

Arnold-Liouville theorem for integrable PDEs: a case study of the focusing NLS equation

T. Kappeler* and P. Topalov†

January 9, 2022

Abstract

We prove an infinite dimensional version of the Arnold-Liouville theorem for integrable non-linear PDEs: In a case study we consider the *focusing* NLS equation with periodic boundary conditions.

Keywords: Arnold-Liouville theorem, focusing NLS equation, focusing mKdV equation, normal forms, Birkhoff coordinates

2010 MSC: 37K10, 37K20, 35P10, 35P15

1 Introduction

Let us first review the classical Arnold-Liouville theorem ([27, 30, 1]) in the most simple setup: assume that the phase space M is an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2n} = \{(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$, $n \geq 1$, endowed with the Poisson bracket

$$\{F, G\} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left(\partial_{y_j} F \cdot \partial_{x_j} G - \partial_{x_j} F \cdot \partial_{y_j} G \right).$$

The Hamiltonian system with smooth Hamiltonian $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ then has the form

$$\dot{x} = \partial_y H, \quad \dot{y} = -\partial_x H$$

with corresponding Hamiltonian vector field $X_H = (\partial_y H, -\partial_x H)$ where $\partial_x \equiv (\partial_{x_1}, \dots, \partial_{x_n})$ and $\partial_y \equiv (\partial_{y_1}, \dots, \partial_{y_n})$. Such a vector field is said to be *completely integrable* if there exist n pairwise Poisson commuting smooth integrals $F_1, \dots, F_n : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (i.e. $\{H, F_k\} = 0$ and $\{F_k, F_l\} = 0$ for any $1 \leq k, l \leq n$) so that the differentials $d_{(x,y)} F_1, \dots, d_{(x,y)} F_n \in T_{(x,y)}^* \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ are linearly independent on an open dense subset of points (x, y) in M . In this setup the Arnold-Liouville theorem reads as follows (cf. e.g. [1] or [31]):

*T.K. is partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

†P.T. is partially supported by the Simons Foundation, Award #526907

Theorem (Arnold-Liouville). *Assume that $c \in F(M) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is a regular value of the momentum map $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ so that a connected component of $F^{-1}(c)$, denoted by N_c , is compact. Then N_c is an n -dimensional torus that is invariant with respect to the Hamiltonian vector field X_H . Moreover, there exists a X_H -invariant open neighborhood U of N_c in M and a diffeomorphism*

$$\Psi : D \times (\mathbb{R}/2\pi)^n \rightarrow U, \quad (I, \theta) \mapsto \Psi(I, \theta),$$

where D is an open disk in \mathbb{R}^n , so that the actions $I = (I_1, \dots, I_n)$ and the angles $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)$ are canonical coordinates (i.e., $\{\theta_j, I_j\} = 1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ whereas all other brackets between the coordinates vanish) and the pull-back $\mathcal{H} = H \circ \Psi^{-1}$ of the Hamiltonian H depends only on the actions.

An immediate implication of this theorem is that the equation of motion, when expressed in action-angle coordinates, becomes

$$\dot{\theta} = \partial_I \mathcal{H}(I), \quad \dot{I} = -\partial_\theta \mathcal{H}(I) = 0.$$

Hence, the actions are conserved and so is the frequency vector $\omega(I) := \partial_I \mathcal{H}(I)$. Therefore, the equation can be solved explicitly,

$$\theta(t) = \theta(0) + \omega(I)t \pmod{2\pi\mathbb{Z}^n}, \quad I(t) = I(0).$$

In particular, this shows that every solution is quasi-periodic. Furthermore, the invariant tori in the neighborhood U are of maximal dimension n and their tangent spaces at any given point (x, y) are spanned by the Hamiltonian vectors $X_{F_1}(x, y), \dots, X_{F_n}(x, y)$. Informally, one can say that the Arnold-Liouville theorem assures that generically there is no room for other types of dynamics besides quasi-periodic motion. In fact, by Sard's theorem one sees that if F is e.g. proper then, under the conditions above, the union of invariant tori of maximal dimension n is open and dense in M . In addition, the set of regular values of the momentum map $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ is open and dense in $F(M)$. Typically, action-angle coordinates cannot be extended globally. One reason for this is the existence of singular values of the momentum map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$. Such a situation appears e.g. in the case of an elliptic fixed point $\xi \in M$. In that case, it might be possible to extend the coordinates $X_j = \sqrt{2I_j} \cos \theta_j$, $Y_j = \sqrt{2I_j} \sin \theta_j$, to an open neighborhood of ξ in M . We refer to such coordinates as Birkhoff coordinates and the Hamiltonian H , when expressed in these coordinates, is said to be in Birkhoff normal form. For results in this direction we refer to [35, 7, 37] and references therein. In special cases, such as systems of coupled oscillators, Birkhoff coordinates can be defined on the entire phase space and are referred to as global Birkhoff coordinates. In what follows we will keep this terminology and will call (X_j, Y_j) defined in terms of the action-angle coordinates as above again *Birkhoff coordinates*, even if they are non necessarily related to an elliptic fixed point. Other obstructions to globally extend action-angle coordinates are the presence of hyperbolic fixed points as well as focus-focus fixed points (cf. [6, 7, 38]) where one observes topological

obstructions in case of a non-trivial monodromy of the action variables. Finally note that action-angle coordinates are used for obtaining KAM type results for small perturbations of integrable Hamiltonian systems.

Our aim is to present an extension of the above described version of the Arnold-Liouville theorem to integrable PDEs in form of a case study of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger (fNLS) equation

$$i\partial_t u = -\partial_x^2 u - 2|u|^2 u, \quad u|_{t=0} = u_0 \quad (1)$$

with periodic boundary conditions. Our motivation for choosing the fNLS equation stems from the fact that it is known to be an integrable PDE which does *not* admit local action-angle coordinates in open neighborhoods of specific potentials (see [23]), whereas in contrast, integrable PDEs such as the Korteweg-de Vries equation (KdV) or the defocusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation (dNLS) admit global Birkhoff coordinates ([11, 17]).

To state our results we first need to introduce some notation and review some facts about the fNLS equation. It is well known that (1) can be written as a Hamiltonian PDE. To describe it, let $L^2 \equiv L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ denote the Hilbert space of square-integrable complex valued functions on the unit torus $\mathbb{T} := \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$ and let $L_c^2 := L^2 \times L^2$. On L_c^2 introduce the Poisson bracket defined for C^1 -functions F and G on L_c^2 by

$$\{F, G\}(\varphi) := -i \int_0^1 (\partial_1 F \cdot \partial_2 G - \partial_2 F \cdot \partial_1 G) dx \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$ and $\partial_j F \equiv \partial_{\varphi_j} F$ for $j = 1, 2$ are the two components of the L^2 -gradient of F in L_c^2 . More generally, we will consider C^1 -functions F and G defined on a dense subspace of L_c^2 having sufficiently regular L^2 -gradients so that the integral in (2) is well defined when viewed as a dual pairing. The NLS-Hamiltonian, defined on the Sobolev space $H_c^1 = H^1 \times H^1$, $H^1 \equiv H^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$, is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{NLS}(\varphi) := \int_0^1 (\partial_x \varphi_1 \cdot \partial_x \varphi_2 + \varphi_1^2 \varphi_2^2) dx.$$

The corresponding Hamiltonian equation then reads

$$\partial_t(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = -i(\partial_2 \mathcal{H}_{NLS}, -\partial_1 \mathcal{H}_{NLS}). \quad (3)$$

Equation (1) is obtained by restricting (3) to the real subspace $iL_r^2 := \{\varphi \in L_c^2 \mid \varphi_2 = -\overline{\varphi_1}\}$ of the complex vector space L_c^2 . More precisely, for $\varphi = i(u, \overline{u})$, one gets the fNLS equation $i\partial_t u = -\partial_x^2 u - 2|u|^2 u$. We also remark that when restricting (3) to the real subspace $L_r^2 := \{\varphi \in L_c^2 \mid \varphi_2 = \overline{\varphi_1}\}$ one obtains the dNLS equation mentioned above. According to [36] equation (3) admits a Lax pair representation (cf. [25])

$$\partial_t L(\varphi) = [P(\varphi), L(\varphi)]$$

where $L(\varphi)$ is the Zakharov-Shabat operator (ZS operator)

$$L(\varphi) := i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \partial_x + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and $P(\varphi)$ is a certain differential operator of second order. As a consequence, the periodic spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ is invariant with respect to the NLS flow. Actually, we need to consider the periodic and the anti-periodic spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ or, by a slight abuse of notation, of φ . In order to treat the two spectra at the same time we consider $L(\varphi)$ on the interval $[0, 2]$ and impose periodic boundary conditions. Denote the spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ defined this way by $\text{Spec}_p L(\varphi)$. In what follows we refer to it as the *periodic spectrum* of $L(\varphi)$ or, by a slight abuse of terminology, of φ . Note that $\text{Spec}_p L(\varphi)$ is discrete and invariant with respect to the NLS flow on L_c^2 . We say that the periodic spectrum $\text{Spec}_p L(\varphi)$ is *simple* if every eigenvalue has algebraic multiplicity one. Furthermore, for any $\psi \in iL_r^2$ introduce the isospectral set,

$$\text{Iso}(\psi) := \{\varphi \in iL_r^2 \mid \text{Spec}_p L(\varphi) = \text{Spec}_p L(\psi)\}$$

where the equality of the two spectra means that they coincide together with the corresponding algebraic multiplicities of the eigenvalues. Denote by $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ the connected component of $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ that contains ψ . For any integer $N \geq 0$ introduce the Sobolev spaces $H_c^N := H^N \times H^N$ and the real subspace

$$iH_r^N := \{\varphi \in H_c^N \mid \varphi_2 = -\overline{\varphi_1}\}$$

where $H^N \equiv H^N(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{C})$ denotes the Sobolev space of functions $f : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with distributional derivatives up to order N in L^2 . In a similar way introduce the following spaces of complex valued sequences

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{h}_c^N &:= \mathfrak{h}^N \times \mathfrak{h}^N, \\ \mathfrak{h}_r^N &:= \{(z, w) \in \mathfrak{h}_c^N \mid w_n = \overline{z_{(-n)}} \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \\ i\mathfrak{h}_r^N &:= \{(z, w) \in \mathfrak{h}_c^N \mid w_n = -\overline{z_{(-n)}} \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathfrak{h}^N := \{z = (z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mid \|z\|_N < \infty\}, \quad \|z\|_N := \left(\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} (1 + j^2)^N |z_j|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Note that \mathfrak{h}_r^N and $i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ are real subspaces of \mathfrak{h}_c^N . In the case when $N = 0$ we set $\ell_c^2 \equiv \mathfrak{h}_c^0$, $i\ell_r^2 \equiv i\mathfrak{h}_r^0$, and $\ell^2 \equiv \mathfrak{h}^0$. For simplicity, we will also use the same symbols for the spaces of sequence with indices $|n| > R$ with $R \geq 0$.

Finally, we say that the subset $\mathcal{W} \subseteq iL_r^2$ is *saturated* if $\text{Iso}_o(\psi) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ for any $\psi \in \mathcal{W}$. The main result of this paper is the following Theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\psi \in iL_r^2$ has simple periodic spectrum $\text{Spec}_p L(\psi)$. Then there exist a saturated open neighborhood \mathcal{W} of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ in iL_r^2 and a real analytic diffeomorphism*

$$\Psi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{W}) \subseteq i\ell_r^2, \quad \varphi \mapsto \left((z_n(\varphi))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (w_n(\varphi))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \right), \quad (4)$$

onto the open subset $\Psi(\mathcal{W})$ of $i\ell_r^2$ so that the following holds:

(NF1) Ψ is canonical, i.e., $\{z_n, w_{(-n)}\} = -i$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ whereas all other brackets between coordinate functions vanish.

(NF2) For any integer $N \geq 0$, $\Psi(\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N) \subseteq i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ and

$$\Psi : \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N \rightarrow \Psi(\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N) \subseteq i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$$

is a real analytic diffeomorphism onto its image.

(NF3) The pull-back $\mathcal{H}_{NLS} \circ \Psi^{-1} : \Psi(\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of the fNLS Hamiltonian is a real analytic function that depends only on the actions $I_n := z_n w_{(-n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The open neighborhood $\mathcal{W} \subseteq iL_r^2$ in Theorem 1.1 is chosen in such a way that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ the spectrum $\text{Spec}_p L(\varphi)$ has the property that all multiple eigenvalues are real with algebraic and geometric multiplicity two whereas all simple eigenvalues are non-real and appear in complex conjugate pairs. Hence the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ have algebraic multiplicity at most two. Recall also that a potential $\varphi \in iL_r^2$ is called a *finite gap potential* if the number of simple periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ is finite (cf. e.g. [13, 14]). As an immediate application of Theorem 1.1 one obtains the following

Corollary 1.1. *Assume that $\psi \in iH_r^N$ with $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ has simple periodic spectrum $\text{Spec}_p L(\psi)$. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$ where \mathcal{W} is the open neighborhood of Theorem 1.1, the following holds:*

- (i) *The set $\Psi(\text{Iso}_o(\varphi))$ is compact in $i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ and can be represented as a direct product of countably many circles, one for each pair of complex conjugated simple periodic eigenvalues.*
- (ii) *For $N \geq 1$, the fNLS equation on $\Psi(\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N) \subseteq i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ takes the form*

$$\dot{z}_n = -i\omega_n z_n, \quad \dot{w}_n = i\omega_n w_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

where $\omega_n \equiv \omega_n(I) := \partial_{I_n}(\mathcal{H}_{NLS} \circ \Psi^{-1})$ are the NLS frequencies and $I_n = z_n w_{(-n)}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are the actions. Hence, the solutions of the fNLS equation with initial data in $\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$ are globally defined and almost periodic in time.

- (iii) *The finite gap potentials in \mathcal{W} lie on finite dimensional fNLS invariant tori contained in $\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$ for any $N \geq 0$. The set of these potentials is dense in $\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$.*

Remark 1.1. (i) For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$, $N \geq 1$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$ denote by $S_t(\varphi)$ the solution of the fNLS equation obtained in Corollary 1.1 (ii) with initial data φ . Then for any given $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, one can prove that the flow map $S_t : \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$ is a homeomorphism (cf. [21]). In addition, the map $S : \mathbb{R} \times (\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N) \rightarrow \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$, $(t, \varphi) \mapsto S_t(\varphi)$, is continuous.

(ii) It can be shown that the frequencies ω_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, extend real analytically to the larger set $\mathcal{W} \cap iL_r^2$ (cf. [21, 16]).

The next result addresses the question of how restrictive the assumption of $\text{Spec}_p L(\psi)$ being simple is. Let

$$\mathcal{T} := \{\psi \in iL_r^2 \mid \text{Spec}_p L(\psi) \text{ is simple}\}. \quad (5)$$

Recall that a subset A of a complete metric space X is said to be *residual* if it is the intersection of countably many open dense subsets. By Baire's theorem, such a set is dense in X . We prove in [24] the following

Theorem 1.2. *For any integer $N \geq 0$, the set $\mathcal{T} \cap iH_r^N$ is residual in iH_r^N .*

Remark 1.2. *It is well known that the fNLS equation is wellposed on iH_r^N for any integer $N \geq 0$ ([3]). It then follows from Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.1 (iii) that any solution in iH_r^N with $N \geq 0$ can be approximated in $C([-T, T], iH_r^N)$ by finite gap solutions for any $T > 0$.*

Finally we mention that the results of Theorem 1.1 apply to any Hamiltonian in the fNLS hierarchy. In particular, these results hold for the focusing modified KdV equation

$$\partial_t v = -\partial_x^3 v - 6v^2 \partial_x v, \quad v|_{t=0} = v_0$$

with periodic boundary conditions which can be obtained as the restriction of the Hamiltonian PDE on the Poisson manifold L_c^2 with Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{mKdV}(\varphi) := \int_0^1 \left(-(\partial_x^3 \varphi_1) \varphi_2 + 3(\varphi_1 \partial_x \varphi_1) \varphi_2^2 \right) dx$$

to the real subspace of iL_r^2 ,

$$\{\varphi = i(v, v) \in iL_r^2 \mid v \text{ real valued}\} \cong L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}).$$

We remark that Theorem 1.2 also holds in this setup meaning that the subset $\{v \in L^2(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \mid i(v, v) \in \mathcal{T} \cap iH_r^N\}$ is residual in $H^N(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ for any integer $N \geq 0$ – see [24] for more details.

Method of proof: The proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following key ingredients:

(1) Setup allowing to construct analytic coordinates: One of the principal merits of our analytic setup is that it allows to prove the canonical relations between the action-angle coordinates by a deformation argument using the canonical relations between these coordinates in a neighborhood of the zero potential established in [15] (cf. also [11] for the construction of such coordinates in the defocusing case).

(2) Choice of contours: For an integrable system on a $2n$ -dimensional symplectic space M (as the one discussed at the beginning of the introduction),

action coordinates I_j , $1 \leq j \leq n$, on the invariant tori N_c of dimension n , smoothly parametrized by regular values $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the image of the momentum map $F : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, can be defined by Arnold's formula

$$I_j := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_j(c)} \alpha, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

in terms of the canonical 1-form α , stemming from the Poisson structure on M , and a set of cycles $\gamma_j(c)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, on N_c that form a basis in the first homology group of N_c and depend smoothly on the parameter c . For integrable PDEs such as the KdV or the dNLS equations, Arnold's procedure for constructing the action coordinates has been successfully implemented in [9] (cf. also [34] and [29]). More precisely, in the case of the dNLS equation, the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ can be used to define cycles γ_j , $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Surprisingly, the integrals $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\gamma_j} \alpha$ can be interpreted as contour integrals on the complex plane (cf. e.g. [9, 29, 11]). We emphasize that in the case of the fNLS equation, treated in the present paper, these contours can *not* be obtained from the Dirichlet spectrum of $L(\varphi)$. It turns out that the contours in the complex plane which work in the case of the dNLS equation for potentials φ near the origin in H_r^N also work in the case of the fNLS for potentials near the origin in iH_r^N ([15]). We then use a deformation argument along an appropriately chosen path, that connects ψ with a small open neighborhood of the zero potential in iH_r^N , to obtain contours for potentials in an open neighborhood of the isospectral set $\text{Iso}_o(\psi) \cap iH_r^N$.

(3) Normalized differentials: The angle coordinates are defined in terms of a set of normalized differentials on an open Riemann surface (of possibly infinite genus), associated to the periodic spectrum $\text{Spec}_p L(\varphi)$, and the Dirichlet spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ (cf. e.g. [2, 4] for the case of finite gap potentials as well as [11, 28, 29, 8] for the case of more general potentials in H_r^N). Such normalized differentials (with properties needed for our purposes) for generic potentials in iH_r^N have been constructed in [22] (cf. also [12]). Note that the case of potentials in iH_r^N is more complicated since the operator $L(\varphi)$ is not selfadjoint. An important ingredient for estimates, needed to construct the angle coordinates, is the rather precise localization of the zeros of these differentials provided in [22]. We emphasize that no assumptions are made on the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$. In particular, they might have algebraic multiplicities greater or equal to two. As in the case of the actions we construct the angles using a deformation argument.

(4) Generic spectral properties of non-selfadjoint ZS operators: The deformation argument, briefly discussed in item (1), requires that the path of deformation stays within the part of phase space iH_r^N which admits action-angle coordinates. This part of the phase space contains the set of potentials $\varphi \in iH_r^N$ which have the property that all multiple eigenvalues are real with geometric multiplicity two whereas all simple eigenvalues are non-real and appear in complex conjugated pairs. In [14], it is shown that this set is open and path connected. We remark that in order to prove that the actions and the angles,

first defined in an open neighborhood of the potential $\psi \in iH_r^N$, analytically extend to an open neighborhood of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$, we make use of the assumption that *all* periodic eigenvalues of $L(\psi)$ are simple.

(5) Lyapunov type stability of isospectral sets: To ensure that the Birkhoff map (4) is injective in an open neighborhood \mathcal{W} of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ in iL_r^2 with $\psi \in \mathcal{T}$, we show that for any open neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ in iL_r^2 there exists an open neighborhood $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ in iL_r^2 with the property that $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi) \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$.

Additional comments: Informally, Theorem 1.1 means that (z_n, w_n) , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, can be thought of as nonlinear Fourier coefficients of $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2)$. They are referred to as *Birkhoff coordinates*. The Birkhoff coordinates are constructed in terms of action and angle variables. In the case of a finite gap potential, the angle variables are defined by *real* valued expressions involving the Abel map of a special curve of finite genus associated to the finite gap potential. The question if these expressions are real valued has been a longstanding issue, raised by experts in the field in connection with special solutions of the fNLS equation, given in terms of theta functions.

In [15], coordinates of the type provided by Theorem 1.1 have been constructed in a open neighborhood of the origin in iH_r^N . Note however that $\text{Spec}_p L(0)$ is *not* simple since it consists of real eigenvalues of algebraic and geometric multiplicity two.

Related work: In the seventies and the eighties, several groups of scientists made pioneering contributions to the development of the theory of integrable PDEs. In the periodic or quasi-periodic setup, deep connections between such equations and complex geometry as well as spectral theory were discovered and much of the efforts were aimed at representing classes of solutions (referred to as finite band solutions) by the means of theta functions, leading to the discovery of finite dimensional invariant tori. See e.g. [25, 5] as well as the books [33, 2, 10] and the references therein. Further developments of these connections allowed to treat more general classes of solutions. In particular, it was established that many integrable PDEs admit invariant tori with infinitely many degrees of freedom. See e.g. [28, 9, 17, 11, 21] and the references therein.

Organization of the paper: In Section 2 we review various results on ZS operators and related topics which are used in the paper. In Section 3 we construct a tubular neighborhood U_{tn} of an appropriate path, connecting a potential $\psi^{(0)} \in \mathcal{T}$ (cf. (5)) near zero with a given potential $\psi \in \mathcal{T}$ and define the actions in U_{tn} . In Section 4 we define the angles in U_{tn} . In Section 5 we introduce actions and angles in a tubular neighborhood in iL_r^2 of the isospectral set $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ of ψ . In Section 6 we define the pre-Birkhoff map and study its local properties whereas in Section 7 we prove Theorem 1.1.

Acknowledgment: The authors gratefully acknowledge the support and hospitality of the FIM at ETH Zurich and the Mathematics Departments of the Northeastern University and the University of Zurich.

2 Setup

In this Section we review results needed throughout the paper. In particular we recall the spectral properties of ZS operators and the results on Birkhoff coordinates in a neighborhood of the zero potential ([15]).

For $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \in L_c^2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let $M = M(x, \lambda, \varphi)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, be the fundamental solution of $L(\varphi)M = \lambda M$ satisfying the initial condition $M(0, \lambda, \varphi) = \text{Id}_{2 \times 2}$, where $\text{Id}_{2 \times 2}$ is the identity 2×2 matrix. It is convenient to write

$$M := \begin{pmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \\ m_3 & m_4 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_1 := \begin{pmatrix} m_1 \\ m_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 := \begin{pmatrix} m_2 \\ m_4 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6)$$

The fundamental solution $M(x, \lambda, \varphi)$ is a continuous function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2$, for any given $x \in \mathbb{R}$ it is analytic in $(\lambda, \varphi) \in \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2$, and for any given $(\lambda, \varphi) \in \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2$, $M(\cdot, \lambda, \varphi) \in H^1([0, 1], \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}(\mathbb{C}))$ – see [11]. For $\varphi = 0$, M is given by the diagonal 2×2 matrix $E_\lambda(x) = \text{diag}(e^{-i\lambda x}, e^{i\lambda x})$.

Periodic spectrum: Recall that a complex number λ is said to be a *periodic eigenvalue* of $L(\varphi)$ iff there exists a nonzero solution of $L(\varphi)f = \lambda f$ with $f(1) = \pm f(0)$. As $f(1) = M(1, \lambda)f(0)$ it means that 1 or -1 is an eigenvalue of the Floquet matrix $M(1, \lambda)$. Denote by $\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)$ the discriminant of $L(\varphi)$

$$\Delta(\lambda, \varphi) := m_1(1, \lambda, \varphi) + m_4(1, \lambda, \varphi)$$

and note that by the discussion above $\Delta : \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic. It follows easily from the Wronskian identity that λ is a periodic eigenvalue of $L(\varphi)$ iff $\Delta(\lambda) \in \{2, -2\}$. Hence the periodic spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ coincides with the zero set of the entire function

$$\chi_p(\lambda, \varphi) := \Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4. \quad (7)$$

In fact, by [14, Lemma 2.3], the algebraic multiplicity of a periodic eigenvalue coincides with its multiplicity as a root of $\chi_p(\cdot, \varphi)$. We say that two complex numbers a and b are *lexicographically ordered*, $a \preccurlyeq b$, if $[\text{Re}(a) < \text{Re}(b)]$ or $[\text{Re}(a) = \text{Re}(b) \text{ and } \text{Im}(a) \leq \text{Im}(b)]$. Furthermore, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $R \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ introduce the disks

$$D_n := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}, |\lambda - n\pi| < \pi/6\} \text{ and } B_R := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda| < R\pi + \pi/6\}.$$

For a proof of the following well known Lemma see e.g. [11].

Lemma 2.1. *For any $\psi \in L_c^2$ there exist an open neighborhood V_ψ of ψ in L_c^2 and $R_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that for any $\varphi \in V_\psi$ the following properties hold:*

- (i) *The periodic spectrum $\text{Spec}_p L(\psi)$ is discrete. The set of eigenvalues counted with their algebraic multiplicities consists of two sequences of complex numbers $(\lambda_n^+)_{|n| > R_p}$ and $(\lambda_n^-)_{|n| > R_p}$ with $\lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^- \in D_n$, $\lambda_n^- \preccurlyeq \lambda_n^+$, and*

a set $\Lambda_{R_p} \equiv \Lambda_{R_p}(\varphi)$ of $4R_p + 2$ additional eigenvalues that lie in the disk B_{R_p} . For any $|n| > R_p$, $\Delta(\lambda_n^\pm, \varphi) = (-1)^n 2$ and

$$\lambda_n^\pm = n\pi + \ell_n^2$$

where the remainder $(\lambda_n^\pm - n\pi)_{|n| > R_p}$ is bounded in ℓ^2 locally uniformly in $\varphi \in V_\psi$. (Later we will list the eigenvalues in B_{R_p} in a way convenient for our purposes.)

- (ii) The set of roots of the entire function $\lambda \mapsto \dot{\Delta}(\lambda) \equiv \partial_\lambda \Delta(\lambda, \varphi)$, when counted with multiplicities, consists of a sequence $(\dot{\lambda}_n)_{|n| > R_p}$, so that $\dot{\lambda}_n \in D_n$, and a set $\dot{\Lambda}_{R_p} \equiv \dot{\Lambda}_{R_p}(\varphi)$ of $2R_p + 1$ additional roots that lie in the disk B_{R_p} . For $|n| > R_p$, one has

$$\dot{\lambda}_n = \frac{\lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^-}{2} + (\lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-)^2 \ell_n^2$$

where the remainder ℓ_n^2 is bounded in ℓ^2 locally uniformly in $\varphi \in V_\psi$. The roots in $\dot{\Lambda}_{R_p}$ are listed in lexicographic order and with their multiplicities

$$\dot{\lambda}_{-R_p} \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \dot{\lambda}_k \preccurlyeq \dot{\lambda}_{k+1} \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \dot{\lambda}_{R_p}, \quad -R_p \leq k \leq R_p - 1.$$

For potentials φ in iL_r^2 , the periodic spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ has additional properties. By [14, Proposition 2.6] the following holds.

