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#### Abstract

In this note, we give a detailed proof of an asymptotic for averages of coefficients of a class of degree three $L$-functions which can be factorized as a product of a degree one and a degree two $L$-functions. We emphasize that we can break the $1 / 2$-barrier in the error term, and we get an explicit exponent.


## 1. Introduction

In number theory, one of the most important and central problem is to give an asymptotic formula as accurate as possible for the sum

$$
A(X)=\sum_{n \leqslant X} a(n)
$$

where $a(n)$ is an arithmetic function. In many cases, this problem can be transformed to the study of the following generating series

$$
L(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

which satisfies valuable analytic properties. Here we just list two classical examples.

- $a(n)=\Lambda(n)$ is the von Mangoldt function, then this is the well-known prime number theorem.
- $a(n)=\tau_{k}(n), k \geqslant 2$ is the divisor function, then this is the divisor problem. One may see for example the work of Atkinson [1] for the case $k=3$.
In this paper, we are interested in investigating the case where $a(n)=\lambda_{F}(n)$, the coefficients of a degree $d$ automorphic $L$-function (see Section 2.1). We set

$$
A(X, F)=\sum_{n \leqslant X} \lambda_{F}(n), \quad L(s, F)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\lambda_{F}(n)}{n^{s}} .
$$

For $A(X, F)$, one may expect that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(X, F)=\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L(s, F)}{s} X+O\left(X^{\theta}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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with $\theta$ as small as possible. In particular, this would imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{X<n \leqslant X+Y} \lambda_{F}(n)=\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L(s, F)}{s} Y+o(Y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $Y>X^{\theta+\varepsilon}$, for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$.
Under the generalized Riemann hypothesis (GRH), we may take $\theta=1 / 2$. To surpass the GRH with some $\theta<1 / 2$ is an interesting and challenging problem. For general series of degree 2, this is already achieved with $\theta=1 / 3$. Friedlander and Iwaniec [5] proved a quite general result that for $d \geqslant 2$, (1.1) holds for $\theta=\frac{d-1}{d+1}\left(\geqslant \frac{1}{2}\right.$ for $\left.d \geqslant 3\right)$ under some local assumptions.

For the case of degree " $1+1+1$ " when $L(s, F)$ factors completely into degree $1 L$-functions, it was showed that we can take $\theta=\frac{37}{75}<\frac{1}{2}$ in (1.1) (see e.g. Friedlander and Iwaniec [5]). They also announced that the other case of degree " $1+2$ " can also be successfully treated with additional arguments. For $d=6$, recently together with Sun, the second named author [11] was able to beat the bound $\frac{6-1}{6+1}$ (under Ramanujan-Selberg) for a class of $\mathrm{GL}_{3} \times \mathrm{GL}_{2}$ automorphic forms, namely, for $F=f \otimes \phi$, Rankin-Selberg convolution of a $\mathrm{GL}_{3}$ cusp form $f$ and a $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ cusp form $\phi$. Subsequently, the first named author [7] was able to make further progress on another important case, by improving the error term $O\left(X^{3 / 5}\right)$ for $F=\phi \times \phi$ for the case $d=4$. Specially, he remarked that the method in [7] is applicable to the degree " $1+2$ " case. Here, crucial ingredients in these works are factorazation of the coefficients: in Friedlander-Iwaniec's case $\lambda_{F}(n)=\sum_{n_{1} n_{2} n_{3}=n} \chi_{1}\left(n_{1}\right) \chi_{2}\left(n_{2}\right) \chi_{3}\left(n_{3}\right)$; in Lin-Sun's case $\lambda_{F}(n)=\sum_{m_{1}^{2} m_{2}=n} \lambda_{f}\left(m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \lambda_{\phi}\left(m_{2}\right)$, while in Huang's case $\lambda_{F}(n)=$ $\sum_{\ell m=n} \lambda_{\text {sym }^{2}}(m)$.

In this paper, we shall consider $A(X, F)$ for another case of degree 3, when $F=1 \boxplus \phi$; that is

$$
L(s, F)=L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)=\zeta(s) L(s, \phi) .
$$

Here $\phi$ is a holomorphic cusp form. We give a first detailed proof of (1.1) with $\theta<\frac{1}{2}$, by combining the methods of Friedlander-Iwaniec in [5] and the first named author in [7].

