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Waiting times between two consecutive infection and recovery events in spreading processes are often assumed to be exponentially distributed, which results in Markovian (i.e., memoryless) continuous spreading dynamics. However, this is not taking into account memory (correlation) effects and discrete interactions that have been identified as relevant in social, transportation, and disease dynamics. We introduce a novel framework to model (non-)Markovian susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) stochastic processes that are evolving either in continuous or discrete time on networks. We apply our simulation framework to study hybrid SIR processes that describe infections as discrete-time Markovian and recovery events as continuous-time non-Markovian processes, which mimic the distribution of cell-cycle times. Our results suggest that the effective-spreading-rate description of epidemic processes fails to uniquely capture the behavior of such hybrid and also general non-Markovian disease dynamics. Providing a unifying description of general Markovian and non-Markovian disease outbreaks, we instead show that the mean transmissibility produces the same phase diagrams independent of the underlying inter-event-time distributions.

Introduction. Models of epidemic processes such as the susceptible-infected-recovered (SIR) model and related models provided various insights into dynamical and stationary features of disease, opinion, and failure spread in social and technical systems \cite{1, 2, 3}. In the SIR model, infected individuals may transmit a disease to susceptible ones. After a certain period, infected individuals recover and are not part of the disease-transmission process anymore. The exact time evolution of the continuous-time stochastic SIR spreading process is described by the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation or its differential form (i.e., the master equation). However, exact analytical solutions of the master equation are limited to special cases and therefore different approximations are being used (e.g., deterministic ODE models \cite{4, 5} and cavity-like models \cite{6, 7}). Gillespie and kinetic Monte-Carlo (kMC) approaches \cite{9} provide techniques to generate statistically exact trajectories of a master equation. The assumption underlying kMC methods is that waiting times between consecutive recovery and infection events are exponentially distributed. However, many natural processes including social dynamics \cite{10, 11} exhibit correlation and memory (i.e., non-Markovian) effects \cite{12} and are therefore not described by exponential (i.e., memoryless) waiting-time distributions \cite{13}. Recent attempts to simulate non-Markovian disease dynamics led to the development of the non-Markovian Gillespie algorithm (nMGA) \cite{14} and the Laplace Gillespie algorithm (LGA) \cite{15}. Both methods are based on a mapping of multiple stochastic processes with general (continuous) waiting-time distributions to a modified kMC algorithm. The nMGA is only exact for a sufficiently large number of processes and requires to re-calculate all individual rates at every time step. The LGA interprets survival functions of waiting-time distributions as Laplace transforms of underlying event-rate distributions. Although the LGA is exact for arbitrary numbers of processes, it is only applicable to certain waiting-time distributions \cite{16}.

In this Letter, we develop a novel framework to simulate non-Markovian SIR processes with general discrete and continuous waiting-time distributions. Our framework is based on a mapping of waiting times to a shortest-path problem in a weighted spreading network. We use our framework to study hybrid continuous-discrete SIR (henceforth hybrid SIR) processes. Discrete Markovian and non-Markovian infection processes account for latency intervals during which no infection events occur or the discrete nature of social interactions (e.g., meetings, bus rides, flights, etc.) and are considered to be more closely aligned with corresponding empirical data than their continuous counterparts \cite{17, 18}. Continuous-time infection processes neglect such latency periods that may arise from incubation periods (e.g., 8-14 days for measles \cite{19}) and finite transfer times of information in communication and transportation networks. Our results suggest that hybrid and also general non-Markovian disease outbreaks cannot be uniquely captured by effective spreading rates. However, by mapping such SIR processes to bond percolation \cite{20, 21}, we show that the corresponding mean transmissibilities produce the same phase diagrams independent of the underlying infection-and-recovery-time distributions; thus providing a unifying description of general Markovian and non-Markovian SIR processes. The framework we propose assumes no specific form of waiting-time distributions \cite{17, 22} and allows us to simulate and analytically describe general discrete, continuous, and hybrid variants of Markovian and non-Markovian SIR dynamics.

