

Hexagonal boron nitride as an ideal substrate for carbon nanotube photonics

N. Fang,^{1,2} K. Otsuka,¹ A. Ishii,^{1,2} T. Taniguchi,³ K. Watanabe,³ K. Nagashio,⁴ and Y. K. Kato^{1,2}

¹*Nanoscale Quantum Photonics Laboratory, RIKEN Cluster for Pioneering Research, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

²*Quantum Optoelectronics Research Team, RIKEN Center for Advanced Photonics, Saitama 351-0198, Japan*

³*National Institute for Materials Science, Ibaraki 305-0044, Japan*

⁴*Department of Materials Engineering, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo 113-8656, Japan*

Hexagonal boron nitride is widely used as a substrate for two-dimensional materials in both electronic and photonic devices. Here, we demonstrate that two-dimensional hexagonal boron nitride is also an ideal substrate for one-dimensional single-walled carbon nanotubes. Nanotubes directly attached to hexagonal boron nitride show bright photoluminescence with narrow linewidth at room temperature, comparable to air-suspended nanotubes. Using photoluminescence excitation spectroscopy, we unambiguously assign the chiralities of nanotubes on boron nitride by tracking individual tubes before and after contact with boron nitride. Although hexagonal boron nitride has a low dielectric constant and is attached to only one side of the nanotubes, we observe that optical transition energies are redshifted as much as ~ 50 meV from the air-suspended nanotubes. We also perform statistical measurements on more than 400 tubes, and the redshifts are found to be dependent on tube diameter. This work opens up new possibilities for all-solid-state carbon nanotube photonic devices by utilizing hexagonal boron nitride substrates.

Hexagonal boron nitride (*h*-BN), a two-dimensional (2D) material, has played a key role in the development of van der Waals devices. Because of the atomically thin nature, both electrical and optical properties of 2D materials are extremely sensitive to the substrate they contact [1–4]. Compared with conventional three-dimensional (3D) substrates such as SiO₂/Si, *h*-BN is atomically flat with low defect density, thereby enabling substantial improvements of device performance and discovery of novel physical phenomena. In electronic devices, the use of *h*-BN has led to mobility enhancement of single layer graphene [2, 5] as well as appearance of superconductivity for double layer graphene at the magic angle [6]. Encapsulation by *h*-BN reduces the linewidths of photoluminescence (PL) from transition metal dichalcogenides [7, 8], and interlayer excitons [9, 10] in *h*-BN/WSe₂/MoS₂/*h*-BN heterostructures are utilized in novel excitonic devices [11].

It is natural to envision a continued success of *h*-BN substrates in hybrid-dimensional systems, where heterostructures consisting of materials with mixed dimensions are employed [12]. In particular, we expect that the advantages of *h*-BN will be most evident in structures involving one-dimensional (1D) carbon nanotubes (CNTs), which would comprise 1D/2D heterostructures. The van der Waals interaction should be similar to graphene/*h*-BN heterostructures, leading to a high-quality atomically flat interface. In comparison to conventional solid-state 3D substrates that cause strong PL quenching of CNTs [13–16], we anticipate improved optical properties on *h*-BN because of the low defect density and the absence of dangling bonds on the surface. In fact, suppression of spectral fluctuations at low temperatures has been observed [17], suggesting the potential benefits of using *h*-BN as a substrate for CNTs. Making use of *h*-BN effects on excitons in nanotubes and eliminating the strong substrate quenching would open up a pathway to all-solid-state CNT photonics.

Here we investigate two different types of heterostructures consisting of *h*-BN and CNT by using PL excitation (PLE) spectroscopy. In samples where *h*-BN flakes are transferred on individual air-suspended CNTs, the chiralities are unambiguously assigned and the same tubes are tracked for *h*-BN effects. Bright luminescence with a narrow linewidth is observed from the CNTs directly attached to *h*-BN at room temperature, indicating weak substrate quenching and small broadening effect from *h*-BN. Excitonic energies are found to exhibit considerable redshifts as well. We also study CNTs transferred on *h*-BN flakes to obtain statistical information on the energy shifts from over 400 tubes. The redshifts are found to be dependent on the CNT diameter, and smaller-diameter tubes show unexpectedly large E_{11} and E_{22} redshifts of ~ 50 meV. The observed magnitude of the shifts are too large to explain by dielectric constants alone, considering that *h*-BN is only attached to one side of the CNT and the other side remains unscreened. The results demonstrate the ideal properties of *h*-BN as a substrate for CNT photonic devices.

