

# MODULAR PHENOMENA FOR REGULARIZED DOUBLE ZETA VALUES

MINORU HIROSE

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we investigate linear relations among regularized motivic iterated integrals on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$  of depth two, which we call regularized motivic double zeta values. Some mysterious connections between motivic multiple zeta values and modular forms are known, e.g. Gangl–Kaneko–Zagier relation for the totally odd double zeta values and Ihara–Takao relation for the depth graded motivic Lie algebra. In this paper, we investigate so-called non-admissible cases and give many new Gangl–Kaneko–Zagier type and Ihara–Takao type relations for regularized motivic double zeta values. Specifically, we construct linear relations among a certain family of regularized motivic double zeta values from odd period polynomials of modular forms for the unique index two congruence subgroup of the full modular group. This gives the first non trivial example of a construction of the relations among multiple zeta values (or their analogues) from modular forms for a congruence subgroup other than the  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

## CONTENTS

|                                                                                                                                                                          |    |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction                                                                                                                                                          | 1  |
| 1.1. Known GKZ-type phenomena for the usual motivic double zeta values                                                                                                   | 2  |
| 1.2. Known Ihara–Takao-type phenomena for usual motivic double zeta values                                                                                               | 4  |
| 1.3. The first main theorem: GKZ-type modular phenomena for non admissible motivic double zeta values                                                                    | 5  |
| 1.4. The second main theorem: Ihara–Takao-type phenomena for non admissible motivic double zeta values                                                                   | 7  |
| 1.5. Contents of the paper                                                                                                                                               | 8  |
| 2. Some preliminaries                                                                                                                                                    | 8  |
| 2.1. Motivic multiple zeta values                                                                                                                                        | 8  |
| 2.2. Coaction and antipode formulas for motivic multiple zeta values                                                                                                     | 9  |
| 2.3. Preliminaries for modular forms                                                                                                                                     | 10 |
| 3. The case $J(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 0)$                                                                                                                               | 11 |
| 4. The case $J(\text{even}; \text{even}, 0)$                                                                                                                             | 12 |
| 5. The cases similar to the case $J(0; \text{even}, \text{even})$ : $J(1; \text{even}, \text{even})$ , $J(\text{even}; 0, \text{even})$ , $J(\text{odd}; 1, \text{odd})$ | 14 |
| 6. The cases similar to the case $J(0; \text{even}, \text{odd})$ : $J(1; \text{even}, \text{odd})$ , $J(\text{even}; 1, \text{odd})$ , $J(\text{odd}; 0, \text{even})$   | 15 |
| 7. The cases $J(0, \text{odd}, \text{odd})$ , $J(\text{odd}; 0, \text{odd})$                                                                                             | 19 |
| 8. Almost no relation cases: $J(\text{even}; 1, \text{even})$ , $J(\text{even}; \text{odd}, 0)$ , $J(\text{odd}; \text{even}, 0)$                                        | 19 |
| 9. Remarks                                                                                                                                                               | 21 |
| Acknowledgements                                                                                                                                                         | 22 |
| Appendix A. Period polynomials for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , $\Gamma_0(2)$ , $\Gamma_A$ , $\Gamma_B$                                                                          | 22 |
| A.1. Popa and Pasol’s formulation of period polynomials                                                                                                                  | 22 |
| A.2. Period polynomials for $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , $\Gamma_0(2)$ , $\Gamma_A$ , $\Gamma_B$                                                                                 | 23 |
| References                                                                                                                                                               | 24 |

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Multiple zeta values (MZVs) are real numbers defined by

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d) := \sum_{0 < m_1 < \dots < m_d} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_d^{k_d}}$$

where  $k_1, \dots, k_d$  are positive integers with  $k_d > 1$ . Here  $d$  is called the depth and  $k_1 + \dots + k_d$  is called the weight, and multiple zeta values of depth two are called double zeta values. They are periods in the sense of Kontsevich–Zagier, more specifically periods of mixed Tate motives over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and are lifted to algebraic objects  $\zeta^{\text{m}}(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}}$  called motivic multiple zeta values (or motivic double zeta values if  $d = 2$ ). Here  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}}$  is the ring of motivic periods of  $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$  and there exist a canonical ring morphism  $\text{per} : \mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  called the period map with the property  $\text{per}(\zeta^{\text{m}}(k_1, \dots, k_d)) = \zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d)$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}}$  the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra generated by 1 and all motivic multiple

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M32, Secondary 11F67, 11C20.

*Key words and phrases.* motivic multiple zeta values; modular forms; period polynomials; double zeta values; determinant.

zeta values. Then  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{H}/\zeta^m(2)\mathcal{H}$  has a structure of Hopf algebra, and  $\mathcal{H}$  becomes a Hopf  $\mathcal{A}$ -comodule. See Section 2.1 for further details.

Multiple zeta values also appear in other areas of pure and applied mathematics such as in the Kontsevich integrals of knots ([15], [14], [16]) in topology, or in the evaluation of scattering amplitudes ([4], [24]) in mathematical physics. Therefore they are already objects of significant interest and study.

