Zhi-Wei Sun's 1-3-5 Conjecture and Variations

António Machiavelo & Nikolaos Tsopanidis

Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto, 4169-007 Porto, Portugal 28/05/2020

Abstract

In this paper, using quaternion arithmetic in the ring of Lipschitz integers, we present a proof of Zhì-Wěi Sūn's "1-3-5 conjecture" for all integers, and for all natural numbers greater than a specific constant. This, together with computations, done by the authors and a colleague, which checked the validity of the conjecture up to that constant, completely proves the 1-3-5 conjecture. We also establish some variations of this conjecture.

Keywords: Quaternions, Lipschitz integers, 1-3-5 conjecture.

1 Introduction

In [1], Zhì-Wěi Sūn made the conjecture that any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as a sum of four squares, $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2$ with $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, in such a way that x + 3y + 5z is a perfect square (see Conjecture 4.3 in that paper). Zhì-Wěi Sūn called this the "1-3-5 conjecture". We present here a proof of that conjecture for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{Z}$, and a proof for sufficiently large $m \in \mathbb{N}$, with $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Moreover, we establish some general results that correspond to variations of this conjecture.

We will be working in the subring of Hamilton quaternions known as the ring of Lipschitz integers,

$$\mathcal{L} = \{ a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \},\$$

where $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1$. This ring is neither left nor right Euclidean, as opposed to the ring of Hurwitz integers

$$\mathcal{H} = \left\{ a + bi + cj + dk \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ or } a, b, c, d \in \frac{1}{2} + \mathbb{Z} \right\},\$$

which is more commonly used because of that. We do not have unique factorization in each of these rings, but given a primitive (i.e. not divisible by any natural number bigger than one) Hurwitz integer Q, for any reordering of the primes of its norm factorization into integral primes, $N(Q) = p_0 p_1 \cdots p_k$, there is a factorization of Q into a product of Hurwitz primes $Q = P_0 P_1 \cdots P_k$ such that $N(P_0) = p_0, \ldots, N(P_k) = p_k$. We say that the factorization $P_0 P_1 \cdots P_k$ of Q is modelled on the factorization $p_0 p_1 \cdots p_k$ of N(Q). Moreover, the factorization on a given model is unique up to unit-migration (see Theorem 2 page 57 in [2]).

In what follows we will be dealing with Lipschitz integers, but in this smaller ring there is also unique factorization modelled on factorizations of the norm. In fact, Gordon Pall has proven in [3] that for a Lipschitz integer v which is primitive modulo k (i.e. the greatest common divisor of its coefficients together with k is 1), where $k \mid N(v)$, k is odd and positive, there is a unique, up to left multiplication by units, right divisor of v of norm k. This also holds for even k, provided v is actually primitive and $\frac{N(v)}{k}$ is odd (see Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 in [3]).

For our purposes, uniqueness of factorization is not required. We only need existence, which means that we may drop the condition for a Lipschitz integer to be primitive, and we will still have a factorization modeled on any factorization of its norm.

2 The general setting

Let $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be given. Let us start by describing conditions under which one can guarantee the existence of $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\begin{cases} x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 = m \\ ax + by + cz + dt = n^2. \end{cases}$$
(1)

Putting $\gamma = x + yi + zj + tk, \zeta = a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathcal{L}$, these equations are equivalent to

$$N(\gamma) = m \tag{2}$$

$$\gamma \cdot \zeta = \Re(\bar{\gamma}\zeta) = n^2, \tag{3}$$

where the dot denotes here the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^4 . If one sets $\delta = \bar{\gamma}\zeta$, it follows from (3) that $\delta = n^2 + Ai + Bj + Ck$, for some $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $m \operatorname{N}(\zeta) - n^4 = A^2 + B^2 + C^2$. By Legendre's three-square theorem, see [4] pp. 293-295, or for more recent proofs see [5] and [6], a necessary condition for the solvability of (1) is that one has

$$n \le \sqrt[4]{m \,\mathrm{N}(\zeta)},\tag{4}$$

and that

$$m \operatorname{N}(\zeta) - n^4$$
 is not of the form $4^r(8s+7)$ for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. (5)

Assume now, conversely, that conditions (4) and (5) are satisfied. Then, again by Legendre's three-square theorem, there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $m \operatorname{N}(\zeta) - n^4 = A^2 + B^2 + C^2$. Setting $\delta = n^2 + Ai + Bj + Ck$, one has $\operatorname{N}(\delta) = m \operatorname{N}(\zeta)$. It then follows, by the existence of factorizations modeled on factorizations of the norm in the ring of Lipschitz integers, that there exists $\xi, \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\delta = \bar{\gamma}\xi$ and $\operatorname{N}(\xi) = \operatorname{N}(\zeta)$, $\operatorname{N}(\gamma) = m$. It follows that γ is a solution of

$$N(\gamma) = m \tag{6}$$

$$\gamma \cdot \xi = \Re(\bar{\gamma}\xi) = n^2. \tag{7}$$

This proves the following.

Theorem 1 Let $m, n, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $n \leq \sqrt[4]{m\ell}$, and assume that $m\ell - n^4$ is not of the form $4^r(8s+7)$ for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then, for some $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $N(a + bi + cj + dk) = \ell$, the system

$$\begin{cases} m = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 \\ n^2 = ax + by + cz + dt \end{cases}$$

has integer solutions.

Proof: This follows from all that was written above, together with the fact that one can change the signs of x, y, z, t so as to make a, b, c, d non-negative, if they are not already so.

A direct consequence of Theorem 1 is the following.

Theorem 2 Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}$ and $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $N(\zeta)m - n^4$ is nonnegative and not of the form $4^r(8s+7)$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. If $\zeta = a + bi + cj + dk \in \mathcal{L}$, then the system

$$\begin{cases} m = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 \\ n^2 = ax + by + cz + dt \end{cases}$$

has integer solutions for all $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$\mathbf{N}(\zeta) = \begin{cases} & 1, 3, 5, 7, 11, 15, 23 \\ & 2^k \\ & 3 \cdot 2^k \\ & & 7 \cdot 2^k \end{cases}$$

where k is odd and positive.

