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Abstract
The structure of $3C$-algebra and $5A$-algebra constructed by Lam-Yamada-Yamauchi is studied and the uniqueness of the vertex operator algebra structure of these two algebras is established. We also give the fusion rules for these two algebras.

1 Introduction

The Monster simple group $\mathbb{M}$ [G] is generated by some $2A$-involutions and the conjugacy class of the product of two $2A$-involutions $\tau \tau'$ is one of the nine classes $1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 4B, 2B$ and $3C$ in $\mathbb{M}$ [G]. Moreover, each $2A$-involution $\tau$ defines a unique idempotent $e_\tau$ in the Monster Griess algebra, which is called an axis. The inner product of $e_\tau$ and $e_\tau'$ is uniquely determined by the conjugacy class of the product of two $2A$-involutions $\tau \tau'$. From the construction of the moonshine vertex operator algebra $V^+/A/2$ [FLM] we know that the Monster Griess algebra is the weight two subspace $V^2_0$ of the $V^2$. It was discovered in [DMZ] that $V^2$ contains 48 Virasoro vectors, each Virasoro vector generates a Virasoro vertex operator algebra isomorphic to $L(\frac{1}{4}, 0)$ in $V^2$ and $L(\frac{1}{4}, 0)^{\otimes 48}$ is a conformal subalgebra of $V^2$. Such a Virasoro vector is called an Ising vector. Miyamoto later realized that $2e_\tau$ is an Ising vector for any $2A$-involution $\tau$. Thus there is a one-to-one correspondence between $2A$-involutions of $\mathbb{M}$ and Ising vectors of $V^2$. According to a result in [C], the structure of the subalgebra generated by two Ising vectors $e$ and $f$ in the algebra $V^2_0$ depends on only the conjugacy class of $\tau_\tau \tau_f$. For the nine classes $1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 4B, 2B$ and $3C$, the inner product $\langle e, f \rangle$ are $\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{13}{32}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}$, respectively.

It is natural to ask what the vertex operator subalgebra generated by two Ising vectors in an arbitrary vertex operator algebra is. A beautiful result given in [S] asserts that the inner product of any two different Ising vectors again take these 9 values as in the case of the moonshine vertex operator algebra. In [LYY], [LYY1], for each of the nine cases, they constructed a subalgebra of $V_{\sqrt{7}E_8}$, which is generated by two Ising vectors. These vertex operator algebras generated by two Ising vectors are simply called $1A, 2A, 3A, 4A, 5A, 6A, 4B, 2B$ and $3C$-algebras, denoted by $V_{\sqrt{7}E_8}$. But this raises two more questions: (1) Is the vertex operator algebra structure of these algebras constructed in [LYY], [LYY1] unique? (2) Is any vertex operator algebra generated by two Ising vectors isomorphic to one of these 9 algebras? The uniqueness of VOA structure of $U_{6A}$-algebra has been given in [DJY]. In [SY], they proved the VOA generated by two Ising vectors whose inner product is $\frac{13}{32}$ has a unique VOA structure, so $3A$ case in question (2) has been solved thoroughly.

Now consider the uniqueness of VOA structure of $U_{nX}$-algebra where $nX \neq 3A$ or $6A$. Note that $U_{1A} \cong L(D, 0)$ and $U_{2B} \cong L(\frac{1}{4}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{1}{4}, 0)$, so the uniqueness of VOA structure of these two algebras is trivial. We also know that
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Theorem 2.3. The space of invariant bilinear forms on $U$ is simple current extension of the subVOA $L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0)$, $U_{4B} \cong L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0)\text{ is a simple current extension of the subVOA } L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(1/2, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0) \otimes L(7/10, 0)\text{, so the uniqueness of the VOA structure of } U_{2A} \text{ and } U_{4B} \text{ follows from [DM1], also see Remark 2.11}. U_{4A} \cong V^+_N. So $U_{4A}, U_{5A} \text{ and } U_{3C}$ are the three nontrivial cases left. In this paper, we only consider the uniqueness of VOA structure of $U_{5A}$ and $U_{3C}$-algebras. In fact, the uniqueness of VOA structure of $U_{5A}$ and $U_{3C}$-algebras can be deduced from Lemma C.1-C.3 in [LYY]. In their paper, they mainly use associativity to prove these lemmas. Here we will give another proof by using commutativity and braiding matrix.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review some basic notions and some well known results in the vertex operator algebra theory. In Section 3, we study the structure of the $5A$-algebra and prove the uniqueness of the vertex operator algebra structure on $U$. In Section 4, we study the structure of the $3C$-algebra and prove the uniqueness of the vertex operator algebra structure on $U$. In section 5, we give fusion rules of the $5A$-algebra and $3C$-algebra.

2 Preliminary

In this section, we review some basics on vertex operators algebras. For more details of the definition of VOA and its all kinds of modules, etc, we refer [FLM], [DLM1], [DLM2].

2.1 Ising vector

Definition 2.1. A vector $e \in V_2$ is called a conformal vector with central charge $c_e$ if it satisfies $e_1 e = 2e$ and $e_3 e = \frac{c_e}{2}$. Then the operators $L_n^e := e_{n+1}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, satisfy the Virasoro commutation relation

$$[L_m^e, L_n^e] = (m-n)L_{m+n} + \delta_{m+n, 0} \frac{m^3-m}{12} c_e$$

for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. A conformal vector $e \in V_2$ with central charge $1/2$ is called an Ising vector if $e$ generates the Virasoro vertex operator algebra $L(1/2, 0)$.

2.2 Invariant bilinear form

Let $M = \oplus \lambda \in \mathfrak{L} M_\lambda$ be a $V$-module. The restricted dual of $M$ is defined as $M' = \oplus \lambda \in \mathfrak{L} M^*_\lambda$ where $M^*_\lambda = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(M_\lambda, \mathbb{C})$. It was proved in [FHL] that $M' = (M', Y_{M'})$ is a naturally a $V$-module such that

$$\langle Y_{M'}(v, z) f, u \rangle = \langle f, Y_{M}(e^{zL(1)}(-z^{-2})L(0)v, z^{-1}) u \rangle,$$

for $v \in V$, $f \in M'$ and $u \in M$, and $(M')' \cong M$. Moreover, if $M$ is irreducible, so is $M'$. A $V$-module $M$ is said to be self-dual if $M \cong M'$.

Proposition 2.2. [FHL] For $v, v_1, v_2 \in V$ and $v' \in V'$, we have the following equality of rational functions:

(a): Commutativity:

$$\iota^{-1}_{12} \langle v', Y(v_1, z_1) Y(v_2, z_2) w \rangle = \iota^{-1}_{21} \langle v', Y(v_2, z_2) Y(v_1, z_1) w \rangle$$

(b): Associativity:

$$\iota^{-1}_{12} \langle v', Y(v_1, z_1) Y(v_2, z_2) w \rangle = \left(\iota^{-1}_{20} \langle f, Y(v_1, z_0, v_2, z_2) w \rangle \right) |_{z_0 = z_1 - z_2}$$

where $\iota^{-1}_{12} f(z_1, z_2)$ denotes the formal power expansion of an analytic function $f(z_1, z_2)$ in the domain $|z_1| > |z_2|$.

The following result about bilinear form on $V$ is from [L]:

Theorem 2.3. The space of invariant bilinear forms on $V$ is isomorphic to the space $$(V_0 / L(1) V_1)^* = \text{Hom}_\mathbb{C}(V_0 / L(1) V_1, \mathbb{C}).$$
2.3 Intertwining operator and fusion rules

Definition 2.4. Let \((V, Y)\) be a vertex operator algebra and let \((M^i, Y^i)\), \((M^j, Y^j)\) and \((M^k, Y^k)\) be three \(V\)-modules. An intertwining operator of type \(\left( \begin{array}{c} M^k \\ M^i & M^j \end{array} \right)\) is a linear map
\[ \mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z) : M^i \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M^j, M^k) \{z\} \]
\[ u \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}(u, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Q}} u_n z^{-n-1} \]
satisfying:
1. for any \(u \in M^i\) and \(v \in M^j\), \(u_n v = 0\) for \(n\) sufficiently large;
2. \(\mathcal{Y}(L_{-1} v, z) = \frac{2z}{z_0} \mathcal{Y}(v, z)\) for \(v \in M^i\);
3. (Jacobi Identity) for any \(u \in V\), \(v \in M^i\),
\[ z_0^{-1} \delta\left( \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_0} \right) Y^k(u, z_1) Y^j(v, z_2) - z_0^{-1} \delta\left( \frac{-z_2 + z_1}{z_0} \right) Y^j(v, z_2) Y^i(u, z_1) = z_2^{-1} \delta\left( \frac{z_1 - z_0}{z_2} \right) \mathcal{Y}\left(Y^i(u, z_0), v, z_2\right). \]

The space of all intertwining operators of type \(\left( \begin{array}{c} M^k \\ M^i & M^j \end{array} \right)\) is denoted \(I_V\left( \begin{array}{c} M^k \\ M^i & M^j \end{array} \right)\). Without confusion, we also denote it by \(I_{i,j}^k\). Let \(N_{i,j}^k\) denote \(\dim I_{i,j}^k\). These integers \(N_{i,j}^k\) are called the fusion rules.

Definition 2.5. Let \(M^1\) and \(M^2\) be \(V\)-modules. A tensor product for the ordered pair \((M^1, M^2)\) is a pair \((M, \mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z))\) which consists of a \(V\)-module \(M\) and an intertwining operator \(\mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z)\) of type \(\left( \begin{array}{c} M \\ M^1 & M^2 \end{array} \right)\) satisfying the following universal property: For any \(V\)-module \(W\) and any intertwining operator \(I(\cdot, z)\) of type \(\left( \begin{array}{c} W \\ M^1 & M^2 \end{array} \right)\), there exists a unique \(V\)-homomorphism \(\phi\) from \(M\) to \(W\) such that \(I(\cdot, z) = \phi \circ \mathcal{Y}(\cdot, z)\). From the definition it is easy to see that if a tensor product of \(M^1\) and \(M^2\) exists, it is unique up to isomorphism. In this case, we denote the tensor product by \(M^1 \boxtimes_V M^2\).

Let \(V^1\) and \(V^2\) be vertex operator algebras. Let \(M^i\), \(i = 1, 2, 3\), be \(V^1\)-modules, and \(N^i\), \(i = 1, 2, 3\), be \(V^2\)-modules. Then \(M^i \otimes N^i\), \(i = 1, 2, 3\), are \(V^1 \otimes V^2\)-modules by [FHL]. The following property was given in [ADL].

Proposition 2.6. If \(N_{M^1 \otimes M^2}^{M^3} < \infty\) or \(N_{N^1 \otimes N^2}^{N^3} < \infty\), then
\[ N_{M^1 \otimes N^1, M^2 \otimes N^2}^{M^3 \otimes N^3} = N_{M^1, M^2}^{M^3} N_{N^1, N^2}^{N^3}. \]

2.4 Simple current extensions

Definition 2.7. A VOA is graded by an abelian group \(G\) if \(V = \bigoplus_{g \in G} V^g\), and \(u_n v \in V^{g+h}\) for any \(u \in V^g, v \in V^h\), and \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).

