A metric version of Poincaré’s theorem concerning biholomorphic inequivalence of domains

Bas Lemmens*

School of Mathematics, Statistics & Actuarial Science, University of Kent, Canterbury, CT2 7NX, United Kingdom

February 27, 2020

Abstract

We show that if \( D \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) is a bounded strongly convex domain with \( C^3 \) boundary, and \( X \subset \mathbb{C}^m \) and \( Y \subset \mathbb{C}^k \) are bounded convex domains, then \( X \times Y \) cannot be isometrically embedded into \( D \) under the Kobayashi distance. This result generalises Poincaré’s theorem which says that there is no biholomorphic map from the polydisc onto the (open) Euclidean ball in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) for \( n \geq 2 \).

The method of proof only relies on the metric geometry of the spaces and will be derived from a result for products of proper geodesic metric spaces. In fact, we prove that if \( M_1, M_2, \) and \( N \) are proper geodesic metric spaces, where both \( M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) contain an almost-geodesic ray, and for any two distinct Busemann points in the metric compactification of \( N \) the detour distance is infinite, then the product metric space \( M_1 \times M_2 \) with the product distance cannot be isometrically embedded into \( N \).
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1 Introduction

A classic theorem due to Poincaré \[15\] says that there is no biholomorphic map from the polydisc \( \Delta^n \) onto the (open) Euclidean ball \( B^n \) in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) if \( n \geq 2 \). As any biholomorphic map between \( B^n \) and \( \Delta^n \) is an isometry with respect to the Kobayashi distance, it is natural to ask if this result holds, more generally, for Kobayashi distance isometries. In fact, one may wonder if it is possible to isometrically embed a product domain \( (X \times Y, k_{X \times Y}) \) into \( (B^n, k_{B^n}) \), where \( k_D \) denotes the Kobayashi distance on \( D \subset \mathbb{C}^n \). We will show the following.

Theorem 1.1. Given two bounded convex domains \( X \subset \mathbb{C}^m \) and \( Y \subset \mathbb{C}^k \), the metric space \( (X \times Y, k_{X \times Y}) \) cannot be isometrically embedded into \( (B^n, k_{B^n}) \).

In fact, we will prove the following more general result.
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**Theorem 1.2.** If \( D \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) is a bounded strongly convex domain with \( C^3 \) boundary, and \( X \subset \mathbb{C}^m \) and \( Y \subset \mathbb{C}^k \) are bounded convex domains, then \((X \times Y, k_{X \times Y})\) cannot be isometrically embedded into \((D, k_D)\).

These theorems extend results by Bracci and Gaussier \[8\], Zwonek \[19\], and resolves a question by Mahajan \[13\], see also \[6\].

Our proof relies on the metric structure only, and uses tools from metric geometry including, the metric compactification and the detour distance. Indeed, Theorems \[1.1\] and \[1.2\] will be derived from the following general result for products of proper geodesic metric spaces.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \((M_1, d_1), (M_2, d_2), \) and \((N, d)\) be proper geodesic metric spaces, where \( M_1 \) contains an almost geodesic ray \( \gamma_1: [0, \infty) \to M_1 \) and \( M_2 \) contains an almost geodesic ray \( \gamma_2: [0, \infty) \to M_2 \). If the detour distance between any two distinct Busemann points in the metric compactification of \((N, d)\) is infinite, then the product metric space \((M_1 \times M_2, d_\infty)\), where

\[
d_\infty(x, y) = \max\{d_1(x_1, y_1), d_2(x_2, y_2)\}
\]

for \( x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in M_1 \times M_2, \)

cannot be isometrically embedded into \((N, d)\).

The condition that any two Busemann points in the metric compactification of \((N, d)\) lie at infinite detour distance is a property of the asymptotic geometry of the metric space. As we shall see \((D, k_D)\) satisfies this property if \( D = B^n \) or, more generally, if \( D \) is a bounded strongly convex domains in \( \mathbb{C}^n \) with \( C^3 \) boundary.

## 2 Metric compactification

In our set-up we will follow the terminology in \[8\], which contains further references and background on the metric compactification.

Let \((M, d)\) be a metric space, and let \( \mathbb{R}^M \) be the space of all real functions on \( M \) equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. Fix \( b \in M \), which is called the basepoint. Let \( \text{Lip}_1^b(M) \) denote the set of all functions \( h \in \mathbb{R}^M \) such that \( h(b) = 0 \) and \( h \) is 1-Lipschitz, i.e., \( |h(x) - h(y)| \leq d(x, y) \) for all \( x, y \in M \). Then \( \text{Lip}_1^b(M) \) is a closed subset of \( \mathbb{R}^M \). Moreover, as

\[
|h(x)| = |h(x) - h(b)| \leq d(x, b)
\]

for all \( h \in \text{Lip}_1^b(M) \) and \( x \in M \), we get that \( \text{Lip}_1^b(M) \subseteq [-d(x, b), d(x, b)]^M \), which is compact by Tychonoff’s theorem. Thus, \( \text{Lip}_1^b(M) \) is a compact subset of \( \mathbb{R}^M \).

