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#### Abstract

The aim of this note is to show that given a positive integer $n \geq 5$, the positive integral solutions of the diophantine equation $4 / n=1 / x+1 / y+1 / z$ cannot have solution such that $x$ and $y$ are coprime with $x y<\sqrt{z / 2}$. The proof uses the continued fraction expansion of $4 / n$.


## 1. The result

Given a positive integer $n$ we are interested in the following diophantine equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{n}=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x, y, z$ are three positive integers to be found. The question of finding positive integer solutions $(x, y, z)$ for this equation was raised by P. Erdős and E. Straus (see e.g. [1]). This problem has attracted a lot of attention and despite many efforts it is still widely open. For an account of the main contributions on this subject we refer the reader to [2]. Usual reductions allow us to assume that $n$ is an odd prime number, thus one can assume that $n$ is an odd prime number $p$. We are looking for integral primitive solutions $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}$ of the diophantine problem, when $z$ is a fixed positive number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{4}{p}-\frac{x+y}{x y}\right|=\frac{1}{z} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our main result shows that a solution $(x, y, z)$ of (2) cannot have its largest coordinate, say $z$, too far away from the two other coordinates $x$ and $y$, $\operatorname{provided} \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$. This gives a certain piece of information regarding the localization of the lattice points which are solution of the problem. Let us denote by $f(p)$ the number of triples of solutions to (1) with $n=p$ or equivalent to (2). Recently Elsholtz and Tao gave in [2] precise bounds for averages of the form $\sum_{p \leq N} f(p)$, the study of the counting function $f(p)$ reduces to count solutions triples of two different types

- Type I solutions of (1) where $p$ divides $x$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(p, y z)=1$.
- Type II solutions of (1) where $p$ divides $y, z$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(p, x)=1$.

We denote by $f_{I}(p)$ (resp. $f_{I I}(p)$ ) the number of solutions of (1) of type I (resp. of type II). It was stressed in the same work that for any odd prime number one has the relation

$$
f(p)=3 f_{I}(p)+3 f_{I I}(p)
$$

We introduce a new type which we call the type III which are the solutions of (1) where $x y<\sqrt{z / 2}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$. Analogously we denote by $f_{I I I}(p)$ the number of solutions of (1) of type III. Our main result is that for any prime number greater than 3, we have $f_{I I I}(p)=0$, more precisely
Theorem 1.1. Given an arbitrary prime number $p \geq 5$, there are no triple of positive integers $(x, y, z)$ which is solution of (2) in the range $x y<\sqrt{z / 2}$ and with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$.

Proof of the Theorem. Suppose we fix an arbitrarily large integer $z_{0}>0$ in the range $x y<\sqrt{z / 2}$ and let us try to solve the following diophantine equation with $(x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{4}{p}-\frac{x+y}{x y}\right|=\frac{1}{z_{0}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular the equation in (3) gives rise to the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{4}{p}-\frac{x+y}{x y}\right|<\frac{1}{2(x y)^{2}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The conclusion of the theorem will follow from the fact that such $x$ and $y$ would never exist. Since we assume that $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$ then we have $\operatorname{gcd}(x+y, x y)=1$. We need the following classical result of the theory of continued fraction which can be found for instance in [3] (Theorem 19).
Lemma 1.2. Let $m, n$ be two positive integers and suppose $\operatorname{gcd}(r, s)=1$. If

$$
\left|\frac{m}{n}-\frac{r}{s}\right|<\frac{1}{2 s^{2}}
$$

Then $\frac{r}{s}$ is one of the convergent of $\frac{m}{n}$.
By Lemma 1.2 we infer from (4) that the rational number $\frac{x+y}{x y}$ must be one of the convergents of $4 / p$. If we write the continued fraction expansion of $4 / p=\left[0 ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{l}\right]$, we can say that there exists some $1 \leq k \leq l$ such that

$$
\frac{x+y}{x y}=c_{k}\left(\frac{4}{p}\right)=\left[0 ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right]=\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}} .
$$

Since $x, y$ play a symmetric role, we can assume that $x \leq y$. Our fractions are reduced thus we deduce that we might have $x+y=p_{k}$ and $x y=q_{k}$. The fact that such $x$ and $y$ might exist relies on the solvability in $\mathbb{N}$ of the following quadratic equation

$$
X^{2}-p_{k} X+q_{k}=0
$$

The discriminant $D_{k}=p_{k}^{2}-4 q_{k}$ cannot vanish otherwise $p_{k}$ and $q_{k}$ will fail to be coprime. If $D_{k}=p_{k}^{2}-4 q_{k}>0$, then a couple $(x, y)$ of rational solutions of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{4}{p}=\frac{1}{x}+\frac{1}{y}+\frac{1}{z_{0}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is given by

$$
x_{k}=\frac{p_{k}-\sqrt{D_{k}}}{2} \text { and } y_{k}=\frac{p_{k}+\sqrt{D_{k}}}{2}
$$

