

Symmetric Function Theory and Unitary Invariant Ensembles*

Bhargavi Jonnadula¹, Jonathan P. Keating², and Francesco Mezzadri¹

¹*School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, Fry Building, Bristol, BS8 1UG, UK*

²*Mathematical Institute, University of Oxford, Andrew Wiles Building, Oxford, OX2 6GG, UK*

Abstract

Representation theory and the theory of symmetric functions have played a central role in Random Matrix Theory in the computation of quantities such as joint moments of traces and joint moments of characteristic polynomials of matrices drawn from the Circular Unitary Ensemble and other Circular Ensembles related to the classical compact groups. The reason is that they enable the derivation of exact formulae, which then provide a route to calculating the large-matrix asymptotics of these quantities. We develop a parallel theory for the Gaussian Unitary Ensemble of random matrices, and other related unitary invariant matrix ensembles. This allows us to write down exact formulae in these cases for the joint moments of the traces and the joint moments of the characteristic polynomials in terms of appropriately defined symmetric functions. As an example of an application, for the joint moments of the traces we derive explicit asymptotic formulae for the rate of convergence of the moments of polynomial functions of GUE matrices to those of a standard normal distribution when the matrix size tends to infinity.

1 Introduction

Many important quantities in Random Matrix Theory, such as joint moments of traces and joint moments of characteristic polynomials, can be calculated exactly for matrices drawn from the Circular Unitary Ensemble and the other Circular Ensembles related to the classical compact groups using representation theory and the theory of symmetric polynomials. In the case of joint moments of the traces, this approach has proved highly successful, as in, for example, the work of Diaconis and Shahshahani [19]. Similarly, the joint moments of characteristic polynomials were calculated exactly in terms of Schur polynomials by Bump and Gamburd [14], leading to expressions equivalent to those obtained using the Selberg integral and related techniques [2, 42, 41, 16]. Lee and Oh [47] extended the work of Bump and Gamburd [14] and computed the correlation functions of characteristic polynomials in

*Revised version: 12 August 2021

the Sato-Tate groups as sums of characters of irreducible characters of the symplectic group $Sp(N)$. Our aim here is to develop a parallel theory for the classical unitary invariant Hermitian ensembles of random matrices, in particular for the Gaussian (GUE), Laguerre (LUE), and Jacobi ensembles (JUE).

Characteristic polynomials and their asymptotics have been well studied for Hermitian matrices using orthogonal polynomials, super-symmetric techniques, Selberg and Itzykson-Zuber integrals, see, for example, [12, 9, 30, 31, 32, 1]. Other properties including universality [58, 11], and ensembles with external sources [29, 25] have also been considered. Here we give a symmetric-function-theoretic approach similar to that established by Bump and Gamburd [14], using generalised Schur polynomials [54] or multivariate orthogonal polynomials [3, 4] to compute correlation functions of characteristic polynomials for $\beta = 2$ ensembles.

Diaconis and Shashahani [19] used group-theoretic arguments and symmetric functions to calculate joint moments of traces of matrices for classical compact groups. Here, using multivariate orthogonal polynomials, we develop a similar approach to calculate joint moments of traces for Hermitian ensembles, leading to closed form expressions using combinatorial and symmetric-function-theoretic methods.

Moments of Hermitian ensembles and their correlators have recently received considerable attention. Cunden *et al.* [18] showed that as a function of their order, the moments are hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. Cunden, Dahlqvist and O'Connell [17] showed that the cumulants of the Laguerre ensemble admit an asymptotic expansion in inverse powers of N of whose coefficients are the Hurwitz numbers. Dubrovin and Yang [20] computed the cumulant generating function for the GUE, while Gisonni, Grava and Ruzza calculated the generating function of the cumulants of the LUE in [33] and the JUE in [34].

If M is drawn at random from the classical compact groups $U(N)$, $O(N)$, $Sp(N)$ equipped with Haar measure, then $\text{Tr} M^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to a complex normal random variable as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Johansson [38] was the first to prove a central limit theorem when M belongs to an ensemble of Hermitian matrices invariant under unitary conjugation. In this case the analogue of $\text{Tr} M^k$ is played by $\text{Tr} T_k(M)$, where T_k is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind; see also [10, 63, 55, 26, 27, 56, 6, 52, 51, 45, 5, 43] and references therein. As an example of an application of the general approach we take here, we apply our results to establish explicit asymptotic formulae for the rate of convergence of the moments and cumulants of Chebyshev-polynomial functions of GUE matrices to those of a standard normal distribution when the matrix size tends to infinity. In a companion article [39], we use the techniques developed in this paper to investigate the moments of the characteristic polynomials in the GUE, uncovering structure that had been overlooked in previous studies.

The theory of symmetric functions has been applied to orthogonal polynomials also outside the context of random matrices. In a spirit similar to that in this paper, generalizations of classical combinatorial identities like the Cauchy formula have played a fundamental role. The Schur functions arise naturally in the representation theory of the Heisenberg algebra. Lam [44] showed that by choosing a particular representation, the Schur polynomials are replaced by a new class of symmetric functions that obey Pieri and Cauchy-like identities. In turn, these can be applied to the theory of Hall-Littlewood and Macdonald polynomials. More recently, Borodin [8] studied a one-parameter family of rational symmetric functions that generalize the Hall-Littlewood polynomials. He also showed that such symmetric functions satisfy Pieri and Cauchy-like identities.

This paper is structured as follows. In Sec. 2 we introduce our main results. The preliminaries, multivariate orthogonal polynomials and their properties are discussed in Sec. 3. The correlation functions of characteristic polynomials are calculated in Sec. 4. We discuss the change of basis among different symmetric functions in Sec. 5 and prove there the results for the moments of characteristic polynomials and the joint moments of traces for different ensembles. Finally, in Sec. 6, by way of an example, we apply the results to derive explicit asymptotic formulae for the rate of convergence of the moments and cumulants of Chebyshev-polynomial functions of GUE matrices to those of a standard normal distribution when the matrix size tends to infinity.

2 Statements and results

For the classical compact groups, Schur polynomials and their generalisations are the characters of $U(N)$, $O(N)$ and $Sp(N)$. In this context they have been used extensively to calculate correlation functions of characteristic polynomials, joint moments of the traces, see [19, 14]. Although group theoretic tools are not available for the set of Hermitian matrices, multivariate orthogonal polynomials play the role of Schur functions for the GUE, LUE and JUE and can be used to study fundamental quantities like moments of traces and characteristic polynomials.

For a partition μ , let Φ_μ be the multivariate symmetric polynomials with leading coefficient equal to 1 that obey the orthogonality relation

$$\int \Phi_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_N) \Phi_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_N) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^N w(x_j) dx_j = \delta_{\mu\nu} C_\mu \quad (2.1)$$

for a weight function w . Here the lengths of the partitions μ and ν are less than or equal to the number of variables N , and C_μ is a constant which depends on N . We prove the following lemma, which is a generalization of the dual Cauchy identity.

Lemma 2.1. *Let Φ_μ be multivariate polynomials given in (2.1). Let $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$ and for $\lambda \subseteq (q^p) \equiv \underbrace{(q, \dots, q)}_p$ let $\tilde{\lambda} = (p - \lambda'_q, \dots, p - \lambda'_1)$. Then*

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (t_i - x_j) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (q^p)} (-1)^{|\tilde{\lambda}|} \Phi_\lambda(t_1, \dots, t_p) \Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(x_1, \dots, x_q). \quad (2.2)$$

Here partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l)$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l$ is a sub-partition of the partition (q^p) , denoted by $\lambda \subseteq (q^p)$. (See Sec. 3.1). This lemma appears in [24, p.625] for the Jacobi multivariate polynomials for arbitrary β . Here we present a different proof for $\beta = 2$, which holds for the Hermite and Laguerre polynomials, too. A key difference in our approach is that we have closed-form expressions for multivariate polynomials as determinants of univariate classical orthogonal polynomials, while in the previous literature their construction was based on recurrence relations. This means that in this paper formula (2.2) becomes a powerful tool and plays a role analogous to that of the classical dual Cauchy identity for $U(N)$. It is worth noting that the identity in Lemma 2.1 is independent of the weight w . This is a consequence

of the row and column operations on determinants (see Eqs. (4.4) and (4.5)) that are central to the proof. It is the analogue of the well known equality for Vandermonde determinants,

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j) = \det [x_i^{N-j}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N} = \det [\varphi_{N-j}(x_i)]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}, \quad (2.3)$$

where φ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots$, is any sequence of monic orthogonal polynomials.

We focus in particular on when $w(x)$ in (2.1) is a Gaussian, Laguerre and Jacobi weight:

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}, & x \in \mathbb{R}, & \text{Gaussian,} \\ x^\gamma e^{-x}, & x \in \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \gamma > -1, & \text{Laguerre,} \\ x^{\gamma_1} (1-x)^{\gamma_2}, & x \in [0, 1], \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 > -1, & \text{Jacobi.} \end{cases} \quad (2.4)$$

The classical polynomials orthogonal with respect to these weights satisfy

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} H_j(x) H_k(x) e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \sqrt{2\pi} j! \delta_{jk}, \quad (2.5a)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+} L_m^{(\gamma)} L_n^{(\gamma)} x^\gamma e^{-x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} \delta_{nm}, \quad (2.5b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 J_n^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x) J_m^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x) x^{\gamma_1} (1-x)^{\gamma_2} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2n + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma_1 + 1) \Gamma(n + \gamma_2 + 1)}{n! \Gamma(n + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \delta_{mn}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5c)$$

The identity in (2.2) gives a compact way to calculate the correlation functions and moments of characteristic polynomials of unitary ensembles using symmetric functions. The results are as follows.

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be an $N \times N$ GUE, LUE or JUE matrix and $t_1, \dots, t_p \in \mathbb{C}$. Then,*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^p \det(t_j - M) \right] = \mathcal{H}_{(N^p)}(t_1, \dots, t_p) \\ (b) \quad & \mathbb{E}_N^{(L)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^p \det(t_j - M) \right] = \left(\prod_{j=N}^{p+N-1} (-1)^j j! \right) \mathcal{L}_{(N^p)}^{(\gamma)}(t_1, \dots, t_p) \\ (c) \quad & \mathbb{E}_N^{(J)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^p \det(t_j - M) \right] = \left(\prod_{j=N}^{p+N-1} (-1)^j j! \frac{\Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \right) \mathcal{J}_{(N^p)}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(t_1, \dots, t_p) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Here the subscripts $(H), (L), (J)$ indicate Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi, respectively, and $\mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{L}_\lambda^\gamma, \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$ are multivariate polynomials orthogonal with respect to the generalised weights in (2.1).

Similar to the case of the classical compact groups, correlations of traces of Hermitian ensembles can be calculated using the theory of symmetric functions. For a partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_N)$, $\sum_j \lambda_j \leq N$, define

$$\begin{aligned} C_\lambda(N) &= \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{(\lambda_j + N - j)!}{(N - j)!}, \\ G_\lambda(N, \gamma) &= \prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma(\lambda_j + N - j + \gamma + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The constants $C_\lambda(N)$ and $G_\lambda(N, \gamma)$ have several interesting combinatorial interpretations which are discussed in Sec. 5.1.

Theorem 2.2. *Let M be an $N \times N$ GUE, LUE or JUE matrix and let $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$ be a partition such that $|\mu| = \sum_{j=1}^l \mu_j \leq N$. Then*

$$(a) \quad \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^l \text{Tr} M^{\mu_j} \right] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}} \frac{|\mu|!}{2}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash |\mu|} \chi_{(2^{|\lambda|/2})}^\lambda \chi_\mu^\lambda C_\lambda(N), & |\mu| \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

which is a polynomial in N .

$$(b) \quad \mathbb{E}_N^{(L)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^l \text{Tr} M^{\mu_j} \right] = \frac{1}{|\mu|!} \sum_{\lambda \vdash |\mu|} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma)}{G_0(N, \gamma)} C_\lambda(N) \chi_{(1^{|\lambda|})}^\lambda \chi_\mu^\lambda. \quad (2.9)$$

$$(c) \quad \mathbb{E}_N^{(J)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^l \text{Tr} M^{\mu_j} \right] = \sum_{\lambda \vdash |\mu|} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1)}{G_0(N, \gamma_1)} C_\lambda(N) \chi_\mu^\lambda D_{\lambda_0}^{(J)}, \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$D_{\lambda_0}^{(J)} = \det \left[\mathbb{1}_{\lambda_i - i + j \geq 0} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - i + j)!} \frac{\Gamma(2N - 2i + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)}{\Gamma(2N + \lambda_i - i - j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)} \right]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}. \quad (2.11)$$

In the above equations χ_μ^λ are the characters of the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_m , $m = |\lambda| = |\mu|$, associated to the λ^{th} irreducible representation on the μ^{th} conjugacy class.

Next, we now focus our attention on the GUE with rescaled matrices $M_R = M/\sqrt{2N}$. Define the random variables

$$X_k := \text{Tr} T_k(M_R) - \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} T_k(M_R)]. \quad (2.12)$$

Here T_k is the Chebyshev polynomial of degree k . Johansson proved the following multi-dimensional central limit theorem for X_k [38]:

$$(X_1, \dots, X_{2m}) \xrightarrow{d} \left(\frac{1}{2} r_1, \dots, \frac{\sqrt{2m}}{2} r_{2m} \right), \quad (2.13)$$

where r_j are independent standard normal random variables and \xrightarrow{d} means convergence in distribution [38].

Define

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,k} := \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_k^n] - \left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \right)^n \mathbb{E}[r_k^n] \quad (2.14)$$

The formalism that we developed to study moments of traces allow us to derive explicit estimates for the error $\mathcal{E}_{n,k}$ as a function of matrix size N . For rescaled Gaussian matrices, the correlators of traces are Laurant polynomials in N . This fact can be seen from (2.8)

when applied to rescaled matrices. Consequently, the moments of polynomial test functions are also Laurant polynomials in N .

Let f and g be real or complex valued functions defined on some subset of \mathbb{R} . In what follows we will use the notations $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$ and $f(x) = O(g(x))$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ interchangeably. More precisely, we write $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$ ($f(x) = O(g(x))$) if and only if there exist a x_0 and a positive constant K such that

$$|f(x)| \leq K|g(x)| \quad \text{for all } x > x_0. \quad (2.15)$$

More in general, if t belongs to the extended real line, then $f(x) \lesssim g(x)$ ($f(x) = O(g(x))$) as $x \rightarrow t$ if and only if

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow t} \frac{|f(x)|}{|g(x)|} < \infty. \quad (2.16)$$

We have the following theorem for Chebyshev polynomials.

Theorem 2.3. *Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $kn \leq N$. With the notation introduced above the following statements hold as $N \rightarrow \infty$.*

1. *For k odd and $k > 1$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ d_1(n, k) \frac{1}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^4}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

where

$$d_1(n, k) \lesssim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{7nk}{8} - \frac{13n}{8} + \frac{n}{6k}} k^{\frac{3n}{8}(k+2) + \frac{n}{8} + \frac{n}{4k}} n^{\frac{3n}{8}(k+1) - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{7}{8}} e^{-\frac{n}{8}(k+1) + \frac{9n}{4} + \frac{5n}{8k} + \pi \sqrt{\frac{n}{3}(k+1)}}, \quad (2.18)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and k fixed.