Now for \( y \in M \) consider the real valued function

\[
h_y(z) := d(z, y) - d(b, y) \quad \text{with} \quad z \in M.
\]

Then \( h_y(b) = 0 \) and \( |h_y(z) - h_y(w)| = |d(z, y) - d(w, y)| \leq d(z, w) \). Thus, \( h_y \in \text{Lip}_1^b(M) \) for all \( y \in M \). The closure of \( \{h_y: y \in M\} \) is called the metric compactification of \( M \), and is denoted \( \overline{M}^h \). The boundary \( \partial \overline{M}^h := \overline{M}^h \setminus \{h_y: y \in M\} \) is called the horofunction boundary of \( M \), and its elements are called horofunctions. Given a horofunction \( h \) and \( r \in \mathbb{R} \) the set \( H(h, r) := \{x \in M : h(x) < r\} \) is a called a horosphere or horoball.

If \((M, d)\) is separable, which is the case if the metric space is proper (i.e., closed balls are compact), the topology of pointwise convergence on \( \text{Lip}_1^b(M) \) is metrizable, and hence each
horofunction is the limit of a sequence of functions \((h_{y_n})\) with \(y_n \in M\) for all \(n \geq 1\). In general, however, horofunctions are limits of nets \((h_{y_n})\) with \(y_n \in M\) for all \(\alpha\).

A curve \(\gamma : I \to (M, d)\), where \(I\) is a possibly unbounded interval in \(\mathbb{R}\), is called a geodesic if
\[
d(\gamma(s), \gamma(t)) = |s - t| \quad \text{for all } s, t \in I.
\]
The metric space \((M, d)\) is said to be a geodesic space if for each \(x, y \in M\) there exists a geodesic \(\gamma : [a, b] \to M\) with \(\gamma(a) = x\) and \(\gamma(b) = y\).

A map \(\gamma : T \to M\), where \(0 \in T \subseteq [0, \infty)\) is unbounded, is said to be an almost geodesic ray if for each \(\varepsilon > 0\) there exists \(N > 0\) such that
\[
|d(\gamma(t), \gamma(s)) + d(\gamma(s), \gamma(0)) - t| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } t \geq s \geq N.
\]
The notion of an almost geodesic ray was introduced by Rieffel [16] who showed, among other things, the following, see [16, Lemmas 4.5 and 4.7].

**Lemma 2.1** (Rieffel). Let \((M, d)\) be a proper metric space. If \(\gamma : T \to M\) is an almost geodesic, then
\[
h(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} d(x, \gamma(t)) - d(b, \gamma(t))
\]
e exists for all \(x \in M\) and \(h \in \overline{\det M}\).

A horofunction \(h \in \overline{\det M}\) is called a Busemann point if there exists an almost geodesic ray \(\gamma : T \to M\) such that \(h(x) = \lim_{t \to \infty} d(x, \gamma(t)) - d(b, \gamma(t))\) for all \(x \in M\). We denote the collection of all Busemann points by \(\mathcal{B}_M\).

If \((M, d)\) is a proper geodesic metric space, then \(h \in \overline{\det M}\) if and only if there exists a sequence \((x_n)\) in \(M\) such that \(h_{x_n} \to h\) and \(d(x_n, b) \to \infty\) as \(n \to \infty\), see [10] Lemma 2.1.

### 2.1 Detour distance

Given two horofunctions \(h_1, h_2 \in \overline{\det M}\) such that \(h_{x_\alpha} \to h_1\) and \(h_{w_\beta} \to h_2\) the detour cost is defined by
\[
H(h_1, h_2) := \lim_{\alpha} d(b, z_\alpha) + \lim_{\beta} d(z_\alpha, w_\beta) - d(b, w_\beta) = \lim_{\alpha} d(b, z_\alpha) + h_2(z_\alpha).
\]
and the detour distance is given by
\[
\delta(h_1, h_2) = H(h_1, h_2) + H(h_2, h_1).
\]
Note that for all \(\alpha, \beta\) we have that
\[
d(b, z_\alpha) + d(z_\alpha, w_\beta) - d(b, w_\beta) \geq 0,
\]
so that \(H(h_1, h_2) \geq 0\) for all \(h_1, h_2 \in \overline{\det M}\). It is, however, possible for \(H(h_1, h_2)\) to be infinite. It can be shown, see [11] Section 3] that the detour distance is independent of the basepoint.