Lemma 2.2. *For any given $\varphi \in iL_r^2$ any real periodic eigenvalue of $L(\varphi)$ has geometric multiplicity two and even algebraic multiplicity. For any periodic eigenvalue in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$, its complex conjugate $\bar{\lambda}$ is also a periodic eigenvalue and has the same algebraic and geometric multiplicity as λ . The periodic eigenvalues λ_n^+ and λ_n^- , $|n| > R_p$, given by Lemma 2.1, satisfy $\text{Im}(\lambda_n^+) \geq 0$ and*

$$\lambda_n^- = \overline{\lambda_n^+} \quad \forall |n| > R_p.$$

Discriminant: The following properties of Δ and $\dot{\Delta}$ are well known – see e.g. [11, Section 5]. To state them introduce $\pi_n := n\pi \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $\pi_0 := 1$.

Lemma 2.3. *For $\varphi \in L_c^2$ arbitrary, let $R_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be as in Lemma 2.1.*

- (i) *The function $\lambda \mapsto \chi_p(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4$ is entire and admits the product representation*

$$\chi_p(\lambda) = -4 \left(\prod_{|n| \leq R_p} \frac{1}{\pi_n^2} \right) \cdot \chi_{R_p}(\lambda) \cdot \prod_{|n| > R_p} \frac{(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_n^- - \lambda)}{\pi_n^2}$$

where $\chi_{R_p}(\lambda) \equiv \chi_{R_p}(\lambda, \varphi)$ denotes the polynomial of degree $4R_p + 2$ given by

$$\chi_{R_p}(\lambda) := \prod_{\eta \in \Lambda_{R_p}} (\eta - \lambda).$$

(ii) The function $\lambda \mapsto \dot{\Delta}(\lambda) \equiv \dot{\Delta}(\lambda, \varphi)$ is entire and admits the product representation

$$\dot{\Delta}(\lambda) = 2 \left(\prod_{|n| \leq R_p} \frac{1}{\pi_n} \right) \cdot \prod_{\eta \in \dot{\Lambda}_{R_p}} (\eta - \lambda) \cdot \prod_{|n| > R_p} \frac{\dot{\lambda}_n - \lambda}{\pi_n}.$$

(iii) For any $\varphi \in iL_r^2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\Delta(\bar{\lambda}, \varphi) = \overline{\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)}, \quad \dot{\Delta}(\bar{\lambda}, \varphi) = \overline{\dot{\Delta}(\lambda, \varphi)}.$$

In particular, the zero set of $\dot{\Delta}(\cdot, \varphi)$ is invariant under complex conjugation and thus $\dot{\lambda}_n$ is a simple real root for any $|n| > R_p$.

The spectrum of $L(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in L_c^2$, when considered as an unbounded operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}) \times L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ is given by

$$\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{R}} L(\varphi) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \Delta(\lambda) \in [-2, 2]\}$$

– see e.g. [13]. Now consider the case $\varphi \in iL_r^2$. By Lemma 2.3 (iii), $\Delta(\lambda)$ is real for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and by Lemma 2.2 and the Wronskian identity one concludes (see e.g. [13]) that

$$\Delta(\lambda, \varphi) \in [-2, 2] \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall \varphi \in iL_r^2. \quad (8)$$

Dirichlet spectrum: Denote by $\text{Spec}_D L(\varphi)$ the Dirichlet spectrum of the operator $L(\varphi)$, i.e. the spectrum of the operator $L(\varphi)$ considered with domain

$$\{f = (f_1, f_2) \in H^1([0, 1], \mathbb{C})^2 \mid f_1(0) = f_2(0), f_1(1) = f_2(1)\}.$$

(When the operator $L(\varphi)$ is written as an AKNS operator, the above boundary conditions become the standard Dirichlet boundary conditions – see e.g. [11].) The Dirichlet spectrum is discrete and the eigenvalues satisfy the following Counting Lemma – see e.g. [11].

Lemma 2.4. *For any $\psi \in L_c^2$ the Dirichlet spectrum $\text{Spec}_D L(\psi)$ of $L(\psi)$ is discrete. Moreover, there exist $R_D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and an open neighborhood V_ψ of ψ in L_c^2 so that for any $\varphi \in V_\psi$, the set of Dirichlet eigenvalues, when counted with their multiplicities, consists of a sequence $(\mu_n)_{|n| > R_D}$ with $\mu_n \in D_n$ and a set of $2R_D + 1$ additional Dirichlet eigenvalues that lie in the disk B_{R_D} . These additional Dirichlet eigenvalues are listed in lexicographic order and with multiplicities $\mu_{-R_D} \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \mu_k \preccurlyeq \mu_{k+1} \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \mu_{R_D}$, $-R_D \leq k \leq R_D$. For $|n| > R_D$, one has*

$$\mu_n = n\pi + \ell_n^2$$

where the remainder ℓ_n^2 is bounded in ℓ^2 locally uniformly in $\varphi \in V_\psi$. If λ is a periodic eigenvalue of $L(\varphi)$ of geometric multiplicity two then λ is also a Dirichlet eigenvalue.

Note that for any given $\varphi \in L_c^2$ the Dirichlet spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ coincides (with multiplicities) with the zeroes of the entire function $\chi_D(\cdot, \varphi) : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ where

$$\chi_D : \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\lambda, \varphi) \mapsto \chi_D(\lambda, \varphi),$$

is analytic and

$$2i\chi_D(\lambda, \varphi) := (m_4 + m_3 - m_2 - m_1)|_{(1, \lambda, \varphi)} \quad (9)$$

(see [11, Theorem 5.1] and the deformation argument in [13, Appendix C]).

Birkhoff normal form: We review results from [15] where Birkhoff coordinates near $\psi = 0$ were constructed. It follows from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 that there exists an open ball \mathcal{U}_0 centered at zero in L_c^2 so that for any φ in \mathcal{U}_0 , the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ are given by two sequences λ_n^\pm , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\lambda_n^\pm \in D_n$ and $\Delta(\lambda_n^\pm) = 2(-1)^n$. In addition, the Dirichlet eigenvalues and the roots of $\dot{\Delta}$ are given by two sequences μ_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $\dot{\lambda}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, which are all simple and satisfy $\mu_n, \dot{\lambda}_n \in D_n$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By shrinking the ball \mathcal{U}_0 in L_c^2 if necessary so that it is contained in the domain of definition of the Birkhoff map constructed in [15, Theorem 1.1] we obtain the following

Theorem 2.1. *The claims of Theorem 1.1 hold on the open ball $\mathcal{W}_0 \equiv \mathcal{U}_0 \cap iL_r^2$. The diffeomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{W}_0 \rightarrow \Phi(\mathcal{W}_0) \subseteq i\mathfrak{h}_r^0$ is the restriction to \mathcal{U}_0 of the Birkhoff map constructed in [15, Theorem 1.1].*

3 Actions on the neighborhood U_{tn}

By Theorem 2.1, actions have been constructed for potentials in the open ball \mathcal{U}_0 centered at zero in L_c^2 . Our goal in this Section is to show that they analytically extend along a suitably chosen path to an open neighborhood of any given potential $\psi^{(1)}$ with simple periodic spectrum. First we need to make some preliminary considerations. For a given $R \geq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ introduce the set $\mathcal{T}^R \subseteq L_c^2$, defined as follows.

Definition 3.1. *An element $\varphi \in L_c^2$ lies in \mathcal{T}^R if the following conditions on the periodic spectrum $\text{Spec}_p L(\varphi)$ and the Dirichlet spectrum $\text{Spec}_D L(\varphi)$ (both counted with multiplicities) hold:*

- (R1) *For any $|n| > R$, the disk D_n contains precisely two periodic eigenvalues. The set $\Lambda_R(\varphi)$ of the remaining periodic eigenvalues consists of $4R + 2$ eigenvalues which are simple and contained in the disk B_R , $\Lambda_R(\varphi) \subseteq B_R$.*
- (R2) *For any $|n| > R$, the disk D_n contains precisely one Dirichlet eigenvalue denoted by $\mu_n \equiv \mu_n(\varphi)$. There are $2R + 1$ remaining Dirichlet eigenvalues which are contained in the disk B_R . These remaining eigenvalues are listed in lexicographic order with multiplicities $\mu_{-R} \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \mu_k \preccurlyeq \mu_{k+1} \preccurlyeq \cdots \preccurlyeq \mu_R$, $-R \leq k \leq R - 1$.*

Note that by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, the set \mathcal{T}^R is open in L_c^2 . For the given potential $\psi^{(1)} \in iL_r^2$ with $\text{Spec}_p L(\psi^{(1)})$ simple we choose $R \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as follows: Denote by ℓ the line segment in iL_r^2 connecting $\psi^{(0)}$ with $\psi^{(1)}$. By replacing $\psi^{(1)}$, if necessary, by the first intersection point of ℓ with $\text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ we can assume without loss of generality that ℓ intersects $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ only at $\psi^{(1)}$. For any $\varphi \in iL_r^2$ we choose an open ball U_φ of φ in L_c^2 and $R_\varphi \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ so that the statements of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 hold with R_p and R_D replaced by R_φ . In view of the compactness of ℓ , we can find φ_j , $1 < j \leq K$, all in ℓ , so that $\ell \subseteq \bigcup_{1 < j \leq K} U_{\varphi_j}$. Now, define

$$R := \max_{1 \leq j \leq K} R_{\varphi_j}. \quad (10)$$

Further, by using Theorem 1.2 and by arguing as in the proof of [14, Corollary 3.3], one can construct a simple (i.e. without self intersections) continuous path

$$\gamma : [0, 1] \mapsto \left(\bigcup_{1 < j \leq K} U_{\varphi_j} \right) \cap iL_r^2, \quad s \mapsto \psi^{(s)},$$

connecting $\psi^{(0)}$ with $\psi^{(1)}$, so that $\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{T}^R \cap iL_r^2$. In particular, we see that the statements of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 still hold uniformly on γ with R_p and R_D replaced by R . In addition, as $\gamma \subseteq \mathcal{T}^R \cap iL_r^2$, for any $\varphi \in \gamma$ the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ inside B_R are simple and non-real (see Lemma 2.2). This together with the compactness of γ and the openness of \mathcal{T}^R in L_c^2 implies that there exists a connected open tubular neighborhood U_{tn} of γ in L_c^2 so that the following holds:

- (T1) The statements of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 hold uniformly in $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ with R_p and R_D replaced by R .
- (T2) The set $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ is connected and for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ in the disk B_R are simple, non-real, and have the symmetries of Lemma 2.2.

It follows from the construction of the neighborhood \mathcal{U}_0 of zero in L_c^2 (see the discussion ahead of Theorem 2.1) and the property (T2) that for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \cap \mathcal{U}_0$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the disk D_n contains precisely two periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$. For any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \cap \mathcal{U}_0$ we list the periodic eigenvalues as follows: for $|n| \leq R$,

$$\lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^- \in D_n \quad \text{with} \quad \pm \text{Im}(\lambda_n^\pm) > 0,$$

and for $|n| > R$,

$$\lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^- \in D_n \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_n^- \preccurlyeq \lambda_n^+.$$

Then for any $|n| \leq R$, in view of their simplicity, the periodic eigenvalues λ_n^+ and λ_n^- of $L(\varphi)$ considered as functions of the potential, $\lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^- : U_{\text{tn}} \cap \mathcal{U}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, are

analytic. Since by (T2) the simplicity of these eigenvalues holds on the entire tubular neighborhood U_{tn} , the analytic maps above extend to analytic maps

$$\lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^- : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad \forall |n| \leq R.$$

(This is in sharp contrast to the eigenvalues outside the disk B_R which, at least for $|n|$ sufficiently large, are not even continuous in view of the lexicographic ordering.) We note that on $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$,

$$\lambda_n^- = \overline{\lambda_n^+} \quad \text{where} \quad \text{Im}(\lambda_n^+) > 0 \quad \forall |n| \leq R.$$

An important ingredient for the construction of the actions on U_{tn} is the choice of pairwise disjoint simple continuous paths $G_n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, also referred to as *cuts*, that connect λ_n^- with λ_n^+ , and continuous contours Γ_n around G_n . In a first step we define the cuts G_n along the path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$, $s \mapsto \psi^{(s)}$. To simplify notation, introduce $\lambda_n^\pm(s) := \lambda_n^\pm(\psi^{(s)})$. We note that $\forall s \in [0, 1]$,

$$\lambda_n^-(s) = \overline{\lambda_n^+(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im}(\lambda_n^+(s)) > 0 \quad \forall |n| \leq R$$

while

$$\lambda_n^-(s) = \overline{\lambda_n^+(s)} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im}(\lambda_n^+(s)) \geq 0 \quad \forall |n| > R.$$

For any $s \in [0, 1]$ and $|n| > R$, we define the cuts $G_n(s) := G_n(\psi^{(s)})$ to be the vertical line segments $[\lambda_n^-(s), \lambda_n^+(s)] \subseteq D_n$, parametrized by

$$G_n : [0, 1] \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (s, t) \mapsto \tau_n(s) + t(\lambda_n^+(s) - \lambda_n^-(s))/2,$$

where for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tau_n(s) := (\lambda_n^-(s) + \lambda_n^+(s))/2$. Note that $G_n(s, -t) = \overline{G_n(s, t)}$ for any $t \in [-1, 1]$. For $|n| \leq R$ and s sufficiently small so that $\psi^{(s)} \in \mathcal{W}_0 \equiv \mathcal{U}_0 \cap iL_r^2$, we define $G_n(s, t)$ in a similar fashion as in the case $|n| > R$ and then show by a somewhat lengthy but straightforward argument that the cuts $G_n(s)$ can be chosen so that they depend continuously on $s \in [0, 1]$. More precisely, the following holds:

Lemma 3.1. *There exist continuous functions G_n , $|n| \leq R$, with values in the disk B_R ,*

$$G_n : [0, 1] \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow B_R, \quad (s, t) \mapsto G_n(s, t),$$

so that for any $s \in [0, 1]$ the following properties hold:

(i) $G_n(s) \equiv G_n(s, \cdot)$ is a simple C^1 -smooth path such that for any $t \in [-1, 1]$,

$$G_n(s, -1) = \lambda_n^-(s), \quad G_n(s, 1) = \lambda_n^+(s), \quad G_n(s, -t) = \overline{G_n(s, t)}.$$

(ii) The paths $G_n(s)$ and $G_k(s)$ do not intersect for any integer numbers n and k , $n \neq k$, such that $|n|, |k| \leq R$.

(iii) There exist $0 < \rho_0 < 1$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that

$$G_n(s, t) = \tau_n(s) + t(\lambda_n^+(s) - \lambda_n^-(s))/2 \quad \forall t \in [-\rho_0, \rho_0]$$

where $\tau_n(s) := (\lambda_n^+(s) + \lambda_n^-(s))/2$ and

$$\operatorname{Im}(G_n(s, t)) \geq \delta_0 \quad \forall t \in [\rho_0, 1].$$

In particular, the path $G_n(s)$ intersects the real line at the unique point $\tau_n(s) = G_n(s, 0)$.

In the next step, for any $s \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we will choose a counterclockwise oriented simple C^1 -smooth contour $\Gamma_n(s)$ in \mathbb{C} around the cut $G_n(s)$ so that $\Gamma_n(s)$ is invariant under complex conjugation, $\overline{\Gamma_n(s)} = \Gamma_n(s)$, $\Gamma_n(s) \cap \mathbb{R}$ consists of two points, and $\Gamma_n(s) \cap \Gamma_k(s) = \emptyset$ for $n \neq k$. In the case $|n| > R$ we choose $\Gamma_n(s)$ to be the boundary Γ_n of the disk $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\lambda - n\pi| < \pi/4\}$ whereas for $|n| \leq R$, the contours $\Gamma_n(s)$ are chosen in the disk B_R . In a similar way, for any $s \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we choose a counterclockwise oriented simple C^1 -smooth contour $\Gamma'_n(s)$ around $G_n(s)$ so that $\Gamma'_n(s)$ lies in the interior domain of the contour $\Gamma_n(s)$, $\overline{\Gamma'_n(s)} = \Gamma'_n(s)$, $\Gamma'_n(s) \cap \mathbb{R}$ consists of two points, and $\Gamma'_n(s) \cap \Gamma'_k(s) = \emptyset$ for $n \neq k$. In the case $|n| > R$ we choose $\Gamma'_n(s)$ to be the boundary of the disk D_n whereas for $|n| \leq R$, the contours $\Gamma'_n(s)$ are chosen so that $\inf_{s \in [0, 1], |n| \leq R} \operatorname{dist}(\Gamma'_n(s), \Gamma_n(s)) > 0$. For any $s \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote by $D'_n(s)$ the interior domain of the contour $\Gamma'_n(s)$ and by $D_n(s)$ the interior domain of $\Gamma_n(s)$. The domains $D'_n(s)$ and $D_n(s)$ are topologically open disks with the property that $G_n(s) \subseteq D'_n(s) \subseteq D_n(s)$. Note that by definition $D_n(s) = D_n$ for any $s \in [0, 1]$ and $|n| > R$ whereas for $|n| \leq R$ this does not necessarily hold.

The contours $\Gamma'_n(s)$, constructed above for any $s \in [0, 1]$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are now used to choose open neighborhoods $U_s \subseteq U_{\text{tn}}$ of $\psi^{(s)}$ in L_c^2 and cuts $G_n(s, \varphi) \subseteq D'_n(s)$ for any $\varphi \in U_s$ (and not just for φ in γ). In the case $|n| \leq R$ we proceed as follows: for any given $s \in [0, 1]$ consider the potential $\psi^{(s)}$. Then we choose an open ball $U_s \subseteq U_{\text{tn}}$ in L_c^2 centered at $\psi^{(s)}$ so that for any $\varphi \in U_s$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, the periodic eigenvalues $\lambda_k^+(\varphi)$ and $\lambda_k^-(\varphi)$ are in the interior domain $D'_k(s)$ of $\Gamma'_k(s)$. Then for any $|n| \leq R$ we choose a C^1 -smooth simple path $G_n(s, \varphi)$ in the interior domain $D'_n(s)$ of $\Gamma'_n(s)$, connecting $\lambda_n^-(\varphi)$ with $\lambda_n^+(\varphi)$ so that $G_n(s, \varphi)$ intersects the real axis at a unique point $\varkappa_n(s, \varphi)$. In the case when $\varphi \in U_s \cap iL_r^2$, in addition to the properties described above, $G_n(s, \varphi)$ is chosen so that $\overline{G_n(s, \varphi)} = G_n(s, \varphi)$. It is convenient to define $G_n(s, \varphi)$ for $\varphi = \psi^{(s)}$ by $G_n(s, \psi^{(s)}) := G_n(s)$. Note that then $\varkappa_n(s, \psi^{(s)}) = \tau_n(s)$. By construction, the cuts $G_n(s, \varphi)$, $|n| \leq R$, do not necessarily depend continuously on $\varphi \in U_s$. In the case when $|n| > R$ we choose $G_n(s, \varphi)$ to be the line segment $G_n(\varphi) := [\lambda_n^-(\varphi), \lambda_n^+(\varphi)]$ for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$.

The contours $\Gamma_n(s)$, $0 \leq s \leq 1$, and the cuts $G_n(s, \varphi)$, $\varphi \in U_s$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are a key ingredient not only for the construction of the actions but also for the construction of a family of one forms used in the subsequent section to define

the angles. Let us begin with the one forms. To obtain such a family of one forms, we apply Theorem 1.3 in [22]: shrinking the ball U_s if necessary, it follows that there exist analytic functions

$$\zeta_n^{(s)} : \mathbb{C} \times U_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z},$$

and an integer $R_s \geq R$, used to describe the location of the zeros of these functions, so that for any $\varphi \in U_s$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_m(s)} \frac{\zeta_n^{(s)}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = \delta_{nm}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (11)$$

The *canonical root* appearing in the denominator of the integrand in (11) is defined by the infinite product

$$\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4} := 2i \prod_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{\sqrt[n]{(\lambda_k^+(\varphi) - \lambda)(\lambda_k^-(\varphi) - \lambda)}}{\pi_k} \quad (12)$$

and the *standard root* $\sqrt[n]{(\lambda_k^+(\varphi) - \lambda)(\lambda_k^-(\varphi) - \lambda)}$ is defined as the unique holomorphic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus G_k(s, \varphi)$ satisfying the asymptotic relation

$$\sqrt[n]{(\lambda_k^+(\varphi) - \lambda)(\lambda_k^-(\varphi) - \lambda)} \sim -\lambda \quad \text{as } |\lambda| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (13)$$

Note that by the asymptotic estimate in Lemma 2.1 (i), for any $\varphi \in U_s$ the canonical root $\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}$ is a holomorphic function of λ in the domain $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G_k(s, \varphi))$. In addition, the map

$$\left(\mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{D'_k(s)} \right) \right) \times U_s \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\lambda, \varphi) \mapsto \sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}, \quad (14)$$

is analytic and its image does not contain zero.

Remark 3.1. *The infinite product in (12) is understood as the limit*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} 2i \prod_{|k| \leq N} \frac{\sqrt[n]{(\lambda_k^+(\varphi) - \lambda)(\lambda_k^-(\varphi) - \lambda)}}{\pi_k}.$$

In order to see that this limit exists locally uniformly in $\varphi \in U_s$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{D'_k(s)})$ one combines the terms corresponding to k and $-k$ for $1 \leq k \leq N$ and notices that in view of Lemma 2.1 (i),

$$\frac{(\lambda_k^+(\varphi) - \lambda)(\lambda_{-k}^+(\varphi) - \lambda)}{(-\pi_k^2)} \cdot \frac{(\lambda_k^-(\varphi) - \lambda)(\lambda_{-k}^-(\varphi) - \lambda)}{(-\pi_k^2)} = 1 + \frac{a_k}{k},$$

where the remainder $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is bounded in ℓ^2 locally uniformly in $\varphi \in U_s$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{D'_k(s)})$ (cf. [11]).

According to Theorem 1.3 in [22], there exists $R_s > R$ so that for any $\varphi \in U_s$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the zeros of the entire function $\zeta_n^{(s)}(\cdot, \varphi)$, counted with their multiplicities and listed *lexicographically*, $\{\sigma_k^n(\varphi) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}\}$ have the following properties:

(D1) For any $|k| > R_s$, $k \neq n$, $\sigma_k^n(\varphi)$ is the only zero of $\zeta_n^{(s)}(\cdot, \varphi)$ in the disk D_k and the map $\sigma_k^n : U_s \rightarrow D_k$ is analytic. Furthermore, for any $|k| \leq R_s$, $k \neq n$, $\sigma_k^n(\varphi) \in B_{R_s}$.

(D2) For any $|k| > R_s$, $k \neq n$, we have that

$$\sigma_k^n(\varphi) = \tau_k(\varphi) + \gamma_k^2(\varphi)\ell_k^2, \quad \gamma_k(\varphi) := \lambda_k^+(\varphi) - \lambda_k^-(\varphi),$$

uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and locally uniformly in $\varphi \in U_s$.

(D3) The entire function $\zeta_n^{(s)}(\cdot, \varphi)$ admits the product representation

$$\zeta_n^{(s)}(\lambda, \varphi) = -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \prod_{k \neq n} \frac{\sigma_k^n(\varphi) - \lambda}{\pi_k}.$$

Moreover, if $\lambda_k^+(\varphi) = \lambda_k^-(\varphi) = \tau_k(\varphi)$ for some $k \neq n$ then $\tau_k(\varphi)$ is a zero of $\zeta_n^{(s)}(\cdot, \varphi)$.

Finally, we use the compactness of the path γ to find finitely many numbers $s_1 < \dots < s_N$ in the interval $[0, 1]$ so that $\{U_{s_1}, \dots, U_{s_N}\}$ is an open cover of γ in L_c^2 . We can assume without loss of generality that $s_1 = 0$ and $s_N = 1$. We now shrink U_{tn} and set

$$U_{\text{tn}} := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N} U_{s_j}, \quad R' := \max_{1 \leq j \leq N} R_{s_j}. \quad (15)$$

In the sequel we will always assume that $U_{s_1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_0$ where \mathcal{U}_0 is the open ball in L_c^2 centered at zero introduced at the end of Section 2. By the construction above, U_{tn} is a connected tubular neighborhood of γ so that the properties (T1) and (T2) hold.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $1 \leq k < l \leq N$, $\varphi \in U_{s_k} \cap U_{s_l}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the contours $\Gamma_m(s_k)$ and $\Gamma_m(s_l)$ are homologous within the resolvent set of $L(\varphi)$.*

The statement of this Lemma holds since by Lemma 3.1, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the cut $G_m(s_l)$ is obtained from $G_m(s_k)$ by a continuous deformation $\{G_m(s) \mid s \in [s_k, s_l]\}$ satisfying the properties listed in Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.2 implies the following. If $\varphi \in U_{s_k} \cap U_{s_l}$ for some $1 \leq k < l \leq N$, then in view of the normalization condition (11), we conclude that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_{\Gamma_m(s_k)} \frac{\zeta_n^{(s_k)}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = \int_{\Gamma_m(s_l)} \frac{\zeta_n^{(s_l)}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

This together with Lemma 3.2 and the definition of the canonical root (12) shows that

$$\int_{\Gamma_m(s_l)} \frac{\zeta_n^{(s_k)}(\lambda, \varphi) - \zeta_n^{(s_l)}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[\varepsilon]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Now we can apply [22, Proposition 5.2] to conclude that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\zeta_n^{(s_k)}(\cdot, \varphi) = \zeta_n^{(s_l)}(\cdot, \varphi).$$

Remark 3.2. *An important point in the above argument is that we apply [22, Proposition 5.2] to the difference of the forms $\omega_n^{(s_k)} := \frac{\zeta_n^{(s_k)}(\lambda, \varphi) d\lambda}{\sqrt[\varepsilon]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}}$ and $\omega_n^{(s_l)} := \frac{\zeta_n^{(s_l)}(\lambda, \varphi) d\lambda}{\sqrt[\varepsilon]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}}$. More specifically, by construction (see the ansatz (16) in [22]), the two forms have the same “leading” term Ω_n which cancels when we take their difference. This allows us to apply [22, Proposition 5.2] to the difference of the forms and then conclude that they coincide.*

The above allows us to define $\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)$ for $(\lambda, \varphi) \in \mathbb{C} \times U_{\text{tn}}$ by setting

$$\zeta_n|_{\mathbb{C} \times U_{s_k}} := \zeta_n^{(s_k)}.$$

In this way we proved

Proposition 3.1. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the analytic function*

$$\zeta_n : \mathbb{C} \times U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

satisfies the above properties (D1)–(D3) with $\zeta_n^{(s)}$ replaced by ζ_n and R_s replaced by R' uniformly on U_{tn} . In addition, for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ so that $\varphi \in U_{s_k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq N$, the analytic function ζ_n satisfies the normalization conditions

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_m(s_k)} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[\varepsilon]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = \delta_{nm}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We now turn to the construction of the actions on the connected tubular neighborhood $U_{\text{tn}} = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N} U_{s_j}$ of the path γ defined in (15). Recall that $s_1 = 0$ and $U_{s_1} \subseteq \mathcal{U}_0$ where \mathcal{U}_0 is the open ball in L_c^2 centered at zero, introduced at the end of Section 2. For any $1 \leq j \leq N$ and $\varphi \in U_{s_j}$ define the (prospective) actions

$$I_n^{(j)}(\varphi) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma_n(s_j)} \frac{\lambda \dot{\Delta}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[\varepsilon]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (16)$$

This definition is motivated by [15] where actions were defined by formula (16) for potentials φ in the ball \mathcal{U}_0 (cf. Theorem 2.1). Note that the contours of integration $\Gamma_n(s_j)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, appearing in (16) are independent of $\varphi \in U_{s_j}$ and are contained in the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus (\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{D'_k(s_j)})$. In addition, the mapping $\dot{\Delta} : \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

is analytic and the mapping (14) is analytic and does not have zeros (cf. Section 2). This shows that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $1 \leq j \leq N$,

$$I_n^{(j)} : U_{s_j} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

is analytic. If $\varphi \in U_{s_k} \cap U_{s_l}$ for some $1 \leq k < l \leq N$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the contours $\Gamma_n(s_k)$ and $\Gamma_n(s_l)$ are homologous in the resolvent set of $L(\varphi)$ – see Lemma 3.2. This together with the definition of the canonical root (12) shows that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$I_n^{(k)}(\varphi) = I_n^{(l)}(\varphi).$$

Hence,

$$I_n : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad I_n|_{U_{s_j}} := I_n^{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad (17)$$

is well defined. In this way we proved

Proposition 3.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the function $I_n : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, defined by (17), is analytic. On $U_{s_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_0$ the function I_n coincides with the n -th action variable constructed in [15].*

Remark 3.3. *Alternatively, one can analytically extend the actions by arguments similar to the ones used in the proof of Proposition 4.1 to analytically extend the angles. Here we use instead a deformation of the cuts (cf. Lemma 3.1) and a subsequent deformation of the contours $\Gamma_n(s)$ which provide a geometrically simple approach.*

In view of Theorem 2.1, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the action $I_n|_{U_{s_1} \cap \mathcal{W}_0}$ is real valued and for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\{I_m|_{U_{s_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_0}, I_n|_{U_{s_1} \cap \mathcal{U}_0}\} = 0.$$

We have the following

Corollary 3.1. *For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\{I_m, I_n\} = 0$ on U_{tn} . Moreover, the action $I_n : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-valued when restricted to $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$.*

Proof of Corollary 3.1. Note that the analyticity of the action $I_n : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ implies that for any $j = 1, 2$ the L^2 -gradient $\partial_j I_n : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow L_c^2$ is analytic. By the definition (2) of the Poisson bracket we conclude that $\{I_n, I_m\} : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since U_{tn} is connected and $\{I_n, I_m\}|_{U_{s_1}} = 0$ by the considerations above the first statement of the Corollary follows. The second statement follows from Proposition 3.2, the fact that $I_n : U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-valued when restricted to $U_{s_1} \cap \mathcal{W}_0$, and Lemma 3.3 below. \square

In the proof of Corollary 3.1 we used the following result about real analytic functions.

