_p^2} + \Omega_{de} a_p^2}, \quad (1)$$

where $\Omega_{md,0} = 0.315$, $\Omega_{rd,0} = 5.443 \times 10^{-5}$, and $\Omega_{de} = 0.685$. To calculate a_p we have to specify h for the Hubble parameter H_0 at the present time, we take the value $h = 0.674$ given by Planck. The solution of Eq. (1) is $a_p = 3.6512 \times 10^{-5}$ from where we get $N_{p0} = 10.22$ for the

number of e-folds from a_p to a_0 . Thus, $a_p < a_{eq} \approx 2.97 \times 10^{-4}$ where a_{eq} is the scale factor at matter-radiation equality and k_p is inside the radiation dominated era. This allows to fix the radiation line of EoS $\omega = 1/3$ and slope $m = -1$ passing through the point $(\ln(a_p), \ln(k_p))$ (see Fig. 1 and reference [24] for a thorough discussion of the diagrammatic approach).

Defining $N_{ke} = \ln \frac{a_e}{a_k}$ as the number of e-folds from a_k to the end of inflation at a_e , $N_{re} = \ln \frac{a_{re}}{a_e}$ the number of e-folds during reheating, $N_{rd} = \ln \frac{a_{eq}}{a_r}$ during radiation and $N_{eq0} = \ln \frac{a_0}{a_{eq}}$ from matter-radiation equality to the present, it is easy to show that the number of e-folds from reheating *plus* the radiation dominated epochs can be written as

$$N_{re} + N_{rd} = N_{ke} + N_{re} + N_{rd} - N_{ke} = \ln \left[\frac{a_{eq} H_k}{k} \right] - N_{ke}, \quad (2)$$

we see that the r.h.s only depends on ϕ_k , the value of the inflaton at k (and also of parameters of the model, if any). The equation which determines ϕ_k is

$$N_{re} + N_{rd} - N_{peq} = N_{ep}, \quad (3)$$

where $N_{peq} \equiv \ln \left(\frac{a_{eq}}{a_p} \right) \approx 2.1$ is the number of e-folds from the pivot scale factor a_p to matter-radiation equality at a_{eq} and $N_{ep} \equiv \ln \left(\frac{a_p}{a_e} \right)$ is the number of e-folds from the end of inflation to a_p at the pivot scale. This equation can also be written as $k = k_p$ or

$$\ln \left[\frac{a_p H_k}{k_p} \right] = N_{ke} + N_{ep}. \quad (4)$$

Solving Eq. (4) for a given N_{ep} requires specifying a model of inflation; H_k and N_{ke} are model dependent quantities. Thus, after finding ϕ_k , we can proceed to determine all inflationary quantities like the scale of inflation, Hubble parameter H_k , tensor-to-scalar ratio r , spectral index n_s , running α , etc. Notice how the determination of ϕ_k requires not only the knowledge of the present universe through quantities like $\Omega_{i,0}$ and H_0 but also of the early universe through the scalar power spectrum amplitude given here by A_s and contained in $H_k = \sqrt{8\pi^2 \epsilon_k A_s}$ where ϵ_k is the slow-roll parameter $\epsilon \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V_\phi}{V} \right)^2$ at ϕ_k .

An equivalent way of obtaining Eq. (4) is by connecting the epoch when the scale of wavenumber k left the horizon during inflation to the pivot scale at k_p where we measure the horizon reentry of precisely the same scale. This can be expressed by

$$\ln \left(\frac{a_p}{a_k} \right) = N_{ke} + N_{ep}. \quad (5)$$

Multiplying the term inside the parenthesis above and below by H_k and setting $a_k H_k \equiv k =$




FIG. 1: The radiation line (EoS $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ and slope $m = -1$) is fixed on the pivot point with coordinates $(\ln(a_p), \ln(k_p))$, where a_p is the scale factor determined on the pivot scale. The inflation line (EoS $\omega_{re} = -1$ and slope $m = +1$) is fixed by determining the total number of e-folds $N_{ke} + N_{ep} = \ln(a_p) - \ln(a_k)$ along the line $\ln(k_p)$ (see discussion in Section IV). These fixed lines constitute a framework on which measurements can be carried out and the number of e-folds determined between any pair of points on the diagram. Once the diagram is connected by a reheating line joining the inflation with the radiation line (see Fig. 2) a diagram of the evolution of the universe has been constructed where measurements of intervals and location of points can be carried out with accuracy.