We begin by studying *h*-BN/CNT heterostructures consisting of an *h*-BN flake on top of an individual air-suspended CNT as shown in Fig. 1(a). First, the air-suspended CNTs are prepared on silicon substrates [18]. Electron-beam lithography and dry etching processes are used to fabricate trenches and alignment marks, followed by thermal oxidation to form 70-nm-thick SiO₂. Catalyst regions near the trenches are patterned by a second electron beam lithography step, and a 1.5-Å Fe film is deposited as a catalyst for the CNT growth by an electron-beam evaporator. The CNTs are synthesized by alcohol chemical vapor deposition at 800°C for 1 min [19]. The Fe film thickness is optimized to control the yield such that most of the synthesized CNTs are isolated. Next, an *h*-BN flake is transferred on top of a CNT with the help of the marks on the substrate. The *h*-BN flakes are prepared on polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) by me-




FIG. 1. (a) A device schematic of an air-suspended CNT with an *h*-BN flake transferred on top. (b) An optical microscope image of a typical *h*-BN/CNT sample. The broken-line box indicates the area measured in (c). (c) A reflectivity image of the *h*-BN/CNT sample shown in (b). Scale bars in (b) and (c) are 20 and 5 μm , respectively. (d) and (e) PLE maps of an air-suspended (10,8) CNT before and after the transfer of a $\sim 20\text{-nm}$ -thick *h*-BN flake, respectively. The PLE maps are taken with $P = 10 \mu\text{W}$. The linewidths for E_{11} emission before and after the transfer are 9.9 and 12.1 meV, respectively.

chanical exfoliation, and then transferred on the target CNTs at 120°C by using a micromanipulator system [4]. Transferred *h*-BN thickness ranges within 20–90 nm, as determined by atomic force microscopy (AFM) and optical contrast [20–22]. A typical *h*-BN/CNT sample is shown in Fig. 1(b).

The *h*-BN/CNT structures are investigated with a home-built confocal PL microscopy system at room temperature [18, 23–27]. A wavelength-tunable Ti:sapphire laser is used for excitation after controlling its power P by neutral density filters, and the polarization is adjusted by a half-wave plate to be parallel with the CNT being measured. The laser beam is focused on the sample using an objective lens with a numerical aperture of 0.8 and a working distance of 3.4 mm. The reflected beam from the sample is detected by a photodiode to map out an image, which can be used to identify the *h*-BN flakes. PL is collected through the same objective lens and detected using a liquid-nitrogen-cooled 1024-pixel InGaAs diode array attached to a spectrometer. All measurements are performed in dry nitrogen to avoid the formation of water-induced defects.

Figure 1(c) shows a reflectivity image of the *h*-BN flake

with the target CNT below, reproducing the shape of the *h*-BN flake observed in the optical microscope image [Fig. 1(b)]. Using the reflectivity image, we confirm that the nanotube is underneath the *h*-BN flake, and PL spectroscopy is performed. A PL image of a tube before and after the transfer of *h*-BN is shown in Supplementary Figure S1 with no distinct change, implying a homogeneous interface between CNT and *h*-BN.

We characterize the *h*-BN effects on the CNTs by comparing PLE maps of individual CNTs before and after the transfer of *h*-BN [Fig. 1(d,e)]. A clean PLE map can be obtained even after the transfer, since the PL intensity remains sufficiently strong. The reduction ratio of PL intensity after transfer compared to before transfer averages to 0.48 over 8 tubes, while the PL reduction ratio is typically less than 0.01 in the current 3D solid-state substrates such as SiO₂/Si, quartz, and metals [13–16]. The modest reduction of PL after the transfer of *h*-BN indicates that the substrate quenching effect is significantly suppressed, and such a property makes *h*-BN a promising substrate for light-emitting CNT devices. We point out that the full width at half maximum for E_{11} emission shows only a slight increase by 2.2 meV. In 2D WS₂(WSe₂)/*h*-BN heterostructures, the inhomogeneous linewidth broadening of the ground exciton of WS₂(WSe₂) is reported to be ~ 2 meV at low temperatures [1]. The small change in the linewidth at room temperature suggest that our 1D/2D heterostructures show comparable interfacial properties to 2D/2D heterostructures.