The following proposition was given in [DM1]:

Proposition 2.8. Let \(V = \sum_{g \in G} V^g\) be a simple \(G\)-graded VOA such that \(V^g \neq 0\) for all \(g \in G\) and \(N_{V^g, V^h}^{V^k} = \delta_{g+h,k}\) for all \(g, h, k \in G\). Then the VOA structure of \(V\) is determined uniquely by the \(V^0\)-module structure of \(V\).

Definition 2.9. Let \(V\) be a simple VOA. An irreducible \(V\) module \(U\) is called a simple current \(V\)-module if it satisfies that for every irreducible \(V\)-module \(M\), the fusion product \(U \boxtimes V\) is also irreducible.

Definition 2.10. Let \(V = \sum_{g \in G} V^g\) be a simple \(G\)-graded VOA such that \(V^g \neq 0\) for all \(g \in G\), then \(V\) is called a \(G\)-graded simple current extension if all \(V^g, g \in G\) are simple current \(V^0\)-module.

Remark 2.11. Let \(V = \sum_{g \in G} V^g\) be a \(G\)-graded simple current extension. Then by proposition 2.8, we have the VOA structure of \(V\) is determined uniquely by the \(V^0\)-module structure of \(V\).
2.5 Quantum Galois Theory

Theorem 2.12. [DM2] Suppose that \( V \) is a simple vertex operator algebra and that \( G \) is a finite and faithful solvable group of automorphisms of \( V \). Then the following hold:

(i) \( V = \oplus_{\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)} V^\chi \), where \( V^\chi \) is the subspace of \( V \) on which \( G \) acts according to the character \( \chi \). Each \( V^\chi \) is nonzero;

(ii) For \( \chi \in \text{Irr}(G) \), each \( V^\chi \) is a simple module for the \( G \)-graded vertex operator algebra \( \mathbb{C}G \otimes V^G \) of the form

\[
V^\chi = M_\chi \otimes V^\chi,
\]

where \( M_\chi \) is the simple \( \mathbb{C}G \)-module affording \( \chi \) and where \( V_\chi \) is a simple \( V^G \)-module.

(iii) The map \( M_\chi \mapsto V^\chi \) is a bijection from the set of simple \( \mathbb{C}G \)-modules to the set of (inequivalent) simple \( V^G \)-modules which are contained in \( V \).

Definition 2.13. [DJX] Let \( V \) be a vertex operator algebra of CFT type and \( M \) a \( V \)-module such that the trace function \( Z_V(\tau) \) and \( Z_M(\tau) \) exist. The quantum dimension of \( M \) over \( V \) is defined as

\[
q \dim_V M = \lim_{y \to 0} \frac{Z_M(iy)}{Z_V(iy)}
\]

Alternatively, we can use the following definition:

\[
q \dim_V M = \lim_{q \to 1} \frac{ch_q M}{ch_q V}
\]

Theorem 2.14. (Theorem 6.3 in [DJX]) Let \( V \) be a rational and \( C_2 \)-cofinite simple vertex operator algebra. Assume \( V \) is \( g \)-rational and the conformal weight of any irreducible \( g \)-twisted \( V \)-module is positive except for \( V \) itself for all \( g \in G \). Then

\[
q \dim_{V \otimes V} V_\chi = \dim W_\chi.
\]

Proposition 2.15. [DJX] Let \( V \) be a rational and \( C_2 \)-cofinite simple vertex operator algebra of CFT type with \( V \cong V' \).

Let \( M^0, M^1, \cdots, M^d \) be all the inequivalent irreducible \( V \)-modules with \( M^0 \cong V \). The corresponding conformal weights \( \lambda_i \) satisfy \( \lambda_i > 0 \) for \( 0 < i \leq d \). Then

(i) \( q \dim_V (M^i \boxtimes M^j) = q \dim_V M^i \cdot q \dim_V M^j \), \( \forall i, j \).

(ii) A \( V \)-module \( M^i \) is a simple current if and only if \( q \dim_V M^i = 1 \).

(iii) \( q \dim_M M^i \in \{2 \cos(\pi/n) | n \geq 3 \} \cup \{a | 2 \leq a < \infty, a \text{ is algebraic} \} \).

Remark 2.16. [ADJR] Let \( U \) and \( V \) be a vertex operator algebra under the same assumption with Proposition 2.13

\( M \) be a \( U \)-module and \( N \) be a \( V \)-module. Then

\[
q \dim_{U \otimes V} M \otimes N = q \dim_U M \cdot q \dim_V N.
\]

2.6 The unitary series of the Virasoro VOAs

From now on we always assume \( p, q \in \{2, 3, 4, \ldots\} \), and \( p, q \) are relatively prime.

Definition 2.17. [W] An ordered triple of pairs of integers \((m, n), (m', n'), (m'', n'')\) is admissible if \(0 < m, m', m'' < p, 0 < n, n', n'' < q, m + m' + m'' < 2p, n + n' + n'' < 2q, m < m' + m'', m' < m + m'', m'' < m + m', n < n' + n'', n' < n + n'', n'' < n + n', and the sums \( m + m' + m'', n + n' + n'' \) are odd. We identify the triples \((m, n), (m', n'), (m'', n'')\) and \((m, n), (p - m', q - n'), (p - m'', q - n'')\).

Let \( c_{p,q} = 1 - \frac{6(p-1)^2}{pq}, h_{m,n} = \frac{(np-mq)^2-(p-q)^2}{4pq}, 0 < m < p, 0 < n < q \). \( L(c_{p,q}, h_{m,n}) \) is the irreducible highest weight representation of the Virasoro algebra \( L \) with highest weight \((c_{p,q}, h_{m,n})\). Then \( L(c_{p,q}, 0) \) has a VOA structure. Moreover, we have the following results [W]:

\[
\]
Remark 2.21. We simply denote it as such that the multi-valued analytic function $z^{V}$ of equivalence class of irreducible Theorem 2.18. \[W\] The vertex operator algebra is a linearly independent set. Fix a basis of intertwining operators. Then by remark 2.21 there exists a $\mathbb{C}$-module. Then we have the following result:

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{2}, \mu;i}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{2}}, x_{2}) Y_{a_{1}, \mu;i}^{a_{3}} (u_{a_{1}}, x_{1}) u_{a_{3}} \rangle |_{x_{1}=z_{1}, x_{2}=z_{2}}$$

of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in the region $|z_{1}| > |z_{2}| > 0$ and the multi-valued analytic function

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{2}, \mu;i}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{2}}, x_{2}) Y_{a_{1}, \mu;i}^{a_{3}} (u_{a_{1}}, x_{1}) u_{a_{3}} \rangle |_{x_{1}=z_{1}, x_{2}=z_{2}}$$

of $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$ in the region $|z_{2}| > |z_{1}| > 0$, are analytic extensions of each other.

Remark 2.21. For the multi-valued analytic functions in (2.3), (2.4), we can get a single valued branch which is called prefered branch in \[H2\] and we still use the same notation as (2.3), (2.4). Then we can get a similar result as proposition 2.2.

$$\iota_{12}^{-1} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{1}, a_{5}}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{1}}, x_{1}) Y_{a_{2}, a_{3}}^{a_{5}} (u_{a_{2}}, x_{2}) u_{a_{3}} \rangle |_{x_{1}=z_{1}, x_{2}=z_{2}} = \iota_{21}^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{2}, \mu;i}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{2}}, x_{2}) Y_{a_{1}, \mu;i}^{a_{3}} (u_{a_{1}}, x_{1}) u_{a_{3}} \rangle |_{x_{1}=z_{1}, x_{2}=z_{2}}$$

we simply denote it as

$$\iota_{12}^{-1} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{1}, a_{5}}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{1}}, z_{1}) Y_{a_{2}, a_{3}}^{a_{5}} (u_{a_{2}, z_{2}}) u_{a_{3}} \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1} \sum_{\mu=1}^{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{2}, \mu;i}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{2}, z_{2}}) Y_{a_{1}, \mu;i}^{a_{3}} (u_{a_{1}, z_{1}}) u_{a_{3}} \rangle .$$

Let $\{Y_{a_{1}, b_{i}}^{c} | i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_{1}, b}^{c} \}$ be a basis of $I_{a_{1}, b}^{c}$. From \[H2\],

$$\iota_{12}^{-1} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{1}, a_{5}}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{1}}, z_{1}) Y_{a_{2}, a_{3}, j}^{a_{5}} (u_{a_{2}, z_{2}}) u_{a_{3}, i} | i = 1, \ldots, N_{a_{1}, a_{5}, j}^{a_{4}}, j = 1, \ldots, N_{a_{2}, a_{3}, j}^{a_{5}}, \forall a_{5} \rangle$$

is a linearly independent set. Fix a basis of intertwining operators. Then by remark 2.21 there exists $B_{a_{4}, a_{1}}^{a_{3}, a_{2}}$ such that

$$\iota_{21}^{-1} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{1}, a_{5}}^{a_{4}} (u_{a_{1}, z_{1}}) Y_{a_{2}, a_{3}, j}^{a_{5}} (u_{a_{2}, z_{2}}) u_{a_{3}, i} \rangle = \sum_{k,l,\gamma} (B_{a_{4}, a_{1}}^{a_{3}, a_{2}})_{\mu, \gamma} \iota_{21}^{-1} \langle u'_{a_{1}}, Y_{a_{2}, a_{3}, j}^{a_{4}, \gamma} (u_{a_{2}, z_{2}}) Y_{a_{3}, a_{1}, i}^{a_{5}} (u_{a_{3}, z_{1}}) u_{a_{1}} \rangle$$

(2.5)
where the nonvanishing matrix elements of braiding matrices of screened vertex operators have the almost factorized form (cf. (2.19) of [FFK]):