$k_p \equiv a_p H_p$ we get again Eq. (4).

In general we can numerically solve Eq. (4) by requiring agreement with the data e.g., for an spectral index $n_s = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$ and tensor-to-scalar index $r < 0.063$; this fixes the range of values N_{ep} can take. We can parameterize N_{ep} by $N_{ep} \equiv \alpha N_{ke}$ where α is a positive parameter around 1 such that when $\alpha = 1$ $N_{ep} = N_{ke}$ and the diagram is perfectly symmetric, from $\ln(k_p)$ upwards, around an axis passing through the vertex V (see e.g., Fig. 4). This case corresponds to instant reheating for any ω_{re} (see discussion in Section V). For $\alpha > 1$ then $\omega_{re} < 1/3$ and $N_{ep} > N_{ke}$ and when $\alpha < 1$ then $\omega_{re} > 1/3$ with $N_{ep} < N_{ke}$. In Starobinsky model the range $0.9607 < n_s < 0.9691$ implies $1.275 > \alpha > 0.774$.

III. THE REHEATING EPOCH

Having specified a formula from where ϕ_k can, in principle, be obtained and from there all relevant quantities characteristic of the inflationary epoch we now turn to the reheating era. Assuming a constant equation of state parameter for any ω_{re} during reheating the fluid

equation gives $\rho \propto a^{-3(1+\omega)}$ from where the number of e-folds during reheating follows

$$N_{re} \equiv \ln \left(\frac{a_r}{a_e} \right) = [3(1 + \omega_{re})]^{-1} \ln \left[\frac{\rho_e}{\rho_{re}} \right], \quad (6)$$

where ρ_e denotes the energy density at the end of inflation and ρ_{re} the energy density at the end of reheating. This quantity is given by $\rho_{re} = \frac{\pi^2 g_{re}}{30} T_{re}^4$ and g_{re} is the number of degrees of freedom of relativistic species at the end of reheating. Assuming entropy conservation after reheating

$$g_{s,re} T_{re}^3 = \left(\frac{a_0}{a_{eq}} \right)^3 \left(\frac{a_{eq}}{a_r} \right)^3 \left(2T_0^3 + 6 \times \frac{7}{8} T_{\nu,0}^3 \right), \quad (7)$$

where $T_0 = 2.725K$ and the neutrino temperature is $T_{\nu,0} = (4/11)^{1/3} T_0$. The number of e-folds during radiation domination $N_{rd} \equiv \ln \frac{a_{eq}}{a_r}$ follows from Eqs. (6) and (7)

$$N_{rd} = -\frac{3(1 + \omega_{re})}{4} N_{re} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{30}{g_{re} \pi^2} \right] + \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{\rho_e}{T_0^4} \right] + \frac{1}{3} \ln \left[\frac{11 g_{s,re}}{43} \right] + \ln \left[\frac{a_{eq}}{a_0} \right]. \quad (8)$$

Combining Eqs. (2) and (8) we get an expression for the number of e-folds during reheating

$$N_{re} = \frac{4}{1 - 3\omega_{re}} \left(-N_{ke} - \frac{1}{3} \ln \left[\frac{11 g_{s,re}}{43} \right] - \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{30}{\pi^2 g_{re}} \right] - \ln \left[\frac{\rho_e^{1/4} k}{H_k a_0 T_0} \right] \right). \quad (9)$$

It is convenient to rewrite this equation in the form

$$N_{re} = \frac{4}{1 - 3\omega_{re}} \bar{N}_{re}, \quad (10)$$

where \bar{N}_{re} is the term in the brackets of Eq. (9) and is independent of ω_{re} . A final quantity of physical relevance is the thermalization temperature at the end of the reheating phase

$$T_{re} = \left(\frac{30 \rho_e}{\pi^2 g_{re}} \right)^{1/4} e^{-\frac{3}{4}(1+\omega_{re})N_{re}}. \quad (11)$$