Theorem 1. With the notation as above. The asymptotic formula

$$
A(X, 1 \boxplus \phi)=L(1, \phi) X+O\left(X^{1 / 2-\delta+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

holds for $\delta=4 / 739 \approx 0.00541 \ldots$ and any fixed $\varepsilon>0$.
An immediate consequence of this theorem is the following asymptotic formula for averages of those coefficients in rather short intervals.

Corollary 1. With the notation as above. We have

$$
\sum_{X<n \leqslant X+Y} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)=L(1, \phi) Y+O\left(Y^{1-\varepsilon}\right)
$$

as long as $Y \gg X^{1 / 2-\delta}$, for any $\delta<4 / 739 \approx 0.00541 \ldots$.
The functions $n \mapsto \tau_{3}(n)$ and $n \mapsto \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)$ are the Hecke eigenvalues of certain noncuspidal automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{3, \mathrm{Q}}$, that is, the isobaric representation $1 \boxplus 1 \boxplus 1$ and $1 \boxplus \pi_{\phi}$. The methods of $[1,5,7]$ and of the present paper can be generalized straightforwardly to prove that the average of the $n$-th Hecke eigenvalue function of any fixed non-cuspidal
automorphic representation of $\mathrm{GL}_{3, \mathrm{Q}}$ has exponent $<1 / 2$ in the error term. Extending this further to cuspidal $\mathrm{GL}_{3, \mathrm{Q}}$-representations is an interesting and challenging question.

Remark 1. Under the generalized Ramanujan conjecture, it is clear that the same result can be proved for $\phi$ being a Hecke-Maass cusp form by our method.

Remark 2. (1) There is an arithmetic analogue of the questions (1.1) and (1.2). Indeed, one can study the distribution of $\lambda_{F}(n)$ by also asking how uniform the coefficients $\lambda_{F}(n)$ are distributed, when we vary $n$ among arithmetic progressions $a m+q$. Namely, do we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant X \\ n \equiv a \bmod q}} \lambda_{F}(n)-\frac{1}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\substack{n \leqslant X \\(n, q)=1}} \lambda_{F}(n) \ll_{A} \frac{X}{q}(\log X)^{-A} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $q \leqslant X^{\vartheta}$, with $\vartheta$ as large as possible? Here $\varphi$ is the Euler function and the exponent $\vartheta$ is called the level of distribution. It is predicted that one can take $\vartheta=1-\varepsilon$. In general, for $F$ an $\mathrm{GL}_{\mathrm{d}}$ automorphic form, this can be studied by detecting the condition $n \equiv a \bmod q$ using additive characters and then applying the Voronoï summation, which would transform $\underline{\text { the sum in question to a dual sum of length roughly } q^{d} / X \text { and to the dual Hecke eigenvalue }}$ $\overline{\lambda_{F}(n)}$, but twisted by a $(d-1)$-dimensional hyper-Kloosterman sum $\mathrm{Kl}_{d}(a n ; q)$, upon which an application of Deligne's estimate will produce a level of distribution $\frac{2}{d+1}$ for the sum above. Such an exponent is regarded as the trivial level of distribution for $\lambda_{F}(n)$. To beat this exponent for various $\lambda_{F}$ is an active area of research. For example, results breaking the $1 / 2$-barrier for the level $\vartheta$ which is also the same barrier of the well-known BombieriVinogradov theorem, are known for several special cases:

- when $\lambda_{F}(n)=\tau(n)$, we can take $\vartheta=2 / 3-\varepsilon$, a classical result of Selberg which remains the best to date;
- when $\lambda_{F}(n)=\tau_{3}(n)$, one can take $\vartheta=1 / 2+1 / 230-\varepsilon$, corresponding to the groundbreaking result of Friedlander-Iwaniec [4].
- when $\lambda_{F}(n)=\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)$, one has $\vartheta=1 / 2+1 / 102-\varepsilon$, which was proved by Kowalski-Michel-Sawin [10], building on their breakthrough on estimates for bilinear forms in hyper-Kloosterman sums.
(2) Theorem 1 (and its corollary) is exactly an Archimedian analogue of (1.3) when $F=$ $1 \boxplus \phi$, proved by Kowalski-Michel-Sawin.

