

Inertial drag-out problem : sheets and films on a rotating disc

J. John Soundar Jerome¹, Sébastien Thevenin¹, Mickaël Bourgoïn², and
Jean-Philippe Matas¹

¹Univ Lyon, Université Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Laboratoire de Mécanique des
Fluides et d'Acoustique, CNRS UMR-5509, Boulevard 11 novembre 1918,
F-69622 Villeurbanne cedex, LYON, France

²Laboratoire de Physique, ENS de Lyon, 46 allée d'Italie, F-69364 Lyon Cedex 7,
France

February 1, 2022

Abstract

The so-called *Landau-Levich-Deryaguin* problem treats the coating flow dynamics of a thin viscous liquid film entrained by a moving solid surface. In this context, we use a simple experimental set-up consisting of a partially-immersed rotating disc in a liquid tank to study the role of inertia, and also curvature, on liquid entrainment. Using water and UCONTM mixtures, we point out a rich phenomenology in the presence of strong inertia : ejection of multiple liquid sheets on the emerging side of the disc, sheet fragmentation, ligament formation and atomization of the liquid flux entrained over the disc's rim. We focus our study on a single liquid sheet and the related average liquid flow rate entrained over a thin disc for various depth-to-radius ratio $h/R < 1$. We show that the liquid sheet is created via a ballistic mechanism as liquid is lifted out of the pool by the rotating disc. We then show that the flow rate in the entrained liquid film is controlled by both viscous and surface tension forces as in the classical *Landau-Levich-Deryaguin* problem despite the three dimensional, non-uniform and unsteady nature of the flow, and also despite the large values of the film thickness based flow Reynolds number. When the characteristic Froude and Weber numbers become significant, strong inertial effects influence the entrained liquid flux over the disc at large radius-to-immersion-depth ratio, namely via entrainment by the disc's lateral walls and via a contribution to the flow rate extracted from the 3D liquid sheet itself, respectively.

1 Introduction

When we imagine a car rolling over a puddle, we picture a spectacular lateral liquid splash. While this common life phenomenon is interesting on its own, the liquid flux entrained along the rotating wheel rim, its subsequent atomization, and dispersion on different parts of the vehicle, is also an important related issue. Now if we simplify both the wheel geometry and the flow domain by considering a smooth disc of finite width, partially-submerged in a rectangular liquid tank (see section 2 for details about this set-up), we can observe two global features in the resulting flow as illustrated in figure 1. Firstly, a liquid sheet stands out of the pool at the rear of the wheel, extending perpendicularly to its rim. And secondly, a non-uniform, unsteady liquid film is entrained on the rim, and is then atomized into ligaments and droplets. As presented in a series of photographs (see figures 2, 3, 4 & 5), the liquid sheet can either be stationary at sufficiently small speeds, or corrugated at higher speeds. When the disc width is increased multiple sheets can be observed, with each of them leaving a thick trail on the rim of the wheel. These liquid sheets may even meander along the rim, and also coalesce so that the number of sheets may vary with time. In addition, air bubbles are entrained on the plunging side of the wheel.




Figure 1: Water entrainment by a partially-immersed rotating disc of radius $R = 21$ cm, immersion depth $h = 8.4$ cm and linear velocity $U = 4.6$ m s⁻¹. Two dominant liquid flow structures appear, namely, a liquid sheet and a liquid film flow which fragments into ligaments and droplets. Schematic of the experimental set-up showing a partially-immersed rotating disc of finite width in a one meter long aquarium.

Liquid	Mass fraction of UCON TM	Density (ρ) kg m ⁻³	Viscosity (μ) $\times 10^{-3}$ Pa s	Surface tension (σ) $\times 10^{-3}$ N m ⁻¹	Morton number $Mo = \mu^4 g / \rho \sigma^3$
Water	0	998 ± 1	0.93 ± 0.04	72.9 ± 0.2	1.9×10^{-11}
WU1	0.09	1015 ± 1	5.5 ± 0.3	54.2 ± 0.14	5.5×10^{-8}
WU2	0.13	1022 ± 1	11 ± 0.5	53.7 ± 0.14	9.1×10^{-7}
WU3	0.28	1044 ± 1	82 ± 4	50.7 ± 0.04	3.3×10^{-3}

Table 1: Properties of working liquids in this study. Here, three different mass fraction of UCONTM Lubricant 75-H-90,000 in water (denoted by WU) were used. The contact angle of plexiglass-water in air and of plexiglass-WU3 in air varied between 29° – 39° and 32° – 71°, respectively. Note that the surface tension of water is relatively high compared to bubble-treated surface-clean water samples (Scott, 1975).




Figure 2: Instantaneous side view of the inertia-driven liquid menisci on a partially-immersed rotating disc in water ($R = 13.5 \text{ cm}$, $h/R = 0.4$). Linear velocities $U = \Omega R$ corresponding to these conditions vary between 1.68 m s^{-1} and 5.48 m s^{-1} . See supplementary video 1 for more visualizations.




Figure 3: Photographs presenting both side (left) and front (right) views of the dynamic liquid sheet in a viscous UCON/water mixtures of dynamic viscosity $\mu = 82 \times 10^{-3}$ Pa s. Sheets can be stationary at low speeds or unsteady at higher speeds.




Figure 4: When a wider disc rotates in water ($R = 21$ cm, width = 13 cm) multiple water sheets occur: (a) Photographs at different instants for a given wheel immersion depth $h = 0.2R$ and $U = 3.56$ m s $^{-1}$ showing that the number of sheets may vary with time. (b) Photographs at various immersion depths h (distance between the water level and the disc's bottom). They resemble a fully-developed inertial ribbing instability (Yih, 1960).




Figure 5: Photographs showing multiple liquid sheets for the same UCON/water mixtures and wheel radius as in figure 3 but for a wider disc (width = 13 cm) at $U = 1.74 \text{ m s}^{-1}$.

Our primary interest here is to study the simplest of the features in this inertia-dominated drag-out problem with the hope of providing some insights on high-speed liquid entrainment processes, and thereby motivating future work. For this purpose, we abandon the more *classical* case of a long horizontal cylinder to avoid multiple sheets, and the associated multi-phase flow phenomena as well, in order to experimentally consider two simple questions : (i) how does the size of a single liquid sheet vary as a function of the disc speed $U = R\Omega$, liquid properties and immersion depth h , (ii) what is the resulting entrained liquid flux on the rotating disc? Our work is primarily experimental with an intention to bring out some scaling laws in relation to this inertia-dominated dragged-out problem.

Liquid entrainment is very well documented for films on fibers that are dragged out of a liquid pool (Quéré, 1999), for various coating flows between one or more horizontal rolls that feed/pull/spread a thin liquid film on a substrate (Benjamin et al., 1995, Ruschak, 1985, Weinstein and Ruschak, 2004), and also for film flows on the inside, or the outside, of a freely rotating drum (Seiden and Thomas, 2011, Thoroddsen and Mahadevan, 1997). These works are crucial to study and model thin liquid film coating flows (Cohen and Guttoff, 1992, Schweizer and Kistler, 2012) and their stability (Coyle et al., 1990, Pitts and Greiller, 1961, Yih, 1960). In this context, the present work may also actually be relevant to an important class of problems in both modern engineering and fundamental fluid mechanics involving high-speed entrainment of a liquid by a moving wall.

1.1 LLD flows : flat plate, or fiber, withdrawal from a liquid pool

The simplest entrainment flow configuration is that of a liquid film entrained by a long vertical plate (figure 6a), or a thin vertical fiber, as it is withdrawn at a steady speed U from a large reservoir of a viscous liquid of density ρ , viscosity μ and surface tension σ . It is the so-called *Landau-Levich-Deryagin dip-coating flow* (Deryaguin, 1943, Goucher and Ward, 1922, Landau and Levich, 1942, Morey, 1940, Van Rossum, 1958). The liquid surface tension plays a subtle role on the coating flow via the Laplace pressure at the *dynamic meniscus* between the film and the reservoir. However, far away from the *dynamic meniscus*, the flat entrained film is at the ambient pressure. Consequently, a pressure gradient which opposes liquid entrainment should exist along the vertical film. Landau and Levich (1942) and Deryaguin (1943) were the first to remark this feature for the case when the surface tension forces dominate viscous entrainment, i.e. at sufficiently small capillary numbers $Ca = \mu U / \sigma$ and at negligible inertia. They showed that

the liquid film thickness far away from the reservoir is given by¹

$$\delta_f^{(LLD)} \simeq l_c \left[0.946Ca^{2/3} - 0.107Ca + \mathcal{O}(Ca^{4/3}) \right], \quad (1)$$

where $l_c = \sqrt{\sigma/\rho g}$ is the capillary length with g the acceleration due to gravity. This pioneering result correlates well with numerous experimental investigations for small capillary numbers up to $\mathcal{O}(10^{-2})$ (Groenvelde, 1970c, Maleki et al., 2011, Morey, 1940, Snoeijer et al., 2008, Van Rossum, 1958) and also, for moderate values of Ca (Groenvelde, 1970b, Kizito et al., 1999, Spiers et al., 1974, White and Tallmadge, 1965).