Lemma 2.23. Let \( \alpha_2 = \frac{p}{p'} \), \( \alpha_3 = \frac{p}{p''} \), here \( p' = p + 1 \). \( x = \exp (2\pi i \alpha_2^2) \), \( y = \exp (2\pi i \alpha_3^2) \), \( \lceil l \rceil = x^{l/2} - x^{-l/2} \), \( \lceil l' \rceil = y^{l'/2} - y^{-l'/2} \). Denote \( c_p = 1 - \frac{6}{p(p+1)} \) with \( p = 2, 3, 4, \ldots \), \( \hbar(p)(i', i) = \frac{1}{4} (i'^2 - 1) \alpha_2^2 - \frac{1}{2} (i' i - 1) + \frac{1}{4} (i'^2 - 1) \alpha_3^2 = \frac{(p'^{-1} - (p+1)i')^2 - 1}{4p(p+1)} \). Now we fix central charge \( c_p \), denote \( L \left( c_p, h(p)(i', i) \right) \) by \( (i', i) \). Note that here \( (i', i) \) is the same as \( (i, i') \) in Theorem 2.19. Let \( (a', a), (m', m), (n', n), (c', c), (b', b), (d', d) \) be irreducible \( L(c_p, 0) \)-modules, the braiding matrices of screened vertex operators have the almost factorized form (cf. (2.19) of [FFK]):

\[
\begin{align*}
&= i^{-(m' - 1)(n - 1) - (n' - 1)(m - 1)} (-1)^{1/2(a-b+c-d)(n'+m)+1/2(a'-b'+c'-d')(n+m)}
&\cdot r'(a', m', n', c')_{b', d'} \cdot r(a, m, n, c)_{b, d},
\end{align*}
\]

where the nonvanishing matrix elements of \( r \)-matrices are

\[
\begin{align*}
r(a, 1, n, c)_{a, c} &= r(a, m, 1, c)_{c, a} = 1, \\
r(l \pm 2, 2, 2, l)_{l \pm 1, l \pm 1} &= x^{l/4}, \\
r(l, 2, 2, l)_{l \pm 1, l \pm 1} &= \mp x^{-1/4(l+1/2)} \left[ \frac{l}{l+1} \right], \\
r(l, 2, 2, l)_{l \pm 1, l \mp 1} &= x^{-1/4(l+1/2)} \left[ \frac{l+1}{l} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

(2.7)

and the other \( r \)-matrices are given by the recursive relation

\[
\begin{align*}
r(a, m + 1, n, c)_{b, d} &= \sum_{d_1 \geq 1} r(a, 2, n, d_1)_{a_1, d} \cdot r(a, m, n, c)_{b, d_1}, \\
r(a, m + 1, n, c)_{b, d} &= \sum_{d_1 \geq 1} r(a, m, 2, c_1)_{b_1, d_1} \cdot r(d_1, m, n, c)_{c_1, d},
\end{align*}
\]

(2.8)

for any choice of \( a_1 \) and \( c_1 \) compatible with the fusion rules. The \( r' \) matrices are given by the same formulas with the replacement \( x \to y, \left[ \begin{array}{c} x \end{array} \right] \to \left[ \begin{array}{c} y \end{array} \right] \).

Remark 2.22. Use the above notation, we see that the central charge of the model \( L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \) corresponds to the parameter \( \alpha_2^2 = \frac{7}{4} \) with \( p = 7, p' = 8 \). The pairs \((1, 1), (3, 1), (5, 1), (7, 1)\) correspond to the highest weights \( \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \frac{7}{2} \) respectively. The central charge of the model \( L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \) corresponds to the parameter \( \alpha_3^2 = \frac{11}{4} \) with \( p = 11, p' = 12 \). The pairs \((1, 1), (7, 1)\) correspond to the highest weights 0 and 8 respectively.

Now we will prove two lemmas which will be used in the proof of uniqueness of 5A and 3C algebra.

First we consider braiding matrix for \( L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \)-modules. \( P_2 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{45}{28} \right) \), \( P_3 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{4}{5} \right) \), \( P_4 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{11}{14} \right) \) are irreducible \( L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \)-modules. For convenience, we will denote \( \left( B_{a,b}^{P_2, P_3}, P_4 \right) \) by \( (B_{a,b}^{c,d})_{e,f} \), \( a, b, c, d, e, f \in \{2, 3, 4\} \).

Lemma 2.23. \( \left( B_{4,3}^{4,4}, 4, 4 \right)_{2, 2} - \left( B_{4,3}^{4,4}, 4, 3 \right)_{2, 4} \neq 0 \), and \( \left( B_{4,3}^{4,4}, 3, 2 \right)_{4, 4} - \left( B_{4,3}^{4,4}, 3, 4 \right)_{4, 2} \neq 0 \).

Proof. Using (2.6), (2.7) and Remark 2.22, we have \( \left( B_{4,3}^{4,4}, 4, 4 \right) = r'(5, 3, 3, 5)_{5, 5} \). Using (2.7) and (2.8) we obtain:

\[
r'(5, 3, 3, 5)_{5, 5} = r'(5, 2, 3, 4)_{4, 5} \cdot r'(4, 2, 3, 5)_{5, 4} + r'(5, 2, 3, 6)_{4, 5} \cdot r'(4, 2, 3, 5)_{5, 6}
\]
with
\[ r'(5, 2, 3, 4)_{4,5} = r'(5, 2, 2, 3)_{4,4} \cdot r'(4, 2, 2, 4)_{3,5} = y^{\frac{1}{4}} \cdot y^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{[3]'^2}{[4]} = \frac{[3]'^2}{[4]}, \]
\[ r'(4, 2, 3, 5)_{5,4} = r'(4, 2, 2, 6)_{5,5} \cdot r'(5, 2, 2, 5)_{6,4} = y^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot y^{-\frac{3}{2}} \frac{[6]'^2}{[5]} = \frac{[6]'^2}{[5]}, \]
\[ r'(5, 2, 3, 6)_{4,5} = r'(5, 2, 2, 5)_{4,4} \cdot r'(4, 2, 2, 6)_{5,5} + r'(5, 2, 2, 5)_{4,6} \cdot r'(6, 2, 2, 6)_{5,5} = y^{\frac{5}{2}} \frac{[1]'[6]' + [4]'[1]'^2}{[5]'^2[6]}, \]
\[ r'(4, 2, 3, 5)_{5,6} = r'(4, 2, 2, 4)_{5,5} \cdot r'(5, 2, 2, 5)_{4,6} = -y^{-\frac{5}{2}} \frac{[1]'^2}{[5]}. \]

where \([l]' = 2i \sin \left(\frac{\pi}{b} l\right), y = \exp \left(\frac{\pi}{b} i\right)\). Then we have:
\[ \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{4,4} = r'(5, 3, 3, 5)_{5,5} = \frac{[6]'[3]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]} = \frac{[6]'[3]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]}, \] (2.9)

Similarly, we can obtain:
\[ \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{2,3} = r'(5, 3, 3, 5)_{7,3} = y \frac{[6]'[7]'}{[4]'[5]} \] (2.10)
\[ \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{4,3} = r'(5, 3, 3, 5)_{5,3} = y \frac{[1]'[6]'^2}{[4]'[5]} \] (2.11)
\[ \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{2,4} = r'(5, 3, 3, 5)_{7,5} = -y^{-3} \left( \frac{[1]'[7]'}{[5]'^2[4]} + \frac{[1]'[7]'}{[5]'^2[4]} \right) \] (2.12)

From (2.9), (2.10), (2.11), (2.12) and a direct computation, we can obtain:
\[ \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{4,4} \cdot \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{2,3} - \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{4,4} \cdot \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{2,4} = y^{-1} \frac{[4]'[3]'}{[6]'[5]} \left( \frac{[6]'[3]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]} - \frac{[1]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]} \right) = \frac{\sqrt{2} - 1}{2} + \frac{3 - 2\sqrt{2} i}{2} \neq 0. \] (2.13)

By a similar process, we can obtain:
\[ \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{3,2} \cdot \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{4,4} = y^{-1} \frac{[4]'[3]'}{[6]'[5]} \left( \frac{[6]'[3]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]} - \frac{[1]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]} \right) = y^{-1} \left( \sqrt{2} - 1 \right), \] (2.14)

\[ \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{1,2} \cdot \left( B_{3, 3}^{4, 4} \right)_{3,4} = -y^{-1} \frac{[1]'[4]'}{[5]'[6]} \left( \frac{[1]'[3]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]} - \frac{[1]'[3]'^2}{[5]'^2[4]} \right) = -y^{-1}, \] (2.15)
From (2.14) and (2.15), we have

\[
(B_{3,3}^{4,4})_{4,4} \cdot (B_{3,3}^{4,4})_{2,3} - (B_{3,3}^{4,4})_{4,3} \cdot (B_{3,3}^{4,4})_{2,4} = \sqrt{2} y^{-1} = 1 + i \neq 0. \tag{2.16}
\]

Then we consider braiding matrix for \( L \left( \frac{21}{22}, 0 \right) \)-modules. \( U^1 = L \left( \frac{22}{25}, 0 \right), U^2 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 8 \right) \) are irreducible \( L \left( \frac{21}{22}, 0 \right) \)-modules. For convenience, we will denote \( B_{U^*, U^i}^{c, d} \) by \( (B_{a, b}^{c, d})_{e, f} \), \( a, b, c, d, e, f \in \{1, 2\} \). Now we are ready to give the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.24.** \( (B_{2,2}^{2,2})_{2,1} \neq 0. \)

**Proof.** By a careful computation similar to Lemma 2.23, we can obtain

\[
(B_{2,2}^{2,2})_{2,1} = y^6 \cdot [11^3, 2^3, 3^3] \cdot [10^3] \cdot [8^3] \cdot [7^3] \cdot [6^3] \cdot [5^3] \cdot [3^3] \cdot [4^3] \neq 0,
\]

where \([l] = 2i \sin \left( \frac{11}{12} \pi l \right), y = \exp \left( \frac{11}{12} \pi i \right).\)

\[\square\]

### 3 Uniqueness of VOA structure of the 5A-algebra \( \mathcal{U} \)

As in [LYY], we denote the irreducible module \( L \left( \frac{1}{2}, h_1 \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, h_2 \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, h_3 \right) \) by \( [h_1, h_2, h_3] \) for simplicity of notation. Let

\[
U^1 = [0, 0, 0], U^2 = [0, \frac{15}{2}, \frac{15}{2}], U^3 = [0, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{13}{4}], U^4 = [0, \frac{13}{4}, \frac{13}{4}],
\]

\[
U^5 = [\frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{15}{2}], U^6 = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{15}{2}, 0], U^7 = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{3}{4}], U^8 = [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{13}{4}, \frac{13}{4}],
\]

\[
U^9 = [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{5}{32}, \frac{57}{32}], U^{10} = [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{37}{32}, \frac{32}{32}], U^{11} = [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{57}{32}, \frac{165}{32}], U^{12} = [\frac{1}{16}, \frac{165}{32}, \frac{57}{32}].
\]

Then

\( \mathcal{U} \cong U^1 \oplus U^2 \oplus \ldots \oplus U^{11} \oplus U^{12}. \)

**Lemma 3.1.** Let \( W = U^1 + U^2 + U^3 + U^4, \) then \( W \) is a subVOA of \( \mathcal{U} \) and the VOA structure of 6A-algebra \( \mathcal{U} \) is uniquely determined by \( W. \)

**Proof.** By Remark 2.16 and some results in [DJX], The quantum dimensions of \( U^i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, 11, 12 \) as \( U^1 \) modules are as follows:

\[
q \dim_{U^1} U^1 = q \dim_{U^1} U^2 = q \dim_{U^1} U^3 = q \dim_{U^1} U^6 = 1,
\]

\[
q \dim_{U^1} U^3 = q \dim_{U^1} U^4 = q \dim_{U^1} U^7 = q \dim_{U^1} U^8 = \left( \frac{\sin \left( \frac{3\pi}{2} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \right)} \right)^2,
\]

\[
q \dim_{U^1} U^9 = q \dim_{U^1} U^{10} = q \dim_{U^1} U^{11} = q \dim_{U^1} U^{12} = \frac{1}{\sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{4} \right)}.
\]

By the quantum dimensions above and the fusion rules of \( L \left( \frac{1}{2}, 0 \right) \) modules and \( L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \) modules, we can get that \( U^1 + U^2 + \ldots + U^7 + U^8 \) is a subVOA of \( \mathcal{U} \) and \( U^8 + U^{10} + U^{11} + U^{12} \) is a simple module of \( U^1 + U^2 + \ldots + U^7 + U^8 \).
and \( q \dim_{U^1 + \ldots + U^8} U^9 + \ldots + U^{12} = 1 \). By proposition 2.15, \( U^9 + U^{10} + U^{11} + U^{12} \) is a simple current module of \( U^1 + U^2 + \ldots + U^7 + U^8 \). Similarly, we can show that \( U^1 + U^2 + U^3 + U^4 \) is a subVOA of \( U^1 + U^2 + \ldots + U^7 + U^8 \). Hence \( W \) is a subVOA of \( \mathcal{U} \) and by Remark 2.11 the VOA structure of \( 6A \)-algebra \( \mathcal{U} \) is uniquely determined by \( W \).