Lemma 3.3. *Let X_r be a real subspace inside the complex Banach space $X_c = X_r \otimes \mathbb{C}$, U a connected open set in X_c such that $U \cap X_r$ is connected, and $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ an analytic function. Assume that there exists an open ball $B(x_0)$ in X_c centered at $x_0 \in U \cap X_r$ such that $B(x_0) \subseteq U$ and $f|_{B(x_0) \cap X_r}$ is real-valued. Then $f : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-valued on $U \cap X_r$.*

The Lemma follows easily from standard arguments involving Taylor's series expansions of f .

4 Angles on the neighborhood U_{tn}

In this Section we analytically extend the angles, constructed in [15] inside U_{s_1} , along the tubular neighborhood U_{tn} defined by (15). First we need some preparation. As by construction $R' \geq R$ where R' and R are defined by (15) and, respectively, (10), there is a (possibly empty) set of indices $R < |k| \leq R'$ so that the statements of Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.4, hold uniformly in $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ with R_p and R_D replaced by R' but in contrast to (T2), there could be double periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ inside the disk $B_{R'}$. More specifically, double periodic eigenvalues in $B_{R'}$ can only appear in the union of disks $\bigcup_{R < |k| \leq R'} D_k$, since by construction, $\lambda_k^+(\varphi), \lambda_k^-(\varphi) \in D_k \subseteq B_{R'}$ for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ and $R < |k| \leq R'$. Next we argue as in the proof of Corollary 3.3 in [14] to construct a continuous path $\tilde{\gamma} : [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ so that $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \psi^{(0)}$, $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = \psi^{(1)}$, and for any potential $\varphi \in \tilde{\gamma}([0, 1])$ the operator $L(\varphi)$ has only simple (and hence non-real) periodic eigenvalues in the disk $B_{R'}$. In view of the compactness of $\tilde{\gamma}$ we can find a connected open neighborhood V_{tn} of $\tilde{\gamma}$ in L_c^2 so that $V_{\text{tn}} \subseteq U_{\text{tn}}$, $V_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ is connected, and for any $\varphi \in V_{\text{tn}}$, the operator $L(\varphi)$ has only simple, non-real periodic eigenvalues in the disk $B_{R'}$. To simplify notation, in the sequel we denote V_{tn} by U_{tn} and R' by R . In this way, we obtain

Lemma 4.1. *The neighborhood U_{tn} is connected, contains the potentials $\psi^{(0)}$ and $\psi^{(1)}$, and satisfies the properties (T1) and (T2), and Proposition 3.1 with R' replaced by R .*

Now, we proceed with the construction of the angles. For any given $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ consider the affine curve

$$\mathcal{C}_\varphi := \{(\lambda, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid w^2 = \Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4\}$$

and the projection $\pi_1 : \mathcal{C}_\varphi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(\lambda, w) \mapsto \lambda$. In fact, in what follows we work only with the following subsets of \mathcal{C}_φ :

$$\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R} := \pi_1^{-1}(B_R) \tag{18}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, k} := \pi_1^{-1}(D_k), \quad |k| > R. \tag{19}$$

By Lemma 4.1, for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ there are precisely $4R + 2$ periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ inside the disk B_R and they are all simple. This implies that $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}$ is an open Riemann surface with $2R + 1$ handles whose boundary in \mathcal{C}_φ is a disjoint union of two circles. The same Lemma also implies that for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ and $|k| > R$,

$$\lambda_k^+(\varphi), \lambda_k^-(\varphi), \mu_k(\varphi) \in D_k,$$

and there are no other periodic or Dirichlet eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ inside D_k . While for any $|k| > R$, the Dirichlet eigenvalue μ_k is simple and hence depends analytically on $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$, the periodic eigenvalues λ_k^+ and λ_k^- are not necessarily simple. In particular, we see that $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi,k}$ is either a Riemann surface diffeomorphic to $(0,1) \times \mathbb{T}$ or, when $\lambda_k^+ = \lambda_k^-$, a transversal intersection in \mathbb{C}^2 of two complex disks at their centers. Now, let $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ and assume that $\varphi \in U_{s_l}$ for some $1 \leq l \leq N$ (cf. (15)). For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ consider the contour $\Gamma_k(s_l)$. By Lemma 3.2, the homology class of the cycle $\Gamma_k(s_l)$ within the resolvent set of $L(\varphi)$ is independent of the choice of $1 \leq l \leq N$ with the property that $\varphi \in U_{s_l}$. Denote by a_k the homology class in \mathcal{C}_φ of the component of $\pi_1^{-1}(\Gamma_k(s_l))$ that lies on the canonical sheet

$$\mathcal{C}_\varphi^c := \left\{ (\lambda, w) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\bigsqcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} G_k(s_l, \varphi) \right), w = \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4} \right\}$$

of the curve \mathcal{C}_φ where the canonical root $\sqrt[3]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}$ is defined by (12). By the discussion above, for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the class a_k is independent of the choice of $1 \leq l \leq N$ with the property that $\varphi \in U_{s_l}$. In what follows we will not distinguish between the class a_k and a given C^1 -smooth representative of a_k , which we call an a_k -cycle. In a similar way for any $1 \leq |k| \leq R$ we define the b_k -cycle. More specifically, given any $1 \leq |k| \leq R$ and $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ so that $\varphi \in U_{s_l}$ for some $1 \leq l \leq N$, consider the intersection point $\varkappa_k(s_l, \varphi)$ of the cut $G_k(s_l, \varphi)$ with the real axis. Denote by b_k the homology class in \mathcal{C}_φ of the cycle $\pi_1^{-1}([\varkappa_{k-1}(s_l, \varphi), \varkappa_k(s_l, \varphi)])$ if $1 \leq k \leq R$ and the cycle $\pi_1^{-1}([\varkappa_k(s_l, \varphi), \varkappa_{k+1}(s_l, \varphi)])$ if $-R \leq k \leq -1$ oriented so that the intersection index $a_k \circ b_k$ of a_k with b_k is equal to one. It is not hard to see that for any $1 \leq k \leq R$ the class b_k is independent of the choice of $1 \leq l \leq N$ with the property that $\varphi \in U_{s_l}$. Moreover, the first homology group

$$H_1(\mathcal{C}_{\varphi,R}, \mathbb{Z}) = \text{span} \langle a_0, a_k, b_k, 1 \leq |k| \leq R \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \mathbb{Z}^{4R+1}. \quad (20)$$

In view of Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 3.1, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the analytic functions $\zeta_n : \mathbb{C} \times U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are well defined and satisfy the normalization conditions

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{a_k} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda, \varphi)}} d\lambda = \delta_{nk}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (21)$$

where by (7), $\chi_p(\lambda, \varphi) = \Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4$. For any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $1 \leq |k| \leq R$, denote by p_{nk} the b_k -period

$$p_{nk} \equiv p_{nk}(\varphi) := \int_{b_k} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda, \varphi)}} d\lambda.$$

(Note that $p_{nk}(\varphi)$ is well defined since b_k is independent of the choice of $1 \leq l \leq N$ with the property that $\varphi \in U_{s_l}$.) Recall from Lemma 2.4 that for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ we list the Dirichlet eigenvalues in lexicographic order and with multiplicities $\mu_k = \mu_k(\varphi)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the *Dirichlet divisor*

$$\mu_k^*(\varphi) := \left(\mu_k(\varphi), \dot{m}_2(\mu_k(\varphi), \varphi) + \dot{m}_3(\mu_k(\varphi), \varphi) \right) \quad (22)$$

where for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{m}_1(\lambda, \varphi) & \dot{m}_2(\lambda, \varphi) \\ \dot{m}_3(\lambda, \varphi) & \dot{m}_4(\lambda, \varphi) \end{pmatrix} := M(1, \lambda, \varphi)$$

and $M(x, \lambda, \varphi)$ is the fundamental solution (6). Note that $\mu_k^*(\varphi)$ lies on the curve \mathcal{C}_φ since by [11, Lemma 6.6],

$$\left(\dot{m}_2(\mu_k) + \dot{m}_3(\mu_k)\right)^2 = \left(\dot{m}_1(\mu_k) + \dot{m}_4(\mu_k)\right)^2 - 4 = \Delta(\mu_k)^2 - 4.$$

As the Floquet matrix $M(1, \lambda, \varphi) \in \text{Mat}_{2 \times 2}$ is analytic in $(\lambda, \varphi) \in \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2$, we conclude from (22) and the discussion above that for any $|k| > R$, the mapping $U_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$, $\varphi \mapsto \mu_k^*(\varphi)$, is analytic.

With these preparations we are ready to define for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the following *multivalued* functions,

$$\beta^n(\varphi) := \sum_{|k| \leq R} \int_{\lambda_k^-(\varphi)}^{\mu_k^*(\varphi)} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda, \varphi)}} d\lambda \quad (23)$$

and, for any $|k| > R$

$$\beta_k^n(\varphi) := \int_{\lambda_k^-(\varphi)}^{\mu_k^*(\varphi)} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda, \varphi)}} d\lambda. \quad (24)$$

Let us discuss the definition of these path integrals in more detail. In (23) the paths of integration are chosen in $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}$. The integrals in (23) depend on the choice of the path but only up to integer linear combinations of the periods of the one form $\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda)}} d\lambda$ with respect to the basis of cycles $(a_k)_{|k| \leq R}$ and $(b_k)_{1 \leq |k| \leq R}$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}$. More specifically, if $|n| > R$, then since $\int_{a_k} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda)}} d\lambda = 0$ for any $|k| \leq R$, the quantity $\beta^n(\varphi)$ is defined modulo the lattice

$$\mathcal{L}_n \equiv \mathcal{L}_n(\varphi) := \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq |k| \leq R} m_k p_{nk}(\varphi) \mid m_k \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq |k| \leq R \right\} \quad (25)$$

whereas, if $|n| \leq R$, it is defined modulo $\text{span}\langle 2\pi, \mathcal{L}_n \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$ since $\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{a_k} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda)}} d\lambda = \delta_{nk}$. In (24), for $|k| > R$, the path of integration is chosen to be in $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi, k}$. If $k \neq n$, the integral $\beta_k^n(\varphi)$ is independent of the path whereas for $k = n$ with $|n| > R$, the integral $\beta_n^n(\varphi)$ is defined modulo 2π on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ where

$$\mathcal{Z}_n := \{\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \mid \gamma_n^2 = 0\}. \quad (26)$$

Since for any $|n| > R$, γ_n^2 is analytic on U_{tn} (cf. [11, Lemma 12.4]), \mathcal{Z}_n is an analytic subvariety of U_{tn} . Furthermore, by the proof of Lemma 7.9 and Proposition 7.10 in [11], $\mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2$ is a real analytic submanifold of $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ of real codimension two. Defining $\gamma_n := \lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-$ for $|n| \leq R$ it is clear that

$\mathcal{Z}_n := \{\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \mid \gamma_n^2 = 0\} = \emptyset$ for $|n| \leq R$. Note that the integrals in (23) and (24) exist since whenever $\lambda_k^+ \neq \lambda_k^-$, the integrands have a singularity of the form $(\lambda - \lambda_k^\pm)^{-1/2}$ for λ near λ_k^\pm , and hence are integrable. If $\lambda_k^+ = \lambda_k^-$ (and hence by the construction of U_{tn} necessarily $|k| > R$), the singularity of the integrand in (24) is removable since, by Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 3.1 (see property (D3)), the root σ_k^n of $\zeta_n(\lambda)$ in D_k then coincides with τ_k which, in view of (12), is a zero of the denominator since $\sqrt[st]{(\lambda_k^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_k^- - \lambda)} = \tau_k - \lambda$. For convenience, we introduce

$$w_k(\lambda) := \sqrt[st]{(\lambda_k^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_k^- - \lambda)}. \quad (27)$$

The aim of this Section is to show that

$$\theta_n(\varphi) := \tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi) + \sum_{|k| > R} \beta_k^n(\varphi), \quad \varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (28)$$

are bona fide angle variables, conjugate to the action variables introduced in Section 3. In particular the series in (28) converges. The definition (28) is motivated by [15] where the angle θ_n was defined by a formula of the type as in (28) for potentials in $U_{s_1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ (cf. Theorem 2.1). Here $\tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi)$ denotes an analytic branch of the multivalued function β^n , defined by (23), which is well defined modulo 2π and obtained by analytic extension of the corresponding function defined on $U_{s_1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ in [15]. We begin by studying the integrals $\beta_k^n(\varphi)$, $|k| > R$. First we establish the following estimates.

Lemma 4.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|k| > R$, $k \neq n$,*

$$\beta_k^n = O\left(\frac{|\gamma_k| + |\mu_k - \tau_k|}{|k - n|}\right)$$

locally uniformly in $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ and uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We follow the arguments of the proof of Lemma 5.1 in [11]. It follows from (24), the normalization condition (21), and the discussion above that $\beta_k^n = 0$ for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $|k| > R$ with $k \neq n$, such that $\mu_k \in \{\lambda_k^+, \lambda_k^-\}$. Moreover, in view of the normalization condition (21), the value of β_k^n with $|k| > R$, $k \neq n$, will not change if we replace in formula (24) the eigenvalue λ_k^- by λ_k^+ . Hence it is sufficient to prove the claimed estimate only for those $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $|k| > R$ with $k \neq n$, for which

$$\mu_k \neq \{\lambda_k^+, \lambda_k^-\} \quad \text{and} \quad |\mu_k - \lambda_k^-| \leq |\mu_k - \lambda_k^+|.$$

Using the definition (12) of the canonical root and (D3) we write for $\lambda \in D_k$,

$$\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[\epsilon]{\chi_p(\lambda)}} = \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) \quad \text{with} \quad \zeta_k^n(\lambda) := \frac{i}{w_n(\lambda)} \prod_{r \neq k, n} \frac{\sigma_r^n - \lambda}{w_r(\lambda)}. \quad (29)$$

Arguing as in [11, Corollary 12.7] and in view of the asymptotics for $(\sigma_m^n)_{m \neq n}$ given by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 3.1 (property (D2)) we get

$$\zeta_k^n(\lambda) = O\left(\frac{1}{|n-k|}\right), \quad k \neq n, \quad \lambda \in D_k, \quad (30)$$

locally uniformly on U_{tn} . To estimate β_k^n , we parametrize the interval $[\lambda_k^-, \mu_k] \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ in formula (24), $t \mapsto \lambda(t) := \lambda_k^- + td_k$, $t \in [0, 1]$, where $d_k := \mu_k - \lambda_k^-$ and $d_k \neq 0$, and then use (24), (29), and (30), to get

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta_k^n| &= \left| \int_{\lambda_k^-}^{\mu_k} \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d\lambda \right| \\ &= O\left(\frac{1}{|n-k|}\right) \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda(t)}{td_k} \right|^{1/2} \left| \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda(t)}{\lambda_k^+ - \lambda(t)} \right|^{1/2} |d_k| dt. \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

Further, we have for any $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

$$\frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda(t)}{\lambda_k^+ - \lambda(t)} = 1 + \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda_k^+}{\lambda_k^+ - \lambda(t)}.$$

Using that $|\mu_k - \lambda_k^-| \leq |\mu_k - \lambda_k^+|$ one easily sees that $|\lambda_k^+ - \lambda(t)| \geq |\gamma_k|/2$ for any $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Then, by Lemma 4.1 and Proposition 3.1 (property (D2)) and the triangle inequality, $|\sigma_k^n - \lambda_k^+| \leq |\sigma_k^n - \tau_k| + |\gamma_k|/2 = O(\gamma_k)$. This implies that

$$\left| \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda(t)}{\lambda_k^+ - \lambda(t)} \right| = O(1), \quad t \in [0, 1], \quad (32)$$

locally uniformly on U_{tn} . On the other hand,

$$\left| \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda(t)}{td_k} \right|^{1/2} = \frac{(|\sigma_k^n - \lambda_k^-| + |d_k|)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{t} |d_k|^{1/2}} = O\left(\frac{(|\gamma_k| + |d_k|)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{t} |d_k|^{1/2}}\right). \quad (33)$$

Combining, (31) with (32) and (33) we finally obtain for $|k| > R$, $k \neq n$,

$$|\beta_k^n| = \left| \int_{\lambda_k^-}^{\mu_k} \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d\lambda \right| = O\left(\frac{(|\gamma_k| + |d_k|)^{1/2} |d_k|^{1/2}}{|n-k|}\right). \quad (34)$$

The claimed estimate then follows by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Going through the arguments of the proof one sees that the estimates for β_k^n hold uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and locally uniformly on U_{tn} . \square

The next result claims that β_k^n , $|k| > R$, are analytic. More precisely, the following holds.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary.*

(i) *For any $|k| > R$ with $k \neq n$, β_k^n is analytic on U_{tn} .*

(ii) For $|n| > R$, β_n^n is defined modulo 2π . It is analytic on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ when considered modulo π .

Proof of Lemma 4.3. We follow the arguments of the proof of Lemma 15.2 in [11]. (i) Fix $k \neq n$ with $|k| > R$. In addition to the analytic subvariety \mathcal{Z}_k introduced in (26) we also consider

$$\mathcal{E}_k := \{\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \mid \mu_k \in \{\lambda_k^\pm\}\} = \{\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \mid \Delta(\mu_k) = 2(-1)^k\}$$

which clearly is also an analytic subvariety of U_{tn} . We prove that β_k^n is analytic on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_k \cup \mathcal{E}_k)$ when taken modulo π , extends continuously to U_{tn} and has weakly analytic restrictions to \mathcal{Z}_k and \mathcal{E}_k . It then follows by [11, Theorem A.6] that β_k^n is analytic on U_{tn} . To prove that β_k^n is analytic on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_k \cup \mathcal{E}_k)$ it suffices to prove its differentiability. Note that λ_k^\pm are simple eigenvalues on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_k$, but as they are listed in lexicographic order, they are not necessarily continuous. For any given $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_k \cup \mathcal{E}_k)$, according to [11, Proposition 7.5], in a neighborhood of φ there exist two analytic functions ϱ_k^\pm such that $\{\lambda_k^+, \lambda_k^-\} = \{\varrho_k^+, \varrho_k^-\}$. Choose ϱ_k^\pm so that $\text{dist}([\varrho_k^-, \mu_k], \varrho_k^+) \geq \frac{1}{3}|\gamma_k|$. In view of the normalization condition in Proposition 3.1 we can write

$$\beta_k^n = \int_{\varrho_k^-}^{\mu_k} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[n]{\chi_p(\lambda)}} d\lambda$$

where the integral is taken along any path from ϱ_k^- to μ_k inside D_k that besides its end point(s) does not contain any point of G_k . The sign of the $*$ -root along such a path is the one determined by

$$\sqrt[n]{\chi_p(\mu_k)} = \dot{m}_2(\mu_k) + \dot{m}_3(\mu_k).$$

As in (29) write

$$\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[n]{\chi_p(\lambda)}} = \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)} \zeta_k^n(\lambda)$$

and let $d_k := \mu_k - \varrho_k^-$. With the substitution $\lambda(t) = \varrho_k^- + td_k$ one has $w_k(\lambda)^2 = td_k(\lambda(t) - \varrho_k^+)$ and as by assumption, $|\lambda(t) - \varrho_k^+| \geq |\gamma_k/3|$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and ψ in a neighborhood of φ the argument of $\lambda(t) - \varrho_k^+$ is contained in an interval of length strictly smaller than π . Hence the square root $\sqrt{\lambda(t) - \varrho_k^+}$ can be chosen to be continuous in t and analytic near φ . With the appropriate choice of the root $\sqrt{d_k}$ it then follows that

$$\beta_k^n = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda - \varrho_k^+}} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) \sqrt{d_k} dt$$

is differentiable at φ . Next let us show that β_k^n is continuous on U_{tn} . By the previous considerations, β_k^n is continuous in all points of $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_k \cup \mathcal{E}_k)$. By (34) and $\beta_k^n|_{\mathcal{E}_k} = 0$, it follows that β_k^n is continuous at points of \mathcal{E}_k . It thus

remains to prove that β_k^n is continuous in the points of $\mathcal{Z}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k$. First we show that $\beta_k^n|_{\mathcal{Z}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k}$ is continuous. Indeed, on \mathcal{Z}_k , $\lambda_k^- = \tau_k$ and $(\sigma_k^n - \lambda)/w_k(\lambda) = 1$ hence

$$\beta_k^n|_{\mathcal{Z}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k} = \int_{\tau_k}^{\mu_k} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d\lambda|_{\mathcal{Z}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k}$$

and it follows that $\beta_k^n|_{\mathcal{Z}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k}$ is continuous. As \mathcal{E}_k is closed in U_{tn} , it then remains to show that for any sequence $(\varphi^{(j)})_{j \geq 1} \subseteq U_{\text{tn}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_k \cup \mathcal{E}_k)$ converging to an element $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k$ one has

$$\beta_k^n(\varphi^{(j)}) \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} \beta_k^n(\varphi).$$

Without loss of generality we may assume that $\inf_j |(\mu_k - \tau_k)(\varphi^{(j)})| > 0$,

$$|\lambda_k^+(\varphi^{(j)}) - \mu_k(\varphi^{(j)})| \geq |\lambda_k^-(\varphi^{(j)}) - \mu_k(\varphi^{(j)})|$$

(otherwise go to a subsequence of $\varphi^{(j)}$ and/or, if necessary, switch the roles of λ_k^+ and λ_k^-), and

$$\sqrt[p]{\chi_p(\mu_k(\varphi^{(j)}))} = \sqrt[p]{\chi_p(\mu_k(\varphi^{(j)}))}.$$

Let $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$. As $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_k(\varphi^{(j)}) = 0$ as well as $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} d_k(\varphi^{(j)}) = \mu_k(\varphi) - \tau_k(\varphi) \neq 0$ there exists $j_0 \geq 1$ so that

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_k(\varphi^{(j)})}{d_k(\varphi^{(j)})} \right| \leq \varepsilon/2 \quad \forall j \geq j_0. \quad (35)$$

With the substitution $\lambda(t) = \lambda_k^- + td_k$, $d_k = \mu_k - \lambda_k^-$, one gets

$$\beta_k^n(\varphi^{(j)}) = \left(\int_0^\varepsilon + \int_\varepsilon^1 \right) \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d_k dt.$$

By using the estimates (33)–(35) one sees that for any $j \geq j_0$

$$\left| \int_0^\varepsilon \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d_k dt \right| \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant independent of j . To estimate the integral

$$J_\varepsilon(\varphi^{(j)}) := \int_\varepsilon^1 \frac{\sigma_k^n - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d_k dt$$

note that for any $\varepsilon \leq t \leq 1$ and $j \geq j_0$

$$\left| \frac{\gamma_k^2/4}{(\tau_k - \lambda)^2} \right| = \left| t \frac{2d_k}{\gamma_k} - 1 \right|^{-2} \leq 3^{-2}$$

and thus according to the definition (13) of the standard root

$$w_k(\lambda) = (\tau_k - \lambda) \sqrt[4]{1 - \frac{\gamma_k^2/4}{(\tau_k - \lambda)^2}}$$

for $\varepsilon \leq t \leq 1$ and $\varphi^{(j)}$ with $j \geq j_0$. Thus

$$J_\varepsilon(\varphi^{(j)}) = \int_\varepsilon^1 \left(1 + \frac{\sigma_k^n - \tau_k}{\tau_k - \lambda}\right) \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_k^2/4}{(\tau_k - \lambda)^2}\right)^{-1/2} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d_k dt.$$

As $\sigma_k^n - \tau_k = \gamma_k^2 \ell_k^2$ (property (D2)) one has for $\varepsilon \leq t \leq 1$

$$\frac{\sigma_k^n - \tau_k}{\tau_k - \lambda} = \frac{\gamma_k^2 \ell_k^2}{\tau_k - \lambda_k^- - t d_k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty$$

as well as

$$\frac{\gamma_k}{\tau_k - \lambda} = \frac{\gamma_k}{\tau_k - \lambda_k^- - t d_k} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

By dominated convergence it then follows that

$$J_\varepsilon(\varphi^{(j)}) \rightarrow \int_\varepsilon^1 \zeta_k^n(\lambda, \varphi) d_k(\varphi) dt \quad \text{as } j \rightarrow \infty.$$

But $\beta_k^n(\varphi) - \int_\varepsilon^1 \zeta_k^n(\lambda, \varphi) d_k(\varphi) dt = O(\varepsilon)$ by the continuity of ζ_k^n in λ . Altogether we showed that there exists $j_1 \geq j_0$, depending on ε , so that for any $j \geq j_1$,

$$|\beta_k^n(\varphi^{(j)}) - \beta_k^n(\varphi)| \leq C\sqrt{\varepsilon}$$

where C can be chosen independently of ε . As $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrarily small this establishes the claimed convergence. It remains to check the weak analyticity on \mathcal{E}_k and \mathcal{Z}_k . On \mathcal{E}_k this is trivial since $\beta_k^n|_{\mathcal{E}_k} \equiv 0$. On \mathcal{Z}_k we can write $\beta_k^n = \int_{\tau_k}^{\mu_k} \varepsilon_k \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d\lambda$ where $\varepsilon_k \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ is defined on $\mathcal{Z}_k \setminus \mathcal{E}_k$ by $\sqrt[4]{\chi_p(\mu_k)} = \varepsilon_k \sqrt[4]{\chi_p(\mu_k)}$ and is zero on $\mathcal{Z}_k \cap \mathcal{E}_k$. Now consider a disk $D \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_k$. As \mathcal{E}_k is an analytic subvariety one has either $D \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_k \cap \mathcal{E}_k$ – in which case $\beta_k^n|_D \equiv 0$ – or $D \cap \mathcal{E}_k$ is finite. As $\int_{\tau_k}^{\mu_k} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d\lambda|_D$ is analytic and β_k^n is continuous on D it then follows that $\int_{\tau_k}^{\mu_k} \zeta_k^n(\lambda) d\lambda|_D \equiv 0$ or $\varepsilon_k|_{D \setminus \mathcal{E}_k}$ is constant. In both cases it follows that $\beta_k^n|_D$ is analytic. This establishes the claimed analyticity.

