

# DEFORMATION FOR COUPLED KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRICS

SATOSHI NAKAMURA

**ABSTRACT.** The notion of coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics was introduced recently by Hultgren-WittNyström. In this paper we discuss the deformation of a coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics on a Fano manifold. In particular we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric to be deformed to another coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for a Fano manifold admitting non-trivial holomorphic vector fields. This generalizes Hultgren-WittNyström's result. In addition we also discuss deformation for a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric on a Fano manifold when the complex structure varies.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The existence problem for canonical Kähler metrics for polarized manifolds is one of the central topics in Kähler geometry. In particular, Kähler-Einstein metrics for Fano manifolds has been discussed by many experts from 1980's. In 2015, Chen-Donaldson-Sun [1, 2, 3] and Tian [19] showed that a Fano manifold admits a Kähler Einstein metrics if and only if it satisfies K-polystability which is an algebro-geometric condition originates on geometric invariant theory.

Some generalizations of Kähler Einstein metrics for Fano manifolds has also been discussed. In this paper, we focus on coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics introduced recently by Hultgren-WittNyström [9]. Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Fano manifold. A decomposition of the first Chern class  $2\pi c_1(X)$  is a sum

$$2\pi c_1(X) = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_k$$

where each  $\alpha_i$  is a Kähler class. For a Kähler metric  $\omega_i \in \alpha_i$ , the pair  $(\omega_i)_{i=1}^N$  is called the *coupled Kähler-Einstein metric* for the decomposition  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^N$  if it satisfies the system

$$\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_1) = \cdots = \mathrm{Ric}(\omega_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \omega_i.$$

Note that the ordinary Kähler-Einstein metric  $\omega_{\mathrm{KE}} \in 2\pi c_1(X)$  can be seen as a trivial coupled Kähler-Einstein metric. Indeed, for fixed  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1$ , the pair  $(\lambda_i \omega_{\mathrm{KE}})_{i=1}^N$  defines a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $(\lambda_i 2\pi c_1(X))_{i=1}^N$ .

Coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics does not always exist for any decomposition  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^N$ . In fact, the Matsushima type obstruction theorem and the Futaki type obstruction theorem

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C25; Secondary 53C55, 58E11.

*Key words and phrases.* Coupled Kähler Einstein metrics, Deformation theory, Futaki type invariant.

are known. The Matsushima type obstruction theorem states that if  $(\omega_i)_{i=1}^N$  is a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric, then the identity component of the holomorphic automorphism group  $\text{Aut}_0(X)$  is the complexification of the identity component of the isometry group  $\text{Isom}_0(X, \omega_1)$  of  $\omega_1$ , and in particular, it is then reductive [9, 6]. Note that, in this case,  $\text{Isom}_0(X, \omega_1) = \text{Isom}_0(X, \omega_2) = \cdots = \text{Isom}_0(X, \omega_N)$  pointed out in [17]. On the other hand, for the Futaki type obstruction, the Futaki type invariant is defined in the following way. First define a function  $f_i \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$  for each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  as

$$(1) \quad \text{Ric}(\omega_i) - \sum_{j=1}^k \omega_j = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} f_i \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X e^{f_i} \omega_i^n = \int_X \omega_i^n.$$

In this paper, we call the pair  $(f_i)_{i=1}^N$  the *Ricci potential* for  $(\omega_i)_{i=1}^N$ . Note that the pair  $(\omega_i)_{i=1}^N$  defines a coupled Kähler Einstein metric if and only if every Ricci potential  $f_i$  vanishes. For any holomorphic vector field  $V$  on  $X$ , the *Futaki type invariant*  $\text{Fut}_c$  for the decomposition  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^N$  is defined by

$$(2) \quad \text{Fut}_c(V) = \sum_{i=1}^k \int_X H_i (1 - e^{f_i}) \frac{\omega_i^n}{\int_X \omega_i^n}$$

where  $H_i$  is the potential function for  $V$ , that is,  $i_V \omega_i = \sqrt{-1} \partial H_i$ . Following [9, 6, 4], the value  $\text{Fut}_c(V)$  is independent of the choice of metrics  $\omega_i \in \alpha_i$ . In particular, if there exists a coupled Kähler Einstein metric for  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^N$ , then the invariant  $\text{Fut}_c$  must vanish identically. Recently Futaki-Zhang [7] showed a residue formula to compute this invariant.

In the same spirit of theory for ordinary Kähler-Einstein metrics for Fano manifolds, Hultgren-WittNyström [9] established fundamental properties for coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics. It was shown a uniqueness theorem, and a stability theorem which states that the existence for a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric implies an algebro-geometric stability condition when  $\alpha_i = 2\pi c_1(L_i)$  for some ample line bundle  $L_i$  over  $X$ . The work of Hultgren-WittNyström has raised much interest in the study of coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics. In particular, the existence theorem were developed. Pingali [15] and Takahashi [17] introduced a continuity method and a Ricci iteration method respectively to construct coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics. Hultgren [8] developed a detailed study for the existence of such metrics on toric Fano manifolds, and Delcroix-Hultgren [5] extended it in more general settings. Futaki-Zhang [6] introduced Sasakian analogue. Datar-Pingali [4] generalized to coupled constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics and introduced a framework of geometric invariant theory. Takahashi [18] introduced geometric quantization.

Now we state results in this paper. As an existence theorem for non-trivial coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics, Hultgren-WittNyström [9] proved the following;

**Theorem 1.1.** [9, Theorem C] *Let  $X$  be a Fano Kähler-Einstein manifold without non-trivial holomorphic vector fields. Fix positive real constants  $\lambda_i > 0$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i = 1$ .*

Then for any real closed  $(1, 1)$ -forms  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i] = 0$ , there exists a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $(2\pi\lambda_i c_1(X) + t[\eta_i])_{i=1}^N$  for  $0 < t \ll 1$ .

