YET ANOTHER GENERALIZATION OF THE FUGLEDE-PUTNAM THEOREM TO UNBOUNDED OPERATORS

MOHAMMED HICHEM MORTAD

ABSTRACT. In this note, we give the most natural (perhaps the simplest ever) generalization of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem where all operators involved are unbounded.

1. INTRODUCTION

The original version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem reads:

Theorem 1.1. If $A \in B(H)$ and if M and N are normal (non necessarily bounded) operators, then

$$AN \subset MA \Longrightarrow AN^* \subset M^*A.$$

Fuglede [1] established Theorem 1.1 in the case N = M. Then Putnam [6] proved the theorem as it stands. Many would agree that the most elegant proof is the one due to Rosenblum in [7].

There have been many generalizations of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem since Fuglede's paper. However, most generalizations were devoted to relaxing the normality assumption. The generalizations to closed unbounded A in the theorem above are few. The first result in this sense is:

Theorem 1.2. If A is a closed operator and if N is an unbounded normal operator, then

$$AN \subset N^*A \Longrightarrow AN^* \subset NA$$

whenever $D(N) \subset D(A)$.

In fact, the previous result was established in [2] under the assumption of the selfadjointness of A (see [4] for a different proof). However, by scrutinizing its proof, it is seen that only the closedness of A was needed (the self-adjointness was added to be used in some subsequent results). Later in [3] the following generalization was obtained:

Theorem 1.3. Let A be a closed operator with domain D(A). Let M and N be two unbounded normal operators with domains D(N) and D(M) respectively. If $D(N) \subset D(AN) \subset D(A)$, then

$$AN \subset MA \Longrightarrow AN^* \subset M^*A.$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47B15. Secondary 47A08.

 $Key\ words$ and phrases. Normal operator. Closed operator. Fuglede-Putnam theorem. Hilbert space.

M. H. MORTAD

A similar result was obtained in [5], under the assumptions $D(N) \subset D(A)$ and $D(M) \subset D(A^*)$.

The purpose of this note is to give the simplest possible (and most probably minimal in terms of hypotheses) generalization of this powerful tool in Operator Theory. Apparently, this is the best possible generalization as Example 2.1 tells us.

In the end, readers of this paper should have knowledge of linear unbounded operators, as well as matrices of unbounded operators. Some useful references are [8] and [9] respectively.

2. A COUNTEREXAMPLE

In [3], we provided an explicit pair of a boundedly invertible and positive selfadjoint unbounded operator A and a normal unbounded operator N (both defined in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$) such that

$$AN^* = NA$$
 but $AN \not\subset N^*A$ and $N^*A \not\subset AN$

(in fact $ANf \neq N^*Af$ for all $f \neq 0$). In other words, NA is self-adjoint whilst N^*A is not.

Recall that A and N were then defined by

$$Af(x) = (1 + |x|)f(x)$$
 and $Nf(x) = -i(1 + |x|)f'(x)$

(with $i^2 = -1$) respectively on the domains

$$D(A) = \{ f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) : (1 + |x|)f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

and

$$D(N) = \{ f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) : (1 + |x|) f' \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \}$$

(where the derivative is a distributional one).

This example can further be beefed up in the following sense:

Example 2.1. There is a closed T and a normal M such that $TM \subset MT$ but $TM^* \not\subset M^*T$ and $M^*T \not\subset TM^*$. Consider

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} N^* & 0\\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0\\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where N is normal with domain D(N) and A is closed with domain D(A) and such that $AN^* = NA$ but $AN \not\subset N^*A$ and $N^*A \not\subset AN$ (as defined above). Clearly, M is normal and T is closed. Observe that $D(M) = D(N^*) \oplus D(N)$ and $D(T) = D(A) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Now,

$$TM = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N^* & 0 \\ 0 & N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{D(N^*)} & 0_{D(N)} \\ AN^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{D(N)} \\ AN^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where e.g. $0_{D(N)}$ is the zero operator restricted to D(N). Likewise

$$MT = \left(\begin{array}{cc} N^* & 0\\ 0 & N\end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0\\ A & 0\end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0\\ NA & 0\end{array}\right).$$

Since $D(TM) = D(AN^*) \oplus D(N) \subset D(NA) \oplus L^2(\mathbb{R}) = D(MT)$, it ensues that $TM \subset MT$. Now, it is seen that

$$TM^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & N^* \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{D(N^*)} \\ AN & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{2}$

and

$$M^*T = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & N^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ N^*A & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $ANf \neq N^*Af$ for any $f \neq 0$, we infer that $TM^* \not\subset M^*T$ and $M^*T \not\subset TM^*$.