**Remark 3.2.** Lemma 3.1 tells us in order to prove uniqueness of VOA structure on \( \mathcal{U} \), we only need to show the uniqueness of VOA structure on \( W = U^1 + U^2 + U^3 + U^4 \). On the other hand, \( W = L \left( \frac{1}{7}, 0 \right) \otimes U \), here \( U = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{15}{2} \right) + L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{3}{2} \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{13}{4} \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{3}{4} \right) \). Now we only need to prove uniqueness of VOA structure on \( U \).

**Remark 3.3.** Since \( U_1 = 0 \) and \( \dim U_0 = 1 \) by Theorem 2.3, there is a unique bilinear form on \( U \) and thus \( U' \cong U \). Without loss of generality, we can identify \( U \) with \( U' \).

For convenience, we still use \( U^1, U^2, U^3, U^4 \) to denote \( L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{15}{2} \right) + L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{3}{2} \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{13}{4} \right) \otimes L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{3}{4} \right) \) respectively.

we denote

\[
P_1 = Q_1 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 0 \right), \quad P_2 = Q_2 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{15}{2} \right), \quad P_3 = Q_3 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, 3 \right), \quad P_4 = Q_4 = L \left( \frac{25}{28}, \frac{13}{4} \right)
\]

and \( U^i = P_i \otimes Q_i, \ i = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). Then

\[
U \cong P_1 \otimes Q_1 \oplus P_2 \otimes Q_2 \oplus P_3 \otimes Q_3 \oplus P_4 \otimes Q_4 = U^1 \oplus U^2 \oplus U^3 \oplus U^4.
\]

For convenience, we list the following tables of fusion rules

| \( P_1 \) | \( P_2 \) | \( P_3 \) | \( P_4 \) |
| \( P_2 \) | \( P_1 \) | \( P_4 \) | \( P_3 \) |
| \( P_3 \) | \( P_3 \) | \( P_3 + P_3 + P_3 \) | \( P_2 + P_3 + P_4 \) |
| \( P_4 \) | \( P_3 \) | \( P_3 + P_3 + P_4 \) | \( P_1 + P_3 + P_4 \) |

| \( U^1 \) | \( U^2 \) | \( U^3 \) | \( U^4 \) |
| \( U^2 \) | \( U^2 \) | \( U^1 + U^3 \) | \( U^3 \) |
| \( U^3 \) | \( U^3 \) | \( U^2 + U^3 + U^4 \) | \( U^1 + U^3 + U^4 \) |
| \( U^4 \) | \( U^4 \) | \( U^2 + U^3 + U^4 \) | \( U^1 + U^3 + U^4 \) |

Let \((U, Y)\) be a vertex operator algebra structure on \( U \) with

\[
Y(u, z) = \sum_{a,b,c \in \{1,2,3,4\}} \lambda_{a,b}^c \cdot T_{a,b}^c(u, z) u^b
\]

where \( T_{a,b}^c \), \( a, b, c \in \{1,2,3,4\} \) is a basis of \( I_{U^1} \left( U_{U^2 \oplus U^3} \right) \). Furthermore, for each \( u^a \in U^a \) we write \( u^a = u_1^a \oplus u_2^a \) where \( u_1^a \in P_a \) and \( u_2^a \in Q_a \), \( T_{a,b}^c = T_{a,b}^c \otimes T_{a,b}^c \) and \( \lambda_{a,b}^c \in I_{P_a} \left( I_{P_b} \right) \). \( \{ T_{a,b}^c \} \in I_{Q_a} \left( \{ 0 \} \right) \) with \( a, b, c \in \{1,2,3,4\} \).

**Lemma 3.4.** \( \lambda_{a,b}^c \neq 0 \) if \( N_{a,b}^c = \dim_{U^1} \left( U_{U^2 \oplus U^3} \right) \neq 0 \).

**Claim 1.** \( \lambda_{k,1}^k \neq 0, \forall k = 2, 3, 4 \).
Proof. For any \( u^k \in U^k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), using skew symmetry of \( Y (\cdot, z) \) ([FHL]), we have
\[
Y(u^k, z)u^1 = e^{zL(-1)}Y(u^1, -z)u^k = \lambda^k_{1,k} \cdot e^{zL(-1)}\mathcal{T}_{1,k}^k(u^1, -z)u^k = \lambda^k_{1,k} \cdot e^{zL(-1)}\mathcal{T}_{1,k}^k(u^k, z)u^1.
\]
Since \( U^k \) is an irreducible \( U^1 \)-module, we have \( \lambda^k_{1,k} \neq 0, \forall k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \). So \( \lambda^k_{1,k} \neq 0, \forall k = 2, 3, 4 \).

Claim 2. \( \lambda^k_{1,k} \neq 0, \forall k = 2, 3, 4 \).

Proof. By Remark 2.3, \( U \) has a unique invariant bilinear form \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) with \( \langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1 \). For \( u^k, v^k \in U^k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4 \), we have
\[
\langle Y(u^k, z)v^k, u^1 \rangle = \langle v^k, Y(e^{zL(-1)}(-z^{-2})L(0)u^k, z^{-1})u^1 \rangle.
\]
That is,
\[
\langle \lambda^k_{1,k} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{k,k}^1(u^k, z)v^k, u^1 \rangle = \langle v^k, \lambda^k_{1,k} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{k,k}^1(u^k, z^{-2})L(0)u^k, z^{-1})u^1 \rangle.
\]
Applying previous claim, \( \lambda^k_{1,k} \neq 0 \), hence \( \lambda^k_{1,k} \neq 0, \forall k = 2, 3, 4 \).

Claim 3. \( \lambda^2_{2,3}, \lambda^2_{3,2}, \lambda^3_{2,4}, \lambda^3_{4,2}, \lambda^3_{3,4}, \lambda^3_{4,3} \) are all nonzero.

Proof. Let \( u^2 \in U^2, u^3 \in U^3, u^4 \in U^4 \). Skew symmetry of \( Y \) gives
\[
\langle Y(u^2, z)u^3, u^4 \rangle = \langle e^{zL(-1)}Y(u^3, -z)u^2, u^4 \rangle,
\]
that is,
\[
\langle \lambda^2_{2,3} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{2,3}^1(u^2, z)u^3, u^4 \rangle = \langle \lambda^3_{3,4} \cdot e^{zL(-1)}\mathcal{I}_{3,4}^1(u^3, -z)u^2, u^4 \rangle. \tag{3.1}
\]
So \( \lambda^2_{2,3} \) and \( \lambda^3_{3,4} \) are both zero or nonzero. Similarly, we can get \( \lambda^3_{4,2} \) and \( \lambda^3_{4,3} \) are both zero or nonzero, \( \lambda^3_{2,4} \) and \( \lambda^3_{4,3} \) are both zero or nonzero.

For any \( u^1 \in U^1, u^2, v^2 \in U^2, u^3, v^3 \in U^3 \) and \( u^4 \in U^4 \), commutativity of \( Y \) implies
\[
\iota_{12}^{-1}\langle u^1, Y(u^2, z_1)Y(u^3, z_2)u^4 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1}\langle u^1, Y(u^3, z_1)Y(u^2, z_1)u^4 \rangle,
\]
\[
\iota_{12}^{-1}\langle u^1, Y(u^4, z_1)Y(u^3, z_2)u^2 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1}\langle u^1, Y(u^3, z_1)Y(u^4, z_1)u^2 \rangle,
\]
\[
\iota_{12}^{-1}\langle v^2, Y(u^2, z_1)Y(u^3, z_2)v^3 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1}\langle v^2, Y(u^3, z_1)Y(u^2, z_1)v^3 \rangle.
\]
That is,
\[
\iota_{12}^{-1}\langle u^1, \lambda^2_{2,3}\lambda^2_{3,4} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{2,3}^1(u^2, z_1)\mathcal{I}_{3,4}^1(u^3, z_2)u^4 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1}\langle u^1, \lambda^3_{3,4}\lambda^2_{2,3} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{3,4}^1(u^3, z_2)\mathcal{I}_{2,3}^1(u^2, z_1)u^4 \rangle, \tag{3.2}
\]
\[
\iota_{12}^{-1}\langle u^1, \lambda^3_{4,2}\lambda^3_{3,4} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{4,2}^1(u^4, z_1)\mathcal{I}_{3,4}^1(u^3, z_2)u^2 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1}\langle u^1, \lambda^3_{3,4}\lambda^3_{4,2} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{3,4}^1(u^3, z_2)\mathcal{I}_{4,2}^1(u^4, z_1)u^2 \rangle, \tag{3.3}
\]
\[
\iota_{12}^{-1}\langle v^2, \lambda^2_{2,3}\lambda^3_{3,4} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{2,3}^1(u^2, z_1)\mathcal{I}_{3,4}^1(u^3, z_2)v^3 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1}\langle v^2, \lambda^3_{3,4}\lambda^2_{2,3} \cdot \mathcal{I}_{3,4}^1(u^3, z_2)\mathcal{I}_{2,3}^1(u^2, z_1)v^3 \rangle. \tag{3.4}
\]
Then the Claim follows from (3.1), (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and Claim 1 and 2.
Claim 4. \( \lambda_{3,4}^3, \lambda_{4,3}^3, \lambda_{4,3}^4, \lambda_{3,4}^4, \lambda_{3,4}^4, \lambda_{2,3}^3, \lambda_{2,3}^4, \lambda_{1,4}^4, \lambda_{1,4}^4 \) are all nonzero.

