

How and Why Did Probability Theory Come About?

by

Nozer D. Singpurwalla

The City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong,
and The George Washington University, Washington, D.C.

Boya Lai

The City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

February 27, 2022

Abstract

This paper is a top down historical perspective on the several phases in the development of probability from its prehistoric origins to its modern day evolution, as one of the key methodologies in artificial intelligence, data science, and machine learning. It is written in honor of Barry Arnold's birthday for his many contributions to statistical theory and methodology. Despite the fact that much of Barry's work is technical, a descriptive document to mark his achievements should not be viewed as being out of line. Barry's dissertation adviser at Stanford (he received a Ph.D. in Statistics there) was a philosopher of Science who dug deep in the foundations and roots of probability, and it is this breadth of perspective is what Barry has inherent. The paper is based on lecture materials compiled by the first author from various published sources, and over a long period of time. The material below gives a limited list of references, because the cast of characters is many, and their contributions are a part of the historical heritage of those of us who are interested in probability, statistics, and the many topics they have spawned.

1 Overview

The material here attempts to give a top down historical perspective on the several phases in the evolution of probability, from its prehistoric origins for imperial needs, to its current state as a branch of mathematics. As a branch of the mathematical sciences, probability evolved in five stages, not counting a period of stagnation between the second and the third stages, when doubts were cast about its relevance as a mathematical discipline. Also pointed out are paradoxes in probability, spawned by the absence of its precise definition, leading to its last two phases, namely, an axiomatic and a subjective view of probability.

2 The Prehistoric Phase

The rulers of ancient Egypt, Greece, and Rome, collected census data for taxes, grain distribution, and other matters of administration; this activity certainly had an impact on the origins of probability.

Next to come was the “Doomsday” list of William the Norman (1027 – 1087), which was so exhaustive an economic survey that it reminded one of the final and the last judgment by God in the Christian faith. Following this were the “London Bills of Mortality”, published since 1517, and notions such as the *chance of death* in a given time period, the *chance of survival* to a certain age, and the like, originated, around about 1535, almost a century before John Graunt’s celebrated mortality table. Another impetus to the origins of probability came from marine insurance in the 1300’s, and also during the renaissance, wherein an emphasis was placed on observation and experiments in the natural sciences – especially, on errors of observation.

From a philosophical angle, the interrelations between chance and causality have been on the philosopher’s agenda since the ancient times. These too had an impact on the origins of probability. In 1292, a treatise on the theory of the logical ideas of *Syadvada* (which is the basis of India’s Jaina religion), lists seven predications of which the fourth supplies a foundation for modern probability.

Another influential angle was the famous dictum of Thomas Hobbs (1588 – 1679), whose thesis was that no matter for how long we observe a phenomenon, this is not sufficient grounds for its absolute and definitive knowledge.

To summarize, the prehistoric impact on probability came from: census, commerce, renaissance, scientific observation, and philosophy.

3 Was Probability Not Spawned by Gambling?

Apart from the discussion above, there is another belief that probability theory owes its birth to gambling. To some, this is a questionable issue. They claim that since gambling has been practiced since 5000 BC, it could not have taken 6000 years for it to influence probability. Their view is that it was commerce that really influenced the development of probability.

Nonetheless, gambling has had an impact on probability, and its earliest traces are in the literature, such as “*De Vetula*” of Richard de Fournival (1200–1250), and Dante’s “*Divine Comedy*” (1307 – 1321), wherein combinatorial arguments pertaining to outcomes of games of chance were mentioned.

Paccioli (1445–1514) published in 1487 “*Summa de Arithmetica, Geometria, Proportioni et Proportionalita*”, which was an encyclopedia of the mathematical knowledge of his period in Venice, and in the section labelled “unusual problems”, he discussed the question of the fair division of stakes when a match is stopped in advance of an agreed termination of the game. Paccioli’s solution embeds notions of probability. This is also called the “*problem of points*”, and was a trigger point of the famous Pascal Fermat correspondence.

Cardano (1501–1576), and Tartaglia (1499–1557) contributed much to the connection between probability and gambling. Cardano developed probabilistic notions in “The Book on Games of Chance”, written in 1526, as “*Liber de Ludo Aleae*”. In this book, Cardano enumerates possibilities, permutations, deviations of frequencies from “portion”, introduces the notions of fair games and expectation, equally likely events, and uses the addition and multiplication rules of probability for independent events. He even came close to inventing the law of large numbers. However, Cardano was an ardent gambler who restricted his writings, only to games of chance. All the same, as one can surmise, he set the stage for much that was to follow.