When both inertia and surface tension are negligible compared to viscous forces and the film is flat far away from the liquid bath, the film thickness δ_f can be deduced from a simple balance between the weight of the liquid film and the viscous drag of the plate. Thus, in this *viscosity-gravity driven* regime, the relevant length scale for the film-thickness is

$$\delta_f^{(g)} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu U}{\rho g}} = l_c Ca^{1/2}, \quad (2)$$

as one could expect from the classical drainage problem (Chalmers, 1937, Jeffreys, 1930). But the presence of a stagnation point in the meniscus region between the pool and the moving plate (Groenvelde, 1970a) implies that the resulting film thickness is provided by the expression (2) up to a constant factor, depending on the flow situations. Subsequent experiments (Groenvelde, 1970b, Kizito et al., 1999) and $2D$ computations (Filali et al., 2013, Jin et al., 2005) suggest that such a limit is attained at $Ca \gg 1$ but only for moderate Reynolds number

$$Re_f = \frac{\rho U \delta_f^g}{\mu} \equiv \sqrt{\frac{Ca^3}{Mo}}, \quad (3)$$

based on $\delta_f^g = \sqrt{\mu U/\rho g}$ which is the relevant length scale for the film entrained by an infinite vertical wall. Note that Re_f is related to the capillary number via Morton number $Mo = \mu^4 g/\rho \sigma^3$ (or, equivalently, the fluid property number) which depends only on the fluid properties at a given g .

In this context, by extending the LLD model to include inertia, De Ryck and Quéré (1998) suggested that deviations from the classical LLD limit could occur due to an increased momentum transfer from the plate at the dynamic meniscus. Experimental data of Kizito et al. (1999, in figure 3) indicates that deviations from the LLD law, to either larger or smaller film thicknesses, are attained at different capillary numbers depending only on the fluid property number, or Morton number Mo . While the measured film thickness for various viscous fluids plateaued out at approximately $0.7\delta_f^{(g)}$ beyond a Weber number $Re_f Ca = We_f \approx 0.2$, it cannot be concluded from their data corresponding to less viscous liquids ($Mo \ll 1$) that this will be the case when $Re_f \gg 1$ since the maximum Reynolds number in their experiments was only about 20.

Similarly, Jin et al. (2005) illustrated, using $2D$ creeping flow equations ($Re_f = 0$) for the dip-coating problem, that the film flow rate per unit width attains a constant value of about $0.58\delta_f^{(g)}U$ when $Ca \gg 1$. They also attempted to investigate the role of inertia by varying the capillary number Ca at different Morton numbers $Mo = \{1, 10^{-2}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-6}\}$. They observed that the liquid flux dragged-out of a pool by a flat-plate is correctly predicted by the LLD scaling (1), independent of Mo , until it reaches a maximum value which occurs at some characteristic capillary number depending only on the Morton number Mo . In particular, the entrained flow rate is smaller than $0.58\delta_f^{(g)}U$ corresponding to their results for $Ca \gg 1$ and $Re_f = 0$ (or $Mo = \infty$). However, their numerical procedure failed beyond a critical value of capillary number at various Morton numbers Mo . Note that the maximum Reynolds number Re_f attained in the $2D$ simulations of Jin et al. (2005) was 32 ($Mo = 10^{-6}$) and that they, like a good number of

¹Note that the higher-order terms in capillary number are first developed by Wilson (1982) using a matched-asymptotic technique.




Figure 6: Some common liquid entrainment configurations : (a) The classical *Landau-Levich-Deryagin* (LLD) dip-coating flow. (b) Tallmadge (1971)'s variant of LLD flow which Tharmalingam and Wilkinson (1978) used to model, as schematized in (c), the coating flow on a partially-submerged rotating drum at different immersion heights; Rubashkin (1967), Groenveld (1970a) & Middleman (1978) also used this rotating cylinder set-up to explore the large capillary number limit. (d)–(e) *Moffatt-Pukhnachev-Yih* (MPY) *external*, or *internal*, rimming flows, respectively. (f) Other examples of rotary entrainment in liquid film coating processes (for more, refer to Schweizer and Kistler (2012)). Relevant non-dimensional numbers in several previous experiments and computations are given in Table 2.

other works (Campanella and Cerro, 1984, Evans et al., 2005, Filali et al., 2013, Kizito et al., 1999, Middleman, 1978), suggest the formation of *cusped* menisci and *wavy* free-surface structures on the liquid pool as Re_f increases.

Other works on liquid entrainment by a moving flat plate, or a fiber, in relation to LLD scaling considered the role of non-Newtonian rheology (De Ryck and Quéré, 1998), wettability (Snoeijer et al., 2006, 2008), surfactants (Campana et al., 2010, Krechetnikov and Homsy, 2005, Mayer and Krechetnikov, 2012), adsorbed particles on fluid interfaces (Campana et al., 2011, Dixit and Homsy, 2013, Gans et al., 2019, Jung and Ahn, 2013, Palma and Lhuissier, 2019), textured flat plates (Nasto et al., 2018, Seiwert et al., 2011) and also, the existence of non-unique solutions for the LLD problem (Benilov et al., 2010, Weinstein and Ruschak, 2001).

1.2 Rotary entrainment, an inclined-LLD flow?

Rubashkin (1967) & Middleman (1978) investigated the relevance of the LLD scaling (1) for the case of a partially-immersed rotating cylinder. Using horizontal cylinders of radius $R = 2.5 - 4$ cm and about 10 to 20 cm long in a viscous oil bath, they reported, as others before, that the film thickness attains the limiting value of about $0.56\sqrt{\mu U/\rho g}$ as the capillary number is increased. They also noted, however briefly, that increasing the rotational speed of the cylinder destroys the *dynamic meniscus*. Tharmalingam and Wilkinson (1978) provided further film thickness measure-

ments for a wide variety of liquids as a function of the drum’s rotational speed (Ω) at various cylinder immersion heights (h) under laminar flow conditions. In all these cases, there was no account of liquid sheets standing out of the liquid pool along the emerging side of the rotating cylinder similar to what is seen in photographs provided here (see figures 4 – 5). This is probably due to the small working Reynolds number Re_f , or strong confinement effects.

These authors attempted to account for the effect of immersion height on the liquid flux entrained by a rotating drum by modeling the latter as an analogous *Landau-Levich-Deryagin dip-coating flow* over an inclined flat plate (see also, Jin et al. (2005), Tallmadge (1971), Wilson (1982)). In particular, as depicted in figure 6b-c, if α is the angle between the inclined plate which is tangent to the partially immersed cylindrical drum at its line of contact with the liquid bath at rest and the horizontal line, they showed that the non-dimensional film thickness $T_0 = \delta_f \sqrt{\rho g \sin \alpha} / \mu U$ just after the *dynamic meniscus* between the cylinder and the liquid reservoir is given by

$$\frac{T_0}{(1 - T_0^2)^{2/3}} \sim 0.94 Ca^{1/6} \left(\frac{\sin \alpha}{1 - \cos \alpha} \right). \quad (4)$$

With the advent of numerical techniques, earlier theoretical efforts (such as Soroka and Tallmadge (1971) & Tharmalingam and Wilkinson (1978)) on the lubrication equations wherein inertial effects could be included via 1D film flow modeling and *Oseen-like* corrections were later complemented by fully non-linear, but 2D film flow, simulations (Campanella and Cerro, 1984, Cerro and Scriven, 1980, Hasan and Naser, 2009, Nigam and Esmail, 1980). Along with Tharmalingam and Wilkinson (1978), these authors reported that the modified-LLD scaling for an inclined plate, as in (4), holds for a good range of small capillary numbers. They also noted that, by including inertial effects in the *inclined-plate LLD law*, a better match was obtained with experiments when the film Reynolds number is of $\mathcal{O}(1)$.

1.3 Rotary entrainment in roll coating and rimming flows

A related problem is that of the steady and unsteady motion of a thin liquid film on the outside of a freely-rotating cylinder, or on the inside of a hollow rotating cylinder (as in figures 6d-e). They are known as *external*, or *internal*, rimming flows, respectively². In the context of pattern formation, a large body of work exists for such thin film flows since rimming flows are prone to both azimuthal and axial non-uniformities (see Evans et al. (2005), Seiden and Thomas (2011) and references therein). Even though flow patterns in *internal* rimming flows (Kovac and Balmer, 1980, Thoroddsen and Mahadevan, 1997) seem to be relevant to the present study, the liquid puddle confinement is very strong in these flows. In the case of *external* rimming flows, the rotating cylinder is not in continuous contact with the liquid puddle and therefore, the liquid mass entrained by the former is not constantly renewed. These differences might strongly alter the flow patterns such as the liquid sheet height and the resulting liquid entrainment process when the Reynolds number Re_f is sufficiently large. In this context, questions regarding the relevant length and time scales of the liquid sheet, the entrained flux are yet not well-known.

Note that a very large panel of coating flows involving rollers (Schweizer and Kistler, 2012, part 3) also fall under the category of rotary entrainment. In these cases the gap between the rollers is often very small (about one-tenth of the roller radius or smaller) and the cylinder length-to-radius ratio is large. Therefore, as proposed first by G. I. Taylor, the flow field is almost always divided into two regions (Schweizer and Kistler, 2012, see chapter 12) : *2D* flow in the liquid meniscus, or the flim-splitting region (figures 6f), and a thin film flow far away from the meniscus. In fact, these flows can also exhibit azimuthal film thickness variations (Ruschak and Scriven, 1976), axial patterns (Coyle et al., 1990, Pitts and Greiller, 1961) and even *misting*, or meniscus break-up and atomization, at high-speeds (Owens et al., 2011). Unlike what is common in roller coaters, in the case we study here there is hardly any confinement on the emerging side of the disc so that the

²These flows are also referred to as *Moffatt-Pukhnachev-Yih* (MPY) flow (Moffatt, 1977, Pukhnachev, 1977, Yih, 1960)

meniscus, or the liquid sheet, is not constrained by another disc. In addition, a long cylinder can lead to multiple liquid sheets when $Re_f \gg 1$, as already shown in figures 4 and 5.

Previous experimental and computational investigations on rotary entrainment often restricted the working Reynolds number Re_f based on coating thickness to small (or at best, moderate) values. When Re_f was large, the meniscus was often strongly constrained as for example in roller coaters. An interested reader is referred to Table 2, for more information on relevant dimensionless numbers in several earlier experimental and numerical investigations. Since both numerical and theoretical modeling of this fully three-dimensional, multi-phase and unsteady fluid flow is extremely difficult for the inertial rotary entrainment of liquids out of pool, we take a phenomenological approach in order to propose physical reasoning for the scaling laws observed in our experiment. In this regard, we focus in the remainder of this paper on the liquid sheet formed in front of a rotating disc of finite width at $h/R < 1$, and on the related entrained liquid flux at large Re_f up to 10^3 .