Proof. First we will show that \( \lambda_{3,4}^3, \lambda_{4,3}^3, \lambda_{4,3}^4, \lambda_{3,4}^4 \) are all zero or all nonzero.

Let \( u^4 \in U^1, u^2 \in U^2, v^3, u^3 \in U^3, v^4, u^4 \in U^4 \). Skew symmetry of \( Y \) gives

\[
\langle Y (u^4, z) u^3, v^3 \rangle = \langle e^{zL(-1)} Y (u^4, z) u^3, v^3 \rangle,
\]

that is,

\[
\langle \lambda_{3,4}^3 \cdot T_{3,4}^3 (u^3, z) u^4, v^3 \rangle = \langle \lambda_{4,3}^3 \cdot e^{zL(-1)} T_{4,3}^3 (u^4, z) u^3, v^3 \rangle.
\] (3.5)

So \( \lambda_{3,4}^3 \) and \( \lambda_{4,3}^3 \) are both zero or nonzero.

Commutativity of \( Y \) implies

\[
\iota_{12}^{-1} \langle u^1, Y (u^3, z_1) Y (u^4, z_2) v^3 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1} \langle u^1, Y (u^4, z_1) Y (u^3, z_1) v^3 \rangle,
\]

\[
\iota_{12}^{-1} \langle u^2, Y (u^3, z_1) Y (u^4, z_2) v^4 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1} \langle u^2, Y (u^4, z_1) Y (u^3, z_1) v^4 \rangle.
\]

That is,

\[
\iota_{12}^{-1} \langle u^1, \lambda_{3,4}^3 \cdot T_{3,4}^3 (u^3, z_1) T_{4,3}^3 (u^4, z_2) v^3 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1} \langle u^1, \lambda_{4,3}^3 \cdot T_{4,3}^3 (u^4, z_1) T_{3,4}^3 (u^3, z_2) v^3 \rangle,
\] (3.6)

\[
\iota_{12}^{-1} \langle u^2, \lambda_{3,4}^4 \cdot T_{4,3}^4 (u^3, z_1) T_{3,4}^3 (u^4, z_2) v^4 \rangle = \iota_{21}^{-1} \langle u^2, \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot T_{3,4}^3 (u^3, z_1) T_{4,3}^3 (u^4, z_2) v^4 \rangle.
\] (3.7)

Combining with Claim 1-3 and (3.5), (3.6), (3.7) we have \( \lambda_{3,4}^3 \) and \( \lambda_{4,3}^3 \) are both zero or nonzero, \( \lambda_{3,4}^4 \) and \( \lambda_{4,3}^4 \) are both zero or nonzero. In total, \( \lambda_{3,4}^3, \lambda_{4,3}^3, \lambda_{4,3}^4, \lambda_{3,4}^4 \) are all zero or all nonzero.

Similarly, we can show that \( \lambda_{3,4}^4, \lambda_{4,3}^4, \lambda_{4,3}^4, \lambda_{4,3}^4 \) are all zero or all nonzero.

Next we use braiding matrices to finish this claim.

Consider the four point functions on \( (U^3, U^4, U^4, U^3) \). Let \( p_1^3 \otimes p_2^3, t_1^4 \otimes t_2^4 \in U^3, u_1^4 \otimes u_2^4, v_1^4 \otimes v_2^4 \in U^4 \), let
$B_{4,3}^{4,4}$ be as defined in (2.5), then we have

$$
\iota_{12}^{-1} (t_1^4 \otimes t_2^4, Y (v_1^4 \otimes v_2^4, z_1)) Y (u_1^4 \otimes u_2^4, z_2) p_1^4 \otimes p_2^4
$$

$$
= \iota_{12}^{-1} (t_1^4 \otimes t_2^4, \lambda_{4,2}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,2}^3 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_1^4 \otimes v_2^4, z_1)) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes u_2^4, z_2) \cdot p_1^4 \otimes p_2^4
$$

$$
+ \lambda_{4,3}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{4,4}^3 (v_1^4 \otimes v_2^4, z_1) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes u_2^4, z_2) \cdot p_1^4 \otimes p_2^4
$$

$$
+ \lambda_{4,4}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,4}^3 (v_1^4 \otimes v_2^4, z_1) \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes u_2^4, z_2) \cdot p_1^4 \otimes p_2^4
$$

$$
= \iota_{21}^{-1} (t_1^4 \otimes t_2^4, \lambda_{4,2}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \sum_{i=2,3,4} \left( B_{5,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,i} \mathcal{Y}_{4,4}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_1^4, z_1) p_1^3
$$

$$
\otimes \sum_{j=2,3,4} \left( B_{5,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,j} \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_2^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_2^4, z_1) p_2^3
$$

$$
+ \lambda_{4,3}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \sum_{i=2,3,4} \left( B_{5,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,i} \mathcal{Y}_{4,4}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_1^4, z_1) p_1^3
$$

$$
\otimes \sum_{j=2,3,4} \left( B_{5,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,j} \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_2^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_2^4, z_1) p_2^3
$$

$$
+ \lambda_{4,4}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \sum_{i=2,3,4} \left( B_{5,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,i} \mathcal{Y}_{4,4}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_1^4, z_1) p_1^3
$$

$$
\otimes \sum_{j=2,3,4} \left( B_{5,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,j} \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_2^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_2^4, z_1) p_2^3
$$

In the mean time, we have

$$
\iota_{21}^{-1} (t_1^4 \otimes t_2^4, Y (u_1^4 \otimes u_2^4, z_2) Y (v_1^4 \otimes v_2^4, z_1) p_1^4 \otimes p_2^4)
$$

$$
= \iota_{21}^{-1} (t_1^4 \otimes t_2^4, \lambda_{4,2}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,2}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_1^4, z_1) p_1^3 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_2^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_2^4, z_1) p_2^3)
$$

$$
+ \lambda_{4,3}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_1^4, z_1) p_1^3 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_2^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_2^4, z_1) p_2^3
$$

$$
+ \lambda_{4,4}^3 \lambda_{3,3} \cdot \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_1^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_1^4, z_1) p_1^3 \otimes \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (u_2^4 \otimes z_2) \mathcal{Y}_{4,3}^3 (v_2^4, z_1) p_2^3
$$
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Then we can imply that

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{4,1}^2\lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,2} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} = \lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4, \\
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,3} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,3} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,3} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,3} = \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4, \\
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,4} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,4} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,4} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,4} = \lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.8)

If \( \lambda_{4,3}^3 = 0 \), then from the first, sixth, eighth equations of (3.8) we have

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,2} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} = \lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4, \\
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,3} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,3} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,3} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,3} = \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4, \\
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,4} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,4} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,4} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,4} = \lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4.
\end{align*}
\]

then we can get the following:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,2} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} = \lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4, \\
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,3} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,3} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,3} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,3} = \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4, \\
\lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,4} & \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,4} + \lambda_{4,3}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^2 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{3,4} + \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,4} = \lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.9)

Since by Lemma 2.23

\[ \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} = 0, \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} = 0. \]

from the last two equations of (3.5) we have

\[ \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{2,2} = 0, \lambda_{4,4}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{4,4} \right)_{4,2} = 0. \]

But then by the first equation of (3.9) we get \( 0 = \lambda_{4,2}^2 \lambda_{4,3}^4 \), contradicting with Claim 3. So \( \lambda_{4,3}^3 \neq 0 \).

By a similar process, we can get \( \lambda_{4,4}^3 \neq 0 \). Hence \( \lambda_{3,4}^3, \lambda_{3,3}^3, \lambda_{3,3}^4, \lambda_{4,4}^3, \lambda_{3,4}^4, \lambda_{4,3}^3, \lambda_{4,3}^4, \lambda_{4,3}^4, \lambda_{4,4}^3 \) are all nonzero.

The following lemma was given in [DIY]:

**Lemma 3.5.** Let \((V, Y)\) be a vertex operator algebra and \(\sigma : V \to V\) be a linear isomorphism such that \(\sigma(1) = 1, \sigma(0) = 0\). Then \((V, Y^\sigma)\) is a vertex operator algebra where

\[ Y^\sigma(u, z) = \sigma Y(\sigma^{-1}u, z)\sigma^{-1} \]

and \((V, Y) \cong (V, Y^\sigma)\).
Let \((U, Y)\) be a vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\). First we fix a basis \(\{\mathcal{Y}(u, z) \mid a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}\) for space of intertwining operators of type \(\begin{pmatrix} Q_c \\ Q_a Q_b \end{pmatrix}\), \(a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\) as in \[FFK\]. By lemma 3.4 without loss of generality, we can choose a basis \(\{Y(\cdot, z) \mid a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\}\) for space of intertwining operators of type \(\begin{pmatrix} P_c \\ P_a P_b \end{pmatrix}\), \(a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\) such that the coefficients \(X^c_{a,b} = 1\) if \(N^c_{a,b} \neq 0\). Now we have \((U, Y)\), a vertex operator algebra structure on \(U = U^1 \oplus U^2 \oplus U^3 \oplus U^4\) such that for any \(u^k, v^k \in U^k, k = 1, 2, 3, 4,\)

\[
Y(u^k, z) u^1 = I_{k,1}^k (u^k, z) u^1, k \in \{2, 3, 4\};
\]

\[
Y(u^2, z) u^a = I_{2,a}^2 (u^2, z) u^a, \{a, b\} = \{3, 4\};
\]

\[
Y(u^a, z) u^2 = I_{a,2}^a (u^a, z) u^2, \{a, b\} = \{3, 4\};
\]

\[
Y(u^a, z) v^2 = I_{a,2}^2 (u^a, z) v^2;
\]

\[
Y(u^k, z) v^k = I_{k,k}^k (u^k, z) v^k + I_{k,k}^k (u^k, z) v^k + I_{k,k}^k (u^k, z) v^k, k \in \{3, 4\};
\]

\[
Y(u^a, z) v^b = I_{a,b}^a (u^a, z) v^b + I_{a,b}^a (u^a, z) v^b + I_{a,b}^a (u^a, z) v^b, \{a, b\} = \{3, 4\},
\]

where \(I_{a,b} \in I_U\) \(\left(\frac{U}{U^1} \right), a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\) are nonzero intertwining operators. Furthermore, for each \(u^i \in U^i\), we write \(u^i = u^i_1 \oplus u^i_2\) where \(u^i_1 \in P_i, I_{a,b}^i = Y_{a,b}^c \otimes Y_{a,b}^c\) where \(Y_{a,b}^c \in I_{P_i}(P_i \otimes I_{P_i}), Y_{a,b}^c \in I_{P_i}(P_i \otimes I_{P_i})\) with \(a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\).