Item (ii) is proved in an analogous way except for the fact that switching from λ_n^- to ϱ_n^- may change the value of β_n^n by π in view of the normalization condition in Proposition 3.1. Hence we have $\beta_n^n = \int_{\varrho_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[4]{\chi_p(\lambda)}} d\lambda$ modulo π . \square

As a next step we show that by choosing appropriate integration paths in (23) the quantity $\beta^n(\varphi)$ is well defined modulo 2π on U_{tn} and real valued, when restricted to $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$. In [15] it is proved that such a choice is possible in a small neighborhood of zero in L_c^2 . More specifically, we have the following

Lemma 4.4. *For any $\varphi \in U_{s_1}$, where $U_{s_1} \subseteq U_{\text{tn}} \cap \mathcal{U}_0$ and \mathcal{U}_0 is the open ball in L_c^2 centered at zero, given by Theorem 2.1, and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|k| \leq R$, the path of integration in $\beta_k^n = \int_{\lambda_k^-}^{\mu_k^+} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\chi_p(\lambda)}} d\lambda$ can be chosen to lie in the handle $\mathcal{D}_{\varphi,k} \equiv \pi_1^{-1}(D_k)$ which is a Riemann surface biholomorphic to $\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 < |z| < 2\}$ since $\lambda_k^- \neq \lambda_k^+$. For $|k| \leq R$ with $k \neq n$ the quantity β_k^n is well defined and analytic on U_{s_1} whereas for $k = n$ it is defined modulo 2π and as such analytic. Furthermore, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|k| \leq R$, β_k^n is real-valued when restricted to $U_{s_1} \cap iL_r^2$. As a consequence, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the sum $\beta^n = \sum_{|k| \leq R} \beta_k^n$ is real valued when restricted to $U_{s_1} \cap iL_r^2$.*

Let us introduce for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ the set

$$M_R \equiv M_R(\varphi) := \{\mu_k \mid |k| \leq R\}.$$

By the definition (22) for any $|k| \leq R$, the Dirichlet divisor $\mu_k^*(\varphi)$ on the Riemann surface $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi,R}$ is uniquely determined by $\mu_k(\varphi)$. Similarly we introduce

$$\Lambda_R^- \equiv \Lambda_R^-(\varphi) := \{\lambda_k^- \mid |k| \leq R\}.$$

and recall that $\lambda_k^-(\varphi)$, $|k| \leq R$, are simple periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ that satisfy $\text{Im}(\lambda_k^-) < 0$. Then, we have the following important

Proposition 4.1. *After shrinking the tubular neighborhood U_{tn} of the path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$, if necessary, so that U_{tn} and $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ are still connected, there exist for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ a bijective correspondence*

$$\Lambda_R^-(\varphi) \rightarrow M_R(\varphi), \quad z \mapsto \mu_\varphi(z),$$

and for any $z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)$ a continuous, piecewise C^1 -smooth path $\mathcal{P}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi,R}$ from $z^* = (z, 0)$ to $\mu_\varphi^*(z)$ so that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi) := \sum_{z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)} \int_{\mathcal{P}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda, \quad (36)$$

defined modulo 2π if $|n| \leq R$, is analytic on U_{tn} , and real valued when restricted to $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$.

Remark 4.1. *Since the curve γ is simple and can be assumed to be piecewise C^1 -smooth, by shrinking U_{tn} once more if necessary, we can ensure that (36) is single valued on U_{tn} and hence there is no need to define in the case $|n| \leq R$, $\tilde{\beta}^n$ modulo 2π as stated in Proposition 4.1. We chose to state Proposition 4.1 as is because we want to use its proof without modification in the subsequent Section when constructing angle coordinates in a tubular neighborhood of the isospectral set $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ which is not simply connected.*

Proof of Proposition 4.1. For a given $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$, let $\psi := \gamma(\tau)$ and for any $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ denote by $D^\varepsilon(z)$ the open disk of radius ε in \mathbb{C} centered at z .

Let $\overline{D}^\varepsilon(z)$ be the corresponding closed disk. We refer to the point Q_z on the boundary of $D^\varepsilon(z)$ with the smallest real part among the points of boundary of the disk as the *base point* of $D^\varepsilon(z)$. Choose $\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon_\psi > 0$ so that the following holds: For any $z, z' \in \Lambda_R(\psi) \cup M_R(\psi)$ where $\Lambda_R(\psi) \equiv \{\lambda_k^\pm \mid |k| \leq R\}$ we have

- (1) $\overline{D}^\varepsilon(z) \cap \overline{D}^\varepsilon(z') = \emptyset$ if $z \neq z'$.
- (2) $\overline{D}^\varepsilon(z) \setminus \{z\}$ does not contain any periodic eigenvalue of $L(\psi)$.
- (3) $\overline{D}^\varepsilon(z) \subseteq B_R$ and if $z \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$, $\overline{D}^\varepsilon(z) \subseteq \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im}(\lambda) < 0\}$.

Now we choose an open ball $U_\psi \subseteq U_{\text{tn}}$ in L_c^2 centered at ψ so that for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ the following holds: For any Dirichlet eigenvalue $\mu \in M_R(\psi)$ with (algebraic) multiplicity $m_D \geq 1$ there exist exactly m_D Dirichlet eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ in the disk $D^\varepsilon(\mu)$ and for any periodic eigenvalue $\nu \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$ there exists a unique periodic eigenvalue ν_φ of $L(\varphi)$ in $D^\varepsilon(\nu)$. Denote by $B_{R,\psi}^\bullet$ the complement in B_R of the union of disks $D^\varepsilon(z)$, $z \in \Lambda_R(\psi) \cup M_R(\psi)$,

$$B_{R,\psi}^\bullet := B_R \setminus \left(\bigcup_{z \in \Lambda_R(\psi) \cup M_R(\psi)} D^\varepsilon(z) \right).$$

Furthermore, in what follows, π_1 will denote the projection $\pi_1 : \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(\lambda, w) \mapsto \lambda$, onto the first component of \mathbb{C}^2 . Then the set $(\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\psi,R}})^{-1}(B_{R,\psi}^\bullet)$ is a connected Riemann surface obtained from the Riemann surface $\mathcal{C}_{\psi,R}$ by removing a certain number of open disks. Choose an arbitrary bijection

$$\Lambda_R^-(\psi) \rightarrow M_R(\psi), \quad \nu \mapsto \mu_\psi(\nu).$$

Then, for any $\nu \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$, take a C^1 -smooth curve in $B_{R,\psi}^\bullet$ that connects the base point of $D^\varepsilon(\nu)$ with the base point of $D^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu))$. We denote this curve by $Y_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$. In this way we obtain a set of $2R+1$ curves

$$\{Y_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)] \mid \nu \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)\}$$

in $B_{R,\psi}^\bullet$. By construction, these curves depend on the choice of ψ and the bijective correspondence. Now take $\varphi \in U_\psi$. For any $z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)$ with the condition that $z \in D^\varepsilon(\nu)$ where $\nu \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$, denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\psi}[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ the concatenated path

$$[z, Q_\nu] \cup Y_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)] \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}, \mu_\varphi(z)] \quad (37)$$

where by $\mu_\varphi(z)$ we denote one of the Dirichlet eigenvalues in $M_R(\varphi)$ that lies in the disk $D^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu))$ and $[a, b]$ denotes the line segment connecting two complex numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$. Requiring that every Dirichlet eigenvalue in $M_R(\varphi)$ appears as the endpoint of such a concatenated curve precisely once, we construct for the considered potential $\varphi \in U_\psi$ a bijective correspondence

$$\Lambda_R^-(\varphi) \rightarrow M_R(\varphi), \quad z \mapsto \mu_\varphi(z) \equiv \mu_{\varphi,\psi}(z). \quad (38)$$

Remark 4.2. In the case when $\mu_\psi(\nu)$ has algebraic multiplicity $m_D \geq 2$ there are multiple options for the choice of the third part $[Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \mu_\varphi(z)}]$ of the path $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$. This leads to different bijective correspondences (38). The final result however will not depend on the choice of the correspondence in (38).

We now want to lift the path $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ constructed above to the Riemann surface $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}$. Let us first treat the case where $\mu_\psi(\nu)$ is not a ramification point of $\mathcal{C}_{\psi, R}$, i.e. $\mu_\psi(\nu) \notin \Lambda_R(\psi)$. Then the preimage $(\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\psi, R}})^{-1}(\overline{D}^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu)))$ consists of two disjoint closed disks. Denote by $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \psi}^*$ the lift of $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}$ which is in the disk containing the Dirichlet divisor $\mu_\psi^*(\nu)$. Similarly, for $\varphi \in U_\psi$ the preimage $(\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}})^{-1}(\overline{D}^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu)))$ consists of two disjoint disks. We denote by $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$ the lift of $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}$ which is in the disk containing the Dirichlet divisor $\mu_\varphi^*(z)$. Denote by $Q_{\nu, \varphi}^*$ the starting point of the lift $Y_{\varphi, \psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$ of $Y_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$ by $(\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}})^{-1}$ that is ending at $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$. By construction,

$$\pi_1(Q_{\nu, \varphi}^*) = Q_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*) = Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}.$$

This yields a uniquely determined lift $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}$, starting at $(z, 0)$ and ending at $\mu_\varphi^*(z)$. Now, let us turn to the case where $\mu_\psi(\nu) \in \Lambda_R(\psi)$ and hence $\mu_\psi(\nu)$ is a ramification point of $\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\psi, R}} : \mathcal{C}_{\psi, R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then the preimage $(\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\psi, R}})^{-1}(\overline{D}^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu)))$ is a closed disk in $\mathcal{C}_{\psi, R}$ and the restriction of the map π_1 to this disk is two-to-one except at the branching point $\mu_\psi^*(\nu)$ where it is one-to-one. Denote by $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \psi}^*$ one of the preimages of $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}$. For $\varphi \in U_\psi$ the preimage $(\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}})^{-1}(\overline{D}^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu)))$ is also a closed disk in $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}$ and contains a unique branched point in its interior. We denote by $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$ the preimage of $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}$ defined uniquely by the condition that the map

$$U_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2, \quad \varphi \mapsto Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*,$$

is analytic and $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*|_{\varphi=\psi} = Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \psi}^*$. Then, by proceeding in the same way as in the previous case, we construct the point $Q_{\nu, \varphi}^*$, the lift $Y_{\varphi, \psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$ of $Y_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$, and the uniquely determined lift $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ of $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ to $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}$ that starts at $(z, 0)$, passes consecutively through $Q_{\nu, \varphi}^*$ and $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$, and then ends at the Dirichlet divisor $\mu_\varphi^*(z)$. It then follows that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\beta_\psi^n(\varphi) := \sum_{z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda, \quad (39)$$

is well defined and analytic on U_ψ . Indeed, if $\nu \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$ so that $\mu_\psi(\nu)$ is a simple Dirichlet eigenvalue of $L(\psi)$ the integral $\int_{\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda$ is clearly analytic on U_ψ where $z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)$ is the periodic eigenvalue of $L(\varphi)$ in the disk $D^\varepsilon(\nu)$. In case $\mu_\psi(\nu)$ is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $L(\psi)$ of multiplicity $m_D \geq 2$, denote by ν_j , $1 \leq j \leq m_D$, the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\psi)$ such that

$\mu_\psi(\nu_j) = \mu_\psi(\nu)$ and for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ by z_j , $1 \leq j \leq m_D$, the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ with $z_j \in D^\epsilon(\nu_j)$. Then the argument principle implies that

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m_D} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z_j, \mu_\varphi(z_j)]} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda, \quad (40)$$

is analytic in U_ψ – see Lemma 8.1 in the Appendix for more details. One can easily check that the value (39) does *not* depend on the choice described in Remark 4.2. Note that the restriction of β_ψ^n to $U_\psi \cap iL_r^2$ is *not* necessarily real valued. For latter reference we record that by construction, for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$, the path $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ is a concatenation of three paths which we write as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)] = [z, Q_\nu]^* \cup Y_{\varphi, \psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)] \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}, \mu_\varphi(z)]^*. \quad (41)$$

Now consider $0 \leq \tau_0 \leq 1$ with $\tau_0 \neq \tau$ and let $\psi_0 := \gamma(\tau_0)$. Assume that following the same construction as for $\psi = \gamma(\tau)$, one finds an open ball $U_{\psi_0} \subseteq U_{\text{tn}}$ of ψ_0 in L_c^2 with $U_\psi \cap U_{\psi_0} \neq \emptyset$ and for any $\varphi \in U_{\psi_0}$ a system of paths $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi_0}^*[z, \mu_{\varphi, \psi_0}(z)]$, $z \in \Lambda^-(\varphi)$, so that the restriction of $\beta_{\psi_0}^n$ to $U_{\psi_0} \cap iL_r^2$ is *real valued*. We now want to show that one can modify the system of paths $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$, $z \in \Lambda^-(\varphi)$, so that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\beta_\psi^n(\varphi) = \beta_{\psi_0}^n(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in U_\psi \cap U_{\psi_0}, \quad (42)$$

where $\beta_\psi^n(\varphi)$ denotes the value (39) with the system of paths modified. Then by Lemma 3.3 for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the quantity β_ψ^n will be real valued when restricted to $U_\psi \cap iL_r^2$. Indeed, take $\varphi \in U_\psi \cap U_{\psi_0}$. The first problem arises if the bijection

$$\mu_{\varphi, \psi_0} : \Lambda_R^-(\varphi) \rightarrow M_R(\varphi)$$

corresponding to the neighborhood U_{ψ_0} is different from the bijection

$$\mu_\varphi \equiv \mu_{\varphi, \psi} : \Lambda_R^-(\varphi) \rightarrow M_R(\varphi)$$

corresponding to the neighborhood U_ψ . In such a case, denote by $\sigma_\varphi : \Lambda_R^-(\varphi) \rightarrow \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)$ the permutation with the property that $\mu_{\varphi, \psi_0} = \mu_{\varphi, \psi} \circ \sigma_\varphi$. Since σ_φ can be written as a product of transpositions we can assume without loss of generality that σ_φ is a transposition interchanging two periodic eigenvalues z and z' in $\Lambda_R^-(\varphi)$. Then $z \in D^\epsilon(\nu)$ and $z' \in D^\epsilon(\nu')$ for some $\nu, \nu' \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$. In view of (41),

$$\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)] = [z, Q_\nu]^* \cup Y_{\varphi, \psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)] \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}, \mu_\varphi(z)]^*$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z', \mu_\varphi(z')] = [z', Q_{\nu'}]^* \cup Y_{\varphi, \psi}^*[\nu', \mu_\psi(\nu')] \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu')}, \mu_\varphi(z')]^*.$$

Choose a path $Y_{\nu, \nu'}^*$ on the Riemann surface

$$\mathcal{C}_{\psi, R}^\bullet := (\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\psi, R}})^{-1}(B_{R, \psi}^\bullet)$$

that connects the point Q_ν^* with $Q_{\nu'}^*$. Let $Y_{\nu,\nu'}$ be its projection into $B_{R,\psi}^\bullet$ by the map π_1 and denote by $Y_{\nu,\nu';\varphi}^*$ the unique lift of $Y_{\nu,\nu'}$ by $\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\varphi,R}} : \mathcal{C}_{\varphi,R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which connects $Q_{\nu,\varphi}^*$ with $Q_{\nu',\varphi}^*$. We then replace $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ by the concatenated curve

$$[z, Q_\nu]^* \cup Y_{\nu,\nu';\varphi}^* \cup Y_{\varphi,\psi}^*[\nu', \mu_\psi(\nu')] \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu')}, \mu_\varphi(z')]^*$$

and $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\psi}^*[z', \mu_\varphi(z')]$ by

$$[z', Q_{\nu'}]^* \cup (Y_{\nu,\nu';\varphi}^*)^{-1} \cup Y_{\varphi,\psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)] \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}, \mu_\varphi(z)]^*$$

where $(Y_{\nu,\nu';\varphi}^*)^{-1}$ denotes the path obtained from $Y_{\nu,\nu';\varphi}^*$ by traversing it in opposite direction. Since this change of paths does not affect the value of $\beta_\psi^n(\varphi)$ we can assume without loss of generality that $\mu_{\varphi,\psi} = \mu_{\varphi,\psi_0}$. Therefore, for any $z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)$, the paths $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\psi_0}^*[z, \mu_{\varphi,\psi_0}(z)]$ in $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi,R}$ have the same initial points and the same end points. Now, take $\varphi_0 \in U_\psi \cap U_{\psi_0}$. Then, for any $z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi_0)$ choose an arbitrary point on $Y_{\varphi_0,\psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$ of the path $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi_0,\psi}^*[z, \mu_{\varphi_0}(z)]$ and modify it by adding a bouquet of a -cycles and b -cycles of the Riemann surface $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi_0,R}$, contained in $(\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\varphi_0,R}})^{-1}(B_{R,\psi}^\bullet)$ so that when modified in this way the path $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi_0,\psi}^*[z, \mu_{\varphi_0}(z)]$ is homologous to $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi_0,\psi_0}^*[z, \mu_{\varphi_0,\psi_0}(z)]$ on $\mathcal{C}_{\varphi_0,R}$. Hence, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\beta_\psi^n(\varphi_0)$ defined by (39) with these modified paths equals $\beta_{\psi_0}^n(\varphi_0)$. For any $\nu \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$, let

$$\tilde{Y}_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)] := \pi_1(\tilde{Y}_{\varphi_0,\psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)])$$

where $\tilde{Y}_{\varphi_0,\psi}^*[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$ denotes the middle part of the path $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi_0,\psi}^*[z, \mu_{\varphi_0}(z)]$ modified as described above. Note that $\tilde{Y}_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)] \subseteq B_{R,\psi}^\bullet$. Now, use $\tilde{Y}_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$ instead of $Y_\psi[\nu, \mu_\psi(\nu)]$ to construct the paths $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ and their lifts $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\psi}^*[z, \mu_\varphi(z)]$ for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ and $z \in \Lambda^-(\varphi)$. By this construction and since (39) is independent on the choice described in Remark 4.2, the equality (42) follows. As mentioned above, Lemma 3.3 then implies that $\beta_\psi^n : U_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real valued when restricted to $U_\psi \cap iL_\tau^2$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Since the set $\gamma([0, 1]) := \{\gamma(t) \mid t \in [0, 1]\} \subseteq U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_\tau^2$ is compact in L_c^2 there are finitely many potentials $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_M \in \gamma([0, 1])$, open balls $U(\varphi_1), \dots, U(\varphi_M)$ in U_{tn} , and for any $1 \leq j \leq M$ and any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_j)$, a system of paths

$$\{\mathcal{P}_{\varphi,\varphi_j}^*[z, \mu_{\varphi,\varphi_j}(z)] \mid z \in \Lambda_R^-(\varphi)\},$$

constructed as described above so that

$$\gamma([0, 1]) \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^M U(\varphi_j) \subseteq U_{\text{tn}}.$$

Without loss of generality we can assume that $\varphi_1 = \psi^{(0)} \in \mathcal{U}_0 \cap U_{\text{tn}}$ where \mathcal{U}_0 is the open ball in L_c^2 centered at zero given by Theorem 2.1. By choosing for any $\varphi \in U(\varphi_1)$ the system of paths as above and, at the same time, as described in Lemma 4.4, it follows that $\beta_{\varphi_1}^n : U(\varphi_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real-valued on $U(\varphi_1) \cap iL_\tau^2$.

By modifying the systems of paths as described above we conclude that for any $1 \leq j < k \leq M$ and $\varphi \in U(\varphi_j) \cap U(\varphi_k)$ the quantities $\beta_{\varphi_j}^n(\varphi)$ and $\beta_{\varphi_k}^n(\varphi)$ are real valued and coincide up to a linear combination of a and b -periods with integer coefficients. Taking into account Lemma 4.5 it then follows that modulo 2π ,

$$\beta_{\varphi_j}^n(\varphi) \equiv \beta_{\varphi_k}^n(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in U(\varphi_j) \cap U(\varphi_k).$$

By setting $\tilde{\beta}^n|_{U(\varphi_j)} := \beta_{\varphi_j}^n$ for $1 \leq j \leq M$ we then obtain a well defined function modulo 2π ,

$$\tilde{\beta}^n : U'_{\text{tn}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad U'_{\text{tn}} := \bigcup_{j=1}^M U(\varphi_j), \quad (43)$$

so that $\tilde{\beta}^n|_{U'_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2}$ is real-valued. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. \square

In the proof of Proposition 4.1 we used the following

Lemma 4.5. *For any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the lattice of periods $\mathcal{L}_n(\varphi)$, introduced in (25), consists of imaginary numbers, $\mathcal{L}_n(\varphi) \subseteq i\mathbb{R}$.*

Proof of Lemma 4.5. Take $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ and assume that $\varphi \in U_{s_k}$ for some $1 \leq k \leq N$ (see (15)). Then, by Proposition 3.1 for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_{\Gamma_m(s_k)} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = 2\pi\delta_{mn}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (44)$$

where by construction,

$$\overline{\Gamma_m(s_k)} = \Gamma_m(s_k). \quad (45)$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and the definition of the canonical root (12) that

$$\overline{(\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4})} = -\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\bar{\lambda}, \varphi) - 4}. \quad (46)$$

By taking the complex conjugate of both sides of (44), then using (45) and (46), and finally by passing to the complex conjugate variable in the integral, we obtain that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\int_{\Gamma_m(s_k)} \frac{\overline{\zeta_n(\bar{\lambda}, \varphi)}}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = 2\pi\delta_{mn}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (47)$$

Now, by comparing (44) and (47) we conclude from [22, Proposition 5.2] (cf. Remark 3.2) that

$$\overline{\zeta_n(\bar{\lambda}, \varphi)} = \zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi) \quad (48)$$

for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we see that in this case $\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)$ is real-valued for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Now consider the b_l -period of the one form

$\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda$ for some $1 \leq |l| \leq R$. For ease of notation we assume that $l \geq 1$. Then, by construction $\pi_1(b_l) = [\varkappa_{l-1}(s_k, \varphi), \varkappa_l(s_k, \varphi)]$, and therefore

$$p_{nl} = \int_{b_l} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda \in \left\{ \pm 2 \int_{\varkappa_{l-1}(s_k, \varphi)}^{\varkappa_l(s_k, \varphi)} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda \right\} \quad (49)$$

where the integration is performed over the real interval $[\varkappa_{l-1}(s_k, \varphi), \varkappa_l(s_k, \varphi)]$. By construction $B_R \cap \mathbb{R}$ does not contain periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$. In view of (48) and the property that $\Delta(\lambda, \varphi) \in (-2, 2)$ for $\lambda \in B_R \cap \mathbb{R}$ (see (8)) we then conclude from (49) that the b_l -period p_{nl} is an imaginary number. \square

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 4.2 one obtains the following estimates for $\tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi)$.

Lemma 4.6. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$,*

$$\tilde{\beta}^n = O(1/n) \quad \text{as } |n| \rightarrow \infty,$$

locally uniformly in $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$.

In what follows we assume that the tubular neighborhood U_{tn} is chosen as in Proposition 4.1. We summarize the results obtained in this section so far as follows.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following statements hold:*

- (i) $\sum_{\substack{|k| > R \\ k \neq n}} \beta_k^n$ converges locally uniformly on U_{tn} to an analytic function on U_{tn} which is of the order $o(1)$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) If $|n| > R$, then β_n^n is defined modulo 2π on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$. It is analytic, when taken modulo π .
- (iii) For any $\varphi \in U_{\text{tn}}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the quantity $\tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi)$ is defined modulo 2π and is analytic on U_{tn} . Furthermore, $\tilde{\beta}^n = O(1/n)$ locally uniformly on U_{tn} .

Proof of Proposition 4.2. (i) By Lemma 4.2 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{|k| > R \\ k \neq n}} |\beta_k^n| &= \sum_{0 < |k-n| \leq \frac{|n|}{2}, |k| > R} |\beta_k^n| + \sum_{|k-n| > \frac{|n|}{2}, |k| > R} |\beta_k^n| \\ &\leq C \left(\sum_{|k| \geq |n|/2} |\gamma_k|^2 + |\mu_k - \tau_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} + C(\|\gamma\|_0 + \|\mu - \tau\|_0) \left(\sum_{m \geq \frac{|n|}{2}} \frac{1}{m^2} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

where here $\gamma = (\gamma_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\mu - \tau = (\mu_m - \tau_m)_{m \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Both latter displayed terms converge to zero locally uniformly on U_{tn} as $|n|$ tends to infinity whence we have $\sum_{\substack{|k| > R \\ k \neq n}} \beta_k^n = o(1)$. By Lemma 4.3 (i) and [11, Theorem A.4], it then follows that $\sum_{\substack{|k| > R \\ k \neq n}} \beta_k^n$ is analytic on U_{tn} . Item (ii) is proved in Lemma 4.3 (ii) and item (iii) follows from Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.6. \square

As a consequence the angle variables (28),

$$\theta_n(\varphi) = \tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi) + \sum_{|k| > R} \beta_k^n(\varphi), \quad \varphi \in U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (50)$$

are well defined.