This is a function of the number of e -folds during reheating. It can also be written as an equation for the parameter ω_{re} , using Eq. (10)

$$\omega_{re} = \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4\bar{N}_{re}}{3 \left(-\bar{N}_{re} + \frac{1}{4} \ln \left[\frac{\pi^2 g_{re}}{30 \rho_e} T_{re}^4 \right] \right)}, \quad (12)$$

from here we can rewrite the equations for N_{re} and N_{rd} as functions of T_{re} and n_s and of T_{re} , respectively

$$N_{re} = \bar{N}_{re} - \frac{1}{4} \ln\left[\frac{\pi^2 g_{re}}{30\rho_e}\right] - \ln[T_{re}], \quad (13)$$

$$N_{rd} = \ln\left[\frac{a_{eq}}{a_0 T_0}\right] + \frac{1}{3} \ln\left[\frac{11g_{s,re}}{43}\right] + \ln[T_{re}], \quad (14)$$

from where we see that $N_{re} + N_{rd}$ is T_{re} independent, equivalently ω_{re} independent. As shown in Eq. (2) the sum $N_{re} + N_{rd}$ only depends on ϕ_k , the value of the inflaton at k (and also of parameters of the model, if any).

IV. THE STAROBINSKY MODEL: THE DIAGRAMMATIC AND THE ANALYTICAL VIEWS

The potential of the Starobinsky model [28–30] is given by [31]:

$$V = V_0 \left(1 - e^{-\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi}\right)^2. \quad (15)$$

From here we calculate the number of e-foldings from ϕ_k up to the end of inflation

$$N_{ke} = - \int_{\phi_k}^{\phi_e} \frac{V}{V'} d\phi = \frac{1}{4} \left(3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi_k} - \sqrt{6}\phi_k\right) - \frac{1}{4} \left(3e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi_e} - \sqrt{6}\phi_e\right), \quad (16)$$

where the end of inflation is given by the solution to the equation $\epsilon \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{V_\phi}{V}\right)^2 = 1$ at ϕ_e : $\phi_e = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln\left(1 + \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\right)$. The Hubble function is

$$H_k = \sqrt{8\pi^2 \epsilon_k A_s} = \sqrt{\frac{32A_s}{3}} \frac{\pi}{e^{\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\phi_k} - 1}, \quad (17)$$

where ϵ_k is the slow-roll parameter ϵ at ϕ_k and the scalar power spectrum amplitude is $A_s = 2.0991 \times 10^{-9}$. From the equation for the spectral index $n_s = 1 + 2\eta - 6\epsilon$ the solution for ϕ_k in terms of n_s is

$$\phi_k = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} \ln\left(\frac{7 - 3n_s + 4\sqrt{4 - 3n_s}}{3(1 - n_s)}\right). \quad (18)$$

In this section we also study how to fix a diagrammatic framework using Starobinsky model of inflation as a working example. First we notice that in a diagram lines are associated with

an EoS ω as well as with a slope m . The relation between them is given by

$$m = -\frac{1 + 3\omega}{2}, \quad (19)$$

Thus, the line representing radiation is given by an with EoS $\omega = 1/3$ and slope $m = -1$ and fixed by the scale factor a_p lying in the radiation era. The inflation line (EoS $\omega = -1$ and slope $m = +1$) is fixed by finding the total number of e-folds $N_{ke} + N_{ep}$ from a_k to a_p represented by the horizontal $\ln(k_p)$ line from $\ln a_k$ to $\ln a_p$ in Fig. 1. In the diagrammatic approach the Hubble function H is assumed constant during inflation (de Sitter universe) but for specific models H_k is not a constant during inflation. To assign a reasonable value to H we can solve the l.h.s of Eqs. (4) for the range given by Planck to the spectral index $0.9607 < n_s < 0.9691$ obtaining