Furthermore, there are many studies on the connection between (motivic) multiple zeta values and modular forms. The first indications of a connection between modular forms and MZV's occurred in the formulation of the Broadhurst-Kreimer conjecture [3], when it was observed that the dimensions of the weight and depth graded multiple zeta values involves the term  $S(x) = \frac{x^{12}}{(1-x^4)(1-x^6)}$  which encodes the dimensions of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ -cusp forms. The depth 2 case of this conjecture is (essentially) the result of [10], which establishes the modular origin of  $\zeta(\text{odd}, \text{odd})$  relations (See Theorem 1, below). The dual viewpoint is studied in [25], [2] for depth 2 and [6], [8], [21] for higher depths. Interpretation of conjectural dimension in Broadhurst-Kreimer conjecture is treated in [6], [17]. Furthermore, depth 2 and weight odd aspects are studied in [27], [20], and modular phenomena of another type for level 2 or level 3 modular forms are investigated in [13], [19]. Extensions to  $q$ -analogues or Eisenstein-series-analogue of multiple zeta values are studied in [1], [26].

The purpose of this paper is to establish new modular phenomena for regularized motivic iterated integrals on  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ , which we call regularized motivic multiple zeta values. Regularized motivic multiple zeta values are generalization of usual motivic multiple zeta values, which are convergent integral cases of regularized motivic multiple zeta values. Regularized motivic multiple zeta values are very natural generalization of usual motivic multiple zeta values and used in various situations<sup>1</sup>, however, there seems to be no work on their modular phenomena. In this paper, we give a lot of modular phenomena for regularized motivic double zeta values. Some of them are similar to the known cases of usual motivic double zeta values while others are not.

We denote by  $V_w^\pm$  the space of homogeneous polynomials  $p(X, Y)$  of degree  $w$  with rational coefficients such that  $p(-X, Y) = \pm p(X, Y)$ . For an even  $w$  and a modular form  $f$  of weight  $w + 2$ , an even (resp. odd) period polynomial  $P_f^+(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes V_w^+$  (resp.  $P_f^-(X, Y) \in \mathbb{C} \otimes V_w^-$ ) is defined by using the special values of the L-function of  $f$ .

In this paper, we consider two types of modular phenomena:

**GKZ-type phenomena:** modular forms induce linear relations among regularized motivic multiple zeta values,

**Ihara-Takao-type phenomena:** modular forms induce algebraic relations in the dual Hopf module of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**1.1. Known GKZ-type phenomena for the usual motivic double zeta values.** The eponymous result in the area of GKZ-type phenomena is the following well-known result due to Gangl-Kaneko-Zagier.

**Theorem 1** ([10], Gangl-Kaneko-Zagier). *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer,  $k = w + 2$ , and  $f$  a modular form for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of weight  $k$ . Define  $a_0, \dots, a_w \in \mathbb{C}$  by*

$$\sum_{r=0}^w a_r x^r y^{w-r} = P_f^+(x+y, x).$$

*Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ r:\text{even}}}^{w-2} a_r r! (w-r)! \zeta^m(r+1, w-r+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{C} \zeta^m(k)}.$$

*Furthermore, this gives a one-to-one correspondence between the modular forms for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of weight  $k$  and the linear relations among*

$$\{\zeta^m(r+1, s+1) \mid r+s=w, r \geq 0, s > 0, r:\text{even}\}$$

*modulo  $\mathbb{C} \zeta^m(k)$ .*

**Remark 2.** In fact, a more refined equality in the space of formal double zeta value is proved in [10]. The space of formal double zeta values is defined as the set of formal sums of indices modulo double shuffle relations, and motivic multiple zeta values satisfy double shuffle relations, thus Gangl-Kaneko-Zagier's original result implies the former part of Theorem 1. If we assume that the relations among motivic double zeta values are exhausted by double shuffle relations of depth two and "Euler's relations"  $\zeta^m(a)\zeta^m(b) \in \mathbb{Q}\zeta^m(a+b)$  ( $a, b$ : even) (which seems to be reasonable), the latter part of Theorem 1 is also a consequence of their result.

**Remark 3.** In Theorem 1, the modulus is taken as  $\mathbb{C} \zeta^m(k)$  rather than  $\mathbb{Q} \zeta^m(k)$  so as to allow any modular forms. If we start with a modular form whose even period polynomial has rational coefficients, then Theorem 1 gives  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear relations among motivic MZVs. It is also known that any modular form can be expressed as a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear sum of such modular forms.

<sup>1</sup>For example, regularized motivic multiple zeta values appear even in the calculation of the coproduct of usual motivic multiple zeta values. In addition, regularized motivic multiple zeta values appear in the coefficient of motivic KZ-associator.

Theorem 1 gives a complete description of the linear relations among motivic double zeta values of type  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{odd}, \text{odd})$  in terms of even period polynomials of modular forms. Thus this theorem gives rise to the following questions: How about the linear relations among  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{odd}, \text{even})$ 's,  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{even}, \text{odd})$ 's, or  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{even}, \text{even})$ 's. The answers to these questions are also known as explained below.

For the first case, for an odd integer  $k > 2$ , Ma constructed linear relations among  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{odd}, \text{even})$ 's of weight  $k$  from the odd (resp. even) period polynomial of modular forms of weight  $k-1$  (resp.  $k+1$ ) for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and Li-Liu showed that all the linear relations among  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{odd}, \text{even})$ 's are essentially exhausted by Ma's relations. Hereafter, we use the expression such as  $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} p(ax+by, cx+dy)$  for the partial derivative of  $p(ax+by, cx+dy)$  with respect to  $x$ . We remind the reader that it should not be confused with  $(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x})(ax+by, cx+dy)$  which is the partial derivative of  $p(u, v)$  with respect to  $u$  evaluated at  $(u, v) = (ax+by, cx+dy)$ .