The purpose of this paper is to extend the above theorem from view points of (i) the case when a Fano manifold admits continuous automorphism group and (ii) the case of deformation for a non-trivial coupled Kähler-Einstein metric. We follow the strategy of deformation theory for constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics on compact Kähler manifolds [12, 11]. Let  $X$  be a Fano manifold admitting a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$  for a decomposition  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^N$ . Take a Kähler metric  $\omega_0$  defined by  $\text{Ric}(\omega_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i$ . We define

$$(3) \quad U = \left\{ \eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N) \mid \eta_i \text{ is a } \mathbb{R}\text{-valued } \theta_i\text{-harmonic } (1, 1)\text{-form s.t. } \sum_{i=1}^N [\eta_i] = 0 \right\}.$$

and define  $U_0 = \{ \eta \in U \mid \|\eta\|_{\omega_0} = 1 \}$ . The following is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.2.** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a smooth function  $\mathcal{F} : [0, \varepsilon_0) \times U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that if  $\eta \in U_0$  satisfies  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = 0$  for some  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$ , then there exists a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $(\alpha_i + t[\eta_i])_{i=1}^N$ .*

Moreover, if  $\text{tr}_{\theta_i} \eta_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , then the leading term of the asymptotic expansion of the function  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta)$  around  $t = 0$  is at least of order 2. If  $\text{tr}_{\theta_i} \eta_i = 0$  for all  $i$  and if  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$  is a trivial coupled Kähler-Einstein metric  $(\lambda_i \omega_{\text{KE}})_{i=1}^N$ , then the leading term is at least of order 4. Furthermore these leading coefficient are described explicitly in terms of initial data (See section 3 for the explicit description of these leading coefficients).

This theorem is divided to Theorem 2.5, Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2. The function  $\mathcal{F}$  in Theorem 1.2 tells us in which directions we can find a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric. The function  $\mathcal{F}$  is in fact given by the Futaki type invariant  $\text{Fut}_c(V_{t, \eta})$  for the decomposition  $(\theta_i + t[\eta_i])_{i=1}^N$ , where  $V_{t, \eta}$  is a holomorphic vector field depending on  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$  and  $\eta \in U_0$ . Therefore Theorem 1.2 is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

In view of Theorem 1.2, it is natural to discuss the case when  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) \neq 0$  for some small  $t \neq 0$ . In this case there exists no coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $(\alpha_i + t[\eta_i])_{i=1}^N$ . However, under the assumption that the function  $\mathcal{F}$  has an asymptotic expansion of some order at  $t = 0$ , we can construct an almost coupled Kähler-Einstein metric in the following sense;

**Corollary 1.3.** *Suppose the function  $\mathcal{F}$  in Theorem 1.2 has an asymptotic expansion  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = a_1(\eta)t + a_2(\eta)t^2 + \dots$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  with  $a_1(\eta) = a_2(\eta) = \dots a_m(\eta) = 0$  for some positive integer  $m$ . Then there exists  $\varepsilon_0, C > 0$  independent of  $\eta \in U_0$  such that for any  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$  and any  $t \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ , there exists a Kähler metric  $\omega_i(t, \eta)$  in  $\alpha_i + t[\eta_i]$  satisfying*

$$\|1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)}\|_{C^l(X, \mathbb{R})} \leq Ct^{\frac{m+1}{2}},$$

where  $(f_i(t, \eta))_{i=1}^N$  is the Ricci potential for  $(\omega_i(t, \eta))_{i=1}^N$ , and  $l$  is some positive integer.

The same technique as in Theorem 1.2 allows us to discuss the deformation of a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric on a Fano manifold when the complex structure varies. Let  $(X, J)$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Fano manifold with a complex structure admitting a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$ . Consider a complex deformation  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N)$  of  $(J, (\theta_i)_{i=1}^N)$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N [\theta_i(t)] = 2\pi c_1(X, J(t))$ . In general, the action of  $\text{Isom}_0(X, \theta_1)$  may not extend to  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N)$  for  $t \neq 0$ . Based on Rollin-Simanca-Tipler [16], we assume that a compact connected subgroup  $G' \subset \text{Isom}_0(X, \theta_1)$  acts holomorphically on  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N)$ . We denote  $B_{G'}$  by the space of all such complex deformations. Let  $W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X)$  be the subspace of  $G'$ -invariant real functions in the sobolev space  $W^{l+2,2}(X)$ . Define an operator  $\mathbb{L} : (W^{l+2,2}(X))^N \rightarrow (W^{l,2}(X))^N$  as follows;

$$(4) \quad \mathbb{L}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\theta_1} u_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N u_j - \int_X \sum_{j=1}^N u_j \frac{\omega_0^n}{f_X \omega_0^n} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{\theta_N} u_N + \sum_{j=1}^N u_j - \int_X \sum_{j=1}^N u_j \frac{\omega_0^n}{f_X \omega_0^n} \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Delta_{\theta_i}$  is the negative Laplacian for  $\theta_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'}$  be the space of all functions  $(u_1, \dots, u_N) \in (C_{G'}^\infty(X; \mathbb{R}))^N$  such that  $\int_X u_i \theta_i^n = 0$  for each  $i$  and  $\text{grad}_{\theta_1} u_1 = \dots = \text{grad}_{\theta_N} u_N = V$  for some holomorphic vector field  $V$  on  $(X, J)$  corresponding to an element in  $\mathfrak{z}'$ , where  $\mathfrak{z}'$  is the center of  $\text{Lie}(G')$ . Then we have

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $(X, J, (\theta_i)_{i=1}^N)$  be a Fano manifold with a complex structure admitting a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric satisfying*

$$(5) \quad \text{Ker } \mathbb{L} \cap (W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'},$$

*For any  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N) \in B_{G'}$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and a smooth function  $\mathcal{G} : [0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that if  $\mathcal{G}(t) = 0$  for some  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$ , then there exists a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $([\theta_i(t)])_{i=1}^N$ .*

The condition (5) is an analogue of a condition introduced by Li [11] in the literature of the complex deformation for constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics. According to [11], Li's original condition coincides with the non-degeneracy condition for the relative Futaki invariant introduced by Rollin-Simanca-Tipler [16].

It is able to prove a corresponding result as Corollary 1.3 and an asymptotic expansion for  $\mathcal{G}$  as in Theorem 1.2 in the complex deformation setting. Since these results will not be used in this paper, we however omit these proof.

This paper is organized as follows; In Section 2, an operator to deform a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric by the implicit function theorem is introduced and the first part of Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.3 are proved. In Section 3, the asymptotic expansion of the function  $\mathcal{F}$  in Theorem 1.2 is calculated to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. In Section 4, the technique used in Section 2 is applied to prove Theorem 1.4.