3. New versions of the Fuglede-(Putnam) theorem

Theorem 3.1. If T is a closed operator with domain $D(T) \subset H$, and if N is a normal operator, then

$$TN \subset NT \Longrightarrow TN^* \subset N^*T$$

whenever $D(N) \subset D(T)$.

Proof. Let T be a closed operator and let N be a normal operator with $TN \subset NT$ and $D(N) \subset D(T)$. Set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & N^* \end{pmatrix}$$

and so $D(A) = D(T) \oplus H$ and $D(\widetilde{N}) = D(N) \oplus D(N^*)$. Clearly

$$A\widetilde{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{D(N^*)} \\ TN & 0 \end{pmatrix} \subset \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ NT & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \widetilde{N}^*A.$$

Since \widetilde{N} is normal and $D(\widetilde{N}) \subset D(A)$, Theorem 1.2 applies and implies that $A\widetilde{N}^* \subset \widetilde{N}A$, that is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0_{D(N)} \\ TN^* & 0 \end{array}\right) \subset \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ N^*T & 0 \end{array}\right).$$

In particular, for any $(f,0) \in D(A\widetilde{N}^*)$: $A\widetilde{N}^*f = \widetilde{N}Af$ (with some abuse of notation). Still in particular, we obtain

$$TN^*f = N^*Tf$$
 for any $f \in D(TN^*) \subset D(N^*T)$.

In other words, $TN^* \subset N^*T$, as needed.

Remark. The condition $D(N) \subset D(T)$ may not just be dropped as Example 2.1 shows. Moreover, the fact that we have taken T closed and $D(N) \subset D(T)$ is a natural condition for it is tacitly assumed when $T \in B(H)$.

It is almost surprising that the (most) generalized Fuglede-Putnam version only needs $D(N) \subset D(T)$ (i.e. without requiring $D(M) \subset D(T)$ or other conditions).

Theorem 3.2. Let T be a closed operator with domain D(T). Let M and N be two unbounded normal operators with domains D(N) and D(M) respectively. If $D(N) \subset D(T)$, then

$$TN \subset MT \Longrightarrow TN^* \subset M^*T$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.1, so some details will be omitted. Assume that M and N are two normal operators such that $D(N) \subset D(T)$ and $TN \subset MT$. Put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ T & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{N} = \begin{pmatrix} N & 0 \\ 0 & M \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then

$$A\widetilde{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{D(M)} \\ TN & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and $\widetilde{N}A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ MT & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Hence $A\widetilde{N} \subset \widetilde{N}A$. But $D(\widetilde{N}) = D(N) \oplus D(M) \subset D(T) \oplus H = D(A)$. Therefore, the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled and so $A\widetilde{N}^* \subset \widetilde{N}^*A$. Proceeding as in the end of the proof of Theorem 3.1 allows us to finally obtain $TN^* \subset M^*T$, as wished.

References

- B. Fuglede, A Commutativity Theorem for Normal Operators, Proc. Nati. Acad. Sci., 36 (1950) 35-40.
- [2] M. H. Mortad, An Application of the Putnam-Fuglede Theorem to Normal Products of Selfadjoint Operators, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 131/10, (2003) 3135-3141.
- [3] M. H. Mortad, An all-unbounded-operator version of the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, Complex Anal. Oper. Theory, 6/6 (2012) 1269-1273.
- [4] F C. Paliogiannis, A note on the Fuglede-Putnam theorem, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci., 123/2 (2013) 253-256.
- [5] F. C. Paliogiannis, A Generalization of the Fuglede-Putnam Theorem to Unbounded Operators, J. Oper., 2015, Art. ID 804353, 3 pp.
- [6] C. R. Putnam, On Normal Operators in Hilbert Space, Amer. J. Math., 73 (1951) 357-362.
- [7] M. Rosenblum, On a Theorem of Fuglede and Putnam, J. Lond. Math. Soc., 33, (1958) 376-377.
- [8] K. Schmüdgen. Unbounded Self-adjoint Operators on Hilbert Space, Springer. GTM 265 (2012).
- [9] Ch. Tretter. Spectral Theory of Block Operator Matrices and Applications. Imperial College Press, London, 2008.

Department of Mathematics, University of Oran 1, Ahmed Ben Bella, B.P. 1524, El Menouar, Oran 31000, Algeria.

Mailing address:

Pr Mohammed Hichem Mortad

BP 7085 Seddikia Oran

31013

Algeria

4

E-mail address: mhmortad@gmail.com, mortad.hichem@univ-oran1.dz.