Proposition 4.3. *For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the angle variable θ_n are defined on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ modulo 2π by (50). For $|n| \leq R$, θ_n is analytic and for $|n| > R$, it is analytic on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ when taken modulo π . Moreover, (50) is real valued when restricted to $(U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2$.*

Proof of Proposition 4.3. In view of Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2 it only remains to prove that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the angle variable θ_n is real valued when restricted to $(U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2$. This follows from the analyticity of θ_n , Lemma 3.3, and the fact that, by Theorem 2.1, θ_n is real valued when restricted to the neighborhood of zero $(U_{s_1} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2 \subseteq \mathcal{W}_0 \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ (cf. (15)). \square

By combining Lemma 4.4, Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.3, we obtain by arguing as in the proof of Corollary 3.1, the following theorem, which summarizes the results obtained in Section 3 and Section 4.

Theorem 4.1. *For any $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\{I_n, I_k\} = 0$ on U_{tn} , $\{\theta_n, I_k\} = \delta_{nk}$ on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$, and $\{\theta_n, \theta_k\} = 0$ on $U_{\text{tn}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_n \cup \mathcal{Z}_k)$. Furthermore, the action I_n and the angle θ_n are real valued when restricted to $U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$ and, respectively, $(U_{\text{tn}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2$.*

5 Actions and angles in U_{iso}

Let $\psi^{(1)} \in iL_r^2$ and assume that $\psi^{(1)}$ has simple periodic spectrum. Following the construction of action-angle coordinates along the path $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow U_{\text{tn}} \cap iL_r^2$, $s \mapsto \psi^{(s)}$, in Section 3 and Section 4 we construct in the present Section action-angle coordinates in an open neighborhood of the isospectral set $\text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$. We prove additional properties of these coordinates that will be used in the subsequent sections.

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Gamma_n := \Gamma_n(s_N)$, $\Gamma'_n := \Gamma'_n(s_N)$ where $\Gamma_n(s_N)$ and $\Gamma'_n(s_N)$ are the contours corresponding to the cut $G_n(s_N)$ constructed in Section 3. Here $s = s_N = 1$ is the endpoint of the deformation $\{G_n(s) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{s \in [0, 1]}$ of cuts given by Lemma 3.1. For any $\psi \in \text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ we choose an open ball U_ψ of ψ in L_c^2 , an integer $R_\psi \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and positive constants $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following holds:

- (I1) The statements of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4, and Lemma 8.2 in the Appendix with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_0$ and $\delta = \delta_0$, hold uniformly in $\varphi \in U_\psi$ with R_p , R_D , and \tilde{R} replaced by R_ψ . Moreover, for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ the $4R_\psi + 2$ periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ inside the disk B_{R_ψ} are *simple*.

- (I2) For any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the pair of periodic eigenvalues λ_n^\pm is contained in the interior domain D'_n encircled by the contour Γ'_n .
- (I3) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists an analytic function $\zeta_n^{(\psi)} : \mathbb{C} \times U_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\zeta_n^{(\psi)}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = \delta_{nm}. \quad (51)$$

Moreover, for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the zeros $\{\sigma_k^n \mid k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}\}$ of the entire function $\zeta_n^{(\psi)}(\cdot, \varphi)$, when listed with their multiplicities, satisfy the conditions (D1)-(D3) with R_s replaced by R_ψ and $\zeta_n^{(s)}$ replaced by $\zeta_n^{(\psi)}$. The canonical root in (51) is defined by (12) and the map

$$\left(\mathbb{C} \setminus \left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \overline{D'_k} \right) \right) \times U_\psi \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (\lambda, \varphi) \mapsto \sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4},$$

is analytic.

Since the isospectral set $\text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ is compact (see Proposition 2.2 in [24]), there exist finitely many elements $\eta^{(j)} \in \text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$, $1 \leq j \leq J$, such that $\text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ is contained in the connected open set

$$U_{\text{iso}} := \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq J} U_{\eta^{(j)}} \subseteq L_c^2. \quad (52)$$

Take $R := \max_{1 \leq j \leq J} R_{\eta^{(j)}}$. Using the compactness of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ and the fact that $\psi^{(1)}$ has simple periodic spectrum one sees that if necessary, the neighborhood U_{iso} can be shrunk so that U_{iso} and $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ are connected and for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}}$ the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ inside the disk B_R are simple. Note that if $\varphi \in U_{\eta^{(k)}} \cap U_{\eta^{(l)}}$ for some $1 \leq k < l \leq J$ then in view of the normalization condition (51) and [22, Proposition 5.2] one concludes (cf. Remark 3.2) that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ we have that $\zeta_n^{(\eta^l)}(\lambda, \varphi) = \zeta_n^{(\eta^k)}(\lambda, \varphi)$. This allows us to define for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the analytic map

$$\zeta_n : \mathbb{C} \times U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

such that for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}}$ and for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_m} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[n]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = \delta_{nm}. \quad (53)$$

Hence the following holds.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\psi^{(1)} \in iL_r^2$ and assume that $\text{Spec}_p L(\psi^{(1)})$ is simple. Then there exist a connected open neighborhood U_{iso} of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ in L_c^2 with $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ connected, analytic maps $\zeta_n : \mathbb{C} \times U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and an integer $R \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that the conditions (I1)-(I3) above hold with U_ψ replaced by U_{iso} , $\zeta_n^{(\psi)}$ replaced by ζ_n , and R_ψ replaced by R . In particular, for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have the normalization condition (53).*

As in Section 3, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}}$ define the n -th action

$$I_n(\varphi) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{\lambda \dot{\Delta}(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt[3]{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda. \quad (54)$$

One easily sees from Proposition 5.1, Corollary 3.1, and Lemma 3.3 that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the map $I_n : U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is analytic and real-valued when restricted to $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. Next let us define the angle variables. To this end introduce for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the analytic subvariety of U_{iso} ,

$$\mathcal{Z}_n = \{\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \mid \gamma_n^2(\varphi) = 0\}. \quad (55)$$

For $|n| > R$, the set $\mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2$ is a real analytic submanifold in iL_r^2 of real codimension two. Since for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}}$ the periodic spectrum of $L(\varphi)$ is simple inside the disk B_R we see that $\mathcal{Z}_n = \emptyset$ for any $|n| \leq R$. Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 4.1 one shows that after shrinking U_{iso} , if needed, one can analytically extend the angle variable θ_n , introduced near $\psi^{(1)}$ in Proposition 4.3, to $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ so that it is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_n(\varphi) &= \tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi) + \sum_{|k| > R} \beta_k^n(\varphi), \quad \beta_k^n(\varphi) = \int_{\lambda_k^-(\varphi)}^{\mu_k^*(\varphi)} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda \quad \forall |k| > R, \\ \tilde{\beta}^n(\varphi) &= \sum_{|k| \leq R} \int_{\mathcal{P}^*[\lambda_k^-(\varphi), \mu_\varphi(\lambda_k^-(\varphi))]} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

defined modulo 2π on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$, real valued when restricted to $(U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2$, and analytic on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ when considered modulo π if $|n| > R$ and modulo 2π if $|n| \leq R$. By the arguments yielding Theorem 4.1 (cf. Corollary 3.1) we then obtain

Theorem 5.1. *Let $\psi \in iL_r^2$ and assume that $\text{Spec}_p L(\psi)$ is simple and U_{iso} is the neighborhood of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ introduced above. Then for any $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\{I_n, I_k\} = 0$ on U_{iso} , $\{\theta_n, I_k\} = \delta_{nk}$ on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$, and $\{\theta_n, \theta_k\} = 0$ on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_n \cup \mathcal{Z}_k)$. Furthermore, the action I_n and the angle θ_n are real valued when restricted to $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and, respectively, $(U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2$.*

Remark 5.1. *Note that the statements of Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.6, and Proposition 4.2 (i) also hold in U_{iso} .*

We finish this Section by proving properties of the actions used in the subsequent Section for constructing Birkhoff coordinates in U_{iso} .

Lemma 5.1. *For any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and for any $|n| > R$ we have that $I_n(\varphi) \leq 0$. Moreover, $I_n(\varphi) = 0$ iff $\lambda_n^+(\varphi) = \lambda_n^-(\varphi)$.*

Proof of Lemma 5.1. We follow the arguments of the proof of Theorem 13.1 in [11]. For any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and $|n| > R$ with $\lambda_n^+ = \lambda_n^-$, one has $\lambda_n^+ = \dot{\lambda}_n$ and

hence by Cauchy's theorem applied to the integral in (54), $I_n(\varphi) = 0$. In the case where $\lambda_n^+ \neq \lambda_n^-$, let g_n be the spectral band of Lemma 8.2 in Appendix, which by Proposition 5.1 and item (I1) holds with \tilde{R} replaced by R . Recall that $\Delta(\lambda_n^\pm) = (-1)^n 2$ and, for $\lambda \in g_n \setminus \{\lambda_n^\pm\}$, $\Delta(\lambda)$ is real and $-2 < \Delta(\lambda) < 2$. One easily sees that

$$\sqrt[n]{\Delta(\lambda \pm 0)^2 - 4} = \pm i(-1)^{n+1} \sqrt[n]{4 - \Delta(\lambda)^2} \quad \forall \lambda \in g_n.$$

By deforming Γ_n to g_n and denoting by g_n^+ the arc g_n with the orientation determined by starting at λ_n^- one gets

$$I_n = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{g_n^+} \frac{\lambda \dot{\Delta}(\lambda)}{i(-1)^{n+1} \sqrt[n]{4 - \Delta(\lambda)^2}} d\lambda - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{g_n^+} \frac{\lambda \dot{\Delta}(\lambda)}{-i(-1)^{n+1} \sqrt[n]{4 - \Delta(\lambda)^2}} d\lambda.$$

Moreover, for any $\lambda \in g_n$ the quantity $(-1)^n \Delta(\lambda) \pm i \sqrt[n]{4 - \Delta(\lambda)^2}$ is in the domain of the principal branch of the logarithm, $\log z = \log |z| + i \arg z$ where $-\pi < \arg(z) < \pi$. Integrating by parts one then gets

$$\begin{aligned} I_n &= -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{g_n^+} \log \left((-1)^n \Delta(\lambda) + i \sqrt[n]{4 - \Delta(\lambda)^2} \right) d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{g_n^+} \log \left((-1)^n \Delta(\lambda) - i \sqrt[n]{4 - \Delta(\lambda)^2} \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Let $f_\pm(\lambda) := (-1)^n \Delta(\lambda) \pm i \sqrt[n]{4 - \Delta(\lambda)^2}$ and note that for any $\lambda \in g_n$, $|f_+(\lambda)| = |f_-(\lambda)| = 2$ whereas $\arg f_\pm(\lambda) = 0$ for $\lambda \in \{\lambda_n^\pm\}$ and $0 < \pm \arg f_\pm(\lambda) < \pi$ for any $\lambda \in g_n \setminus \{\lambda_n^\pm\}$. Hence $I_n = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{g_n^+} (\arg f_+(\lambda) - \arg f_-(\lambda)) d\lambda < 0$. \square

Lemma 5.1 is applied to study the quotient I_n/γ_n^2 . We have the following

Lemma 5.2. (i) For any $|n| > R$, the quotient $I_n/\gamma_n^2 : U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ extends analytically to U_{iso} so that

$$\frac{4I_n}{\gamma_n^2} = 1 + \ell_n^2, \quad |n| > R \quad (57)$$

locally uniformly on U_{iso} . Furthermore, I_n/γ_n^2 is real on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$.

(ii) For any $|n| > R$ and $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$,

$$4I_n/\gamma_n^2 > 0.$$

Furthermore, after shrinking U_{iso} if necessary, for any $|n| > R$, the real part of $4I_n/\gamma_n^2$ is bounded away from 0 uniformly in $|n| > R$ and locally uniformly on U_{iso} . Moreover, the square root $\xi_n := \sqrt[n]{4I_n/\gamma_n^2}$ satisfies the asymptotics

$$\xi_n = 1 + \ell_n^2$$

locally uniformly on U_{iso} .

Proof of Lemma 5.2. We follow the arguments of the proof of Theorem 13.3 in [11]. (i) We show that for any $|n| > R$ the quantity I_n/γ_n^2 continuously extends to all of U_{iso} and its restriction to \mathcal{Z}_n is weakly analytic. By [11, Theorem A.6], it then follows that I_n/γ_n^2 is analytic on U_{iso} . Let $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ for some given $|n| > R$. By the definition (12) of the canonical root and the product representation of $\dot{\Delta}(\lambda)$ (cf. Lemma 2.3) one has for λ near Γ_n ,

$$\frac{\dot{\Delta}(\lambda)}{\sqrt[c]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}} = \frac{\dot{\lambda}_n - \lambda}{i w_n(\lambda)} \chi_n(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_n(\lambda) = \prod_{k \neq n} \frac{\dot{\lambda}_k - \lambda}{w_k(\lambda)}$$

where, by (27), $w_k(\lambda) = \sqrt[st]{(\lambda_k^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_k^- - \lambda)}$. By the definition (54) of I_n ,

$$I_n = \frac{i}{\pi} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{(\lambda - \dot{\lambda}_n)^2}{\sqrt[st]{(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_n^- - \lambda)}} \chi_n(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

The assumption $\gamma_n \neq 0$, allows to make the substitution $\lambda = \tau_n + z\gamma_n/2$, $\tau_n = (\lambda_n^+ + \lambda_n^-)/2$, which in view of the definition (13) of the standard root leads to

$$\sqrt[st]{(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_n^- - \lambda)} \big|_{z-i0} = i \frac{\gamma_n}{2} \sqrt[st]{1 - z^2}, \quad -1 \leq z \leq 1.$$

Hence, with $z_n = 2(\dot{\lambda}_n - \tau_n)/\gamma_n$,

$$\frac{4I_n}{\gamma_n^2} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{(z - z_n)^2}{\sqrt[st]{1 - z^2}} \chi_n(\tau_n + z\gamma_n/2) dz.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (ii), $z_n \rightarrow 0$ as $\gamma_n \rightarrow 0$. Thus

$$\frac{4I_n}{\gamma_n^2} \rightarrow \chi_n(\tau_n) \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{z^2}{\sqrt[st]{1 - z^2}} dz = \chi_n(\tau_n).$$

This shows that I_n/γ_n^2 is continuous on all of U_{iso} . Note that $\chi_n(\tau_n) \neq 0$. Moreover, by the argument principle, τ_n is analytic on U_{iso} and arguing as in the proof of [11, Lemma 12.7] one sees that χ_n is analytic on $D_n \times U_{\text{iso}}$. Hence the composition $\chi_n(\tau_n)$ is analytic on U_{iso} and thus in particular weakly analytic on \mathcal{Z}_n . By [11, Theorem A.6], I_n/γ_n^2 extends analytically to all of U_{iso} . Arguing as in the proof of [11, Lemma 12.10] one sees that $\chi_n(\lambda) = 1 + \ell_n^2$ for λ near the interval

$$[\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+] = \{(1-t)\lambda_n^- + t\lambda_n^+ \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$$

locally uniformly on U_{iso} . By the asymptotics $z_n = \gamma_n \ell_n^2$ (cf. Lemma 2.1 (ii)) it then follows that $4I_n/\gamma_n^2 = 1 + \ell_n^2$ locally uniformly on U_{iso} .

(ii) By Lemma 2.2 one has for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$, $\gamma_n^2 \leq 0$. By Lemma 5.1 it then follows that for any $|n| > R$ with $\gamma_n \neq 0$ we have $I_n/\gamma_n^2 > 0$ whereas if $\gamma_n = 0$, one has by the proof of item (i) that $4I_n/\gamma_n^2 = \chi_n(\tau_n) \neq 0$. By

the continuity of I_n/γ_n^2 on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ one then concludes that $I_n/\gamma_n^2 > 0$ on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. Furthermore, by the asymptotics established in item (i), $4I_n/\gamma_n^2 \rightarrow 1$ locally uniformly on U_{iso} . By shrinking U_{iso} if necessary we can assure that $\text{Re}(4I_n/\gamma_n^2)$ is bounded away from 0 locally uniformly on U_{iso} and uniformly in $|n| > R$. Then $\xi_n = \sqrt[4]{4I_n/\gamma_n^2}$ is well defined and real analytic on U_{iso} . It is positive on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and satisfies the asymptotics $1 + \ell_n^2$ locally uniformly on U_{iso} . \square

6 The pre-Birkhoff map and its Jacobian

In this Section we construct the pre-Birkhoff map $\Phi : U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \ell_c^2$ in an open neighborhood U_{iso} of the isospectral set $\text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ of an arbitrary given potential $\psi^{(1)} \in iL_r^2$ with simple periodic spectrum, using the action and angle variables introduced in Section 5. We then prove that the restriction $\Phi : U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ is a local diffeomorphism.¹ Without further reference we will use the notations and results of the previous sections. For any $|n| > R$ and $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ we define

$$x_n := \frac{\xi_n \gamma_n}{\sqrt{2}} \cos \theta_n, \quad y_n := \frac{\xi_n \gamma_n}{\sqrt{2}} \sin \theta_n \quad (58)$$

where $\theta_n : U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the n -th angle (56), $\gamma_n = \lambda_n^+ - \lambda_n^-$, and $\xi_n : U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the real-analytic non vanishing function introduced in Section 5. Recall from Lemma 5.2 that

$$4I_n = (\xi_n \gamma_n)^2$$

where the n -th action I_n is defined by (54) and ξ_n satisfies

$$\xi_n = 1 + \ell_n^2 \quad (59)$$

locally uniformly in U_{iso} . Note that on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$, ξ_n is real valued and $\gamma_n \in i\mathbb{R}$ for any $|n| > R$. Since θ_n , defined modulo 2π , is real valued on $(U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2$ it then follows that $x_n, y_n \in i\mathbb{R}$ on $(U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n) \cap iL_r^2$, $|n| > R$.

Using the arguments from [11, Section 16] we now show that $(x_n, y_n)_{|n| > R}$ can be analytically extended to U_{iso} in L_c^2 .

First note that due to the lexicographic ordering $\lambda_n^- \preccurlyeq \lambda_n^+$, the n -th gap length γ_n is not necessarily continuous on U_{iso} . On the other hand, by Proposition 4.2 the quantity β_n^n is defined modulo 2π on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ and real analytic when taken modulo π whereas in view of the definition (56) of the angle θ_n and Proposition 4.1, the difference $\theta_n - \beta_n^n$ is real analytic on U_{iso} . We will first focus our attention on the complex functions

$$z_n^+ := \gamma_n e^{i\beta_n^n}, \quad z_n^- := \gamma_n e^{-i\beta_n^n}, \quad |n| > R.$$

Lemma 6.1. *The functions $z_n^\pm = \gamma_n e^{\pm i\beta_n^n}$ are analytic on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ for any $|n| > R$.*

¹For simplicity of notation we will use the same symbol for the map Φ and its restriction to $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. We follow the arguments of the proof of Lemma 16.1 in [11]. Fix $|n| > R$ arbitrarily. Arguing as in [11, Proposition 7.5] one easily sees that locally around any potential in $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$, there exist analytic functions ϱ_n^+ and ϱ_n^- such that the set equality $\{\varrho_n^-, \varrho_n^+\} = \{\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+\}$ holds. Let

$$\tilde{\gamma}_n := \varrho_n^+ - \varrho_n^-, \quad \tilde{\beta}_n^n := \int_{\varrho_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[4]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}} d\lambda \pmod{2\pi}.$$

If $\varrho_n^- = \lambda_n^-$ (and then $\varrho_n^+ = \lambda_n^+$) one has $\gamma_n = \tilde{\gamma}_n$ and $\beta_n^n = \tilde{\beta}_n^n$ whereas if $\varrho_n^- = \lambda_n^+$ (and hence $\varrho_n^+ = \lambda_n^-$), in view of the normalization condition

$$\int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\lambda_n^+} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[4]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}} d\lambda \in \pi + 2\pi\mathbb{Z},$$

one has

$$\gamma_n = -\tilde{\gamma}_n, \quad \beta_n^n = \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[4]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}} d\lambda = \tilde{\beta}_n^n + \pi \pmod{2\pi}.$$

Thus in both cases $\gamma_n e^{\pm i\beta_n^n} = \tilde{\gamma}_n e^{\pm i\tilde{\beta}_n^n}$. As the right hand side of the latter identity is analytic the Lemma follows. \square

Next we study the limiting behavior of z_n^\pm , $|n| > R$, as φ approaches a potential $\psi \in U_{\text{iso}}$ with the n -th gap collapsed. This limit is different from zero when ψ is in the set

$$\mathcal{F}_n := \{\psi \in U_{\text{iso}} \mid \mu_n \notin G_n\}$$

where $G_n = [\lambda_n^-, \lambda_n^+]$. Note that the set \mathcal{F}_n is open. On \mathcal{F}_n , the sign function

$$\varepsilon_n := \frac{\sqrt[4]{\Delta(\mu_n)^2 - 4}}{\sqrt[4]{\Delta(\mu_n)^2 - 4}} \tag{60}$$

is well defined and locally constant.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $|n| > R$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}_n$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ tends to ψ , then*

$$\gamma_n e^{\pm i\beta_n^n} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 2(\tau_n - \mu_n)(1 \pm \varepsilon_n)e^{\chi_n} & \text{if } \psi \in \mathcal{F}_n \cap \mathcal{Z}_n \\ 0 & \text{if } \psi \in \mathcal{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{F}_n \end{cases}$$

where

$$\chi_n = \int_{\tau_n}^{\mu_n} \frac{Z_n(\tau_n) - Z_n(\lambda)}{\tau_n - \lambda} d\lambda, \quad Z_n(\lambda) = - \prod_{m \neq n} \frac{\sigma_m^n - \lambda}{w_m(\lambda)},$$

$$\text{and } w_m(\lambda) = \sqrt[4]{(\lambda_m^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_m^- - \lambda)}.$$

Proof of Lemma 6.2. We follow the arguments of the proof of Lemma 16.2 in [11]. Without loss of generality we may choose for $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ a path of integration in the integral of β_n^n which meets G_n only at the initial point λ_n^- . Using the definition (60) of the sign ε_n and the definition (12) of $\sqrt[st]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}$, we then can write

$$\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[st]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}} = -i\varepsilon_n \frac{Z_n(\lambda)}{w_n(\lambda)} \quad (61)$$

and get modulo 2π ,

$$i\beta_n^n = i \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[st]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}} d\lambda = \varepsilon_n \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{Z_n(\lambda)}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda,$$

where $w_n(\lambda)$ is well defined as we consider a path of integration from λ_n^- to μ_n inside D_n which meets G_n only at its initial point. We decompose the numerator $Z_n(\lambda)$ into three terms,

$$Z_n(\lambda) = (Z_n(\lambda) - Z_n(\tau_n)) + (Z_n(\tau_n) + 1) - 1$$

and denote the corresponding integrals by o_n, v_n , and ω_n , respectively. The limit of the first term is straightforward. Note that Z_n is analytic in some neighborhood $D_n \times V_\psi \subseteq \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2$ of $(n\pi, \psi)$. Moreover, if $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$, then $\lambda_n^\pm(\varphi) \rightarrow \tau_n(\psi)$, $w_n(\lambda) \rightarrow \tau_n - \lambda$, and $\mu_n(\varphi) \rightarrow \mu_n(\psi)$. Thus by the definition of $\chi_n(\psi)$,

$$o_n = \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{Z_n(\lambda) - Z_n(\tau_n)}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda \rightarrow \int_{\tau_n}^{\mu_n} \frac{Z_n(\lambda) - Z_n(\tau_n)}{\tau_n - \lambda} d\lambda = -\chi_n(\psi).$$

For the second term we have in view of the identity (62) below and the estimate $Z_n(\tau_n) + 1 = O(\gamma_n)$ by Lemma 6.3 below

$$v_n = (Z_n(\tau_n) + 1) \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{1}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda \rightarrow 0.$$

Now consider the third term. Using a limiting argument we can compute it modulo $2\pi i$ on $\mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ by choosing the line segment $\lambda = \tau_n + t\gamma_n/2$ with $-1 \leq t \leq \varrho_n$ as path of integration where $\varrho_n = 2(\mu_n - \tau_n)/\gamma_n$. In case the interval $[\lambda_n^-, \mu_n]$ intersects $G_n \setminus \{\lambda_n^-\}$ it actually contains all of G_n . One easily verifies that in this case the choice of the sign of $w_n(\lambda)$ along G_n does not matter. We then get modulo $2\pi i$

$$\omega_n = \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{d\lambda}{w_n(\lambda)} = \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{d\lambda}{\sqrt[st]{(\lambda_n^+ - \lambda)(\lambda_n^- - \lambda)}} = \int_{-1}^{\varrho_n} \frac{dt}{\sqrt[st]{(1-t)(-1-t)}} \quad (62)$$

with $\sqrt[st]{(1-t)(-1-t)} = -t \sqrt[st]{1-t^{-2}}$ for $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Note that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ one has $\varrho_n \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1]$. We claim that

$$e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} = -\varrho_n + \varepsilon_n \sqrt[st]{(1 - \varrho_n)(-1 - \varrho_n)}. \quad (63)$$

Indeed both sides of (63), viewed as functions of ϱ_n , are solutions of the initial value problem

$$\frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \frac{-\varepsilon_n}{\sqrt[st]{(1-w)(-1-w)}}, \quad f(-1) = 1, \quad w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-1, 1].$$

Now we compute the limit $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$. First consider the case where $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_n \cap \mathcal{Z}_n$. Then $\mu_n - \tau_n$ does not converge to zero. This implies $\varrho_n^{-1} \rightarrow 0$ and further

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} &= -\gamma_n \varrho_n + \varepsilon_n \gamma_n \sqrt[st]{(1-\varrho_n)(-1-\varrho_n)} \\ &= -\gamma_n \varrho_n - \varepsilon_n \gamma_n \varrho_n \sqrt[+]{1-\varrho_n^{-2}} \\ &= 2(\tau_n - \mu_n)(1 + \varepsilon_n \sqrt[+]{1-\varepsilon_n^{-2}}) \\ &\rightarrow 2(\tau_n - \mu_n)(1 + \varepsilon_n). \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

In the case where $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{F}_n$, one has $\gamma_n \varrho_n = 2(\mu_n - \tau_n) \rightarrow 0$ and thus concludes that

$$\gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} = -\gamma_n \varrho_n + \varepsilon_n \gamma_n \sqrt[st]{(1-\varrho_n)(-1-\varrho_n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

(Actually, this case is included in the above result since it does not matter that ε_n is not well defined outside of \mathcal{F}_n .) So in both cases we obtain

$$\gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} \rightarrow 2(\tau_n - \mu_n)(1 + \varepsilon_n).$$

Combining the results for all three integrals we obtain

$$\gamma_n e^{i\beta_n^n} = \gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} e^{\varepsilon_n(o_n + v_n)} \rightarrow 2(\tau_n - \mu_n)(1 + \varepsilon_n) e^{\varepsilon_n \chi_n}. \tag{65}$$

This agrees with the claim for z_n^+ for $\varepsilon_n = -1$ where it vanishes and for $\varepsilon_n = 1$, where $e^{\varepsilon_n \chi_n} = e^{\chi_n}$. For z_n^- we just have to switch the sign of ε_n in (63) to obtain

$$\gamma_n e^{-i\beta_n^n} = \gamma_n e^{\varepsilon_n \omega_n} e^{-\varepsilon_n(o_n + v_n)} \rightarrow 2(\tau_n - \mu_n)(1 - \varepsilon_n) e^{-\varepsilon_n \chi_n}.$$

In particular the limit vanishes for $\varepsilon_n = 1$. □

Lemma 6.3. *For $\lambda \in G_n$, $|n| > R$, one has $Z_n(\lambda) = -1 + O(\gamma_n)$ locally uniformly on U_{iso} .*

Proof of Lemma 6.3. We follow the arguments of the proof of Lemma 16.3 in [11]. In analogy to (61) we write

$$\frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[st]{\Delta(\lambda)^2 - 4}} = \frac{Z_n(\lambda)}{i w_n(\lambda)}, \quad Z_n(\lambda) = - \prod_{m \neq n} \frac{\sigma_m^n - \lambda}{w_m(\lambda)}.$$

Integrating over Γ_n and referring to Proposition 5.1 we obtain for $\tau \in G_n$,

$$1 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{Z_n(\lambda)}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} Z_n(\tau) \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{1}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{Z_n(\lambda) - Z_n(\tau)}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda.$$

Since $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{1}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda = -1$ we get

$$1 = -Z_n(\tau) + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_n} \frac{Z_n(\lambda) - Z_n(\tau)}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda = -Z_n(\tau) + O\left(|Z_n - Z_n(\tau)|_{G_n}\right)$$

where the latter asymptotics follow from [11, Lemma 14.3]. Note that $Z_n(\lambda)$ is analytic on D_n by [11, Corollary 12.8]. Since Z_n is bounded on D_n locally uniformly in φ and uniformly in n by [11, Lemma 12.10], it then follows that the same is true for $\dot{Z}_n(\lambda), \lambda \in G_n$, by Cauchy's estimate. Therefore

$$\max_{\lambda \in G_n} |Z_n(\lambda) - Z_n(\tau)| \leq \max_{\lambda \in G_n} |\dot{Z}_n(\lambda)| |\gamma_n| = O(\gamma_n)$$

locally uniformly in φ and uniformly in n . This proves the claim. \square

For any $|n| > R$, we now extend z_n^\pm on all of U_{iso} as follows

$$z_n^\pm = \begin{cases} 2(\tau_n - \mu_n)(1 \pm \varepsilon_n)e^{\chi_n} & \text{on } \mathcal{Z}_n \cap \mathcal{F}_n \\ 0 & \text{on } \mathcal{Z}_n \setminus \mathcal{F}_n \end{cases}$$

where χ_n is given by Lemma 6.2. To establish the proper target space of $(x_n, y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ we need the following asymptotic estimates.