**Acknowledgment.** The author was partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for JSPS Fellowships for Young Scientists No.17J02783 and No.19J01482.

## 2. DEFORMATION FOR COUPLED KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRICS

In this section we prove Theorem 1.2. Let  $X$  be an  $n$ -dimensional Fano manifold admitting a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$  for a decomposition  $(\alpha_i)_{i=1}^N$ , and  $G$  be the identity component of the isometry group  $\text{Isom}_0(X, \theta_1)$ . Then  $\text{Isom}_0(X, \theta_1) = \cdots = \text{Isom}_0(X, \theta_N)$  by [17, Lemma 2.2]. Fix a Kähler metric  $\omega_0$  such that  $\text{Ric}(\omega_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i$ . The normalized volume form  $\omega_0^n / \int_X \omega_0^n$  is equal to the others  $\theta_1^n / \int_X \theta_1^n = \cdots = \theta_N^n / \int_X \theta_N^n$ , which comes from the definition of the coupled Kähler-Einstein metric. For any  $\eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_N) \in U_0$ , note that every  $\eta_i$  is automatically  $G$ -invariant. Let  $W_G^{l+2,2}(X)$  be the subspace of real  $(l+2)$ -th sobolev space  $W^{l+2,2}(X)$ , whose elements are  $G$ -invariant. Note  $W_G^{l+2,2}(X) \subset C^m(X; \mathbb{R})$  if  $l+2 > n+m$  by the sobolev embedding theorem. We can take a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_{l+2} \subset (W_G^{l+2,2}(X))^N$  at the origin to assume that there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\omega_i(t, \phi_i) := \theta_i + \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} \phi_i$  defines a Kähler metric for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ , any  $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2}$  and each  $i$ . For  $\Phi = (\phi_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2}$ , we denote  $(f_i(t, \Phi))_{i=1}^N$  as the Ricci potential for  $(\omega_i(t, \phi_i))_{i=1}^N$ .

In order to construct a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $(\alpha_i + t[\eta_i])_{i=1}^N$ , we consider the following operator  $\mathbb{F} = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_{2N}) : [0, \varepsilon) \times \mathcal{U}_{l+2} \rightarrow (W_G^{l,2})^N \times \mathbb{R}^N$  to deform  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$ ;

$$(6) \quad F_k(t, \Phi) = \begin{cases} 1 - e^{f_i(t, \Phi)} & (k = 1, 2, \dots, N) \\ \log \frac{1}{\int_X \omega_0^n} \int_X e^{-\sum_{j=1}^N \phi_j} \omega_0^n & (k = N+1) \\ \int_X \phi_k \omega_0^n & (k = N+2, N+3, \dots, 2N). \end{cases}$$

Note that  $(\omega_i(t, \phi_i))_{i=1}^N$  defines a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric if and only if  $\mathbb{F}(t, (\phi_i + c_i)_{i=1}^N) = 0$  for some constants  $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and note also  $\mathbb{F}(0, 0) = 0$ .

**REMARK 2.1.** Hultgren-WittNyström [9] introduced another operator to prove Theorem 1.1. See [9] for more detail. However it is technically natural to use our operator  $\mathbb{F}$  from view points of Theorem 1.2 and in particular the Futaki type invariant. The operator  $\mathbb{F}$  was inspired by a generalization for Kähler-Einstein metrics introduced by Mabuchi [13] which is called the generalized Kähler-Einstein metric or the Mabuchi soliton in the literature.

Consider the equation  $\delta_\Phi \mathbb{F}(0, 0) = 0$  for a variation  $(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) \in T_{(0,0)}(\{0\} \times \mathcal{U}_{l+2})$  to apply the implicit function theorem, where  $\delta_\Phi \mathbb{F}(0, 0)$  stands for the derivative along  $\Phi$ -direction at  $(t, \Phi) = (0, 0)$ . This is equivalent to the following equations;

$$(7) \quad \Delta_{\theta_i} \delta\phi_i + \sum_{j=1}^N \delta\phi_j = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X \delta\phi_i \omega_0^n = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

where  $\Delta_{\theta_i}$  is the negative Laplacian for  $\theta_i$ . To see this, we prove the following;

**Lemma 2.2.** *The variation of the Ricci potential  $f_i(t, \Phi)$  along  $(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) \in T_0\mathcal{U}_{l+2}$  at  $(t, \Phi) = (0, 0)$  is*

$$\delta_\Phi f_i = -\Delta_{\theta_i} \delta\phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^N \delta\phi_j + \int_X \sum_{j=1}^N \delta\phi_j \frac{\omega_0^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n}.$$

*Proof.* The derivation of the first equation in (1) shows  $\delta_\Phi f_i = -\Delta_{\theta_i} \delta\phi_i - \sum_{j=1}^N \delta\phi_j + C$  for some constant  $C$ . The constant  $C$  is equal to  $\int_X \sum_{j=1}^N \delta\phi_j \frac{\theta_0^n}{\int_X \theta_0^n} = \int_X \sum_{j=1}^N \delta\phi_j \frac{\omega_0^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n}$  by the derivation of the second equation in (1).  $\square$

If the above equation (7) has only the trivial solution, the implicit function theorem can be applied. However it has nontrivial solutions in general by the following result. Recall an operator  $\mathbb{L} : (W^{l+2,2}(X))^N \rightarrow (W^{l,2}(X))^N$  defined by.

$$\mathbb{L}(u_1, \dots, u_N) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{\theta_1} u_1 + \sum_{j=1}^N u_j - \int_X \sum_{j=1}^N u_j \frac{\omega_0^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta_{\theta_N} u_N + \sum_{j=1}^N u_j - \int_X \sum_{j=1}^N u_j \frac{\omega_0^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Lemma 2.3.** (A specific situation in [18, Proposition 2.3]) *The kernel  $\text{Ker } \mathbb{L}$  is equal to*

$$\left\{ (u_1, \dots, u_N) \in (C^\infty(X; \mathbb{R}))^N \mid \text{grad}_{\theta_1} u_1 = \dots = \text{grad}_{\theta_N} u_N =: V \text{ and } V \text{ is holomorphic} \right\},$$

where  $\text{grad}_{\theta_i} u_i$  is a type  $(1, 0)$  gradient vector field on  $X$  defined by  $i_{(\text{grad}_{\theta_i} u_i)} \theta_i = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} u_i$ .