Necessarily $z_{0}=\frac{p}{4-p c_{k}}$. Indeed,

$$
\frac{4}{p}-\frac{1}{x_{k}}-\frac{1}{y_{k}}-\frac{1}{z_{0}}=\frac{4}{p}-c_{k}-\frac{4-p c_{k}}{p}=0
$$

Regarding $x_{k}$ and $y_{k}$, both they are in the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}\left[\sqrt{D_{k}}\right]$, so these are not necessarily integers. In order to obtain integral solutions we are forced to assume that $D_{k}$ has a square root which is an odd integer. In others words, $D_{k}=a^{2}$ where $a$ is an odd integer. In this case, we obtain that a triple of solutions $\left(x_{k}, y_{k}, z_{0}\right)$ which is given by

$$
x_{k}=\frac{p_{k}-a}{2} \quad y_{k}=\frac{p_{k}+a}{2}
$$

Note that necessarily

$$
z_{0}=\left|\frac{1}{4 / p-c_{k}}\right|=\frac{1}{r_{k}}
$$

where $r_{k}=\left|4 / p-c_{k}\right|$ is the $k$-th error term in the continued fraction approximation. It is well known (see e.g.) that

$$
r_{k}=\frac{1}{q_{k}\left(x_{k+1}+q_{k-1}\right)}
$$

where

$$
x_{k+1}=\left[a_{k+1} ; a_{k+2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right] .
$$

Hence, the only possible triples of solutions of (3) in the range given above with a fixed $z_{0}$ must take the following form

$$
x_{k}=\frac{p_{k}-a}{2} y_{k}=\frac{p_{k}+a}{2} \text { and } z_{0}=q_{k}\left(x_{k+1} q_{k}+q_{k-1}\right)
$$

where $\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}}$ is one of the convergents of the continued fraction expansion $4 / p=\left[a_{1} ; a_{2}, \ldots, a_{l}\right]$ and provided $p_{k}^{2}-4 q_{k}=a^{2}$ with $a$ being an odd integer. We will show that the latter condition can never be fullfilled.
To proceed we take advantage from the fact that the convergents of $4 / p$ can only assume specific values which are given in the following lemma,
Lemma 1.3. For any prime number $p \geq 5$ set

$$
a_{1}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}
\frac{p-1}{4} & \text { if } & p \equiv 1 & (\bmod 4) \\
\frac{p-3}{4} & \text { if } & p \equiv 3 & (\bmod 4) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

We have two cases,
(a) if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, then $\frac{4}{p}=\left[0 ; a_{1}, 4\right]$ and the convergents are $\left\{0, \frac{4}{p-1}, \frac{4}{p}\right\}$.
(b) If $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then $\frac{4}{p}=\left[0 ; a_{1}, 1,3\right]$ and the convergents are $\left\{0, \frac{4}{p-3}, \frac{4}{p+1}, \frac{4}{p}\right\}$.

Proof. The continued fraction of a rational number is entirely determine by the eucliden algorithm between 4 and $p$.
(a) Suppose $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, we perform to the division algorithm

$$
\begin{gathered}
4=p(0)+4 \\
p=4\left(a_{1}\right)+1 \\
4=1(4)+0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $a_{1}=\left\lfloor\frac{p}{4}\right\rfloor=\frac{p-1}{4}$, thus $\frac{4}{p}=\left[0 ; a_{1}, 4\right]$. The successive convergents are given by $c_{0}=0, c_{1}=\frac{1}{a_{1}}=\frac{4}{p-1}$ and $c_{2}=\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{1}{4}}=\frac{4}{p}$.
(b) Suppose $p \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, the division algorithm again shows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
4=p(0)+4 \\
p=4\left(a_{1}\right)+3 \\
4=3(1)+1 \\
3=1(3)+0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore $\frac{4}{p}=\left[0 ; a_{1}, 1,3\right]$. The corresponding convergents are $c_{0}=0, c_{1}=\frac{4}{p-3}$,
$c_{2}=\frac{1}{a_{1}+1}=\frac{1}{\frac{p-3}{4}+1}=\frac{4}{p+1}$ and $c_{3}=\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{1}{1+\frac{1}{3}}}=\frac{1}{a_{1}+\frac{3}{4}}=\frac{4}{p}$. This proves the Lemma.