2 Experimental set-up

As illustrated in figure 1, the experimental set-up consists of a large plexiglass tank ($40 \times 41.5 \times 100$ cm³) containing the working liquid. An asynchronous motor is used along with an AC Inverter Drive (Parker AC10) to rotate rigid PVC discs of radii $R = 10$ cm, 13.5 cm and 21 cm and width 4.5 cm. Qualitative results for a thicker disc (13 cm) were also presented in the introduction but experimental data in the following sections concern only these discs of thickness 4.5 cm. The angular velocity can be varied between 20 and 350 *rpm*. The disc is partly submerged in the liquid bath and the liquid level h , as depicted in figures 1 and 6c, is properly verified before each run. The position of the wheel's axis cannot be changed in the present set-up and so various immersion depths h in the range 0.05 – 0.8 times the disc radius R are obtained by adjusting the volume of liquid in the aquarium.

Water and mixtures of Water/UCONTM oil were chosen for the experiments (table 1). The viscosity of these mixtures can be controlled readily, and they are Newtonian. Note that temperature of the set-up was never imposed externally. The ambient temperature was relatively constant, and close to $23 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ during the experiments. Table 1 presents physical properties of the different liquids used in the experiments. Liquid density was measured using hydrometers whereas viscosity was obtained using a falling-sphere viscometer and by suitably taking into account the Reynolds numbers corrections for the viscous drag on the spheres (Brown and Lawler, 2003). No surfactants were added to the working liquid in the present study. Surface tension measurements were performed using the pendant drop method with a tensiometer *Attension Theta Flex (Biolin Scientific AB)*. Note that, for our water samples, the measured surface tension is comparable with the value for bubble-treated surface-clean water samples (Scott, 1975). This relatively high value was obtained by several independent measurements using our tensiometer.

At first, the required wheel immersion depth is reached by controlling the water level while the wheel is at rest. Then, for a given liquid a typical series of experiments is performed by running the disc at a some specific rotation rate (rpm) as indicated by a tachometer. After a few seconds, the resulting average liquid sheet height (H_m) and average film flow rate (Q_f) are measured. Then the angular velocity is increased to repeat measurements. Visualizations are carried out with the help of a LED panel (59.5 cm \times 59.5 cm) placed behind the liquid reservoir. Images are acquired with a Sony $\alpha 7$ camera (CMOS Sony Exmor). The following sections describe in detail both the measurement techniques and the corresponding results obtained using this experimental set-up.

3 Experimental results

3.1 Inertial menisci – a liquid sheet

The series of photographs in figures (7) – (8) depicts the evolution of the emerging liquid sheet as the number of rotations per minute (rpm) is increased, for a wheel radius of 21 cm and a water




Figure 7: Instantaneous side view of the inertia-driven liquid menisci on a partially-immersed rotating disc in water ($R = 21$ cm, $h/R = 0.2$). Linear velocities $U = \Omega R$ corresponding to these conditions vary between 0.88 m s $^{-1}$ and 4.29 m s $^{-1}$. Displayed scales represent the half-radius of the disc 11.5 cm.

level of $h = 0.2R$. As seen in the photographs of figure 7, the water level is almost constant across the lateral side of the wheel except close to the wheel’s rim, at the bottom of the liquid sheet where the water level rises slightly. At the lowest of the speeds shown here in the photographs (40 rpm, or $U = 0.88$ m s $^{-1}$, corresponding to $Re_f = \rho U \delta_f^g / \mu \approx 270$), a small quasi-static meniscus appears at the contact between the wheel and the stagnant water far away from the wheel. A close-up shows that even at this stage the flow on the disc’s rim is distinct from the classical 2D LLD flow. Firstly, we can observe a small dimple at the location where the meniscus emerges from the liquid bath which is reminiscent of the *cusped* meniscus already observed for dip-coating flows in previous experimental (Kizito et al., 1999) and numerical investigations (Filali et al., 2013, Jin et al., 2005). Secondly, it is no longer a smooth two-dimensional (or axisymmetric) structure, as is the case for LLD films on flat plates (or fibres), but a closer inspection shows spatial variations in the sheet thickness and the presence of one or two liquid rims. A further increase in wheel speed leads to the formation of a liquid sheet with a single liquid rim. At higher speeds, the sheet size increases dramatically, and the rim presents fluctuations. Holes form within the sheet, and their rupture results in drop formation (see figure 8 and supplementary video 2)

The influence of the immersion depth h of the wheel is illustrated in figures 9 & 10. In the former, the first four photographs (top and middle) compare instantaneous side views of the water sheet over a rotating disc of same radius ($R = 21$ cm) and approximately same rotation speeds but with different liquid depths, namely, $h/R = 0.4$ & $h/R = 0.6$. Firstly, it is clearly visible that the water sheet climbs as high as the wheel at about 215 rpm, or even higher at faster rotation rates. Secondly, the water depth h does not seem to influence very much the water sheet height. A careful observation indicates that the water sheet is thicker at its base, just at the emerging side of the wheel’s rim, and thereafter the sheet thins out. Observing the last of the two images in figure 9, it is inferred that the effect of water depth on the sheet height is not negligible for the




Figure 8: Visualization of the liquid sheet entrained by the wheel, for $R = 21$ cm, $h = 1$ cm and $U = 5.21$ m/s: holes form regularly on the water sheet and disintegrate it, leading to the formation of droplets.

case of $h/R = 0.8$ as compared to smaller depths. Moreover, instead of a thin liquid sheet a very thick mass of liquid is ejected in front of the wheel and also, a relatively thicker layer of water is entrained on top the wheel. Front views of these configurations, as presented in figure 10 at smaller speeds, also illustrate these observations on the sheet height and sheet thickness.

The same phenomenology, with a few exceptions, is observed when a more viscous liquid is used (figure 11). Here, the liquid used is a Water-UCONTM oil mixture *WU3* (see Table 1 for physical properties). Already at $U = 0.42$ m s⁻¹ ($Re_f \approx 10$ and $Ca \approx 0.7$), a three dimensional quasi-static meniscus appears. In addition, pendant drops are also seen to descend along the rim of the rotating disc (see supplementary video 3). This film flow is similar to that observed by Evans et al. (2004, 2005) in their numerical simulations of *external* rimming flows, or the classical *Moffatt-Pukhnachev-Yih* (MPY) flow, at low speeds. No trace of descending pendant drops is seen at larger speeds but a quasi-steady liquid sheet emerging from the liquid bath is clearly visible. These images suggest that even in this highly viscous liquid, a lubrication approximation for the related flow may be irrelevant. At speeds up to 1.85 m s⁻¹ ($Re_f \approx 90$), a fine stable liquid sheet which terminates with a thick rim flow is observed. From these images of Water-UCONTM oil mixtures (*WU3*), it is evident that the liquid rim is a result of a capillary recession, as in a Savart sheet (Savart, 1833, Villermaux et al., 2013). This recession is known to occur at the Taylor-Culick velocity of $v_c = \sqrt{\sigma/\rho\delta_s}$ where δ_s is the local liquid sheet thickness (Culick, 1960, Savva and Bush, 2009, Taylor, 1959). As the speed is further increased, the rim of the sheet shows strong corrugations (see also, figure 3). Numbers are indicated for several images in figure 7 (for water) and figure 11 (for UCONTM). They correspond to identical rotation rates for these series of experiments, and are provided to help the reader compare the height of the liquid sheet for different fluids. Such a comparison illustrates that the sheet height H_m measured from the surface of the pool is about 3 to 5 times larger for the case of the most viscous liquid (*WU3*) than for the water case, at a given disc speed U .

3.1.1 Time-averaged sheet height

We have carried out measurements of the mean *maximum* liquid sheet height H_m above the surface of the pool, measured by visualization over a duration of 10s. As seen in figures 1 – 2 (and also, 7 & 11), H_m is not necessarily measured at the point where the liquid sheet meets the wheel. These results are presented in figure 12 wherein the error bars correspond to minimum/maximum




Figure 9: Instantaneous side view of the inertia-driven liquid menisci on a partially-immersed rotating disc in water ($R = 21$ cm) at various immersion depth h/R . Linear velocities $U = \Omega R$ corresponding to these conditions vary between 4.68 m s^{-1} and 5.23 m s^{-1} . The bottom two images compare the sheet height and the related liquid entrainment at the same speed but at different times.




Figure 10: Instantaneous front view of the inertia-driven liquid menisci on a partially-immersed rotating disc in water ($R = 21$ cm) at various immersion depths h/R but for the same speed $U = 3.12$ m s^{-1} . A sheet as thick as the wheel width is obtained for the case of $h/R = 0.8$.




Figure 11: Instantaneous side view of the inertia-driven liquid menisci on a partially-immersed rotating disc ($R = 21$ cm, $h/R = 0.2$) in a viscous liquid ($\mu = 82$ mPa s), a mixture of UCONTM oil and water. Linear velocities $U = \Omega R$ corresponding to these conditions vary between 0.42 m s⁻¹ and 2.51 m s⁻¹. For a video see supplementary video 4 to have closer look at the sheet, the rim and parabolic trajectories of entrained small bubbles inside the sheet when the wheel is rotating at 65 rpm.