Throughout the paper, $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrarily small positive number; all of them may be different at each occurrence.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. $L$-functions. In general, the $L$-function $L(s, F)$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1). We have the Euler product of degree $d$

$$
L(s, F)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\lambda_{F}(n)}{n^{s}}=\prod_{p} \prod_{j=1}^{d}\left(1-\frac{\alpha_{j}(p)}{p^{s}}\right)^{-1}
$$

with $\lambda_{f}(1)=1$. The series and Euler products are absolutely convergent for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$. The sequence $\left\{\lambda_{F}(n)\right\}_{n \geqslant 1}$ are called coefficients of $L(s, F)$, and the $\alpha_{j}(p), 1 \leqslant j \leqslant d$ satisfying $\left|\alpha_{j}(p)\right|<p$ for all $p$, are called the local parameters of $L(s, F)$ at $p$.
(2). We have the gamma factor defined by

$$
\gamma(s, F)=\pi^{-d s / 2} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa_{j}}{2}\right)
$$

where the numbers $\kappa_{j} \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\operatorname{Re} \kappa_{j}>-1$, are called the local parameters of $L(s, F)$ at infinity.
(3). There exists an integer $q(F) \geqslant 1$, called the conductor of $L(s, F)$, satisfying $\alpha_{j}(p) \neq 0$ for $1 \leqslant j \leqslant d, p \nmid q(F)$ such that we have the functional equation

$$
\Lambda(s, F)=\varepsilon(F) \Lambda(1-s, \bar{F})
$$

where $\bar{F}$ is the dual form of $f$ for which $\lambda_{\bar{F}}(n)=\overline{\lambda_{F}(n)}, \gamma(s, \bar{F})=\gamma(s, F), q(\bar{F})=q(F)$, and $\varepsilon(F)$ is the root number of $L(s, F)$ satisfying $|\varepsilon(F)|=1$. Here $\Lambda(s, F)$ is called the complete $L$-function defined by

$$
\Lambda(s, F)=q(F)^{s / 2} \gamma(s, F) L(s, F)
$$

For more details, we refer the reader to the book of Iwaniec-Kowalski [8, Chapter 5.1].

### 2.2. Functional equation.

Lemma 2.1. For $\operatorname{Re} s>1$, we have

$$
L(1-s, 1 \boxplus \phi)=w \gamma(s) L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)
$$

where $w=i^{k}$ and $\gamma(s)$ satisfies

$$
\gamma(\sigma-i t)=\bar{\omega}(Q t)^{3(\sigma-1 / 2)}\left(\frac{\mathrm{e}}{Q t}\right)^{3 i t}\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\}
$$

for $\sigma>1 / 2, t>1$, and

$$
Q=\frac{1}{2 \pi}, \quad \omega=\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{2 k-3}{8}\right), \text { where } \mathrm{e}(u)=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i u}
$$

Proof. First by the functional equation

$$
\Lambda(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)=\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s) \cdot(2 \pi)^{-s} \Gamma\left(s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right) L(s, \phi)=i^{k} \Lambda(1-s, 1 \boxplus \phi),
$$

we can write the functional equation of the Dirichlet generating series for the coefficient $\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
L(1-s, 1 \boxplus \phi) & =i^{k} \frac{\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)(2 \pi)^{-s} \Gamma\left(s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}{\pi^{-\frac{1-s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)(2 \pi)^{-1+s} \Gamma\left(1-s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)} L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)  \tag{2.1}\\
& =w \gamma(s) L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=i^{k}, \quad \gamma(s)=\pi^{\frac{1}{2}-s}(2 \pi)^{1-2 s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1-s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we shall write $\gamma(s)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma(s)=\left(\pi^{-m} D\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{m} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa_{j}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\kappa_{j}}{2}\right)^{-1} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D$ is the conductor, and the "spectral parameters" $\kappa_{j}$ are complex numbers having $\operatorname{Re} \kappa_{j}>0$. And $k=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \kappa_{j}$ is the weight.