**Theorem 4** ([20, Theorems 2 and 3], Ma). *Let  $w$  be an odd positive integer,  $k = w + 2$ ,  $f$  a cusp form for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of weight  $k-1$ , and  $g$  a cusp form for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of weight  $k+1$ . Define  $a_0, \dots, a_w \in \mathbb{C}$  by*

$$\sum_{r=0}^w a_r X^r Y^{w-r} = X P_f^-(X+Y, X) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} P_g^+(X+Y, X).$$

*Then we have*

$$\sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ r:\text{even}}}^{w-1} (a_r - a_{w-r}) r! (w-r)! \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(r+1, w-r+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{C} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}.$$

*Furthermore, these linear relations are linearly independent, i.e., if  $a_r - a_{w-r} = 0$  for all even  $r$  then  $f = g = 0$ .*

**Remark 5.** As in the case of Theorem 1, we can obtain a congruence modulo  $\mathbb{Q} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$  if the coefficients of  $P_f^-(X, Y)$  and  $P_g^+(X, Y)$  are both rational, and any cusp form of weight  $k-1$  (resp.  $k+1$ ) is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear sum of the modular forms  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ) whose odd (resp. even) period polynomials are rational. Furthermore, Ma's original theorem is stated as an equality in the formal double zeta space. Since all linear relations among odd weight motivic double zeta values are given by double shuffle relations, the result of Ma is equivalent to Theorem 4.

**Theorem 6** ([20], Ma). *Let  $k \geq 3$  be an odd integer. Then we have*

$$2\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k-2, 2) - (k-2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(1, k-1) + \sum_{\substack{r+s=k \\ 1 \leq r \leq k-2:\text{odd}}} (r-s)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(r, s) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Q} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k)}.$$

**Remark 7.** Theorem 4 can be extend to the case where  $f$  is an Eisenstein series for  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . One may guess that Theorem 6 is a multiple of the case  $(f, g) = (E_{k-1}, 0)$  of Theorem 4, but this is *not* correct in general.

**Theorem 8** ([18], Li-Liu). *Ma's relations in Theorems 4 and 6 exhaust all the linear relations among  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{odd}, \text{even})$ 's modulo  $\mathbb{Q} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$ .*

For the second case, Zagier showed the following result.

**Theorem 9** ([27, Theorem 2, Lemma 3], Zagier). *There are no linear relations among  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{even}, \text{odd})$ 's and  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{odd})$ 's.*

**Remark 10.** The original statement in [27, Theorem 2] is slightly different from Theorem 9 since a motivic setting is not introduced in [27]. However, we can easily extract a proof of Theorem 9 from Zagier's original proof. More precisely, for an odd number  $k = 2K + 1 \geq 3$ , Zagier showed that

$$\zeta(2r, k-2r) \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{K-1} \left( \binom{2K-2s}{2r-1} + \binom{2K-2s}{2K-2r} \right) \zeta(2s) \zeta(k-2s) \pmod{\mathbb{Q} \zeta(k)}$$

[27, (36)] and

$$\det \left( \binom{2K-2s}{2r-1} + \binom{2K-2s}{2K-2r} \right)_{1 \leq r, s \leq K-1} \neq 0$$

[27, Lemma 3]. By (2.1), we can lift the first congruence to the one for motivic multiple zeta values. Here  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2s) \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k-2s)$ 's with  $1 \leq s \leq K-1$  and  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k)$  are linearly independent. Thus the non-vanishing of the determinant implies Theorem 9.

For the third case, the harmonic product formula gives  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2a, 2b) + \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2b, 2a) \in \mathbb{Q} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2a+2b)$ . In fact, these relations exhaust all the linear relations among  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{even}, \text{even})$ 's modulo  $\mathbb{Q} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{even})$ .

**Theorem 11** (Tasaka, personal communication). *The linear relations among  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{even}, \text{even})$ 's modulo  $\mathbb{Q} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(\text{even})$  are exhausted by the relations  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2a, 2b) + \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2b, 2a) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Q} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2a+2b)}$ .*

*Remark 12.* Let  $\Gamma_B \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be the congruence subgroup defined in Section 2.3. Then the space of odd symmetric polynomials of degree  $w$  can be interpreted as the space of odd period polynomials for  $\Gamma_B$  (Proposition 61). Thus Theorem 11 says that

$$\sum_{\substack{0 < r < w \\ r: \text{odd}}} a_r r! (w-r)! \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(r+1, w-r+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{C} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(w+2)}$$

if and only if there exists a modular form  $f$  for  $\Gamma_B$  of weight  $w+2$  such that  $P_f^+(X, Y) = \sum_{\substack{0 < r < w \\ r: \text{odd}}} a_r X^r Y^{w-r}$ .

*Remark 13.* The author learned Theorems 11, 17 and their proofs from Tasaka. We give a proof of Theorems 11 and 17 in Section 7.

**1.2. Known Ihara–Takao-type phenomena for usual motivic double zeta values.** Since  $\mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}$ ) has a structure of a Hopf algebra (resp.  $\mathcal{A}$ -comodule), the dual vector space  $\mathcal{A}^\vee$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}^\vee$ ) of  $\mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}$ ) has a structure of non-commutative algebra (resp.  $\mathcal{A}^\vee$ -module):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}^\vee \times \mathcal{A}^\vee &\rightarrow \mathcal{A}^\vee; (\sigma, \sigma') \mapsto \sigma \sigma', \\ \mathcal{A}^\vee \times \mathcal{H}^\vee &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}^\vee; (\sigma, \sigma') \mapsto \sigma \sigma'. \end{aligned}$$

For an odd (resp. even) integer  $n \geq 2$ , let  $\sigma_n$  be any element of  $\mathcal{A}^\vee$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H}^\vee$ ) such that  $\langle \sigma_n, 1 \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \sigma_n, \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(m) \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ . We denote by  $\bar{H}_w$  the space spanned by all motivic multiple zeta values of depth 2 and weight  $w+2$ . Then for any  $n$  and  $m$ ,  $\sigma_n \sigma_m|_{\bar{H}_w}$  does not depend on the choice of  $\sigma_n \in \mathcal{A}^\vee$  and  $\sigma_m \in \mathcal{H}^\vee$ .