Proof: Let $k, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, define the *partition number* $P_k(\ell)$ of ℓ into k squares to be

$$P_k(\ell) = \left| \{a_1, \cdots, a_k\} \in \mathbb{N}_0^k \mid a_1 \ge a_2 \ge \cdots \ge a_k, \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^2 = \ell \right|$$

By Theorem 1, it suffices to guarantee that $P_4(N(\zeta)) = 1$, for all $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}$, with $N(\zeta)$ running through all the values in the statement, which is true by Theorem 1 in [7].

3 The 1-3-5 conjecture

Let us now consider the existence of integer solutions for the system:

$$\begin{cases} m = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 \\ n^2 = x + 3y + 5z. \end{cases}$$
(1-3-5)

Since the only possible Lipschitz integers of norm 35, up to the signs and the order of the coefficients, are 1 + 3i + 5j and 1 + 3i + 3j + 4k, Theorem 1 immediately yields the following result.

Proposition 3 Let $n \leq \sqrt[4]{35 m}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is not of the form $4^r(8s + 7)$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then either the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions, or the system

$$\begin{cases} m = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2 \\ n^2 = x + 3y + 3z + 4t. \end{cases}$$
(1-3-3-4)

has integer solutions.

Define $\mathcal{R}(P)$ to be the set of all Lipschitz integers obtained from $P \in \mathcal{L}$, by permuting and changing the signs of its coordinates. For $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathcal{L}$, we say that α' is in the same *decomposition class* as α , and write $\alpha' \sim \alpha$, if $\mathcal{R}(\alpha') = \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$.

From now on, we set $\alpha = 1+3i+5j$ and $\beta = 1+3i+3j+4k$. In sections 4, 5, 6 and 7 we will prove that the system (1-3-5) always has a solution for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{Z}$. The natural solution case will be handled in the last section. The biggest part of this paper will be focused on proving the following theorem.

Theorem 4 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^r(8s+7)$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Then

- i) If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions whenever $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, i.e. (n, 15) = 1.
- ii) If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions whenever $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ such that $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$.

iii) If $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, then the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions whenever $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, i.e. (n, 105) = 1.

Since the condition " $35m - n^4$ is not of the form $4^r(8s+7)$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ " holds often enough, this theorem shows more than what the integer case of the 1-3-5 conjecture asserts. As it is suggested from the statement of the theorem, we need to work modulo 3, 5 and 7.

Let us now establish the framework within which we are going to work. We assume that $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ are such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^r(8s + 7)$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Like in the first section, this implies that there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $35m - n^4 = A^2 + B^2 + C^2$. Letting $\delta = n^2 + Ai + Bj + Ck \in \mathcal{L}$, we have that $\mathbb{N}(\delta) = 35m$, and therefore there exist $\zeta, \gamma \in \mathcal{L}$ with $\mathbb{N}(\zeta) = 35$ and $\mathbb{N}(\gamma) = m$, such that $\delta = \gamma \zeta$. Then $\mathbb{N}(\zeta) = 35$, and so $\zeta \sim \beta$ or $\zeta \sim \alpha$.

If $\zeta \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ then the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions and we are done. If $\zeta \in \mathcal{R}(\beta)$, then there exist a γ' , obtained from appropriate sign and coefficient changes of γ , with $N(\gamma') = N(\gamma) = m$, such that $\Re(\gamma'\beta) =$ $\Re(\gamma\zeta) = n^2$. Therefore, we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\zeta = \beta$. Let $\gamma = x - yi - zj - tk$. Performing the multiplication $\gamma\beta$ yields $\delta = (x+3y+3z+4t)+(3x-y-4z+3t)i+(3x+4y-z-3t)j+(4x-3y+3z-t)k$,

so we have, for future reference:

$$\begin{cases}
n^{2} = x + 3y + 3z + 4t \\
A = 3x - y - 4z + 3t \\
B = 3x + 4y - z - 3t \\
C = 4x - 3y + 3z - t.
\end{cases}$$
(8)

We now point out the main idea behind what is going to be done in the next sections:

Remark 1 For any $\rho \in \mathcal{L}$, one has $\Re(\rho^{-1}\delta\rho) = \Re(\delta)$ and $N(\rho^{-1}\gamma\rho) = N(\gamma)$. Since, for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$,

$$\rho^{-1}\delta\rho = \rho^{-1}\gamma\beta\rho = \rho^{-1}\gamma\sigma\,\sigma^{-1}\beta\rho,$$

we see that if one can find $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\sigma^{-1}\beta\rho = \alpha'$ and $\rho^{-1}\gamma\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$, with $\alpha' \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ and $N(\rho) = N(\sigma)$, then from a solution $(x, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ for (1-3-3-4) one can obtain a solution in \mathbb{Z}^4 for (1-3-5).

We will be using this in the case where $N(\rho) = N(\sigma) = p$, an odd prime, and in order to apply this remark, we will need conditions on γ that guarantee $\rho^{-1}\gamma\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$, which is the same as $\bar{\rho}\gamma\sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Those conditions can be obtained by using Corollary 8 in [3], which will be here applied in the following way. Since $\bar{\rho}\gamma\sigma$ and $\bar{\rho}\sigma$ have the same right and left divisors of norm p, when $\bar{\rho}\sigma$ is primitive modulo p, Pall's result implies that there is a $k_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\bar{\rho}\gamma\sigma \equiv k_{\gamma}\bar{\rho}\sigma \pmod{p}$. But then, taking the conjugate congruence, adding both, and using the fact that $\Re(rs) = \Re(sr)$ for all $r, s \in \mathcal{L}$, one gets

$$\gamma \cdot \rho \bar{\sigma} \equiv k_{\gamma} \rho \cdot \sigma \pmod{p}.$$

When $\rho \cdot \sigma \neq 0 \pmod{p}$, which is the same as $p \nmid \Re(\bar{\rho}\sigma)$, then one has $k_{\gamma} \equiv \frac{\gamma \cdot \rho \bar{\sigma}}{\rho \cdot \sigma} \pmod{p}$, and one concludes that

$$\bar{\rho}\gamma\sigma \equiv \frac{1}{\rho\cdot\sigma} \left(\gamma\cdot\rho\bar{\sigma}\right)\bar{\rho}\sigma \pmod{p}, \text{ for all } \gamma\in\mathcal{L}.$$
(9)