2. *For k even,*

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,k} = \begin{cases} d_2(n, k) \frac{1}{N} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^3}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ d_3(n, k) \frac{1}{N^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^4}\right), & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d_2(n, k) &\lesssim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{3nk}{8} - 3n + \frac{n}{6k}} k^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{9n}{4k}} n^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{2n}{k} - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{8}} e^{-\frac{n}{8}(k-18) + \pi \sqrt{\frac{nk}{3} - \frac{19n}{8k}}}, \\ d_3(n, k) &\lesssim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{3nk}{8} - 3n + \frac{n}{6k}} k^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{9n}{4k}} n^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{2n}{k} - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{8}} e^{-\frac{n}{8}(k-18) + \pi \sqrt{\frac{nk}{3} - \frac{19n}{8k}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and k fixed. Here $A = 1.2824 \dots$ is the Glaisher–Kinkelin constant [15].

Along with the moments we also give an estimate for the cumulants of random variables X_k . Computing cumulants from (2.8) is not straightforward. Instead, we employ the well established connection between correlators of traces and the enumeration of ribbon graphs to estimate the cumulants. The results are elaborated in Section 6.2.


To summarise, for a fixed n and k , we show that the n^{th} moment of X_k converges to the n^{th} moment of independent scaled Gaussian variable as N^{-1} or N^{-2} depending on the parity of n ; and the n^{th} cumulant of X_k converges to 0 as N^{n-2} for $n > 2$. Theorem 2.3 provides explicit asymptotic estimates for the rate of convergence of the moments.

3 Background


Symmetric polynomials arise naturally in random matrix theory because the joint eigenvalue probability density function remains invariant under the action of the symmetric group. There has been a considerable focus on symmetric functions to study moments in various ensembles [19, 14, 50, 21]. Here we define some symmetric functions that will play a central role in our calculations and state some of their properties.

3.1 Review of symmetric functions

A partition λ is a sequence of non-negative integers such that $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_l > 0$. We call the maximum l such that $\lambda_l > 0$ the length of the partition $l(\lambda)$ and $|\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda_i$ the weight. A partition can be represented with a Young diagram which is a left adjusted table of $|\lambda|$ boxes and $l(\lambda)$ rows such that the first row contains λ_1 boxes, the second row contains λ_2 boxes, and so on. The conjugate partition λ' is defined by transposing the Young diagram of λ .



Young diagram of λ



Young diagram of λ'

(3.1)

In the above example $\lambda = (4, 2, 2, 1)$, $|\lambda| = 9$ and $l(\lambda) = 4$. We denote a sub-partition μ of λ by $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ if the Young diagram of μ is contained in the Young diagram of λ .

Another way to represent a partition is as follows: if λ has b_1 1's, b_2 2's and so on, then $\lambda = (1^{b_1} 2^{b_2} \dots k^{b_k})$. In this representation, the weight $|\lambda| = \sum_{j=1}^k j b_j$ and length $l(\lambda) = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j$. In the rest of the paper, we use both notations interchangeably and we do not distinguish partitions that differ only by a sequence of zeros; for example, $(4, 2, 2, 1)$ and $(4, 2, 2, 1, 0, 0)$ are the same partitions. We denote the empty partition by $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = ()$.

The *elementary symmetric functions* $e_r(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ are defined by

$$e_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_r} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r} \quad (3.2)$$

and the *complete symmetric functions* $h_r(x_1, \dots, x_N)$ by

$$h_r(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_r}. \quad (3.3)$$

Given a partition λ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} e_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \prod_j e_{\lambda_j}(x_1, \dots, x_N), \\ h_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \prod_j h_{\lambda_j}(x_1, \dots, x_N). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

The *Schur polynomials* are symmetric polynomials indexed by partitions. Given a partition λ such that $l(\lambda) \leq N$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} S_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \frac{\det [x_i^{\lambda_j + N - j}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}}{\det [x_i^{N-j}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})} \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\lambda_1 + N - 1} & x_2^{\lambda_1 + N - 1} & \dots & x_N^{\lambda_1 + N - 1} \\ x_1^{\lambda_2 + N - 2} & x_2^{\lambda_2 + N - 2} & \dots & x_N^{\lambda_2 + N - 2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1^{\lambda_N} & x_2^{\lambda_N} & \dots & x_N^{\lambda_N} \end{vmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $\Delta(\mathbf{x})$ is the Vandermonde determinant:

$$\Delta(\mathbf{x}) = \det [x_i^{N-j}]_{i,j=1,\dots,N} = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j). \quad (3.6)$$

If $l(\lambda) > N$, then $S_\lambda = 0$. The Jacobi-Trudi identities express Schur polynomials in terms of elementary and complete symmetric functions:

$$S_\lambda = \det [h_{\lambda_i + j - i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,l(\lambda)} = \det [e_{\lambda'_i + j - i}]_{i,j=1,\dots,l(\lambda')}. \quad (3.7)$$

Let $\mu = 1^{b_1} 2^{b_2} \dots k^{b_k}$ and write

$$p_j(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i^j, \quad j \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (3.8)$$

The *power sum* is defined by

$$P_\mu = \prod_{j=1}^k p_j^{b_j}. \quad (3.9)$$

Power sum and Schur functions are bases in the space of homogeneous symmetric polynomials and they are related by

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= \sum_{\lambda} \chi_\mu^\lambda S_\lambda, \quad S_\lambda = \sum_{\mu} \frac{\chi_\mu^\lambda}{z_\mu} P_\mu, \\ z_\mu &= \prod_j j^{b_j} b_j!, \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

where χ_μ^λ are the characters of the symmetric group \mathcal{S}_m , $m = |\lambda| = |\mu|$ and z_μ is the size of the centraliser of an element of conjugacy class μ .

Proposition 3.1 (Cauchy Identity [48]). *Let t_1, t_2, \dots and x_1, x_2, \dots be two finite or infinite sequences of independent variables. Then,*

$$\prod_{i,j} (1 - t_i x_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} S_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.11)$$

When the sequences t_i and x_j are finite,

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (1 - t_i x_j)^{-1} = \sum_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}(t_1, \dots, t_p) S_{\lambda}(x_1, \dots, x_q), \quad (3.12)$$

where λ runs over all partitions of length $l(\lambda) \leq \min(p, q)$. We also have the dual Cauchy identity [48]:

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (1 + t_i x_j) = \sum_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}(t_1, \dots, t_p) S_{\lambda'}(x_1, \dots, x_q). \quad (3.13)$$

Since $S_{\lambda} = 0$ or $S_{\lambda'} = 0$ unless $l(\lambda) \leq p$ or $l(\lambda') \leq q$, λ runs over a finite number of partitions such that the Young diagram of λ fits inside a $p \times q$ rectangle.

Changing $x_j \rightarrow x_j^{-1}$ in the dual Cauchy identity and simplifying the fractions gives

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (t_i + x_j) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (q^p)} S_{\lambda}(t_1, \dots, t_p) S_{\tilde{\lambda}}(x_1, \dots, x_q), \quad (3.14)$$

where $(q^p) \equiv (\underbrace{q, \dots, q}_p)$ and $\tilde{\lambda} = (p - \lambda'_1, \dots, p - \lambda'_p)$. Since the Schur polynomials are homogeneous, we have

$$S_{\mu}(-x_1, \dots, -x_q) = (-1)^{|\mu|} S_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_q). \quad (3.15)$$

Thus (3.14) becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (t_i - x_j) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (q^p)} (-1)^{|\tilde{\lambda}|} S_{\lambda}(t_1, \dots, t_p) S_{\tilde{\lambda}}(x_1, \dots, x_q). \quad (3.16)$$

The Cauchy and dual Cauchy identities, combined with the fact that the Schur polynomials are the characters of $U(N)$, were essential tools in the proofs of the ratios of characteristic polynomials by Bump and Gamburd [14]. In order to prove Thm. 2.1, we use a similar approach, in which (3.16) is replaced by the generalized Cauchy dual identity (2.2).

3.2 Multivariate orthogonal polynomials

Multivariate orthogonal polynomials can be defined by the determinant formula [54]

$$\Phi_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})} \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{\mu_1+N-1}(x_1) & \varphi_{\mu_1+N-1}(x_2) & \dots & \varphi_{\mu_1+N-1}(x_N) \\ \varphi_{\mu_2+N-2}(x_1) & \varphi_{\mu_2+N-2}(x_2) & \dots & \varphi_{\mu_2+N-2}(x_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{\mu_N}(x_1) & \varphi_{\mu_N}(x_2) & \dots & \varphi_{\mu_N}(x_N) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (3.17)$$

where $l(\mu) \leq N$ and $\varphi_i, i = 0, 1, \dots$, are a sequence of polynomials orthogonal with respect to the weight $w(x)$. One can check by straightforward substitution that, up-to a constant, the multivariate polynomials (3.17) coincide with those in (2.1). When φ_j in (3.17) are the Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials we have the multivariate generalizations \mathcal{H}_{μ} ,

$\mathcal{L}_\mu^{(\gamma)}$ and $\mathcal{J}_\mu^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$. These polynomials can be expressed as a linear combination of Schur polynomials, i.e.

$$\Phi_\mu(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \mu} \kappa_{\mu\nu} S_\nu(\mathbf{x}). \quad (3.18)$$

For the Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi multivariate polynomials we set the leading coefficient $\kappa_{\mu\mu}$ in consistency with the definitions (2.5) and (3.17),

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\mu\mu}^{(H)} &= 1, \quad \kappa_{\mu\mu}^{(L)} = \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda| + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)}}{G_\lambda(N, 0)}, \\ \kappa_{\mu\mu}^{(J)} &= \frac{(-1)^{|\lambda| + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)}}{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) G_\lambda(N, 0)} \prod_{j=1}^N \Gamma(2N + 2\lambda_j - 2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1). \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

The analogy between multivariate orthogonal polynomials and Schur functions becomes apparent by comparing definitions (3.5) with (3.17). In the literature the polynomials (3.17) are called generalised orthogonal polynomials or multivariate orthogonal polynomials [3] as well as generalised Schur polynomials [54]. The multivariate orthogonal polynomials also satisfy a generalization of the Jacobi-Trudi identities [54] similar to (3.7).

The classical Hermite, Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials satisfy second order Sturm Liouville problems. Similarly, their multivariate generalizations are eigenfunctions of second-order partial differential operators, known as Calogero–Sutherland Hamiltonians,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(H)} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} - x_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \frac{1}{x_j - x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \\ H^{(L)} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(x_j \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + (\gamma - x_j + 1) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \frac{x_j}{x_j - x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \\ H^{(J)} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \left(x_j(1 - x_j) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} + (\gamma_1 + 1 - x_j(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \right) + 2 \sum_{\substack{j,k=1 \\ k \neq j}}^N \frac{x_j(1 - x_j)}{x_j - x_k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \end{aligned} \quad (3.20)$$

These generalised orthogonal polynomials obey similar properties to their univariate counterparts [3]. The differential equations in (3.20) are also related to the Dyson Brownian motion.

4 Correlation functions of characteristic polynomials

The main tool to compute correlations of characteristic polynomials and spectral moments is Lemma. 2.1 which we prove here.

Proposition 4.1 (Laplace Expansion). *Let $\Xi_{p,q}$ consist of all permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{p+q}$ such that*

$$\sigma(1) < \cdots < \sigma(p), \quad \sigma(p+1) < \cdots < \sigma(p+q). \quad (4.1)$$

Let $A = a_{ij}$ be a $(p+q) \times (p+q)$ matrix, then Laplace expansion in the first p rows can be written as

$$\det[a_{ij}] = \sum_{\sigma \in \Xi_{p,q}} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \begin{vmatrix} a_{1,\sigma(1)} & \cdots & a_{1,\sigma(p)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{p,\sigma(1)} & \cdots & a_{p,\sigma(p)} \end{vmatrix} \times \begin{vmatrix} a_{p+1,\sigma(p+1)} & \cdots & a_{p+1,\sigma(p+q)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{p+q,\sigma(p+1)} & \cdots & a_{p+q,\sigma(p+q)} \end{vmatrix}. \quad (4.2)$$

Proposition 4.2. Let λ be a partition such that $\lambda_1 \leq q$ and $\lambda'_1 \leq p$. Then the $p+q$ numbers

$$\lambda_i + p - i \ (1 \leq i \leq p), \quad p - 1 + j - \lambda'_j \ (1 \leq j \leq q) \quad (4.3)$$

are a permutation of $\{0, \dots, p+q-1\}$.

Prop. 4.1 is a fact from Linear algebra and the proof of Prop. 4.2 can be found in [48].

Proof of Lemma. 2.1. Let the φ_j s be monic. Choosing a different normalization would affect Eq. (2.2) by an overall constant. Using the definition of generalised polynomials, Proposition 4.1 and Proposition 4.2, the right-hand side of (2.2) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_p(\mathbf{t})} \frac{1}{\Delta_q(\mathbf{x})} \begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{p+q-1}(t_1) & \varphi_{p+q-2}(t_1) & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{p+q-1}(t_p) & \varphi_{p+q-2}(t_p) & \cdots & 1 \\ \varphi_{p+q-1}(x_1) & \varphi_{p+q-2}(x_1) & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \varphi_{p+q-1}(x_q) & \varphi_{p+q-2}(x_q) & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (4.4)$$

Now using column operations we arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\Delta_p(\mathbf{t})} \frac{1}{\Delta_q(\mathbf{x})} \begin{vmatrix} t_1^{p+q-1} & t_1^{p+q-2} & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ t_p^{p+q-1} & t_p^{p+q-2} & \cdots & 1 \\ x_1^{p+q-1} & x_1^{p+q-2} & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_q^{p+q-1} & x_q^{p+q-2} & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \quad (4.5)$$

The determinant in (4.5) can be evaluated using the formula for the Vandermonde determinant. We have

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} (t_i - t_j) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq q} (x_i - x_j) \prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (t_i - x_j). \quad (4.6)$$

Combining eqs. (4.4) to (4.6) proves the lemma. ■

If $\varphi_j(-x) = (-1)^j \varphi_j(x)$, as for Hermite polynomials, then

$$\Phi_\mu(-x_1, \dots, -x_N) = (-1)^{|\mu|} \Phi_\mu(x_1, \dots, x_N). \quad (4.7)$$

It follows that (2.2) becomes

$$\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^q (t_i + x_j) = \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (q^p)} \Phi_\lambda(t_1, \dots, t_p) \Phi_{\check{\lambda}}(x_1, \dots, x_q). \quad (4.8)$$

Proof of Thm. 2.1. Unlike Hermite polynomials, the univariate Laguerre and Jacobi polynomials that obey (2.5) are not monic. This fact is reflected in the normalisation in (3.19) and also in the following formulae,

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^N (t_i - x_j) &= \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (N^p)} (-1)^{|\tilde{\lambda}|} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}(t_1, \dots, t_p) \mathcal{H}_{\tilde{\lambda}}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\
\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^N (t_i - x_j) &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p+N-1} (-1)^j j! \right) \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (N^p)} (-1)^{|\tilde{\lambda}|} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{(\gamma)}(t_1, \dots, t_p) \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{(\gamma)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) \\
\prod_{i=1}^p \prod_{j=1}^N (t_i - x_j) &= \left(\prod_{j=0}^{p+N-1} (-1)^j j! \frac{\Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \right) \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\lambda \subseteq (N^p)} (-1)^{|\tilde{\lambda}|} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(t_1, \dots, t_p) \mathcal{J}_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_1, \dots, x_N)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

After taking the expectation value, the non-zero contribution comes from $\tilde{\lambda} = 0$ because of (2.1). Thus $\lambda' = (p^N)$ which implies $\lambda = (N^p)$. Now using

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = 1, \quad \mathcal{L}_0^{(\gamma)} = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}, \quad \mathcal{J}_0^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} = \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \frac{\Gamma(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}, \tag{4.10}$$

proves the result. ■

5 Correlations

In this section we calculate moments of traces and characteristic polynomials of $N \times N$ GUE, LUE and JUE matrices. We can write

$$P_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) = \prod_j (\text{Tr}(M^j))^{b_j}, \tag{5.1}$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ are the eigenvalues of M . The power symmetric functions form a basis in the space of symmetric polynomials of degree $|\mu|$. The main idea is to express them in the basis of the multivariate orthogonal polynomials.