We are ready to conclude. In both case, Lemma 1.3 shows that all the non-trivial convergents of $4 / p$ (i.e. other that 0 and $4 / p$ ) are egyptian fractions, in particular $p_{1}=1$ (case (a)) and $p_{1}=p_{2}=1$ (case (b)). It follows that the $X^{2}-p_{k} X+q_{k}=0$ is not solvable in $\mathbb{N}$ since $D_{k}=1-4 q_{k}<0$ in all the cases. Hence in the range $x y<\sqrt{z / 2}$ there are no solution of (2) with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1$. This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Concluding remarks

Let $p$ be a prime number, and let us introduce the subset

$$
E_{p}:=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}^{3} \mid 4 / p=1 / x+1 / y+1 / z\right\}
$$

Then $p$ is solution of (2) if and only if $E_{p} \neq \emptyset$. So the validity of the Erdos-Straus conjecture amounts to prove that $E_{p} \neq \emptyset$ for every prime $p$. The Theorem 1.1 tells us that for any prime $p \geq 5$ the set

$$
R_{p}:=E_{p} \cap\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{N}^{3} \mid \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1, x y<\sqrt{z / 2}\right\}
$$

is empty, in other words $f_{I I I}(p)=0$. Now suppose we are looking for solutions of (1) with $0<x, y, z \leq N$, so we have a total number of possibilities equal to $(N-1)^{3}$ from which we have to remove the elements of $\left\{(x, y, z) \in[1, N]^{3} \mid, \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1, x y<\sqrt{z / 2}\right\}$. Let us denote by $A_{N}$ this subset and put $a_{N}=\left|A_{N}\right|$. An estimate of $a_{N}$ can be obtained. Indeed by slicing we get,

$$
a_{N}=\sum_{1 \leq z \leq N}|\{1 \leq x, y \leq\lfloor\sqrt{z / 2}\rfloor \mid, \operatorname{gcd}(x, y)=1, x y<\sqrt{z / 2}\}| .
$$

The inner sum counts the number of primitive lattice points under the hyperbola of equation $y=\sqrt{z / 2} x^{-1}$. Thus we can write

$$
a_{N}=\sum_{1 \leq z \leq N} \sum_{x \leq\lfloor\sqrt{z / 2}\rfloor} \sum_{x y \leq\lfloor\sqrt{z / 2}\rfloor} \varphi(y) .
$$

We need the following estimate which can be found in [5] (Thm 3.4) as $X \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\sum_{n \leq X} \varphi(n)=\frac{6}{\pi^{2}} X^{2}+O(X \ln X)
$$

This asymptotics leads us to

$$
a_{N}=\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} \sum_{1 \leq z \leq N} \sum_{x \leq\lfloor\sqrt{z / 2}\rfloor} \frac{z}{x^{2}}+O\left(\frac{\sqrt{z}}{2 x}\left(\ln \frac{z^{1 / 2}}{x}\right)\right) .
$$

Using crude bounds we get

$$
\frac{3}{\pi^{2}} N(1+o(1)) \ll a_{N} \ll \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2} \pi^{2}} N^{5 / 2}+O\left(N^{3 / 2} \ln N\right)
$$

As a conclusion, the previous discussion shows that the number of lattices points to be discarded from $E_{p} \cap[1, N]^{3}$ is at an order of magnitude of at least $N$ and at most $N^{5 / 2}$ lattices points inside the cube $[1, N]^{3}$.

Further questions. It would be interesting to find an analog of the main result for $5 / p$ instead of $4 / p$, which is also a conjecture due to Sierpinski. More generally, one can also try to ckeck what is happening for fractions of the form $a / p$ where $a$ is a integer which does not divide $p$. The continued fraction expansion will depend on the class of $a \bmod p$ and in this case Lemma 1.3 might be less trivial than the $4 / p$-case.

## References

[1] P. Erdős, $A z 1 / x_{1}+1 / x_{2}+\ldots+1 / x_{n}=a / b$ egyenlet egesz szanu megoldasairol, Mat. Lapok 1 (1950), 192-210h.
[2] C. Elsholtz and T. Tao, Counting the number of solutions of the Erdos-Sraus equation on umit fractions, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 94 (2013), 50-105.
[3] A. Ya. Khinchin, Continued Fractions, Dover, 100 (1997).
[4] D. Li, On the equation $4 / n=1 / x+1 / y+1 / z$, J. Number Theory, 13 (1981), 485-494.
[5] G. Tenenbaum, Introduction to Analytic and Probabilistic Number Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 3rd Ed. Amer. Math. Soc. 163 (2015).
E-mail address: ylazar77@gmail.com