$$111.15 < \ln\left[\frac{a_p H_k}{k_p}\right] < 110.92. \quad (20)$$

Thus, a reasonable value to fix the “distance” from $\ln a_k$ to $\ln a_p$ would be $\ln\left[\frac{a_p H_k}{k_p}\right]_{mean} = 111.04$. As we see in the Starobinsky model, with the assigned $\ln\left[\frac{a_p H_k}{k_p}\right]_{mean} = 111.04$ maximum departures from constant H amount to a 0.1% of the total number of e-folds from a_k to a_p ; a mere 0.1 e-fold in this case, this particular example shows the good nature of the approximation. Thus, the construction described above fixes completely the frame where the diagrammatic approach is based. Dividing this number (111.04) by the length of the $\ln(a_p) - \ln(a_k)$ segment we find the total number of e-folds per unit length for the Starobinsky model at $k = k_p$. In this article we do not make bound estimates with the diagrams but only use them for illustrative purposes (see [24] for a quantitative, model independent use of the diagrammatic approach). From Fig. 1 we see that to determine the history of the evolution of the universe according to the Starobinsky model we have to connect the diagram i.e., to join the inflation line to the radiation line, that (connecting) line will be called the reheating line. Once we get a connected diagram we can proceed to measure the “distances” between any two points in the diagram [24]. Thus, to connect the diagram we have to specify one point in the inflation line and one point in the radiation line or one point in any line and the slope of the reheating line.

A. The Starobinsky model for the $\omega = 0$ case

As an example we specify a point in the inflation line by calculating the number of e-folds during inflation N_{ke} for Planck’s lower limiting value for the spectral index $n_s = 0.9607$.




FIG. 2: The diagram of Fig. 1 is now connected with a reheating line joining the inflation with the radiation line, for the Starobinsky model of inflation. This has been done by using the lower bound of Planck's reported range for the spectral index $n_s = 0.9649 \pm 0.0042$ and by assuming an EoS $\omega_{re} = 0$ of slope $m = -1/2$. This is a reasonable assumption because the Starobinsky model is well approximated in the vicinity of the origin by a quadratic potential. In any case it is a working example where we can compare the diagrammatic and analytical methods of study. For an spectral index larger than the lower bound $n_s = 0.9607$, the reheating line joining the points $(\ln(a_e), \ln(k_e))$ with $(\ln(a_r), \ln(k_r))$ will go upwards parallel to itself with the same EoS (see Fig. 4).

From Eqs. (16) and (18) we get $N_{ke} = 48.86$ at wavenumber $k = k_p$. Because Starobinsky model is well approximated by a quadratic potential near the origin we choose a line of slope $m = -1/2$ corresponding to an EoS $\omega_{re} = 0$. Thus, the diagram is now connected as shown in Fig. 2 with the following results for the reheating and the radiation periods obtained by solving Eqs (9) and (8) for $n_s = 0.9607$ and EoS $\omega_{re} = 0$

$$N_{re} = 27.04, \quad N_{rd} = 37.35. \quad (21)$$

We solve Eq (11) to find the reheat temperature, $T_{re} = 4.4 \times 10^6 \text{ GeV}$. If we add together all these results for the number of e-folds we get $48.86 + 27.04 + 37.35 - 2.1 = 111.15$ (we subtract 2.1 e-folds because the number of e-folds from a_p to the end of radiation at a_{eq} is $N_{peq} = 2.1$).

To better understand the usefulness of our diagrams and their relation with the more standard approach we have plotted in Fig. 3 all the quantities involved as functions of the spectral index (N_{ke} as given by Eq. (16), N_{re} as given by Eq. (9), N_{rd} as given by Eq. (8)

and T_{re} as given by Eq. (11)). The figure shows the precise evolution of each quantity as we move from the lower value of the Planck bound $n_s = 0.9607$ to the value of $n_s = 0.9653\dots$ where instant reheating occurs ($N_{re} = 0$ and $T_{re} = 2.6 \times 10^{15} GeV$, the maximum possible temperature), all of this for an EoS $\omega_{re} = 0$. Note that each plotted quantity in Fig. 3 has its own EoS but we cannot *see* this in the plot and we cannot *see* the full plot i.e., how is that all epochs are connected? This we can see with the diagrams, let us consider the plot in Fig. 4. The line connecting the points $(\ln(a_e), \ln(k_e))$ and $(\ln(a_r), \ln(k_r))$ represents a vertical cut through the reheating and radiation lines in Fig. 3 i.e., just one set of points for $n_s = 0.9607$ (one possible universe).