Therefore we modify the operator  $\mathbb{F}$  to apply the implicit function theorem. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Since  $X$  is Fano,  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nothing but the ideal of killing vector fields with zeros. Let  $\mathfrak{z}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For any  $G$ -invariant Kähler metric  $\omega$  and for any  $\xi \in \mathfrak{z}$ , the holomorphic vector field  $V = J\xi + \sqrt{-1}\bar{\xi}$  defines a smooth real-valued  $G$ -invariant function  $u$  satisfying

$$i_V \omega = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} u \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X u \omega^n = 0,$$

where  $J$  is a fixed complex structure of  $X$ . The function  $u$  is called *the holomorphic potential* of  $V$  with respect to  $\omega$ . For the holomorphic potentials  $u_i$  of  $V$  with respect to  $\theta_i$ , we call  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$  *the holomorphic potential vector* of  $V$  with respect to the coupled Kähler-Einstein metric  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{z}$  be the space of holomorphic potential vectors corresponding to elements in  $\mathfrak{z}$  with respect to  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$ , endowed with the induced  $L^2$ -inner product  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle_{\omega_0} = \int_X \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle \omega_0^n / \int_X \omega_0^n$  from  $(W_G^{l+2,2}(X))^N$  where  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle$  is the pointwise inner product. Note that  $\mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{z}$  is nothing but the space of  $G$ -invariant kernels  $\text{Ker } \mathbb{L} \cap (W_G^{l+2,2}(X))^N$ . Note also that the operator  $\mathbb{L}$  is self-adjoint with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\omega_0}$  (See [18, Proposition 2.3] for more details). By the inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\omega_0}$ , the sobolev space  $(W_G^{l+2,2}(X))^N$  is decomposed as  $\mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}, l+2}^\perp$ , where  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}, l+2}^\perp$  is the orthogonal

complement. We fix an orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\omega_0}$ . Let  $\pi_3^\perp : (W_G^{l+2,2}(X))^N \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{3,l+2}^\perp$  be the orthogonal projection.

In these setting, we define a modified operator  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}} = (\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_{2N}) : [0, \varepsilon) \times (\mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{3,l+2}^\perp) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{3,l}^\perp \times \mathbb{R}^N$  as follows;

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} (\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_N) &= \pi_3^\perp(F_1, \dots, F_N) \\ \tilde{F}_{N+i} &= F_{N+i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N). \end{cases}$$

Then the equation  $\delta_\Phi \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(0, 0) = 0$  for a variation  $(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) \in T_{(0,0)}(\{0\} \times (\mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{3,l+2}^\perp))$  is equivalent to

$$(9) \quad \begin{cases} \pi_3^\perp \circ \mathbb{L}(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) = 0 \\ \int_X \delta\phi_i \omega_0^n = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

This equation has the only solution  $(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) = (0, \dots, 0)$  since  $(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) \in \mathcal{H}_{l+2,3}^\perp$  and  $\mathbb{L}(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) \in \mathcal{H}_{l,3}^\perp$ . Therefore, by the implicit function theorem, for small  $t > 0$  there exists  $(\phi_i(t, \eta))_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{3,l+2}^\perp$  such that  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}(t, (\phi_i(t, \eta))_{i=1}^N) = 0$ . More precisely, we have

**Lemma 2.4.** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$  and for any  $\eta \in U_0$ , we have a pair of Kähler potentials  $(\phi_i(t, \eta))_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{3,l+2}^\perp$  and functions  $c(t, \eta) := (c_p(t, \eta))_{p=1}^d : [0, \varepsilon_0) \times U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying*

$$(10) \quad \left(1 - e^{f_1(t, \eta)}, \dots, 1 - e^{f_N(t, \eta)}\right) = \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t, \eta) \mathbf{v}_p,$$

where  $(f_i(t, \eta))_{i=1}^N$  denotes the Ricci potential for the Kähler metrics  $(\theta_i + t\eta_i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i)_{i=1}^N$ . Moreover there exists  $C > 0$  such that  $\|\phi_i(t, \eta)\|_{W_G^{l+2,2}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$  for all  $i$  and  $\|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}} := \{\sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t, \eta)^2\}^{1/2} \leq C\varepsilon_0$ .

Now we define the function  $\mathcal{F}$  as in Theorem 1.2. Let  $V(t, \eta)$  be the holomorphic vector field on  $X$  corresponding to  $\sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t, \eta) \mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$  in Lemma 2.4, that is,  $V(t, \eta) = \text{grad}_{\theta_1}(1 - e^{f_1(t, \eta)}) = \dots = \text{grad}_{\theta_N}(1 - e^{f_N(t, \eta)})$ . Let  $H_i(t, \eta)$  be the holomorphic potential for  $V(t, \eta)$  with respect to the Kähler metric  $\omega_i(t, \eta) := \theta_i + t\eta_i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i(t, \eta)$ , that is,

$$(11) \quad i_{V(t, \eta)} \omega_i(t, \eta) = \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} H_i(t, \eta) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X H_i(t, \eta) \omega_i(t, \eta)^n = 0$$

for each  $i$ . Then we introduce a function  $\mathcal{F} : [0, \varepsilon_0) \times U_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  as follows;

$$\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = \int_X \sum_{i=1}^N H_i(t, \eta) (1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)}) \frac{\omega_i(t, \eta)^n}{\int_X \omega_i(t, \eta)^n}.$$

This function is nothing but the Futaki type invariant  $\text{Fut}_c(V(t, \eta))$  for the holomorphic vector field  $V(t, \eta)$  with respect to the decomposition  $([\omega_i(t, \eta)])_{i=1}^N$ .

Now we prove the first part of Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 2.5.** *There exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that if  $\eta \in U_0$  satisfies  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = 0$  for some  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$ , then there exists a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $(\alpha_i + t[\eta_i])_{i=1}^N$ .*

*Proof.* It suffice to show that the vector  $c(t, \eta) = (c_p(t, \eta))_{p=1}^d$  in Lemma 2.4 vanishes when  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = 0$ .