Figure 12: Average liquid sheet height H_m (in meters) with respect to the horizontal liquid level in the tank as shown in figure 1 – 2. (a) H_m Vs rotational speed $U = R\Omega$ for various disc radii $R = 10$ (blue), 13.5 (red), 21 (black) cm and immersion depth to radius ratio h/R . Data correspond to the experiments with both pure water (open symbols) and various UCONTM/Water mixtures ($R = 21$ cm, filled symbols). Dash-dot line correspond to radius of the wheel. (b) Same data rescaled with respect to two different Froude number scaling. Dashed lines represent $H_m = U^2/2g$ (or simply, $H_m/R = Fr^2$). Note that the error bars indicate the amplitude of the fluctuations in the instantaneous value of sheet height.

of the sheet height during the measurement window. Figure 12(a) presents these measurements as a function of the rotation speed U for three disc radii and several depths h for all working liquids. Here, filled symbols correspond to viscous UCONTM/Water mixtures and open symbols correspond to *pure* water.

Consider now all data when the working liquid is water. The sheet height H_m increases monotonically with velocity. In figure 12(a), each symbol corresponds to a different depth of immersion h of the rotating wheel whereas colours of open symbols denote wheel radius R . We observe that the depth-to-radius ratio h/R and the wheel radius R seem to have little influence on the average height of the liquid sheet H_m , except for the cases at larger velocities. Especially for velocities about 3 m s^{-1} and higher, the role of wheel radius is not negligible. We attribute this effect to the wheel’s finite-size effect arising from the fact that here $h + H_m$ is $\mathcal{O}(R)$, or greater. So, it is expected that a smaller wheel (larger curvature) will lead to a smaller sheet for a given linear velocity U . In addition, this effect seems to be much more significant when $h/R = 0.8$ (denoted by \diamond) at all wheel radii and also, when $h/R = 0.6$ (denoted by \triangleleft) for the smallest of the wheels. As already discussed in the previous section in relation to figure 10, the effect of the wheel immersion h is to increase the sheet thickness. In particular, when $h/R = 0.8$ the sheet thickness is as large as the wheel’s width and so, it is distinctly different from the cases when h/R is smaller. Furthermore, as the velocity is further increased, the sheet exhibits large fluctuations and strong fragmentation which is readily evident from increasing length of the errorbars in figure 12(a). At much higher velocities, the top of the liquid sheet stretches even beyond the top of the rotating disc (see figures 2 and 9 for example).

Filled symbols in figure 12(a) denote data for liquids with different mass fractions of UCONTM oil in water. The time-averaged liquid sheet height H_m exhibits a similar trend with speed U , as for the cases of *pure* water. In addition, H_m depends on the viscosity of water and UCONTM oil mixture of varying viscosity ($\mu = 5.5, 11$ and $82 \times 10^{-3} \text{ Pa.s}$). By comparing with the case of water (open symbols), it can be concluded that a strong increase in sheet height H_m occurs when viscosity is increased. Besides, for the highest viscosity liquid, the sheet height seems to increase linearly with the wheel speed.




Figure 13: Schematic and photographs showing the wedge used for the calibration of liquid sheet thickness. Two wedges with different lengths and widths were used : (1) $L = 12.5$ cm & $W = 4.5$ cm ; (2) $L = 14$ cm & $W = 1$ cm. Minimum measurable thickness is 2mm.

A simple picture to describe the formation of the liquid sheet can be obtained by considering the motion of liquid particles as *ballistic* when they are dragged out of the bath by the rotating drum at a velocity proportional to the wheel speed $U = \Omega R$. The fluid particles then follow a *free-flight* trajectory in the liquid sheet and attain a height $H_m \propto U^2/2g$. Irrespective of the working liquid, figure 12(b) illustrates that H_m is indeed proportional to $U^2/2g$ when all data from figure 12(a) are non-dimensionalized in terms of H_m/R versus Froude number $Fr = U/\sqrt{2gR}$ based on the disc radius. This suggests that the simple *ballistic* model captures the overall trend and provides a first approximation for the time-averaged inertial sheet height.

Nonetheless, data in Figure 12(b) suggests that $H_m/R \sim Fr$ for all liquids used in our experiments with an $\mathcal{O}(1)$ factor that depends on the liquid viscosity. In fact, a closer look at the data makes it clear that H_m is significantly smaller than this ballistic height as $2gH_m/U^2 \sim 0.3$, for the case of water. In addition, this ratio seems to decrease at larger speeds. Firstly, the fluid elements which quit the rim before ending up in the sheet do not all have the same momentum and so, the corresponding fluid element may only reach a smaller fraction of the ballistic height $U^2/2g$. Secondly, capillary recession is expected to truncate the liquid sheet when it stretches and thins out, as evidenced by the formation of the liquid rim bordering the liquid sheet on its outer perimeter. Therefore, increasing viscosity is expected to delay this capillary recession, and lead to larger sheets, in accordance with the observed trend.

3.1.2 Time-averaged liquid sheet thickness

In order to better understand the effect of viscosity on the retardation of the Taylor-Culick cut-off and thereby, explain the larger heights observed when viscosity is increased, further information on the inertial liquid sheet thickness is obtained experimentally. A standard technique is to mix the working liquid with a small amount of fine titanium oxide particles (Ti O_2) whereby the liquid opacity increases. For calibration, small wedge-shaped plexiglass tanks are used (figure 13). When these tanks are filled with opaque liquid/ Ti O_2 mixtures and illuminated with the back light LED panel, the intensity of the light passing through the tank varies depending on the local width. This technique is used to properly calibrate the relationship between liquid thickness and the light intensity received by the camera. It is then possible to deduce the local thickness of the sheet for various wheel immersion depths and rotational speeds. The profiles and contour plots of the *mean* sheet thickness δ_s are deduced by averaging over 1500 images acquired during 30 seconds each and then applying this calibration. Data for these time-averaged sheet thickness profiles are




Figure 14: Liquid sheet thickness (δ_s) at different immersion depth to radius ratio h/R and rotational speeds for water. All data correspond to a wheel radius of 21 cm. Maximum measurable thickness is 4.5 cm (width of the drum), minimum measurable thickness is 2 mm.

depicted in figures 14 and 15. Note that the maximum and the minimum measurable thicknesses are about 4.5 cm and 2 mm, respectively.

For both $h/R = 0.2$ and $h/R = 0.4$, the contour plots in figure 14 illustrate that the sheet thickness δ_s is not constant. It is thicker than the wheel's rim at its base where fluid elements are ejected with a kinetic energy proportional to $U^2/2$, and it rapidly thins out to attain a critical thickness at which capillary recession occurs. This recession then leads to the formation of a thick liquid rim, clearly visible on the thickness profiles. As mentioned previously, this recession leads to sheet truncation at a location where the local fluid velocity is smaller than the Taylor-Culick velocity of $v_c = \sqrt{\sigma/\rho\delta_s}$ (Culick, 1960, Savva and Bush, 2009, Taylor, 1959). Thus, for a given water depth, the critical sheet thickness where the sheet forms a thick rim should decrease with increasing rotational speed. This is indeed observed in figure 15(a) wherein δ_s attains a minimum of about 2 mm and 4 mm, respectively, as the rotation rate increases from 115 to 160 rpm. On the other hand, at a given rotation rate 160 rpm for both $h/R = 0.2$ and $h/R = 0.4$, figure 15(b) indicates that δ_s attains a minimum of about the same value 4 mm. So, the depth-to-radius ratio h/R only weakly influences this critical sheet thickness where capillary recession leads to sheet truncation. Figure 15(c) compares the measured thickness for both Water and UCONTM/Water mixture at a $h/R = 0.2$ and a wheel speed of 115 rpm. In comparison, the liquid sheet width decreases in a very similar trend for both cases until the point where a liquid rim is formed for the case of water at about $s = 7.5$ cm and $\delta_s \approx 1.5$ cm whereas the formation of a liquid rim occurs much later for the UCONTM/Water mixture. In the latter case, the critical sheet thickness δ_s where recession truncates the sheet is about 0.3 cm. This suggests that, compared to the case of water, capillary recession is delayed for the more viscous UCONTM/Water mixture.

Finally, it is pointed out that the contour plots in figure 14 for the case of $h/R = 0.8$ present a




Figure 15: Sheet thickness δ_s as a function of the distance s traveled along a ballistic trajectory by a hypothetical fluid element that is ejected with an initial velocity equal to $U = R\Omega$ at the emerging side of the wheel ($R = 21\text{cm}$). Here, $s = 0$ is at the line of contact between the disc and the horizontal liquid surface.

distinct phenomenon. They show that the thickness of the sheet is at least as large as the wheel width for all velocities presented here and it is so almost all over the sheet. Also, no characteristic liquid rim is observed when $h/R = 0.8$. This trend is also visible in figure 15(b) where the liquid sheet thickness (filled, blue diamonds) remains thicker than 4.5 cm for a good distance away from the wheel and then the sheet suddenly disintegrates without a rim.

3.2 Entrained liquid film

As mentioned in the introductory section, the inertial entrainment produced by a rotating wheel does not only eject a thin liquid sheet, but also drags out a liquid film on the wheel rim. We discuss in the following the flow rate entrained in this liquid film. While it is conventional in the LLD approach to characterize the film thickness δ_f , a global flow rate measurement is privileged in this study over film thickness measurements for practical reasons. At the same time, this provides a interesting measurement of the “efficiency” of the overall inertial entrainment flux.

Most of the film flow rate measurements were done using a simple *scraping* technique which consists in applying the sharp edge of a flexible, transparent plastic sheet on the declining side of the wheel rim and thereby scraping the film flow out of the rim. The former is then collected into a large receptacle, including droplets ejected from the film itself for larger rotation speeds. Since disc speed U can show large variations when one scrapes-off the lubrication film, special care was taken to avoid such variations by systematically monitoring the tachometer over the time interval during which the liquid is allowed to flow into the receptacle. This technique showed very good repeatability. It is also possible to use a *local* measurement technique which measures the film thickness at a given location on the cylinder, as is common at low Reynolds number entrainment flows. However, due to the large Reynolds numbers at the scale of the liquid film, a series of *local* film thickness measurements using *Chromatic Confocal Imaging* showed strong spatio-temporal fluctuations of the film thickness at any given point on the rim. In fact, the *scraping* technique is found to be more robust compared to the *local* measurement technique as it directly provides a spatio-temporal average of the film flow rate, during a fixed time interval. This time interval was of 40 seconds for the lowest wheel velocities, but had to be reduced down to 10 seconds for larger velocities, in order to ensure that the volume of the removed sample does not exceed 2 liters, and that the liquid level in the tank remains constant during the measurement.