The detour distance was introduced in [4] and has been exploited and further developed in [11]. It is known, see for instance [11] Section 3, that on \(\mathcal{B}_M \subseteq \overline{\det M}\) the detour distance is symmetric, satisfies the triangle inequality, and \(\delta(h_1, h_2) = 0\) if and only if \(h_1 = h_2\). This yields a partition of \(\mathcal{B}_M\) into equivalence classes, where \(h_1\) and \(h_2\) are said to be equivalent if \(\delta(h_1, h_2) < \infty\). Thus \(\mathcal{B}_M\) is the disjoint union of metric spaces under the detour distance,
which are called parts. It could happen that all parts consist of a single Busemann point, but there are also natural instances where there are nontrivial parts, particularly in products of metric spaces.

Given two metric spaces \((M_1, d_1)\) and \((M_2, d_2)\), the product metric space \((M_1 \times M_2, d_\infty)\) is given by

\[
d_\infty(x, y) = \max\{d_1(x_1, y_1), d_2(x_2, y_2)\} \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in M_1 \times M_2.\]

If \((M_1, d_1)\) and \((M_2, d_2)\) are proper geodesic metric spaces, then \((M_1 \times M_2, d_\infty)\) is also a proper geodesic metric space, see [14, Proposition 2.6.6].

We have the following general fact concerning the horofunctions of product metric spaces.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let \((M_1, d_1), \ldots, (M_k, d_k)\) be proper geodesic metric spaces and \((M, d_\infty)\) the product metric space. If \(h\) is a horofunction in \(\overline{M}^h\), then \(h\) is of the form

\[
h(z) = \max_{j \in J} h_j(z_j) - \alpha_j,\]

where \(J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}\) nonempty, \(\alpha_j \in [0, \infty)\) and \(h_j(\cdot) \in \partial \overline{M}_j^h\) for all \(j \in J\), and there exists \(j_0 \in J\) such that \(\alpha_{j_0} = 0\).

*Proof.* Let \((y^n)\) be a sequence in \(M\) such that \((h_{y^n})\) converges to a horofunction \(h\). So \(h(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(z, y^n) - d(b, y^n)\) for all \(z \in M\). Note that as \((M, d)\) is a proper geodesic metric space, we know from [10] Lemma 2.1 that \(d(b, y^n) \to \infty\) as \(n \to \infty\). Write \(y^n = (y^n_1, \ldots, y^n_k)\) and let \(\alpha^n_j := d(b, y^n) - d_j(b_j, y^n_j) \geq 0\) for all \(j = 1, \ldots, k\).

We may assume after taking a subsequence that \(h_j(\cdot) := d_j(\cdot, y^n_j) - d_j(b_j, y^n_j)\) converges to \(h_j \in \overline{M}_j^h\) and \(\alpha^n_j \to \alpha_j \in [0, \infty]\) for all \(j\), and \(\alpha^n_{j_0} = 0\) for all \(n \geq 0\) for some \(j_0 \in \{1, \ldots, k\}\). Let \(J := \{j : \alpha_j > -\infty\}\) and note that \(j_0 \in J\) So,

\[
h(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(z, y^n) - d(b, y^n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{j \in J} (d_j(z_j, y^n_j) - d_j(b_j, y^n_j) - \alpha^n_j) = \max_{j \in J} h_j(z) - \alpha_j.
\]

To complete the proof note that \(\alpha_j < \infty\) implies that \(d_j(b_j, y^n_j) \to \infty\), and hence by [10] Lemma 2.1 we find that \(h_j\) is a horofunction. \(\square\)

The following lemma will be useful in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let \((M_1, d_1)\) and \((M_2, d_2)\) be proper geodesic metric spaces. If \(\gamma_1 : [0, \infty) \to M_1\) and \(\gamma_2 : [0, \infty) \to M_2\) are almost geodesic rays, and \(\alpha > 0\), then \(\gamma^\alpha : [0, \infty) \to M_1 \times M_2\) given by

\[
\gamma^\alpha(t) := (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2((t - \alpha)^+)) \quad \text{for } t > 0,
\]

where \((t - \alpha)^+ = t - \alpha\) for \(t > a\) and \(0\) otherwise, is an almost geodesic ray in \((M_1 \times M_2, d_\infty)\).