Tartaglia (1499–1557) published in Venice in 1556, his treatise on “Number and Measure” in which he related problems of probability to those of combinatorics, and offered correct solutions to the problems posed by Paccioli, in particular, the problem of the division of stakes, (or the problem of points). Following Cardano and Tartaglia, was Galileo (1564–1642), who posited that errors of measurement are inevitable; they are symmetric, and clustered around a true value. He in fact revealed many of the characteristics of the normal probability distribution.

The above developments, perhaps mark the end of the phase of the earliest writings on probability, subsequent to its prehistoric phase.

4 Development of Probability as a Science

This phase can be categorized into five stages, and includes a phase called “the period of stagnation”, between the second and the third stage, when concerns were raised about probability as a branch of mathematics. Also included is a phase labeled “paradoxes in probability”, which can be seen as the doorstep to the development of the last two stages in the evolution of probability as a mathematical discipline.

Within the five stages alluded to above, are also some milestones in the evolution of statistics, which evolved as a way to reason with numbers.

4.1 Stage I. Of the Development of Probability as a Science

Up until the middle of the 17th century, there were no general methods for solving probabilistic problems. Specific problems had been solved, and a substantial amount of knowledge was accumulated. The term probability (nor its disposition as a number) was not a part of the lexicon in the solution of such problems.

In the middle of the 17th century some prominent mathematicians like Pascal, Fermat, and Huygens became involved in the development of probability, even without mentioning the term. These individuals were familiar with Cardano's addition and the multiplication rules, the notion of independence, and put to practice the notion of expectation using combinatorics. They developed new methods for solving problems, determined the realm of problems to which this new science is applicable, and in so doing were on the verge of transforming probability to a bona fide science.

Two very important and key individuals need to be mentioned in Stage I. They were: Chevalier de Mére, and Christian Huygens. They brought probability into a new stage as a science. Chevalier de Mére (1607 – 1684) was a philosopher and a man of letters; he wrote to Pascal about the division of stakes [considered by Paccioli but per Shafer (2019) solved in the 1400's by two Italian abacus masters, and his (de Mére's) solution to it. With Pascal and Fermat, he had authored in 1662 "*Ars Cognitandi*" (Art of Thinking) as a part of the Arnold - Nicole (who were abbots at the Port Royal Monastery), a book on "*Port Royale Logic*". de Mére's letter to Pascal triggered a correspondence between Pascal and Fermat in 1654, and thus originated the founding document on mathematical probability.

Even though many mathematicians of that period devoted much attention to the solution of games of chance, actual gambling was condemned. Thus, the myth that Chevalier de Mere was a fervent gambler. Rather, he was a man of letters who viewed probability only as a "useless curiosity". By contrast, Cardano, who was an ardent gambler, used mathematics for gambling, but in 1526 did not quite hit upon the notion of probability as a number.

Christian Huygens (1629 – 1695), a Dutchman from Holland, visited Paris in 1655 to receive a doctorate in law. He was impressed with the problems on gambling of Pascal and Fermat, and undertook further work on it. He was told of the solutions but not the methods (which were published posthumously, because both Pascal and Fermat posed problems to each other but hid their methods of solution). The correspondence between Pascal and Fermat was published only in 1679.

Huygens returned to Holland and begun work on solving the problems posed by Pascal and Fermat). Huygens solutions, independent of the methods of Pascal and Fermat, but identical to those of Pascal and Fermat, were published in his book (written in Latin) called "*About Dice Games*". This book appeared in 1657 wherein Huygens says "... we are dealing not only with games but rather with a foundation of a new theory, both deep and interesting." His reasons for

writing this book was the absence of methods used by Pascal and Fermat.

This book is viewed as the first published treatise on mathematical probability. Huygens book can be viewed as being the first format document on the introduction of mathematical probability, until Bernoulli's famous "*Ars Conjectandi*" (a possible imitation of the Pascal - Fermat- de Mere's, *Ars Cognitandi*). Huygen's book was also the first to introduce and to apply the notion of expectation in commercial and industrial problems. Huygen's terminology was commercial.