5.1 Change of basis between symmetric functions

In this section we give expressions for change of basis between multivariate orthogonal polynomials and other symmetric functions. We mainly focus on the GUE but the same approach can be used for the LUE and the JUE.

Gaussian Ensemble. Let M be an $N \times N$ GUE matrix. The *j.p.d.f.* of the eigenvalues is

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho^{(H)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(H)}} \Delta^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}}, \\
Z_N^{(H)} &= (2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^N j!.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Denote by $H_n(x)$ the Hermite polynomials normalised according to (2.5a). Given a partition λ with $l(\lambda) \leq N$, the multivariate Hermite polynomials are given by

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\Delta(\mathbf{x})} \begin{vmatrix} H_{\lambda_1+N-1}(x_1) & H_{\lambda_1+N-1}(x_2) & \dots & H_{\lambda_1+N-1}(x_N) \\ H_{\lambda_2+N-2}(x_1) & H_{\lambda_2+N-2}(x_2) & \dots & H_{\lambda_2+N-2}(x_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{\lambda_N}(x_1) & H_{\lambda_N}(x_2) & \dots & H_{\lambda_N}(x_N) \end{vmatrix} \quad (5.3)$$

and satisfy the orthogonality relation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\mu \rangle &:= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(H)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \mathcal{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{H}_\mu(\mathbf{x}) \Delta^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_i e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}} dx_i = C_\lambda(N) \delta_{\mu\lambda}, \\ C_\lambda(N) &= \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(\lambda_i + N - i)!}{(N - i)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Since $\lambda_i \geq 0$, the constant $C_\lambda(N)$ is a polynomial in N of degree $|\lambda|$. It turns out that it has a nice interpretation in terms of characters of the symmetric group. Let $(i, j) \in \lambda$, $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ denote a node in the Young diagram of λ . The roots of $C_\lambda(N)$ are $i - j$, where i runs across the rows from top to bottom and j across the columns from left to right of the Young diagram. For example, if $\lambda = (4, 3, 3, 1)$, the roots of $C_\lambda(N)$ are

0	-1	-2	-3
1	0	-1	
2	1	0	
3			

(5.5)

It is shown in [40] that

$$\begin{aligned} C_\lambda(N) &= \prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} \frac{(\lambda_j + N - j)!}{(N - j)!} = \prod_{(i,j) \in \lambda} (N - i + j) \\ &= \frac{|\lambda|!}{\dim V_\lambda} \sum_{\mu \vdash |\lambda|} \frac{\chi_\mu^\lambda}{z_\mu} N^{l(\mu)} = |\lambda|! \frac{S_\lambda(1^N)}{\dim V_\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

The constant z_λ is defined in (3.10) and $\dim V_\lambda$ is the dimension of the irreducible representation labelled by λ of the symmetric group $\mathcal{S}_{|\lambda|}$.

$$\dim V_\lambda = |\lambda|! \frac{\prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq l(\lambda)} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k - j + k)}{\prod_{j=1}^{l(\lambda)} (\lambda_j + l(\lambda) - j)!}, \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$S_\lambda(1^N) = \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq N} \frac{\lambda_j - \lambda_k - j + k}{k - j}. \quad (5.8)$$

Schur polynomials can be expressed in terms of multivariate Hermite polynomials,

$$S_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \psi_{\lambda_\nu}^{(H)} \mathcal{H}_\nu = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{|\lambda|}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\nu \vdash g(j)} \psi_{\lambda_\nu}^{(H)} \mathcal{H}_\nu, \quad g(j) = \begin{cases} 2j, & |\lambda| \text{ is even,} \\ 2j + 1, & |\lambda| \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

The function $g(j)$ takes care of the fact that polynomials of odd and even degree do not mix similar to the one variable case. The first summation in (5.9) running over all lower order partitions takes care of the fact that \mathcal{H}_λ are, unlike S_λ , not homogeneous polynomials. For example, when $|\lambda|$ is even, the only partitions that appear in (5.9) are those with weight $|\nu| = |\lambda| - 2k$, $k = 0, \dots, \frac{|\lambda|}{2}$, and $\nu \subseteq \lambda$. The following proposition gives an explicit expression for the coefficients $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$.

Proposition 5.1. *If λ is a partition of length L and ν is a sub-partition of λ such that $|\lambda| - |\nu| = 0 \bmod 2$ and $N \geq L$, then $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$ is the following polynomial in N :*

$$\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\nu|}{2}}} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} \prod_{j=1}^L \frac{(\lambda_j + N - j)!}{(\nu_j + N - j)!}, \quad (5.10)$$

where

$$D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} = \det \left[\mathbb{1}_{\lambda_j - \nu_k - j + k = 0 \bmod 2} \left(\left(\frac{\lambda_j - \nu_k - j + k}{2} \right)! \right)^{-1} \right]_{j,k=1,\dots,L}. \quad (5.11)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_L, 0, \dots, 0)$ and $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_l, 0, \dots, 0)$. Here l is the length of ν and $N - l$ is the length of the sequence of zeros added to ν . From (5.4) and the fact that $\nu \subseteq \lambda$, $l \leq L$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} &= \frac{\langle S_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\nu \rangle}{\langle \mathcal{H}_\nu, \mathcal{H}_\nu \rangle} = \frac{1}{Z_N^{(H)} \langle \mathcal{H}_\nu, \mathcal{H}_\nu \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} S_\lambda(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{H}_\nu(\mathbf{x}) \Delta_N^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}} dx_i, \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(H)} \langle \mathcal{H}_\nu, \mathcal{H}_\nu \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}} dx_i \\ &\quad \times \begin{vmatrix} x_1^{\lambda_1+N-1} & \dots & x_1^{\lambda_L+N-L} & H_{N-L-1}(x_1) & \dots & 1 \\ x_2^{\lambda_1+N-1} & \dots & x_2^{\lambda_L+N-L} & H_{N-L-1}(x_2) & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_N^{\lambda_1+N-1} & \dots & x_N^{\lambda_L+N-L} & H_{N-L-1}(x_N) & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &\quad \times \begin{vmatrix} H_{\nu_1+N-1}(x_1) & \dots & H_{\nu_l+N-l}(x_1) & H_{N-l-1}(x_1) & \dots & 1 \\ H_{\nu_1+N-1}(x_2) & \dots & H_{\nu_l+N-l}(x_2) & H_{N-l-1}(x_2) & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{\nu_1+N-1}(x_N) & \dots & H_{\nu_l+N-l}(x_N) & H_{N-l-1}(x_N) & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

The last $N - L$ and $N - l$ columns in S_λ and in \mathcal{H}_ν , respectively, are written in terms of the Hermite polynomials using column operations. In addition, $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$ can be expanded as a sum

over the permutations of N :

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} \\ &= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(H)} \langle \mathcal{H}_\nu, \mathcal{H}_\nu \rangle} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_N} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}} dx_i \left(x_{\sigma(1)}^{\lambda_1+N-1} \dots x_{\sigma(L)}^{\lambda_L+N-L} H_{N-L-1}(x_{\sigma(N-L-1)}) \dots H_0(x_{\sigma(0)}) \right) \\ & \quad \times \begin{vmatrix} H_{\nu_1+N-1}(x_1) & \dots & H_{\nu_l+N-l}(x_1) & H_{N-l-1}(x_1) & \dots & 1 \\ H_{\nu_1+N-1}(x_2) & \dots & H_{\nu_l+N-l}(x_2) & H_{N-l-1}(x_2) & \dots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ H_{\nu_1+N-1}(x_N) & \dots & H_{\nu_l+N-l}(x_N) & H_{N-l-1}(x_N) & \dots & 1 \end{vmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

Since the integrand is symmetric in x_i , every term in the above sum gives the same contribution and it is sufficient to consider only the identity permutation. All the factors can be absorbed into the determinant by multiplying the j^{th} row with $x_j^{\lambda_j+N-j}$ if $j \leq L$ and with $H_{N-j}(x_{N-j})$ if $N \geq j > L$. Then using orthogonality of Hermite polynomials (2.5a) for the last $N-L$ rows gives

$$\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} = \frac{N!}{Z_N^{(H)} \langle \mathcal{H}_\nu, \mathcal{H}_\nu \rangle} (2\pi)^{\frac{N-L}{2}} \prod_{i=L+1}^N (N-i)! \det \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} x_j^{\lambda_j+N-j} H_{\nu_k+N-k}(x_j) e^{-\frac{x_j^2}{2}} dx_j \right]_{j,k=1,\dots,L}. \quad (5.14)$$

Expanding monomials in terms of Hermite polynomials with the formula

$$x^n = n! \sum_{m=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{1}{2^m m! (n-2m)!} H_{n-2m}(x) \quad (5.15)$$

and using orthogonality leads to (5.10). The determinant $D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$ is independent of N and $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$ is a polynomial in N , since $\nu \subseteq \lambda$. ■

Corollary 5.1. *The roots of coefficients $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$ are integers given by the content of the skew diagram λ/ν .*

Proof. The skew diagram λ/ν is the set-theoretic difference of the Young diagrams of λ and ν : the set of squares that belong to the diagram of λ but not to that of ν . Using (5.6),

$$\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{|\lambda|-|\nu|}{2}}} \frac{C_\lambda(N)}{C_\nu(N)} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}. \quad (5.16)$$

Since $\nu \subseteq \lambda$, the roots of $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$ are integers and can be read from the skew diagram λ/ν whenever $D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} \neq 0$. For example, if $\lambda = (4, 1, 1)$ and $\nu = (2)$, then the roots of $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)}$ are $\{-3, -2, 1, 2\}$:

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & -1 & -2 & -3 \\ \hline 1 & & & \\ \hline 2 & & & \\ \hline \end{array} \quad (5.17)$$

■

Corollary 5.2. *The coefficient $\psi_{\lambda\lambda}^{(H)} = 1$.*

Proof. If $\nu = \lambda$,

$$\psi_{\lambda\lambda}^{(H)} = \frac{N!}{Z_N^{(H)} \langle \mathcal{H}_\lambda, \mathcal{H}_\lambda \rangle} \det \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} x_j^{\lambda_j + N - j} H_{\lambda_k + N - k}(x_j) e^{-\frac{x_j^2}{2}} dx_j \right]_{j=1, \dots, N}. \quad (5.18)$$

By expanding monomials in terms of Hermite polynomials only the diagonal terms survive. ■

Proposition 5.2. *The coefficient*

$$\psi_{\lambda 0}^{(H)} = \begin{cases} \frac{C_\lambda(N)}{2^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} \frac{|\lambda|!}{2}!} \chi_{(2|\lambda|/2)}^\lambda, & |\lambda| \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & |\lambda| \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (5.19)$$

where $\chi_{(2|\lambda|/2)}^\lambda$ is the character of λ th irreducible representation evaluated on the elements of cycle-type $(2^{|\lambda|/2})$.

Proof. Since Hermite polynomials of odd and even degree do not mix, $\psi_{\lambda 0}^{(H)} = 0$ when $|\lambda|$ is odd. When $|\lambda|$ is even,

$$D_{\lambda 0}^{(H)} = \det \left[\mathbb{1}_{\lambda_j - j + k = 0 \bmod 2} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\lambda_j - j + k}{2}\right)!} \right]. \quad (5.20)$$

Let $n = |\lambda|/2$ and $L = l(\lambda)$. Let $g(x_1, \dots, x_L)$ be a formal power series in variables x_i , and (k_1, \dots, k_L) be a partition constructed from λ such that $k_j = \lambda_j + L - j$, $j = 1, \dots, L$. Let

$$[g(x_1, \dots, x_L)]_{(k_1, \dots, k_L)} = \text{coefficient of } x_1^{k_1} \dots x_L^{k_L}. \quad (5.21)$$

Using Frobenius formula for characters of the symmetric group

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{(2^n)}^\lambda &= [\Delta(x_1, \dots, x_L)(x_1^2 + \dots + x_L^2)^n]_{(k_1, \dots, k_L)} \\ &= \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_L = n} \frac{n!}{n_1! \dots n_L!} [\det [x_i^{L-j}] x_1^{2n_1} x_2^{2n_2} \dots x_L^{2n_L}]_{(\lambda_1 + L - 1, \lambda_2 + L - 2, \dots, \lambda_L)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

After absorbing $x_i^{2n_i}$ into the i^{th} row of the determinant, for each n_i at most one term in the i^{th} row has the exponent $\lambda_i + L - i$, say the $(i, j)^{th}$ element $x_i^{2n_i + L - j}$, which implies $2n_i = \lambda_i - i + j$. For L -tuples $\{n_1, \dots, n_L\}$ such that there is exactly one term in each row that has the required exponent, the non-zero summands are given by $n! \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_i ((\lambda_i - i + \sigma(i)/2)!)^{-1}$ where $\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_L$. Considering all such L -tuples and using Laplace expansion for the determinant proves the proposition. ■

Therefore the expansion of Schur polynomials in terms of multivariate Hermite polynomials can be written as

$$S_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) = C_\lambda(N) \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \frac{1}{2^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\nu|}{2}}} \frac{1}{C_\nu(\lambda)} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} \mathcal{H}_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_N). \quad (5.23)$$

In a similar way, by expanding Hermite polynomials in terms of monomials in the definition of \mathcal{H}_λ , multivariate Hermite polynomials can be written in the Schur basis as follows:

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \kappa_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} S_\nu = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{|\lambda|}{2} \rfloor} \sum_{\nu \vdash g(j)} \kappa_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} S_\nu, \quad g(j) = \begin{cases} 2j, & |\lambda| \text{ is even,} \\ 2j+1, & |\lambda| \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (5.24)$$

where

$$\kappa_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} = \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\nu|}{2}} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} \prod_{j=1}^L \frac{(\lambda_j + N - j)!}{(\nu_j + N - j)!}. \quad (5.25)$$

Alternatively,

$$\mathcal{H}_\lambda(x_1, \dots, x_N) = C_\lambda(N) \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\nu|}{2}} \frac{1}{C_\nu(N)} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} S_\nu(x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad (5.26)$$

where $|\lambda| - |\nu| = 0 \pmod{2}$.

Laguerre ensemble. Let M be an $N \times N$ LUE matrix with eigenvalues x_1, \dots, x_N . For $\gamma > -1$, the *j.p.d.f.* of eigenvalues is

$$\begin{aligned} \rho^{(L)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(L)}} \Delta^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^\gamma e^{-x_i}, \\ Z_N^{(L)} &= N! G_0(N, \gamma) G_0(N, 0), \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

where $G_\lambda(N, \gamma)$ is given in (2.7).