Figure 16 depicts the variations of the time-averaged film-flow rate as a function of velocity, measured for the three discs of radius $R = 10\text{ cm}$, 13.5 cm and 21 cm over at least seven different immersion heights in water. Data in figure 16 are averaged over different experimental trials for which the rotational speeds differ by at most 5%. The error bars in this figure represent the




Figure 16: Time-averaged water flow rate \dot{Q}_f in the entrained liquid film on the rim of the rotating disc. Dashed blue and red lines represent estimations using 6, as provided by Tharmalingam and Wilkinson (1978), for respectively the smallest and the largest depth ($h/R = 0.05$ & $h/R = 0.8$). For the sake of clarity, error bars are given only for one set of data per wheel.




Figure 17: Impact of viscosity on the entrained flow rate at a fixed disc radius ($R = 21$ cm).

standard deviation of measurements in such samples of trials. For the sake of clarity, figure 16 presents these error bars only for a few cases. It shows that the entrained flow rate increases monotonically with the disc speed $U = R\Omega$ and also with the depth h . Note that all data seem to be relatively independent of the water height h/R up to some critical azimuthal speed U . After this limit, figure 16 clearly indicates that the entrained water flow rate is strongly influenced by the immersion height h . For example, the film-flow rate at $h/R = 0.8$ is three times larger than that at $h/R = 0.05$ for discs $R = 10$ cm & 13.5 cm. And it gets as large as eight-folds when $R = 21$ cm.

It is well-known in dip-coating flows that confinement can play an important role (Kim and Nam, 2017) if the meniscus, or the liquid sheet, at the emerging side of the wheel's rim is confined. However, we observe no major effect of confinement in our experiments. We checked this (i) by adding a plexiglass floor below the wheel, which reduces the distance between wheel and bottom wall from 12.5 cm down to 3 mm (figure 16b) and (ii) reversing the wheel's direction of rotation (the distance between the wheel and the wall goes from 39 cm to 15 cm, as in figure 16c). In both cases, we could verify that this had no measurable impact on the entrained flow rate.

The role of the working liquid is explored using the same various water-UCONTM mixtures as in the previous section. Figure 17 illustrates that, as expected, increasing the liquid viscosity increases the flow rate. Thus, when the liquid viscosity is increased about 100-fold, an increase in the liquid entrainment by an order of magnitude is observed. The effect of liquid depth observed for water is also observed for these liquids and, more importantly, figure 17 suggests that the corresponding critical velocity depends on the working liquid.

3.2.1 Comparison with 2D creeping flow models

It is conventional in film coating flows to study the entrained flow by monitoring the film thickness δ_f as a function of the capillary number $Ca = \mu U / \sigma$. In the creeping flow regime ($Re \ll 1$), the




Figure 18: Rescaled data from figure 17. Here, \dot{Q}_G and \dot{Q}_{LLD} are obtained from the expression (5) when the film thickness δ_f is taken as $\delta_f^{(g)}$ (2) and δ_f^{LLD} (1), respectively.

entrained mass flow rate is then given by

$$\dot{Q}_f = \rho U \delta_f w \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\delta_f}{\delta_f^g} \right)^2 \right], \quad (5)$$

where w is the rim thickness (Deryaguin and Levi, 1964, Groenveld, 1970a). Note that the film flow thickness δ_f , at sufficiently small Ca , should correspond to the expression (1) associated with the classical *Landau-Levich-Deryagin* dip-coating flow. However, when $Ca \gg 1$, previous observations (Kizito et al., 1999, Ruschak, 1985) report that the observed mass flow rate in the entrained film is obtained from the above expression if the film thickness δ_f is taken as in the expression (2). This corresponds to the *viscosity-gravity driven* dip-coating flow and in this case, $\dot{Q}_f = 2/3 \times \rho U w \delta_f^g$. More recently, Jin et al. (2005) suggested, via numerical simulations of creeping flow ($Re \ll 1$) at sufficiently large capillary numbers, that the right expression for the flow rate with $\delta_f = \delta_f^g$ should contain a different pre-factor 0.58 instead of 2/3. In order to compare our experimental data with these results, we render the experimental flow rates of figure 17 dimensionless with \dot{Q}_{LLD} and \dot{Q}_G : \dot{Q}_{LLD} is obtained from expression (5) when the film thickness is taken to be δ_f^{LLD} (1), whereas \dot{Q}_G is obtained from the same expression (5) but with a film thickness of δ_f^g (2) so that $\dot{Q}_G = 0.58 \times \rho U w \delta_f^g$. Figure 18 indicates that both \dot{Q}_{LLD} and \dot{Q}_G capture the correct order of magnitude of the entrained mass flow rate, which, as inferred from figure 17, varies over more than two orders of magnitude. The main dispersion observed in the rescaled experimental data is due to depth-to-radius ratio h/R . In addition, figures 18 suggest that this dispersion kicks-in at some capillary number which depends on the working liquid's Morton number. Finally, below this characteristic capillary number, the ratio \dot{Q}_f/\dot{Q}_{LLD} is approximately equal to unity for all liquids while the ratio \dot{Q}_f/\dot{Q}_G significantly departs from unity for experiments in water.

In fact, it is already known in coating flows that a transition from the LLD law to an inertia-dominated regime happens when the capillary pressure at the base of the liquid film is no longer large compared to the wall-imposed dynamic pressure ρU^2 . This is precisely the case as the Weber number becomes sufficiently large. Note that two Weber numbers can be defined, depending on the length scale chosen, namely, We_f based on the drainage length scale $\delta_f^{(g)} = l_c Ca^{1/2}$ (Kizito




Figure 19: Rescaled data from figures 16 & 17 as a function of Weber number $We_f = \rho U^2 \delta_f^{(g)}/\sigma$ (left) and $We_\lambda = \rho U^2 \lambda/\sigma$ (right) based on the LLD dynamic meniscus length $\lambda = 0.65l_c Ca^{1/3}$, where $l_c = \sqrt{\sigma/\rho g}$ is the capillary length. Here, open symbols with + (red online) and \times (blue online) represent experimental results for $R = 10$ cm and $R = 13.5$ cm, respectively.

et al., 1999) and We_λ based on the quasi-static *LLD meniscus* length $\lambda \simeq 0.65l_c Ca^{1/3}$ (De Ryck and Qu er e, 1996). We further investigate how the liquid depth modifies the film thickness by plotting all the data from figures 16 & 17 as a function of these Weber numbers in figure 19.

There is a relatively good collapse of data for Weber numbers smaller than 10, in particular when these data are plotted as a function of We_λ . In addition, the effect of wheel depth h which kicks in at strikingly different capillary numbers in figure 18 (ranging from 10^{-2} to 1) appears above a single threshold Weber number of about 10, both for We_λ and We_f . To the authors' knowledge, this is the first ever demonstration of the existence of such a characteristic Weber number above which a transition occurs from 2D creeping flow to an inertial regime for rotary film entrainment. Beyond this Weber number the film thickness is either under-estimated or over-estimated by both the LLD dip-coating law and drainage film length, depending essentially on the ratio h/R .

Note that the rotary flow entrainment problem in our case is particularly distinct from that of classical coating flow processes. Here, instead of a dynamic LLD meniscus at the base of the liquid film flow, a large, corrugated liquid sheet is present due to inertial ejection of the liquid at the emerging side of the disc's rim. As already illustrated in section 3.1, the latter presents a three-dimensional flow structure and its height is proportional to the square of the Froude number. Moreover, the range of Reynolds numbers $Re_f = \rho U_f \delta_f^g/\mu$ in the film flow varies between $\mathcal{O}(1)$ to $\mathcal{O}(10^3)$. Therefore, it is quite unexpected that a good agreement with 2D creeping flow analyses is retrieved here for the entrained flux on a rotating disc, as long as $We_\lambda < 10$. In addition, this model provides a satisfactory order of magnitude for the film flow rate even when $We_\lambda > 10$.

3.2.2 Impact of depth on entrained flow rate when $We_\lambda > 10$

Figures 16 (a)–(c) & 17 suggest that beyond a critical speed the role of depth must be accounted for. In this context, Tallmadge (1971) and Tharmalingam and Wilkinson (1978) suggested a suitably modified version of the dip-coating flow (see schematic 6c). In fact, via lubrication approximation along with LLD-type asymptotic analysis, these authors previously predicted that the average

mass flow rate should be

$$\dot{Q}_{TT} = \rho U \delta_f w \left[1 - \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\delta_f}{\delta_f^g} \right)^2 \sin \alpha \right], \quad (6)$$

where δ_f is computed from expression (4) and α is the angle between the tangent to the partially immersed cylindrical drum at its line of contact with the liquid bath at rest and the horizontal line. When the measured data is compared with the estimates from this model (dashed blue and red lines in figures 16), a reasonable qualitative agreement is observed, showing a strong relative increase in the flow rate with increasing depth. This arises from the fact that the model accounts for the squeezing of the meniscus occurring at large α , or low depths, and therefore predicts a smaller flow rate than that of equation (1) corresponding to the drag-out problem of a vertical plate ($\alpha = 90^\circ$). Nevertheless the model largely underestimates the entrained flow rate. Also, \dot{Q}_{TT} depends on h/R irrespective of the wheel speed U while figures 16(a)-(c) and 19 suggests that, for all $We_\lambda < 10$, the entrained flux is well predicted by the classical 2D creeping flow models corresponding to the vertical drag-out problem. Therefore, the observed influence of depth h when inertia dominates both surface tension and viscous forces (i.e., at large Re_f and We_λ) cannot be explained by simply accounting for the angle between the emerging side of the disc and the horizontal pool level in the *LLD* flows.