*Proof.* Let \(0 < \varepsilon < \alpha/2\) be given. Note that

\[
d_\infty(\gamma^\alpha(t), \gamma^\alpha(s)) + d_\infty(\gamma^\alpha(s), \gamma^\alpha(0)) - t \geq d_1(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_1(s)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - t > -\varepsilon
\]

for all \(t \geq s\) large, as \(\gamma_1\) is an almost geodesic ray.

Also note that for \(t\) sufficiently large we have that

\[
d_1(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_1(s)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - t < \varepsilon
\]
and
\[ d_{\infty}(\gamma^\alpha(t), \gamma^\alpha(0)) = \max\{d_1(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_1(0)), d_2(\gamma_2(t - \alpha), \gamma_2(0))\} = d_1(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_1(0)), \]

since \( d_1(\gamma_1(t), \gamma_1(0)) > t - \varepsilon \) and \( d_2(\gamma_2(t - \alpha), \gamma_2(0)) < t - \alpha + \varepsilon < t - \varepsilon \) for all \( t \) large. This implies for all \( t \geq s \) large that
\[ d_2(\gamma_2(t - \alpha), \gamma_2(s - \alpha)) + d_{\infty}(\gamma_a(s), \gamma_a(0)) - t = d_2(\gamma_2(t - \alpha), \gamma_2(s - \alpha)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - t \leq -d_2(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_2(0)) + s - \alpha + 2\varepsilon < 3\varepsilon. \]

This shows that for all \( t \geq s \) large we have that
\[ |d_{\infty}(\gamma^\alpha(t), \gamma^\alpha(s)) + d_{\infty}(\gamma^\alpha(s), \gamma^\alpha(0)) - t| < 3\varepsilon, \]
and hence \( \gamma_a \) is an almost geodesic ray in \((M_1 \times M_2, d_{\infty})\).

The next lemma shows that among the Busemann points coming from these type of geodesic rays there are ones that have finite detour distance.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \((M_1, d_1)\) and \((M_2, d_2)\) be proper geodesic metric spaces and \(\gamma_1: [0, \infty) \to M_1\) and \(\gamma_2: [0, \infty) \to M_2\) be almost geodesic rays. For \(\alpha, \beta > 0\) let \(\gamma^\alpha: [0, \infty) \to M_1 \times M_2\) and \(\gamma^\beta: [0, \infty) \to M_1 \times M_2\) be given by
\[ \gamma^\alpha(t) := (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2((t - \alpha)^+)) \quad \text{for } t > 0, \]
and
\[ \gamma^\beta(t) := (\gamma_1((t - \beta)^+), \gamma_2(t)) \quad \text{for } t > 0. \]

If \(h_\alpha\) and \(h_\beta\) are the corresponding Busemann points (with basepoint \(b = (\gamma_1(0), \gamma_2(0))\)), then \(\delta(h_\alpha, h_\beta) = \alpha + \beta\).

**Proof.** Let us consider
\[ H(h_\alpha, h_\beta) = \lim_{s \to \infty} d_{\infty}(\gamma^\alpha(s), b) + \lim_{t \to \infty} d_{\infty}(\gamma^\alpha(s), \gamma^\beta(t)) - d_{\infty}(\gamma^\beta(t), b), \]
where \(b = (\gamma_1(0), \gamma_2(0))\). Suppose that \(0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\alpha/2, \beta/2\}\) is given. Then there exists \(N > 0\) large such that for all \(t \geq s > N\) we have that
\[ |d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - s| < \varepsilon, \]
\[ |d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) - t| < \varepsilon, \]
\[ |d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(s)) + d_2(\gamma_2(s), \gamma_2(0)) - t| < \varepsilon. \]
It follows that for all \(|d_2(\gamma_2(s), \gamma_2(s - \alpha)) - \alpha| < 2\varepsilon\) for all \(s - \alpha > N\). As \(0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\alpha/2, \beta/2\}\), it follows for all \(t - \beta, s - \alpha \geq N\) that
\[ d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) > s - \varepsilon > s - \alpha + \varepsilon > d_1(\gamma_1(s - \alpha), \gamma_1(0)) \]
and
\[ d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) > t - \varepsilon > t - \beta + \varepsilon > d_2(\gamma_2(t - \beta), \gamma_2(0)), \]
so that
\[ d_{\infty}(\gamma^\alpha(s), b) = d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) \quad \text{and} \quad d_{\infty}(\gamma^\beta(t), b) = d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)). \]
Thus, for all $t - \beta > s - \alpha > N$ we have that
\[
d_\infty(\gamma^\alpha(s), b) + d_\infty(\gamma^\alpha(s), \gamma^\beta(t)) - d_\infty(\gamma^\beta(t), b) \\
= d_\infty(\gamma^\alpha(s), \gamma^\beta(t)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) \\
= \max\{d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(t - \beta)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)), \\
d_2(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_2(t)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0))\} \\
= d_2(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_2(t)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)),
\]