We claim that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $t$  and  $\eta$  satisfying

$$(12) \quad \|1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)} - H_i(t, \eta)\|_{L^2(\omega_0)} \leq C\varepsilon_0 \|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}.$$

for each  $i$  (Here the  $L^2$ -norm is defined with respect to the measure  $\omega_0^n / \int_X \omega_0^n$ ). Indeed, by definition of the holomorphic vector field  $V(t, \eta)$  and by (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-1}\bar{\partial}(1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)} - H_i(t, \eta)) &= i_{V(t, \eta)}(t\eta_i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i(t, \eta)) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t, \eta) i_{V_p}(t\eta_i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i(t, \eta)), \end{aligned}$$

where  $V_p$  denotes the gradient holomorphic vector field corresponding to  $\mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$ . Since  $\|\phi_i(t, \eta)\|_{W_G^{l+2,2}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$  for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$  by lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Delta_{\omega_0}(1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)} - H_i(t, \eta))| &= \left| \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t, \eta) \text{tr}_{\omega_0} \{ \partial(i_{V_p}(t\eta_i + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar{\partial}\phi_i(t, \eta))) \} \right| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_0 \|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the eigenvalue decomposition for  $\Delta_{\omega_0}$  and the normalization condition in (11) shows the estimate (12).

Now we estimate the norm  $\|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}$ . Since  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$  and since the equation (10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}^2 &= \left\langle \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t, \eta) \mathbf{v}_p, \sum_{q=1}^d c_q(t, \eta) \mathbf{v}_q \right\rangle_{\omega_0} \\ &= \int_X \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)})^2 \frac{\omega_0^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n} \\ &\leq C \int_X \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)})^2 \frac{\omega_i(t, \eta)^n}{\int_X \omega_i(t, \eta)^n} \end{aligned}$$



where  $C > 0$  is a constant independent of  $t$  and  $\eta$ , and we used the estimate  $\|\phi_i(t, \eta)\|_{W_G^{l+2,2}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$  in Lemma 2.4. By the assumption  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = 0$ , the inequality (12) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we thus have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_X \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)})^2 \frac{\omega_i(t, \eta)^n}{\int_X \omega_i(t, \eta)^n} &= \int_X \sum_{i=1}^N (1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)} - H_i(t, \eta))(1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)}) \frac{\omega_i(t, \eta)^n}{\int_X \omega_i(t, \eta)^n} \\ &\leq C \sum_{i=1}^N \|1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)} - H_i(t, \eta)\|_{L^2(\omega_0)} \|1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)}\|_{L^2(\omega_0)} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_0 \|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}} \sqrt{N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \|1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)}\|_{L^2(\omega_0)}^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_0 \|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where each  $C$ 's is again a positive constant independent of  $t$  and  $\eta$ . Therefore if  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  is small enough then  $c(t, \eta) = 0$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Using the same technique as in the previous proof we prove Corollary 1.3. It follows from the assumption that  $|\mathcal{F}(t, \eta)| \leq Ct^{m+1}$  for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0]$ . By the last estimate in the previous proof, the following holds;

$$\left| \mathcal{F}(t, \eta) - \sum_{i=1}^N \int_X (1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)})^2 \frac{\omega_i^n(t, \eta)}{\int_X \omega_i^n(t, \eta)} \right| \leq C\varepsilon_0 \|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}^2.$$

Since  $\|\phi_i(t, \eta)\|_{W_G^{l+2,2}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$  by lemma 2.4, then  $\sum_{i=1}^N \int_X (1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)})^2 \frac{\omega_i^n(t, \eta)}{\int_X \omega_i^n(t, \eta)} \leq C\|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}^2$ . Thus  $\|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}^2 \leq Ct^{m+1}$  after perhaps replacing the constant  $\varepsilon_0$  with a smaller one. In view of the equation (10), we finally have

$$\|1 - e^{f_i(t, \eta)}\|_{C^l(X)}^2 \leq C\|c(t, \eta)\|_{\text{Euc}}^2 \leq Ct^{m+1}.$$

This completes the proof of Corollary 1.3.

### 3. THE ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSION OF THE FUNCTION $\mathcal{F}$

We use same notation as in the previous section to prove the second part of Theorem 1.2. Namely we determine the leading term of the asymptotic expansion of  $\mathcal{F}(t, \eta)$  at  $t = 0$  under the technical assumption  $\text{tr}_{\theta_i} \eta_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . This assumption is used only for the formula  $\frac{d}{dt} \int_X \omega_i^n(t, \eta) = 0$ . Let  $h_\eta$  be a smooth function defined by  $\sum_{j=1}^N \eta_j = \sqrt{-1} \partial \bar{\partial} h_\eta$  and  $\int_X h_\eta \omega_0^n = 0$ . Define  $\mathbf{h}_\eta = (h_\eta, \dots, h_\eta) \in (C_G^\infty(X; \mathbb{R}))^N$ . Let  $\pi_3$  be the  $L^2$ -projection from  $(W_G^{l,2})^N$  to  $\mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_3$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $\text{tr}_{\theta_i} \eta_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = t^2 \int_X |\pi_3(\mathbf{h}_\eta)|^2 \frac{\omega_0^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n} + \mathcal{O}(t^3) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see  $\mathcal{F}(0, \eta)$  and the first derivative  $\mathcal{F}'(0, \eta)$  with respect to  $t$  vanish by formulas  $H_i(0, \eta) = 0$  and  $f_i(0, \eta) = 0$ . We next describe the second derivative  $\mathcal{F}''(0, \eta)$  in terms of the initial data. By the above formulas,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}''(0, \eta) &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_X H'_i(0, \eta) f'_i(0, \eta) \frac{\theta_i^n}{\int_X \theta_i^n} \\ &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_X H'_i(0, \eta) f'_i(0, \eta) \frac{\omega_0^n}{\int_X \omega_0^n}.\end{aligned}$$

Here we also used the equalities  $\omega_0^n / \int_X \omega_0^n = \theta_1^n / \int_X \theta_1^n = \cdots = \theta_N^n / \int_X \theta_N^n$  which follows from the definition of the coupled Kähler-Einstein metric. By definition of the potential function  $H_i(t, \eta)$  in (11),

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} H'_i(0, \eta) &= i_{V'(0, \eta)} \omega_i(0, \eta) + i_{V(0, \eta)} \omega'_i(0, \eta) \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} f'_i(0, \eta).\end{aligned}$$

Then  $H'_i(0, \eta) = -f'_i(0, \eta)$  by the normalization conditions in (11) and in (1). On the other hand, by taking the derivative of the defining equation for the Ricci potential in (1), we have

$$(13) \quad (f'_1(0, \eta), \dots, f'_N(0, \eta)) = -\mathbb{L}(\phi'_1(0, \eta), \dots, \phi'_N(0, \eta)) + \mathbf{h}_\eta.$$