Note that $\Gamma(z) \Gamma\left(z+\frac{1}{2}\right)=2^{1-2 z} \pi^{\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(2 z)$. Taking $z=\frac{s+\frac{k-1}{2}}{2}$, we obtain

$$
\Gamma\left(s+\frac{k-1}{2}\right)=2^{s+\frac{k-3}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\frac{k-1}{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\frac{k+1}{2}}{2}\right) .
$$

With the notation of $\gamma(s)$ in (2.2), we derive

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma(s) & =\pi^{\frac{1}{2}-s}(2 \pi)^{1-2 s} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)} \cdot \frac{2^{s+\frac{k-3}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\frac{k-1}{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\frac{k+1}{2}}{2}\right)}{2^{1-s+\frac{k-3}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\frac{k-1}{2}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\frac{k+1}{2}}{2}\right)}  \tag{2.4}\\
& =\left(\pi^{-3}\right)^{s-\frac{1}{2}} \prod_{j=1}^{3} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+\kappa_{j}}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s+\kappa_{j}}{2}\right)^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

which is of the form (2.3) with $m=3, D=1$ and $\kappa_{1}=0, \kappa_{2}=\frac{k-1}{2}, \kappa_{2}=\frac{k+1}{2}$. Hence, by the argument of Friedlander-Iwaniec [5, Section 1], we complete the proof of Lemma 2.1 with $Q=(2 \pi)^{-1}, \omega=\mathrm{e}\left(\frac{2 k-3}{8}\right)$.

On taking $s=1+\varepsilon-i t$, Lemma 2.1 yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(-\varepsilon+i t, 1 \boxplus \phi)=w \bar{\omega}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{3\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right)}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi \mathrm{e}}\right)^{-3 i t} L(1+\varepsilon-i t, 1 \boxplus \phi)\left\{1+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right\} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we consider the sum $A(X, 1 \boxplus \phi)=\sum_{n \leqslant X} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)$, that is we have

$$
L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)=\zeta(s) L(s, \phi)=\zeta(s) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\phi}(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s)>1$, where $\phi$ is a $\mathrm{GL}_{2}$ automorphic form and $\lambda_{\phi}(n)$ is defined by

$$
\phi(z)=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{\phi}(n) n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} \mathrm{e}(n z), \quad \mathrm{e}(z)=\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i z}
$$

Assume $\phi$ is holomorphic, i.e., $\phi \in H_{k}\left(\mathrm{SL}_{2}(\mathbb{Z})\right)$, an orthogonal basis of the space of holomorphic cusp forms of weight $k$ and level 1 , with $k \geqslant 12$ even. We first approximate $A(X, 1 \boxplus \phi)$ by a smooth sum. Let

$$
Y=X^{1 / 2-\delta}, \quad \text { for some } \delta \in(0,1 / 10)
$$

Let $W$ be a smooth function with support supp $W \in[1 / 2-Y / X, 1+Y / X]$ such that $W(u)=$ $1, u \in[1 / 2,1]$ and $W(u) \in[0,1], u \in[1 / 2-Y / X, 1 / 2] \cup[1,1+Y / X]$, and $W^{(k)}(u) \ll(X / Y)^{k}$.

Therefore we have the approximating forumla

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{X / 2<n \leqslant X} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)= & \sum_{X / 2-Y<n<X+Y} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) W\left(\frac{n}{X}\right) \\
& +O\left(\sum_{X / 2-Y<n<X / 2}\left|\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)\right|+\sum_{X<n<X+Y}\left|\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)\right|\right)  \tag{3.1}\\
= & \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) W\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)+O\left(X^{1 / 2-\delta+\varepsilon}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used Deligne's bound $\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) \ll \sum_{\ell m=n} m^{\varepsilon} \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ when $\phi$ is holomorphic for the error terms.