A first possible explanation can be found in the observation that there exists a thin liquid film which is dragged out of the liquid bath by the disc's lateral walls and which could, in turn, contribute to the film flow on the disc's rim provided that centrifugal forces overcome gravity, which occurs for $Fr > 1$. This contribution is expected to increase when the depth is increased and a larger fraction of the lateral wall is consequently wet. In order to better quantify this contribution, two wiper blades were used to scrap-off the lateral film: the wiper blades were placed right next where the disc's lateral walls and the liquid bath meet. The results are shown in figure 20 for $R = 21$ cm at various water depth h/R (filled symbols) where they are also compared with data from figure 16(c). Here, it is evident that the water flow on the lateral wall modifies the entrained flow on the rim. Clearly, data from experiments with lateral scrapping for the case of $h/R = 0.2$ and $h/R = 0.4$ closely follow a unique curve given by \dot{Q}_{LLD} . Nonetheless, at water depths corresponding to $h/R = 0.6$ and 0.8 , the supplementary entrainment via lateral walls accounts only for about 10–20 % increase in film flow rate on the disc's rim. Furthermore, experimental data in figure 20 (right) strongly suggests that, for both $h/R = 0.6$ & $h/R = 0.8$, the entrained flow rate in the absence of contribution from the film flow along lateral walls increases as a power-law of We_λ , independent of h/R .

The physical mechanism for this observed entrained flux augmentation at depth-to-radius ratio $h/R > 0.4$ can be linked with the shape and dynamics of the liquid sheet on the emerging side of the wheel. As illustrated via photographs 9 and time-averaged sheet thickness measurements 14 in section 3.1, when the water depth is sufficiently large, the whole liquid sheet is as thick as the wheel rim whereas the sheet thickness is negligibly small compared to the wheel width when $h/R \leq 0.4$. In the latter case, it is deduced that the liquid sheet does not influence the flow rate at all speeds, whatever the working Weber number We_λ , as depicted by the corresponding data in figure 20 (right) in the absence of any contribution from lateral wall entrainment. However, in the former case, i.e., when $h/R > 0.4$, the liquid sheet wets the entire thickness of rotating wheel: liquid is entrained from this liquid rim onto the wheel. Taking this action of the liquid sheet into account, it is possible to extend the classical Landau-Levich scaling to explain the liquid entrainment without lateral wall contributions.

In the classical entrainment problem, as illustrated by (Maleki et al., 2011), Landau-Levich asserted that the viscous driving force per unit volume $\mu U / \delta_f^2$ on a fluid element is balanced out by the restoring force per unit volume $(\sigma/l_c)/\lambda$ which is taken solely due to the pressure gradient arising from the Laplace pressure across the dynamic meniscus of length λ . Here, δ_f is the entrained film thickness and $l_c = \sqrt{\sigma/\rho g}$ is the capillary length. The missing length scale λ is then obtained by matching the curvature of the dynamic meniscus δ_f/λ^2 to the static curvature $1/l_c$. Thereby, it is possible to obtain $\delta_f^{(LLD)} \sim l_c Ca^{2/3}$ which is exactly the first-order approximation




Figure 20: Direct evidence for the contribution from liquid entrained via lateral walls (water, and wheel radius $R = 21$ c m). Open symbols represent the cases without lateral scrapping while closed symbols represent data from scrapped cases. Remarkably, data corresponding to the depth to radius ratio $h/R = 0.4$ (black, filled squares) follows the LLD-scaling when lateral entrainment is scrapped off. However, for $h/R = 0.6$ and 0.8 , lateral entrainment cannot completely explain the increase in mass flow rate.

to the Wilson formula in equation 1. However, the fact that the wheel rim is entirely covered by a *thick* liquid sheet from which the thin liquid film emanates is indeed very distinct from the Landau-Levich case wherein the liquid film emerges from a quasi-static liquid bath. Therefore, it is no longer appropriate to match the curvature of dynamic menisci δ_f/λ^2 with that of a static menisci $1/l_c$. Since the sheet height H_m depends only weakly on the wheel radius R , a simple dimensional analysis points out that the appropriate curvature before the dynamic menisci should be $g/U^2 \times f(h/R)$. By matching the curvature of dynamic menisci δ_f/λ^2 with this *inertial* menisci curvature, the film thickness δ_f^I is obtained as

$$\delta_f^I \sim l_c C a^{2/3} \left(\frac{U^2}{g l_c} \right)^{1/3} \zeta \left(\frac{h}{R} \right), \quad (7)$$

where $\zeta(h/R)$ is an arbitrary function of only the water depth to radius ratio. Thus, the corresponding entrained film flow rate is given by

$$\dot{Q}_f^I \sim \dot{Q}_f^{LLD} W e_\lambda^{1/3} C a^{-1/9} \zeta \left(\frac{h}{R} \right). \quad (8)$$

where only the first-order contribution of the thickness (1) in the expression (5) is taken. The modified LLD scaling (8) can be compared with the experimental data for the case when the liquid sheet occupies the entire rim i.e., when $h/R \geq 0.6$. As seen in figure 20 (right), despite many simplifying assumptions, the above scaling argument matches relatively well with the available data when the contribution from lateral entrainment is scrapped-off for both $h/R = 0.6$ & $h/R = 0.8$. Therefore, up to a first estimate, the observed entrainment in the inertial regime of a rotating wheel at $h/R \geq 0.6$ should arise from the presence of a thick liquid sheet.

4 Conclusion

The aim of the present work is to illustrate the dominant flow structures in relation to the rotary entrainment of a partially-submerged disc in the absence of any confinement at the point where

the disc emerges out of the liquid pool. In particular, we performed experiments in the range of very large Reynolds Re_f and Weber numbers $We = CaRe_f$ where the relevant Reynolds numbers is taken as $Re_f = \rho U \delta_f^g / \mu$ with the drainage length scale $\delta_f^g = \sqrt{\mu U / \rho g}$.

Experiments showed that a liquid sheet of finite height is present on the emerging side of the rotating disc when the rotational speed is sufficiently large ($U \gtrsim 0.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$). The time-averaged liquid sheet height H_m is shown to be proportional to the maximum ballistic height $U^2/2g$ attained by a fluid particle. It is independent of the immersion depth h/R , except for the case of $h/R \geq 0.6$ wherein combined immersion depth and the sheet height become larger than the wheel size $h + H_m > R$. In particular, when the immersion depth is small compared to the radius ($h/R < 0.5$), time-averaged sheet thickness measurements indicate that the sheet rapidly thins out until capillary recession inhibits further decrease. Since the capillary recession is delayed in viscous liquids, the sheet height in viscous UCONTM/Water mixtures is found to be much larger than those observed in water at the same velocity. For large depth-to-radius ratio h/R , no capillary recession is observed. Instead a thick liquid sheet as large as the rotating wheel's rim appears which in turn can influence the entrained liquid flux over the rim of the rotating disc.

Despite $Re_f \gg 1$ ranging over three decades in the present experiments, the liquid entrainment follows remarkably the flow rates predicted by the 2D creeping flow models until a characteristic capillary number $Ca = \mu U / \sigma$, or equivalently a characteristic Reynolds number, depending only on the liquid physical properties (since $Re_f = \sqrt{Ca^3 / Mo}$ where the Morton number $Mo = \mu^4 g / \rho \sigma^3$). The transition to an inertia-dominated entrainment regime occurs when the capillary pressure based on the quasi-static *LLD meniscus* length $\lambda \simeq 0.65 l_c Ca^{1/3}$ becomes lesser than the wall-imposed dynamic pressure ρU^2 . Experimental data in the present study demonstrates that such a transition happens when $We_\lambda = \rho U^2 \lambda / \sigma$ is about 10. Beyond this limit, two major contributions are identified for inertial entrainment : (1) lateral wall entrainment and (2) entrainment from the top of the thick liquid sheet. The former is present when the Froude number based on the wheel radius is larger than unity and at this stage, the liquid film entrained on the lateral wall is centrifuged towards the wheel rim. This seems to be the dominant mechanism when the water depth is small compared to the wheel radius. The latter modifies the curvature of the quasi-static liquid in front of the entrained film and thereby leads to an enhanced film flow rate. This contribution is predominant when the liquid sheet thickness is comparable to that of the wheel's rim ($h/R > 0.5$).

We also showed, however briefly, that sheets can meander, become corrugated and fragment into droplets. Furthermore, when the disc width is increased multiple sheets can occur. While *internal*, *external* rimming flows and rotary coating flows have received a lot of attention in the last few decades (Schweizer and Kistler, 2012, Seiden and Thomas, 2011), not much is known for the case of a rotating cylinder submerged in liquid pool in the absence of confinement at the emerging side of the cylinder. So, the authors hope that the present work motivates further numerical simulations and experiments on the complex flow structures occurring in inertia-dominated liquid film flows and also, in the more challenging fully 3D two-phase flow problem of rotary entrainment at large Reynolds numbers and Weber numbers.

The authors acknowledge Stéphane Martinez and Arthur Buridon for their technical assistance, H el ene Scolan and also the contribution from projects at the Mechanics department in the Universit e Claude Bernard Lyon1 for several measurements. Financial support from *Groupe PSA* is also acknowledged by the authors.

Declaration of Interests – The authors report no conflict of interest.