since
\[
d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(t - \beta)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) < t - \beta + \varepsilon - (t - \varepsilon) < 0.
\]
But also for all $t - \beta > s - \alpha > N$,
\[
d_2(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_2(t)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) \\
= d_2(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_2(t)) + d_1(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_1(0)) - t \\
+ d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_1(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_1(0)) + t - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) \\
< \varepsilon + \alpha + 2\varepsilon + \varepsilon = \alpha + 4\varepsilon
\]
and
\[
d_2(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_2(t)) + d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) \\
= d_2(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_2(t)) + d_1(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_1(0)) - t \\
+ d_1(\gamma_1(s), \gamma_1(0)) - d_1(\gamma_2(s - \alpha), \gamma_1(0)) + t - d_2(\gamma_2(t), \gamma_2(0)) \\
> -\varepsilon + \alpha - 2\varepsilon - \varepsilon = \alpha - 4\varepsilon.
\]

So,
\[
H(h_\alpha, h_\beta) = \lim_{s \to \infty} d_\infty(\gamma^\alpha(s), b) + \lim_{t \to \infty} d_\infty(\gamma^\alpha(s), \gamma^\beta(t)) - d_\infty(\gamma^\beta(t), b) = \alpha.
\]

In the same way it can be shown that $H(h_\beta, h_\alpha) = \beta$, and hence $\delta(h_\alpha, h_\beta) = \alpha + \beta$. \hfill \qed

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1.3

Proof of Theorem 1.3 As $(M_1, d_1)$ contains an almost geodesic $\gamma_1: [0, \infty) \to M_1$ and $(M_2, d_2)$ contains an almost geodesic $\gamma_2: [0, \infty) \to M_2$, we know from Lemma 2.4 that for $\alpha, \beta > 0$ the curves $\gamma^\alpha: [0, \infty) \to M_1 \times M_2$ and $\gamma^\beta: [0, \infty) \to M_1 \times M_2$, where
\[
\gamma^\alpha(t) := (\gamma_1(t), \gamma_2((t - \alpha)^+)) \quad \text{for } t > 0,
\]
and
\[
\gamma^\beta(t) := (\gamma_1((t - \beta)^+), \gamma_2(t)) \quad \text{for } t > 0,
\]
are almost geodesics in $(M_1 \times M_2, d_\infty)$. Furthermore, as the product space $(M_1 \times M_2, d_\infty)$ is a proper geodesic space, we obtain by Lemma 2.1 corresponding Busemann points $h_\alpha$ and $h_\beta$, with respect to basepoint $b = (\gamma_1(0), \gamma_2(0))$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 that $0 < \delta(h_\alpha, h_\beta) = \alpha + \beta < \infty$.

Now suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there exists an isometry $\varphi: (M_1 \times M_2, d_\infty) \to (N, d)$. Then $\varphi \circ \gamma_\alpha$ and $\varphi \circ \gamma_\beta$ are almost geodesics in $(N, d)$, and hence by Lemma 2.1 it yields Busemann points in $\partial^\alpha N$, say $h'_\alpha$ and $h'_\beta$, respectively, where we take basepoint $b' = \varphi(b)$.
Let us now consider the detour cost and note that

\[ H(h'_α, h'_β) = \lim_{s \to \infty} d(φ(γ^α(s)), b') + \lim_{t \to \infty} d(φ(γ^β(s)), φ(γ^β(t))) - d(φ(γ^β(t)), b') \]

\[ = \lim_{s \to \infty} d(φ(γ^α(s)), φ(b)) + \lim_{t \to \infty} d(φ(γ^α(s)), φ(γ^β(t))) - d(φ(γ^β(t)), φ(b)) \]

\[ = \lim_{s \to \infty} d(γ^α(s), b) + \lim_{t \to \infty} d(γ^α(s), γ^β(t)) - d(γ^β(t), b) = H(h_α, h_β). \]

This implies that \( 0 < δ(h'_α, h'_β) = δ(h'_α, h'_β) = α + β < ∞ \), which is a contradiction, as the detour distance between any two distinct Busemann points in \( \partial N^k \) is infinite. \( \square \)