The multivariate Laguerre polynomials defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\Delta_N} \begin{vmatrix} L_{\lambda_1+N-1}^{(\gamma)}(x_1) & L_{\lambda_1+N-1}^{(\gamma)}(x_2) & \dots & L_{\lambda_1+N-1}^{(\gamma)}(x_N) \\ L_{\lambda_2+N-2}^{(\gamma)}(x_1) & L_{\lambda_2+N-2}^{(\gamma)}(x_2) & \dots & L_{\lambda_2+N-2}^{(\gamma)}(x_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ L_{\lambda_N}^{(\gamma)}(x_1) & L_{\lambda_N}^{(\gamma)}(x_2) & \dots & L_{\lambda_N}^{(\gamma)}(x_N) \end{vmatrix}, \quad (5.28)$$

$l(\lambda) \leq N$, satisfy the orthogonality relation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(\gamma)}, \mathcal{L}_\mu^{(\gamma)} \rangle &:= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(L)}} \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^N} \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{L}_\mu^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^\gamma e^{-x_i} dx_i \\ &= \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma)}{G_0(N, \gamma)} \frac{1}{G_\lambda(N, 0)} \frac{1}{G_0(N, 0)} \delta_{\lambda\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

The polynomials in the determinant (5.28) are normalized according to (2.5b). The Schur polynomials can be expanded in terms of multivariate Laguerre polynomials as

$$S_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)} \mathcal{L}_\nu^{(\gamma)}, \quad (5.30)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)} &= (-1)^{|\nu|+\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma)}{G_\nu(N, \gamma)} G_\lambda(N, 0) D_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)} \\ D_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)} &= \det \left[\mathbb{1}_{\lambda_i - \nu_j - i + j \geq 0} \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \nu_j - i + j)!} \right]_{i,j=1,\dots,l(\lambda)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.31}$$

The coefficients $\psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)}$ in (5.31) can be computed in a similar way as in Prop. 5.1. It is interesting to note that the quantity $|\lambda/\nu|! D_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)}$ gives the number of standard Young tableaux (SYT) of shape λ/ν [57, p.344].

Multivariate Laguerre polynomials can also be expanded in the Schur basis:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(\gamma)} &= \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \kappa_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)} S_\nu, \\ \kappa_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)} &= (-1)^{|\nu|+\frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma)}{G_\nu(N, \gamma)} \frac{1}{G_\nu(N, 0)} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.32}$$

Similar to the Hermite case, $D_{\lambda 0}^{(L)}$ turns out to be a character of the symmetric group.

Proposition 5.3. *We have*

$$D_{\lambda 0}^{(L)} = \frac{\chi_{(1^{|\lambda|})}^\lambda}{|\lambda|!} = \frac{\dim V_\lambda}{|\lambda|!}.\tag{5.33}$$

Proof. Same as Prop. 5.2. Note that $|\lambda|! D_{\lambda 0}^{(L)}$ gives the number of standard Young tableaux of shape λ . ■

Jacobi ensemble. Let M be an $N \times N$ JUE matrix with eigenvalues x_1, \dots, x_N . For $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 > -1$, the *j.p.d.f.* of eigenvalues is

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^{(J)}(x_1, \dots, x_N) &= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(J)}} \Delta^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\gamma_1} (1 - x_i)^{\gamma_2}, \\ Z_N^{(J)} &= N! \prod_{j=0}^{N-1} \frac{j! \Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + 1) \Gamma(j + \gamma_2 + 1) \Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2) \Gamma(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}.\end{aligned}\tag{5.34}$$

Classical Jacobi polynomials are given by

$$J_n^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma_1 + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j}{j!(n-j)!} \frac{\Gamma(n+j+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+1)}{\Gamma(j+\gamma_1+1)} x^j\tag{5.35}$$

and satisfy the orthogonality relation (2.5c). The multivariate Jacobi polynomials are

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\Delta_N} \begin{vmatrix} J_{\lambda_1+N-1}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_1) & J_{\lambda_1+N-1}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_2) & \dots & J_{\lambda_1+N-1}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_N) \\ J_{\lambda_2+N-2}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_1) & J_{\lambda_2+N-2}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_2) & \dots & J_{\lambda_2+N-2}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ J_{\lambda_N}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_1) & J_{\lambda_N}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_2) & \dots & J_{\lambda_N}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x_N) \end{vmatrix},\tag{5.36}$$

$l(\lambda) \leq N$, and obey the orthogonality relation

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}, \mathcal{J}_\mu^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} \rangle &:= \frac{1}{Z_N^{(J)}} \int_{[0,1]^N} \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(\mathbf{x}) \mathcal{J}_\mu^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(\mathbf{x}) \Delta^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N x_i^{\gamma_1} (1-x_i)^{\gamma_2} dx_i \\ &= \frac{N!}{Z_N^{(J)}} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1) G_\lambda(N, \gamma_2)}{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) G_\lambda(N, 0)} \prod_{j=1}^N (2\lambda_j + 2N - 2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)^{-1} \delta_{\lambda\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.37)$$

The expansion of the Schur polynomials in terms of multivariate Jacobi polynomials is

$$S_\lambda = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} \mathcal{J}_\nu^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}, \quad (5.38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} &= (-1)^{|\nu| + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1)}{G_\nu(N, \gamma_1)} G_\nu(N, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) G_\lambda(N, 0) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{D}_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} \prod_{j=1}^N (2\nu_j + 2N - 2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1), \\ \mathcal{D}_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} &= \det \left[\mathbb{1}_{\lambda_j - \nu_k - j + k \geq 0} ((\lambda_j - \nu_k - j + k)! \Gamma(2N + \lambda_j + \nu_k - j - k + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2))^{-1} \right]_{j,k=1}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (5.39)$$

When $N = 1$ (5.38) coincides with the one variable analogue

$$x^n = n! \Gamma(n + \gamma_1 + 1) \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{(-1)^j}{(n-j)!} \frac{(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1) \Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + 1) \Gamma(n + j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2)} J_j^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(x). \quad (5.40)$$

Multivariate Jacobi polynomials can be expanded in Schur polynomials via

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)} = \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \kappa_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} S_\nu, \quad (5.41)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} &= (-1)^{|\nu| + \frac{1}{2}N(N-1)} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1)}{G_\nu(N, \gamma_1)} \frac{1}{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2) G_\nu(N, 0)} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)}, \\ \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} &= \det \left[\mathbb{1}_{\lambda_j - \nu_k - j + k \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma(2N + \lambda_j + \nu_k - j - k + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}{(\lambda_j - \nu_k - j + k)!} \right]_{j,k=1}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (5.42)$$

5.2 Moments of Schur polynomials

Gaussian case. Similar to the moments of monomials with respect to the Gaussian weight,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2n} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx &= (-1)^n H_{2n}(0) = \frac{2n!}{2^n n!}, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{2n+1} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

the moments of Schur polynomials associated to a partition λ are given by

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_\lambda] = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} \mathcal{H}_\lambda(0^N), & |\lambda| \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & |\lambda| \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (5.44)$$

where

$$H_\lambda(0^N) = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}}}{2^{\frac{|\lambda|}{2}} \frac{|\lambda|!}{2!}} C_\lambda(N) \chi_{(2^{|\lambda|/2})}^\lambda. \quad (5.45)$$

This can be easily seen from (5.9), (5.19), (5.26), and the fact that $S_\lambda = 1$ for $\lambda = ()$ and $S_\lambda(0^N) = 0$ for any non-empty partition λ . Using (5.4), $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_\lambda]$ is a polynomial in N with integer roots given by the content of λ whenever $\chi_{2^{|\lambda|/2}}^\lambda$ is non-zero.

A few examples of moments of Schur polynomials corresponding to partitions of 4 are given below.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_4] &= \frac{1}{8} N(N+1)(N+2)(N+3) & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_{3,1}] &= -\frac{1}{8} (N-1)N(N+1)(N+2) \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_{2,2}] &= \frac{1}{4} (N-1)N^2(N+1) & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_{2,1,1}] &= -\frac{1}{8} (N-2)(N-1)N(N+1) \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_{1^4}] &= \frac{1}{8} (N-3)(N-2)(N-1)N \end{aligned} \quad (5.46)$$

Laguerre case. The univariate moments are

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} \int_0^\infty x^{n+\gamma} e^{-x} dx = \frac{\Gamma(n+\gamma+1)}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)} = n! L_n^{(\gamma)}(0). \quad (5.47)$$

The moments of the Schur polynomials with respect to the Laguerre weight can be computed using (5.30),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(L)}[S_\lambda] &= \frac{C_\lambda(N)}{|\lambda|!} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma)}{G_0(N, \gamma)} \chi_{(1^{|\lambda|})}^\lambda \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} G_\lambda(N, 0) \mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(\gamma)}(0^N). \end{aligned} \quad (5.48)$$

Like in the the Hermite case, $\mathbb{E}_N^{(L)}(S_\lambda)$ are polynomials in N with roots $i-j$ and $i-j-\gamma$, where $(i, j) \in \lambda$ as discussed in Sec. 5.1.

Jacobi case. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^{n+\gamma_1} (1-x)^{\gamma_2} dx &= n! \frac{\Gamma(\gamma_1+1)\Gamma(\gamma_2+1)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+2)} J_n^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(0) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n+\gamma_1+1)\Gamma(\gamma_2+1)}{\Gamma(n+\gamma_1+\gamma_2+2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.49)$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(J)}[S_\lambda] &= \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1)}{G_0(N, \gamma_1)} C_\lambda(N) D_{\lambda_0}^{(J)} \\ &= (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \frac{D_{\lambda_0}^{(J)}}{\tilde{D}_{\lambda_0}^{(J)}} G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1+\gamma_2) G_\lambda(N, 0) \mathcal{J}_\lambda^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(0^N), \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

where $D_{\lambda_0}^{(J)}$ and $\tilde{D}_{\lambda_0}^{(J)}$ are given in (2.11) and (5.42), respectively, and

$$\mathcal{J}_\lambda^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(0^N) = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \frac{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1)}{G_\lambda(N, \gamma_1+\gamma_2) G_0(N, \gamma_1) G_0(N, 0)} \tilde{D}_{\lambda_0}^{(J)}. \quad (5.51)$$

5.3 Moments of characteristic polynomials

The Cauchy identity can be written as

$$\prod_{i=1}^q \prod_{j=1}^N \frac{1}{(T_i - x_j)} = \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^q T_j^N} \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\mu \subseteq \lambda} \psi_{\lambda\mu} S_{\lambda}(T_1^{-1}, \dots, T_q^{-1}) \Phi_{\mu}(x_1, \dots, x_N), \quad (5.52)$$

where Φ_{μ} is one of the generalised polynomials \mathcal{H}_{μ} , $\mathcal{L}_{\mu}^{(\gamma)}$ or $\mathcal{J}_{\mu}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$. By using orthogonality of multivariate polynomials (5.4), (5.29) and (5.37) we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.4. *Let t_1, \dots, t_p and T_1, \dots, T_q be two sets of variables. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{j=1}^p \prod_{k=1}^q \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\frac{\det(t_j - M)}{\det(T_k - M)} \right] &= \prod_{j=1}^q \frac{1}{T_j^N} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \subseteq (N^p) \\ s.t. \tilde{\lambda} = \nu}} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu \subseteq \mu} \frac{(-1)^{|\nu|}}{2^{\frac{|\mu| - |\nu|}{2}}} C_{\mu}(N) D_{\mu\nu}^{(H)} \mathcal{H}_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) S_{\mu}(\mathbf{T}^{-1}) \\ \prod_{j=1}^p \prod_{k=1}^q \mathbb{E}_N^{(L)} \left[\frac{\det(t_j - M)}{\det(T_k - M)} \right] &= \prod_{j=N}^{p+N-1} (-1)^j j! \prod_{k=1}^q \frac{1}{T_k^N} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\lambda \subseteq (N^p) \\ s.t. \tilde{\lambda} = \nu}} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu \subseteq \mu} \frac{G_{\mu}(N, \gamma)}{G_0(N, \gamma)} \frac{C_{\mu}(N)}{C_{\nu}(N)} D_{\mu\nu}^{(L)} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda}^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{t}) S_{\mu}(\mathbf{T}^{-1}) \\ \prod_{j=1}^p \prod_{k=1}^q \mathbb{E}_N^{(J)} \left[\frac{\det(t_j - M)}{\det(T_k - M)} \right] &= \prod_{j=N}^{p+N-1} (-1)^j j! \frac{\Gamma(j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)}{\Gamma(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \Gamma(2k + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 2) \prod_{l=1}^q \frac{1}{T_l^N} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{\lambda \subseteq (N^p) \\ s.t. \tilde{\lambda} = \nu}} \sum_{\mu} \sum_{\nu \subseteq \mu} \frac{G_{\mu}(N, \gamma_1)}{G_0(N, \gamma_1)} \frac{G_{\nu}(N, \gamma_2)}{G_0(N, \gamma_2)} \frac{C_{\mu}(N)}{C_{\nu}(N)} \mathcal{D}_{\mu\nu}^{(J)} \mathcal{J}_{\lambda}^{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}(\mathbf{t}) S_{\mu}(\mathbf{T}^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.53)$$

hhNote that the RHS is a formal power series in the variables \mathbf{T} .

Corollary 5.3. *Let $\lambda = (N^p)$. If $t_i = t$ in Thm. 2.1, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\det(t - M))^p] &= C_{\lambda}(p) \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \left(\frac{-1}{2} \right)^{\frac{|\lambda| - |\nu|}{2}} \frac{\dim V_{\nu}}{|\nu|!} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} t^{|\nu|}, \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(L)} [(\det(t - M))^p] &= (-1)^{p(p+N-1)} G_{\lambda}(p, \gamma) \frac{G_{\lambda}(p, 0)}{G_0(p, 0)} \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \frac{(-1)^{|\nu|}}{|\nu|!} \frac{\dim V_{\nu}}{G_{\nu}(p, \gamma)} D_{\lambda\nu}^{(L)} t^{|\nu|}, \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(J)} [(\det(t - M))^p] &= \left(\prod_{j=N}^{p+N-1} \frac{1}{\Gamma(2j + \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + 1)} \right) (-1)^{p(p+N-1)} \frac{G_{\lambda}(p, \gamma_1) G_{\lambda}(p, 0)}{G_0(p, 0)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \frac{(-1)^{|\nu|}}{|\nu|!} \frac{\dim V_{\nu}}{G_{\nu}(p, \gamma_1)} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\lambda\nu}^{(J)} t^{|\nu|}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.54)$$

where $\dim V_{\nu}$ is given in (5.7).

Proof. Let us consider the GUE case. We have

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\det(t - M))^p] = \mathcal{H}_{(N^p)}(t^p). \quad (5.55)$$

Using (5.26) and calculating C_λ in (5.4) for $\lambda = (N^p)$,

$$C_{(N^p)}(p) = \prod_{j=1}^p \frac{(N+p-j)!}{(p-j)!}, \quad (5.56)$$

proves the statement. Similarly, the Laguerre and Jacobi cases can be computed in an identical way. \blacksquare

5.4 Joint moments of traces

Recently the study of moments and joint moments of Hermitian ensembles have attracted considerable interest [17, 18, 20, 33, 34]. Here we give new and self contained formulae for the joint moments of unitary ensembles in terms of characters of the symmetric group. We focus on the GUE but exactly the same method applies to the LUE and JUE.