**Theorem 3.6.** The vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\) over \(\mathbb{C}\) is unique.

**Proof.** Let \((U, Y)\) be the vertex operator algebra structure as given in (3.10). Suppose \((U, \tilde{Y})\) is another vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\). Without loss of generality, we may assume \(Y(u, z) = \tilde{Y}(u, z)\) for all \(u \in U^1\). From our settings above, there exist nonzero constants \(\lambda^1_{1,1}, \lambda^2_{2,2}, \lambda^3_{3,3}, \lambda^4_{4,4}, \lambda^3_{3,4}, \lambda^4_{4,3}, \lambda^4_{3,4}\) where \(i, p = 2, 3, 4,\)

\[
\{j, k\} = \{3, 4\}, l = 1, 3, 4\text{ such that for any }u^i, v^i \in U^i, i = 1, 2, 3, 4,\text{ we have}
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^k, z) u^1 = \lambda^1_{k,1} \cdot I_{k,1}^k (u^k, z) u^1, k \in \{2, 3, 4\};
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^2, z) v^2 = \lambda^2_{2,2} \cdot I_{2,2}^2 (u^2, z) v^2;
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^a, z) u^2 = \lambda^2_{a,2} \cdot I_{2,a}^a (u^a, z) u^2, \{a, b\} = \{3, 4\};
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^a, z) v^2 = \lambda^2_{a,2} \cdot I_{2,a}^a (u^a, z) v^2, \{a, b\} = \{3, 4\};
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^k, z) v^k = \lambda^3_{k,k} \cdot I_{k,k}^k (u^k, z) v^k + \lambda^3_{k,k} \cdot I_{k,k}^k (u^k, z) v^k + \lambda^4_{k,k} \cdot I_{k,k}^k (u^k, z) v^k, k \in \{3, 4\};
\]

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^a, z) v^b = \lambda^4_{a,b} \cdot I_{a,b}^a (u^a, z) v^b + \lambda^4_{a,b} \cdot I_{a,b}^a (u^a, z) v^b, \{a, b\} = \{3, 4\};
\]

where \(I_{a,b}^c \in I_U\) \(\left(\frac{U}{U^1} \right), a, b, c \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}\) are nonzero intertwining operators.

**Claim 1)** \(\lambda^1_{k,1} = 1, k \in \{2, 3, 4\} .\)

For any \(u^i \in U^1, u^k \in U^k, k \in \{2, 3, 4\}\), skew symmetry of \(Y(\cdot, z)\) and \(\tilde{Y}(\cdot, z)\) imply

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^k, z) u^1 = e^{zL(-1)} Y(u^k, z) u^1 = e^{zL(-1)} Y(u^k, z) u^1 = Y(u^k, z) u^1 = I_{k,1}^k (u^k, z) u^1 .
\]

In the mean time,

\[
\tilde{Y}(u^k, z) u^1 = \lambda^1_{k,1} : I_{k,1}^k (u^k, z) u^1 .\text{ Thus we get } \lambda^1_{k,1} = 1 .
\]

**Claim 2)** \(\lambda^1_{k,1} = 1, k \in \{2, 3, 4\} .\)

Note that by Remark 3.3, \(U\) has a unique invariant bilinear form \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) with \(\langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1 .\) For \(u^i \in U^1\) and \(u^k, v^k \in U^k, k \in \{2, 3, 4\}\), we have

\[
\langle Y(u^k, z)v^k, u^1 \rangle = \langle v^k, Y(e^{zL(-1)} (-z^{-2})^{L(0)} u^k, z^{-1}) u^1 \rangle .
\]
That is,
\[ \langle I_{k,k}^1 (u^k, z) v^k, u^1 \rangle = \left\langle v^k, I_{k,1}^k \left( e^{zL(-1)} (-z)^{-2} L(0) u^k, z^{-1} \right) u^1 \right\rangle. \]

The invariant bilinear form on \((U, Y)\) gives
\[ \langle \lambda_{k,k}^1 \cdot I_{k,k}^1 (u^k, z) v^k, u^1 \rangle = \left\langle v^k, \lambda_{k,1}^k \cdot I_{k,1}^k \left( e^{zL(-1)} (-z)^{-2} L(0) u^k, z^{-1} \right) u^1 \right\rangle. \]

Using claim 1, we get \(\lambda_{k,k}^1 = 1\).

**Claim 3)** \(\lambda_{2,3}^4 = \lambda_{2,2}^4 = \lambda_{3,4}^3 = \lambda_{3,3}^4 = \lambda, \lambda^2 = 1\).

Let \(u^2 \in U^2, u^3 \in U^3, u^4 \in U^4\). by skew symmetry of \(Y\) we obtain
\[ \langle Y (u^2, z) u^3, u^4 \rangle = \left\langle e^{zL(-1)} Y (u^3, z) u^2, u^4 \right\rangle, \]
that is,
\[ \langle I_{2,3}^1 (u^2, z) u^3 u^4 \rangle = \left\langle e^{zL(-1)} I_{3,2}^4 (u^3, z) u^2, u^4 \right\rangle. \]

Skew symmetry of \(Y\) gives
\[ \lambda_{2,3}^4 \cdot I_{2,3}^1 (u^2, z) u^3 u^4 = \lambda_{3,2}^4 \left\langle e^{zL(-1)} I_{3,2}^4 (u^3, z) u^2, u^4 \right\rangle. \]

The above two identities gives \(\lambda_{2,3}^4 = \lambda_{2,2}^4\). Similarly, we can prove \(\lambda_{3,4}^3 = \lambda_{3,2}^4, \lambda_{3,3}^4 = \lambda_{3,3}^4\).

For any \(u^1 \in U^1, u^2, u^3 \in U^2, u^3, u^4 \in U^3\) and \(u^4 \in U^4\), Community of \(Y\) implies
\[ i_{12}^{-1} \langle u^1, I_{2,2}^1 (u^2, z_1) I_{3,4}^2 (u^3, z_2) u^1 \rangle = i_{32}^{-1} \langle u^1, I_{3,4}^1 (u^3, z_2) I_{2,4}^2 (u^2, z_1) u^4 \rangle, \]
Community of \(Y\) implies
\[ i_{12}^{-1} \langle u^1, \lambda_{2,2}^4 \cdot I_{2,2}^2 (u^2, z_1) I_{3,4}^2 (u^3, z_2) u^1 \rangle = i_{32}^{-1} \langle u^1, \lambda_{3,2}^4 \cdot I_{3,4}^1 (u^3, z_2) I_{2,4}^2 (u^2, z_1) u^4 \rangle, \]

The above two identities and claim 2) together give us
\[ \lambda_{3,4}^2 = \lambda_{2,4}^3. \quad (3.11) \]

Similarly, from (3.5) and (3.4), we can get
\[ \lambda_{3,2}^4 = \lambda_{4,2}^3, \quad (3.12) \]
\[ \lambda_{3,3}^4 \cdot \lambda_{3,3}^4 = 1. \quad (3.13) \]

So \(\lambda_{2,3}^4 = \lambda_{2,2}^4 = \lambda_{3,4}^3 = \lambda_{3,3}^4 = \lambda_{2,2}^4 = \lambda = \lambda^2 = 1\).

**Claim 4)** \(\lambda_{3,4}^3 = \lambda_{1,3}^4 = \lambda_{1,3}^4 = \lambda_{4,4}^3 = \mu, \lambda_{3,4}^3 = \lambda_{4,4}^3 = \lambda_{3,3}^3 = \gamma, \mu^2 = \gamma^2 = 1\).

The proof of equalities \(\lambda_{3,4}^3 = \lambda_{4,3}^3 = \lambda_{3,4}^3 = \lambda_{4,4}^3 = \lambda_{3,3}^3 = \lambda_{4,4}^3 = \lambda_{3,3}^3 = \lambda_{3,3}^3 \) is similar to Claim 3, we denote them as \(\mu, \gamma\) respectively. Now we mainly focus on the proof \(\mu^2 = \gamma^2 = 1\).
Consider the four point functions on \((U^3, U^4, U^4, U^3)\). For \((U, Y)\) and \((U, \mathcal{Y})\), a similar process as \([38]\) gives

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.4} \\ B_{4,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} = 1
\end{align*}
\]

the two systems above give

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} & \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} B_{3,3}^{3.3} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \\ B_{3,3}^{4.4} \end{pmatrix} = 0
\end{align*}
\]
If \( 1 - \mu^2 \neq 0 \), by using the first, fourth, ninth equations of the system above, we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \mu^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)^3_{3,3} \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} + (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,3} \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,3} = 1 - \mu^2 \\
& (1 - \mu^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)^3_{3,2} \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} + (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,2} \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,3} = 0 \\
& (1 - \mu^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)^3_{3,4} \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} + (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,4} \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,3} = 0
\end{aligned}
\]

Then by a simple computation we have

\[
\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \mu^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)^3_{3,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} + (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,3} = 1 - \mu^2 \\
& (1 - \mu^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)^3_{3,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} + (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,2} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,4} = 0 \\
& (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)^3_{3,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} + (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,4} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{4,3} = 0
\end{aligned}
\]

By Lemma \ref{lemma2.23}, \( \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} \cdot \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} \neq 0 \), so from the last two equations of (3.14) we have \( \left( \tilde{B}_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,3} = 0 \) and \( (1 - \gamma^2) \cdot \left( B_{3,3}^{1,4} \right)_{3,4} = 0 \). But then from the first equation of (3.14), we get \( 1 - \mu^2 = 0 \) which is a contradiction. So \( \mu^2 = 1 \). Similarly, we can show that \( \gamma^2 = 1 \).

**Claim 5** \((U, \bar{Y})\) is isomorphic to \((U, Y)\).

Define a linear map \( \sigma \) such that

\[
\sigma|_{U^1} = 1, \quad \sigma|_{U^2} = \lambda \mu \gamma, \quad \sigma|_{U^3} = \gamma, \quad \sigma|_{U^4} = \mu
\]

where \( \lambda^2 = \mu^2 = \gamma^2 = 1 \). It is clear that \( \sigma \) is a linear isomorphism of \( U \). Using Lemma \ref{lemma5.5} \( \sigma \) gives a vertex operator algebra structure \((U, Y^\sigma)\) with \( Y^\sigma(u, z) = \sigma Y(\sigma^{-1} u, z) \sigma^{-1} \) which is isomorphic to \((U, Y)\). It is easy to verify that \( Y^\sigma(u, z) = \bar{Y}(u, z) \) for all \( u \in U \). Thus we proved the uniqueness of the vertex operator algebra structure on \( U \). \( \square \)

**Theorem 3.7.** The vertex operator algebra structure on 6A-algebra \( \mathcal{U} \) over \( \mathbb{C} \) is unique.

**Proof.** The theorem follows immediately from Remark \ref{rem3.2} and Theorem \ref{thm3.6} \( \square \)

### 4 Uniqueness of VOA structure of the 3C-algebra \( \mathcal{U} \)

From here forward, we denote

\[
\begin{aligned}
U^1 &= L (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L (\frac{21}{22}, 0), \quad U^2 = L (\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L (\frac{21}{22}, 8), \quad U^3 = L (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L (\frac{21}{22}, 45), \\
U^4 &= L (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \otimes L (\frac{21}{22}, 7), \quad U^5 = L (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}) \otimes L (\frac{21}{22}, 31), \quad U^6 = L (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{16}) \otimes L (\frac{21}{22}, 175).
\end{aligned}
\]

Then from \( \text{[LNY]} \), the 3C-algebra

\[
\mathcal{U} \cong U^1 \oplus U^2 \oplus U^3 \oplus U^4 \oplus U^5 \oplus U^6.
\]

**Lemma 4.1.** Let \( W = U^1 + U^2 \), then \( W \) is a subVOA of \( \mathcal{U} \) and the VOA structure of 6A-algebra \( \mathcal{U} \) is uniquely determined by \( W \).