The PLE maps also show substantial modifications of the excitonic energies. We identify the nanotube chirality from the PLE map before transfer [Fig. 1(d)] to be (10,8) by extracting the E_{11} and the E_{22} energies from the emission and the excitation resonances, respectively [18]. After the transfer of *h*-BN, we observe $\Delta E_{11} = 21$ meV and $\Delta E_{22} = 30$ meV, where ΔE_{ii} is the excitonic energy reduction and i is the index of the transition. Dielectric screening from *h*-BN is expected to cause some redshift, but the observed shifts are large considering the fact that *h*-BN is only attached to one side of the CNT. The excitonic energy shifts are further investigated in detail by taking advantage of *h*-BN/CNT heterostructures where the redshifts can be tracked for individual nanotubes with known chirality.

We first consider the sensitivity of the redshifts on *h*-BN thickness. Two *h*-BN/CNT samples are prepared from (13,5) nanotubes using *h*-BN flakes with thicknesses of 20 and 71 nm, which we will refer to as thin and thick *h*-BN samples, respectively [insets of Fig. 2(a,b)]. We integrate the PLE maps for each nanotube along the excitation energy axis to obtain PL spectra, and the normalized spectra are shown in Fig. 2(a,b). The thin and thick *h*-BN samples show $\Delta E_{11} = 23.8$ and 24.4 meV, respectively. Similarly, PLE spectra shown in Fig. 2(c,d) are obtained by integrating the PLE maps along the emission energy axis, where we observe $\Delta E_{22} = 18.6$ and 18.7 meV for the thin and thick *h*-BN samples, respec-




FIG. 2. (a,b) Integrated and normalized PL spectra for air-suspended (13,5) CNTs before (blue) and after (red) the transfer of *h*-BN flakes with thicknesses of (a) 20 nm and (b) 71 nm. The insets in (a,b) are optical microscope images of the *h*-BN/CNT samples, and scale bars are 20 μ m. (c,d) Integrated and normalized PLE spectra for the same CNTs before (blue) and after (red) the transfer of *h*-BN flakes with thicknesses of (c) 20 nm and (d) 71 nm. *P* is fixed at 10 μ W.

tively. For both ΔE_{11} and ΔE_{22} , there are no notable changes between the two samples. We have checked the effect of *h*-BN thickness in three more sets of *h*-BN/CNT samples for other chiralities, and the differences in ΔE_{11} and ΔE_{22} between samples with thin (< 25 nm) and thick (> 50 nm) *h*-BN are found to be less than 3 meV with no clear thickness dependence. The insensitivity of the energy shifts on *h*-BN thickness indicates that 20 nm flakes are thick enough for the full screening of CNT excitons in the perpendicular direction. We note that E_{11} and E_{22} energy shifts can be considered independent of the *h*-BN thickness hereafter, as *h*-BN flakes thinner than 20 nm are not used in this study.

As the redshifts are not sensitive to the *h*-BN thickness, the general behavior of the excitonic energy shifts caused by *h*-BN can be identified by measuring more individual tubes with different chiralities. E_{11} and E_{22} energies before and after the transfer of *h*-BN are extracted and plotted in Fig. 3. All 19 CNTs show redshifts in E_{11} and E_{22} , which is consistent with the dielectric screening effect. The average ΔE_{11} and ΔE_{22} are 24.3 and 22.0 meV, respectively, comparable to the energy difference of micelle-wrapped tubes with respect to air-suspended tubes [28]. Again, the values of the shifts are considered large, as *h*-BN only sits on top of the CNT and the bottom side of the CNT should be unscreened. We also observe some tube-to-tube variations of the shift values within the same chirality. The (10,8) CNTs show an anticorrelated dispersion of the E_{11} and E_{22} energies after the transfer, which may be caused by strain [29–32].