Lemma 6.4. *For $|n| > R$ one has $z_n^\pm = O(|\gamma_n| + |\mu_n - \tau_n|)$ locally uniformly on U_{iso} .*

Proof of Lemma 6.4. We follow the arguments of the proof of Lemma 16.4 in [11]. From the proof of Lemma 6.2, in particular equations (64) and (65), one sees that for φ in $\mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$,

$$\gamma_n e^{i\beta_n^n} = \left(-\gamma_n \varrho_n + \varepsilon_n \gamma_n \sqrt[st]{(1 - \varrho_n)(-1 - \varrho_n)}\right) e^{\varepsilon_n(v_n + o_n)}.$$

In the case $2|\mu_n - \tau_n| \leq |\gamma_n|$, i.e., $|\varrho_n| \leq 1$, one has

$$\left| -\gamma_n \varrho_n + \varepsilon_n \gamma_n \sqrt[st]{(1 - \varrho_n)(-1 - \varrho_n)} \right| \leq 3|\gamma_n| \quad (66)$$

while in the case $2|\mu_n - \tau_n| > |\gamma_n|$, i.e., $|\varrho_n| > 1$,

$$\gamma_n e^{i\beta_n^n} = 2(\tau_n - \mu_n) \left(1 + \varepsilon_n \sqrt[st]{1 + \varrho_n^{-2}}\right) e^{\varepsilon_n(v_n + o_n)}$$

yielding the estimate

$$\left| 2(\tau_n - \mu_n) \left(1 + \varepsilon_n \sqrt[st]{1 + \varrho_n^{-2}}\right) \right| \leq 6|\mu_n - \tau_n|. \quad (67)$$

The exponential term $e^{\varepsilon_n(v_n + o_n)}$ is locally uniformly bounded in view of [11, Lemma 12.10]. So we get on $\mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$,

$$z_n^+ = O(|\gamma_n| + |\mu_n - \tau_n|). \quad (68)$$

By Lemma 6.2, (67) continues to hold on $\mathcal{F}_n \cap \mathcal{Z}_n$. Furthermore, one easily verifies that (66) is also valid on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{F}_n$ for any choice of $\varepsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\}$. Hence (68) holds in a locally uniform fashion on all of U_{iso} . The argument for $\gamma_n e^{-i\beta_n^n}$ is the same. \square

We are now ready to prove

Proposition 6.1. *For any $|n| > R$, the functions z_n^\pm are analytic on U_{iso} .*

Proof of Proposition 6.1. We follow the arguments of the proof of Proposition 16.5 in [11]. First, we apply [11, Theorem A.6] to the functions z_n^\pm on the domain U_{iso} with the subvariety \mathcal{Z}_n . These functions are analytic on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ by Lemma 6.1. We claim that they are also continuous at points of \mathcal{Z}_n . First note that their restrictions to \mathcal{Z}_n are continuous by inspection. Approaching a point in \mathcal{Z}_n from within $\mathcal{F}_n \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$, the corresponding values z_n^\pm converge to the ones of the limiting potential by Lemma 6.2. On the other hand, approaching a point in \mathcal{Z}_n from outside of $\mathcal{F}_n \cup \mathcal{Z}_n$ one has $|\mu_n - \tau_n| \leq |\gamma_n|$ and thus $z_n^\pm = \gamma_n e^{\pm i\beta_n^n}$ converges to zero by Lemma 6.4. Thus the functions z_n^\pm are continuous on all of U_{iso} . To show that their restrictions to \mathcal{Z}_n are weakly analytic, let D be a one-dimensional complex disk contained in \mathcal{Z}_n . If the center of D is in \mathcal{F}_n , then the entire disk D is in \mathcal{F}_n , if chosen sufficiently small. The analyticity of $z_n^\pm = \gamma_n e^{\pm i\beta_n^n}$ on D is then evident from the above formula, the definition of χ_n , and the local constancy of ε_n on \mathcal{F}_n . If the center of D does not belong to \mathcal{F}_n , then consider the analytic function $\mu_n - \tau_n$ on D . This function either vanishes identically on D , in which case z_n^\pm vanishes identically, too. Or it vanishes in only finitely many points. Outside these points, D is in \mathcal{F}_n , hence z_n^\pm is analytic there. By continuity and analytic continuation, these functions are analytic on all of D . \square

For $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}}$ we now define $\Phi(\varphi) = (x_n(\varphi), y_n(\varphi))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ where for $|n| > R$,

$$\begin{cases} x_n = \frac{\xi_n}{\sqrt{8}} (z_n^+ e^{-i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)} + z_n^- e^{-i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)}), \\ y_n = \frac{\xi_n}{\sqrt{8}i} (z_n^+ e^{-i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)} - z_n^- e^{-i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)}), \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

and for $|n| \leq R$,

$$x_n = \sqrt{2I_n} \cos \theta_n, \quad y_n = \sqrt{2I_n} \sin \theta_n.$$

Here the roots $\sqrt{2I_n}$ are defined as follows: First note that by adding constants to the actions and by shrinking the neighborhood U_{iso} , if necessary, we can ensure that $\text{Re}(I_n) \leq -1$ on U_{iso} for any $|n| \leq R$. Then, $\sqrt{2I_n}$ is analytic on U_{iso} where $\sqrt{\cdot}$ is the branch of the square root satisfying

$$\sqrt{2I_n} = i \sqrt{-2I_n}$$

on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. From the preceeding asymptotic estimates it is then evident that Φ defines a continuous, locally bounded map into $\ell_c^2 = \ell^2 \times \ell^2$ which, when

restricted to $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$, takes values in $i\ell_r^2$. Moreover, each component is real analytic. In what follows we identify the real Hilbert space $i\ell_r^2$ with $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, i\mathbb{R}^2)$ by the \mathbb{R} -linear isomorphism

$$\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}, i\mathbb{R}^2) \rightarrow i\ell_r^2, \quad (i(u_n, v_n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mapsto (z_n(\varphi), w_n(\varphi))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \quad (70)$$

where $z_n = (v_n + iu_n)/\sqrt{2}$ and $w_n = -\overline{z_{(-n)}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We also write x_n for iu_n and y_n for iv_n . We have proved the following

Proposition 6.2. *The map*

$$\Phi : U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2, \quad \varphi \mapsto (x_n(\varphi), y_n(\varphi))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}},$$

is real analytic and extends to an analytic map $U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \ell_c^2$.

Using Theorem 5.1 we now can establish the following

Proposition 6.3. *For any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$,*

$$\{x_m, x_n\} = 0, \quad \{x_m, y_n\} = -\delta_{mn}, \quad \{y_m, y_n\} = 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 6.3. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $|n| > R$, the set $\mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2$ is a real analytic submanifold of $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ of codimension two whereas $\mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2 = \emptyset$ for $|n| \leq R$ (cf. (55)). Since the coordinates x_n and y_n are smooth it suffices to check that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ the claimed commutation relations hold on the subset $(iL_r^2 \cap U_{\text{iso}}) \setminus (\mathcal{Z}_n \cup \mathcal{Z}_m)$. Then for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, on $(U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_m) \cap iL_r^2$

$$x_m = i \sqrt{-2I_m} \cos \theta_m, \quad y_m = i \sqrt{-2I_m} \sin \theta_m.$$

Arguing as in the proof of [11, Theorem 18.8] one has by the chain rule

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_m, y_n\} &= \partial_{\theta_m} x_m \partial_{\theta_n} y_n \{\theta_m, \theta_n\} + \partial_{\theta_m} x_m \partial_{I_n} y_n \{\theta_m, I_n\} \\ &\quad + \partial_{I_m} x_m \partial_{\theta_n} y_n \{I_m, \theta_n\} + \partial_{I_m} x_m \partial_{I_n} y_n \{I_m, I_n\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 5.1 it then follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \{x_m, y_n\} &= (\partial_{\theta_m} x_m \partial_{I_n} y_n - \partial_{I_m} x_m \partial_{\theta_n} y_n) \delta_{mn} \\ &= \left(-\sqrt{2I_m} \sin \theta_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{2I_n}} \sin \theta_n - \sqrt{2I_m} \cos \theta_m \frac{1}{\sqrt{2I_n}} \cos \theta_n \right) \delta_{mn} \\ &= -\delta_{mn}. \end{aligned}$$

All other commutation relations between coordinates are verified in a similar fashion. \square

In the remaining part of this Section we prove that for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$, the Jacobian $d_\varphi \Phi$ of Φ is a Fredholm operator of index 0. First we need to introduce some more notation and establish some auxiliary results. Recall that

$\mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2$, $|n| > R$, is a real analytic submanifold of real codimension two (cf. (55)). Note that for $\varphi \in \mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2$ with $|n| > R$, the double periodic eigenvalue $\lambda_n^+(\varphi) = \lambda_n^-(\varphi)$ has geometric multiplicity two and hence is also a Dirichlet eigenvalue. It turns out to be convenient to introduce for any $|n| > R$,

$$\mathcal{M}_n := \{\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \mid \mu_n(\varphi) \in \{\lambda_n^\pm(\varphi)\}\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2 \subseteq \mathcal{M}_n \cap iL_r^2$.

Lemma 6.5. *For any $|n| > R$, $(U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_n) \cap iL_r^2$ is open and dense in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$.*

Proof of Lemma 6.5. Note that $\mathcal{M}_n = \{\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \mid \chi_p(\mu_n, \varphi) = 0\}$ where we recall that $\chi_p(\lambda, \varphi) = \Delta(\lambda, \varphi)^2 - 4$. Using that $\chi_p : \mathbb{C} \times L_c^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $(\lambda, \varphi) \mapsto \chi_p(\lambda, \varphi)$, and for any $|n| > R$, $\mu_n : U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi \mapsto \mu_n(\varphi)$, are analytic it follows that the composition $F_n : U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\varphi \mapsto \chi_p(\mu_n(\varphi), \varphi)$ is analytic. The claimed result follows if one can show that F_n does not vanish identically on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. Assume on the contrary that F_n vanishes identically on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. By analyticity it then vanishes on all of U_{iso} . Actually, the n -th Dirichlet eigenvalue μ_n is well defined and analytic on $U_{\text{iso}} \cup U_{\text{tn}}$ where U_{tn} is the tubular neighborhood introduced in Section 3. Thus F_n defines an analytic function on $U_{\text{iso}} \cup U_{\text{tn}}$ which vanishes also on U_{tn} . Note that U_{tn} contains potentials ψ in $U_{\text{tn}} \cap L_r^2$ near zero for which $\lambda_n^- < \lambda_n^+$ and $\{\varphi \in L_r^2 \mid \text{Spec}_p(L(\varphi)) = \text{Spec}_p(L(\psi))\} \subseteq U_{\text{tn}} \cap L_r^2$. The vanishing of F_n on $U_{\text{tn}} \cap L_r^2$ then contradicts [11, Corollary 9.4]. \square

In a first step we establish asymptotics for the gradients of z_n^\pm as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$. Since finite gap potentials are dense in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ ([20, Corollary 1.1]), it turns out that for our purposes it is sufficient to establish them for such potentials. Recall that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $e_n^+ = (0, e^{-2\pi i n x})$ and $e_n^- = (e^{2\pi i n x}, 0)$.

Lemma 6.6. *At any finite gap potential in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$, one has*

$$\partial z_n^\pm = -2e_n^\pm + \ell_n^2.$$

These estimates hold uniformly on $0 \leq x \leq 1$ in the sense that $\|\partial z_n^\pm + 2e_n^\pm\|_{L^\infty} = \ell_n^2$.

Proof of Lemma 6.6. We follow the proof of [11, Lemma 17.1]. In view of Lemma 6.5 we may approximate a given potential $\psi \in \mathcal{Z}_n \cap iL_r^2$, $|n| > R$, by potentials in $iL_r^2 \cap (U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{M}_n)$. Then it can be approximated by potentials φ in $iL_r^2 \cap U_{\text{iso}}$ satisfying either $|\mu_n - \tau_n| \leq |\gamma_n|/2$, $\mu_n \neq \lambda_n^+, \lambda_n^-$ (case 1) or $|\mu_n - \tau_n| > |\gamma_n|/2$ (case 2). Both cases are treated in a similar fashion so we concentrate on case 1 only. As in (60) we define a sign ε_n by

$$\varepsilon_n = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda) - 4}}{\sqrt[3]{\Delta^2(\lambda) - 4}} \Big|_{\lambda=\mu_n}$$

where the root $\sqrt[3]{\Delta^2(\lambda) - 4} = 2i \prod_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{w_m(\lambda)}{\pi_m}$ is extended to G_n by extending $w_n(\lambda)$ from the left of G_n . Since by definition $\zeta_n = -\frac{2}{\pi_n} \prod_{m \neq n} \frac{\sigma_m^n - \lambda}{\pi_m}$ one has

$$\left. \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda)}{\sqrt[3]{\Delta^2(\lambda) - 4}} \right|_{\lambda=\mu_n} = -i\varepsilon_n \left. \frac{Z_n(\lambda)}{w_n(\lambda)} \right|_{\lambda=\mu_n} \quad \text{with} \quad Z_n(\lambda) = - \prod_{m \neq n} \frac{\sigma_m^n - \lambda}{w_m(\lambda)}.$$

Hence

$$i\beta_n^n = \varepsilon_n \int_{\lambda_n^-}^{\mu_n} \frac{Z_n(\lambda)}{w_n(\lambda)} d\lambda$$

and we are in the same situation as in the proof of Lemma 6.2. With the notation introduced there we conclude again that $z_n^+ = \gamma_n e^{i\beta_n^n} = \gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} e^{\varepsilon_n(o_n + v_n)}$ and

$$\gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} = -\gamma_n \varrho_n + \varepsilon_n \gamma_n \sqrt[3]{(1 - \varrho_n)(-1 - \varrho_n)}$$

where $\varrho_n = 2(\mu_n - \tau_n)/\gamma_n$. As by assumption, $2|\mu_n - \tau_n| \leq |\gamma_n|$, $\mu_n \notin \{\lambda_n^\pm\}$ and $\gamma_n \in i\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ one has $|\varrho_n| \leq 1$, $\varrho_n \neq \pm 1$. By extending the standard root (cf. (13)) by continuity from above to the interval $[-1, 1]$, one obtains that

$$\sqrt[3]{(1 - \varrho_n)(-1 - \varrho_n)} = \begin{cases} -i \sqrt[3]{1 - \varrho_n^2} & \text{if } \operatorname{Im}(\varrho_n) \geq 0 \\ +i \sqrt[3]{1 - \varrho_n^2} & \text{if } \operatorname{Im}(\varrho_n) < 0. \end{cases}$$

As $-i\gamma_n > 0$ it then follows that $\gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n} = 2(\tau_n - \mu_n) + 2\varepsilon_n r_n$ where $r_n = w_n(\mu_n)$. As both $\gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n}$ and $e^{\varepsilon_n(o_n + v_n)}$ are analytic and as $o_n + v_n$ vanishes for $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ (see proof of Lemma 6.2) we get by the product rule

$$\partial z_n^+ = \partial(\gamma_n e^{i\beta_n^n}) \rightarrow \partial(\gamma_n e^{-\varepsilon_n \omega_n}) = 2(\partial\tau_n - \partial\mu_n) + 2\varepsilon_n \partial r_n.$$

To study the gradient of r_n we use the representation (61) at μ_n to write

$$i\varepsilon_n r_n = \frac{Z_n(\mu_n)}{\zeta_n(\mu_n)} \sqrt[3]{\Delta^2(\mu_n) - 4} = \phi_n \cdot \delta(\mu_n)$$

where $\phi_n := \frac{Z_n(\mu_n)}{\zeta_n(\mu_n)}$, $\delta(\mu_n) := \dot{m}_2(\mu_n) + \dot{m}_3(\mu_n)$ and, by the definition of the *-root,

$$\sqrt[3]{\Delta(\mu_n)^2 - 4} = \delta(\mu_n).$$

By [11, Lemma 4.4] and the asymptotics of [11, Lemma 5.3]

$$i\partial\delta(\mu_n) = (-1)^n (e_n^+ - e_n^-) + \ell_n^2$$

where these estimates hold uniformly for $0 \leq x \leq 1$. In addition, by [11, Theorem 2.4, Lemma 5.3], $\delta(\mu_n) = \ell_n^2$ and $\dot{\delta}(\mu_n) = \ell_n^2$. It then follows from [11, Lemma 7.6] that $\dot{\delta}(\mu_n)\partial\mu_n = \ell_n^2$. Moreover, by Lemma 6.3, $Z_n(\mu_n) = -1 + O(\gamma_n)$ and by [11, Lemma C.4], $\zeta_n(\mu_n) = -2(-1)^n + \ell_n^2$ and thus $2\phi_n = (-1)^n + \ell_n^2$ and with Cauchy's estimate $\partial\phi_n = O(1)$, leading to $\delta(\mu_n)\partial\phi_n = \ell_n^2$. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} 2\phi_n \partial(\delta(\mu_n)) &= 2\phi_n \partial\delta(\mu_n) + 2\phi_n \dot{\delta}(\mu_n) \partial\mu_n \\ &= ((-1)^n + \ell_n^2) \partial\delta(\mu_n) + \ell_n^2 = -i(e_n^+ - e_n^-) + \ell_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$2\varepsilon_n \partial r_n = -i\partial(2\phi_n \delta(\mu_n)) = e_n^- - e_n^+ + \ell_n^2.$$

Finally, by [11, Lemma 7.6] and by [11, Lemma 12.3] and its proof as well as Lemma 12.4 in [11]

$$2(\partial\tau_n - \partial\mu_n) = -(e_n^+ + e_n^-) + \ell_n^2.$$

Altogether we thus have proved that for finite gap potentials

$$\partial z_n^+ = 2(\partial\tau_n - \partial\mu_n) + 2\varepsilon_n \partial r_n = -2e_n^+ + \ell_n^2.$$

Analogously, one has

$$\partial z_n^- = 2(\partial\tau_n - \partial\mu_n) - 2\varepsilon_n \partial r_n = -2e_n^- + \ell_n^2.$$

□

Lemma 6.7. *At any finite gap potential in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$,*

$$\partial x_n = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_n^+ + e_n^-) + \ell_n^2, \quad \partial y_n = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(e_n^+ - e_n^-) + \ell_n^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 6.7. We follow the arguments in the proof of Theorem 17.2 in [11]. By the definition of x_n and y_n , for any $|n| > R$,

$$x_n = \frac{\xi_n}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(z_n^+ e^{i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)} + z_n^- e^{-i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)} \right) \quad (71)$$

$$y_n = \frac{\xi_n}{2\sqrt{2}i} \left(z_n^+ e^{i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)} - z_n^- e^{-i(\theta_n - \beta_n^n)} \right). \quad (72)$$

At a finite gap potential we have $z_n^\pm = 0$ for $|n|$ sufficiently large, $\xi_n = 1 + \ell_n^2$ by (59) and $\theta_n - \beta_n^n = O(\frac{1}{n})$ by Remark 5.1, using that $|\gamma_m| + |\mu_m - \tau_m| = 0$ for all but finitely many m . Furthermore by Lemma 4.2 and the asymptotics of λ_n^\pm and μ_n , the $(z_n^\pm)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ are locally bounded in ℓ^2 . By applying the product rule and Cauchy's estimate we thus obtain from the formulas (71) and (72) that

$$\partial x_n = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}}(\partial z_n^+ + \partial z_n^-) + \ell_n^2, \quad \partial y_n = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}i}(\partial z_n^+ - \partial z_n^-) + \ell_n^2.$$

From Lemma 6.6 we then get the claimed asymptotics. □

Now consider the Jacobian of Φ . At any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ it is the linear map given by

$$d_\varphi \Phi : iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2, \quad h \mapsto \left((\langle b_n^+, h \rangle_r)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}, (\langle b_n^-, h \rangle_r)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \right)$$

where

$$b_n^+ := \partial x_n, \quad b_n^- := \partial y_n$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$ denotes the bilinear form

$$L_c^2 \times L_c^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (h, g) \mapsto \int_0^1 (h_1 g_1 + h_2 g_2) dx.$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, introduce

$$d_n^+ := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_n^+ + e_n^-), \quad d_n^- := -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}i}(e_n^+ - e_n^-).$$

As $d_n^+, d_n^-, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, represent a Fourier basis of iL_r^2 , the linear map

$$F : iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2, \quad h \mapsto (\langle d_n^+, h \rangle_r, \langle d_n^-, h \rangle_r)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$$

is a linear isomorphism. To prove the same for the Jacobian $d_\varphi \Phi$ it therefore suffices to show that

$$B_\varphi := F^{-1} d_\varphi \Phi : iL_r^2 \rightarrow iL_r^2$$

is a linear isomorphism for any φ in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. Clearly, B_φ is continuous in φ by the analyticity of Φ and is given by

$$B_\varphi h = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle b_{-n}^+, h \rangle_r d_n^+ + \langle b_{-n}^-, h \rangle_r d_n^-.$$

Its adjoint A_φ with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_r$ is then a bounded linear operator on iL_r^2 which also depends continuously on φ and is given by

$$A_\varphi h = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle d_{-n}^+, h \rangle_r b_n^+ + \langle d_{-n}^-, h \rangle_r b_n^-. \quad (73)$$

Moreover, B_φ is a linear isomorphism if and only if A_φ is. We obtain the following

Lemma 6.8. *For any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$, the differential $d_\varphi \Phi$ is a linear isomorphism if and only if the operator A_φ is a linear isomorphism. The latter is a compact perturbation of the identity on iL_r^2 .*

Proof of Lemma 6.8. We follow the arguments of the proof of Lemma 17.3 in [11]. It remains to prove the compactness claim. At any finite gap potential in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ we have by Lemma 6.7

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|(A_\varphi - Id)d_n^\pm\|^2 = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \|b_n^\pm - d_n^\pm\|^2 < \infty.$$

Thus $A_\varphi - Id$ is Hilbert-Schmidt and therefore compact. Since A_φ depends continuously on φ and finite gap potentials are dense in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ we see that $A_\varphi - Id$ is compact for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. \square

We summarize the main results of this Section as follows.

Theorem 6.1. *The map $\Phi : U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$, $\varphi \mapsto (x_n(\varphi), y_n(\varphi))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, is real analytic and for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$,*

$$\{x_m, x_n\} = 0, \quad \{x_m, y_n\} = -\delta_{mn}, \quad \{y_m, y_n\} = 0.$$

Furthermore, for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ we have that $d_\varphi \Phi : iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ is a linear isomorphism.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. In view of Proposition 6.2 and Proposition 6.3 it remains to prove that for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ the differential $d_\varphi \Phi : iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ is a linear isomorphism. By Lemma 6.8 it suffices to show that $A_\varphi : iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ is such a map. This follows from Proposition 6.3, Lemma 6.8, and Lemma F.7 in [11]. \square

7 Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this Section we prove Theorem 1.1, stated in the Introduction. Recall that the map

$$\Phi : U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2 \tag{74}$$

constructed in Theorem 6.1 is a canonical local diffeomorphism. The map Ψ of Theorem 1.1 will be obtained from Φ by a slight adjustment to ensure that Ψ is one-to-one. We begin with some preliminary considerations. Let us first study isospectral sets of the ZS operator with potentials in iL_r^2 . By Proposition 2.2 in [24], for any $\psi \in iL_r^2$, the isospectral set $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ is compact. Furthermore, recall that any connected component in a topological space is closed. If the space is locally path connected, then its connected components are also open (see e.g. [32]). We have

Lemma 7.1. *For any $\psi \in iL_r^2$ with simple periodic spectrum the isospectral set $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ is locally path connected. In particular, the connected components of $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ are open and closed. Since $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ is compact we conclude that $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ has finitely many connected components.*

Proof of Lemma 7.1. It is enough to proof that $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ is locally path connected. First note that we can assume without loss of generality that $\psi \in \text{Iso}_o(\psi^{(1)})$ where $\psi^{(1)} \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ is the potential with simple periodic spectrum used in the construction of the map (74) in Section 6. Since Φ is a local diffeomorphism we can find an open neighborhood U_ψ of ψ in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and an open neighborhood V_{p^0} of $p^0 := \Phi(\psi)$ in $i\ell_r^2$ such that

$$\Phi : U_\psi \rightarrow V_{p^0}$$

is a diffeomorphism. Here $p^0 = (p_n^0)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ with $p_n^0 = i(u_n^0, v_n^0)$ and the neighborhood V_{p^0} of p^0 in $i\ell_r^2$ is chosen of the form

$$V_{p^0} = B_{|n| \leq R'}^{\delta_0', \delta_0''}(p^0) \times B_{|n| > R'}^{\epsilon_0}(0) \tag{75}$$

where $R', \delta'_0, \delta''_0, \epsilon_0 > 0$ are appropriately chosen parameters,

$$B_{|n| \leq R'}^{\delta'_0, \delta''_0}(p^0) := \bigtimes_{|n| \leq R'} \left\{ p_n = i(u_n, v_n) \in i\mathbb{R}^2 \mid \left| |p_n^0| - |p_n| \right| < \delta'_0, |\theta_n - \theta_n^0| < \delta''_0 \right\}, \quad (76)$$

$$B_{|n| > R'}^{\epsilon_0}(0) := \left\{ p_n = i(u_n, v_n)_{|n| > R'} \in i\ell_r^2 \mid \left(\sum_{|n| > R'} |p_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \epsilon_0 \right\}, \quad (77)$$

and $|p_n| = \sqrt{u_n^2 + v_n^2}$ and θ_n are the polar coordinates of the point (u_n, v_n) in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 . By construction, for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and $p = \Phi(\varphi)$ we have that $iu_n = x_n(\varphi)$ and $iv_n = y_n(\varphi)$ and hence

$$\frac{1}{2} |p_n|^2 = -I_n(\varphi) = -\frac{1}{4} (\xi_n \gamma_n)^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_n = \theta_n(\varphi). \quad (78)$$

Since ψ has simple periodic spectrum we have that $|p_n| > 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will assume that $0 < \delta'_0 < |p_n^0|$ for $|n| \leq R'$ and $0 < \delta''_0 < \pi$.