Subsequent to the above, more and more works on probability began to appear, most notable being the birth to a new discipline, now called "*Data Science*". In 1662, at about the same time as Huygen's book, John Graunt, an Englishman, published a tiny book devoted to problems of vital statistics. Huygens was asked to comment on this landmark book, which he did favorably. Indeed, in 1669, using Graunt's work Huygens constructed a mortality curve, and initiated the application of probability to demography, and to annuities. In 1690, another Englishman, by the name of William Petty published his treatise on "*Political Arithmetic*", which was about a method of reasoning on matters of government, via the use of numbers. This can now be seen as a founding document on Government Statistics.

Preceding Petty's treatise, was work on actuarial mathematics and the worth of annuities, due to deWitts in 1671, followed by that of Edmund Haley in 1693, who published the very first mortality table based on data from Breslau. Between (1791 - 1799), a Scotsman named John Sinclair published 21 volumes of his Statistical Account of Scotland, and introduced the word "*Statistics*" to replace Petty's political arithmetic. Up until 1796 the word "statistics" was used in Germany to describe the political strength, happiness, and the improvement of a country, as a measure of its well-being. Statistics was an artificial word, with no evidential meaning, that is now used for anything having to do with data. Sinclair used it to garner attention over Petty's political arithmetic, which did not seemed to have gained traction [cf. von Collani (2014)].

To summarize, Huygens recognized the role of probability as a science, wrote the first book on it, applied the notion of expectation to commerce and industry, and used probability for assessing demography and insurance. Huygens' Book played an important role in the history of probability. Jacob Bernoulli, who introduced the term "probability", based on the Latin "*probabilitas*", was greatly influenced by Huygen's book. Bernoulli's work established the foundations of mathematical probability.

Bernoulli's word, probability is based on the term "*probabilitas*", which was a moral system of the Catholic Church. *Probabilitas* was formally introduced in 1577 by the Spanish Dominican, Bartholome de Medina, and was mainly applied by Jesuit priests. Bernoulli's aim in writing *Ars Conjectandi* was to introduce a new branch of science, that he called Stochastics, or the science of prediction.

To Bernoulli, a relevant feature of "stochastics" was an event's **readiness to occur**, and "probability", the **degree of certainty** of its occurrence, see von Colani (2014). Thus, to Bernoulli, stochastics was the art of measuring

probability as exactly as is possible.

However, Bernoulli acknowledged that the determination of the true value of probability is impossible, and labeled as “mad” any attempt at doing so. This motivated him to develop his law of large numbers, as an empirical method to determine a lower and an upper limit for an unknown probability. Note that Bernoulli’s notion of probability was devoid of any mathematical basis.

Given below is a graphic of the evolution of mathematical probability, up until the beginning of Stage II which established it as a mathematical science.




Figure 1: Evolution of Mathematical Probability

4.2 Stage II. Bernoulli Makes Probability a Bona Fide Mathematical Science

James (Jacques) Bernoulli (1654 -1705) in his 1713 “Ars Conjectandi” proved the first limit theorem, and in so doing, raised the status of probability to that of a formal mathematical science. This book was published posthumously by his nephew Nicholas (1) Bernoulli [who also applied probability to matters of jurisprudence, like the credibility of a witness].

The contribution of Bernoulli to make probability a bona fide mathematical science is that he interpreted propositions in Huygen’s book, showed inapplicability of the addition law to non-disjoint events, gave the binomial formula, and

used Leibnitz's combinatorics for solving probability problems.

He proved the weak law of large numbers as a way to bound a "true" probability, and interpreted probability as the degree of certainty of an event's occurrence. Bernoulli was a metaphysical determinist; i.e. if we know the position of a dice, its speed, its distance from the board, etc. we can exactly predict its outcome. Thus, to Bernoulli, probability, or chance, the terms he used interchangeably, depends on our state of knowledge, and is thus personal to the individual specifying it.

However, since all knowledge is not possible, we assume a statistical regularity in a large number of trials, say n , and conclude that for the tossing of coins, the deviation of m/n from p , as $n \rightarrow \infty$, is small with a large probability; m is the total number of heads in the n trials. Bernoulli also touched upon the philosophical problems connected with probability, and asserted probability should also be applied to situations outside games of chance.

Besides Bernoulli there were others who worked on probability during the beginning of the 18-th century. We name a few.