Using (3.10) and (5.9), power sum symmetric polynomials can be written in terms of multivariate Hermite polynomials

$$P_\mu = \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\nu \subseteq \lambda} \chi_{\mu}^{\lambda} \psi_{\lambda\nu}^{(H)} \mathcal{H}_{\nu}. \quad (5.57)$$

Proof of Thm. 2.2. When $|\mu|$ is odd P_μ is a sum of product of monomials in x_i with the degree of at least one x_i being odd. Since the generalised weight $\Delta_N^2(\mathbf{x}) \prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\frac{x_i^2}{2}}$ is an even function and $P_\mu(\mathbf{x})$ is odd, $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu]$ vanishes.

When $|\mu|$ is even, writing P_μ in terms of multivariate Hermite polynomials (5.57) and using orthogonality of the \mathcal{H}_ν along with (5.19) proves the first line of (2.8). \blacksquare

Remark. When $|\mu|$ is even, the orthogonality of characters indicate that $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M^2)^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}}]$ is a polynomial in N of degree $|\mu|$. The polynomial degree of all other joint moments corresponding to the partitions of $|\mu|$ is strictly less than $|\mu|$.

Corollary 5.4. Correlators of traces in the L.H.S. of (2.8) are either even or odd polynomials in N . More precisely, we have

$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu]$	$l(\mu)$	$ \mu /2$
Even polynomial	even	even
	odd	odd
Odd polynomial	even	odd
	odd	even

Proof. Let $|\mu|$ be even. Since $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_\mu]$ is a polynomial in N of degree $|\mu|$ and the characters χ_λ^μ are integers, $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\lambda]$ is also a polynomial in N . Now for any partitions λ and μ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\mu^{\lambda'} &= (-1)^{|\mu|-l(\mu)} \chi_\mu^\lambda, \\ C_{\mu'}(N) &= C_\mu(-N). \end{aligned} \quad (5.58)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu] &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\lambda} \left(\chi_{\mu}^{\lambda} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_{\lambda}] + \chi_{\mu}^{\lambda'} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[S_{\lambda'}] \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{\frac{|\mu|+2}{2}} \frac{|\mu|}{2}!} \sum_{\lambda} \chi_{(2|\lambda|/2)}^{\lambda} \chi_{\mu}^{\lambda} \left(C_{\lambda}(N) + (-1)^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}-l(\mu)} C_{\lambda}(-N) \right).\end{aligned}\quad (5.59)$$

The corollary is proved by noticing that the symmetric and anti-symmetric combination of $C_{\lambda}(N)$ and $C_{\lambda}(-N)$ is an even and odd polynomial in N , respectively. \blacksquare

Since $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_{\mu}]$ are polynomials in N , the domain of N can be analytically continued from integers to the whole complex plane. In [18], it is shown that $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M^{2j}]$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, are Meixner-Pollaczek polynomials which are a family of orthogonal polynomials,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M^{2j}] &= N(2j-1)!! i^{-j} \frac{1}{j+1} P_j^{(1)}\left(iN, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \\ &= N(2j-1)!! {}_2F_1\left(-j, 1-N; 2\right)\end{aligned}\quad (5.60)$$

where $P_k^{(1)}(iN, \pi/2)$ is a Meixner-Pollaczek polynomial and ${}_2F_1(\dots)$ is a terminating hypergeometric series. These polynomials $P_n^{(\lambda)}(x, \phi)$ satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} P_m^{(\lambda)}(x, \phi) P_n^{(\lambda)}(x, \phi) |\Gamma(\lambda + ix)|^2 e^{(2\phi - \pi)x} dx = \frac{2\pi \Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{(2 \sin \phi)^{2\lambda} n!} \delta_{nm}. \quad (5.61)$$

Clearly, the zeros of $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M^{2j}]$ lie on the line $\text{Re}(N) = 0$

Correlators of traces are combinatorial objects as they are connected to enumeration of ribbon graphs [7, 59, 60]. This connection is briefly discussed in Appendix B. By counting ribbon graphs, it can be easily shown that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M^{2k-1} \text{Tr} M] &= (2k-1) \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M^{2k-2}] \\ &= N(2k-1)!! i^{-k+1} \frac{1}{k} P_{k-1}^{(1)}\left(iN, \frac{\pi}{2}\right).\end{aligned}\quad (5.62)$$

Thus $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_{\mu}]$, $\mu = (2k-1, 1)$, is also a polynomial in N . A few examples of joint moments of traces corresponding to partitions of 6 are given below. Here $p_j = \text{Tr} M^j$.

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_6] &= 5N^2(N^2+2) & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_5 p_1] &= 5N(2N^2+1) \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_4 p_2] &= N(2N^2+1)(N^2+4) & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_4 p_1^2] &= N^2(2N^2+13) \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_3^2] &= 3N(4N^2+1) & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_3 p_2 p_1] &= 3N^2(N^2+4) \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_3 p_1^3] &= 3N(3N^2+2) & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_2^3] &= N^2(N^2+2)(N^2+4) \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_2^2 p_1^2] &= N(N^2+2)(N^2+4) & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_2 p_1^4] &= 3N^2(N^2+4) \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[p_1^6] &= 15N^3 & & \end{aligned}\quad (5.63)$$

6 Eigenvalue fluctuations

6.1 Moments

Here we focus on the GUE but the Laguerre and Jacobi ensembles can be studied in a similar way. Consider the rescaled GUE matrices $M_R = M/\sqrt{4N}$ of size N with *j.p.d.f.*

$$\frac{(4N)^{\frac{N^2}{2}}}{(2\pi)^{\frac{N}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^N j!} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (x_i - x_j)^2 \prod_{j=1}^N e^{-2Nx_j^2} \quad (6.1)$$

The limiting eigenvalue density is

$$\rho_{sc}(x) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - x^2}. \quad (6.2)$$

Proposition 6.1. *We have*

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\text{Tr} M_R)^{2n}] = \frac{2n!}{2^{3n} n!}. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. When $\mu = (1^{2n})$ in (2.8), using (5.6) and the fact that $\chi_{(1^{2n})}^\lambda = \dim V_\lambda$,

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\text{Tr} M_R)^{2n}] = \frac{2n!}{2^{3n} n!} \frac{1}{N^n} \sum_{\lambda \vdash 2n} \chi_{(2^n)}^\lambda S_\lambda(1^N). \quad (6.4)$$

Using (3.10) and $P_\nu(1^N) = N^{l(\nu)}$,

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\text{Tr} M_R)^{2n}] = \frac{2n!}{2^{3n} n!} \frac{1}{N^n} P_{(2^n)}(1^N) = \frac{2n!}{2^{3n} n!}. \quad (6.5)$$

■

The R.H.S. is the $2n^{\text{th}}$ moment of $r_1/2$ where $r_1 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. This exact equality of moments with the moments of Gaussian normals is special to $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\text{Tr} M_R)^{2n}]$. In general, one can consider moments of the form $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\text{Tr} g(M))^n]$ for a well-defined function g .

Johansson [38] showed that when g is the Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind of degree k , the random variable

$$X_k = \text{Tr} T_k(M_R) - \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [\text{Tr} T_k(M_R)], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (6.6)$$

converges in distribution to the Gaussian variable $\mathcal{N}(0, k/4)$. In this section we prove Theorem 2.3, which implies that

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [X_k^n] = \left(\frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \right)^n \frac{n!}{2^{\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{n}{2} \right)!} \eta_n + d(n, k) \frac{1}{N^{1+m_{k,n}}} + O(N^{-2}), \quad (6.7)$$

where $\eta_n = 1$ if n is even and 0 otherwise, and where $m_{k,n}$ is either 0 or 1, with asymptotic estimates for $d(n, k)$. Results for $k = 1$ are already discussed in Prop. 6.1. We first consider X_2 and discuss results for general values of k in Sec. 6.1.2.

6.1.1 Second degree

We have that

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^2)^n] = \frac{1}{(4N)^n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (N^2 + 2j). \quad (6.8)$$

For a fixed n , this can be obtained by substituting in the character values of \mathcal{S}_{2n} in (2.8). Alternatively, a proof by counting topologically invariant ribbon graphs is sketched in App. B. Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_2^n] &= \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\left(2\text{Tr} M_R^2 - \frac{N}{2} \right)^n \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(-\frac{N}{2} \right)^{n-j} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(2\text{Tr} M_R^2)^j] \\ &= \frac{N^n}{2^{n+1}} \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^{n-j} 2^j N^{2-2j} \binom{n}{j} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{N^2}{2} + j\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{N^2}{2} + 1\right)} \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

The asymptotic expansion for the ratios of Gamma functions is [28]

$$\frac{\Gamma(z+a)}{\Gamma(z+b)} \sim z^{a-b} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{z^l} \binom{a-b}{l} B_l^{(a-b+1)}(a), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.10)$$

where $B_j^{(l)}$ are generalised Bernoulli polynomials. Hence

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_2^n] = \frac{N^n}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{l=0}^{j-1} (-1)^{n-j+l} \frac{2^l}{N^{2l}} \binom{n}{j} \binom{j-1}{l} B_l^{(j)}(0). \quad (6.11)$$

In arriving at (6.11) we used

$$B_l^{(j)}(j) = (-1)^l B_l^{(j)}(0). \quad (6.12)$$

Here $B_l^{(j)}(0)$ are generalised Bernoulli numbers and the first few numbers are given below.

$$\begin{aligned} B_0^{(j)}(0) &= 1 \\ B_1^{(j)}(0) &= -\frac{j}{2} \\ B_2^{(j)}(0) &= \frac{j^2}{4} - \frac{j}{12} \\ B_3^{(j)}(0) &= -\frac{j^3}{8} + \frac{j^2}{8}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

By inserting (6.13) into (6.11),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coef. of } N^n &: \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} = 0 \\ \text{Coef. of } N^{n-2} &: \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{n-j} \binom{n}{j} j(j-1) \\ &= \frac{n}{2^n} \sum_{j=2}^n (-1)^{n-j} (j-1) \binom{n-1}{j-1} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6.14)$$

Calculating the coefficient of N^{n-2l} for arbitrary n and l is not straightforward because there are no simple expressions for generalised Bernoulli numbers. Though these numbers can be written in terms of Stirling's numbers of first kind, the coefficients can be explicitly computed only for small values of l . It can be shown for a given n that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Coef. of } N^{n-2k} &= 0, \quad \text{for } 0 \leq k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor, \\ \text{Coef. of } N^0 &= \frac{n!}{2^n \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!} \eta_n, \end{aligned} \quad (6.15)$$

where $\eta_n = 1$ if n is even and 0 otherwise. Our goal is not to compute these coefficients more generally, but rather to give an estimate for the sub-leading term in (6.7). Since the Chebyshev polynomials of even and odd degree do not mix, the moments of X_k show a similar behaviour as in Corollary 5.4.

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_2^n] = \begin{cases} d_2(n, 2) \frac{1}{N} + O(N^{-3}), & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{n!}{2^n \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)!} + d_3(n, 2) \frac{1}{N^2} + O(N^{-4}), & \text{if } n \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \quad (6.16)$$

Coefficients $d_2(n, 2)$ and $d_3(n, 2)$ can be estimated using (6.11). In the next section, we give an estimate of these coefficients for arbitrary values of n and k .

6.1.2 General degree

The explicit expression for the joint moments of eigenvalues in Thm. 2.2 allows us to obtain Thm. 2.3. Consequently, X_k converges to a normal random variable. For a fixed k and n ,

$$X_k \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{k}}{2} \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.17)$$

In reality, the correct bounds in Thm. 2.3 are much more smaller than given. This is due to sequential cancellations in the sum

$$\sum_{\lambda} \chi_{\mu}^{\lambda} \chi_{2|\mu|/2}^{\lambda} C_{\lambda}(N) \quad (6.18)$$

and in the Chebyshev expansion

$$\text{Tr } T_k(M_R) = \frac{k}{2} \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^j \frac{(k-j-1)!}{j!(k-2j)!} 2^{k-2j} M_R^{k-2j}. \quad (6.19)$$

The bounds in Thm. 2.3 are better for smaller moments.

To prove Thm. 2.3, we first need to estimate the coefficient of the $1/N$ term in the Laurent series of $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_{\mu}]$ of rescaled matrices which leads to estimating the characters of the symmetric group.

All the characters of the symmetric group are integers and satisfy

$$\frac{|\chi_{\mu}^{\lambda}|}{\chi_{(1^{|\mu|})}^{\lambda}} < 1. \quad (6.20)$$

It turns out that under suitable assumptions, the ratios $|\chi_\mu^\lambda|/\chi_{(1^{|\mu|})}^\lambda$ are very small, sometimes exponentially and super-exponentially small [23, 53]. Particularly useful bounds are

$$|\chi_\mu^\lambda| \leq (\chi_{(1^{|\mu|})}^\lambda)^{a_\mu}, \quad (6.21)$$

where a_μ depends on μ .

The frequency representation of a partition $\mu = (1^{b_1}, 2^{b_2}, \dots, k^{b_k})$ also represents a permutation cycle of an element in $\mathcal{S}_{|\mu|}$. The number b_j gives the number of j -cycles in μ , $1 \leq j \leq k$. For example, if $b_1 = 0$ then there are no 1-cycles. In other words, there are no fixed points when $b_1 = 0$.

The only obstruction to the small character values of $|\chi_\mu^\lambda|$ is when μ has many short cycles [46]. With this information,

Proposition 6.2.

(a) Given any $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_n)$, let $\mu = (m^{n/m})$, then [23]

$$|\chi_\mu^\lambda| \leq c n^{\frac{1}{2}(1-\frac{1}{m})} \left(\chi_{(1^{|\mu|})}^\lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \quad (6.22)$$

where c is an absolute constant.