Up to this point, we have been focusing on *h*-BN/CNT samples with individual nanotubes that allow for chirality identification before *h*-BN transfer. Now that we un-




FIG. 3. PLE peak energies of 19 *h*-BN/CNT samples before *h*-BN transfer (blue dots) and after *h*-BN transfer (red dots) obtained from Lorentzian fits. Lines connect the energies before and after the *h*-BN transfer for each sample.

derstand the general behavior of the CNTs upon contact with *h*-BN, we are in a good position to study an ensemble of CNTs directly transferred onto *h*-BN without prior characterization. CNTs are first grown on a SiO_2/Si substrate, and we prepare *h*-BN flakes on another SiO_2/Si substrate by mechanical exfoliation [4]. The CNTs are picked up by using a PDMS/anthracene stamp and transferred on the target *h*-BN flake by using the micromanipulator system. PDMS is peeled off and anthracene is sublimated at 110°C, leaving a clean surface for CNTs. We will refer to this structure as CNT/*h*-BN, and the corresponding schematic and optical microscope image are shown in Fig. 4(a,b), respectively. It is noted that CNTs are transferred on the surrounding SiO_2/Si substrate simultaneously due to the large area of the PDMS/anthracene stamp. An AFM phase image of CNTs on both *h*-BN and the SiO_2/Si substrate is shown in Fig. 4(c), and it is observed that the *h*-BN flake shows smaller surface roughness than that of the SiO_2/Si substrate. Many randomly oriented CNTs are firmly attached to both *h*-BN and the SiO_2/Si substrate without showing any loose ends or segments. Zoomed-in AFM images are shown in Supplementary Figure S2, where the clean surface of CNTs is observed.

Integrated PL images are taken in the same region as the AFM image and shown in Fig. 4(d). Although CNTs are transferred on both the *h*-BN flake and the SiO_2/Si substrate, only CNTs on *h*-BN show bright PL. In previous reports of transferred CNTs on quartz or glass substrates, the dominant factor that affects the PL intensity was pointed out not to be the substrate itself but the elimination of interaction between substrates and CNTs




FIG. 4. (a) A schematic of CNTs transferred on an h -BN flake. (b) An optical microscope image of the CNT/ h -BN sample. White and black boxes indicate the areas measured in (c) and (d), respectively. (c) An AFM phase image at the edge of the h -BN flake. (d) An integrated PL image at the edge of the h -BN flake. In order to detect nanotubes of various chiralities, four PL images are taken using excitation energies at 1.442, 1.512, 1.570, and 1.699 eV with $P = 100 \mu\text{W}$. The four images are constructed by integrating the emission spectra, and the sum of the PL images is shown here. The boundary of the h -BN flake as confirmed by a reflectivity measurement is indicated by a broken line. Scale bars in (b), (c), and (d) are 50, 2, and 4 μm , respectively.

by the transfer process [14]. In contrast, our results reveal that the PL quenching effect is significantly affected by the substrate itself and indicate the superior compatibility between 1D and 2D materials. Compared with 3D substrates, the 2D h -BN substrate is atomically flat without dangling bonds. In addition, the van der Waals gap is formed at the 1D/2D interface, which might contribute to the considerable suppression of the substrate quenching effect.

In order to obtain statistical information from the ensemble of CNTs, we have collected more than 400 PLE maps from the CNT/ h -BN samples. Typical PLE spectra are provided in Supplementary Figure S3. Unlike the CNTs grown over trenches in Fig. 1, the transferred CNTs can form bundles because of their random orientation and high density. We observe some PLE maps showing excitation spectra with smeared-out features, and we exclude these PLE maps as they indicate exciton energy transfer in CNT bundles [33]. PLE maps with clear features are selected and the E_{11} and E_{22} peak positions are plotted in Fig. 5(a). Since the dielectric environment for CNTs in the CNT/ h -BN structure should in principle be the same as those in the h -BN/CNT samples, redshifts in E_{11} and E_{22} are expected to be similar. By examining the correlations with the peak positions in Fig. 3, we assign the chiralities for the CNT/ h -BN sample. In comparison with the h -BN/CNT structures, however, the CNT/ h -BN sample shows slightly larger redshifts for CNTs with the same chirality. The variations of the redshifts are also larger, with a standard deviation of E_{11} in the range of 4–11 meV. The increased redshifts could be attributed to better adhesion between the transferred CNTs and h -BN, while the variations might be caused by the formation of bundles in the randomly oriented CNTs as seen in Fig. 4(c). The average E_{11} and

TABLE I. Average E_{11} and E_{22} peak energies for carbon nanotubes on h -BN obtained from Lorentzian fits of PLE maps. The error values are standard deviations. Some chiralities are not listed, since the large energy variations prevent us from differentiating the chiralities with similar energies such as (13,2) and (14,0).