Author(s)(year)	Experiment	Liquid(s)	$Re_f = \frac{\rho \Omega R \delta_f^2}{\mu}$	$Ca = \frac{\mu \Omega R}{\sigma}$	$We_\lambda = \frac{\rho \Omega^2 R^2 \lambda}{\sigma}$	$Fr = \Omega \sqrt{\frac{R}{2g}}$
Yih (1960)	RD [†]	WG	$36 - 2 \times 10^3$	0.01 - 4	$6 - 2 \times 10^2$	0.7 - 2
Groeneweld (1970b)	RD	WG, GS	$5 \times 10^{-4} - 9$	$7 \times 10^{-3} - 6$	$3 \times 10^{-5} - 10$	$8 \times 10^{-4} - 0.15$
Middleman (1978)	RD [†]	WG	$0.02 - 2 \times 10^2$ ^(a)	$7 \times 10^{-4} - 14$	$5.6 \times 10^{-3} - 46$ ^(a)	0.02 - 1.1 ^(a)
Tharmalingam and Wilkinson (1978)	RD	WG [†]	$6 \times 10^{-5} - 63$	$10^{-3} - 30$	$10^{-6} - 40$	$3 \times 10^{-4} - 0.5$
Present work (2020)	RD	WU	$2.6 - 3 \times 10^3$	$5 \times 10^{-3} - 3.6$	$0.4 - 2 \times 10^2$	0.09 - 3
Pitts and Greiller (1961)	RMC	WG [†]	0.4 - 6	0.05 - 2	0.1 - 1.4	0.2 - 0.3
Mill and South (1967)	RMC	P, LO [†]	1 - 50	0.08 - 3	0.2 - 30	0.2 - 1
Adachi et al. (1988)	RMC	WG	$0.15 - 3 \times 10^3$ ^(b)	$0.01 - 10^2$	$0.025 - 10^3$ ^(b)	$0.02 - 2.5$ ^(b)
Coyle et al. (1990)	RMC	WG	?	$6 \times 10^{-4} - 0.02$?	?
Rabaud (1994)	RMC	S	$10^{-3} - 0.1$ ^(c)	$50 - 10^3$ ^(c)	$0.01 - 30$ ^(c)	$0.01 - 0.2$ ^(c)
Gaskell et al. (1998)	RMC	Oils	0.08 - 20	$2 \times 10^{-3} - 0.1$	$4 \times 10^{-4} - 1$	0.01 - 0.4
Ascanio et al. (2004)	RMC	WG	$3 \times 10^2 - 2 \times 10^3$ *	$20 - 2 \times 10^2$	$5 \times 10^3 - 5 \times 10^4$ *	7 - 20
Owens et al. (2011)	RMC	WG [†]	$4 \times 10^3 - 5 \times 10^3$ *	$70 - 10^2$	10^5 *	20
Moffatt (1977)	eRF	GS	0.04 - 0.14	8 - 20	0.1 - 1	0.07 - 0.2
Kovac and Balmer (1980)	eRF	WG	0.6 - 26	0.3 - 4	0.2 - 34	0.1 - 1
Preziosi and Joseph (1988)	eRF	S	$10^{-4} - 0.02$ ^(d)	$2 - 34$ ^(d)	$3 \times 10^{-4} - 0.1$ ^(d)	$5 \times 10^{-3} - 0.08$ ^(d)
Preziosi and Joseph (1988)	iRF	S	$3 \times 10^{-4} - 7$ ^(e)	$5 \times 10^{-3} - 10^3$ ^(e)	$2 \times 10^{-4} - 22$ ^(e)	0.01 - 0.6 ^(e)
Melo (1993)	iRF	S	0.08 - 13	0.7 - 22	$0.04 - 10^2$	0.03 - 1
Thoroddsen and Mahadevan (1997)	iRF	WG	$0.7 - 1.4 \times 10^3$	$4 \times 10^{-3} - 46$	$0.1 - 10^3$	0.1 - 3
DeRyck and Quéré (1994)	fiber	Water	$28 - 1.7 \times 10^3$ ^(f)	$3 \times 10^{-3} - 0.04$	$0.08 - 80$ ^(f)	-NA-
Kizito et al. (1999)	FC	WT, S	$10^{-3} - 20$ ^(g)	$10^{-3} - 10$	$2 \times 10^{-5} - 20$ ^(g)	-NA-
Maleki et al. (2011)	FC	S	$3 \times 10^{-7} - 0.4$ ^(h)	$2 \times 10^{-5} - 1$	$6 \times 10^{-11} - 0.02$ ^(h)	-NA-
Jin et al. (2005)	FC	NS	0 - 32 ⁽ⁱ⁾	$10^{-3} - 10^2$	0 - 10 ² ⁽ⁱ⁾	-NA-
Filali et al. (2013)	FC	NS	0.1 - 20 ^(j)	0.01 - 6	0.1 - 40 ^(j)	-NA-

Table 2: Dimensionless numbers as inferred from previous entrainment flow studies : RD – Rotating Drum, RMC – Rotary/Meniscus Coating, e/iRF – external/internal Rimming Flows, FC – Flat plate (vertical/inclined) dip Coating. Whenever possible, data presented here are taken from ^(a)Middleman (1978, figures 3, 4 & 9), ^(b)Adachi et al. (1988, figure 4), ^(c)Rabaud (1994, figure 7), ^(d)Preziosi and Joseph (1988, figures 3 & 7), ^(f)DeRyck and Quéré (1994, figure 4), ^(g)Kizito et al. (1999, figure 3), ^(h)Maleki et al. (2011, figure 2), ⁽ⁱ⁾Jin et al. (2005, figure 5), ^(j)Filali et al. (2013, table 1, figures 8 & 19); GS – Golden syrup, P – Paraffin, S – Silicone oil, LO – Linseed Oil, WG – Water/Glycerin mixtures, WT – Winter & Triton mixtures, WU – Water/UCON mixtures, NS – Numerical Simulations. Also, [†]some data were excluded (for example, non-Newtonian liquids), [‡] disc’s emerging side is confined, *data estimated from accepted physical properties at 25°C.

References

- K. Adachi, T. Tamura, and R. Nakamura. Coating flows in a nip region and various critical phenomena. *AIChE journal*, 34(3):456–464, 1988.
- G. Ascanio, P. J. Carreau, E. Brito-De La Fuente, and P. A. Tanguy. Forward deformable roll coating at high speed with Newtonian fluids. *Chemical Engineering Research and Design*, 82(3):390–397, 2004.
- E. S. Benilov, S. J. Chapman, J. B. Mcleod, J. R. Ockendon, and V. S. Zubkov. On liquid films on an inclined plate. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 663:53–69, 2010. doi: 10.1017/S002211201000337X.
- D. F. Benjamin, T. J. Anderson, and L. E. Scriven. Multiple roll systems: Steady-state operation. *AIChE Journal*, 41(5):1045–1060, 1995.
- P. P. Brown and D. F. Lawler. Sphere drag and settling velocity revisited. *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, 129(3):222–231, 2003.
- D. M. Campana, S. Ubal, M. D. Giavedoni, and F. A. Saita. Numerical prediction of the film thickening due to surfactants in the landau–levich problem. *Physics of Fluids*, 22(3):032103, 2010. doi: 10.1063/1.3341019. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3341019>.
- D. M. Campana, S. Ubal, M. D. Giavedoni, and F. A. Saita. A deeper insight into the dip coating process in the presence of insoluble surfactants: A numerical analysis. *Physics of Fluids*, 23(5):052102, 2011. doi: 10.1063/1.3589346. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3589346>.
- O.H. Campanella and R.L. Cerro. Viscous flow on the outside of a horizontal rotating cylinder: The roll coating regime with a single fluid. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 39(10):1443 – 1449, 1984. ISSN 0009-2509. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509\(84\)80002-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2509(84)80002-0). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0009250984800020>.
- R. L. Cerro and L. E. Scriven. Rapid free surface film flows. an integral approach. *Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Fundamentals*, 19(1):40–50, 1980.
- B. Chalmers. Surface tension and viscosity phenomena in tinplate manufacture. *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 33:1167–1176, 1937. doi: 10.1039/TF9373301167. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/TF9373301167>.
- E. D. Cohen and E. B. Gutoff. *Modern coating and drying technology*, volume 1. VCH New York, 1992.
- D. J. Coyle, C. W. Macosko, and L. E. Scriven. Stability of symmetric film-splitting between counter-rotating cylinders. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 216:437–458, 1990.
- F. E. C. Culick. Comments on a ruptured soap film. *J. Appl. Phys.*, 31:1128 – 1129, 1960.
- A. De Ryck and D. Quéré. Quick forced spreading. *Europhysics Letters*, 25(3):187, 1994.
- A. De Ryck and D. Quéré. Inertial coating of a fibre. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 311:219–237, 1996.
- A. De Ryck and D. Quéré. Fluid coating from a polymer solution. *Langmuir*, 14(7):1911–1914, 1998. doi: 10.1021/la970584r. URL <https://doi.org/10.1021/la970584r>.
- A. De Ryck and D. Quéré. Gravity and inertia effects in plate coating. *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 203(2):278 – 285, 1998. ISSN 0021-9797. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1006/jcis.1998.5444>.