(b) If $\mu \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is fixed-point-free, or has $n^{o(1)}$ fixed points, then for any $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_n)$ [46],

$$|\chi_\mu^\lambda| \leq \left(\chi_{(1^{|\mu|})}^\lambda\right)^{\frac{1}{2}+o(1)}. \quad (6.23)$$

(c) Fix $a \leq 1$ and let $\mu \in \mathcal{S}_n$ with at most n^a cycles. Then for any $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(\mathcal{S}_n)$ [46],

$$|\chi_\mu^\lambda| \leq \left(\chi_{(1^{|\mu|})}^\lambda\right)^{a+o(1)}. \quad (6.24)$$

Proposition 6.3. For a given μ , $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu]$ is a Laurent polynomial in N with

$$\text{Coefficient of } 1/N^q \text{ in } \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu] \lesssim 2^{-\frac{|\mu|}{2}-q-\frac{3}{2}} |\mu|^{\frac{3|\mu|}{4}-\frac{11}{8}+q} e^{-\frac{|\mu|}{4}+\pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}|\mu|}}, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (6.25)$$

as $|\mu| \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. For rescaled matrices, the expected value of P_μ is

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^l \text{Tr} M_R^{\mu_j} \right] = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(8N)^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}} \frac{|\mu|!}{2^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}}}} \sum_{\lambda \vdash |\mu|} \chi_{(2^{|\lambda|/2})}^\lambda \chi_\mu^\lambda C_\lambda(N), & |\mu| \text{ is even,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (6.26)$$

Using (5.6) we obtain

$$\frac{\Gamma(N+1)}{\Gamma(N-|\lambda|+1)} \leq C_\lambda(N) \leq \frac{\Gamma(N+|\lambda|)}{\Gamma(N)}. \quad (6.27)$$

Using the asymptotics of Gamma functions, as $N \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{\Gamma(N + |\lambda|)}{\Gamma(N)} \sim N^{|\lambda|} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{N^l} \binom{|\lambda|}{l} B_l^{(|\lambda|+1)}(|\lambda|), \quad (6.28)$$

where $B_l^{(j)}(x)$ are generalised Bernoulli polynomials of degree l . Thus, the coefficient of $1/N^q$ in (2.8) is bounded by

$$\text{Coefficient of } 1/N^q \text{ in } \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu] \leq \frac{1}{8^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}} \frac{|\mu|!}{2}} \binom{|\mu|}{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q} B_{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q}^{(|\mu|+1)}(|\mu|) \sum_{\lambda} |\chi_\mu^\lambda| |\chi_{2|\mu|/2}^\lambda|. \quad (6.29)$$

Using (6.21) and (6.22), the R.H.S. of (6.29) is bounded from above by

$$\frac{c}{8^{\frac{|\mu|}{2}} \frac{|\mu|!}{2}} \binom{|\mu|}{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q} |\mu|^{\frac{1}{4}} (\chi_{1|\mu|}^\lambda)_{\max}^{a_\mu + \frac{1}{2}} \# \text{par}(|\mu|) B_{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q}^{(|\mu|+1)}(|\mu|) \quad (6.30)$$

The maximum of the dimension of the irreducible representation [49]

$$(\chi_{1|\mu|}^\lambda)_{\max} \leq (2\pi)^{\frac{1}{4}} |\mu|^{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} e^{-\frac{|\mu|}{2}} \quad (6.31)$$

and number of partitions grow as [35, 61]

$$\# \text{par}(|\mu|) \sim \frac{1}{4\sqrt{3}|\mu|} \exp\left(\pi\sqrt{\frac{2|\mu|}{3}}\right), \quad \text{as } |\mu| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.32)$$

Polynomials $B_l^{(j)}(x)$ satisfy

$$B_l^{(j+1)}(x) = \left(1 - \frac{l}{j}\right) B_l^{(j)}(x) + l \left(\frac{x}{j} - 1\right) B_{l-1}^{(j)}(x). \quad (6.33)$$

Hence,

$$B_{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q}^{(|\mu|+1)}(|\mu|) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{|\mu|}\right) B_{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q}^{(|\mu|)}(|\mu|) \lesssim \frac{1}{2^{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q + 1}} |\mu|^{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q}, \quad \text{as } |\mu| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.34)$$

Inserting $a_\mu = 1$, (6.31), and (6.34) in (6.30) we have that

$$\text{coefficient of } 1/N^q \text{ in } \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu] \lesssim \frac{1}{2^{2|\mu| + q + 3}} \frac{1}{\frac{|\mu|!}{2}} \binom{|\mu|}{\frac{|\mu|}{2} + q} |\mu|^{\frac{5|\mu|}{4} - \frac{3}{8} + q} e^{-3\frac{|\mu|}{4} + \pi\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}|\mu|}}, \quad (6.35)$$

as $|\mu| \rightarrow \infty$. Now using Stirling's approximation proves (6.25). ■

We are now ready to prove Thm. 2.3.

Proof of Thm. 2.3. Using (6.26), it can be seen that the joint moments of traces of rescaled matrices are Laurent polynomial in N with rational coefficients. Thus the central moments of traces of Chebyshev polynomials are also Laurent polynomials. Since $X_k(M_R)$ converges

in distribution to a normal random variable as $N \rightarrow \infty$, $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_k^n]$ is a polynomial in $1/N$ with constant term given in (2.17) and (2.19) depending on whether k is odd and even, respectively.

To estimate the sub-leading term in $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_k^n]$, we consider k even and odd cases separately.

(1) For k odd, $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr } T_k(M_R)] = 0$. Using the expansion of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_k^n] &= \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr } T_k(M_R))^n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\left(k \sum_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}-j} \frac{(\frac{k-1}{2}+j)!}{(\frac{k-1}{2}-j)!(2j+1)!} 2^{2j} \text{Tr } M^{2j+1} \right)^n \right] \\ &= k^n \sum_{n_0+\dots+n_{\frac{k-1}{2}}=n} \binom{n}{n_0, \dots, n_{\frac{k-1}{2}}} \prod_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}n_j-jn_j} \left(\frac{(\frac{k-1}{2}+j)!}{(\frac{k-1}{2}-j)!(2j+1)!} \right)^{n_j} 2^{2jn_j} \\ &\quad \times \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu], \end{aligned} \tag{6.36}$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu] = \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{l=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} (\text{Tr } M_R^{2l+1})^{n_l} \right], \quad \mu = (1^{n_0}, 3^{n_1}, \dots, k^{\frac{n_{\frac{k-1}{2}}}{2}}). \tag{6.37}$$

The odd moments of $\text{Tr } T_k(M_R)$ are identically zero because $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu] = 0$ when $|\mu|$ is odd, see (6.26). When n is even, the leading term is given by the n^{th} moment of $\sqrt{k}r_k/2$, $r_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$, according to Szegő's theorem. For n even, $l(\mu)$ is always even. Hence the sub-leading term in (6.36) is $O(N^{-2})$ (see Corollary 5.4. Note that the matrix is now rescaled).

The maximum possible degree of μ is $|\mu| = nk$ when $n_{\frac{k-1}{2}} = n$, $n_j = 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, \frac{k-3}{2}$ and the minimum degree is $|\mu| = n$ when $n_0 = n$, $n_j = 0$ for $j = 1, \dots, \frac{k-1}{2}$. The coefficient of $1/N^2$ in (6.36) is estimated using (6.25) by choosing an appropriate μ . Note that the multinomial coefficient is maximum when all n_j 's are approximately equal. In this case $\mu = (1^{\frac{2n}{k+1}}, 3^{\frac{2n}{k+1}}, \dots, k^{\frac{2n}{k+1}})$ and $|\mu| = n(k+1)/2$. For a fixed k as n increases, the number of short cycles in μ increases. Using (6.24),

$$|\chi_\mu^\lambda| \leq \chi_{1|\mu|}^\lambda, \tag{6.38}$$

which implies $a_\mu = 1$ in (6.25).

Let

$$d_1(n, k) = \left[\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr } T_k(M_R))^n] \right]_{1/N^2} \tag{6.39}$$

denote the coefficient of $1/N^2$ in $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr } T_k(M_R))^n]$. Putting $q = 2$ in (6.25),

$$d_1(n, k) \sim k^n \frac{n!}{\left(\frac{2n}{k+1}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{(\frac{k-1}{2}+j)!}{(\frac{k-1}{2}-j)!(2j+1)!} \right)^{\frac{2n}{k+1}} 2^{2|\mu|} \left[\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[P_\mu] \right]_{1/N^2}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{6.40}$$

Now,

$$\prod_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{(\frac{k-1}{2} + j)!}{(\frac{k-1}{2} - j)!(2j+1)!} = 2^{-\frac{5}{24} - \frac{1}{4}k(k+2)} e^{\frac{1}{8}} \pi^{\frac{1}{4}(k+2)} \frac{1}{A^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{G(k+1)}{G\left(\frac{k}{2} + 2\right) G\left(\frac{k+1}{2}\right) \left(G\left(\frac{k+3}{2}\right)\right)^2} \quad (6.41)$$

where $G(x)$ is Barnes-G function and $A = 1.2824 \dots$ is the Glaisher-Kinkelin constant.

Using asymptotics of Barnes-G functions and Stirling's approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \frac{(\frac{k-1}{2} + j)!}{(\frac{k-1}{2} - j)!(2j+1)!} \right)^{\frac{2n}{k+1}} &\sim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{nk}{2} - n + \frac{n}{6k}} k^{-\frac{3n}{2} + \frac{n}{4k}} e^{\frac{9n}{4} + \frac{5n}{8k}}, \\ \frac{n!}{\left(\frac{2n}{k+1}\right)^{\frac{k+1}{2}}} &\sim \frac{1}{\pi^{\frac{k-1}{4}}} \frac{1}{2^{n+\frac{k}{2}}} n^{-\frac{k-1}{4}} (k+1)^{n+\frac{k+1}{4}}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (6.42)$$

By combining the previous equations we arrive at

$$d_1(n, k) \lesssim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{4}(2n+k)} 2^{\frac{7nk}{8} - \frac{13n}{8} + \frac{n}{6k} - \frac{k}{2}} n^{\frac{3n}{8}(k+1) - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{7}{8}} k^{\frac{3n}{8}(k+2) + \frac{n}{8} + \frac{n}{4k} + \frac{k}{4} + \frac{7}{8}} e^{-\frac{n}{8}(k+1) + \frac{9n}{4} + \frac{5n}{8k} + \pi\sqrt{\frac{n}{3}(k+1)}}, \quad (6.43)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We are interested to find the order of the coefficient of $1/N$ as n increases for a fixed k . To capture the right behaviour, it is sufficient to approximate (6.43) to

$$d_1(n, k) \lesssim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{7nk}{8} - \frac{13n}{8} + \frac{n}{6k}} k^{\frac{3n}{8}(k+2) + \frac{n}{8} + \frac{n}{4k}} n^{\frac{3n}{8}(k+1) - \frac{k}{4} + \frac{7}{8}} e^{-\frac{n}{8}(k+1) + \frac{9n}{4} + \frac{5n}{8k} + \pi\sqrt{\frac{n}{3}(k+1)}} \quad (6.44)$$

(2) When k is even, let

$$c_k = \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [\text{Tr } T_k(M_R)]. \quad (6.45)$$

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [X_k^n] &= \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\text{Tr } T_k(M_R) - N c_k)^n] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\left(N \left((-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} - c_k \right) + k \sum_{j=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}-j} \frac{(\frac{k}{2} + j - 1)!}{(\frac{k}{2} - j)!(2j)!} 2^{2j-1} \text{Tr } M_R^{2j} \right)^n \right] \\ &= \sum_{n_0 + \dots + n_{\frac{k}{2}} = n} \binom{n}{n_0, \dots, n_{\frac{k}{2}}} N^{n_0} \left((-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} - c_k \right)^{n_0} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{j=1}^{\frac{k}{2}} (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}n_j - jn_j} k^{n_j} \left(\frac{(\frac{k}{2} + j - 1)!}{(\frac{k}{2} - j)!(2j)!} \right)^{n_j} 2^{(2j-1)n_j} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [P_\mu], \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

where

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [P_\mu] = \mathbb{E}_N \left[\prod_{l=0}^{\frac{k}{2}} (\text{Tr } M_R^{2l})^{n_l} \right], \quad \mu = (2^{n_1}, 4^{n_2}, \dots, k^{\frac{n_{\frac{k}{2}}}{2}}). \quad (6.47)$$

According to Szegő's theorem, when n is even the leading order term in the R.H.S. of (6.46) is given by $\mathbb{E}[(\sqrt{k}r_k/2)^n]$, $r_k \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$. The sub-leading term is $d_3(n, k)N^{-2}$. When n is odd,

the leading term in the R.H.S. is given by $d_2(n, k)N^{-1}$. Next we compute the coefficients $d_2(n, k)$ and $d_3(n, k)$.

Coefficient $d_2(n, k)$: c_k decays as $1/N^2$ for $k > 2$, so we neglect it in (6.46). Note that μ in (6.47) doesn't have any 1-cycles. So μ is fixed-point-free and (6.23) can also be used to estimate characters χ_μ^λ in Prop. 6.3. Here we just use (6.25) for $q = 2$ and follow the exact same calculation as k odd. In the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ this leads to

$$d_2(n, k) \lesssim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{3nk}{8} - 3n + \frac{n}{6k}} k^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{9n}{4k}} n^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{2n}{k} - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{3}{8}} e^{-\frac{n}{8}(k-18) + \pi \sqrt{\frac{nk}{3} - \frac{19n}{8k}}}. \quad (6.48)$$

Similarly, $d_3(n, k)$ can be approximated as

$$d_3(n, k) \lesssim A^{\frac{3n}{k}} \pi^{-\frac{n}{2}} 2^{\frac{3nk}{8} - 3n + \frac{n}{6k}} k^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{n}{2} + \frac{9n}{4k}} n^{\frac{3nk}{8} + \frac{2n}{k} - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{5}{8}} e^{-\frac{n}{8}(k-18) + \pi \sqrt{\frac{nk}{3} - \frac{19n}{8k}}}. \quad (6.49)$$

■

Since X_k converges to independent Gaussian normals, the correlators of X_k also converges to random Gaussian variables as $N \rightarrow \infty$. For instance,

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_i X_j] = \frac{\sqrt{ij}}{4} \mathbb{E}[r_i r_j] + O(N^{-1}). \quad (6.50)$$

Given below are the moments corresponding to partitions of 6.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_6] &= 0 & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_5 X_1] &= \frac{5}{4N^2} \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_4 X_2] &= \frac{1}{N^2} & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_4 X_1^2] &= \frac{1}{2N} \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_3^2] &= \frac{3}{4} + \frac{3}{4N^2} & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_3 X_2 X_1] &= \frac{3}{4N} \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_3 X_1^3] &= \frac{3}{8N^2} & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_2^3] &= \frac{1}{N} \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_2^2 X_1^2] &= \frac{1}{8} + \frac{1}{2N^2} & \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_2 X_1^4] &= \frac{3}{8N} \\ \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[X_1^6] &= \frac{15}{64} \end{aligned} \quad (6.51)$$

In this paper, we do not pursue correlations of X_k any further.

6.2 Cumulants

In general, the moments and the cumulants are related by the recurrence relation

$$\kappa_n = m_n - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j-1} \kappa_j m_{n-j}. \quad (6.52)$$

Cumulants and moments can also be expressed in terms of each other through a more elegant formula. Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \equiv (1^{b_1}, 2^{b_2}, \dots, r^{b_r})$ be a partition of n . Define

$$\kappa_\lambda := \prod_{j=1}^l \kappa_j = \prod_{j=1}^r \kappa_j^{b_j}, \quad m_\lambda := \prod_{j=1}^l m_j = \prod_{j=1}^r m_j^{b_j}. \quad (6.53)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} m_n &= \sum_{\lambda} d_{\lambda} \kappa_{\lambda} \\ \kappa_n &= \sum_{\lambda} (-1)^{l(\lambda)-1} (l(\lambda) - 1)! d_{\lambda} m_{\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (6.54)$$

where

$$d_{\lambda} = \frac{n!}{(1!)^{b_1} b_1! \dots (r!)^{b_r} b_r!} \quad (6.55)$$

is the number of decompositions of a set of n elements into disjoint subsets containing $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ elements.

In this section, we give an estimate on the cumulants of random variables X_k and to do so we rely on the well studied connection between GUE correlators and enumerating ribbon graphs which has been briefly discussed in App. B.