(n, m)	E_{11}		E_{22}	
	(nm)	(meV)	(nm)	(meV)
(8,6)	1201.8 \pm 5.5	1031.6 \pm 4.7	729.7 \pm 5.2	1699.0 \pm 12.2
(8,7)	1288.7 \pm 8.0	962.1 \pm 6.0	740.1 \pm 4.5	1675.2 \pm 10.1
(9,7)	1343.7 \pm 14.1	922.7 \pm 9.7	802.5 \pm 4.7	1544.9 \pm 9.0
(9,8)	1424.3 \pm 8.1	870.5 \pm 4.9	818.3 \pm 5.4	1515.1 \pm 10.1
(10,5)	1266.4 \pm 13.2	979.0 \pm 10.2	794.8 \pm 3.0	1559.8 \pm 5.9
(10,6)	1397.1 \pm 17.0	887.4 \pm 10.8	766.3 \pm 5.6	1618.0 \pm 11.8
(10,8)	1478.0 \pm 10.2	838.8 \pm 5.8	873.0 \pm 5.4	1420.2 \pm 8.8
(11,3)	1223.7 \pm 7.3	1013.2 \pm 6.0	804.9 \pm 6.6	1540.3 \pm 12.7
(11,6)	1415.5 \pm 10.6	875.9 \pm 6.5	863.8 \pm 5.5	1435.3 \pm 9.2
(11,7)	1529.7 \pm 12.8	810.5 \pm 6.8	852.8 \pm 5.7	1453.8 \pm 9.7
(12,7)	1550.1 \pm 13.3	799.8 \pm 6.9	930.3 \pm 6.0	1332.7 \pm 8.6
(13,5)	1502.3 \pm 9.0	825.3 \pm 4.9	926.7 \pm 4.5	1337.9 \pm 6.5

E_{22} for assignable chiralities are summarized in Table I.

Statistical results of the E_{11} and E_{22} peak positions in Fig. 5(a) allow us to identify other features of the excitonic energy shifts. We find that the energy shifts ΔE_{ii} compared to air-suspended CNTs [18] is largely affected by CNT diameter d_t . Kataura plots of ΔE_{11} and ΔE_{22} are shown in Fig. 5(b,c) for CNTs with different chiralities, and both ΔE_{11} and ΔE_{22} clearly increase with $1/d_t$. We point out that smaller-diameter CNTs show anomalously large redshifts in E_{11} and E_{22} of ~ 50 meV. A sim-




FIG. 5. (a) PLE peaks of 430 measured CNT/h-BN samples (light red dots). Exciton energies for air-suspended CNTs (blue dots) [18] and values for CNT/h-BN (red open circle) calculated from Eq. 1 are also shown. (b) and (c) ΔE_{11} and ΔE_{22} , respectively, as a function of $1/d_t$ for (8,7), (9,7), (9,8), (10,5), (10,6), (10,8), (11,3), (11,6), (11,7), (12,7), and (13,5) CNTs. The chiralities have been limited to those with unambiguous assignment. Green dots indicate type I tubes while orange triangles indicate type II tubes. Error bars in (b,c) represent standard deviations.

ilar d_t dependence of ΔE_{11} has been observed for CNTs immersed in organic solvents, which is explained by a model including both external screening from the dielectric environment and internal screening from the CNT itself [34]. The screening from the nanotube is weaker compared to h -BN, and therefore CNTs with larger d_t will have weaker screening effect and smaller ΔE_{11} . In Fig. 5(b,c), we have observed that ΔE_{ii} is nearly linear with $1/d_t$, and therefore we describe the data by an empirical equation

$$\Delta E_{ii} = A + B/d_t, \quad (1)$$

where A and B are fitting parameters. For ΔE_{11} , the values of A and B are -16.3 meV and 58.4 meV·nm, respectively. For ΔE_{22} , we find $A = -41.4$ meV and $B = 90.1$ meV·nm. The slope B for ΔE_{22} is larger than that for ΔE_{11} , implying that excitons from the higher subband are more sensitive to the environment. The electric fields of E_{22} excitons extend further out compared to E_{11} excitons, which might contribute to the higher sensitivity [35]. By using Eq. 1, we can also deduce E_{ii} peaks of other chiralities that have not been used in the linear fit. The calculated E_{11} and E_{22} peaks based on Eq. 1 are plotted in Fig. 5(a) as open red circles, and are located almost at the center of the scattered experimental points for each chirality.