**Theorem 14** ([12], [25], Ihara–Takao, Schneps). *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer,  $k = w+2$ , and  $a_2, a_4, \dots, a_{w-2}$  be complex numbers. Then*

$$\sum_{2 \leq r \leq w-2, r: \text{even}} a_r \sigma_{r+1} \sigma_{w-r+1} \Big|_{\bar{H}_w} = 0$$

if and only if there exists  $f \in M_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  such that

$$P_f^+(X, Y) = \sum_{2 \leq r \leq w-2, r: \text{even}} a_r X^r Y^{w-r}.$$

On the other hand, for odd  $w$ ,  $\sigma_n \sigma_m|_{\bar{H}_w}$ 's are linearly independent. For subsets  $N, N' \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we denote by  $\bar{H}_w(N, N')$  the subspace of  $\bar{H}_w$  spanned by

$$\{\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(r+1, s+1) : r+s=w, s>0, r \in N, s \in N'\}.$$

We denote by **odd** (resp. **even**) the set of nonnegative odd (resp. even) integers. Then it is known that  $\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{even}, \mathbf{even}) = \bar{H}_w$  for an even  $w$  ([10, Theorem 2]) and  $\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{odd}, \mathbf{even}) = \bar{H}_w$  for an odd  $w$  ([27, Theorem 2]). However  $\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{even}, \mathbf{odd})$  and  $\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{odd}, \mathbf{odd})$  do not coincide with  $\bar{H}_w$  in general. The following three theorems gives complete descriptions of all the linear relations among  $\sigma_n \sigma_m|_{\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{even}, \mathbf{odd})}$ 's and those among  $\sigma_n \sigma_m|_{\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{odd}, \mathbf{odd})}$ 's.

**Theorem 15** ([27, Section 6], Zagier). *Let  $w$  be an odd positive integer,  $w = k+2$ ,  $f$  a cusp form for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of weight  $k-1$ , and  $g$  a cusp form for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  of weight  $k+1$ . Define  $a_0, \dots, a_w \in \mathbb{C}$  by*

$$\sum_{r=0}^w a_r X^r Y^{w-r} = X P_f^-(X, Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} P_g^+(X, Y).$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{2 \leq r \leq w-1, r: \text{even}} a_r \sigma_{r+1} \sigma_{w-r+1} \Big|_{\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{even}, \mathbf{odd})} = 0.$$

Furthermore, these linear relations are linearly independent, i.e., if  $a_r = 0$  for all even  $r$  then  $f = g = 0$ .

**Theorem 16** ([18], Li–Liu). *Zagier's relations in Theorem 15 exhaust all linear relations among  $\sigma_a \sigma_b|_{\bar{H}_w(\mathbf{even}, \mathbf{odd})}$ 's.*

**Theorem 17** (Tasaka, personal communication). *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer and  $k = w+2$ . Then*

$$\sum_{0 < r < w, r: \text{even}} a_r \sigma_{r+1} \sigma_{w-r+1} \Big|_{\bar{H}_w} = 0$$

if and only if there exists a weight  $k$  modular forms  $f$  for  $\Gamma_0(2)$  such that

$$P_f^+(X, Y) = \sum_{0 < r < w, r: \text{even}} a_{w-r} X^r Y^{w-r}.$$

**1.3. The first main theorem: GKZ-type modular phenomena for non admissible motivic double zeta values.** Recall that a motivic multiple zeta value  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1, \dots, k_d)$  for  $k_d > 1$  is defined by a motivic admissible iterated integral

$$(-1)^d I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0; 10^{k_1-1} \dots 10^{k_d-1}; 1).$$

Let  $0'$  and  $1'$  be tangential base points at 0 and 1 respectively such that  $I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0'; 0; 1') = 0$  and  $I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0'; 1; 1') = -T$  where  $T$  is an indeterminate. We define a regularized motivic multiple zeta value by

$$J(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d) := I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0'; 0^{k_0} 10^{k_1} \dots 10^{k_d}; 1') \in \mathcal{H}[T].$$

We call  $J(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d)$  an admissible (resp. non admissible) motivic multiple zeta value if  $k_0 = 0$  and  $k_d > 0$  (resp.  $k_0 \neq 0$  or  $k_d = 0$ ). By definition, an admissible motivic multiple zeta values is a usual motivic multiple zeta value, i.e.,

$$J(0; k_1, \dots, k_d) = (-1)^d \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k_1 + 1, \dots, k_d + 1).$$