3 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

Let us first recall some basic facts concerning the Kobayashi distance, see [9, Chapter 4] for more details. On the disc, \( Δ := \{ z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1 \} \), the hyperbolic distance is given by

\[ \rho(z, w) := \log \left( \frac{1 + \frac{\|w-z\|}{1-z\bar{w}}} \right) = 2 \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{2}{1 - \frac{|w|^2(1 - |z|^2)^2}{1 - 2w\bar{z}} - 1} \right)^{1/2} \text{ for } z, w \in Δ. \]

Given a convex domain \( D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) the Kobayashi distance is given by

\[ k_D(z, w) = \inf \{ ρ(ζ, η) : \exists f : Δ \to D \text{ holomorphic with } f(ζ) = z \text{ and } f(η) = w \}. \]

for all \( z, w \in D \). This identity is due to Lempert [12], who also showed that on bounded convex domains the Kobayashi distance coincides with the Caratheodory distance, which is given by

\[ c_D(z, w) = \sup_{f} ρ(f(z), f(w)) \text{ for all } z, w \in D, \]

where the sup is taken over all holomorphic maps \( f : D \to Δ \).

It is known, see [1, Proposition 2.3.10], that if \( D \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n \) is bounded convex domain, then \( (D, k_D) \) is a proper metric space, whose topology coincides with the usual topology on \( \mathbb{C}^n \). Moreover, \( (D, k_D) \) is a geodesic metric space containing geodesics rays, see [1] Theorm 2.6.19 or [9] Theorem 4.8.6.

In the case of the Euclidean ball \( B^n := \{ (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \|z\|^2 < 1 \} \), where \( \|z\|^2 = \sum_i |z_i|^2 \), the Kobayashi distance has an explicit formula:

\[ k_{B^n}(z, w) = 2 \tanh^{-1} \left( \frac{2}{1 - \frac{\|w\|^2(1 - \|z\|^2)^2}{1 - 2w\bar{z}}} \right)^{1/2} \text{ for all } z, w \in B^n. \]

For the other hand, on the polydisc \( Δ^n := \{ (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n : \max_i |z_i| < 1 \} \) the Kobayashi distance satisfies

\[ k_{Δ^n}(z, w) = \max_i ρ(z_i, w_i) \text{ for all } w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n), z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in Δ^n. \]

More generally, on a product of bounded convex domains, \( D = D_1 \times \cdots \times D_k \), one has that

\[ k_D(z, w) = \max_i k_{D_i}(z_i, w_i) \text{ for all } w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n), z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in D, \]
by the product property, see [9, Theorem 3.1.9].

To determine the horofunctions \((B^n, k_{B^n})\) it suffices to consider limits of sequences \((h_{w_n})\), where \(w_n \to \xi \in \partial B^n\) in norm. As

\[
k_{B^n}(z, w_n) = \log \left( \frac{|1 - \langle z, w_n \rangle| + |1 - \langle z, w_n \rangle|^2 - (1 - \|z\|^2)(1 - \|w_n\|^2))^{1/2}}{(1 - \|z\|^2)(1 - \|w_n\|^2)} \right)^2,
\]

and

\[
k_{B^n}(0, w_n) = \log \frac{1 + \|w_n\|}{1 - \|w_n\|},
\]

it follows that

\[
h(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} k_{B^n}(z, w_n) - k_{B^n}(0, w_n)
\]

\[
= \log \left( \frac{|1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle| + |1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle|^2 - (1 - \|z\|^2)(1 + \|\xi\|^2))^{1/2}}{(1 - \|z\|^2)(1 + \|\xi\|^2)} \right)^2
\]

\[
= \log \frac{1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle^2}{1 - \|z\|^2}.
\]

for all \(z \in B^n\). Thus, if we write

\[
h_\xi(z) := \log \frac{1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle^2}{1 - \|z\|^2} \quad \text{for all } z \in B^n,
\]

then we obtained \(\partial B^n = \{h_\xi : \xi \in \partial B^n\}\), see also [7, Remark 3.1] and [3, Lemma 2.28]. Moreover, each \(h_\xi\) is a Busemann point, as it is the limit induced by the geodesic ray \(t \mapsto e^{\frac{t}{e^{1/2}} + 1} \xi\), for \(0 \leq t < \infty\).

**Corollary 3.1.** If \(h_\xi\) and \(h_\eta\) are distinct horofunctions of \((B^n, k_{B^n})\), then \(\delta(h_\xi, h_\eta) = \infty\).

**Proof.** If \(\xi \neq \eta\) in \(\partial B^n\), then

\[
\lim_{z \to \eta} k_{B^n}(z, 0) + h_\xi(z) = \lim_{z \to \eta} \log \frac{1 + \|z\|}{1 - \|z\|} + \log \frac{|1 - \langle z, \xi \rangle|^2}{1 - \|z\|^2} = \infty,
\]

which implies that \(\delta(h_\xi, h_\eta) = \infty\).