ΔE_{ii} is reported to be also dependent on the chiral angles of CNTs. Ohno *et al.* have observed chiral angle dependence of ΔE_{ii} caused by changes in the effective

mass [36], while Jiang *et al.* have calculated that ΔE_{ii} also has family dependence due to trigonal warping and curvature effects [37]. We have not observed clear chiral angle or family dependence, which might be due to the large energy variations.

Surprisingly, the redshifts of E_{11} in CNTs on the solid-state h -BN substrate are comparable to CNTs in solvents with much stronger dielectric screening such as acetone [38]. The in-plane and out-of-plane dielectric constants for bulk h -BN are 6.9 and 3.8, respectively [39], whereas the value for acetone is 20.7. Moreover, CNTs on h -BN should not be screened on the top side, while CNTs in acetone are fully surrounded by the solvent. These two factors should lead to reduced screening effects for CNT/h-BN in a simple dielectric screening picture. Instead, it appears that the h -BN substrate efficiently screens the excitons in the CNTs, and our observation shows that the dielectric constants alone cannot explain the large redshift caused by h -BN. It is suggested that there are additional mechanisms contributing to the spectral changes, and further theoretical study would be needed to clarify the origin and to explain Eq. 1. We note that larger ΔE_{ii} is expected in 2D materials other than h -BN because they usually have larger dielectric constants, which is also promising for the dielectric engineering of CNTs.

In summary, we have investigated h -BN effects on the optical properties of CNTs by performing PL spectroscopy on h -BN/CNT and CNT/h-BN heterostruc-

tures at room temperature. We have demonstrated that CNTs directly attached to *h*-BN are highly luminescent with narrow linewidths of ~ 12 meV, which is comparable to air-suspended CNTs. The substrate quenching and broadening effects on the 2D *h*-BN substrates are found to be much weaker than those in conventional 3D substrates such as quartz and SiO₂/Si. In addition, the anomalously large redshifts in E_{11} and E_{22} of ~ 50 meV are observed despite the fact that *h*-BN has a low dielectric constant and is only attached to one side of the CNT. The statistical results of PLE maps from over 400 tubes identify the relationship between the redshifts and CNT diameter. These findings highlight the superior properties of *h*-BN for 1D/2D hybrid-dimensional photonics and open a new pathway for manipulating excitons in CNTs.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

See supporting information for PL images of an CNT before and after the transfer of *h*-BN, AFM images of

CNTs on SiO₂/Si and *h*-BN, PLE maps of individual CNTs and bundled CNTs on *h*-BN.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Work supported in part by JSPS (KAKENHI JP19K23593, JP16H05962, JP19H00755), MIC (SCOPE 191503001), and MEXT (Nanotechnology Platform). Growth of hexagonal boron nitride crystals supported by the MEXT Element Strategy Initiative to Form Core Research Center, Grant Number JPMXP0112101001 and JST (CREST JPMJCR15F3). K. O. is supported by JSPS Research Fellowship. We acknowledge the Advanced Manufacturing Support Team at RIKEN and T. Nishimura for technical assistance.