Consider the formal matrix integral over the space of $N \times N$ rescaled GUE matrices.

$$Z_N(\mathbf{s}, \xi) = e^{s_0 N \xi} \int e^{-2N \text{Tr } M^2} e^{\xi \text{Tr } V(M)} dM \quad (6.56)$$

Here the formal series $V(M)$ depending on the parameters $\mathbf{s} = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ has the form

$$V(M) = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j M^j. \quad (6.57)$$

The integral in (6.56) can be considered as a formal expansion in the set of parameters s_j and ξ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Z(\mathbf{s}, \xi)}{Z(0, \xi)} &= \sum_{n_0, n_1, \dots, n_k} \xi^{\sum n_j} \frac{(s_0 N)^{n_0}}{n_0!} \frac{s_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \dots \frac{s_k^{n_k}}{n_k!} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k (\text{Tr } M_R^j)^{n_j} \right] \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \xi^n \sum_{n_0 + \dots + n_k = n} \frac{(s_0 N)^{n_0}}{n_0!} \frac{s_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \dots \frac{s_k^{n_k}}{n_k!} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k (\text{Tr } M_R^j)^{n_j} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.58)$$

By choosing s_j to be the coefficients of Chebyshev polynomials in (6.58), we recover the moments of X_k . Thus, (6.58) is the moment generating function of X_k . For a given k , by fixing s_j to be the Chebyshev coefficients in T_k ,

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [e^{\xi X_k}] = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [X_k^n] = \frac{Z(\mathbf{s}, \xi)}{Z(0, \xi)}. \quad (6.59)$$

By matching the terms in the L.H.S. and R.H.S. of (6.59) by powers in ξ we recover the moments of X_k . The correlators of $\text{Tr } M_R^j$ are connected to the problem of enumerating ribbon graphs. For a brief introduction see App. B and for more details see [22, 37] and references within. The trace correlators count ribbon graphs that are connected and also multiplicatively count ribbon graphs that are disconnected. When we have a generating

function that counts disconnected objects multiplicatively, taking logarithm counts only the connected objects [36]. Hence, the cumulant generating function,

$$\begin{aligned} \log \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [e^{\xi X_k}] &= \log \frac{Z(\mathbf{s}, \xi)}{Z(0, \xi)} = \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\xi^n}{n!} \kappa_n \\ &= s_0 N \xi + \sum_{n \geq 1} \xi^n \sum_{n_1 + \dots + n_k = n} \frac{s_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \dots \frac{s_k^{n_k}}{n_k!} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k (\text{Tr } M_R^j)^{n_j} \right]_c \end{aligned} \quad (6.60)$$

keeps only connected ribbon graphs indicated by subscript c . For $\mu = (1^{n_1}, \dots, k^{n_k}) \equiv (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l)$, the connected correlators are given by

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^l \text{Tr } M_R^{\mu_j} \right]_c \equiv \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^k (\text{Tr } M_R^j)^{n_j} \right]_c = \sum_{0 \leq g \leq \frac{|\mu|}{4} - \frac{l}{2} + \frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{2^{|\mu|}} a_g(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) N^{2-2g-l}, \quad |\mu| \text{ is even.} \quad (6.61)$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} a_g(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_l) &= \#\{\text{connected oriented labelled ribbon graphs} \\ &\quad \text{of genus } g \text{ with } l \text{ vertices of valencies } \mu_1, \dots, \mu_l\} \\ &= l! \sum_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{\#\text{Sym}(\Gamma)}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.62)$$

where Γ is a connected (unlabelled) ribbon graph of genus g with l vertices of valencies μ_1, \dots, μ_l , $\#\text{Sym}(\Gamma)$ is the order of the symmetry group of Γ , and the last summation is taken over all such Γ . For the explicit expressions of connected correlators of the GUE see [20].

We are now ready to estimate the cumulants of X_k . We treat k even and odd cases separately.

(1) k odd: In this case, the parameters $s_{2j} = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}$ and

$$s_{2j+1} = (-1)^{\frac{k-1}{2}-j} k \frac{(\frac{k-1}{2} + j)!}{(\frac{k-1}{2} - j)!(2j+1)!} 2^{2j}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq \frac{k-1}{2}. \quad (6.63)$$

When k is odd, all the odd moments are zero. Hence all the odd cumulants are also zero. By inserting (6.61) in (6.60), the even cumulants are given by

$$\kappa_{2n} = \frac{2n!}{N^{2n-2}} \sum_g \sum_{n_1 + n_3 + \dots + n_k = 2n} \frac{1}{2^{\sum_j j n_j}} \frac{a_g}{N^{2g}} \frac{s_1^{n_1}}{n_1!} \frac{s_3^{n_3}}{n_3!} \dots \frac{s_k^{n_k}}{n_k!}. \quad (6.64)$$

(2) k even: In this case, the parameters $s_{2j+1} = 0$ for $0 \leq j \leq \frac{k}{2} - 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} s_0 &= (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}} - c_k, \\ s_{2j} &= (-1)^{\frac{k}{2}-j} k \frac{(\frac{k}{2} + j - 1)!}{(\frac{k}{2} - j)!(2j)!} 2^{2j-1}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq \frac{k}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.65)$$

The first cumulant is zero by definition of X_k . So the first term in (6.60) is cancelled by $n = 1$ contribution from the second term. Hence,

$$\log \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [e^{\xi X_k}] = \sum_{n \geq 2} \xi^n \sum_{n_2 + \dots + n_k = n} \frac{s_2^{n_2} s_4^{n_4}}{n_2! n_4!} \dots \frac{s_k^{n_k}}{n_k!} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^{k/2} (\text{Tr } M_R^{2j})^{n_{2j}} \right]_c \quad (6.66)$$

By inserting (6.61) in (6.60), the cumulants are given by

$$\kappa_n = \frac{n!}{N^{n-2}} \sum_g \sum_{n_2 + \dots + n_k = n} \frac{1}{2^{\sum_j j n_j}} \frac{a_g}{N^{2g}} \frac{s_2^{n_2} s_4^{n_4}}{n_2! n_4!} \dots \frac{s_k^{n_k}}{n_k!}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (6.67)$$

Third and higher order cumulants of Gaussian random variable are identically zero. Since X_k converges to $\mathcal{N}(0, k/4)$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, cumulants of X_k , $\kappa_n \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$ for all $n \geq 3$. For a fixed n , we see from (6.64) and (6.67) that κ_n decay as N^{-n+2} .

Example: The simplest non-trivial example is to calculate the cumulants of X_2 . By mapping the problem to counting ribbon graphs (see App. B),

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [(\text{Tr } M_R^2)^n]_c = \frac{1}{(4N)^n} 2^{n-1} (n-1)! N^2 = (n-1)! \frac{1}{2^{n+1}} \frac{1}{N^{n-2}}. \quad (6.68)$$

For X_2 , $s_0 = -\frac{1}{2}$, $s_2 = 2$, and $s_j = 0$ for $j \neq 0, 2$. Hence

$$\kappa_n = s_2^n \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} [\text{Tr } (M_R^2)^n]_c = \frac{1}{2} \frac{(n-1)!}{N^{n-2}}. \quad (6.69)$$

Acknowledgements

FM is grateful for support from the University Research Fellowship of the University of Bristol. JPK is pleased to acknowledge support from a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit Award and ERC Advanced Grant 740900 (LogCorRM). We also thank Tamara Grava and Sergey Berezin for helpful discussions.

Data availability

Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analysed in this study.

Appendices

A Some properties of multivariate orthogonal polynomials

Gaussian case. For $N = 1$, the multivariate Hermite polynomials coincide with the classical polynomials,

$$H_n(x) = n! \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbb{1}_{n-j \equiv 0 \pmod{2}} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{n-j}{2}\right)!} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{n-j}{2}}}{2^{\frac{n-j}{2}} j!} x^j, \quad (A.1)$$

which have the generating function

$$\sum_j \frac{H_j(x)}{j!} t^j = e^{xt - \frac{t^2}{2}}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

By comparing (5.26) and (A.1), we can see the analogies between classical Hermite polynomials and their multivariate counterparts: the sum over j is replaced by the sum over partitions; the role of monomials is played by Schur polynomials; the factorials are replaced with $C_\lambda(N)$. With this analogy the generating function of \mathcal{H}_λ is [3]

$$\sum_\lambda \frac{\mathcal{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{x})}{C_\lambda(N)} S_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) = \left(\sum_\mu \frac{S_\mu(\mathbf{x}) S_\mu(\mathbf{t})}{C_\mu(N)} \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{\nu \vdash 2n} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{|\nu|}{2}}}{2^{\frac{|\nu|}{2}}} S_\nu(\mathbf{t}) D_{\nu 0}^{(H)} \right). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The validity of the above formula can be easily verified for lower order partitions (say $|\lambda| = 2, 4$) using Pieri's formula but the second factor in (A.3) can be simplified further.

Proposition A.1. *Let t_1, t_2, \dots be a set of variables, then*

$$\prod_j \exp\left(-\frac{t_j^2}{2}\right) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{\nu \vdash 2n} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{|\nu|}{2}}}{2^{\frac{|\nu|}{2}}} S_\nu(\mathbf{t}) D_{\nu 0}^{(H)}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Proof. For a fixed n , $|\nu| = 2n$. Comparing (5.10) with (5.19),

$$D_{\nu 0}^{(H)} = \frac{1}{n!} \chi_{(2^n)}^\nu. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Now using (3.10) proves the proposition. ■

Proposition A.2. *Let x_1, \dots, x_N and t_1, \dots, t_N be two sets of variables. The multivariate Hermite polynomials defined in (5.3) have the following generating function [3]:*

$$\sum_\lambda \frac{\mathcal{H}_\lambda(\mathbf{x})}{C_\lambda(N)} S_\lambda(\mathbf{t}) = \left(\sum_\mu \frac{S_\mu(\mathbf{x}) S_\mu(\mathbf{t})}{C_\mu(N)} \right) \prod_j \exp\left(-\frac{t_j^2}{2}\right). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

Several other analogues of properties of the classical Hermite polynomials, including an integral representation, summation, integration and differentiation formulae, are given for β -ensembles in [3]. Note that in [3] C_μ^α ($\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$) is used to denote Schur polynomials with a specific normalisation where as in this work $C_\mu(N)$ is a constant in N given in (5.6).

Laguerre case. When $N = 1$, $\mathcal{L}_\lambda^{(\gamma)}$ coincides with the classical Laguerre polynomials

$$L_n^{(\gamma)}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \frac{\Gamma(n + \gamma + 1)}{\Gamma(j + \gamma + 1)(n - j)!} \frac{x^j}{j!}, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

whose generating function is

$$\sum_{j=0}^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(j + \gamma + 1)} L_j^{(\gamma)}(x) t^j = e^t \frac{J_\gamma(2\sqrt{tx})}{(tx)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}}} = e^t \sum_{m=0}^\infty \frac{(-1)^m}{m! \Gamma(m + \gamma + 1)} (tx)^m, \quad (\text{A.8})$$

where J_γ is the Bessel function. By comparing (5.32) and (A.7), the generating function for multivariate Laguerre polynomials [3] is

$$\sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{G_{\nu}(N, \gamma)} \mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{x}) S_{\nu}(\mathbf{t}) = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \left(\sum_{\lambda} S_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}) D_{\lambda 0}^{(L)} \right) \left(\sum_{\mu} \frac{(-1)^{|\mu|}}{G_{\mu}(N, \gamma)} \frac{S_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) S_{\mu}(\mathbf{t})}{G_{\mu}(N, 0)} \right), \quad (\text{A.9})$$

or equivalently using (5.33),

$$\sum_{\nu} \frac{1}{G_{\nu}(N, \gamma)} \mathcal{L}_{\nu}^{(\gamma)}(\mathbf{x}) S_{\nu}(\mathbf{t}) = (-1)^{\frac{N(N-1)}{2}} \left(\sum_{\mu} \frac{(-1)^{|\mu|}}{G_{\mu}(N, \gamma)} \frac{S_{\mu}(\mathbf{x}) S_{\mu}(\mathbf{t})}{G_{\mu}(N, 0)} \right) \prod_{j=1}^N e^{t_j}. \quad (\text{A.10})$$

B Ribbon graphs and matrix integrals

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be an N -dimensional random variable. Consider the normalised Gaussian measure

$$d\mu(\mathbf{x}) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{N}{2}} \sqrt{\det A} e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} x_i A_{ij} x_j} \prod_k dx_k, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where A is a positive definite symmetric matrix. The inverse

$$B_{ij} = (A^{-1})_{ij} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

is called the propagator.

Correlations of Gaussian random variables can be computed in a combinatorial way using *Wick's theorem* [62], also known as *Isserlis' theorem*, which is stated below.

Theorem B.1 (Wick's theorem). *The expectation value of product of Gaussian random variables is*

$$\mathbb{E}[x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_n}] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \\ B_{i_1 i_2} & \text{if } n = 2, \\ \sum_{\text{pairings of } (i_1, \dots, i_n)} \prod_{\text{pairs } (k, l)} B_{i_k i_l}, & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and even.} \end{cases} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

For example,

$$\mathbb{E}[x_{i_1} x_{i_2} x_{i_3} x_{i_4}] = B_{i_1 i_2} B_{i_3 i_4} + B_{i_1 i_3} B_{i_2 i_4} + B_{i_1 i_4} B_{i_2 i_3}. \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Wick's theorem becomes particularly useful when the indices i_j are repeated. The problem of computing the expectation values $\mathbb{E}[x_{i_1}^{b_1} \dots x_{i_n}^{b_n}]$ can be mapped to counting the number of ways of gluing n vertices with valencies b_1, \dots, b_n , whose weights are determined by the propagators that correspond to their edges.

$$\mathbb{E}[x_{i_1}^{b_1} \dots x_{i_n}^{b_n}] = \sum_{\substack{\text{Graphs } G \text{ with } n \text{ vertices} \\ \text{of valencies } b_j}} \prod_{(i_k, i_l) \text{ edge of } G} B_{i_k i_l}. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

For example,

$$\mathbb{E}[x_{i_1}^2 x_{i_2}^2] = B_{i_1 i_1} B_{i_2 i_2} + 2B_{i_1 i_2}^2. \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Clearly many graphs in (B.5) are topologically identical and have the same weight because of the symmetries among the edges and vertices. Let \mathbf{G} be the group of these symmetries, $\#gluings$ be the number of gluings of obtaining a graph, and $\text{Aut}(G)$ be the automorphism group of the graph. By orbit-stabiliser theorem,

$$\#\text{Aut}(G) \times \#gluings = \#\mathbf{G}, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $\#\mathbf{G}$ is the order of group relabelling. Wick's theorem can be written only in terms of non-equivalent graphs as follows:

$$\frac{1}{\#\mathbf{G}} \mathbb{E} \left[\prod_j x_{i_j}^{b_j} \right] = \sum_{\text{Non-equivalent graphs } G} \frac{1}{\#\text{Aut}(G)} \prod_{(i,j) \text{ edge of } G} B_{ij}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

In the case of Gaussian matrix integrals, Wick's theorem can be applied to compute correlators of traces by studying *fat graphs* also called *ribbon graphs*.