Note that if \(n = 1\) we recover the well-known expression for the horofunctions of the hyperbolic distance on \(\Delta\):

\[
h_\xi(z) = \log \frac{|1 - z\xi|^2}{1 - |z|^2} = \log \frac{\xi - z\xi}{1 - |z|^2} \quad \text{for all } z \in \Delta,
\]

Combining (3.1) with Theorem 2.4 we get the following.

**Corollary 3.2.** For \(B^{n_1} \times \cdots \times B^{n_k}\), the Kobayashi distance horofunctions are precisely the functions of the form

\[
h(z) = \max_{j \in J} \left( \log \frac{|1 - \langle z, \xi_j \rangle|^2}{1 - \|z_j\|^2} - \alpha_j \right),
\]

where \(J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}\), \(\alpha_j \in [0, \infty)\) and \(\xi_j \in \partial B^{n_j}\) for all \(j \in J\), and there exists \(j_0 \in J\) such that \(\alpha_{j_0} = 0\).
Proof. From [6,1] and Theorem 2.2, we see that all horofunctions are of this form. So it remains to show that each of these functions is a horofunction. Let \( J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\} \) nonempty, \( \alpha_j \in [0, \infty) \) and \( \xi_j \in \partial B^n_j \) for all \( j \in J \), and \( j_0 \in J \) such that \( \alpha_{j_0} = 0 \).

For \( j_0 \) let \( r^n_{j_0} \to 1 \) monotonically and set

\[
\beta^n_{j_0} := c_{B^n_{j_0}}(0, r^n_{j_0} \xi_{j_0}) = \log \frac{1 + r^n_{j_0}}{1 - r^n_{j_0}}.
\]

So, \( \beta^n_{j_0} \to \infty \) monotonically as \( n \to \infty \). Now for each \( j \in J \), with \( j \neq j_0 \), and \( n \geq 1 \), choose \( 0 < r^n_j < 1 \) such that

\[
\beta^n_{j_0} - c_{B^n_j}(0, r^n_j \xi_j) = \alpha_j < \infty.
\]

Note that \( r^n_j \to 1 \), since \( \beta^n_{j_0} \to \infty \), and

\[
c_{B^n_{j_0}}(0, r^n_{j_0} \xi_{j_0}) \geq c_{B^n_j}(0, r^n_j \xi_j) \quad \text{for all} \quad j \in J.
\]

For \( j \in J \) set \( w^n_j := r^n_j \xi_j \), and for each \( j \notin J \) set \( w^n_j = 0 \) for all \( n \geq 1 \). Writing \( D := B^n \times \cdots \times B^n \) and \( w^n := (w^n_1, \ldots, w^n_k) \) we find that

\[
h(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( c_D(z, w^n) - c_D(0, w^n) \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{j \in J} \left( c_{B^n_j}(z, r^n_j \xi_j) - \beta^n_{j_0} \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{j \in J} \left( c_{B^n_j}(z, r^n_j \xi_j) - c_{B^n_j}(0, r^n_j \xi_j) - \alpha_j \right) = \max_{j \in J} \left( \log \frac{1 - (\langle z_j, \xi_j \rangle)^2}{1 - ||z_j||^2} - \alpha_j \right).
\]

which is a horofunction, as \( \xi_{j_0} \in \partial B^{n, j_0} \). \( \square \)

Corollary 3.2 should be compared with [1, Proposition 2.4.12].

Lemma 3.3. For \( (D, k_D) \), where \( D \subset \mathbb{C} \) is bounded strongly convex domain with \( C^3 \) boundary, we have that \( \delta(h, h') = \infty \) for each \( h \neq h' \) in \( \partial \mathcal{D}^h \).

Proof. Let \( h \neq h' \) be horofunctions. As \( (D, k_D) \) is a proper geodesic metric space, we know there exists sequences \( (w_n) \) and \( (z_n) \) in \( D \) such that \( h_{w_n} \to h \) and \( h_{z_n} \to h' \) as \( n \to \infty \). By taking a further subsequence we may assume that \( w_n \to \xi \in \partial D \) and \( z_n \to \eta \in \partial D \), since \( D \) has compact norm closure and \( h \) and \( h' \) are horofunctions.