Shortest-path (SP) kMC. Before focusing on the simulation of hybrid SIR dynamics, we introduce the neces-
Figure 1. SIR dynamics on a spreading network. (a) Contact network as a projection of multiplex network of households, workplaces, communities, etc. and (non-)Markovian temporal interaction per edge \( \rho_{st} = f(\Psi(y), \Phi(x), \theta_s, \theta_t) \). (b) Initialization of edge weights according to Eq. (1) in a spreading network that consists of 4 nodes. Finite edge weights indicate that disease transmission can occur along the corresponding edge. (c) Node 1 is infected and transmits the disease to nodes 2 and 3 that are connected via paths of finite length (indicated by black arrows). The times at which nodes 2 and 3 get infected are \( \rho_{12} \) and \( \rho_{12} + \rho_{23} \). (d) After determining all possible infections in the spreading network, infected nodes are considered to be recovered in the long-time limit \( \tau \to \infty \).

The spreading network, infected nodes are considered to be recovered in the long-time limit. Let \( \phi(\tau) \) and \( \psi(\tau) \) be the probability density or probability mass functions (PDFs or PMFs) of recovery and infection times. In continuous time, the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of \( \phi(\tau) \) and \( \psi(\tau) \) by \( \Phi(\tau) \) and \( \Psi(\tau) \). The function \( \Phi(\tau) (\Psi(\tau)) \) is the probability that a recovery (infection) event occurred in the interval \( (\tau, \tau + d\tau) \).

In addition to edge weights, we also keep track of node weights \( \tau_i = \Phi^{-1}(x) \) to describe the evolution of SIR dynamics in a network. The network \( G_k(V,E) \) can be a projection of multiplex networks \([23]\) with workplace-, household-, and community layers.

After having identified all weights, we obtain one realization \( G_k(V,E) \) of the spreading network. In the next step, we take \( G_k(V,E) \) and infect one uniformly at random selected node (see Fig. 1 (c)). All nodes that are connected to the initially infected node through paths of finite length are also infected and eventually recover in the limit of \( \tau \to \infty \). The shortest-path length between an infected source and its target node is the corresponding disease transmission time, which can be viewed as a “least action principle” for kMC. If all paths that connect two nodes are infinite, we know that one or multiple recovered nodes hinder the disease transmission (see node 4 in Fig. 1). To describe SIR dynamics with \( N \) initially infected nodes, we use \( I_k^t \) to denote the set of infected nodes that result from an initial infection of node \( j \) in \( G_k(E,V) \). The corresponding set of all infected nodes is defined as \( I_k^t \).
The stationary fraction of recovered nodes in network by keeping track of the individual shortest-path lengths. (SI) for further details). Infection times can be monitored in our simulation framework to hybrid Poisson-geometric distributions. We can now use our simulation framework to study disease outbreak characteristics of such hybrid SIR processes. As for many epidemic processes, we characterize disease dynamics in terms of the effective spreading rate for fully Poissonian SIR processes, the effective spreading rate is \( \lambda_{PP} = \beta / \gamma \) and invariant upon rescaling of infection and recovery rates by a constant factor. That is, the corresponding fraction of recovered (see Fig. 2 (top)) only depends on the effective spreading rate \( \lambda_{PP} \) (see Fig. 2 (top)). By analogy, we now use \( \lambda_{PG} = 1 / (\gamma / \phi) \) to denote the effective spreading rate for Poisson-geometric dynamics with infection-time PDF \( \psi_{G2} \) and

\[
\langle r \rangle = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{k=1}^{M} r_k. \tag{3}
\]

For each network realization \( G_k(E, V) \), we have to identify the shortest paths, an operation of computational complexity of order \( O(|E| + |V| \log |V|) \). In the SI, we consider Poissonian dynamics (see Eq. (2)) and show that our SP-kMC simulations of stationary and dynamical SIR features agree well with corresponding kMC simulations. An advantage of our proposed shortest-path SIR simulation method is the possibility to simulate general Markovian and non-Markovian dynamics with continuous and discrete waiting time distributions.