Note that an explicit expression of a regularized motivic multiple zeta value in terms of admissible motivic multiple zeta values is given by

$$J(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d) = (-1)^{k_0+d} \sum_{\substack{l_1+\dots+l_d=k_0 \\ l_1, \dots, l_d \geq 0}} \binom{k_1+l_1}{l_1} \dots \binom{k_d+l_d}{l_d} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}, \sqcup}(k_1+l_1+1, \dots, k_d+l_d+1; T)$$

where  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}, \sqcup}(k_1+l_1+1, \dots, k_d+l_d+1; T)$  is the shuffle regularized polynomial (e.g.  $\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(1; T) = T$ ). Note that Theorem 1 is concerned with  $J(0; \text{even}, \text{even})$ 's, Theorems 4, 6, 8, 15 and 16 are concerned with  $J(0; \text{even}, \text{odd})$ 's, Theorem 9 is concerned with  $J(0; \text{odd}, \text{even})$ 's, and Theorems 11 and 17 are concerned with  $J(0; \text{odd}, \text{odd})$ 's, and Theorem 14 is concerned with the space of all motivic double zeta values (which is equal to the space spanned by  $J(0; \text{even}, \text{even})$ 's). Thus the following 8 questions about the behavior of  $J(N; 0; N')$ 's and  $J(N; N'; 0)$ 's for  $N, N' \in \{\text{even}, \text{odd}\}$  then naturally arise. In this paper, we answer all these questions. Furthermore, we also give complete answers to the same questions about  $J(1; \text{even}, \text{even})$ 's,  $J(1; \text{even}, \text{odd})$ 's,  $J(\text{even}; 1, \text{even})$ 's,  $J(\text{even}; 1, \text{odd})$ 's and  $J(\text{odd}; 1, \text{odd})$ 's.

We put  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H}[T]$ . We define the depth filtration  $\mathfrak{D}$  on  $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  by

$$\mathfrak{D}_d \tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \left\langle \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}, \sqcup}(n_1, \dots, n_i; T) \mid i \leq d, (n_1, \dots, n_i) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^i \right\rangle_{\mathbb{Q}}.$$

We define the depth graded  $J$ -value  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d)$  to be the image of  $J(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d)$  in  $\text{gr}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{\mathfrak{D}} \tilde{\mathcal{H}} := \mathfrak{D}_d \tilde{\mathcal{H}} / \mathfrak{D}_{d-1} \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . We put  $\mathbf{0} = \{0\}$  and  $\mathbf{1} = \{1\}$ . For subsets  $N, N', N'' \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , we denote by  $H_w(N; N', N'')$  the subspace of  $\mathfrak{D}_d \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  spanned by

$$\{J_{\mathfrak{D}}(r; s, t) : r + s + t = w, r \in N, s \in N', t \in N''\}.$$

We put  $H_w := H_w(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ . We denote by  $W_w^{\Gamma, +} \subset V_w^+$  (resp.  $W_w^{\Gamma, -} \subset V_w^-$ ) the space of even (resp. odd) period polynomials with rational coefficients for weight  $w + 2$  modular (resp. cusp) forms for  $\Gamma$  (see Section 2.3 for detail). We put  $W_w^{\pm} := W_w^{\pm, \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$ . Then Theorem 1 can be restated as the existence of the exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b! J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0; a, b)} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{even}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

where  $u_{\text{GKZ}}$  is a linear map defined by

$$u_{\text{GKZ}}(p(X, Y)) := \frac{1}{2} (p(-X - Y, X) + p(X - Y, X)).$$

Since the left-hand side of Theorem 6 is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(k-2, 2) - (k-2)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(1, k-1) + \sum_{\substack{r+s=k \\ 1 \leq r \leq k-2: \text{odd}}} (r-s)\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(r, s) \\ &= 2J(0; w-1, 1) - wJ(0; 0, w) + \sum_{\substack{r+s=w \\ 0 \leq r \leq w-1: \text{even}}} (r-s)J(0; r, s) \quad (w := k-2, r := r-1, s := s-1) \\ &= \Psi \left( \frac{2X^{w-1}Y}{(w-1)!} - \frac{Y^w}{(w-1)!} + X \sum_{\substack{r+s=w \\ 0 < r \leq w-1: \text{even}}} \frac{X^{r-1}Y^s}{(r-1)!s!} - Y \sum_{\substack{r+s=w \\ 0 \leq r \leq w-1: \text{even}}} \frac{X^r Y^{s-1}}{r!(s-1)!} \right) \quad (\Psi(X^a Y^b) := a!b! J(0; a, b)) \\ &= \frac{1}{(w-1)!} \Psi \left( 2X^{w-1}Y - Y^w + X \frac{(X+Y)^{w-1} - (X-Y)^{w-1}}{2} - Y \frac{(X+Y)^{w-1} + (X-Y)^{w-1}}{2} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2(w-1)!} \Psi ((X-Y)(X+Y)^{w-1} - (X+Y)(X-Y)^{w-1} - 2Y^w + 4X^{w-1}Y), \end{aligned}$$

Theorems 4 and 8 can be restated as the existence of the following exact sequence:

$$(1.2) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^- \times \tilde{W}_{w+1}^+ \times \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{u_M} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0;a,b)} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{even}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

where

$$\tilde{W}_{w+1}^+ := W_{w+1}^+ / \mathbb{Q}(X^{w+1} - Y^{w+1})$$

and  $u_M$  is a linear map defined by

$$u_M(p(X, Y), q(X, Y), c) = \frac{1}{2} (R(X, Y) - R(Y, X) + R(-X, Y) - R(Y, -X)) \\ + c((X - Y)(X + Y)^{w-1} - (X + Y)(X - Y)^{w-1} - 2Y^w + 4X^{w-1}Y)$$

with

$$R(X, Y) := Xp(X + Y, X) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} q(X + Y, X).$$

Theorem 9 is equivalent to the exactness of the sequence

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0;a,b)} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{odd}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd}),$$

and Theorem 11 can be restated as the existence of the exact sequence

$$(1.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^-, \Gamma_B \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0;a,b)} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{odd}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

where  $\text{id}$  is just the inclusion map.