Pierre de Montmort (1678 – 1719), a mathematician, who was chosen by Leibniz on the commission to inquire about the priority of inventing differential and integral calculus between him and Newton; de Montmort favored Newton; see Maistrov (1974). His basic work on probability entitled "*Essai d'Analyse sur les Jeux de Hazard*" was published in 1708 (5 years prior to Bernoulli's posthumously published work). It was in a letter to de Montmort that Nicholas (1) Bernoulli posed the St. Petersburg paradox. Montmort's main effort was the applications of probability to human behavior.

Abraham de Moivre's (1667 – 1754) principal work in probability was in "*The Doctrine of Chances*", 1718. Here, without addressing the matter of what probability is, de Moivre discusses topics connected to Bernoulli's theorem, and the problem of the duration of the play (first proposed by Huygens). de Moivre investigated the probabilities of various deviations between m/n and p , for $p=1/2$. Laplace extended these to $p \in (0,1)$, and thus the de Moivre-Laplace theorem is the second limit theorem in mathematical probability.

Thomas Bayes (1702 – 1761), speculated as being tutored by de Moivre, published his famous essay posthumously in 1763; it was entitled "*Thomas Bayes's Essay Towards Solving a Problem in the Doctrine of Chances*"; it addressed the following question: what is the chance that $p \in (a,b)$ given x and n ? Bayes offered a solution to this problem using solely the calculus of probability. In so doing, he introduced the notion of what is referred to as "**probabilistic induction**".

To obtain his solution, Bayes used what is now called Bayes formula (which is really an alternative form of the well-known, by then, multiplication rule), interpreted conditional probability and its subtleties, and assumed a uniform distribution on p (via eliciting priors on the observables – i.e. the predictive distribution). It was Laplace who coined the term "Bayes Theorem" and set in notion this terminology – Bayes did not invent Bayes Theorem.

Daniel Bernoulli (1700 – 1782) introduced the idea of probability curves, and applied differential calculus to problems of probability theory, and in so

doing simplified many of the cumbersome combinatoric formulas used before. However, his most important contribution is the introduction of the notion of “*utility*” or “*moral expectation*”, and its use in solving the St. Petersburg paradox, posed by Nicholas (1) Bernoulli.

Condorcet [Jean Antoine de Caritat, Marquis de Condorcet] (1743 – 1794) was a well-known sociologist and economist during the period of the French Revolution. His main contribution is his introduction of the notion of “*probabilite’ propre*”, which is a subjective, or personal, probability. His ideas were rejected as being beyond the scope of mathematical probability theory.

After Bernoulli, one of the great minds that came to wrestle with probability was Pierre Simon. de Laplace. His main technical contribution is the de Moivre-Laplace central limit theorem, for Bernoulli trials. His contribution to larger issues is extending the realm of applicability of probability to social phenomena, and his reinforcement of Condorcets notion of subjective probability. That is “probability is relative in part to ignorance, and our knowledge”. If a coin is asymmetrical, but we do not know which side, then its probability of head is 1/2. Laplace also played a role in developing statistics.

Stage II of the development of probability ends with Gauss (1777 – 1855), who derived the normal law for the distribution of errors. [This was also done by Robert Adrian (1755 – 1843) an obscure American mathematician].

Poisson (1781 -1840) did much work on technical and practical aspects of probability. He subscribed to the subjectivie view of probability, and like Laplace felt that probability can also be applied to jurisprudence. Poisson’s main contributions is a generalization of Bernoulli’s theorem when the probability of an event changes from trial to trial, so that if $\tilde{p}$ is the arithmetic mean of these probabilities, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\frac{m}{n} - \tilde{p}| < \epsilon) = 1,$$

and his proof that as $p_n \rightarrow 0$, then as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $P(m/n) = \frac{e^{-n}}{m!} e^{-\lambda}$, where $\lambda = np_n$, the famous Poisson formula; recall that m is the number of events in n Bernoulli trials.

4.3 The Period of Stagnation

The period (1860-1900) is also viewed as one of stagnation in the development of probability. Many felt that its application to social problems was a compromise in the mathematical sciences.

The areas of application being not clearly defined there was much controversy about the subject. There was much criticism of the early developers, like Pascal, Bernoulli, Laplace, and Poisson for their subjectivist inklings via metaphysical determinism. The period of stnagation terminated with the emergence of the now famous Russian School of probability.