**Hybrid continuous-discrete SIR dynamics.** To describe latency periods in infection processes (i.e., no infection occurs during a certain time window), we apply our simulation framework to hybrid Poisson-geometric SIR dynamics with discrete infection events that are distributed according to a geometric density function

\[
\psi_{G2}(\tau) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(\tau - k)(1 - q)^{k-1}q, \tag{4}
\]

where \( q \) is the probability that an infection event occurs within a time step of 1 and \( \delta(\cdot) \) is the Dirac delta function. In the SI, we also consider an alternative definition of the geometric distribution \( \psi_{G2} \) that takes on finite values for all non-negative integers and show that the master equation of hybrid Poisson-geometric SIR dynamics is no longer time-homogeneous. The geometric distribution is the discrete memoryless counterpart of exponential distributions. We can now use our simulation framework to study disease outbreak characteristics of such hybrid SIR processes. As for many epidemic processes, we characterize disease dynamics in terms of the effective spreading rate \( \lambda = \langle r \rangle / \langle r \rangle_{\phi} \), where \( \langle r \rangle_{\phi} = \langle r \rangle_{\psi} \) and \( \langle r \rangle_{\psi} \) are the mean times to infection and recovery, respectively. For fully a Poissonian SIR process, the effective spreading rate is \( \lambda_{PP} = \beta / \gamma \) and invariant upon rescaling of infection and recovery rates by a constant factor. That is, the corresponding fraction of recovered (see Eq. (3)) only depends on the effective spreading rate \( \lambda_{PP} \) (see Fig. 2 (top)). By analogy, we now use \( \lambda_{PG} = 1 / (\gamma / \phi) \) to denote the effective spreading rate for Poisson-geometric dynamics with infection-time PDF \( \psi_{G2} \) and

\[
\langle r \rangle_{\psi} = \sum_{\tau' = 1}^{\infty} \tau'(1 - q)^{\tau' - 1}q = q^{-1}. \tag{5}
\]

However, unlike in fully Poissonian SIR dynamics, we cannot uniquely capture the corresponding phase space by the effective spreading rate \( \lambda_{PG} \) (see Fig. 2 (bottom)). That is, we observe different fractions of recovered \( \langle r \rangle \) for the same value of \( \lambda_{PG} \). To better understand the phase space of hybrid SIR processes, we proceed with a mapping to bond percolation.

**Mapping hybrid SIR dynamics to bond percolation.** We now analytically characterize the hybrid SIR disease prevalence in terms of the mean transmissibility \( \bar{T} \) that describes the probability of an infection to be transmitted from an infected to an adjacent susceptible node \( 21 \):

\[
\bar{T} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \phi(\tau) \int_{0}^{\bar{\tau}} \psi(\tau') \, d\tau' \, d\tau. \tag{6}
\]

In networks with no degree correlations, the critical transmissibility above which an SIR epidemic spreads through a finite fraction of the system is given by the bond percolation threshold \( 1 \) \( 21 \):

\[
p_c = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( \langle k \rangle \) and \( \langle k^2 \rangle \) denote the first and second moment of the degree distribution \( P_k \). For a Poisson-geometric
Thus, for sufficiently small values of $q$ and $\gamma$ (i.e., long mean infection and recovery times), the mean transmissibility $\overline{T}_{\text{PG}}(\gamma, q)$ only depends on the effective spreading rate $\lambda_{\text{PG}}$. In the SI, we show that this is also the case for the alternative formulation of Poisson-geometric SIR dynamics with $\psi_{G_2}$. A graphical interpretation of this result is that the phase separation lines in Fig. 3 merge as $\langle \tau \rangle_\phi = \gamma^{-1}$ and $\langle \tau \rangle_\psi$ tend to infinity.

To determine the relative size of the epidemic $S(\overline{T})$ as function of the mean transmissibility $\overline{T}$, we use a generating function approach and assume an uncorrelated network [21] (see SI for correlated networks):

$$ S(\overline{T}) = 1 - G_0(u; \overline{T}), $$

where $u = G_1(u; \overline{T})$, $G_0(u; \overline{T}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k \left( 1 - \overline{T} + u \overline{T} \right)^k$, and

$$ G_1(u; \overline{T}) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k k(1 - \overline{T} + u \overline{T})^{k-1}}{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P_k k}. $$

(11)

The function $G_0(u; \overline{T})$ is the generating function of the distribution of occupied edges belonging to a certain node. The distribution of occupied edges leaving a node at which we arrived by following a randomly selected edge is generated by $G_1(u; \overline{T})$ and $u$ is the probability that a node at the end of an randomly selected edge is occupied. Note that the generating function formalism is useful for cases when the exact network is unknown but only its degree distribution. For details on limitations of the described bond-percolation mapping, see Refs. [8] [20] [27].