Let  $\Gamma_A$  be the congruence subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  defined by

$$\Gamma_A := \left\{ \gamma \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid \gamma \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2} \right\}.$$

Our first main theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 18.** *There exist the following 13 exact sequences.*

**Case 1:**

$$(1.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^-, \Gamma_A \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;b,0)} H_w(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

**Case 2:**

$$(1.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;b,0)} H_w(\text{even}; \text{even}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

**Case 3:**

$$(1.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(1;a,b)} H_{w+1}(\mathbf{1}; \text{even}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

$$(1.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;0,b)} H_w(\text{even}; \mathbf{0}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

$$(1.9) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^- \xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;1,b)} H_{w+1}(\text{odd}; \mathbf{1}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

**Case 4:**

$$(1.10) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^- \times \tilde{W}_{w+1}^+ \times \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{u_M} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(1;a,b)} H_{w+1}(\mathbf{1}; \text{even}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.11) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^- \times \tilde{W}_{w+1}^+ \times \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{u_M} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;1,b)} H_{w+1}(\text{even}; \mathbf{1}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.12) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^+ \times W_{w+1}^- \xrightarrow{u'_M} V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;0,b)} H_w(\text{odd}; \mathbf{0}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

where  $u'_M$  is a linear map defined by

$$u'_M(p(X, Y), q(X, Y)) = \frac{1}{2} (R(X, Y) + R(Y, X) - R(-X, Y) - R(Y, -X))$$

with  $R(X, Y) = Xp(X + Y, X) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} q(X + Y, X).$

**Case 5:**

$$(1.13) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^-, \Gamma_B \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;0,b)} H_w(\text{odd}; \mathbf{0}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even}).$$

**Case 6:**

$$(1.14) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}A(X, Y) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;0,b)} H_w(\text{even}; \mathbf{0}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.15) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;1,b)} H_{w+1}(\text{even}; \mathbf{1}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

$$(1.16) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a;b,0)} H_w(\text{even}; \text{odd}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.17) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(b;a,0)} H_w(\text{odd}; \text{even}, \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

where

$$A(X, Y) = (X + Y)^w + (-X + Y)^w.$$

Let us make some comments about this Theorem. Cases 1, 2 and 5 are the cases where whose second arrows are identity maps, but the modular groups differ. The most surprising case is Case 1, where the odd period polynomials for  $\Gamma_A$  appear. This seems to be the first non trivial example<sup>2</sup> of constructing relations among multiple zeta values (or their analogues) from modular forms for a congruence subgroup other than the  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . Cases 3, 4 and 5 deals with the exact sequences analogous to (1.1), (1.7) and (1.4), respectively. Finally, Case 6 is (almost) no relation cases. More precisely, the exactness of (1.14) implies that there is exactly one linear relation among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; 0, \text{odd})$ 's, whereas the exactness of (1.15), (1.16) and (1.17) says that there are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; 1, \text{even})$ 's,  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; \text{odd}, 0)$ 's or  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{odd}; \text{even}, 0)$ 's.

**1.4. The second main theorem: Ihara–Takao-type phenomena for non admissible motivic double zeta values.** For  $n \geq 0$ , we put  $J(n) := J(0; n)$  and  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(n) := J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0; n)$ . We extend the definition of  $\sigma_n$ . For a positive odd (resp. even) integer  $n$ , let  $\sigma_n$  be any element of  $\hat{A}^\vee$  (resp.  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^\vee$ ) such that  $\langle \sigma_n, 1 \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle \sigma_n, J(m-1) \rangle = \delta_{n,m}$ . For each  $w$ , we define a linear map  $\lambda : V_w^+ \rightarrow H_w^\vee$  by  $\lambda(X^i Y^j) := \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{j+1}$ . Then, for any submodule  $N \subset H_w^\vee$ , we can obtain the composite map  $V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w^\vee \rightarrow N^\vee$ . By abuse of notation, we also denote by  $\lambda$  this composite map if there is no risk of confusion. Theorem 14 is equivalent to the existence of the exact sequence

$$(1.18) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even}),$$

whereas, for odd  $w$ , it is easy to see that the following sequence is exact:

$$(1.19) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd}).$$

Moreover, for even  $w$ , we know that  $\bar{H}_w(\text{even}, \text{even}) = \bar{H}_w$ . Hence (1.18) can be restated as the existence of the exact sequence

$$(1.20) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{even}; \text{even})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even}).$$

Theorems 15 and 16 can be restated as the existence of the exact sequence

$$(1.21) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^- \oplus W_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{(p,q) \mapsto Xp + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} q} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{even}; \text{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

while Theorem 17 can be restated as the existence of the exact sequence

$$(1.22) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)} \xrightarrow{p(X,Y) \mapsto p(Y,X)} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{odd}; \text{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even}).$$

For odd  $w$ , we know that  $\bar{H}_w(\text{odd}, \text{even}) = \bar{H}_w$ . Hence (1.19) can be restated as the existence of the exact sequence

$$(1.23) \quad 0 \rightarrow V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{odd}; \text{even})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd}).$$

Our second main theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 19.** *There exist the following 13 exact sequences (each case corresponds to the one in Theorem 18).*

**Case 1:**

$$(1.24) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^{-, \Gamma_A} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\text{odd}; \text{odd}; \mathbf{0})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even}, w \geq 2)$$

**Case 2:**

$$(1.25) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\text{even}; \text{even}; \mathbf{0})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

<sup>2</sup>The reason why we did not count (1.4) as the first example is because the linear relation gives by (1.4) are nothing but the simple relation  $\zeta^m(2a, 2b) + \zeta^m(2b, 2a) \in \mathbb{Q}\zeta^m(2a + 2b)$ . For the sake of uniform description, we related even such simple formulas with the space of period polynomials, but the author does not know whether this is a natural interpretation or not.