If $\rho \cdot \sigma \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then $\gamma \cdot \rho \overline{\sigma} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$, and in particular $\rho \overline{\sigma} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Hence $\sigma = u\rho$, for some $u \in \mathcal{L}^*$. Before dealing with this possibility, consider the case **when** $\overline{\rho}\sigma$ **is not primitive modulo** p. This means that σ is a right associate of ρ , and so we can assume, without loss of generality, that $\sigma = \rho$. Using coordinates, we can explicitly see that, also in this case, $\overline{\rho}\gamma\rho$ has proportional coordinates modulo p, and thus, as above, there are $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\overline{\rho}\gamma\rho \equiv (\gamma \cdot \varepsilon)\delta \pmod{p}$, for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$. If $\rho = a + bi + cj + dk$, with $c^2 + d^2 \neq 0$, it can be seen that one can take $\delta = (a^2 + b^2)i + (bc - ad)j + (ac + bd)k$, and ε can then be easily computed for any given ρ . Finally, if $\rho = a + bi$, with $b \neq 0$, one can take $\delta = 2aj - 2bk$ and $\varepsilon = aj + bk$.

Finally, for the case $\sigma = u\rho$, with $u \in \mathcal{L}^*$, one applies what we just saw to γu , to obtain ε, δ such that $\bar{\rho}\gamma\sigma = \bar{\rho}\gamma u\rho \equiv (\gamma u \cdot \varepsilon) \delta \equiv (\gamma \cdot \varepsilon \bar{u}) \delta \pmod{p}$. Thus, the following holds: **Proposition 5** Given $\rho, \sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ with norm p, then there are $\varepsilon, \delta \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $\bar{\rho}\gamma\sigma \equiv (\gamma \cdot \varepsilon)\delta \pmod{p}$, for all $\gamma \in \mathcal{L}$. Moreover, for any ρ, σ , one can easily compute ε , which then yields the following criterion:

$$\rho^{-1}\gamma\sigma\in\mathcal{L}\iff\gamma\cdot\varepsilon\equiv0\pmod{p}$$

From now on, we assume that $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a solution of the system (1-3-3-4), we set $\gamma_0 = x_0 - y_0 i - z_0 j - t_0 k$, and we are going to show that from this solution one can construct a solution for the (1-3-5) system, by using Remark 1 and Proposition 5.

4 Using primes above 3

Let $\rho = 1 + i - j$. One can easily check that

$$\beta \rho = \sigma \alpha', \tag{10}$$

where $\sigma = 1 + i + j$, $\alpha' = 5 + 3i + j \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$, and that

$$\rho^{-1}\gamma_0 \sigma \iff x_0 - z_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Thus, since $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a solution of (1-3-3-4), it follows by Remark 1 that when this congruence holds, $\rho^{-1}\gamma_0 \sigma$ yields a solution of (1-3-5).

Now, there are 4 right non-associated primes above 3, and for the ones other than $\rho_1 = 1 + i - j$, multiplying by β on the left yields:

$$\beta(1-i-j) = (1+j+k)(3-5j+k)$$
(11)

$$\beta(1+i+j) = (1-j+k)(-3+4i+j+3k)$$
(12)

$$\beta(1-i+j) = (1+i+k)(3-i+4j+3k).$$
(13)

Using (11) instead of (10), and repeating the same argument, we get that, if $x_0 - y_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then the system (1-3-5) has an integer solution; using (12) for $x_0 + y_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, one obtains yet another integer solution for the system (1-3-3-4); and using (13) for $x_0 + z_0 - t_0 \equiv 0$ (mod 3), one gets again another integer solution for the system (1-3-3-4). In the last two cases we obtain no direct information for the solvability of the system (1-3-5), but the extra solutions we get, using (12) and (13), for the system (1-3-3-4) are going to prove instrumental for our proof. Later on we will need to write these extra solutions in terms of x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0 . For now, we note that the above discussion has proved the following.

Proposition 6 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^k(8s+7)$, for any $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. For a solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ of the system (1-3-3-4), if either of the following holds:

i)
$$x_0 - y_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
, or

ii)
$$x_0 - z_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$$
,

then the system (1-3-5) has an integer solution.

5 Using primes above 5

Much like as we did in the previous section, where we used the primes above 3 to see that a solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ for the system (1-3-3-4) either yields conditions for the solvability of the system (1-3-5), or another solution of the system (1-3-3-4), here we will use the primes above 5 to do something analogous, and we will actually calculate the new solutions for the system (1-3-3-4), since we will need to use those explicit expressions.

Taking representatives of all the six primes of norm 5, up to right associates, and multiplying by β on the left, we get

$$\begin{split} \beta(1+2i) &= (j-2k)(3-4i+3j-k)\\ \beta(1+2j) &= (2+i)(-3-i+4j+3k)\\ \beta(1-2k) &= (1-2i)(3+3i+j+4k)\\ \beta(1-2i) &= (2+i)(3-i+5k)\\ \beta(1-2j) &= (-1+2i)(3-5i-j)\\ \beta(1+2k) &= (j-2k)(-3+5j-k). \end{split}$$

For $\delta = \gamma_0 \beta$, we then see that

$$(1+2i)^{-1}\delta(1+2i) = [(1+2i)^{-1}\gamma_0(j-2k)](3-4i+3j-k)$$

$$(1+2j)^{-1}\delta(1+2j) = [(1+2j)^{-1}\gamma_0(2+i)](-3-i+4j+3k)$$

$$(1-2k)^{-1}\delta(1-2k) = [(1-2k)^{-1}\gamma_0(1-2i)](3+3i+j+4k)$$

$$(1-2i)^{-1}\delta(1-2i) = [(1-2i)^{-1}\gamma_0(2+i)](3-i+5k)$$

$$(1-2j)^{-1}\delta(1-2j) = [(1-2j)^{-1}\gamma_0(-1+2i)](3-5i-j)$$

$$(1+2k)^{-1}\delta(1+2k) = [(1+2k)^{-1}\gamma_0(j-2k)](-3+5j-k).$$
(14)

Denoting the expressions in the brackets by γ_i , $i = 1, \ldots, 6$, respectively, one sees that if any of $\gamma_4, \gamma_5, \gamma_6$ is in \mathcal{L} , then the system (1-3-5) would have integer solutions by Remark 1, and we are done. One has, using (8) and Proposition 5,

$$\gamma_4 \in \mathcal{L} \iff t_0 \equiv 3z_0 \pmod{5} \iff n^2 \equiv 2A \pmod{5}$$
$$\gamma_5 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 - 2y_0 + 2z_0 + t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \iff A \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$
$$\gamma_6 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 - 2y_0 + z_0 - 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \iff n^2 \equiv -A \pmod{5}.$$
Therefore, we just proved the following.