Thus, in Fig. 3 we see the evolution of *vertical cuts* (possible universes) as functions of n_s . In the diagram of Fig. 4 we also see this by the evolution of parallel lines (all with EoS $\omega_{re} = 0$ and slope $m = -1/2$) converging into the vertex V at $n_s \approx 0.9653$. These parallel lines go upwards as n_s increases from $n_s = 0.9607$, N_{ke} increases from 48.86, N_{rd} increases from 37.59, the temperature increases from $T_{re} = 4.4 \times 10^6 GeV$ but N_{re} *decreases* from 26.65. At the tip of the figure $N_{ke} = 55.6$, $N_{rd} = 57.6$, $T_{re} = 2.6 \times 10^{15} GeV$ and $N_{re} = 0$ (instantaneous reheating), essentially the same values obtained from Fig. 3 at $n_s = 0.9653\dots$. Each diagram for each one of the infinite number of parallel lines would represent a possible universe. This behaviour is the same for any other ω_{re} and is not specific to the $\omega_{re} = 0$ case. When $\omega_{re} < 1/3$ the parallel lines (of a given slope) converge at V from the left as shown in Fig. 4 for the $\omega_{re} = 0$ case, and for $\omega_{re} > 1/3$ converge at V from the right, with a completely similar construction. In any case we see that the framework bounded by the inflation line of slope $m = +1$ the radiation line of slope $m = -1$ and the horizontal $\ln(k_p)$ line remain fixed and this is what makes possible the diagrammatic description in a precise and quantifiable way. With the analytical description we cannot study the $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ case because for $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ there is no equation for N_{re} [14]. However the diagrammatic approach can be applied without difficulty. The diagrammatic approach can also describe model independent situations where the analytical equations presented before cannot [24]. We clearly see how the diagrammatic and analytical approaches complement each other very nicely and should be studied together (with the help of Eq. (4)) for better results.

B. The Starobinsky model for the $T_{re} = 10 MeV$ case

To conclude this section we find the bounds when the minimum reheat temperature, around $10 MeV$, is reached. Thus, we solve Eq. (12) for ω_{re} when $T_{re} = 10 MeV$ using the bounds




FIG. 3: We plot the number of e-folds during inflation N_{ke} as given by Eq. (16), reheating N_{re} as given by Eq. (9) radiation N_{rd} as given by Eq. (8) and the \log of the reheating temperature T_{re} as given by Eq. (11) as functions of the spectral index. The figure shows the precise evolution of each quantity as we move from the lower value of the Planck bound $n_s = 0.9607$ to the value of $n_s \approx 0.9653$ where instant reheating occurs ($N_{re} = 0$ and $T_{re} = 2.6 \times 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$ is the maximum temperature), all of this for an EoS $\omega_{re} = 0$. Note that each plotted quantity has its own EoS ($\omega = -1$ for the inflation line, $\omega = 0$ for reheating, $\omega = 1/3$ for radiation, etc.,) but we cannot see this in the plot nor we can see the full plot i.e., how is that all these epochs are connected to each other. To advance in this direction we can turn to Fig. 4.

$0.9607 < n_s < 0.9691$ given by Planck. The EoS is bounded as (see Fig. 5)

$$0.1390 < \omega_{re} < 0.6030, \quad (22)$$

from where it follows that

$$48.86 < N_k < 62.53, \quad 47.70 > N_{re} > 33.79, \quad N_{rd} = 16.69, \quad (23)$$

at $k = k_p$. We see, in particular, that the $\omega_{re} = 0$ is excluded i.e., we cannot have very low reheating temperature for oscillations at the bottom of the Starobinsky potential. Note that the minimum value for N_{rd} is fixed since it only depends on T_{re} .