Since the equation (10) shows

$$\pi_3(f'_1(0, \eta), \dots, f'_N(0, \eta)) = (f'_1(0, \eta), \dots, f'_N(0, \eta))$$

and since  $\mathbb{L}(\phi'_1(0, \eta), \dots, \phi'_N(0, \eta)) \in \mathcal{H}_{i,3}^\perp$ , therefore  $(f'_1(0, \eta), \dots, f'_N(0, \eta)) = \pi_3(\mathbf{h}_\eta)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

In the above proposition, if the initial coupled Kähler-Einstein metric  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$  is trivial (that is, there exists positive constants  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^N$  satisfying  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$  and  $\theta_i = \lambda_i \omega_{\text{KE}}$  for all  $i$ ) then the coefficient  $\int_X |\pi_3(\mathbf{h}_\eta)|^2 \omega_0^n / \int_X \omega_0^n$  in the asymptotic expansion vanishes. Indeed  $\Delta_{\omega_{\text{KE}}} h_\eta = \sum_i \text{tr}_{\theta_i} \eta_i / \lambda_i = 0$ , and thus  $\mathbf{h}_\eta = 0$  by the normalization condition of  $h_\eta$ . We show the following to conclude this section. Define

$$\mathbf{I}_\eta = (|\eta_1|_{\theta_1}^2, \dots, |\eta_N|_{\theta_N}^2) \in (C_G^\infty(X; \mathbb{R}))^N.$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Suppose  $\text{tr}_{\theta_i} \eta_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Suppose also that there exist a Kähler-Einstein metric  $\omega_{\text{KE}}$  and positive constants  $(\lambda_i)_{i=1}^N$  satisfying  $\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j = 1$  and  $\theta_i = \lambda_i \omega_{\text{KE}}$  for all  $i$ . Then*

$$\mathcal{F}(t, \eta) = \frac{t^4}{4} \int_X |\pi_3(\mathbf{I}_\eta)|^2 \frac{\omega_{\text{KE}}^n}{\int_X \omega_{\text{KE}}^n} + \mathcal{O}(t^5) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* It is easy to see the third derivative  $\mathcal{F}^{(3)}(0, \eta)$  with respect to  $t$  vanishes by formulas  $H_i(0, \eta) = f_i(0, \eta) = 0$  and  $H'_i(0, \eta) = f'_i(0, \eta) = 0$ , which follows from the proof of

Proposition 3.1 and  $\mathbf{h}_\eta = 0$ . In the following we describe the forth derivative  $\mathcal{F}^{(4)}(0, \eta)$  in terms of the initial data. A direct calculation with the above formulas shows

$$\mathcal{F}^{(4)}(0, \eta) = -6 \sum_{i=1}^N \int_X H_i''(0, \eta) f_i''(0, \eta) \frac{\omega_{\text{KE}}^n}{\int_X \omega_{\text{KE}}^n}.$$

Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} H_i''(0, \eta) &= i_{V''(0, \eta)} \omega_i(0, \eta) + 2i_{V'(0, \eta)} \omega_i'(0, \eta) + i_{V(0, \eta)} \omega_i''(0, \eta) \\ &= -\sqrt{-1} \bar{\partial} f_i''(0, \eta). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $H_i''(0, \eta) = -f_i''(0, \eta)$  by normalization conditions. Also observe  $\phi_i'(0, \eta) = 0$ . Indeed formulas  $f_i'(0, \eta) = 0$  and  $\mathbf{h}_\eta = 0$  and the equation (13) show  $\phi_i'(0, \eta)$  is constant, and its constant is equals to 0 by the condition  $\tilde{F}_k(t, (\phi_i(t, \eta))_{i=1}^N) = 0$  for  $k = N + 1, \dots, 2N$  in the modified operator (8). By taking the second derivative of the defining equation and the normalization condition in (1), and by using the formula  $\phi_i'(0, \eta) = 0$ , we have

$$(f_1''(0, \eta), \dots, f_N''(0, \eta)) = -\mathbb{L}(\phi_1''(0, \eta), \dots, \phi_N''(0, \eta)) + \mathbf{I}_\eta.$$

In view of the equation (10),

$$\pi_3(f_1''(0, \eta), \dots, f_N''(0, \eta)) = (f_1''(0, \eta), \dots, f_N''(0, \eta)).$$

Therefore  $(f_1'(0, \eta), \dots, f_N'(0, \eta)) = \pi_3(\mathbf{I}_\eta)$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. DEFORMATION FOR COMPLEX STRUCTURE AND COUPLED KÄHLER-EINSTEIN METRICS

In this section we consider the deformation of a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric on a Fano manifold under the deformation of the complex structure by applying the technique used in Section 2. Let  $(X, J)$  be a Fano manifold with a complex structure admitting a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$ . As in Section 2 we fix a Kähler metric  $\omega_0$  satisfying  $\text{Ric}(\omega_0) = \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i$ . Consider a smooth family of complex structure  $J(t)$  with  $J(0) = J$ . Kodaira-Spencer [10] showed that there exists a smooth family of compatible Kähler metric  $\theta_i(t)$  with  $J(t)$  for small  $t > 0$  satisfying  $\theta_i(0) = \theta_i$ . For our purpose, we only consider smooth families  $J(t)$  and  $(\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N$  satisfying  $\sum_{i=1}^N [\theta_i(t)] = 2\pi c_1(X, J(t))$ . In this paper, such pair  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N)$  is called complex deformation of  $(J, (\theta_i)_{i=1}^N)$ . We ask whether there exists a coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics for the decomposition  $([\theta_i(t)])_{i=1}^N$ .