Proposition 7 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^r(8s + 7)$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a solution of the system (1-3-3-4), and $A = 3x_0 - y_0 - 4z_0 + 3t_0$ satisfies any one of the following congruences:

- (i) $A \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$,
- (*ii*) $n^2 \equiv 2A \pmod{5}$,
- (iii) $n^2 \equiv -A \pmod{5}$,

then the system (1-3-5) has an integer solution.

We notice that if (x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) is a solution of the system (1-3-3-4), then (x_0, z_0, y_0, t_0) is a solution of it as well, therefore we also have:

Corollary 8 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^k(8s+7)$, for any $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a solution of the system (1-3-3-4) such that any of the following congruences hold: (i) $t_0 \equiv 3y_0 \pmod{5}$,

- (*ii*) $x_0 + 2y_0 2z_0 + t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$,
- (*iii*) $x_0 + y_0 2z_0 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$,

then the system (1-3-5) has an integer solution.

Let us look at $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ now. Using once more (8) and Proposition 5, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L} \iff y_0 \equiv 3x_0 \pmod{5} \iff n^2 \equiv -2A \pmod{5} \\ \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 - 2y_0 - 2z_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \iff n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \\ \gamma_3 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 - 2y_0 - z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5} \iff n^2 \equiv A \pmod{5}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $n^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, either $n^2 \equiv \pm A \pmod{5}$, $n^2 \equiv \pm 2A \pmod{5}$ or $A \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. We have seen what happens if $n^2 \equiv -A \pmod{5}$, $n^2 \equiv 2A \pmod{5}$ and $A \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, hence we just need to see what happens on the other two remaining cases:

• If $n^2 \equiv A \pmod{5}$, then $x_0 - 2y_0 - z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, so $\gamma_3 \in \mathcal{L}$. Since

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{x_0 - 2y_0 + 4z_0 + 2t_0}{5} - \frac{2x_0 + y_0 - 2z_0 + 4t_0}{5}i - \frac{4x_0 + 2y_0 + z_0 - 2t_0}{5}j + \frac{2x_0 - 4y_0 - 2z_0 - t_0}{5}k,$$

and, according to (14), γ_3 yields the element $\beta^* = 3+3i+j+4k \in \mathcal{R}(\beta)$, it follows that

 $\gamma^* = \frac{4x_0 + 2y_0 + z_0 - 2t_0}{5} - \frac{2x_0 + y_0 - 2z_0 + 4t_0}{5}i - \frac{x_0 - 2y_0 + 4z_0 + 2t_0}{5}j + \frac{2x_0 - 4y_0 - 2z_0 - t_0}{5}k$

satisfies $\Re(\gamma^*\beta) = \Re(\gamma_3\beta^*) = \Re(\gamma_0\beta)$, and thus γ^* yields a solution of (1-3-3-4).

If we denote the coordinates of $\overline{\gamma^*}$ by x_1, y_1, z_1, t_1 , using the fact that $x_0 - 2y_0 - z_0 + 2t_0 = 5\kappa$, for some $\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{cases}
x_1 = x_0 - \kappa \\
y_1 = y_0 + 2\kappa \\
z_1 = z_0 + \kappa \\
t_1 = t_0 - 2\kappa.
\end{cases}$$
(15)

• If $n^2 \equiv -2A \pmod{5}$, then $y_0 \equiv 3x_0 \pmod{5}$, and so $\gamma_1 \in \mathcal{L}$. One then sees, as above, that

$$\Re\left[\left(\frac{-4x_0+3y_0}{5}-\frac{3x_0+4y_0}{5}i-z_0j-t_0k\right)\beta\right] = \Re(\gamma\beta),$$

and therefore

$$(x_2, y_2, z_2, t_2) = \left(\frac{-4x_0 + 3y_0}{5}, \frac{3x_0 + 4y_0}{5}, z_0, t_0\right)$$

is another integer solution of the system (1-3-3-4) obtained from the solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$. We have $y_0 = 3x_0 + 5\lambda$, for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$, and thus

$$\begin{cases} x_2 = x_0 + 3\lambda \\ y_2 = y_0 - \lambda \\ z_2 = z_0 \\ t_2 = t_0. \end{cases}$$
(16)

is another integer solution of (1-3-3-4).

Now we are ready to prove the following:

Proposition 9 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^k(8s + 7)$, for any $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. The following holds:

- i) If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (n, 15) = 1.
- ii) If $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions for all $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ such that $5 \nmid n$.