- B. V. Deryaguin. Thickness of liquid layer adhering to walls of vessels on their emptying and the theory of photo-and motion-picture film coating. In *Comptes Rendus (Doklady) de l'Academie des Sciences de l'URSS*, volume 39, pages 13–16, 1943.
- B. V. Deryaguin and S. M. Levi. *Film coating theory*. Focal Press, 1964.
- H. N. Dixit and G. M. Homsy. The elastic Landau–Levich problem. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 732:5–28, 2013. doi: 10.1017/jfm.2013.382.
- P. L. Evans, L. W. Schwartz, and R. V. Roy. Steady and unsteady solutions for coating flow on a rotating horizontal cylinder: Two-dimensional theoretical and numerical modeling. *Physics of Fluids*, 16(8):2742–2756, 2004. doi: 10.1063/1.1758943. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1758943>.
- P. L. Evans, L. W. Schwartz, and R. V. Roy. Three-dimensional solutions for coating flow on a rotating horizontal cylinder: Theory and experiment. *Physics of Fluids*, 17(7):072102, 2005. doi: 10.1063/1.1942523. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1942523>.
- A. Filali, L. Khezzar, and E. Mitsoulis. Some experiences with the numerical simulation of Newtonian and Bingham fluids in dip coating. *Computers & Fluids*, 82:110–121, 2013.
- A. Gans, E. Dressaire, B. Colnet, G. Saingier, M. Z. Bazant, and A. Sauret. Dip-coating of suspensions. *Soft Matter*, 15(2):252–261, 2019.
- P. H. Gaskell, G. E. Innes, and M. D. Savage. An experimental investigation of meniscus roll coating. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 355:17–44, 1998.
- F. S. Goucher and H. Ward. The thickness of liquid films formed on solid surfaces under dynamic conditions. *Philosophical Magazine*, 44:1002–1014, 1922.
- P. Groenveld. Dip-coating by withdrawal of liquid films. *PhD Thesis*, 1970a.
- P. Groenveld. High capillary number withdrawal from viscous newtonian liquids by flat plates. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 25(1):33–40, 1970b.
- P. Groenveld. Low capillary number withdrawal. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 25(8):1259–1266, 1970c.
- N. Hasan and J. Naser. Determining the thickness of liquid film in laminar condition on a rotating drum surface using CFD. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 64(5):919 – 924, 2009. ISSN 0009-2509. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ces.2008.10.051>. URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009250908006027>.
- H. Jeffreys. The Draining of a Vertical Plate. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 26(2):204–205, 1930. doi: 10.1017/S0305004100015437.
- B. Jin, A. Acrivos, and A. Münch. The drag-out problem in film coating. *Physics of Fluids*, 17(10):103603, 2005. doi: 10.1063/1.2079927.
- Y. D. Jung and K. H. Ahn. Prediction of coating thickness in the convective assembly process. *Langmuir*, 29(51):15762–15769, 2013. doi: 10.1021/la4033139. URL <https://doi.org/10.1021/la4033139>.
- O. Kim and J. Nam. Confinement effects in dip coating. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 827:1–30, 2017.
- J. P. Kizito, Y. Kamotani, and S. Ostrach. Experimental free coating flows at high capillary and reynolds number. *Experiments in Fluids*, 27(3):235–243, Aug 1999. ISSN 1432-1114. doi: 10.1007/s003480050348. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s003480050348>.

- J. P. Kovac and R. T. Balmer. Experimental studies of external hygrocyysts. *Journal of Fluids Engineering*, 102(2):226–230, 06 1980. ISSN 0098-2202. doi: 10.1115/1.3240653. URL <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.3240653>.
- R. Krechetnikov and G. M. Homsy. Experimental study of substrate roughness and surfactant effects on the Landau–Levich law. *Physics of Fluids*, 17(10):102108, 2005. doi: 10.1063/1.2112647. URL <https://aip.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1063/1.2112647>.
- L. Landau and B. Levich. Dragging of a liquid by a moving plate. *Acta Physicochim. URSS*, 17: 42, 1942.
- M. Maleki, M. Reyssat, F. Restagno, D. Quéré, and C. Clanet. Landau–Levich menisci. *Journal of Colloid and Interface science*, 354(1):359–363, 2011.
- H. C. Mayer and R. Krechetnikov. Landau–Levich flow visualization: Revealing the flow topology responsible for the film thickening phenomena. *Physics of Fluids*, 24(5):052103, 2012.
- F. Melo. Localized states in a film-dragging experiment. *Physical Review E*, 48:2704–2712, Oct 1993. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.48.2704. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.48.2704>.
- S. Middleman. Free coating of viscous and viscoelastic liquids onto a partially submerged rotating roll. *Polymer Engineering & Science*, 18(9):734–737, 1978. doi: 10.1002/pen.760180909. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/pen.760180909>.
- C. C. Mill and G. R. South. Formation of ribs on rotating rollers. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 28 (3):523–529, 1967.
- H. K. Moffatt. Behaviour of a viscous film on the outer surface of a rotating cylinder. *Journal de Mécanique*, 16(5), 1977.
- F. C. Morey. Thickness of a liquid film adhering to surface slowly withdrawn from the liquid. *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards*, 25:385, 1940.
- A. Nasto, P-T. Brun, and A. E. Hosoi. Viscous entrainment on hairy surfaces. *Physical Review Fluids*, 3:024002, Feb 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevFluids.3.024002. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevFluids.3.024002>.
- K. D. P. Nigam and M. N. Esmail. Liquid flow over a rotating dip coater. *The Canadian Journal of Chemical Engineering*, 58(5):564–568, 1980. doi: 10.1002/cjce.5450580503. URL <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1002/cjce.5450580503>.
- M. S. Owens, M. Vinjamur, L. E. Scriven, and C. W. Macosko. Misting of Newtonian liquids in forward roll coating. *Industrial & engineering chemistry research*, 50(6):3212–3219, 2011.
- S. Palma and H. Lhuissier. Dip-coating with a particulate suspension. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 869:R3, 2019. doi: 10.1017/jfm.2019.267.
- E. Pitts and J. Greiller. The flow of thin liquid films between rollers. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 11(1):33–50, 1961.
- L. Preziosi and D. D. Joseph. The run-off condition for coating and rimming flows. *Journal of fluid mechanics*, 187:99–113, 1988.
- V. V. Pukhnachev. Motion of a liquid film on the surface of a rotating cylinder in a gravitational field. *Journal of Applied Mechanics and Technical Physics*, 18(3):344–351, May 1977. ISSN 1573-8620. doi: 10.1007/BF00851656. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00851656>.
- D. Quéré. Fluid coating on a fiber. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 31(1):347–384, 1999.

- M. Rabaud. Dynamiques interfaciales dans l'instabilité de l'imprimeur. *Annales de Physique France*, 19:659–690, 1994.
- B. L. Rubashkin. The problem of the thickness of a layer entrained by a rotating drum partially immersed in a liquid. *Journal of engineering physics*, 13(4):305–307, 1967.
- K. J. Ruschak. Coating flows. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 17(1):65–89, 1985. doi: 10.1146/annurev.fl.17.010185.000433. URL <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.fl.17.010185.000433>.
- K. J. Ruschak and L. E. Scriven. Rimming flow of liquid in a rotating cylinder. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 76(1):113–126, 1976.
- F. Savart. Mémoire sur le choc d'une veine liquide lancée contre un plan circulaire. *Annales de Chimie et de Physique*, 54(56):1833, 1833.
- N. Savva and J. W. M. Bush. Viscous sheet retraction. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 31:211–240, 2009. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0022112009005795>.
- P. M. Schweizer and S. F. Kistler. *Liquid Film Coating: Scientific principles and their technological implications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- J. C. Scott. The preparation of water for surface-clean fluid mechanics. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 69(2):339–351, 1975.
- G. Seiden and P. J. Thomas. Complexity, segregation, and pattern formation in rotating-drum flows. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 83(4):1323, 2011.
- J. Seiwert, C. Clanet, and D. Quéré. Coating of a textured solid. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 669:55–63, 2011. doi: 10.1017/S0022112010005951.
- J. H. Snoeijer, G. Delon, M. Fermigier, and B. Andreotti. Avoided critical behavior in dynamically forced wetting. *Physical Review Letters*, 96:174504, May 2006. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.174504. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96.174504>.
- J. H. Snoeijer, J. Ziegler, B. Andreotti, M. Fermigier, and J. Eggers. Thick films of viscous fluid coating a plate withdrawn from a liquid reservoir. *Physical Review Letters*, 100:244502, Jun 2008. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.244502. URL <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.100.244502>.
- A. J. Soroka and J. A. Tallmadge. A test of the inertial theory for plate withdrawal. *AIChE Journal*, 17(2):505–508, 1971. doi: 10.1002/aic.690170250.
- R. P. Spiers, C. V. Subbaraman, and W. L. Wilkinson. Free coating of a newtonian liquid onto a vertical surface. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 29(2):389–396, 1974.
- J. A. Tallmadge. A theory of entrainment for angular withdrawal of flat supports. *AIChE Journal*, 17(1):243–246, 1971.
- G. I. Taylor. The dynamics of thin sheets of fluid. iii. disintegration of fluid sheets. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A*, 253:313 – 321, 1959.
- S. Tharmalingam and W. L. Wilkinson. The coating of newtonian liquids onto a rotating roll. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 33(11):1481–1487, 1978.
- S. T. Thoroddsen and L. Mahadevan. Experimental study of coating flows in a partially-filled horizontally rotating cylinder. *Experiments in fluids*, 23(1):1–13, 1997.
- J. J. Van Rossum. Viscous lifting and drainage of liquids. *Applied Scientific Research, Section A*, 7(2-3):121–144, 1958.

- E. Villermaux, V. Pistre, and H. Lhuissier. The viscous savart sheet. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 730:607–625, 2013.
- S. J. Weinstein and K. J. Ruschak. Dip coating on a planar non-vertical substrate in the limit of negligible surface tension. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 56(16):4957 – 4969, 2001. ISSN 0009-2509. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509\(01\)00147-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0009-2509(01)00147-6). URL <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0009250901001476>.
- S. J. Weinstein and K. J. Ruschak. Coating flows. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics*, 36:29–53, 2004.
- D. A. White and J. A. Tallmadge. Theory of drag out of liquids on flat plates. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 20(1):33–37, 1965.
- Simon DR Wilson. The drag-out problem in film coating theory. *Journal of Engineering Mathematics*, 16(3):209–221, 1982.
- C-S Yih. Instability of a rotating liquid film with a free surface. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences*, 258(1292):63–89, 1960.