**Case 3:**

$$(1.26) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{p \mapsto \int_0^Y p dY} V_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_{w+1}(\mathbf{1}; \mathbf{even}; \mathbf{even})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

$$(1.27) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{even}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{even})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

$$(1.28) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\partial_X^{-1} W_w^-) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_{w+1}(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

where

$$\partial_X^{-1} W_w^- := \left\{ p \in V_{w+1}^+ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial X} p(X, Y) \in W_w^- \right. \right\}.$$

**Case 4:**

$$(1.29) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\partial_Y^{-1} W_{w-1}^-) \times W_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{(p, q, c) \mapsto Xp + q} V_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_{w+1}(\mathbf{1}; \mathbf{even}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.30) \quad 0 \rightarrow (\partial_X^{-1} W_{w-1}^-) \times W_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{(p, q, c) \mapsto Yp + q} V_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_{w+1}(\mathbf{even}; \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.31) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^+ \oplus W_{w+1}^- \xrightarrow{(p, q) \mapsto Yp + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} q} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{even})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

where

$$\partial_Y^{-1} W_{w-1}^- := \left\{ p \in V_w^- \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} p(X, Y) \in W_{w-1}^- \right. \right\}$$

and

$$\partial_X^{-1} W_{w-1}^- := \left\{ p \in V_w^+ \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial X} p(X, Y) \in W_{w-1}^- \right. \right\}.$$

**Case 5:**

$$(1.32) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^-, \Gamma_0(2) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even}, w \geq 2)$$

**Case 6:**

$$(1.33) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}Y^w \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{even}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.34) \quad 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow V_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_{w+1}(\mathbf{even}; \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{even})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{even})$$

$$(1.35) \quad 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{even}; \mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{0})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

$$(1.36) \quad 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{even}; \mathbf{0})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \quad (w : \text{odd})$$

**1.5. Contents of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we define some notions and give some lemmas. In Sections 3, 4, 5, 6 and 7, we discuss GKZ and Ihara–Takao type formulas for the case  $J(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 0)$ , the case  $J(\text{even}; \text{even}, 0)$ , the cases similar to the case  $J(0; \text{even}, \text{even})$ , the cases similar to the case  $J(0; \text{even}, \text{odd})$ , and the cases similar to the case 7, respectively. In Section 8, we discuss the cases  $J(\text{even}; 1, \text{even})$ ,  $J(\text{even}; \text{odd}, 0)$  and  $J(\text{odd}; \text{even}, 0)$ , where there are almost no linear relations. In Appendix A, we give explicit expressions for the spaces of period polynomials for various congruence subgroups that appear in this paper.

## 2. SOME PRELIMINARIES

**2.1. Motivic multiple zeta values.** Let  $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$  be the category of mixed Tate motives over  $\mathbb{Z}$  which is a Tannakian category extracted from Voevodsky's triangulated category of motives (see [9]). There exist two special functors  $\omega_B, \omega_{dR} : \text{MT}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  (Betti and de Rham realizations), and the ring of motivic periods of  $\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$  is defined as the ring of functions on the scheme of tensor isomorphism from  $\omega_{dR}$  to  $\omega_B$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}} := \mathcal{O}(\text{Isom}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}(\omega_{dR}, \omega_B))$$

and a special ring homomorphism  $\text{per} : \mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  called period map is naturally defined (see [7]). For  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}$  and a word  $w$  in  $\{0, 1\}$ , the motivic iterated integral  $I^{\text{m}}(x; w; y) \in \mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}}$  is defined. They satisfy  $I^{\text{m}}(x; p_1 \cdots p_k; x) = \delta_{k,0}$ ,  $I^{\text{m}}(0; 0; 1) = I^{\text{m}}(0; 1; 1) = 0$ , the reversal formula  $I^{\text{m}}(x; p_1 \cdots p_k; y) = (-1)^k I^{\text{m}}(y; p_k \cdots p_1; x)$ , and the shuffle product formula  $I^{\text{m}}(x; w_1 \sqcup w_2; y) = I^{\text{m}}(x; w_1; y) \cdot I^{\text{m}}(x; w_2; y)$ . For an index  $(k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^d$  with  $d = 0$  or  $k_d > 1$ , a motivic multiple zeta value  $\zeta^{\text{m}}(k_1, \dots, k_d)$  is defined by  $(-1)^d I^{\text{m}}(0; 10^{k_1-1} \cdots 10^{k_d-1}; 1)$ . Furthermore,  $\text{per}(\zeta^{\text{m}}(k_1, \dots, k_d))$  is equal to the multiple zeta value  $\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_d)$ . It is shown by Brown ([5]) that  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}}$  is spanned by the motivic multiple zeta values and the reciprocal of motivic  $2\pi i$ . Let  $\mathcal{H}_k \subset \mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}}$  be the subspace spanned by all weight  $k$  motivic multiple zeta values, and  $\mathcal{H} := \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_k$  the graded ring of motivic multiple zeta values. Put  $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{H}/\zeta^{\text{m}}(2)\mathcal{H}$ . We denote the image of  $I^{\text{m}}(x; w; y)$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  by  $I^{\text{a}}(x; w; y)$ . By the Hopf structure of  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{MT}(\mathbb{Z})}^{\text{m}}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}$  becomes a commutative non-cocommutative Hopf algebra and  $\mathcal{H}$  becomes  $\mathcal{A}$ -comodule. Its coproduct