We claim that \( \xi \neq \eta \). To prove this we need the assumption that \( D \subset \mathbb{C} \) is bounded strongly convex domain with \( C^3 \) boundary and use results by Abate [2] concerning the so-called small and large horospheres. These are defined as follows: for \( R > 0 \) the small horosphere with center \( \zeta \in \partial D \) (and basepoint \( b \in D \)) is given by

\[
E(\zeta, R) := \left\{ x \in D : \limsup_{z \to \zeta} k_D(x, z) - k_D(b, z) < \frac{1}{2} \log R \right\}
\]

and the large horosphere with center \( \zeta \in \partial D \) (and basepoint \( b \in D \)) is given by

\[
F(\zeta, R) := \left\{ x \in D : \liminf_{z \to \zeta} k_D(x, z) - k_D(b, z) < \frac{1}{2} \log R \right\}.
\]
We note that the horoballs,
\[ H(h, \frac{1}{2} \log R) := \left\{ x \in D : \lim_{n \to \infty} k_D(x, w_n) - k_D(h, w_n) < \frac{1}{2} \log R \right\} \]

and
\[ H(h', \frac{1}{2} \log R) := \left\{ x \in D : \lim_{n \to \infty} k_D(x, z_n) - k_D(h, z_n) < \frac{1}{2} \log R \right\} \]
satisfy
\[ E(\xi, R) \subseteq H(h, \frac{1}{2} \log R) \subseteq F(\xi, R) \quad \text{and} \quad E(\eta, R) \subseteq H(h', \frac{1}{2} \log R) \subseteq F(\eta, R). \]

It follows from [1, Theorem 2.6.47] (see also [2]) that \( E(\xi, R) = H(h, \frac{1}{2} \log R) = F(\xi, R) \) and \( E(\eta, R) = H(h', \frac{1}{2} \log R) = F(\eta, R) \), as \( D \) strongly convex and has \( C^3 \) boundary. Thus, if \( \xi = \eta \), then \( h = h' \), since the horoballs \( H(h, r) \) and \( H(h', r) \) for \( r \in \mathbb{R} \), completely determine the horofunctions. This shows that \( \xi \neq \eta \).

As \( D \) is strongly convex, \( D \) is strictly convex, i.e., for each \( \nu \neq \mu \in \partial D \) the open straight line segment \( (\nu, \mu) \subset D \). Thus \( \partial D \cap \text{cl}(H(h, r)) = \{ \xi \} \) and \( \partial D \cap \text{cl}(H(h', r)) = \{ \eta \} \) for all \( r \in \mathbb{R} \), since the horoballs \( H(h, r) \) and \( H(h', r) \) are convex. Hence there exists a neighbourhood \( W \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) of \( \eta \) such that \( W \cap \text{cl}(H(h, 0)) = \emptyset \). We deduce that
\[ H(h', h) = \lim_{k \to \infty} k_D(w_k, b) + h(w_k) \geq \lim_{k \to \infty} k_D(w_k, b) = \infty, \]
since \( h(w_k) \geq 0 \) for all \( k \) large, which implies that \( \delta(h, h') = \infty \).

Let us now prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.** Let \( X \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( Y \subset \mathbb{C}^k \) be bounded convex domains. Then \((X, k_X)\) and \((Y, k_Y)\) are proper geodesic metric spaces such that \( X \) contains a geodesic ray \( \gamma_1 : [0, \infty) \to X \) and \( Y \) contains a geodesic ray \( \gamma_1 : [0, \infty) \to X \). It follows from the product property [9, Theorem 3.1.9] of the Kobayashi distance that on \( X \times Y \) we have
\[ k_{X \times Y}(w, z) = \max\{k_X(w_1, z_1), k_Y(w_2, z_2)\} = d_{\infty}(w, z) \]
for all \( w = (w_1, w_2), y = (y_1, y_2) \in X \times Y \).

We also know from Corollary 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 that for any two distinct Busemann points in \( \partial B^h \) (or in \( \partial D^h \)) the detour distance is infinite. Thus we can apply Theorem 1.3 to obtain the results.

The condition that all Busemann points have infinite detour distance from each other is a type of regularity condition on the horofunction boundary and holds in numerous other metric spaces. For instance, it is known [17] that in a finite dimensional real normed space \((V, \| \cdot \|)\) the horofunction boundary is equal to \( \{-\varphi(\cdot) : \varphi \in V^* \text{ with } \|\varphi\|^* = 1\} \), if the unit ball of \( V \) is smooth, that is to say that at each \( x \) in the unit sphere there exists a unique supporting hyperplane. Using this fact it easy to see that such normed spaces also satisfy the regularity condition. The regularity condition also holds for Hilbert geometries \((D, d_H)\) if \( D \subset \mathbb{R}^n \) is strictly convex and smooth, see [18]. It would be interesting to understand if the regularity condition holds for strictly convex domains \( D \subset \mathbb{C}^n \) with a \( C^1 \) boundary.
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