**Proof.** The quantum dimensions of \( U^i \), \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 \) as \( U_1 \) modules are as follows:

\[
q \dim_{U^1} U^1 = q \dim_{U^1} U^3 = 1,
\]
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\[
q \text{dim}_U U^2 = q \text{dim}_U U^4 = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{5\pi}{24}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)},
\]
\[
q \text{dim}_U U^5 = q \text{dim}_U U^6 = \sqrt{2}\frac{\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{15}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)}.
\]

Combining with the fusion rules of \(L\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)\) modules and \(L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 0\right)\) modules, we can get that \(U_1 + U_2 + U_3 + U_4\) is a subVOA of \(U\), \(U^5 + U^6\) is a simple module of \(U^1 + U^2 + U^3 + U^4\) and \(q \text{dim}_U U^1 + \ldots + U^4 U^5 + U^6 = 1\). By Proposition 2.15, \(U^5 + U^6\) is a simple current module of \(U^1 + U^2 + U^3 + U^4\). Similarly, we can get that \(W = U^1 + U^2\) is a subVOA of \(U^1 + U^2 + U^3 + U^4\), \(U^3 + U^4\) is a simple current module of \(W\). Hence \(W\) is a subVOA of \(U\) and by Remark 2.11, the VOA structure of \(L\) is uniquely determined by \(W\). So in order to prove uniqueness of VOA structure on \(U\), we only need to show the uniqueness of VOA structure on \(U^1 + U^2\). Since \(U^1 + U^2 = L\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \otimes (L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 0\right) + L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 8\right))\), so it is enough to show the uniqueness of VOA structure on \(L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 0\right)\) and by Theorem 2.2, \(L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 0\right) \otimes (L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 0\right) + L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 8\right))\). □

**Remark 4.2.** Lemma 4.1 tells us in order to prove uniqueness of VOA structure on \(U\), we only need to show the uniqueness of VOA structure on \(W = U^1 + U^2\). On the other hand, \(W = L\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \otimes U\), here \(U = L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 0\right) + L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 8\right)\). Now we only need to prove uniqueness of VOA structure on \(U\).

**Remark 4.3.** Since \(U_1 = 0\) and \(\text{dim} U_0 = 1\) by Theorem 2.3 there is a unique bilinear form on \(U\) and thus \(U' \cong U\). Without loss of generality, we can identify \(U\) with \(U'\).

From now on, we let \(U = U^1 + U^2\), \(U^1 = L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 0\right)\), \(U^2 = L\left(\frac{21}{22}, 8\right)\). Let \((U, Y)\) be a vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\) with
\[
Y(u, z) = \sum_{a, b, c \in \{1, 2\}} \lambda_{a,b}^c \cdot T_{a,b}(u^a, z) u^b
\]
where \(T_{a,b}^c, a, b, c \in \{1, 2\}\) is a basis of \(I_{U^1} \left(\frac{U^c}{U^{ac}}\right)\).

**Lemma 4.4.** \(\lambda_{a,b}^c \neq 0\) if \(N_{a,b}^c = \dim I_{U^1} \left(\frac{U^c}{U^{ac}}\right) \neq 0\).

**Claim 5.** \(\lambda_{2,1}^2 \neq 0\).

**Proof.** For any \(u^k \in U^k, k = 1, 2\), using skew symmetry of \(Y(\cdot, z)\) (FiLM), we have
\[
Y(u^2, z) u^1 = e^{zL(-1)} Y(u^1, -z) u^2 = \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot e^{zL(-1)} T_{2,1}^2 (u^1, -z) u^2 = \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot T_{2,1}^2 (u^2, z) u^1.
\]
Since \(U^2\) is an irreducible \(U^1\)-module, we have \(\lambda_{2,1}^2 \neq 0\). So \(\lambda_{2,1}^2 \neq 0\). □

**Claim 6.** \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 \neq 0\).

**Proof.** By Remark 2.3 \(U\) has a unique invariant bilinear form \(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle\) with \(\langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1\). For \(u^k, v^k \in U^k, k = 1, 2\), we have
\[
\langle Y(u^2, z)v^2, u^1 \rangle = \left\langle v^2, Y\left(e^{zL(-1)} (-z^{-2})^{L(0)} u^2, z^{-1}\right) u^1 \right\rangle.
\]
That is,
\[
\langle \lambda_{2,2}^2 \cdot T_{2,2}^1 (u^2, z), v^2, u^1 \rangle = \left\langle v^2, \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot T_{2,1}^1 \left(e^{zL(-1)} (-z^{-2})^{L(0)} u^2, z^{-1}\right) u^1 \right\rangle.
\]
Applying previous claim, \(\lambda_{2,1}^2 \neq 0\), hence \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 \neq 0\). □

**Claim 7.** \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 \neq 0\).

**Proof.** Assume \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 = 0\). Then we have \(U^1 U^2 = U^2, U^2 U^1 = U^2, U^2 U^2 = U^1\). Define \(\sigma : U^1 + U^2 \to U^1 + U^2\) such that \(\sigma_{|U^1} = 1\) and \(\sigma_{|U^2} = -1\). Then \(\sigma\) is an order 2 automorphism of \(U^1 + U^2\) with \((U^1 + U^2)\sigma = U^1\) and \(U^2\) is a \(U^1\)-module. By Theorem 2.12 and Theorem 2.13 \(q \text{dim}_U U^2 = 1\) because any irreducible representation of the group generated by \(\sigma\) is 1-dimensional, contradicting with the fact that \(q \text{dim}_U U^2 = \frac{\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{15}\right)}{\sin\left(\frac{\pi}{12}\right)} \neq 0\). Therefore, \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 \neq 0\). □
Let \((U, Y)\) be a vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\). Without loss of generality, we can choose a basis \(T_{a,b}^c \in I_{U^1} \left( \left( U^c_{U^1} \cup U^2 \right) \right)\), \(a, b, c \in \{1, 2\}\) such that the coefficients \(\lambda_{a,b}^c = 1\) if \(N_{a,b}^c \neq 0\). Now we have \((U, Y)\), a vertex operator algebra structure on \(U = U^1 \oplus U^2\) such that for any \(u^k, v^k \in U^k, k = 1, 2,\)

\[
Y(u^2, z) u^1 = T_{2,1}^2 (u^2, z) u^1; \\
Y(u^2, z) v^2 = T_{2,2}^1 (u^2, z) v^2; \\
Y(u^2, z) v^2 = T_{2,2}^2 (u^2, z) v^2.
\]

(4.1)

**Theorem 4.5.** The vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\) over \(\mathbb{C}\) is unique.

**Proof.** Let \((U, Y)\) be the vertex operator algebra structure as given in (4.1). Suppose \((U, \overline{Y})\) is another vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\). Without loss of generality, we may assume \(Y(u, z) = \overline{Y}(u, z)\) for all \(u \in U^1\). From our settings above, there exist nonzero constants \(\lambda_{2,1}^1, \lambda_{1,2}^2, \lambda_{2,2}^2\) such that for any \(u^i, v^i \in U^i, i = 1, 2,\) we have

\[
\overline{Y}(u^2, z) u^1 = \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot T_{2,1}^2 (u^2, z) u^1; \\
\overline{Y}(u^2, z) v^2 = \lambda_{1,2}^2 \cdot T_{2,2}^1 (u^2, z) v^2; \\
\overline{Y}(u^2, z) v^2 = \lambda_{2,2}^2 \cdot T_{2,2}^2 (u^2, z) v^2;
\]

where \(T_{a,b}^c \in I_{U^1} \left( \left( U^c_{U^1} \cup U^2 \right) \right)\), \(a, b, c \in \{1, 2\}\) are nonzero intertwining operators.

**Claim 1)** \(\lambda_{2,1}^2 = 1\).

For any \(u^1 \in U^1, u^2 \in U^2\), skew symmetry of \(Y(\cdot, z)\) and \(\overline{Y}(\cdot, z)\) (FHL) imply

\[
\overline{Y}(u^2, z) u^1 = e^{zL(-1)} Y(u^1, -z) u^2 = e^{zL(-1)} Y(u^1, -z) u^2 = Y(u^2, z) u^1 = T_{2,1}^2 (u^2, z) u^1.
\]

In the mean time, \(\overline{Y}(u^2, z) u^1 = \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot T_{2,1}^2 (u^2, z) u^1\). Thus we get \(\lambda_{2,1}^2 = 1\).

**Claim 2)** \(\lambda_{1,2}^2 = 1\).

Note that by Remark 4.3 \(U\) has a unique invariant bilinear form \((\cdot, \cdot)\) with \((1, 1) = 1\). For \(u^1 \in U^1\) and \(u^2, v^2 \in U^2\), we have

\[
\langle Y(u^2, z)v^2, u^1 \rangle = \langle v^2, Y(e^{zL(-1)} (-z^{-2})^L(0) u^2, z^{-1}) u^1 \rangle.
\]

That is,

\[
\langle T_{2,2}^1 (u^2, z) v^2, u^1 \rangle = \langle v^2, T_{2,2}^1 \left( e^{zL(-1)} (-z^{-2})^L(0) u^2, z^{-1} \right) u^1 \rangle.
\]

The invariant bilinear form on \((U, \overline{Y})\) gives

\[
\langle \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot T_{2,1}^1 (u^2, z) v^2, u^1 \rangle = \langle v^2, \lambda_{2,1}^2 \cdot T_{2,1}^1 \left( e^{zL(-1)} (-z^{-2})^L(0) u^2, z^{-1} \right) u^1 \rangle.
\]

Using claim 1, we get \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 = 1\).