Let  $G$  be the identity component of the isometry group of the Kähler metric  $\theta_i$ . Recall the identity component of the automorphism group of  $(X, J)$  is the complexification of  $G$ . The action of  $G$  may not extend to  $(X, J(t))$  in general. Based on the idea of Rollin-Simanca-Tipler [16], we assume that there exists a compact connected subgroup  $G'$  of  $G$  such that the action of  $G'$  extends holomorphically on the complex deformation  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N)$ . Let  $B_{G'}$  be the space of complex deformations of  $(J, (\theta_i)_{i=1}^N)$  admitting a holomorphic  $G'$ -action. Let  $\mathfrak{g}'$  be the Lie algebra of  $G'$ , and  $\mathfrak{z}'$  be the center

of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . As in Section 2, let  $\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'} \subset (W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N$  be the space of holomorphic potential vectors corresponding to elements in  $\mathfrak{z}'$  with respect to  $(\theta_i)_{i=1}^N$ , and fix an orthonormal basis  $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_d$  of  $\mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'}$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\omega_0}$ . Take the orthogonal decomposition  $(W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N = \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l+2}^\perp$ , and define  $\pi_{\mathfrak{z}'}^\perp$  as the projection  $(W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l+2}^\perp$ .

Fix  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N) \in B_{G'}$ . For a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}_{l+2} \subset (W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N$  at the origin, it is able to assume there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\theta_i(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t\phi_i$  defines a Kähler metric for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon)$ , any  $(\phi_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2}$  and each  $i$ , where  $\bar{\partial}_t := \frac{1}{2}(d - \sqrt{-1}J(t)d)$  and  $\partial_t$  is its complex conjugate. For  $\Phi = (\phi_i)_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2}$ , we denote by  $(f_i(t, \Phi))_{i=1}^N$  the Ricci potential for  $(\theta_i(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t\phi_i)_{i=1}^N$ . In order to construct a coupled Kähler-Einstein metric for the decomposition  $([\theta_i(t)])_{i=1}^N$ , consider an operator  $\tilde{\mathbb{F}} : [0, \varepsilon) \times (\mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l+2}^\perp) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l}^\perp \times \mathbb{R}^N$  defined by

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} (\tilde{F}_1, \dots, \tilde{F}_N) &= \pi_{\mathfrak{z}'}^\perp(F_1, \dots, F_N) \\ \tilde{F}_{N+i} &= F_{N+i} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, N), \end{cases}$$

where each  $F_k$  is same as in (6). Then the implicit function theorem shows the following;

**Lemma 4.1.** *Suppose  $\text{Ker } \mathbb{L} \cap (W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'}$ . For any  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N) \in B_{G'}$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$ , we have Kähler potentials  $(\phi_i(t))_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l+2}^\perp$  and functions  $c(t) := (c_1(t), \dots, c_d(t)) : [0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfying*

$$(15) \quad \left(1 - e^{f_1(t)}, \dots, 1 - e^{f_N(t)}\right) = \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t) \mathbf{v}_p,$$

where  $(f_i(t))_{i=1}^N$  denotes the Ricci potential for the Kähler metrics  $(\theta_i + \sqrt{-1}\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t\phi_i(t))_{i=1}^N$ . Moreover there exists  $C > 0$  such that for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$ , we have  $\|\phi_i(t)\|_{W_{G'}^{l+2,2}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$  for all  $i$  and  $\|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$ .

*Proof.* By the same calculation as in Lemma 2.2, the equation  $\delta_\Phi \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(0, 0) = 0$  for a variation  $(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) \in T_{(0,0)}(\{0\} \times (\mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l+2}^\perp))$  is given by

$$(16) \quad \begin{cases} \pi_{\mathfrak{z}'}^\perp \circ \mathbb{L}(\delta\phi_1, \dots, \delta\phi_N) = 0 \\ \int_X \delta\phi_i \omega_0^n = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N. \end{cases}$$

Therefore the linearized operator  $\delta_\Phi \tilde{\mathbb{F}}(0, 0) : T_{(0,0)}(\{0\} \times (\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l+2}^\perp \cap \mathcal{U}_{l+2})) \rightarrow T_{(0,0)}(\mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l}^\perp \times \mathbb{R}^N)$  is invertible if and only if the condition  $\text{Ker } \mathbb{L} \cap (W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'}$  is satisfied.  $\square$

Now we define the function  $\mathcal{G} : [0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  in Theorem 1.4. Under the assumption  $\text{Ker } \mathbb{L} \cap (W_{G'}^{l+2,2}(X))^N \subset \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'}$ , we have  $(\phi_i(t))_{i=1}^N \in \mathcal{U}_{l+2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}', l+2}^\perp$  and  $c(t) := (c_1(t), \dots, c_d(t)) : [0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  as in Lemma 4.1. Let  $\xi_p$  be the killing vector field in  $\mathfrak{z}'$  corresponding to  $\mathbf{v}_p \in \mathbb{R}^N \oplus \mathcal{H}_{\mathfrak{z}'}$ . For  $p = 1, \dots, d$ , the vector field  $V_p(t) := J(t)\xi_p + \sqrt{-1}\xi_p$  is holomorphic on  $(X, J(t))$  since  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N) \in B_{G'}$ . We define  $V(t) := \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t)V_p(t)$ .

The holomorphic potential  $H_i(t)$  for  $V(t)$  with respect to  $\omega_i(t) := \theta_i(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t\phi_i(t)$  is defined by

$$(17) \quad i_{V(t)}\omega_i(t) = \sqrt{-1}\partial_t H_i(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_X H_i(t)\omega_i(t)^n = 0.$$

Then we define  $\mathcal{G} : [0, \varepsilon_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows;

$$\mathcal{G}(t) = \int_X \sum_{i=1}^N H_i(t)(1 - e^{f_i(t)}) \frac{\omega_i(t)^n}{\int_X \omega_i(t)^n},$$

where  $(f_i(t))_{i=1}^N$  is the Ricci potential for  $(\theta_i(t) + \sqrt{-1}\partial_t\bar{\partial}_t\phi_i(t))_{i=1}^N$ .

Since Theorem 1.4 is proved by the same way as in the proof of Theorem 2.5 together with the following lemma, we omit the proof of it.