FIG. 4: The line connecting the points $(\ln(a_e), \ln(k_e))$ and $(\ln(a_r), \ln(k_r))$ represents a vertical cut through the reheating and radiation lines in Fig. 3 for the lower bound $n_s = 0.9607$ (the “y” axis). The following line upwards parallel to the previous one and with the same EoS also represents a vertical cut in Fig. 3 for a slightly higher value of the spectral index, and so on. We can think of the figure above as a succession of vertical cuts in Fig. 3 each with a higher value of n_s , N_{ke} , N_{rd} and T_{re} but a lower value for N_{re} . As the parallel lines reach the vertex at V the number of e-folds during reheating goes to zero and the temperature reaches a maximum value (see Eq. (11)). This is what we usually call instantaneous reheating. Thus, in the diagram above we can see at once a succession of an infinite number of universes (infinite number of parallel lines) with the diverse substances which drive the expansion of the universe represented by connected lines of various slopes (various EoS). This behaviour is the same for any other ω_{re} and not specific to the $\omega_{re} = 0$ case (not specific for a line of slope $m = -1/2$). When $\omega_{re} < 1/3$ the parallel lines (with their corresponding slope) converge at V from the left as shown above and for $\omega_{re} > 1/3$ converge at V from the right, with a completely similar construction. In any case the framework bounded by the inflation line of slope $m = +1$ the radiation line of slope $m = -1$ and the horizontal $\ln(k_p)$ line remain fixed and this is what makes possible the diagrammatic description in a precise and quantifiable way.

V. INSTANTANEOUS REHEATING

We now study the instantaneous reheating case because it is of some interest and because we can do an exact calculation. We have seen in the discussion related to Fig. 4 that for *any* EoS the limiting case of instantaneous reheating occurs when the number of e-folds during reheating vanishes with maximum reheating temperature. In this case the diagram representing the situation is perfectly symmetric from the scale of wavenumber mode $k = k_p$




FIG. 5: Plot of the evolution lines during inflation, reheating and radiation for the bounds for ω_{re} given by Eq. (22) (the lines ending in the rounded up numbers 0.14 and 0.60) for the lowest possible reheating temperature of approximately 10 MeV . In Eq. (23) the bounds are given, having been obtained by direct measurements from the figure as indicated by the projection of the lines on the $\ln(a)$ axis.

upwards (upwards from horizontal $\ln(k_p)$ line in Fig. 4), with the radiation and the inflation lines making a right angle. This implies that, in this case, the number of e-folds from the end of inflation to the pivot scale is the same as the number of e-folds during inflation thus, $N_{ep} = N_{ke}$. For the Starobinsky model the solution to the equation $N_{re} = 0$ in the instantaneous reheating case is $\phi_k = 5.364581\dots$, from where it follows that the tensor-to-scalar ratio is $r = 0.00343$, spectral index $n_s = 0.9653$, running $\alpha = 6.1 \times 10^{-4}$, scale of inflation $\Delta = 7.8 \times 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$, Hubble function $H_k = 1.45 \times 10^{13} \text{ GeV}$, Hubble function at the end of inflation $H_e = 9.65 \times 10^{12} \text{ GeV}$, reheating temperature $T_{re} = 2.6 \times 10^{15} \text{ GeV}$, number of e-folds during inflation $N_{ke} = 55.6$ and number of e-folds during the radiation epoch $N_{rd} = 57.7$ with $N_{ep} = 55.5$ for the number of e-folds from a_e to a_p . Note that N_{ep} is not exactly the same as N_{ke} as in the diagrammatic approach (again, the assumption of de Sitter inflation by the diagram) however, the difference is only 0.1 e-fold.