Next we only need to show

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) W\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)=L(1, \phi) \tilde{W}(1) X+O\left(X^{1 / 2-\delta+\varepsilon}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{W}(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} W(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x$ is the Mellin transform of $W$. Since by inserting (3.2) into (3.1) and then by iteration, we get

$$
\sum_{n \leqslant X} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)=2 L(1, \phi) \tilde{W}(1) X+O\left(X^{1 / 2-\delta+\varepsilon}\right) \text {. }
$$

Then Theorem 1 follows immediately from the estimate $\tilde{W}(1)=1 / 2+O(Y / X)$.
Now we estimate the sum $\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) W\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)$ in (3.2). By the inverse Mellin transform

$$
W(u)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(2)} \tilde{W}(s) u^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

we get

$$
\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) W\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(2)} \tilde{W}(s) L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi) X^{s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

We then move the integration to the parallel segment with $\operatorname{Re} s=\sigma=-\varepsilon$. We pass pole at $s=1$ with residue $\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)=L(1, \phi)$ since $L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi)=\zeta(s) L(s, \phi)$. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) W\left(\frac{n}{X}\right)=L(1, \phi) \tilde{W}(1) X+\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(-\varepsilon)} \tilde{W}(s) L(s, 1 \boxplus \phi) X^{s} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $I(X)$ the second term with integration on the right hand side of (3.3). Inserting a dyadic smooth partition of unit to the $t$-integral, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X)=\sum_{T \text { dyadic }} I(X, T) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
I(X, T):=\frac{X^{-\varepsilon}}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} X^{i t} \tilde{W}(-\varepsilon+i t) L(-\varepsilon+i t, 1 \boxplus \phi) V\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

for some fixed $V$ with compact support. For $\tilde{W}(s)$, by integration by parts, we have the estimate for any $k \geqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{W}(s)=\frac{(-1)^{k}}{s(s+1) \cdots(s+k-1)} \int_{0}^{\infty} W^{(k)}(u) u^{s+k-1} \mathrm{~d} u \ll \frac{1}{|s|^{k}}\left(\frac{X}{Y}\right)^{k-1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since supp $W^{(k)} \in[1 / 2-Y / X, 1 / 2] \cup[1,1+Y / X]$. This estimate allows us to truncate the $t$-integral of $I(X, T)$ at $T \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} / Y$. In addition, by the upper bounds $L(-\varepsilon+i t, 1 \boxplus \phi) \ll$ $(1+|t|)^{3 / 2+\varepsilon}$ and (3.5) with $k=1$, we deduce that

$$
I(X, Y) \ll X^{\varepsilon} T^{3 / 2+\varepsilon} \ll Y
$$

if $T \ll Y^{2 / 3-\varepsilon}$. Therefore, by the above arguments, we may impose a constraint $Y^{2 / 3-\varepsilon} \ll$ $T \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} / Y$ in (3.4) with an admissible error term. We only consider positive $T$ 's, since negative T's can be handled similarly. Next, for $I(X, T)$, by the first equality in (3.5) with $k=1$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
I(X, T) & =-\frac{X^{-\varepsilon}}{2 \pi} \int_{1 / 3}^{3} W^{\prime}(u) u^{-\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{(X u)^{i t}}{-\varepsilon+i t} L(-\varepsilon+i t, 1 \boxplus \phi) V\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} u \\
& \ll \frac{X^{-\varepsilon}}{T} \sup _{u \in[1 / 3,3]}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}}(X u)^{i t} L(-\varepsilon+i t, 1 \boxplus \phi) V\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right| \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, in the following, we only need to consider $J(X, T)$ which is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(X, T):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} X^{i t} L(-\varepsilon+i t, 1 \boxplus \phi) V\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To estimate $J(X, T)$, we shall apply functional equation for $L(-\varepsilon+i t, 1 \boxplus \phi)$ to change the variable $s=-\varepsilon+i t$ into $1-s=1+\varepsilon-i t$.