**Claim 3)** \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 = \pm 1\).

For simplicity, we denote \(\lambda_{2,2}^2 := \lambda\). Consider the four point functions on \((U^2, U^2, U^2, U^2)\). For \((U, \overline{Y})\) Let \(p^2, i^2, u^2, v^2 \in U^2\), we have

\[
i_{12}^{-1} \langle t^2, \overline{Y}(v^2, z_1) \overline{Y}(u^2, z_2) p^2 \rangle = i_{12}^{-1} \langle t^2, T_{2,1}^1 (v^2, z_1) T_{2,2}^1 (u^2, z_2) \cdot p^2 + \lambda^2 T_{2,2}^1 (v^2, z_1) T_{2,2}^1 (u^2, z_2) \cdot p^2 \rangle
\]

\[
i_{21}^{-1} \langle t^2, \sum_{i=1,2} \left( B_{2,2}^1 \right)_{1,i} T_{2,1}^2 (u^2, z_1) T_{2,2}^2 (v^2, z_1) \cdot p^2 + \lambda^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1,2} \left( B_{2,2}^1 \right)_{2,i} T_{2,1}^2 (u^2, z_1) T_{2,2}^2 (v^2, z_1) \cdot p^2 \rangle
\]
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In the mean time,
\[ \nu_{21}^{-1}(t^2, \nu(u^2, z_2, \nu(v^2, z_1, p^2)) = \nu_{21}^{-1}(t^2, t_{2,1}^1(u^2, z_2) \nu(v^2, z_1) \cdot p^2 + \lambda^2 t_{2,2}^2(u^2, z_2) t_{2,2}^1(v^2, z_1) \cdot p^2) \]

Then we can imply that
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{1,1} + \lambda^2 \cdot \left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{2,1} = 1 \\
&\left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{1,2} + \lambda^2 \cdot \left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{2,2} = \lambda^2
\end{align*}
\]

Similarly, for \((U, Y)\), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
&\left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{1,1} + \left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{2,1} = 1 \\
&\left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{1,2} + \left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{2,2} = 1
\end{align*}
\]

From these two systems of equation, we can get
\[
\begin{align*}
&(1 - \lambda^2) \cdot \left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{2,1} = 0 \\
&(1 - \lambda^2) \cdot \left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{2,2} = 1 - \lambda^2
\end{align*}
\]

By Lemma 2.24, we have \(\left(\frac{B_{2,2}}{B_{2,2}}\right)_{2,1} \neq 0\), which implies \(\lambda^2 = 1\).

Claim 4) \((U, Y)\) is isomorphic to \((U, Y)\).

Define a linear map \(\sigma\) such that
\[
\sigma|_{U^1} = 1, \quad \sigma|_{U^2} = \lambda
\]

where \(\lambda^2 = 1\). It is clear that \(\sigma\) is a linear isomorphism of \(U\). Using Lemma 3.5, \(\sigma\) gives a vertex operator algebra structure \((U, Y^\sigma)\) with \(Y^\sigma(u, z) = \sigma Y(u^{-1} u, z) (\sigma^{-1})\) which is isomorphic to \((U, Y)\). It is easy to verify that \(Y^\sigma(u, z) = \nu(u, z)\) for all \(u \in U\). Thus we proved the uniqueness of the vertex operator algebra structure on \(U\).  

**Theorem 4.6.** The vertex operator algebra structure on \(3A\)-algebra \(\mathcal{U}\) over \(\mathbb{C}\) is unique.

**Proof.** The theorem follows immediately from Remark 4.2 and Theorem 4.5.  

### 5 Fusion rules

In this section, we will use the following result:

**Proposition 5.1.** (ADL) Let \(V\) be a vertex operator algebra and let \(W^1, W^2, W^3\) be \(V\)-modules among which \(W^1\) and \(W^2\) are irreducible. Suppose that \(V_0\) is a vertex operator subalgebra of \(V\) (with the same Virasoro element) and that \(N^1\) and \(N^2\) are irreducible \(V_0\)-modules of \(W^1\) and \(W^2\), respectively. Then the restriction map from \(I_V\left(\frac{W^3}{W^1 W^2}\right)\) to \(I_{V_0}\left(\frac{W^3}{N^1 N^2}\right)\) is injective. In particular,
\[
\dim I_V\left(\frac{W^3}{W^1 W^2}\right) \leq \dim I_{V_0}\left(\frac{W^3}{N^1 N^2}\right).
\]

#### 5.1 Fusion rules of the 5A-algebra \(\mathcal{U}\)

First we need the following theorem:
Theorem 5.2. (Theorem 3.19 in [LYY]) There are exactly nine irreducible modules $\mathcal{U}(i, j)$, $i, j = 1, 3, 5$, for $\mathcal{U}$. As $L(\frac{3}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{11}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{19}{2}, 0)$-modules, they are of the following form:

$$\mathcal{U}(i, j) \cong [0, h_{i,1}, h_{j,1}] \oplus [0, h_{i,3}, h_{j,3}] \oplus [0, h_{i,5}, h_{j,5}] \oplus [0, h_{i,7}, h_{j,7}]$$

$$\oplus \left[ \frac{1}{2}, h_{i,1}, h_{j,1} \right] \oplus \left[ \frac{1}{2}, h_{i,3}, h_{j,3} \right] \oplus \left[ \frac{1}{2}, h_{i,5}, h_{j,5} \right] \oplus \left[ \frac{3}{2}, h_{i,7}, h_{j,1} \right]$$

$$\oplus \left[ \frac{1}{10}, h_{i,2}, h_{j,2} \right] \oplus \left[ \frac{1}{10}, h_{i,4}, h_{j,2} \right] \oplus \left[ \frac{13}{10}, h_{i,6}, h_{j,4} \right] \oplus \left[ \frac{1}{10}, h_{i,4}, h_{j,6} \right],$$

where $h_{m,n} = \frac{(7n-8m)^2 - 1}{4}$. 

Now we can state our theorem:

Theorem 5.3. $\dim I_{\mathcal{U}} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}(i'', j'')}{\mathcal{U}(i', j') \mathcal{U}(i', j')} \right) = 1$ iff both $((i, 1), (i', 1), (i'', 1))$ and $((j, 1), (j', 1), (j'', 1))$ are admissible triples of pairs for $p = 7, q = 8$ (see definition 2.17) and 0 otherwise.

Proof. Theorem 5.1 implies the following inequality:

$$\dim I_{\mathcal{U}} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}(i'', j'')}{\mathcal{U}(i', j') \mathcal{U}(i', j')} \right) \leq \dim I_{[0,0,0]} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}(i'', j'')}{\mathcal{U}(i', j') \mathcal{U}(i', j')} \right) = \dim I_{[0,0,0]} \left( \frac{[0, h_{i'',1}, h_{j'',1}]}{[0, h_{i',1}, h_{j',1}, h_{j'',1}]} \right).$$

On the other hand, by directly computation, we have

$$q \dim_{\mathcal{U}} \mathcal{U}(i, j) = q \dim_{[0,0,0]}[0, h_{i,1}, h_{j,1}] = \frac{\sin \left( \frac{8\pi}{7} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{8\pi}{7} \right)} \cdot \frac{\sin \left( \frac{8\pi}{7} \right)}{\sin \left( \frac{8\pi}{7} \right)}.$$

So we have

$$\dim I_{\mathcal{U}} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}(i'', j'')}{\mathcal{U}(i', j') \mathcal{U}(i', j')} \right) = \dim I_{[0,0,0]} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}(i'', j'')}{\mathcal{U}(i', j') \mathcal{U}(i', j')} \right)$$

$$= \dim I_{L(\frac{3}{2}, 0)} \left( \frac{L(\frac{11}{2}, h_{i',1})}{L(\frac{11}{2}, h_{i',1}) L(\frac{19}{2}, h_{j',1})} \right) \cdot \dim I_{L(\frac{19}{2}, 0)} \left( \frac{L(\frac{3}{2}, h_{j',1})}{L(\frac{3}{2}, h_{j',1}) L(\frac{11}{2}, h_{j',1})} \right).$$

Then we can conclude our theorem by using theorem 2.19.

5.2 Fusion rules of the 3C-algebra $\mathcal{U}$

First we need the following theorem:

Theorem 5.4. (Theorem 3.38 in [LYY]) There are exactly five irreducible $\mathcal{U}$-modules $\mathcal{U}(2k)$, $0 \leq k \leq 4$. In fact, $\mathcal{U}(0) = \mathcal{U}$ and as $L(\frac{1}{2}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{1}{2}, 0)$-modules,

$$\mathcal{U}(2) \cong [0, \frac{13}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{35}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{15}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{15}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{15}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{15}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{15}{22}]$$

$$\mathcal{U}(4) \cong [0, \frac{6}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{50}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{22}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{22}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{22}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{22}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{22}{22}]$$

$$\mathcal{U}(6) \cong [0, \frac{1}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{11}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{35}{22}]$$

$$\mathcal{U}(8) \cong [0, \frac{20}{11}] \oplus [0, \frac{196}{11}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{22}] \oplus [\frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{22}]$$

Now we can state our theorem:

Theorem 5.5. $\dim I_{\mathcal{U}(0)} \left( \frac{\mathcal{U}(k)}{\mathcal{U}(i) \mathcal{U}(j)} \right) = 1$ iff $((i+1, 1), (j+1, 1), (k+1, 1))$ is an admissible triple of pairs for $p = 11, q = 12$ (see definition 2.17) and 0 otherwise.
Proof. Let $h_{m,n} = \frac{(11m-12n)^2-1}{4(11)^2}$. Then for the irreducible $L\left(\frac{2h}{22}, 0\right)$-module $L\left(\frac{2h}{22}, h_{m,n}\right)$, $h_{m,n} = 0, \frac{13}{11}, \frac{26}{11}, \frac{111}{11}, \frac{196}{11}$ correspond to $(m, n) = (1, 1), (3, 1), (5, 1), (7, 1), (9, 1)$ respectively. If we use the pair $(m, n)$ to denote the irreducible $L\left(\frac{2h}{22}, 0\right) \otimes L\left(\frac{2h}{22}, 0\right)$-module $[0, h_{m,n}]$, then by theorem 5.1 we have

$$\dim I_{\mathcal{U}(0)}\left(\mathcal{U}(i) \otimes \mathcal{U}(j)\right) \leq \dim I_{L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0)}\left(0, [0, h_{i+1,1}] [0, h_{j+1,1}]\right) = \dim I_{L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0)}\left(0, [0, h_{i+1,1}] [0, h_{j+1,1}]\right),$$

On the other hand, by direct computation, we have

$$q \dim_{\mathcal{U}(0)} \mathcal{U}(i) = q \dim_{L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0)}\left(0, [0, h_{i+1,1}]\right) = \frac{\sin\left((i+1)\pi\right)}{\sin\left(i\pi\right)}.$$

So we have

$$\dim I_{\mathcal{U}(0)}\left(\mathcal{U}(i) \otimes \mathcal{U}(j)\right) = \dim I_{L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0)}\left(0, [0, h_{i+1,1}] [0, h_{j+1,1}]\right) = \dim I_{L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0) \otimes L(\frac{2h}{22}, 0)}\left(0, [0, h_{i+1,1}] [0, h_{j+1,1}]\right).$$

Then we can conclude our theorem by using theorem 2.19.
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