4.4 Stage III. Creation of The Russian School

The originators of the Russian School in probability were Ostrogradski (1801-1862), and Bunyakowski (1804-1889). Ostrogradski, influenced by Laplace, was a proponent of the principle of insufficient reason, and applied the theory of probability to moral problems. He too subscribed to the notion that probability is a measure of our ignorance, and is thus subjective. Bunyakowski wrote the first Russian book in probability, and introduced the needed terminology; he too was a determinist in the spirit of Bernoulli and Laplace.

Chebyshev (1821-1894), influenced by Ostrogradski and Bunyakowski, is credited with the creation of the Russian school of probability. His students Markov, Voroni, Lyapunov, and Steklov, pushed frontiers of the subject to the modern era. In effect, Chebyshev and his followers, broke the period of stagnation and impasse in probability, as a mathematical science. Chebyshev defined the subject matter of probability theory as the mathematical science of constructing probabilities of an event based on probabilities of other events. He did not discuss how initial probabilities are to be obtained. Chebyshev introduced mathematical rigor in the theorems, and obtained exact estimates or inequalities of derivations from limiting laws which arise when the number of trials is large but finite.

Philosophically, Chebyshev and his followers were materialists through the natural sciences, mechanics and, mathematics. They were guided by the opinion that only those investigations initiated by applications are of value, and only theories which arise from a consideration of particular cases are useful. The materialist philosophy is founded on the belief that nothing exists but matter itself and its manifestations.

Markov (1856-1922) was Chebyshev's closest disciples and his most colorful spokesperson. He transformed probability, with clarity and rigor, to one of the most perfect field in mathematics. His noteworthy works are on the limit theorems for sums of independent and dependent random variables using the method of moments. Markov introduced the famous chain named after him, for analyzing Pushkin's poem "*Eugene Onegin*".

Lyapunov (1857-1918) improvised on the proofs of Markov's theorems using characteristic functions; the central limit theorem is named after him. Lindberg and Feller later improved on Lyapunov's theorems.

4.5 Probability in Physics

The evolution of probability as a mathematical science would not complete without a mention of its impact in physics, one of the most basic of all the sciences. In 1827, Robert Brown, an English botanist, detected the movement of minute suspended particles in an unpredictable manner. This movement is due to random bombardments of chaotically moving molecules in suspension. Using probabilistic arguments, Albert Einstein in 1905 was able to develop a sound theory for such motions. It was observed that every sufficiently small grain suspended in a fluid constantly moves in an unpredictable manner.

If before the second half of the 19-th century, the basic areas of application of probability were in the processing of observations, the second half was in physics. This was prompted by the work of Ludwig Boltzman (1844-1906), an Austrian, and Josiah Willard Gibbs (1839-1903), an American.

Boltzman is credited with the initiation of statistical physics, and the probabilistic interpretation of entropy. His work paved the way for quantum theory. Boltzman was preceded by Maxwell who thought of molecules as elastic solids, whose behavior can be studied through the methods of probability.

In 1902 Gibbs, who was occupied with problems of mechanics, published his famous book “*Basic Principles of Statistical Mechanics*”. This book was an influential development for the enhancement of probabilistic notions in physics.

4.6 Paradoxes in Probability

Towards the beginning of the 20-th century, great inroads were made in probability as a mathematical discipline by Chebychev, Markov, and Lyapunov, and into its inroads in physics by Maxwell, Boltzman, and Gibbs. However, mathematicians were repeatedly pointing out concerns regarding the need for a precise meaning of probability.

Indeed Bertrand (of Bertrand’s Paradox, of which is Borel’s Paradox, and the three envelope problem are examples), and Henri Poincare, via their paradoxes tried to emphasize the inaccuracies and vaguenesses in the basic notions of interpreting probability.

Emil Borel (1871-1956) and Henri Poincare (1854-1912), both prominent French mathematicians, were determinists whose notion of probability, was that it is a reflection of our ignorance. Both wrote two highly influential books on the subject, and called for a rigorous definition of the meaning of probability. These can be seen as paving the path towards, Stage IV and V, on the axiomatic and the subjective development of probability.

4.7 Stage IV: The Axiomatic Development

The axiomatic method in science, particularly, the mathematical sciences, makes it possible to apply any theory to many areas. For example, Lobachevskii (1829) suggested the possibility of constructing geometry based on a system of axioms, different from those of Euclid, whereas Hilbert, Peano, and Kagan, investigated such a possibility for geometry in the early part of the 20-th century; Hilbert and Peano also did this for arithmetic.