Proof: As above, we may assume the existence of a solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ of the system (1-3-3-4). Note that if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, or if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, then $35m - n^4 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$. Therefore $A^2 + B^2 + C^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, and since the squares modulo 3 are 0 and 1, we have that exactly one of the A, B, C is 0 modulo 3, and the other two are $\pm 1 \mod{3}$. From (8) and for a solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$

of (1-3-3-4), we see that

$$\begin{cases} n^2 \equiv x_0 + t_0 \pmod{3} \\ A \equiv -y_0 - z_0 \pmod{3} \\ B \equiv y_0 - z_0 \pmod{3} \\ C \equiv x_0 - t_0 \pmod{3}. \end{cases}$$

We now consider all possibilities for the congruence classes of A, B, C modulo 3. In each one of the following cases, one sees that one can use Proposition 6 to show that the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions:

- If $A \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $B \equiv C \pmod{3}$, then it is easy to see that $x_0 + 2z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- If $A \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $B \equiv -C \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + 2y_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- If $C \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $A \equiv B \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + 2y_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- If $C \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $A \equiv -B \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + 2z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- If $B \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $A \equiv C \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + 2y_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

There is only one remaining case:

- If $B \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $A \equiv -C \pmod{3}$, then we have that $x_0 + y_0 + 2t_0 \equiv x_0 + z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Proposition 6 does not yield the claim this time. Instead, we are going to use the results from the previous section. For $n^2 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, we have the following cases:
 - If we have that $A \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $n^2 \equiv 2A \pmod{5}$ or $n^2 \equiv -A \pmod{5}$, thus, by Proposition 7, the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions.

- If $n^2 \equiv A \pmod{5}$ then the solution (15) of the system (1-3-3-4) satisfies $x_1 + 2y_1 + 2t_1 \equiv 2(x_0 + z_0 + 2t_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, Proposition 6 yields the claim.
- If $n^2 \equiv -2A \pmod{5}$, then the solution (16) of the system (1-3-3-4) satisfies $x_2 + 2y_2 + 2t_2 \equiv x_0 + y_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore, Proposition 6 yields the claim again.

The case $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ of (4) is the only one left to be treated. For that case, similarly to the above, we can show the following:

Proposition 10 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$, $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, be such that $35m-n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^k(8s+7)$, for any $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, either the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions, or if $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a solution of the system (1-3-3-4), we must have either $x_0+y_0+2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $y \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, or $x_0 + z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $z \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

Proof: Let $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, which means that $n^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, then $35m - n^4 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, so that $A^2 + B^2 + C^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Therefore A^2, B^2, C^2 are all 0 or they are all 1 modulo 3, and

- If $A \equiv B \equiv C \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $y_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.
- If $A \equiv -B \equiv -C \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + 2y_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and Proposition 6 applies.
- If $A \equiv B \equiv -C \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + 2z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, and again Proposition 6 applies.
- If $A \equiv -B \equiv C \pmod{3}$, then $x_0 + y_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ and $z_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.

In order to complete the proof of the case $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ of Theorem (4), we need to work modulo 7 as well, since the above methods are not enough to cover every possibility.

6 Using primes above 7

Let $\rho_1 = 1+i+j+2k$, $\rho_2 = 1-i-j-2k$, $\rho_3 = 1-i+j-2k$, $\rho_4 = 1+i-j+2k$, $\rho_5 = 1+i+j-2k$, $\rho_6 = 1-i-j+2k$, $\rho_7 = 1+i-j-2k$, and $\rho_8 = 1-i+j+2k$ be representatives of all the 8 right non-associate primes of norm 7. Multiplying them all by β on the left, as we did before for the primes of norm 3 and 5, we get:

$$\begin{split} \beta \rho_1 &= (1-i+2j-k)(-3-3i+4j+k) \\ \beta \rho_2 &= (1-i+2j-k)(3+i-4j+3k) \\ \beta \rho_3 &= (2-i-j+k)(4+i+3j+3k) \\ \beta \rho_4 &= (1+i-j-2k)(1+3i+3j-4k) \\ \beta \rho_5 &= (-2+i+j-k)(-i-5j-3k) \\ \beta \rho_6 &= (-1-2i-j-k)(-3+j-5k) \\ \beta \rho_7 &= (-2+i+j-k)(-3i-5j+k) \\ \beta \rho_8 &= (1+i-j-2k)(-3+5i+j). \end{split}$$

Denoting by σ_i the corresponding prime above 7 that shows up on the right side, and setting $\hat{\gamma}_i = \rho_i^{-1} \gamma_0 \sigma_i$, one has

$$\rho_{1}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{1} = \hat{\gamma}_{1} (-3 - 3i + 4j + k)$$

$$\rho_{2}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{2} = \hat{\gamma}_{2} (3 + i - 4j + 3k)$$

$$\rho_{3}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{3} = \hat{\gamma}_{3} (4 + i + 3j + 3k)$$

$$\rho_{4}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{4} = \hat{\gamma}_{4} (1 + 3i + 3j - 4k)$$

$$\rho_{5}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{5} = \hat{\gamma}_{5} (-i - 5j - 3k)$$

$$\rho_{6}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{6} = \hat{\gamma}_{6} (-3 + j - 5k)$$

$$\rho_{7}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{7} = \hat{\gamma}_{7} (-3i - 5j + k)$$

$$\rho_{8}^{-1}\gamma_{0}\beta\rho_{8} = \hat{\gamma}_{8} (-3 + 5i + j).$$
(17)

If any of the $\hat{\gamma}_i$ for i = 5, 6, 7, 8 is in \mathcal{L} , then the system (1-3-5) would

have integer solutions, and we are done. Using (8) and Proposition 5, one deduces

$$\hat{\gamma}_5 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 + 2y_0 + z_0 + t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff A \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_6 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 - 2y_0 + 3t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff n^2 \equiv A \pmod{7}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_7 \in \mathcal{L} \iff y_0 + 2z_0 + 3t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff n^2 \equiv -2A \pmod{7}$$

$$\hat{\gamma}_8 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 + y_0 - z_0 - 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff n^2 \equiv -4A \pmod{7}.$$

Therefore, we have proved the following.

Proposition 11 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative and not of the form $4^r(8s + 7)$, for any $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$. If $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ is a solution of the system (1-3-3-4), and if any of the following hold:

- (i) $A \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$
- (*ii*) $n^2 \equiv A \pmod{7}$
- (iii) $n^2 \equiv -2A \pmod{7}$
- (iii) $n^2 \equiv -4A \pmod{7}$

then the system (1-3-5) has an integer solution.