By inserting the functional equation (2.5) into (3.7), it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(X, T)= & \int_{\mathbb{R}} X^{i t} w \bar{\omega} \cdot\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{3\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon\right)}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi \mathrm{e}}\right)^{-3 i t} L(1+\varepsilon-i t, 1 \boxplus \phi) V\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
& +O\left(\frac{1}{T} \cdot T^{3 / 2+\varepsilon} \cdot T\right) \\
\ll & T^{3 / 2+\varepsilon}\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)}{n^{1+\varepsilon-i t}} X^{i t}\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi \mathrm{e}}\right)^{-3 i t} V_{1}\left(\frac{t}{T}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right|+T^{3 / 2+\varepsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some smooth compactly supported function $V_{1}$.
Changing the order of the integral of summation above, and making a change of variable $t=T \xi$, we get

$$
J(X, T) \ll T^{5 / 2+\varepsilon}\left|\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{1}(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{i T \xi \log \left(n X\left(\frac{T \xi}{2 \pi \mathrm{e}}\right)^{-3}\right)} \mathrm{d} \xi\right|+T^{3 / 2+\varepsilon} .
$$

For the above integral over $\xi$, by the stationary phase method with

$$
h(\xi)=T \xi \log \left(\frac{n X}{\left(\frac{T \xi}{2 \pi e}\right)^{3}}\right), \quad \xi_{0}=\frac{2 \pi(n X)^{1 / 3}}{T}, \quad h\left(\xi_{0}\right)=3 T \xi_{0}, \quad h^{\prime \prime}\left(\xi_{0}\right)=-\frac{3 T}{\xi_{0}} \asymp T
$$

we get (see e.g. [2, Proposition 8.2])

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} V_{1}(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{i T \xi \log \left(n X\left(\frac{T \xi}{2 \pi e}\right)^{-3}\right)} \mathrm{d} \xi & =\frac{\mathrm{e}^{i h\left(\xi_{0}\right)}}{T^{1 / 2}} W_{1}\left(\xi_{0}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{T^{2020}}\right) \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{e}\left(3(n X)^{1 / 3}\right)}{T^{1 / 2}} W_{2}\left(\frac{n}{T^{3} / X}\right)+O\left(\frac{1}{T^{2020}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for some inert functions $W_{1}, W_{2}$, and hence

$$
\begin{align*}
J(X, T) & \ll T^{2+\varepsilon}\left|\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \frac{\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)}{n^{1+\varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}\left(3(n X)^{1 / 3}\right) W_{2}\left(\frac{n}{T^{3} / X}\right)\right|+T^{3 / 2+\varepsilon} \\
& \ll \frac{X^{1+\varepsilon}}{T}\left|\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) \mathrm{e}\left(3(n X)^{1 / 3}\right) W_{3}\left(\frac{n}{T^{3} / X}\right)\right|+T^{3 / 2+\varepsilon}, \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

for some inert function $W_{3}$.
We may restrict $T$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{2 / 3-\varepsilon} \ll X^{1 / 3-\varepsilon} \ll T \ll \frac{X^{1+\varepsilon}}{Y} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

since otherwise the above sum over $n$ is empty. Combining (3.4), (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we arrive at

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X) \ll \sum_{\substack{T \text { dyadic } \\ X^{1 / 3-\varepsilon} \ll T \ll \frac{X^{1+\varepsilon}}{Y}}}\left(\frac{X^{1+\varepsilon}}{T^{2}}\left|\sum_{n \geqslant 1} \lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n) \mathrm{e}\left(3(n X)^{1 / 3}\right) W\left(\frac{n}{T^{3} / X}\right)\right|+T^{1 / 2+\varepsilon}\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $X$ on the right hand side of (3.10) should be understood as the original $X u$ in (3.6) with $u \in[1 / 3,3]$. And $W$ is some smooth function such that $\operatorname{supp} W \in[1 / 4,4]$. So we only need to consider the case $n \asymp T^{3} / X$.