Remark 7.1. Here we used that for any $p^0 \in i\ell_r^2$ and for any open neighborhood W_{p^0} of p^0 in $i\ell_r^2$ there exists a open neighborhood V_{p^0} of p^0 , $V_{p^0} \subseteq W_{p^0}$ of the form (75). An important property of V_{p^0} is that its “tail” component $B_{|n| > R'}^{\epsilon_0}(0)$ is a ball in $i\ell_r^2$, centered at zero. We will call such a neighborhood a tail neighborhood of p^0 in $i\ell_r^2$.

Note that the action variables I_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, in U_{iso} constructed in Section 5 are constant on isospectral potentials. This follows from the fact that the contours Γ_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, used in the definition of the actions on U_{iso} are fixed. Hence

$$\Phi(\text{Iso}(\psi) \cap U_\psi) \subseteq \text{Tor}(p^0) \cap V_{p^0}$$

where for $q^0 \in i\ell_r^2$ we set

$$\text{Tor}(q^0) := \left\{ (i(u_n, v_n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in i\ell_r^2 \mid u_n^2 + v_n^2 = |q_n^0|^2, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}. \quad (79)$$

By a slight abuse of terminology we refer to $\text{Tor}(q^0)$ as a torus of dimension $\#\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |q_n^0| > 0\}$. Note that $\text{Tor}(p^0)$ is a compact set in $i\ell_r^2$ that is an infinite product of circles whereas the set $\text{Tor}(p^0) \cap V_{p^0}$ is the product of $2R' + 1$ arcs

$$\bigtimes_{|n| \leq R'} \left\{ i(u_n, v_n) \in i\mathbb{R}^2 \mid |p_n| = |p_n^0|, |\theta_n - \theta_n^0| < \delta''_0 \right\} \quad (80)$$

times the infinite product of circles

$$\left\{ i(u_n, v_n)_{|n| > R'} \in i\ell_r^2 \mid |p_n| = |p_n^0|, |n| > R' \right\}. \quad (81)$$

It follows from Lemma 8.3 in [11] and the fact that the actions are defined only in terms of the discriminant that $\{I_n, \Delta(\lambda)\} = 0$ on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. This implies that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Hamiltonian vector field X_{I_n}

corresponding to the action variables I_n in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ is *isospectral*, i.e. for any $\varphi \in U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ the integral trajectory of X_{I_n} in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ with initial data at φ lies in $\text{Iso}(\varphi)$. In view of the commutation relations

$$\{\theta_n, I_m\} = \delta_{nm}, \quad \{I_n, I_m\} = 0, \quad n, m \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (82)$$

the fact that $\Phi : U_\psi \rightarrow V_{p^0}$ is a canonical diffeomorphism and (78), one easily sees from the closedness of $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ that the set $\text{Iso}(\psi) \cap U_\psi$ is diffeomorphic (via Φ) to the product of the sets (80) and (81). Since this set is locally path connected so is $\text{Iso}(\psi)$. \square

Given a non-empty subset A of iL_r^2 and $\delta > 0$ denote by $B_\delta(A)$ the open δ -tubular neighborhood of A ,

$$B_\delta(A) := \bigcup_{\varphi \in A} B_\delta(\varphi),$$

where $B_\delta(\varphi)$ denotes the open ball of radius δ in iL_r^2 centered at φ . In view of Lemma 7.1, the isospectral set $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ of any potential ψ with simple periodic spectrum consists of finitely many connected components. This implies that there exists $\delta_0 \equiv \delta_0(\psi) > 0$ such that for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ the δ -tubular neighborhoods of the different connected components of $\text{Iso}(\psi)$ do not intersect. We have the following *Lyapunov type* stability property of $\text{Iso}(\psi)$.

Lemma 7.2. *Assume that $\psi \in iL_r^2$ has simple periodic spectrum. Then, for any $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ there exists $0 < \delta_1 \leq \delta$ such that for any $\varphi \in B_{\delta_1}(\text{Iso}_o(\psi))$, one has $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi) \subseteq B_\delta(\text{Iso}(\psi))$. Since $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$ is connected and $0 < \delta \leq \delta_0$ we conclude from the choice of $\delta_0 > 0$ that $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi) \subseteq B_\delta(\text{Iso}_o(\psi))$.*

Proof of Lemma 7.2. Take $\psi \in iL_r^2$ with simple periodic spectrum and choose $0 < \delta < \delta_0$. We will prove the statement by contradiction. Assume that the statement of Lemma 7.2 does not hold. Then, there exist two sequence $(\psi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ and $(\tilde{\psi}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ in iL_r^2 such that

$$\tilde{\psi}_k \in \text{Iso}_o(\psi_k) \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\psi}_k \notin B_\delta(\text{Iso}(\psi)), \quad (83)$$

and a sequence $(\psi_k^*)_{k \geq 1}$ in $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ such that $\|\psi_k^* - \psi_k\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. By using the compactness of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ and then passing to subsequences if necessary we obtain that there exists $\psi^* \in \text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ such that

$$\psi_k \rightarrow \psi^* \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (84)$$

Since by Proposition 2.3 in [24] the L^2 -norm is a spectral invariant of the ZS operator for potentials in iL_r^2 , we conclude from (83) that for any $k \geq 1$, $\|\tilde{\psi}_k\| = \|\psi_k\|$. This together with (84) then implies that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_k\| \rightarrow \|\psi^*\| \quad \text{as} \quad k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (85)$$

Hence, the sequence $(\tilde{\psi}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ is bounded in iL_r^2 . This implies that there exists $\tilde{\psi} \in iL_r^2$ such that $(\tilde{\psi}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $\tilde{\psi}$ in iL_r^2 . Proposition 1.2 in [11] then implies that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\Delta(\lambda, \tilde{\psi}_k) \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda, \tilde{\psi}) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

By (84), for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\Delta(\lambda, \psi_k) \rightarrow \Delta(\lambda, \psi^*) \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

On the other side, by (83), we conclude that for any $k \geq 1$ and for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$\Delta(\lambda, \tilde{\psi}_k) = \Delta(\lambda, \psi_k).$$

The three displayed formulas above then imply that $\Delta(\lambda, \tilde{\psi}) = \Delta(\lambda, \psi^*)$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence,

$$\tilde{\psi} \in \text{Iso}(\psi^*) = \text{Iso}(\psi). \quad (86)$$

By Proposition 2.3 in [24] we obtain $\|\tilde{\psi}\| = \|\psi^*\|$ which, in view of (85), implies that

$$\|\tilde{\psi}_k\| \rightarrow \|\tilde{\psi}\| \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $(\tilde{\psi}_k)_{k \geq 1}$ converges weakly to $\tilde{\psi}$ in iL_r^2 , we conclude that

$$\tilde{\psi}_k \rightarrow \tilde{\psi} \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

The second relation in (83) then implies that $\tilde{\psi} \notin B_\delta(\text{Iso}(\psi))$ which contradicts (86). \square

Remark 7.2. Assume that $\psi \in iL_r^2$ has simple periodic spectrum. It follows from the proof of Lemma 7.1 that there exist an open neighborhood U_ψ of ψ in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and a tail neighborhood V_{p^0} of $p^0 := \Phi(\psi)$ in $i\ell_r^2$ with parameters $R' > 0$, $0 < \delta'_0 < |p_n^0|$ for $|n| \leq R'$, $0 < \delta''_0 < \pi$, and $\epsilon_0 > 0$ (see (75)) such that $\Phi : U_\psi \rightarrow V_{p^0}$ is a diffeomorphism and for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ we have that $\Phi : U_\psi \rightarrow V_{p^0}$ maps the set $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi) \cap U_\psi$ bijectively onto the set $\text{Tor}(\Phi(\varphi)) \cap V_{p^0}$ where $\text{Tor}(\Phi(\varphi))$ is given by (79) with $q^0 = \Phi(\varphi) \in i\ell_r^2$.

Now, let $\psi := \psi^{(1)}$ and $p^0 := \Phi(\psi)$. In view of the compactness of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ and Remark 7.2 we can construct a finite set of open neighborhoods $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{\psi}_j}$ of $\tilde{\psi}_j \in \text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$, $1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N}$, such that for any $1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N}$, $\Phi : \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\psi}_j} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\tilde{p}^j}$ is a diffeomorphism onto a tail neighborhood $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{p}^j}$ of $\tilde{p}^j = \Phi(\tilde{\psi}_j) \in \text{Tor}(p^0)$ in $i\ell_r^2$. In what follows we set

$$U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2 = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\tilde{N}} \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\psi}_j}. \quad (87)$$

Then, by Lemma 7.2, we can choose $0 < \delta < \delta_0$ such that $B_\delta(\text{Iso}_o(\psi)) \subseteq U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi) \subseteq U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ for any $\varphi \in B_\delta(\text{Iso}_o(\psi))$. By Remark 7.2

there exist a neighborhood U_ψ of ψ in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and a neighborhood V_{p^0} of $p^0 := \Phi(\psi)$ in $i\ell_r^2$ such that $\Phi : U_\psi \rightarrow V_{p^0}$ is a diffeomorphism, V_{p^0} is a tail neighborhood

$$V_{p^0} = B_{|n| \leq R'}^{\delta'_0, \delta''_0}(p^0) \times B_{|n| > R'}^{\epsilon_0}(0) \quad (88)$$

with parameters $R' > 0$, $0 < \delta'_0 < |p_n^0|$ for any $|n| \leq R'$, $0 < \delta''_0 < \pi$, and $\epsilon_0 > 0$, and $B_{|n| \leq R'}^{\delta'_0, \delta''_0}(p^0)$ and $B_{|n| > R'}^{\epsilon_0}(0)$ are given by (76) and (77). By taking the parameters δ'_0 , δ''_0 , $\epsilon_0 > 0$ smaller and $R' > 0$ larger if necessary we can ensure that $U_\psi \subseteq B_\delta(\text{Iso}_o(\psi))$ and hence for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ we have that

$$\text{Iso}_o(\varphi) \subseteq U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2. \quad (89)$$

In view of the compactness of the isospectral component $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in iL_r^2$ and the fact that the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field X_{I_n} corresponding to the action variable I_n , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is *isospectral* (see the proof of Lemma 7.1), we conclude from (89) that the integral trajectory of X_{I_n} with initial data $\varphi \in U_\psi$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, it follows from the definition (2) of the Poisson bracket on iL_r^2 that $X_{I_n} : U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2 \rightarrow iL_r^2$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is an analytic vector field on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. By (82) the vector fields X_{I_n} and X_{I_m} commute for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Further, consider the direct product of $2R' + 1$ open intervals

$$J_{|n| \leq R'} := \bigtimes_{|n| \leq R'} \left\{ p_n = i(u_n, v_n) \in i\mathbb{R}^2 \mid ||p_n^0| - |p_n|| < \delta'_0, \theta_n = \theta_n^0 \right\} \quad (90)$$

and denote by $\mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq U_\psi$ the preimage of

$$T_{p^0} := J_{|n| \leq R'} \times B_{|n| > R'}^{\epsilon_0}(0) \subseteq V_{p^0} \quad (91)$$

under the diffeomorphism $\Phi : U_\psi \rightarrow V_{p^0}$. Since $\Phi : U_{\text{iso}} \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ is canonical we have that (see (82))

$$\Phi_*(X_{I_n}) = \partial_{\theta_n}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (92)$$

In particular, the vector fields X_{I_n} , $|n| \leq R'$, are *transversal* to the submanifold \mathcal{T}_ψ in U_ψ . Now, take an arbitrary $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ and consider the orbit $G(\varphi) := \{G^\tau(\varphi) \mid \tau \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}\}$ where

$$G^\tau(\varphi) := G_{X_{I_{(-R')}}}^{\tau_{(-R')}} \circ \cdots \circ G_{X_{I_{R'}}}^{\tau_{R'}}(\varphi), \quad \tau = (\tau_{(-R')}, \dots, \tau_{R'}) \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}, \quad (93)$$

and $G_{X_{I_n}}^{\tau_n}$ with $|n| \leq R'$ is the isospectral flow corresponding to the Hamiltonian vector field X_{I_n} . Since the flows of X_{I_n} , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are isospectral and commute we conclude from (89) that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi \subseteq U_\psi$ and for any $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$, $G^\tau(\varphi)$ in (93) is well defined and $G(\varphi) \subseteq \text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$.

Lemma 7.3. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ the orbit $G(\varphi)$ is a compact smooth submanifold of $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ of dimension $2R' + 1$. Moreover, for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ we have that $G(\varphi_1) \cap G(\varphi_2) = \emptyset$ if $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$.*

Proof of Lemma 7.3. To see that $G(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$, is compact let $(\varphi_k)_{k \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $G(\varphi)$. Since the flows X_{I_n} , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, are isospectral, we have that $G(\varphi) \subseteq \text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$. We then conclude from the compactness of $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$ that there exist $\varphi^* \in \text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$ and a subsequence of $(\varphi_k)_{k \geq 1}$, denoted again by $(\varphi_k)_{k \geq 1}$, such that

$$\varphi_k \rightarrow \varphi^*, \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty. \quad (94)$$

In view of (87) and (89), $\varphi^* \in \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\psi}_j} \cap \text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$ where $\tilde{U}_{\tilde{\psi}_j}$ is one of the neighborhoods appearing in (87). Since $\Phi : \tilde{U}_{\tilde{\psi}_j} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_{\tilde{p}^j}$ is a diffeomorphism and since $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{p}^j}$ is a tail neighborhood, we conclude that $\Phi(\varphi^*) \in \tilde{V}_{\tilde{p}^j} \cap \text{Tor}(\Phi(\varphi))$ (see Remark 7.2). It follows from (94) that there exists $k_0 \geq 1$ so that $\Phi(\varphi_k) \in \tilde{V}_{\tilde{p}^j} \cap \text{Tor}(\Phi(\varphi))$ for any $k \geq k_0$. This implies that $\varphi^* = G^{\tau^*}(\varphi_{k_0})$ for some $\tau^* \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ where $\varphi_{k_0} \in G(\varphi)$. In particular, we see that $\varphi^* \in G(\varphi)$ and hence $G(\varphi)$ is compact. The coordinates $(\theta_{-R'}, \dots, \theta_{R'})$ on $\tilde{V}_{\tilde{p}^j} \cap \text{Tor}(\Phi(\varphi))$, $1 \leq j \leq \tilde{N}$, define a smooth (in fact, real analytic) submanifold structure on $G(\varphi)$ in $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. It remains to prove the last statement of the Lemma. Take $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ so that $\varphi_1 \neq \varphi_2$. Then, in view of the definition of \mathcal{T}_ψ , either there exists $|n_0| > R'$ such that

$$(x_{n_0}, y_{n_0})|_{\varphi_1} \neq (x_{n_0}, y_{n_0})|_{\varphi_2} \quad (95)$$

or there exists $|n_0| \leq R'$ such that

$$I_{n_0}(\varphi_1) \neq I_{n_0}(\varphi_2). \quad (96)$$

Since the vector fields X_{I_n} with $|n| \leq R'$ preserve the functions x_n, y_n with $|n| > R'$ and the functions I_n with $|n| \leq R'$ we conclude that for any $\tau, \mu \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ the relations (95) (or (96)) holds with φ_1 and φ_2 replaced respectively by $G^\tau(\varphi_1)$ and $G^\mu(\varphi_2)$. This implies that $G(\varphi_1) \cap G(\varphi_2) = \emptyset$. \square

Let us now consider the following set in iL_r^2 ,

$$\mathcal{W} := \bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi} G(\varphi). \quad (97)$$

Lemma 7.4. *\mathcal{W} is an open neighborhood of ψ in iL_r^2 that is invariant with respect to the flows of the Hamiltonian vector fields X_{I_n} , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof of Lemma 7.4. It follows from the definition (88) of the tail neighborhood V_{p^0} and the set $T_{p^0} \subseteq V_{p^0}$ defined in (91) that

$$U_\psi = \{G^\tau(\mathcal{T}_\psi) \mid |\tau_n| < \delta_0'', |n| \leq R'\}.$$

This implies that

$$\mathcal{W} = \bigsqcup_{\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi} G(\varphi) = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}} G^\tau(\mathcal{T}_\psi) = \bigcup_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}} G^\tau(U_\psi). \quad (98)$$

Since the sets $G^\tau(U_\psi)$, $\tau \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$, are open we conclude from (98) that \mathcal{W} is an open set in $i\ell_r^2$. The invariance of \mathcal{W} with respect to the flow of X_{I_n} , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, follows from the fact that the section \mathcal{T}_ψ in (97) is invariant with respect to the flows of X_{I_n} , $|n| > R'$, and since X_{I_n} and X_{I_k} commute for any $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

By restricting (93) to $\mathbb{R}^{2R'+1} \times \mathcal{W}$ we obtain a smooth action

$$G : \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1} \times \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}. \quad (99)$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ on \mathcal{W} . In view of (92) we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{W} & \xrightarrow{G^\tau} & \mathcal{W} \\ \downarrow \Phi & & \downarrow \Phi \\ W & \xrightarrow{\rho^\tau} & W \end{array} \quad (100)$$

where W is the tail neighborhood (cf. (88))

$$W := B_{|n| \leq R'}^{\delta_0', 2\pi}(p^0) \times B_{|n| > R'}^{\epsilon_0}(0) \subseteq i\ell_r^2 \quad (101)$$

where the parameter $\delta_0'' > 0$ is replaced by 2π and for any $\tau = (\tau_{(-R')}, \dots, \tau_R) \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ and any $|n| \leq R'$ the map $\rho^\tau : W \rightarrow W$ rotates the component $p_n = i(u_n, v_n) \in i\mathbb{R}^2$ of $p \in W$ by the angle $\theta_n = \tau_n$ for $|n| \leq R'$ while keeping the components of p for $|n| > R'$ unchanged. The commutative diagram (100) and the invariance of \mathcal{W} (cf. Lemma 7.4) then easily imply that $\Phi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow W$ is *onto*. Note also that by (101), the open set W in $i\ell_r^2$ is a direct product of $2R' + 1$ two dimensional annuli and an open ball in $i\ell_r^2$.

Corollary 7.1. *For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ the orbit $G(\varphi)$ is diffeomorphic to the $2R' + 1$ dimensional torus*

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1} / \text{Span}\langle e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}} \quad (102)$$

where $e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'} \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{2R'+1}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} . The vectors $e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'}$ are independent of the choice of $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$.²

Proof of Corollary 7.1. By restricting the action (99) to the orbit $G(\varphi)$ with $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ we obtain a smooth *transitive* action

$$G : \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1} \times G(\varphi) \rightarrow G(\varphi) \quad (103)$$

of $\mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ on the submanifold $G(\varphi)$. Since $G(\varphi)$ is compact (cf. Lemma 7.3) the stabilizer $\text{St}(\varphi)$ of (103) is of the form

$$\text{St}(\varphi) = \text{Span}\langle e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'} \rangle_{\mathbb{Z}}$$

²Note that we do *not* claim that $e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'}$ is a basis of $(2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{2R'+1}$ over \mathbb{Z} .

where the vectors $e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'} \in \mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ form a basis in $\mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ and $G(\varphi)$ is diffeomorphic to the factor group $\mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}/\text{St}(\varphi)$, which is a torus of dimension $2R' + 1$ (cf. [1, § 49]). It then follows from the commutative diagram (100) that

$$e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'} \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{2R'+1}. \quad (104)$$

Indeed, assume that $\tau \in \text{St}(\varphi)$. Then $G^\tau(\varphi) = \varphi$ and, by the commutative diagram (100), we obtain that $\rho^\tau(\Phi(\varphi)) = \Phi(\varphi)$. This implies that $\tau \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{2R'+1}$, and hence, completes the proof of the first statement of the Corollary. Let us now prove the second statement. Take $\varphi^* \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ and let $\tau^* \in \text{St}(\varphi^*)$. In view of the continuity of the action (99), there exists an open neighborhood U_1 of zero in $\mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$ and an open neighborhood U_2 of φ^* in U_ψ so that for any $(\tau, \varphi) \in U_1 \times U_2$ we have that $G^{\tau^* + \tau}(\varphi) \in U_\psi$. It then follows from the commutative diagram (100) and the fact that $\tau^* \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{2R'+1}$ that

$$\Phi(G^{\tau^* + \tau}(\varphi)) = \begin{cases} i|p_n|(\cos(\theta_n(\varphi) + \tau_n), \sin(\theta_n(\varphi) + \tau_n)), & |n| \leq R', \\ p_n, & |n| > R', \end{cases} \quad (105)$$

where $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \Phi(\varphi)$. Since $\Phi : U_\psi \rightarrow V_{p^0}$ is a diffeomorphism, we conclude from (105) that $G^{\tau^*}(\varphi) = \varphi$ for any $\varphi \in U_2$. In view of the connectedness of U_ψ we then obtain that $\tau^* \in \text{St}(\varphi)$ for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$. Since \mathcal{T}_ψ is connected this shows that the $2R' + 1$ linearly independent vectors in (104) can be chosen independently of $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$. \square

With these preparations done we are now ready to introduce the slight adjustment of Φ , mentioned at the beginning of the Section. By (97) and Lemma 7.3 the open neighborhood \mathcal{W} of ψ in iL_r^2 is foliated by orbits of the action (99) with \mathcal{T}_ψ as a global section. By Corollary 7.1 any orbit $G(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$, is diffeomorphic to the $2R' + 1$ dimensional torus (102). Denote by $(t_{(-R')}, \dots, t_{R'})$ the coordinates corresponding to the frame $(e_{(-R')}, \dots, e_{R'})$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2R'+1}$. For any given $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$ denote by $(\theta_{(-R')}, \dots, \theta_{R'})$ the *coordinates* on $G(\varphi)$ that are obtained by taking the pull-back of $(2\pi t_{(-R')}, \dots, 2\pi t_{R'})$ via the diffeomorphism $G(\varphi) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ given by the action (103). For any $|n| \leq R'$ denote the coordinates of e_n by τ_{nk} , $|k| \leq R'$,

$$e_n = (\tau_{n(-R')}, \dots, \tau_{nR'}) \in (2\pi\mathbb{Z})^{2R'+1}, \quad |n| \leq R'. \quad (106)$$

For $|n| \leq R'$ consider the (analytic) functions $I_n^* : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$I_n^* := \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{|k| \leq R'} \tau_{nk} I_k, \quad (107)$$

where I_k , $|k| \leq R'$, is the k -th action on U_{iso} . Then, it follows from (107) and the second statement of Corollary 7.1 that $X_{I_n^*} = \sum_{|k| \leq R'} \tau_{nk} X_{I_k}$, and by the definition of the action (99) (see also (93)) and the coordinates $(\theta_{(-R')}, \dots, \theta_{R'})$, we conclude from (106) that on any orbit $G(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$, we have that

$$\{\theta_n^*, I_k^*\} \equiv (d\theta_n^*)(X_{I_k^*}) = d(2\pi t_n)(e_k/2\pi) = \delta_{nk} \quad (108)$$

for any $|n| \leq R'$ and $|k| \leq R'$. This implies that on any orbit $G(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$,

$$d\theta_n^* = \sum_{|k| \leq R'} \tau_{nk}^* d\theta_k \quad (109)$$

where $\theta_k : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}/2\pi$, $|k| \leq R'$, is the k -th angle on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$ and $(\tau_{nk}^*)_{|n|, |k| \leq R'}$ are the elements of the *non-degenerate* $(2R'+1) \times (2R'+1)$ matrix $P^* := (P^{-1})^T$ where $P := (\tau_{nk})_{|n|, |k| \leq R'}$ and $(P^{-1})^T$ denotes the transpose of P^{-1} . Formula (109) shows that the coordinates $\theta_{(-R')}^*, \dots, \theta_{R'}^*$ taken modulo 2π are real analytic functions on \mathcal{W} . In this way, we have

Lemma 7.5. *The angles $(\theta_n^*)_{|n| \leq R'}$ taken modulo 2π , the actions $(I_n^*)_{|n| \leq R'}$, and $(x_n)_{|n| > R'}$, $(y_n)_{|n| > R'}$, are real analytic on \mathcal{W} and separate the points on \mathcal{W} . Moreover, $\{x_n, y_n\} = 1$, $|n| > R'$, $\{\theta_n^*, I_n^*\} = 1$, $|n| \leq R'$, whereas all other Poisson brackets vanish.*

Proof of Lemma 7.5. We already proved that the functions in Lemma 7.5 are real analytic and that their Poisson brackets satisfy the relations stated in the Lemma. Moreover, by the commutation relations (82), the functions $(I_n^*)_{|n| \leq R'}$, $(x_n)_{|n| > R'}$, and $(y_n)_{|n| > R'}$, are constant on any of the orbits $G(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$, and they form a coordinate system on the section \mathcal{T}_ψ in (97). Hence, these functions separate the orbits $G(\varphi)$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{T}_\psi$. Since the functions $(\theta_n^*)_{|n| \leq R'}$ taken modulo 2π separate the points on any of these orbits, we conclude the proof of the Lemma. \square

Lemma 7.5 allows us to define the modification $\Psi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ of the map $\Phi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ by setting

$$x_n := \sqrt{2I_n^*} \cos \theta_n^*, \quad y_n := \sqrt{2I_n^*} \sin \theta_n^*, \quad |n| \leq R', \quad (110)$$

while keeping the other components of Φ unchanged. By shrinking the open neighborhood \mathcal{W} if necessary we can ensure that $\Psi(\mathcal{W})$ is a tail neighborhood of the form (101) with $p^0 := \Psi(\psi)$ and the same value of the parameter $\epsilon_0 > 0$. For simplicity of notation, we will denote $\Psi(\mathcal{W})$ again by W and for any $|n| \leq R'$, write θ_n and I_n instead of θ_n^* and, respectively, I_n^* . We have