Now, let us look at $\hat{\gamma}_i$, for i = 1, 2, 3, 4. Applying once more (8) and Proposition 5, one has:

 $\begin{aligned} \hat{\gamma}_1 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 + 4z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff n^2 \equiv 4A \pmod{7} \\ \hat{\gamma}_2 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 - y_0 + 2z_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff n^2 \equiv 2A \pmod{7} \\ \hat{\gamma}_3 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 + 4y_0 - 2z_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff n^2 \equiv -A \pmod{7} \\ \hat{\gamma}_4 \in \mathcal{L} \iff x_0 + 3y_0 + 3z_0 + 4t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \iff n^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}. \end{aligned}$

If any of the $\hat{\gamma}_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3, 4 is in \mathcal{L} , then we will have another solution for the system (1-3-3-4). We do not care for $\hat{\gamma}_4$, as the statement of Theorem 4 suggests, and we will examine each of the cases $\hat{\gamma}_1, \hat{\gamma}_2, \hat{\gamma}_3 \in \mathcal{L}$ separately. Note that if $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, then we have either $n^2 \equiv \pm A \pmod{7}$, $n^2 \equiv \pm 2A \pmod{7}$, $n^2 \equiv \pm 4A \pmod{7}$, or $A \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. • If $\hat{\gamma}_1 \in \mathcal{L}$, then $n^2 \equiv 4A \pmod{7}$ and $x_0 + 4z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, which means that $x_0 + 4z_0 + 2t_0 = 7\mu$, for some $\mu \in \mathbb{Z}$. Looking at the coordinates of $\hat{\gamma}_1$, rearranging them and changing signs accordingly, one sees that for

$$\gamma_1^* = \frac{6x_0 + 3z_0 - 2t_0}{7} - \frac{3x_0 - 2z_0 + 6t_0}{7}i - y_0j + \frac{2x_0 - 6z_0 - 3t_0}{7}k$$

one has $\Re(\gamma_1^*\beta) = \Re(\gamma\beta)$, and hence

$$(\hat{x}_1, \hat{y}_1, \hat{z}_1, \hat{t}_1) = \left(\frac{6x_0 + 3z_0 - 2t_0}{7}, \frac{3x_0 - 2z_0 + 6t_0}{7}, y_0, \frac{-2x_0 + 6z_0 + 3t_0}{7}\right)$$

is another integer solution of the system (1-3-3-4), which we can write as:

$$\begin{cases}
\hat{x}_1 = x_0 + z_0 - \mu \\
\hat{y}_1 = -2z_0 + 3\mu \\
\hat{z}_1 = y_0 \\
\hat{t}_1 = 2z_0 + t_0 - 2\mu.
\end{cases}$$
(18)

• If $\hat{\gamma}_2 \in \mathcal{L}$, then $n^2 \equiv 2A \pmod{7}$, and $x_0 - y_0 + 2z_0 - t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, i.e. $x_0 - y_0 + 2z_0 - t_0 = 7\nu$, for some $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in the previous case, one shows that for $\gamma_2^* = \frac{5x_0 + 2y_0 - 4z_0 + 2t_0}{7} - \frac{2x_0 + 5y_0 + 4z_0 - 2t_0}{7}i + \frac{4x_0 - 4y_0 + z_0 - 4t_0}{7}j - \frac{2x_0 - 2y_0 + 4z_0 + 5t_0}{7}k$ we have that $\Re(\gamma_2^*\beta) = \Re(\gamma\beta)$. Thus, the conjugate of γ_2^* provides another integer solution of the system (1-3-3-4). Denoting its coordinates by $\hat{x}_2, \hat{y}_2, \hat{z}_2, \hat{t}_2$, one can see that:

$$\begin{cases}
\hat{x}_2 = x_0 - 2\nu \\
\hat{y}_2 = y_0 + 2\nu \\
\hat{z}_2 = z_0 - 4\nu \\
\hat{t}_2 = t_0 + 2\nu.
\end{cases}$$
(19)

• If $\hat{\gamma}_3 \in \mathcal{L}$, then $n^2 \equiv -A \pmod{7}$, and $x_0 + 4y_0 - 2z_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, i.e. $x_0 + 4y_0 - 2z_0 = 7\xi$, for some $\xi \in \mathbb{Z}$. As in the previous cases,

$$\gamma_3^* = \frac{-2x_0 + 6y_0 - 3z_0}{7} + \frac{3x_0 - 2y_0 - 6z_0}{7}i - \frac{6x_0 + 3y_0 + 2z_0}{7}j - t_0k,$$

satisfies $\Re(\gamma_3^*\beta) = \Re(\gamma\beta)$. Hence, the coordinates of its conjugate, $\hat{x}_3, \hat{y}_3, \hat{z}_3, \hat{t}_3$, furnish another integer solution of the system (1-3-3-4), and one has:

$$\begin{cases}
\hat{x}_3 = 2y_0 - z_0 - 2\xi \\
\hat{y}_3 = 2y_0 - 3\xi \\
\hat{z}_3 = -3y_0 + 2z_0 + 6\xi \\
\hat{t}_3 = t_0.
\end{cases}$$
(20)

Now we have everything that we need to prove the following result.

Proposition 12 Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $35m - n^4$ is non-negative not of the form $4^k(8s+7)$, for any $k, s \in \mathbb{N}$. When $m \equiv -1 \pmod{3}$ the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that (n, 105) = 1.

Proof: Let $A \in \mathbb{Z}$ from (8). We see that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $n^2 \neq 0$ (mod 7), we necessarily have one of the following: $n^2 \equiv \pm A \pmod{7}$, $n^2 \equiv \pm 2A \pmod{7}$, $n^2 \equiv \pm 4A \pmod{7}$, or $A \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$. Therefore, we have:

- If either A ≡ 0 (mod 7), n² ≡ A (mod 7), n² ≡ -2A (mod 7), or n² ≡ -4A (mod 7), then Proposition 11 says that the system (1-3-5) has an integer solution.
- If $n^2 \equiv -A \pmod{7}$, then the solution $\hat{x}_3, \hat{y}_3, \hat{z}_3, \hat{t}_3$ from (20) satisfies $\hat{x}_3 + 2\hat{z}_3 + 2\hat{t}_3 \equiv x_0 + z_0 + 2t_0 \pmod{3}$, and $\hat{x}_3 + 2\hat{y}_3 + 2\hat{t}_3 \equiv x_0 + y_0 + 2t_0 \pmod{3}$, therefore, Proposition 10 and Proposition 6 yield the result.
- If $n^2 \equiv 4A \pmod{7}$, then the solution $\hat{x}_1, \hat{y}_1, \hat{z}_1, \hat{t}_1$ from (18) satisfies $\hat{x}_1 + 2\hat{y}_1 + 2\hat{t}_1 \equiv 2(x_0 + z_0 + 2t_0) \pmod{3}$, and $\hat{x}_1 + 2\hat{z}_1 + 2\hat{t}_1 \equiv 2(x_0 + y_0 + 2t_0) \pmod{3}$, so again Proposition 10 and Proposition 6 yield the result.
- If n² ≡ 2A (mod 7), then x₀ y₀ + 2z₀ t₀ ≡ 0 (mod 7), so x₀ y₀ + 2z₀ t₀ = 7ν, for some ν ∈ Z. We are going to check when the solution (19) satisfies the solvability conditions modulo 5 of Proposition 7 and Corollary 8. Let = 3x̂₂ ŷ₂ 4ẑ₂ + 3t̂₂ be the corresponding A for the solution x̂₂, ŷ₂, ẑ₂, t̂₂. If either ≡ 0 (mod 5), n² ≡ 2Â (mod 5), or n² ≡ -Â (mod 5) holds, then, by Proposition 7, we are done. So we just need to check the following two cases:

- If $n^2 \equiv \hat{A} \pmod{5}$, then $\hat{x}_2 2\hat{y}_2 \hat{z}_2 + 2\hat{t}_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Therefore, $x_0 - 2y_0 - z_0 + 2t_0 \equiv -2\nu \pmod{5}$. We also have that $x_0 - y_0 + 2z_0 - t_0 \equiv 7\nu \equiv 2\nu \pmod{5}$, and therefore we obtain $x_0 + y_0 - 2z_0 - 2t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Corollary 8 then yields the result.
- If $n^2 \equiv -2\hat{A} \pmod{5}$, then $\hat{y}_2 \equiv 3\hat{x}_2 \pmod{5}$, which implies that $y_0 + 2x_0 \equiv 2\nu \pmod{5}$. This together with $x_0 y_0 + 2z_0 t_0 \equiv 2\nu \pmod{5}$ yields $x_0 + 2y_0 2z_0 + t_0 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$. Therefore, Corollary 8 yields the result again.

Proposition 9 and Proposition 12 combined make for Theorem 4.

7 Integer solutions

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $S_m = \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : 35m - n^4 \ge 0\}$, and it will also be convenient to set $T_m = \{n \in S_m : 35m - n^4 \text{ is a sum of 3 squares}\}.$

Lemma 13 If $m \neq 0 \pmod{16}$, then T_m contains either all odd numbers of S_m , or all even numbers of S_m .

Proof: Simple congruence arguments easily show the following:

$$m \equiv 1, 3, 7 \pmod{8} \implies 2\mathbb{N}_0 \cap S_m \subseteq T_m,$$

$$m \equiv 2, 4, 5, 6 \pmod{8} \implies (1+2\mathbb{N}_0) \cap S_m \subseteq T_m,$$

$$m \equiv 8 \pmod{16} \implies 2\mathbb{N}_0 \cap S_m \subseteq T_m.$$

Lemma 14 If $m \neq 0 \pmod{16}$ and if A is a subset of S_m containing at least 10 consecutive numbers, then there is at least one $n \in A \cap T_m$ that satisfies $3 \mid n \text{ and } 5 \nmid n$, and another $n \in A \cap T_m$ such that (n, 105) = 1.

Proof: Consider the classes modulo 15 written in a circle as follows.



The colors have the following meaning: red and blue represent different parities, but not necessarily the parity of the number in the figure — if one adds a multiple of 15, the parities either remain the same or switch —, and only residues that are divisible by 3 but not by 5 are colored. Then, in order to apply the previous lemma to guarantee that in a certain set of consecutive numbers there is at least one divisible by 3 but not by 5, one just needs to ensure that the set must contain both a blue and a red residue. By inspection of the figure, one sees that, actually, one only needs 9 consecutive numbers (the worst cases are the sequences starting at 10 and ending at 3, and starting at 13 and ending at 6).

For the second statement, one must work modulo 105. Again, imagine all the classes modulo 105 in a circular, or periodic arrangement. Here we represent them in five lines, and the reader should imagine the number 104 connected back to the beginning, and only the residues that are coprime to 105 are shown, the other being represented by a dot.

1	2	4			8			11		13	•	16	17	19	
22	23		26		29	•	31	32	•	34	•	37	38		41
43	44	46	47				52	53				58	59	61	62
64		67	68		71		73	74		76		79		82	83
	86	88	89		92		94			97			101	103	104

Again, a simple inspection shows that 10 consecutive numbers suffice to guarantee at least a blue and a red residue (the worst cases are the sequences starting at 2 and ending at 11, and starting at 95 and ending with 104). \Box

We have that $|S_m| \ge 10$ if $\sqrt[4]{35m} \ge 10$, which is equivalent to $m \ge 286$. Therefore, from Theorem 4, it follows that the system (1-3-5) has integer solutions for all $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{16}$ and $m \ge 286$. Since it is easy

to check that this system has solutions for all m up to 286, and since a solution $(x_0, y_0, z_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ for that system for some m, yields the solution $(4x_0, 4y_0, 4z_0, 4t_0) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$ for 16m, a simple descent argument establishes the following result.

Theorem 15 Any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ can be written as $x^2+y^2+z^2+t^2$ with $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that x + 3y + 5z is a square. Moreover, for $m \ge 38$, one can choose this square to be one of 1, 4, 9, or 36.

Proof: It only remains to prove the last statement, which follows from the fact that, when $6 \in S_m$, i.e. when $m \ge 38$, by Lemma 13, T_m either contains $\{1,3,5\}$ or $\{2,4,6\}$. Thus, for $m \equiv 0, -1 \pmod{3}$ one can choose, in Theorem 4, either n = 1 or n = 2; for $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, either n = 3 or n = 6.