-
- [1] A. Raja, L. Waldecker, J. Zipfel, Y. Cho, S. Brem, J. D. Ziegler, M. Kulig, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, E. Malic, T. F. Heinz, T. C. Berkelbach, and A. Chernikov, Dielectric disorder in two-dimensional materials, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **14**, 832 (2019).
 - [2] C. R. Dean, A. F. Young, I. Meric, C. Lee, L. Wang, S. Sorgenfrei, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, P. Kim, K. L. Shepard, and J. Hone, Boron nitride substrates for high-quality graphene electronics, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **5**, 722 (2010).
 - [3] T. Uwanoo, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, and K. Nagashio, Electrically inert *h*-BN/bilayer graphene interface in all-two-dimensional heterostructure field effect transistors, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **10**, 28780 (2018).
 - [4] N. Fang, S. Toyoda, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, and K. Nagashio, Full energy spectra of interface state densities for *n*- and *p*-type MoS₂ field-effect transistors, *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **29**, 1904465 (2019).
 - [5] D. G. Purdie, N. M. Pugno, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, A. C. Ferrari, and A. Lombardo, Cleaning interfaces in layered materials heterostructures, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 5384 (2018).
 - [6] Y. Cao, V. Fatemi, S. Fang, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, E. Kaxiras, and P. Jarillo-Herrero, Unconventional superconductivity in magic-angle graphene superlattices, *Nature* **556**, 43 (2018).
 - [7] O. A. Ajayi, J. V. Ardelean, G. D. Shepard, J. Wang, A. Antony, T. Taniguchi, K. Watanabe, T. F. Heinz, S. Strauf, X. Y. Zhu, and J. C. Hone, Approaching the intrinsic photoluminescence linewidth in transition metal dichalcogenide monolayers, *2D Mater.* **4**, 031011 (2017).
 - [8] M. Buscema, G. A. Steele, H. S. J. van der Zant, and A. Castellanos-Gomez, The effect of the substrate on the Raman and photoluminescence emission of single-layer MoS₂, *Nano Res.* **7**, 561 (2014).
 - [9] E. V. Calman, M. M. Fogler, L. V. Butov, S. Hu, A. Mishchenko, and A. K. Geim, Indirect excitons in van der Waals heterostructures at room temperature, *Nat. Commun.* **9**, 1895 (2018).
 - [10] A. Ciarrocchi, D. Unuchek, A. Avsar, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. Kis, Polarization switching and electrical control of interlayer excitons in two-dimensional van der Waals heterostructures, *Nat. Photonics* **13**, 131 (2018).
 - [11] D. Unuchek, A. Ciarrocchi, A. Avsar, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and A. Kis, Room-temperature electrical control of exciton flux in a van der Waals heterostructure, *Nature* **560**, 340 (2018).
 - [12] D. Jariwala, T. J. Marks, and M. C. Hersam, Mixed-dimensional van der Waals heterostructures, *Nat. Mater.* **16**, 170 (2016).
 - [13] O. Kiowski, S. Lebedkin, and M. M. Kappes, Photoluminescence microscopy of as-grown individual single-walled carbon nanotubes on Si/SiO₂ substrates, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **243**, 3122 (2006).
 - [14] M. Schweiger, Y. Zakharko, F. Gannott, S. B. Grimm, and J. Zaumseil, Photoluminescence enhancement of aligned arrays of single-walled carbon nanotubes by polymer transfer, *Nanoscale* **7**, 16715 (2015).
 - [15] T. Sakashita, Y. Miyauchi, K. Matsuda, and Y. Kanehitsu, Plasmon-assisted photoluminescence enhancement of single-walled carbon nanotubes on metal surfaces, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **97**, 063110 (2010).
 - [16] J. Lefebvre, Y. Homma, and P. Finnie, Bright band gap photoluminescence from unprocessed single-walled carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 217401 (2003).
 - [17] J. C. Noé, M. Nutz, J. Reschauer, N. Morell, I. Tsioutsios, A. Reserbat-Plantey, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. Bachtold, and A. Hgele, Environmental electrometry with luminescent carbon nanotubes, *Nano Lett.* **18**, 4136 (2018).