Proposition 7.1. *The map*

$$\Psi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow W \quad (111)$$

is a canonical real analytic diffeomorphism. Moreover, the open neighborhood \mathcal{W} is a saturated neighborhood of $\text{Iso}_o(\psi)$ and W is a tail neighborhood of $\Psi(\psi)$ in $i\ell_r^2$.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. The Proposition follows from Lemma 7.5. Indeed, it follows from Lemma 7.5 and (110) that the map (111) is analytic. Furthermore, it follows from (107), (109), (110), and the fact that $\Phi : \mathcal{W} \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ is a local diffeomorphism (cf. Theorem 6.1) onto its image, that (111) is a local diffeomorphism onto W . Since, by Lemma 7.5, the components of Ψ separate the points on \mathcal{W} ,

we then conclude that Ψ is a diffeomorphism. This map is canonical by the last statement in Lemma 7.5. The statement that \mathcal{W} is a saturated neighborhood of $\text{Iso}_0(\psi)$ follows from the invariance of the neighborhood \mathcal{W} with respect to the complete isospectral flows X_{I_n} , $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ (see Lemma 7.4), and the arguments in the proof of Lemma 7.1 that allow us, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}$, to identify $\text{Iso}_o(\varphi)$ with $\text{Tor}(\Psi(\varphi))$ (see (79)) in the tail neighborhood W . \square

Finally, we prove

Proposition 7.2. *For any integer $N \geq 1$ the restriction of the map (111) to $\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$ takes values in $i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ and $\Psi|_{\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N} : \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N \rightarrow W \cap i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is a real analytic diffeomorphism.*

Proof of Proposition 7.2. Assume that $N \geq 1$. Since the coordinate functions x_n and y_n are real analytic on \mathcal{W} so are their restrictions to $\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$. Recall that by Lemma 6.4 that $z_n^\pm = O(|\gamma_n| + |\mu_n - \tau_n|)$ for $|n| > R$, locally uniformly on U_{iso} . Taking into account the estimates for γ_n on H_c^N in [19, Corollary 1.1] and the ones for $\mu_n - \tau_n$ on H_c^N in [19, Theorem 1.1] and [19, Theorem 1.3] it follows that

$$\sum_{|n| > R} \langle n \rangle^{2N} |z_n^\pm|^2 < \infty \quad (112)$$

locally uniformly on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap H_c^N$. Furthermore, recall from Remark 5.1 that $\tilde{\beta}^n$, defined modulo 2π , is of order $O(1/n)$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ locally uniformly on $U_{\text{iso}} \setminus \mathcal{Z}_n$ and that $\sum_{|k| > R, k \neq n} \beta_k^n = O(1/n)$ as $|n| \rightarrow \infty$ locally uniformly on U_{iso} . By combining this with (112) we conclude from (69) that the real analytic map $\Psi|_{\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N} : \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is locally bounded in a complex neighborhood of $\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$. By [11, Theorem A.5] it then follows that $\Psi|_{\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N} : \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is real analytic. Furthermore, by the characterization of potentials $\varphi \in iL_r^2$ to be in iH_r^N , provided in [20, Theorem 1.2], it follows that $\Psi(\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N) = W \cap i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$, implying that $\Psi|_{\mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N} : \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N \rightarrow W \cap i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is bijective. To see that the latter map is a real analytic diffeomorphism it remains to show that for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$, $(d_\varphi \Psi)|_{iH_r^N} : iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is a linear isomorphism. Since $d_\varphi \Psi : L_r^2 \rightarrow i\ell_r^2$ is an isomorphism by Proposition 7.1, we conclude that $\text{Ker}(d_\varphi \Psi)|_{iH_r^N} = \{0\}$. Hence, we will complete the proof if we show that $(d_\varphi \Psi)|_{iH_r^N} : iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero. This will follow once we prove that $(d_\varphi \Phi)|_{iH_r^N} : iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero, where Φ is the map (74). In order to prove this, we show by analytic extension that the formulas for z_n^\pm in [18, Theorem 2.2], valid for potentials near zero, continue to hold on U_{iso} for any $|n| > R$. These formulas involve the quantities $\tau_n - \mu_n$, $\delta(\mu_n)$, $\delta_n(\mu_n)$, and η_n^\pm , which can be estimated using [19, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.3, and Theorem 1.4] and [11, Lemma 12.7]. In this way we show that for any $N \geq 1$,

$$\Phi - \mathcal{F} : \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^{N+1}, \quad (113)$$

is a real analytic map. Here $\mathcal{F} : H_c^N \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}_c^N$ is the Fourier transform $(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) \mapsto (-\widehat{\varphi}_1(n), -\widehat{\varphi}_2(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ (cf. the identification introduced in (70)). Hence, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{W} \cap iH_r^N$ we obtain that $d_\varphi \Phi - \mathcal{F} : iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^{N+1}$ is a bounded linear map. Since the Sobolev embedding $i\mathfrak{h}_r^{N+1} \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is compact, we then conclude that $d_\varphi \Phi - \mathcal{F} : iH_r^N \rightarrow i\mathfrak{h}_r^N$ is a compact operator. This completes the proof of the Proposition. \square

Now, we are ready to proof Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By setting $z_n := (x_n - iy_n)/\sqrt{2}$ and $w_n := -\overline{z_{(-n)}}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ Proposition 7.1 implies that the statements (NF1) and (NF2) (for $N = 0$) of Theorem 1.1 hold (cf. (70)). The statement (NF2) for $N \geq 1$ follows from Proposition 7.2. For proving (NF3) we follow the arguments of the proof of Theorem 20.3 in [11]. \square

8 Appendix: Auxiliary results

First we provide details of the proof that the sum of the integrals (40), introduced in the proof of Proposition 4.1, is analytic. We use the notation introduced there. Assume that for a given $\nu \in \Lambda_R^-(\psi)$, $\mu_\psi(\nu)$ is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $L(\psi)$ of multiplicity $m_D \geq 2$. Denote by ν_j , $1 \leq j \leq m_D$, the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\psi)$ such that $\mu_\psi(\nu_j) = \mu_\psi(\nu)$ and for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ denote by z_j , $1 \leq j \leq m_D$, the periodic eigenvalues of $L(\varphi)$ with $z_j \in D^\varepsilon(\nu_j)$.

Lemma 8.1. *The quantity*

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m_D} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{\varphi, \psi}^*[z_j, \mu_\varphi(z_j)]} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda \quad (114)$$

is analytic in U_ψ .

Proof of Lemma 8.1. In view of the representation (41), it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m_D} \int_{[Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \mu_\varphi(z_j)}]^*} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda \quad (115)$$

is analytic in U_ψ . In the case where $\mu_\psi(\nu) \notin \Lambda_R(\psi)$ it follows that for any $\varphi \in U_\psi$ the initial point of $[Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \mu_\varphi(z_j)}]^*$ does not depend on $1 \leq j \leq m_D$. One then concludes by the argument principle that

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m_D} \int_{[Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \mu_\varphi(z_j)}]^*} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \overline{D}^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu))} F(\mu, \varphi) \frac{\dot{\chi}_D(\mu, \varphi)}{\chi_D(\mu, \varphi)} d\mu$$

where χ_D is given by (9) and

$$F(\mu, \varphi) := \int_{Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu)}^\mu} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda$$

is analytic on the disk $D^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu))$ and continuous up to its boundary. The case when $\mu_\psi(\nu) \in \Lambda_R(\psi)$ can be treated in a similar way. We only remark that in this case, the initial points $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \varphi}^*$, $1 \leq j \leq m_D$, of the paths $[Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \mu_\varphi(z_j)}]^*$, $1 \leq j \leq m_D$, are *not* necessarily the same. If $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \varphi}^* = Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$ let $\mathcal{P}_{j, \varphi}^*$ be the constant path $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$ and if $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \varphi}^* \neq Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$, let $\mathcal{P}_{j, \varphi}^*$ be the counterclockwise oriented lift of the circle $\partial \overline{D}^\varepsilon(\mu_\psi(\nu))$ by $\pi_1|_{\mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R}} : \mathcal{C}_{\varphi, R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which connects $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu), \varphi}^*$ with $Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \varphi}^*$. We then write the path integral $\int_{[Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \mu_\varphi(z_j)}]^*} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda$ as a sum of two path integrals with paths $\mathcal{P}_{j, \varphi}^* \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \mu_\varphi(z_j)}]^*$ and $(\mathcal{P}_{j, \varphi}^*)^{-1}$. By the argument principle,

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m_D} \int_{\mathcal{P}_{j, \varphi}^* \cup [Q_{\mu_\psi(\nu_j), \mu_\varphi(z_j)}]^*} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda$$

is analytic on U_ψ . Since

$$\sum_{1 \leq j \leq m_D} \int_{(\mathcal{P}_{j, \varphi}^*)^{-1}} \frac{\zeta_n(\lambda, \varphi)}{\sqrt{\Delta^2(\lambda, \varphi) - 4}} d\lambda$$

is also analytic on U_ψ , it then follows that (114) analytic on U_ψ in this case. \square

The second result characterizes the spectral bands of $\text{Spec}_{\mathbb{R}} L(\varphi)$. It is used in the proof of Lemma 5.1 to show that the actions I_n , $|n| > R$, are non positive on $U_{\text{iso}} \cap iL_r^2$. For any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, and $z \in \mathbb{C}$, denote by $B_z^{\varepsilon, \delta}$ the following *box* in \mathbb{C} ,

$$B_z^{\varepsilon, \delta} := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid |\text{Re}(\lambda - z)| < \varepsilon, |\text{Im}(\lambda - z)| < \delta\}.$$

In the sequel we use results on the discriminant $\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)$ of $L(\varphi)$ reviewed in Section 2.

Lemma 8.2. *For any $\psi \in iL_r^2$, choose $R_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ as in Lemma 2.1. Then there exist an integer $\tilde{R} \geq R_p$, as well as $\varepsilon > 0$, $\delta > 0$, and a neighborhood W_ψ of ψ in iL_r^2 so that for any $|n| > \tilde{R}$ and $\varphi \in W_\psi$, $B_{\dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi)}^{\varepsilon, \delta} \subseteq D_n$ and $B_{\dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi)}^{\varepsilon, \delta} \cap \text{Spec}_{\mathbb{R}} L(\varphi)$ consists of the interval $(\dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi) - \varepsilon, \dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi) + \varepsilon) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and a smooth arc g_n connecting $\lambda_n^-(\varphi)$ with $\lambda_n^+(\varphi)$ within $B_{\dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi)}^{\varepsilon, \delta}$ so that $\Delta(\lambda)$ is real valued on g_n and satisfies $-2 < \Delta(\lambda) < 2$ for any $\lambda \in g_n \setminus \{\lambda_n^\pm(\varphi)\}$. In fact for any $\varphi \in W_\psi$, the arc g_n , also referred to as spectral band, is the graph $\{a_n(v) + iv : |v| \leq \text{Im}(\lambda_n^+(\varphi))\}$ of a smooth real valued function $a_n : [-\text{Im}(\lambda_n^+(\varphi)), \text{Im}(\lambda_n^+(\varphi))] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with the property that $a_n(0) = \dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi)$, $a_n(-t) = a_n(t)$ for any $0 \leq t \leq \text{Im}(\lambda_n^+(\varphi))$, and $a_n(\pm \text{Im}(\lambda_n^+(\varphi))) = \text{Re}(\lambda_n^+(\varphi))$.*

For the convenience of the reader we include the proof of Lemma 8.2 given in [13].

Proof of Lemma 8.2. First let us introduce some more notation. For any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ write $\lambda = u + iv$ with $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\Delta = \Delta_1 + i\Delta_2$ where for $\varphi \in L_c^2$ arbitrary, $\Delta_j(u, v) \equiv \Delta_j(u, v, \varphi)$, $j = 1, 2$, are given by

$$\Delta_1(u, v) := \operatorname{Re}(\Delta(u + iv, \varphi)), \quad \Delta_2(u, v) := \operatorname{Im}(\Delta(u + iv, \varphi)).$$

In a first step we want to study $\Lambda \cap D_n$ for $\varphi \in iL_r^2$ where

$$\Lambda = \{u + iv \mid u, v \in \mathbb{R}, \Delta_2(u, v) = 0\}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 (iii) for any $\varphi \in iL_r^2$, $\Delta_2(u, 0, \varphi) = 0$. Hence

$$F : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times iL_r^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad (u, v, \varphi) \mapsto \Delta_2(u, v, \varphi)/v$$

is well defined. As F and Δ_2 have the same zero set on $\mathbb{R} \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ we investigate $\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : F(u, v, \varphi) = 0\}$ further. We note that

$$F(u, v) \equiv F(u, v, \varphi) = \int_0^1 (\partial_v \Delta_2)(u, tv) dt \quad (116)$$

and hence $F(u, 0) = \partial_v \Delta_2(u, 0)$. Since $\Delta_2(u, 0)$ vanishes for any $u \in \mathbb{R}$, so does $\partial_u \Delta_2(u, 0)$. By the Cauchy-Riemann equation it then follows that for $u \in \mathbb{R}$, $\dot{\Delta}(u, 0) = 0$ if and only if $\partial_v \Delta_2(u, 0) = 0$. The real roots of $F(\cdot, 0) = \partial_v \Delta_2(\cdot, 0)$ are thus given by $\dot{\lambda}_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, with $\dot{\lambda}_n \in \mathbb{R}$. Since Δ is an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \times L_c^2$ one concludes that $\Delta_2(\cdot, \cdot, \cdot)$ is a real analytic function on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times iL_r^2$, and by formula (116), so is F .

For $\psi \in iL_r^2$ let $R_p \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and the neighborhood V_ψ of ψ be as in Lemma 2.1. For any $|k| > R_p$, let S_k be the map

$$S_k : B_{\ell^\infty} \times (-1, 1) \times (V_\psi \cap iL_r^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad ((\zeta_n)_{|n| > R_p}, v, \varphi) \mapsto F(\dot{\lambda}_k + \zeta_k, v, \varphi)$$

where $\dot{\lambda}_k = \dot{\lambda}_k(\varphi)$ is in D_k and B_{ℓ^∞} denotes the open unit ball in the space of real valued sequences

$$\ell^\infty = \{\zeta = (\zeta_n)_{|n| > R_p} \mid \|\zeta\|_\infty < \infty\}, \quad \|\zeta\|_\infty := \sup_{|n| > R_p} |\zeta_n|.$$

Note that S_k is the composition of the map $B_{\ell^\infty} \times (-1, 1) \times (V_\psi \cap iL_r^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times (-1, 1) \times (V_\psi \cap iL_r^2)$,

$$(\zeta, v, \varphi) \mapsto (\dot{\lambda}_k(\varphi) + \zeta_k, v, \varphi),$$

with F and hence real analytic. It follows from the asymptotics of Δ of [11, Lemma 4.3] and the asymptotics of $\dot{\lambda}_k$ stated in Lemma 2.1 that for any sequence $\zeta = (\zeta_n)_{|n| > R_p} \in B_{\ell^\infty}$, $(S_k)_{|k| > R_p}$ is also in ℓ^∞ . We claim that

$$S : B_{\ell^\infty} \times (-1, 1) \times (V_\psi \cap iL_r^2) \rightarrow \ell^\infty, \quad (\zeta, v, \varphi) \mapsto (S_k(\zeta, v, \varphi))_{|k| > R_p}$$

is smooth. To see it note that by the Cauchy-Riemann equation, $\Delta_2(u, v, \varphi)$ and its derivatives, when restricted to $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times iL_r^2$, can be estimated in terms

of $\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)$ and its derivatives. Hence the asymptotic estimates of $\Delta(\lambda, \varphi)$ (see [11, Theorem 2.2, Lemma 4.3]) together with Cauchy's estimate can be used to estimate the derivatives of S_k to conclude that the map $S = (S_k)_{|k| > R_p}$ is smooth.

We now would like to apply the implicit function theorem to S . First note that $S|_{\zeta=0, v=0, \psi} = 0$ as $\dot{\lambda}_k(\psi)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, are the roots of $\dot{\Delta}(\cdot, \psi)$. In addition, since $\dot{\lambda}_k(\psi)$, $|k| > R_p$, are real and simple roots of $\dot{\Delta}(\cdot, \psi)$, one has

$$\partial_{\zeta_k} S_k|_{\zeta=0, v=0, \psi} = \partial_u \partial_v \Delta_2|_{u=\dot{\lambda}_k(\psi), v=0, \psi}$$

whereas by the definition of S_k , $\partial_{\zeta_n} S_k$ vanishes identically for any $n \neq k$. By the asymptotics $\dot{\lambda}_k(\psi) = k\pi + \ell_k^2$ (cf. Lemma 2.1) and the one of Δ (cf [11, Lemma 4.3]) it follows from Cauchy's estimate that

$$\partial_u \partial_v \Delta_2|_{u=\dot{\lambda}_k(\psi), v=0, \psi} = \partial_u \partial_v \Delta(u + iv, 0)|_{u=\dot{\lambda}_k(\psi), v=0} + \ell_k^2.$$

On the other hand $\Delta(\lambda, 0) = 2 \cos \lambda$ and thus $\text{Im}(\Delta(u + iv, 0)) = -2 \sin u \sinh v$ implying that

$$\partial_u \partial_v \text{Im}(\Delta(u + iv, 0))|_{u=\dot{\lambda}_k(\psi), v=0} = 2(-1)^{k+1}(1 + \ell_k^2).$$

Altogether we then conclude that

$$\partial_{\zeta} S|_{\zeta=0, v=0, \psi} = 2 \text{diag} \left(((-1)^{k+1})_{|k| > R_p} + \ell_k^2 \right).$$

Thus there exists an integer $\tilde{R} \geq R_p$ so that for the restriction of S to $B_{\tilde{\ell}^\infty} \times (-1, 1) \times (V_\psi \cap iL_r^2)$,

$$\tilde{S} : \tilde{B}_{\tilde{\ell}^\infty} \times (-1, 1) \times (V_\psi \cap iL_r^2) \rightarrow \tilde{\ell}^\infty,$$

the differential $\partial_{\tilde{\zeta}} \tilde{S}|_{\tilde{\zeta}=0, v=0, \psi}$ is invertible. Here $B_{\tilde{\ell}^\infty}$ denotes the unit ball in

$$\tilde{\ell}^\infty = \{\tilde{\zeta} = (\zeta_n)_{|n| > \tilde{R}} \subseteq \mathbb{R} \mid \|\tilde{\zeta}\|_\infty < \infty\}.$$

By the implicit function theorem there exist $\delta > 0$, a neighborhood $W_\psi \subseteq V_\psi$ of ψ in L_C^2 , $0 < \varepsilon < 1$, and a smooth map

$$h : (-\delta, \delta) \times W_\psi \rightarrow B_{\tilde{\ell}^\infty}(\varepsilon), \quad (v, \varphi) \mapsto h(v, \varphi) = (h_n(v, \varphi))_{|n| > \tilde{R}}$$

so that $h(0, \psi) = 0$ and $\tilde{S}(h(v, \varphi), v, \varphi) = 0$ for any $(v, \varphi) \in (-\delta, \delta) \times W_\psi$. Here

$$B_{\tilde{\ell}^\infty}(\varepsilon) = \{\tilde{\zeta} \in \tilde{\ell}^\infty \mid \|\tilde{\zeta}\|_\infty < \varepsilon\}$$

and $(v, \varphi) \mapsto (h(v, \varphi), v, \varphi)$ parametrizes the zero level set of \tilde{S} in $B_{\tilde{\ell}^\infty}(\varepsilon) \times (-\delta, \delta) \times W_\psi$. In particular, for any $|n| > \tilde{R}$ and φ in W_ψ , the intersection of $\{F(u, v, \varphi) = 0\}$ with the box $B_{\dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi)}^{\delta, \varepsilon}$ is smoothly parametrized by

$$z_n(\cdot, \varphi) := (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow B_{\dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi)}^{\delta, \varepsilon}, \quad v \mapsto \dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi) + h_n(v, \varphi) + iv.$$

By choosing \tilde{R} larger and by shrinking W_ψ , if necessary, one can assure that $\lambda_n^\pm \equiv \lambda_n^\pm(\varphi)$ is in $B_{\dot{\lambda}_n(\varphi)}^{\delta, \varepsilon}$ for any $\varphi \in W_\psi$ and $|n| > \tilde{R}$. Since $\Delta(\lambda_n^\pm) = (-1)^n 2$ and $\Delta(\dot{\lambda}_n) \in \mathbb{R}$, the pair λ_n^+ , λ_n^- as well as $\dot{\lambda}_n$ are in the range of z_n . In fact, one has

$$z_n(0, \varphi) = \dot{\lambda}_n \text{ and } z_n(\pm \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n^+), \varphi) = \lambda_n^\pm, \quad \forall \varphi \in W_\psi.$$

Furthermore recall that $\chi_p(\dot{\lambda}_n, \varphi) \leq 0$ since $\dot{\lambda}_n \in \mathbb{R}$. As λ_n^\pm are the only roots of $\chi_p(\cdot, \varphi)$ in D_n , it follows that if $\dot{\lambda}_n \neq \lambda_n^\pm$, then $\chi_p(\dot{\lambda}_n, \varphi) < 0$ and, hence

$$\chi_p(z_n(v), \varphi) < 0 \quad \forall -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n^+) < v < \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n^+).$$

Altogether we thus have proved that for any $|n| > \tilde{R}$

$$g_n = \{z_n(v) \mid -\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n^+) \leq v \leq \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_n^+)\}$$

is a smooth arc on which Δ takes values in $[-2, 2]$. We also have all the properties listed in the statement of the Lemma. \square

References

- [1] Arnold, V., *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **60**, Springer, 1989
- [2] Belokolos, E., Bobenko, A., Matveev, V., Enolskii, V., *Algebro-geometric principles of superposition of finite-zone solutions of integrable nonlinear equations*, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk, **41**(1986), no. 2(248), 3–42
- [3] Bourgain, J., *Fourier transform restriction phenomena for certain lattice subsets and applications to nonlinear evolution equations. I. Schrödinger equations.*, Geom. Funct. Anal., **3**(1993), no. 2, 107–156
- [4] Dubrovin, B., Krichever, I., *The Schrödinger equation in a periodic field and Riemann surfaces* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **229**(1976), no. 1, 15–18
- [5] Dubrovin, B., Novikov, S., *A periodic problem for the Korteweg-de Vries and Sturm-Liouville equations. Their connection with algebraic geometry*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **219**(1974), 531–534
- [6] Duistermaat, J., *On global action-angle variables*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **33**(1980), 687–706
- [7] Eliasson, H., *Normal forms for Hamiltonian systems with Poisson commuting integrals – elliptic case*, Comment. Math. Helv., **65**(1990), no. 1, 4–35
- [8] Feldman, J., Knörrer, H., Trubowitz, E., *Riemann surfaces of infinite genus*, CRM Monograph Series, **20**, AMS, Providence, 2003

- [9] Flaschka, H., McLaughlin, D., *Canonically conjugate variables for the Korteweg-de Vries equation and the Toda lattice with periodic boundary conditions*, Progr. Theoret. Phys., **55**(1976), no. 2, 438–456
- [10] Gesztesy, F., Holden, H., *Soliton equations and their algebro-geometric solutions*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **79**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003
- [11] Grébert, B., Kappeler, T., *The defocusing NLS and its normal form*, EMS Series of Lectures in Mathematics, EMS, Zürich, 2014
- [12] Kappeler, T., Lohrmann, P., Topalov, P., *On normalized differentials on families of curves of infinite genus*, Spectral theory and geometric analysis, 109–140, Contemp. Math., **535**(2011), AMS, Providence
- [13] Kappeler, T., Lohrmann, P., Topalov, P., *On the spectrum of non-selfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat operators on \mathbb{R}* , Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., **233**(2014), 165–177
- [14] Kappeler, T., Lohrmann, P., Topalov, P., *Generic non-selfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat operators*, Math. Ann., **359**(2014), no. 1-2, 427–470
- [15] Kappeler, T., Lohrmann, P., Topalov, P., Zung, N., *Birkhoff coordinates for the focusing NLS equation*, Comm. Math. Phys., **285**(2009), no. 3, 1087–1107
- [16] Kappeler, T., Molnar, J., *On the wellposedness of the defocusing mKdV equation below L^2* , SIAM J. Math. Anal., **49**(2017), no. 3, 2191–2219
- [17] Kappeler, T., Pöschel, J., *KdV & KAM*, **45**, Ergeb. der Math. und ihrer Grenzgeb., Springer, 2003
- [18] T. Kappeler, B. Schaad, P. Topalov, *Semi-linearity of the non-linear Fourier transform of the defocusing NLS equation*, IMRN, **2016**, no. 23, 7212–7229
- [19] T. Kappeler, B. Schaad, P. Topalov, *Asymptotics of spectral quantities of Zakharov-Shabat operators*, J. Differential Equations, **265**(2018), no. 11, 5604–5653
- [20] Kappeler, T., Serier, F., Topalov, P., *On the characterization of the smoothness of skew-adjoint potentials in periodic Dirac operators*, J. Funct. Anal., **256**(2009), no. 7, 2069–2112
- [21] Kappeler, T., Topalov, P., *Global well-posedness of KdV in $H^{-1}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$* , Duke Journal of Mathematics, **135**(2006), no. 2, 327–360
- [22] Kappeler, T., Topalov, P., *On normalized differentials on hyperelliptic curves of infinite genus*, J. Differential Geom., **105**(2017), no. 2, 209–248

- [23] Kappeler, T., Topalov, P., *On the non-existence of local Birkhoff coordinates for the focusing NLS equation*, Fields Institute Communications, **83**(2019), 373–394
- [24] Kappeler, T., Topalov, P., *On an Arnold-Liouville type theorem for the focusing NLS equation*, LMS Lecture Note Series, **458**, 2019
- [25] Lax, P., *Periodic solutions of the KdV equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **28**(1975), 141–188
- [26] Li, Y., McLaughlin, D., *Morse and Melnikov functions for NLS PDEs*, Comm. Math. Phys., **162**(1994), no. 1, 175–214
- [27] Liouville, J., *Note sur l'intégration des équations différentielles de la Dynamique*, présenté au Bureau des Longitudes le 29 juin 1853, J. Math. Pures Appl., **20**(1855), 137–138
- [28] McKean, H., Trubowitz, E., *Hill's operator and hyperelliptic function theory in the presence of infinitely many branch points*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **29**(1976), no. 2, 143–226
- [29] McKean, H., Vaninsky, K., *Action-angle variables for the cubic Schrödinger equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., **50**(1997), no. 6, 489–562
- [30] Mineur, H., *Réduction des systèmes mécaniques à n degrés de liberté admettant n intégrales premières uniformes en involution aux systèmes à variables séparées*, J. Math. Pure Appl., IX, Sér., **15**(1936), 385–389
- [31] Moser, J., Zehnder, E., *Notes on dynamical systems*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **12**, AMS, 2005
- [32] Munkres, J., *Topology* (Second Edition), Pearson, 2000
- [33] Novikov, S., Manakov, S., Pitaevski, L., Zakharov, V., *Theory of solitons. The inverse scattering method*, Contemporary Soviet Mathematics, Consultants Bureau [Plenum], 1984
- [34] Veselov, A., Novikov, S., *Poisson brackets and complex tori*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov., **165**(1984), 49–61
- [35] Vey, J., *Sur certains systèmes dynamiques séparables*, Amer. J. Math., **100**(1978), no. 3, 591–614
- [36] Zakharov, V., Shabat, A., *A scheme for integrating nonlinear equations of mathematical physics by the method of the inverse scattering problem I*, Functional Anal. Appl., **8**(1974), 226–235
- [37] Zung, N., *Convergence versus integrability in Birkhoff normal form*, Ann. of Math., **161**(2005), no. 1, 141–156
- [38] Zung, N., *A note on focus-focus singularities*, Differential Geom. Appl., **7**(1997), no. 2, 123–130