These results are the same for *any* EoS including $\omega_{re} = 1/3$. The $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ case is interesting because analytically when $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ there is no equation for N_{re} [14]. Diagrammatically the $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ case is represented by the line of slope $m = -1$ joining the inflation and radiation lines. This does not mean, however, that there is no reheating and that radiation starts from the very tip at V with instantaneous reheating; that is not what defines radiation. Reheating surely is a very complicated process to describe, starting perhaps with some non-

perturbative particle production of an stage called preheating (for a recent reference see [32] and references therein) and settling in the canonical scenario with the scalar field oscillating around the minimum of the potential. The scalar field, dominating the energy density of the universe up to the end of inflation, starts dissipating its energy by interactions with other fields and decaying into new particles while oscillations occur until a thermalization temperature is reached. After the inflaton has mostly or completely decayed it is when the radiation epoch starts: a very different era where relativistic particles, as the universe cools down, start decaying without any possibility of being created a new. As our diagrammatic approach shows there is no reason why reheating cannot proceed with $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ as in all the other cases (all the other EoS). The only peculiarity of this case is that the line of reheating and the line of radiation have the same slope (same EoS). In the diagram it is just one line representing two very different processes. In any case, for $\omega_{re} = 1/3$ the number of e-folds during reheating is bounded as $0 < N_{re} < 40.91$ with $57.6 > N_{rd} > 16.69$ and $2.6 \times 10^{15} GeV > T_{re} > 0.01 GeV$ with all the other quantities as in the instantaneous reheating case discussed above.

VI. CONCLUSIONS

We have proposed an equation which allows to calculate the value of the inflation ϕ_k at the pivot scale of wavenumber mode k_p , this is given by Eq. (4). This equation is model independent in the sense that no model of inflation has been used to obtain it. However, its *solutions* require the specification of a model through the Hubble function H_k and the number of e-folds during inflation, denoted $N_{ke} \equiv \ln(\frac{a_e}{a_k})$, for a given N_{ep} . The determination of all inflationary observable and quantities of interest follows from ϕ_k (and from model dependent parameters, if any). In the instantaneous reheating case we have that $N_{ep} = N_{ke}$ and Eq. (4) can be solved exactly for any EoS. We have established a clear connection between the analytical and diagrammatic methods and the simultaneous use of both of them can give a better comprehension of the phenomena described. We have illustrated our diagrammatic approach in the $\omega_{re} = 0$ case with the Starobinsky model of inflation as a concrete example. The diagrammatic approach can describe model independent situations while the analytical method always require the specification of a model of inflation. It is clear that the diagrammatic and analytical approaches complement each other and can be studied together for better results. The strategy presented here can be applied to any model where H_k and N_{ke} can be obtained.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Jaume Haro, Juan Carlos Hidalgo and Ariadna Montiel for discussions. It is also a pleasure to thank N. Sánchez and M. Dirzo for encouraging conversations. We acknowledge financial support from UNAM-PAPIIT, IN104119, *Estudios en gravitación y cosmología*.

-
- [1] Alan H. Guth. The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems. *Phys. Rev.*, D23:347–356, 1981. [Adv. Ser. Astrophys. Cosmol.3,139(1987)].
 - [2] Andrei D. Linde. The Inflationary Universe. *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, 47:925–986, 1984.
 - [3] David H. Lyth and Antonio Riotto. Particle physics models of inflation and the cosmological density perturbation. *Phys. Rept.*, 314:1–146, 1999.
 - [4] Jerome Martin. The Theory of Inflation. In *200th Course of Enrico Fermi School of Physics: Gravitational Waves and Cosmology (GW-COSM) Varenna (Lake Como), Lecco, Italy, July 3-12, 2017*, 2018.
 - [5] Andrew R Liddle and Samuel M Leach. How long before the end of inflation were observable perturbations produced? *Phys. Rev.*, D68:103503, 2003.
 - [6] J. Martin and C. Ringeval, Inflation after WMAP3: Confronting the Slow-Roll and Exact Power Spectra to CMB Data. *JCAP*, **0608**, 009 (2006).
 - [7] L. Lorenz, J. Martin and C. Ringeval, Brane inflation and the WMAP data: A Bayesian analysis. *JCAP*, **0804**, 001 (2008).
 - [8] J. Martin and C. Ringeval, First CMB Constraints on the Inflationary Reheating Temperature. *Phys. Rev.*, D **82**, 023511 (2010).
 - [9] P. Adshead, R. Easther, J. Pritchard and A. Loeb, Inflation and the Scale Dependent Spectral Index: Prospects and Strategies. *JCAP*, **1102**, 021 (2011)
 - [10] J. Mielczarek, Reheating temperature from the CMB. *Phys. Rev.*, D **83**, 023502 (2011)
 - [11] R. Easther and H. V. Peiris. Bayesian Analysis of Inflation II: Model Selection and Constraints on Reheating. *Phys. Rev.*, D **85**, 103533 (2012)
 - [12] Liang Dai, Marc Kamionkowski, and Junpu Wang. Reheating constraints to inflationary models. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 113:041302, 2014.
 - [13] Julian B. Munoz and Marc Kamionkowski. Equation-of-State Parameter for Reheating. *Phys. Rev.*, D91(4):043521, 2015.
 - [14] Jessica L. Cook, Emanuela Dimastrogiovanni, Damien A. Easson, and Lawrence M. Krauss. Reheating predictions in single field inflation. *JCAP*, 1504:047, 2015.