Now we make use of the fact that $\lambda_{1 \boxplus \phi}(n)=\sum_{\ell m=n} \lambda_{\phi}(m)$. We can insert a dyadic partition of unit for $\ell$-sum and a dyadic smooth partition of unit for $m$-sum. Hence it suffices to estimate the following sum

$$
B(L, M)=\sum_{\substack{\ell \sim L \\ L M \asymp \frac{T 3}{X}}} \sum_{\substack{m \asymp M}} \lambda_{\phi}(m) \mathrm{e}\left(3(\ell m X)^{1 / 3}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{M}\right) .
$$

Now we consider two cases.
Case 1. $L \gg T^{359 / 228} X^{-97 / 152}$. We then rewrite $B(L, M)$ as

$$
B(L, M)=\sum_{m \asymp M} \lambda_{\phi}(m) V\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)\left(\sum_{\substack{\ell \sim L \\ L M \asymp \frac{T}{X}}} \mathrm{e}\left(3(\ell m X)^{1 / 3}\right)\right) .
$$

For the inner sum over $\ell$ we apply the method of exponent pairs with A-process (see for example [6, Chapter 3]), by taking the exponent pair $(p, q)$ as

$$
(p, q)=\left(\frac{k}{2 k+2}, \frac{k+h+1}{2 k+2}\right)=\left(\frac{13}{194}+\varepsilon, \frac{76}{97}+\varepsilon\right)
$$

where $(k, h)=\left(\frac{13}{84}+\varepsilon, \frac{55}{84}+\varepsilon\right)$ is also an exponent pair due to Bourgain [3, Theorem 6]. Hence we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
B(L, M) & \ll \sum_{m \asymp M}\left|\lambda_{\phi}(m)\right|\left|\sum_{\substack{\ell \sim L \\
L M \asymp \frac{T^{3}}{X}}} \mathrm{e}\left(3(\ell m X)^{1 / 3}\right)\right| \\
& \ll T^{\varepsilon} M \cdot(T / L)^{p} L^{q}  \tag{3.11}\\
& \ll T^{1195 / 456+\varepsilon} X^{-249 / 304} .
\end{align*}
$$

Case 2. $L \ll T^{359 / 228} X^{-97 / 152}$. We rewrite $B(L, M)$ as

$$
B(L, M)=\sum_{\ell \sim L}\left(\sum_{\substack{m \asymp M \\ L M \asymp \frac{T^{3}}{X}}} \lambda_{\phi}(m) \mathrm{e}\left(3(\ell m X)^{1 / 3}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)\right)
$$

For the inner sum over $m$, we employ a result of Jutila (see [9, Theorem 4.6]). It is easy to verify that $M^{3 / 4} \ll T \ll M^{3 / 2}$ for $L \ll T^{359 / 228} X^{-97 / 152}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{165 / 346} \leqslant T \leqslant X^{3 / 5} \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
B(L, M) & \ll \sum_{\ell \sim L}\left|\sum_{\substack{m \asymp M \\
L M \asymp \frac{T}{X}}} \lambda_{\phi}(m) \mathrm{e}\left(3(\ell m X)^{1 / 3}\right) V\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)\right|  \tag{3.13}\\
& \ll L \cdot T^{1 / 3} M^{1 / 2} \\
& \ll T^{1195 / 456+\varepsilon} X^{-249 / 304}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.10), (3.11) and (3.13), we have the following upper bound when $T$ satisfies $X^{165 / 346} \leqslant T \leqslant X^{1 / 2+\delta+\varepsilon}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X) \ll \frac{X^{1+\varepsilon}}{T^{2}} \cdot T^{1195 / 456+\varepsilon} X^{-249 / 304}+T^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} \ll X^{1 / 2-\delta+\varepsilon} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\delta \leqslant 4 / 739 \approx 0.00541 \ldots$. If $X^{1 / 3-\varepsilon} \leqslant T \leqslant X^{165 / 346}$, we use the trivial bound to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(X) \ll \frac{X^{1+\varepsilon}}{T^{2}} \cdot \frac{T^{3}}{X}+T^{1 / 2+\varepsilon} \ll T X^{\varepsilon} \ll X^{165 / 346+\varepsilon} \ll X^{1 / 2-\delta+\varepsilon} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, putting together the above estimates (3.3), (3.9), (3.14) and (3.15), one can easily complete the proof of Theorem 1.
Remark 3. The exponent pair $\left(\frac{13}{194}+\varepsilon, \frac{76}{97}+\varepsilon\right)$ is the best known exponent pair we find for our problem. We essentially need to choose an exponent pair $(p, q)$ to minimize $\frac{5+5 p-2 q}{11+8 p-5 q}$.
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