Consider the Hermitian Gaussian matrix model with probability measure

$$d\mu_0(M_R) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_0} e^{-2N \text{Tr} M_R^2} \prod_{j=1}^N dM_{R_{jj}} \prod_{j < k} d\text{Re} M_{R_{jk}} d\text{Im} M_{R_{jk}}, \quad (\text{B.9})$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}_0 = \frac{1}{2^{N(N-1)}} \left(\frac{\pi}{N} \right)^{\frac{N^2}{2}}. \quad (\text{B.10})$$


The Wick's propagator is

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[M_{R_{ij}} M_{R_{kl}}] \equiv \langle M_{R_{ij}} M_{R_{kl}} \rangle = \frac{1}{4N} \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

As an example, consider

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^3)^2] = \sum_{\substack{i,j,k, \\ l,m,n}} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[M_{R_{ij}} M_{R_{jk}} M_{R_{ki}} M_{R_{lm}} M_{R_{mn}} M_{R_{nl}}] \quad (\text{B.12})$$


To map the problem to counting graphs, associate a vertex to each trace. The power of the matrix inside the trace gives the number of half-edges as double lines with index associated to each single line. The propagator in (B.11) can be used to glue these half-edges together



to form a double line edge of the graph. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^3)^2] &= \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ l,m,n}} \langle M_{R_{ij}} M_{R_{jk}} \rangle \langle M_{R_{ki}} M_{R_{lm}} \rangle \langle M_{R_{mn}} M_{R_{nl}} \rangle + \langle M_{R_{ij}} M_{R_{ki}} \rangle \langle M_{R_{jk}} M_{R_{lm}} \rangle \langle M_{R_{mn}} M_{R_{nl}} \rangle + \dots \\
&= \frac{1}{(4N)^3} \sum_{\substack{i,j,k \\ l,m,n}} \delta_{ik} \delta_{km} \delta_{il} \delta_{ml} + \delta_{jk} \delta_{jm} \delta_{kl} \delta_{ml} + \dots \\
&= \frac{1}{4^3} \left(12 + \frac{3}{N^2} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{B.13}$$

There are in total $5!! = 15$ graphs in (B.13) with only two topologically distinct graphs shown below.



If we attach to each vertex a factor of N , the N dependence of a graph is: There is a factor N per vertex, a factor N^{-1} per edge, a factor N for each single line when summed over indices. The number of single lines remaining at the end is the number of faces of the graph. So the total N dependency of a graph is

$$N^{\#\text{vertices} - \#\text{edges} + \#\text{faces}} = N^{\chi(G)}, \tag{B.14}$$

where $\chi(G)$ is the topological invariant of the graph called its Euler-characteristic.

This notion of counting ribbon graphs can be extended to compute correlators of the form $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\prod_j (\text{Tr} M_R^j)^{b_j}]$. When divided by $\prod_j j^{b_j} b_j!$, the order of group relabelling, matrix integrals takes a form similar to (B.8). This formula is due to Brezin-Itzykson-Parisi- Zuber in 1978 [13]


$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)} \left[\prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{b_j!} \left(\frac{N}{j} \text{Tr} M_R^j \right)^{b_j} \right] = \sum_{\text{Ribbon Graphs } G} \frac{1}{\#\text{Aut}(G)} 4^{-\#\text{edges}} N^{\chi(G)}, \tag{B.15}$$

where the sum is over non-topologically equivalent ribbon graphs and $\#\text{Aut}(G)$ is the number of automorphisms of G . There are a total of $(\sum_j j b_j - 1)!!$ graphs (counting equivalent and non-equivalent graphs). The total number of vertices is $b = \sum_j b_j$ with j valencies for each vertex and the total number of edges is $(\sum_j j b_j)/2$.

B.1 Special cases

Here we consider two cases (i) $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M_R^{2k-1} \text{Tr} M_R]$ and (ii) $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^2)^n]$.

(i) $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M_R^{2k-1} \text{Tr} M_R]$: We represent $\text{Tr} M_R^{2k-1} \text{Tr} M_R$ as two vertices with $2k-1$ and 1 valencies, respectively.




Since index i_{2k} has $2k-1$ choices, by gluing the half-edges using (B.11),

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M_R^{2k-1} \text{Tr} M_R] &= \frac{(2k-1)}{4N} \mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[\text{Tr} M_R^{2k-2}] \\
 &= \frac{N}{k(4N)^k} (2k-1)!! i^{-k+1} P_{k-1}^{(1)} \left(iN, \frac{\pi}{2} \right),
 \end{aligned} \tag{B.16}$$

where $P_{k-1}^{(1)} \left(iN, \frac{\pi}{2} \right)$ is a Meixner-Pollaczek polynomial.

(ii) $\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^2)^n]$: Here we sketch the idea to calculate moments of $\text{Tr} M_R^2$. We represent $(\text{Tr} M_R^2)^n$ as n vertices each with two valencies as shown below. There are several ways of



gluing this set of vertices and half-edges. Trivially i_j can be glued with itself for $j = 1, \dots, 2n$ which gives a total contribution of $N^{2n}/(4N)^n$.

The next non-trivial contribution comes from choosing any two vertices and gluing their valencies to form an edge between them. There are $\binom{n}{2}$ ways of choosing two vertices. Let (i_p, i_{p+1}) and (i_q, i_{q+1}) , $1 \leq p, q \leq 2n$, be the indices of the valencies of these two vertices. There are two ways to pair (i_p, i_{p+1}) and (i_q, i_{q+1}) . This gives a contribution of $n(n -$

$1)N^2/(4N)^2$. The remaining $n-2$ disconnected graphs multiplicatively gives $N^{2n-4}/(4N)^{n-2}$. Hence the first two leading terms are

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^2)^n] = \frac{1}{(4N)^n} (N^{2n} + n(n-1)N^{2n-2} + \dots) \quad (\text{B.17})$$

Remaining terms in the n^{th} moment can be likewise computed.

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^2)^n] = \frac{1}{(4N)^n} \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (N^2 + 2j). \quad (\text{B.18})$$

Similar arguments can be used to show that

$$\mathbb{E}_N^{(H)}[(\text{Tr} M_R^2)^k (\text{Tr} M_R)^{2n-2k}] = (2n-2k-1)!! \frac{1}{(4N)^n} N^{n-k} \prod_{l=n-k}^{n-1} (N^2 + 2l) \quad (\text{B.19})$$

for $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

References

- [1] J. Baik, P. Deift, and E. Strahov. Products and ratios of characteristic polynomials of random Hermitian matrices. *J. Math. Phys.*, 44(8):3657–3670, 2003.
- [2] T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester. Finite N fluctuation formulas for random matrices. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 88(5-6):1371–1386, 1997.
- [3] T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester. The Calogero-Sutherland model and generalized classical polynomials. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 188(1):175–216, 1997.
- [4] T. H. Baker and P. J. Forrester. The Calogero-Sutherland model and polynomials with prescribed symmetry. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 492(3):682–716, 1997.
- [5] F. Bekerman, T. Leblé, and S. Serfaty. CLT for fluctuations of β -ensembles with general potential. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 23, 2018.
- [6] S. Berezin and A. Bufetov. On the rate of convergence in the central limit theorem for linear statistics of Laguerre ensembles. *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.*, 6(1):57–99, 2021.
- [7] D. Bessis, C. Itzykson, and J. B. Zuber. Quantum field theory techniques in graphical enumeration. *Adv. Appl. Math.*, 1(2):109–157, 1980.
- [8] A. Borodin. On a family of symmetric rational functions. *Adv. Math.*, 306:973–1018, 2017.
- [9] A. Borodin and E. Strahov. Averages of characteristic polynomials in random matrix theory. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 59(2):161–253, 2006.
- [10] G. Borot and A. Guionnet. Asymptotic expansion of β matrix models in the one-cut regime. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 317(2):447–483, 2013.

- [11] J. Breuer and E. Strahov. A universality theorem for ratios of random characteristic polynomials. *J. Approx. Theo.*, 164(6):803–814, 2012.
- [12] E. Brézin and S. Hikami. Characteristic polynomials of random matrices. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 214(3):111–135, 2000.
- [13] E. Brézin, C. Itzykson, G. Parisi, and J. B. Zuber. Planar diagrams. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 59(1):35–51, 1978.
- [14] D. Bump and A. Gamburd. On the averages of characteristic polynomials from classical groups. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 265(1):227–274, 2006.
- [15] J. Choi. Some mathematical constants. *Appl. Math. Comput.*, 187(1):122–140, 2007.
- [16] J. B. Conrey, D. W. Farmer, J. P. Keating, M. O. Rubinstein, and N. C. Snaith. Autocorrelation of random matrix polynomials. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 237(3):365–395, 2003.
- [17] F. D. Cunden, A. Dahlqvist, and N. O’Connell. Integer moments of complex Wishart matrices and Hurwitz numbers. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Comb. Phys. Interact.*, 8(2):243–268, 2021.
- [18] F. D. Cunden, F. Mezzadri, N. O’Connell, and N. Simm. Moments of random matrices and hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 369(3):1091–1145, 2019.
- [19] P. Diaconis and M. Shahshahani. On the eigenvalues of random matrices. *J. Appl. Probab.*, 31(A):49–62, 1994.
- [20] B. Dubrovin and D. Yang. Generating series for GUE correlators. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 107(11):1971–2012, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-017-0975-6>.
- [21] I. Dumitriu, A. Edelman, and G. Shuman. MOPS: Multivariate orthogonal polynomials (symbolically). *J. Symb. Comput.*, 42(6):587–620, 2007.
- [22] B. Eynard. Counting surfaces. *Progr. Math. Phys.*, 70, 2016.
- [23] S. V. Fomin and N. Lulov. On the number of rim hook tableaux. *J. Math. Sci.*, 87(6):4118–4123, 1997.
- [24] P. J. Forrester. *Log-gases and random matrices (LMS-34)*. Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [25] P. J. Forrester. The averaged characteristic polynomial for the Gaussian and chiral Gaussian ensembles with a source. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theo.*, 46(34):345204, 2013.
- [26] P. J. Forrester, A. A. Rahman, and N. S. Witte. Large N expansions for the Laguerre and Jacobi β -ensembles from the loop equations. *J. Math. Phys.*, 58(11):113303, 2017.

- [27] P. J. Forrester, A. A. Rahman, and N. S. Witte. Large N expansions for the Laguerre and Jacobi β -ensembles from the loop equations. *J. Math. Phys.*, 58(11):113303, 2017.
- [28] C. Frenzen. Error bounds for asymptotic expansions of the ratio of two gamma functions. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, 18(3):890–896, 1987.
- [29] Y. V. Fyodorov, J. Grela, and E. Strahov. On characteristic polynomials for a generalized chiral random matrix ensemble with a source. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theo.*, 51(13):134003, 2018.
- [30] Y. V. Fyodorov and E. Strahov. Characteristic polynomials of random Hermitian matrices and Duistermaat–Heckman localisation on non-compact Kähler manifolds. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 630(3):453–491, 2002.
- [31] Y. V. Fyodorov and E. Strahov. On correlation functions of characteristic polynomials for chiral Gaussian unitary ensemble. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 647(3):581–597, 2002.
- [32] Y. V. Fyodorov and E. Strahov. An exact formula for general spectral correlation function of random Hermitian matrices. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 36(12):3203, 2003.
- [33] M. Gisonni, T. Grava, and G. Ruzza. Laguerre ensemble: Correlators, Hurwitz numbers and Hodge integrals. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 21(10):3285–3339, 2020.
- [34] M. Gisonni, T. Grava, and G. Ruzza. Jacobi ensemble, Hurwitz numbers and Wilson polynomials. *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 111(67), 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11005-021-01396-z>.
- [35] G. H. Hardy and S. Ramanujan. Asymptotic formulae in combinatory analysis. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 2(1):75–115, 1918.
- [36] J. Harer and D. Zagier. The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves. *Invent. math.*, 85(3):457–485, 1986.
- [37] C. Itzykson and J. B. Zuber. Matrix integration and combinatorics of modular groups. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 134(1):197–207, 1990.
- [38] K. Johansson. On fluctuations of eigenvalues of random Hermitian matrices. *Duke Math. J.*, 91(1):151–204, 1998.
- [39] B. Jonnadula, J. P. Keating, and F. Mezzadri. On the moments of characteristic polynomials. Eprint: [arXiv:2106.11743](https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.11743).
- [40] J. P. Keating, F. Mezzadri, and B. Singphu. Rate of convergence of linear functions on the unitary group. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theo.*, 44(3):035204, 2010.
- [41] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith. Random matrix theory and L-functions at $s = 1/2$. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 214(1):91–100, 2000.
- [42] J. P. Keating and N. C. Snaith. Random matrix theory and $\zeta(1/2 + it)$. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 214(1):57–89, 2000.

- [43] P. Kopel. Regularity conditions for convergence of linear statistics of GUE. Eprint: [arXiv:1510.02988](#).
- [44] T. Lam. A combinatorial generalization of the Boson-Fermion correspondence. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 13(3):377–392, 2006.
- [45] G. Lambert, M. Ledoux, and C. Webb. Quantitative normal approximation of linear statistics of β -ensembles. *Ann. Probab.*, 47(5):2619–2685, 2019.
- [46] M. Larsen and A. Shalev. Characters of symmetric groups: sharp bounds and applications. *Invent. math.*, 174(3):645, 2008.
- [47] K.-H. Lee and S.-J. Oh. Auto-correlation functions of Sato-Tate distributions and identities of symplectic characters. Eprint: [arXiv:2006.06116](#).
- [48] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [49] J. McKay. The largest degrees of irreducible characters of the symmetric group. *Math. Comput.*, 30(135):624–631, 1976.
- [50] F. Mezzadri, A. K. Reynolds, and B. Winn. Moments of the eigenvalue densities and of the secular coefficients of β -ensembles. *Nonlinearity*, 30(3):1034, 2017.
- [51] F. Nakano and K. D. Trinh. Gaussian β -ensembles at high temperature: eigenvalue fluctuations and bulk statistics. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 173(2):295–321, 2018.
- [52] L. Pastur. Limiting laws of linear eigenvalue statistics for Hermitian matrix models. *J. Math. Phys.*, 47(10):103303, 2006.
- [53] Y. Roichman. Upper bound on the characters of the symmetric groups. *Invent. math.*, 125(3):451–485, 1996.
- [54] A. N. Sergeev and A. P. Veselov. Jacobi-Trudy formula for generalised Schur polynomials. *Mosc. Math. J.*, 14(1):161–168, 2014.
- [55] M. Shcherbina. Central limit theorem for linear eigenvalue statistics of orthogonally invariant matrix models. *J. Math. Phys. Anal. Geo.*, 4(1):171–195, 2008.
- [56] N. Simm. Central limit theorems for the real eigenvalues of large Gaussian random matrices. *Random Matrices: Theo. Appl.*, 6(01):1750002, 2017.
- [57] R. P. Stanley. Enumerative combinatorics, vol. 2. 1999. *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.*, 1999.
- [58] E. Strahov and Y. V. Fyodorov. Universal results for correlations of characteristic polynomials: Riemann-Hilbert approach. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 241(2-3):343–382, 2003.

- [59] G. 't Hooft. A planar diagram theory for strong interactions. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 72(3):461 – 473, 1974.
- [60] G. 't Hooft. A two-dimensional model for mesons. *Nucl. Phys. B*, 75(3):461 – 470, 1974.
- [61] J. V. Uspensky. Asymptotic formulae for numerical functions which occur in the theory of partitions. *Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS*, 14(6):199–218, 1920.
- [62] G. C. Wick. The evaluation of the collision matrix. *Phys. Rev.*, 80(2):268, 1950.
- [63] N. S. Witte and P. J. Forrester. Moments of the Gaussian β Ensembles and the large-N expansion of the densities. *J. Math. Phys.*, 55(8):083302, 2014.