With probability, Laplace’s classical definition using equiprobable events was a tautology, because: equiprobable $\Leftrightarrow$ equal probability. Also, the subjective interpretation of probability had, at the early part of the 20-th century, serious flaws having to do with a linear utility for money and state dependence. As a consequence, the need for axiomatization was becoming more and more pressing.

In 1917, S.N. Bernstein (1880-1968) published a paper hinting the axiomatization of probability. This marked a new stage in its development. Bernstein’s axiomatization was based on the notion of qualitative comparisons of events in

which larger and smaller probabilities serve as a foundation. Bernstein's ideas were further developed by Glivenko and more recently, by Koopman (1940). Bernstein's notion of probability was also materialistic, and was for applications to the natural sciences.

Richard von Mises (1883-1953) was a strong critic of both the equiprobable and the subjective theory of interpreting probability. His main contribution is the frequency approach; i.e. probability is relevant only to mass phenomena. Approaches alternate to von Mises, were due to Keynes, followed by Harold Jeffreys, who viewed probability as a degree of likelihood, wherein every proposition has a certain definite probability. It is said that later on, Keynes recanted this position.

Simultaneous with attempts to lay the foundations of probability were rapid new developments in the mathematical sciences, vis a vis the works of Khinchin, Borel, Cantelli, Hardy, Littlewood, and Hausdorff. These trends facilitated Kolmogorov to construct his axiomatization of probability and lay the foundation for a decisive stage in its development. In particular, Bernoulli's result on the weak law and Borel's on the strong law, led Kolmogorov to notice the connection between probability and measure, and thus began his work on axiomatization, resulting in the publication of his famous book, in 1933.

Kolmogorov's aim was not to clarify the meaning of probability, but to establish a branch of mathematics in exactly the same way as algebra and geometry. To Kolmogorov, the concept of a theory of probability is a system of sets which satisfy certain conditions. He thus introduced the term probability in the above context, detached from any real world meaning.

Not all applied scenarios satisfy Kolmogorov's set up and architecture. Consequently, there are alternatives to probability like Zadeh's Possibility Theory for fuzzy sets, and the Dempster-Shafer Belief Function Theory.

4.8 Stage V: Personal Probability

Approaches at interpreting probability, alternate to the "classical" one of La Place, the "frequency" one of von Mises, the "logical" one of Keynes, as well as the axiomatics of Kolmogorov (that technically speaking are free of interpretation) were due to de Finetti, and Ramsey, who interpret probability as a subjective quantity, personal to each individual. Whereas de Finetti interprets probability as a two-sided bet assuming a linear utility for money, Savage, motivated by Ramsey takes an axiomatic approach.

Savage's approach to personal probability was modeled after von-Neumann and Morgenstern's axiomatic development of utility theory. This approach, is the most widely referenced approach to personal probability; it has as its foundation, behavioristic axioms of choice. Perhaps it is not too well recognized that these axioms appear to be rooted in Bernstein's qualitative comparison of events; save for the feature that they pertain to choices between actions in the face of uncertainty.

A striking feature of Savage's axioms is that their consequences lead to the simultaneous existence of both, a subjective probability and a utility, and the

maximization of expected utility as a recipe for decision making under uncertainty. Savage’s subjective probability conforms to the Kolmogorov axioms; however, in the latter’s set up, conditional probability is a definition, whereas in the former, it is a consequence of the Savage axioms.

Acknowledgements

The work reported here was supported by a grant from the City University of Hong Kong, Project Number 9380068, and by the Research Grants Council Theme-Based Research Scheme Grant T32-102/14N and T32-101/15R. Comments by Glen Shafer and Fabrizio Ruggeri have helped correct some inaccuracy in the original versions.

References

- [1] MAISTROV, L.E. (1974). “Probability Theory: A Historical Sketch.” Academic Press, New York
- [2] SHAFER, GLEN (2019). Sartoniana, Vol. 32, Ghent University Belgium [Robert Rubens and Maarten van Dyck, Eds.]; p.
- [3] ELART VON COLLANI (2014). “Five Turning Points in the Historical Progress of Statistics - My Personal Vision.” *Serdica Journal of Computing*, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, 8, No. 3, pp 199-226.