8 Natural Solutions

Theorem 16 For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ not divisible by 16 and sufficiently large (namely $m \ge 1.05104 \times 10^{11}$), there exists at least one $n \in \left[\sqrt[4]{34 m}, \sqrt[4]{35 m}\right]$ such that the system (1-3-5) has solutions in \mathbb{N}_0 .

Proof: Firstly, we note that there is a constant $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for $m \ge c$ the interval $\left[\sqrt[4]{34 m}, \sqrt[4]{35 m}\right]$ contains at least 10 consecutive integers. We can easily calculate c:

$$\sqrt[4]{35\,m} - \sqrt[4]{34\,m} \ge 10 \iff m \ge \left(\frac{10}{\sqrt[4]{35} - \sqrt[4]{34}}\right)^4 \simeq 105\,103\,560\,126.8026.$$

From Lemma 14 we know that, for $m \ge c$, the interval contains an $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $35m - n^4$ is a sum of 3 squares and m, n satisfy the conditions of Theorem 4. It then follows that there exist $A, B, C \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\delta =$ $\gamma \alpha = n^2 + Ai + Bj + Ck \in \mathcal{L}$, for some $\gamma = x - yi - zj - tk \in \mathcal{L}$, with $\alpha = 1 + 3i + 5j$, and $\mathbb{N}(\delta) = 35m$. We then have that

$$\delta = (x + 3y + 5z) + (3x - y + 5t)i + (5x - z - 3t)j + (5y + 3z - t)k.$$

Therefore we must have that

$$\begin{cases}
n^2 = x + 3y + 5z \\
A = 3x - y + 5t \\
B = 5x - z - 3t \\
C = -5y + 3z - t.
\end{cases}$$
(21)

Solving (21) yields

$$\begin{cases} x = \frac{3A+5B+n^2}{35} \\ y = \frac{-A-5C+3n^2}{35} \\ z = \frac{-B+3C_0+5n^2}{35} \\ t = \frac{5A-3B-C}{35}. \end{cases}$$

Note that if (x, y, z, t) is a solution of (1-3-5), then (x, y, z, -t) is a solution of it as well. Therefore a sufficient condition to have a solution of (1-3-5) in \mathbb{N}_0 is:

$$\begin{cases}
n^2 \geqslant -3A - 5B \\
3n^2 \geqslant A + 5C \\
5n^2 \geqslant B - 3C.
\end{cases}$$
(22)

Now, from the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality we can see that

$$(3|A|+5|B|)^2 \leq (3^2+5^2)(A^2+B^2) \leq 34(A^2+B^2+C^2) = 34(35m-n^4).$$

If $n^2 \geq \sqrt{34(35m-n^4)}$, then $n^2 \geq -3A-5B$, and so $x \geq 0$. Similarly
one can show that if $3n^2 \geq \sqrt{26(35m-n^4)}$, then $y \geq 0$, and if $5n^2 \geq \sqrt{10(35m-n^4)}$, then $z \geq 0$. Hence $n^2 \geq \sqrt{34(35m-n^4)}$ is a sufficient
condition for $x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The last condition is equivalent to $n \geq \sqrt[4]{34m}$. \Box

We can finally state the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 17 Any natural number $m > 105\,103\,560\,126$ can be written as $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2$, with $x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{N}_0$, such that x + 3y + 5z is a square.

Proof: It only remains to observe that $\frac{105\,103\,560\,126}{16} < 10^{10}$, and so the fact that it has been computationally checked that the 1-3-5 conjecture has been verified up to 10^{10} implies that all the multiples of 16 bigger that 10^{10} are also covered, by a simple descent argument.

Rogério Reis, from the department of Computer Science of the University of Porto, and a researcher at CMUP, wrote a very efficient C program, implementing ideas by the authors and suggestions made by Zhì-Wěi Sūn, that checked that all natural numbers up to 105 103 560 126 do have a 1-3-5 representation. These computations, reported in [8], together with the above result, completely prove the 1-3-5 conjecture.

As a final remark, we note that what one would naturally call the 1-3-3-4 conjecture is not true. That is, it is not true that every natural number m can be written as a sum of four squares, $m = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + t^2$, so that x + 3y + 3z + 4t is a perfect square. For example the numbers 3, 4, 7, 8, 22, 23, 31, 42, 61, 95, 148, 157 and 376 do not have such a representation. Computations seem to suggest that, except for these thirteen numbers and all its multiples by powers of 16, all other numbers do have a 1-3-3-4 representation.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Professor Zhì-Wěi Sūn (孙智伟) and Professor Bō Hé (何波) for their kind feedback and helpful comments to lower the bound for the natural solutions, and for suggestions to design an effective algorithm to finish the verification of the 1-3-5 conjecture.

The authors would also like to acknowledge the financial support by FCT — Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P.—, through the grants for Nikolaos Tsopanidis with references PD/BI/143152/2019, PD/BI/135365/2017, PD/BI/113680/2015, and by CMUP — Centro de Matemática da Universidade do Porto —, which is financed by national funds through FCT under the project with reference UID/MAT/00144/2020.

References

 Zhì-Wěi Sūn. Refining Lagrange's Four-Square Theorem. Journal of Number Theory, 175:167–190, 2017.

- [2] John H Conway and Derek A Smith. On Quaternions and Octonions. AK Peters/CRC Press, 2003.
- [3] Gordon Pall. On the Arithmetic of Quaternions. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 47(3):487–500, 1940.
- [4] Adrien-Marie Legendre. Essai sur la théorie des nombres. Courcier, 1808.
- [5] Jan Wójcik. On Sums of Three Squares. Colloquium Mathematicum, 1(24):117–119, 1971.
- [6] NC Ankeny. Sums of Three Squares. Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 8(2):316–319, 1957.
- [7] Derrick H Lehmer. On the Partition of Numbers into Squares. The American Mathematical Monthly, 55(8):476–481, 1948.
- [8] António Machiavelo, Rogério Reis, and Nikolaos Tsopanidis. Report on Zhì-Wěi Sūn's 1-3-5 Conjecture and Some of Its Refinements. arXiv:2005.13526, May 2020.