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $(J(t), (\theta_i(t))_{i=1}^N) \in B_{G'}$  satisfies*

$$(18) \quad \|J(t) - J\|_{C^1(X, \omega_0)} \leq C\varepsilon_0,$$

*then there exists  $C' > 0$  such that for any  $t \in [0, \varepsilon_0)$  and each  $i$ ,*

$$\|1 - e^{f_i(t)} - H_i(t)\|_{L^2(\omega_0)} \leq C'\varepsilon_0\|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}}.$$

*Proof.* First we define  $\hat{V}(t) = \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t)V_p(0)$  as a holomorphic vector field on  $(X, J)$ . In view of the equation (15), for each  $i$ , it satisfies

$$i_{\hat{V}(t)}\theta_i = \sqrt{-1}\partial_0(1 - e^{f_i(t)}).$$

Together with (17), we have

$$(19) \quad \sqrt{-1}\partial_0(1 - e^{f_i(t)} - H_i(t)) = i_{\hat{V}(t)}\theta_i - i_{V(t)}\omega_i(t) + \sqrt{-1}(\bar{\partial}_t - \bar{\partial}_0)H_i(t).$$

The estimates  $\|\omega_i(t) - \theta_i\|_{W^{l+2,2}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$  given in Lemma 4.1 and

$$\begin{aligned} \|(V_p(t) - V_p(0))\|_{C^0} + \|\partial_0(V_p(t) - V_p(0))\|_{C^0} &= \|(J(t) - J)\xi_p\|_{C^0} + \|\partial_0(J(t) - J)\xi_p\|_{C^0} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_0 \end{aligned}$$

shows

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad \left\| \partial_0(i_{\hat{V}(t)}\theta_i - i_{V(t)}\omega_i(t)) \right\|_{C^0} &= \left\| \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t) \partial_0(i_{V_p(0)}\theta_i - i_{V_p(t)}\omega_i(t)) \right\|_{C^0} \\ &\leq \|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}} \left\{ \sum_{p=1}^d \left( \left\| i_{\partial_0(V_p(0) - V_p(t))}\theta_i \right\|_{C^0} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left\| i_{\partial_0 V_p(t)}(\theta_i - \omega_i(t)) \right\|_{C^0} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left\| i_{(V_p(0) - V_p(t))}\partial_0\theta_i \right\|_{C^0} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \left\| i_{V_p(t)}\partial_0(\theta_i - \omega_i(t)) \right\|_{C^0} \right)^2 \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\leq C\varepsilon_0\|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}}. \end{aligned}$$

We next estimate the holomorphic potential  $H_i(t)$ . Since

$$\Delta_{\omega_i(t)} H_i(t) = \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_i(t)} \sqrt{-1} \partial_t \bar{\partial}_t H_i(t) = \sum_{p=1}^d c_p(t) \operatorname{tr}_{\omega_i(t)} \partial_t (i_{V_p(t)} \omega_i(t))$$

and since  $\|\omega_i(t) - \theta_i\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C\varepsilon_0$  (if the exponent  $l$  is taken sufficiently large in Lemma 4.1), then there exists  $C > 0$  independent  $t$  such that  $\|H_i(t)\|_{C^2} \leq C\|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}}$ . Thus

$$(21) \quad \left| \partial_0(\bar{\partial}_t - \partial_0) H_i(t) \right| = \frac{1}{2} \left| \partial_0(J_t - J) dH_i(t) \right| \leq C\varepsilon_0 \|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}}.$$

Therefore, by (19), (20) and (21), we have  $|\Delta_{\omega_0}(1 - e^{f_i(t)} - H_i(t))| \leq C\varepsilon_0 \|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}}$ , and the eigenvalue decomposition for  $\Delta_{\omega_0}$  and the normalization conditions for  $f_i(t)$  and  $H_i(t)$  shows  $\|1 - e^{f_i(t)} - H_i(t)\|_{L^2(\omega_0)} \leq C'\varepsilon_0 \|c(t)\|_{\text{Euc}}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] X.X. CHEN, S. DONALDSON AND S. SUN, Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. I: Approximation of metrics with cone singularities, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), no. 1, 183–197.
- [2] X.X. CHEN, S. DONALDSON AND S. SUN, Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. II: Limits with cone angle less than  $2\pi$ , J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), no. 1, 199–234.
- [3] X.X. CHEN, S. DONALDSON AND S. SUN, Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. III: Limits as cone angle approaches  $2\pi$  and completion of the main proof, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (2015), no. 1, 235–278.
- [4] V. DATAR AND V.P. PINGALI, On coupled constant scalar curvature Kähler metrics, arXiv:1901.1045v1.
- [5] T. DELCROIX AND J. HULTGREN, Coupled complex Monge-Ampère equation on Fano horosymmetric manifolds, arXiv:1812.07218.
- [6] A. FUTAKI AND Y. ZHANG, Coupled Sasaki-Einstein metrics, arXiv:1812.03784.
- [7] A. FUTAKI AND Y. ZHANG, Residue formula for an obstruction to Coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics, arXiv:1910.05706.
- [8] J. HULTGREN, Coupled Kähler-Ricci solitons on toric manifolds, arXiv: 1711.09881.
- [9] J. HULTGREN AND D. WITTNYSSTRÖM, Coupled Kähler Einstein metrics, Int. Math. Res. Not., 298 (2018).
- [10] K. KODAIRA AND D.C. SPENCER, On deformations of complex analytic structures. III. Stability theorems for complex structures, Ann. of Math., 71 (1960), 43–76
- [11] H. LI, Complex deformation of critical Kähler metrics, J. Math. Study, Vol. 50, No. 2, 144–164.
- [12] C. LEBRUN AND S.R. SIMANCA, Extremal Kähler metrics and complex deformation theory, Geom. Funct. Anal., 1994, 4(3): 298–336.
- [13] T. MABUCHI, Kähler Einstein metrics for manifolds with non vanishing Futaki character, Tohoku Math. J., (2) 53 (2001), 171–182.
- [14] Y. MATSUSHIMA, Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété kaehlérienne, Nagoya Math. J., 11, 145–150 (1957).
- [15] V. P. PINGALI, Existence of coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics using the continuity method, Internat. J. Math. 29 (2018), 1850041, 8pp.
- [16] Y. ROLLIN, S. R. SIMANCA AND C. TIPLER, Deformation of extremal metrics, complex manifolds and relative Futaki invariant. Math. Z. 273 (2013), 547–568.

- [17] R. TAKAHASHI, Ricci iteration, Twisted and coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics, arXiv:1901.09754v2.
- [18] R. TAKAHASHI, Geometric quantization of coupled Kähler-Einstein metrics, arXiv:1904.12812v4.
- [19] G. TIAN, K-stability and Kähler Einstein metrics, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 68 (2015), 1085–1156.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY, 255 SHIMO-OKUBO, SAKURA-KU, SAITAMA  
380-8570, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* nakamura1990@mail.saitama-u.ac.jp