- [15] J. O. Gong, S. Pi and G. Leung, Probing reheating with primordial spectrum *JCAP* **1505**, 027 (2015).
- [16] J. Martin, C. Ringeval and V. Vennin. Observing Inflationary Reheating. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* , **114**, no. 8, 081303, 2015.
- [17] K. Schmitz, Trans-Planckian Censorship and Inflation in Grand Unified Theories *arXiv:1910.08837 [hep-ph]*.
- [18] L. Ji and M. Kamionkowski. Reheating constraints to WIMP inflation. *Phys. Rev.*, D **100**, no. 8, 083519. 2019.
- [19] B. A. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa and D. Wands, Inflation dynamics and reheating. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, **78**, 537 (2006)
- [20] Rouzbeh Allahverdi, Robert Brandenberger, Francis-Yan Cyr-Racine, and Anupam Mazumdar. Reheating in Inflationary Cosmology: Theory and Applications. *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 60:27–51, 2010.
- [21] Mustafa A. Amin, Mark P. Hertzberg, David I. Kaiser, and Johanna Karouby. Nonperturbative Dynamics Of Reheating After Inflation: A Review. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, D24:1530003, 2014.
- [22] N. Aghanim *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters, *arXiv:1807.06209*, [astro-ph.CO].
- [23] Y. Akrami *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation. *arXiv:1807.06211*, [astro-ph.CO].
- [24] G. Germán, Measuring the expansion of the universe. *arXiv: 2005.02278(v2)*, [astro-ph.CO].
- [25] L. Husdal. On Effective Degrees of Freedom in the Early Universe. *Galaxies* **4**, no. 4, 78 (2016).
- [26] Dmitry I. Podolsky, Gary N. Felder, Lev Kofman, and Marco Peloso. Equation of state and beginning of thermalization after preheating. *Phys. Rev.*, D73:023501, 2006.
- [27] T. Hasegawa, N. Hiroshima, K. Kohri, R. S. L. Hansen, T. Tram and S. Hannestad, MeV-scale reheating temperature and thermalization of oscillating neutrinos by radiative and hadronic decays of massive particles *JCAP* **1912**, no. 12, 012 (2019).
- [28] Alexei A. Starobinsky. A New Type of Isotropic Cosmological Models Without Singularity. *Phys. Lett.*, B91:99–102, 1980.
- [29] Viatcheslav F. Mukhanov and G. V. Chibisov. Quantum Fluctuations and a Nonsingular Universe. *JETP Lett.*, 33:532–535, 1981. [Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.33,549(1981)].
- [30] A. A. Starobinsky. The Perturbation Spectrum Evolving from a Nonsingular Initially De-Sitter Cosmology and the Microwave Background Anisotropy. *Sov. Astron. Lett.*, 9:302, 1983.
- [31] Brian Whitt. Fourth Order Gravity as General Relativity Plus Matter. *Phys. Lett.*, 145B:176–178, 1984.

- [32] Antusch, Stefan, Figueroa, Daniel G., Marschall, Kenneth, Torrenti, Francisco. Energy distribution and equation of state of the early Universe: matching the end of inflation and the onset of radiation domination. *arXiv*: 2005.07563, [astro-ph.CO].