- [18] A. Ishii, M. Yoshida, and Y. K. Kato, Exciton diffusion, end quenching, and exciton-exciton annihilation in individual air-suspended carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 125427 (2015).
- [19] S. Maruyama, R. Kojima, Y. Miyauchi, S. Chiashi, and M. Kohno, Low-temperature synthesis of high-purity single-walled carbon nanotubes from alcohol, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **360**, 229 (2002).
- [20] Y. Anzai, M. Yamamoto, S. Genchi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, S. Ichikawa, Y. Fujiwara, and H. Tanaka, Broad range thickness identification of hexagonal boron nitride by colors, *Appl. Phys. Express* **12**, 055007 (2019).
- [21] D. Bing, Y. Wang, J. Bai, R. Du, G. Wu, and L. Liu, Optical contrast for identifying the thickness of two-dimensional materials, *Opt. Commun.* **406**, 128 (2018).
- [22] R. V. Gorbachev, I. Riaz, R. R. Nair, R. Jalil, L. Britnell, B. D. Belle, E. W. Hill, K. S. Novoselov, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, A. K. Geim, and P. Blake, Hunting for monolayer boron nitride: Optical and Raman signatures, *Small* **7**, 465 (2011).
- [23] R. Watahiki, T. Shimada, P. Zhao, S. Chiashi, S. Iwamoto, Y. Arakawa, S. Maruyama, and Y. K. Kato, Enhancement of carbon nanotube photoluminescence by photonic crystal nanocavities, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **101**, 141124 (2012).
- [24] M. Jiang, Y. Kumamoto, A. Ishii, M. Yoshida, T. Shimada, and Y. K. Kato, Gate-controlled generation of optical pulse trains using individual carbon nanotubes, *Nat. Commun.* **6**, 6335 (2015).
- [25] A. Ishii, T. Uda, and Y. K. Kato, Room-temperature single-photon emission from micrometer-long air-suspended carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. Applied* **8**, 054039 (2017).
- [26] K. Otsuka, A. Ishii, and Y. K. Kato, Super-resolution fluorescence imaging of carbon nanotubes using a nonlinear excitonic process, *Opt. Express* **27**, 17463 (2019).
- [27] A. Ishii, H. Machiya, and Y. Kato, High efficiency dark-to-bright exciton conversion in carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 041048 (2019).
- [28] R. B. Weisman and S. M. Bachilo, Dependence of optical transition energies on structure for single-walled carbon nanotubes in aqueous suspension: An empirical Kataura plot, *Nano Lett.* **3**, 1235 (2003).
- [29] L. Yang, M. P. Anantram, J. Han, and J. P. Lu, Band-gap change of carbon nanotubes: Effect of small uniaxial and torsional strain, *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 13874 (1999).
- [30] M. Huang, Y. Wu, B. Chandra, H. Yan, Y. Shan, T. F. Heinz, and J. Hone, Direct measurement of strain-induced changes in the band structure of carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 136803 (2008).
- [31] A. G. Souza Filho, N. Kobayashi, J. Jiang, A. Grüneis, R. Saito, S. B. Cronin, J. Mendes Filho, G. G. Samsonidze, G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus, Strain-induced interference effects on the resonance Raman cross section of carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 217403 (2005).
- [32] P. Koskinen, Electronic and optical properties of carbon nanotubes under pure bending, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 193409 (2010).
- [33] P. H. Tan, A. G. Rozhin, T. Hasan, P. Hu, V. Scardaci, W. I. Milne, and A. C. Ferrari, Photoluminescence spectroscopy of carbon nanotube bundles: Evidence for exciton energy transfer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 137402 (2007).
- [34] Y. Miyauchi, R. Saito, K. Sato, Y. Ohno, S. Iwasaki, T. Mizutani, J. Jiang, and S. Maruyama, Dependence of exciton transition energy of single-walled carbon nanotubes on surrounding dielectric materials, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **442**, 394 (2007).
- [35] P. T. Araujo, A. Jorio, M. S. Dresselhaus, K. Sato, and R. Saito, Diameter dependence of the dielectric constant for the excitonic transition energy of single-wall carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 146802 (2009).
- [36] Y. Ohno, S. Iwasaki, Y. Murakami, S. Kishimoto, S. Maruyama, and T. Mizutani, Chirality-dependent environmental effects in photoluminescence of single-walled carbon nanotubes, *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 235427 (2006).
- [37] J. Jiang, R. Saito, G. G. Samsonidze, A. Jorio, S. G. Chou, G. Dresselhaus, and M. S. Dresselhaus, Chirality dependence of exciton effects in single-wall carbon nanotubes: Tight-binding model, *Phys. Rev. B* **75**, 035407 (2007).
- [38] Y. Ohno, S. Iwasaki, Y. Murakami, S. Kishimoto, S. Maruyama, and T. Mizutani, Excitonic transition energies in single-walled carbon nanotubes: Dependence on environmental dielectric constant, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **244**, 4002 (2007).
- [39] A. Laturia, M. L. V. de Put, and W. G. Vandenberghe, Dielectric properties of hexagonal boron nitride and transition metal dichalcogenides: From monolayer to bulk, *NPJ 2D Mater. Appl.* **2**, 6 (2018).