As in Fig. 2, we now consider a random-regular graph with degree $k = 5$. The degree distribution is $P_k = \delta_{k,5}$, where the Kronecker delta is $\delta_{kk'} = 1$ if $k = k'$ and zero otherwise. In Fig. 2, we show the analytical solution of Eqs. (10) and (11) for fully Poissonian ($\overline{T}_{PP}$) and Poisson-geometric ($\overline{T}_{PG}$) SIR dynamics. For a small number of initially infected nodes, the relative outbreak size $S(\overline{T})$ corresponds to the fraction of recovered nodes in Fig. 3. For $\gamma = 1$ and $\gamma = 0.1$, we obtain the critical effective spreading rates $\lambda_{PP} \approx 0.57$ and $\lambda_{PG} \approx 0.35$, respectively. These values agree well with the numerical data of Fig. 2 (bottom).

Note that $\overline{T}_{PG}(\gamma, q)$ cannot be parametrized in terms of an effective spreading rate $\lambda_{PG}$ (see Fig. 3) whereas for fully Poissonian dynamics the mean transmissibility $\overline{T}_{PP} = \lambda_{PP}/(1 + \lambda_{PP})$ only depends on the effective spreading rate $\lambda_{PP} = \beta/\gamma$ (see SI and Ref. [21]). However, if $\gamma$ is small, we find that

$$ \lim_{\gamma \to 0} \overline{T}_{PG}(\gamma, q) = \overline{T}_{PG}(\lambda_{PG}) = 1 - \lambda_{PG}^{-1}, $$

(9)

Thus, for sufficiently small values of $q$ and $\gamma$ (i.e., long mean infection and recovery times), the mean transmissibility $\overline{T}_{PG}(\gamma, q)$ only depends on the effective spreading rate $\lambda_{PG}$. In the SI, we show that this is also the case for the alternative formulation of Poisson-geometric SIR dynamics with $\psi_{G_2}$. A graphical interpretation of this result is that the phase separation lines in Fig. 3 merge as $\langle \tau \rangle_\phi = \gamma^{-1}$ and $\langle \tau \rangle_\psi$ tend to infinity.

To determine the relative size of the epidemic $S(\overline{T})$ as function of the mean transmissibility $\overline{T}$, we use a generating function approach and assume an uncorrelated network [21] (see SI for correlated networks):

$$ S(\overline{T}) = 1 - G_0(u; \overline{T}), $$

(10)
Figure 4. Outbreak sizes for non-Markovian SIR processes. In the top panels, we show the fraction of recovered nodes $\langle r \rangle$ (see Eq. [3]) as a function of the corresponding effective spreading rates. The bottom panel shows that different non-Markovian outbreak sizes collapse onto the same curve when plotted against $T$. Numerical simulations have been performed for $N = 10^5$ nodes and $M = 10^2$ realizations with 100 random initial infections.

Discussion and conclusion. We introduced numerical and analytical frameworks for the study of general (non-)Markovian SIR dynamics on networks. Furthermore, we proposed a novel hybrid SIR process that models infection and recovery as discrete-time Markovian and continuous-time non-Markovian processes, respectively. The discussed examples of hybrid SIR processes can account for cell-cycle distributions and latency intervals during which no infection events occur. We showed that the effective-spreading-rate description of Markovian SIR processes cannot uniquely capture the non-Markovian epidemic outbreaks anymore. However, our results suggest that the mean transmissibility provides a unifying description of (non-)Markovian SIR processes across a wide range of network structures (see SI for more details) and infection and recovery time distributions. This is of particular interest for disease control and hints at a (re-)definition of the epidemic threshold to appropriately account for disease dynamics and network structure [24]. Our work can contribute to more accurate and informative models of spreading processes on networks and meta-population spreading models [30, 31].
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