(resp. coaction) structure  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{H}$ ) was explicitly computed by Goncharov (resp. by Brown) and is given by

$$\Delta I^\bullet(a_0; a_1, \dots, a_k; a_{k+1}) = \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{0=i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_r < i_{r+1}=k+1} \prod_{j=0}^r I^a(a_{i_j}; a_{i_j+1}, \dots, a_{i_{j+1}-1}; a_{i_{j+1}}) \otimes I^\bullet(a_{i_0}; a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}; a_{i_{r+1}})$$

for  $\bullet = \mathfrak{a}$  (resp.  $\bullet = \mathfrak{m}$ ). Let  $\mathcal{U} := (\mathbb{Q}\langle f_3, f_5, f_7, \dots \rangle, \sqcup, \text{dec})$  be the graded commutative non-cocommutative Hopf algebra whose product and coproduct are given by shuffle product and deconcatenation respectively, and the degree of  $f_i$  is defined to be  $i$ . We regard  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[f_2]$  as the graded Hopf comodule of  $\mathcal{U}$  by  $\Delta(f_2) = 1 \otimes f_2$ . Then the graded Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (resp. graded Hopf comodule  $\mathcal{H}$ ) is non-canonically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{U}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathbb{Q}[f_2]$ ). We can show that

$$(2.1) \quad \{u \in \mathcal{H}_k \mid \Delta u = (u \bmod \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2)) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes u, \text{ per}(u) = 0\} = \{0\},$$

and this gives a useful necessary and sufficient condition that a given  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear sum of motivic iterated integrals becomes zero.

**2.2. Coaction and antipode formulas for motivic multiple zeta values.** One of the main tools to study motivic multiple zeta values is their coproduct structure. Define a linear map  $\psi : \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  by

$$\psi(x_0^{k_0} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2}) = k_0! k_1! k_2! J(k_0; k_1, k_2).$$

We define an inner product  $\langle, \rangle_2$  on  $\mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$  by

$$\langle p(X, Y), q(X, Y) \rangle_2 = p(\partial X, \partial Y) q(X, Y)|_{X=Y=0},$$

in other words

$$\langle X^a Y^b, X^{a'} Y^{b'} \rangle_2 = a! b! \delta_{a, a'} \delta_{b, b'}.$$

Similarly, we also define an inner product  $\langle, \rangle_3$  on  $\mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  by

$$\langle p(x_0, x_1, x_2), q(x_0, x_1, x_2) \rangle_3 = p(\partial X, \partial Y, \partial Z) q(X, Y, Z)|_{X=Y=Z=0}.$$

Furthermore, we denote by  $\langle, \rangle_H : \tilde{\mathcal{H}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^\vee \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}$  the natural pairing map.

**Lemma 20.** *For  $p \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  and  $q \in V_w^+$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(p(x_0, x_1, x_2)), \lambda(q(X, Y)) \rangle_H &= \langle p(x_0, x_1, x_2), q(x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0) + q(x_2 - x_1, x_1 - x_0) - q(x_2 - x_1, x_2 - x_0) \rangle_3 \\ &= \langle p(-X - Y, X, Y) + p(-Y, -X + Y, X) - p(-Y, -X, X + Y), q(X, Y) \rangle_2. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $J(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d) \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  is defined by a motivic iterated integral

$$J(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d) = I^{\mathfrak{m}}(0'; 0^{k_0} 10^{k_1} \dots 10^{k_d}; 1').$$

Brown's coproduct formula is for iterated integral with tangential base points  $\vec{1}_0$  and  $-\vec{1}_1$ , but the same formula also holds for iterated integral with tangential base points  $0'$  and  $1'$ , i.e.,

(2.2)

$$\Delta I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a'_0; a_1, \dots, a_k; a'_{k+1}) = \sum_{r=0}^k \sum_{0=i_0 < i_1 < \dots < i_r < i_{r+1}=k+1} \prod_{j=0}^r I^a(a'_{i_j}; a_{i_j+1}, \dots, a_{i_{j+1}-1}; a'_{i_{j+1}}) \otimes I^{\mathfrak{m}}(a'_{i_0}; a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_r}; a'_{i_{r+1}})$$

for  $a_0, \dots, a_{k+1} \in \{0, 1\}$ . We put

$$\mathfrak{J}(t_0; t_1, \dots, t_d) := \sum_{k_0, \dots, k_d=0}^{\infty} t_0^{k_0} \dots t_d^{k_d} J(k_0; k_1, \dots, k_d).$$

Then by (2.2) we have

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1, x_2) &= 1 \otimes \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1, x_2) + \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}(x_0; x_1, x_2) \otimes 1 \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}(x_0; x_1) \otimes \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_2) + \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}(x_1; x_2) \otimes \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1) - \tilde{\mathfrak{J}}(-x_2; -x_1) \otimes \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_2) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{\mathfrak{J}}(-; -) := (\mathfrak{J}(-; -) \bmod \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(2))$ . (See [11, Theorem 5.1] for detail, where Goncharov gives an explicit formula for the coproduct of such type generating function at the level of formal symbols for general depth, and the case  $m = 2$ ,  $(a_0, a_1, a_2, a_3) = (0, 1, 1, 1)$  of [11, Theorem 5.1] and (2.2) give (2.3). Since  $\langle \mathfrak{J}(x; y), \sigma_{n+1} \rangle = \langle \mathfrak{J}(0; y - x), \sigma_{n+1} \rangle = (y - x)^n$  and  $\langle \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1, x_2), \sigma_{m+1} \sigma_{n+1} \rangle_H = \sum_j \langle f_j, \sigma_{m+1} \rangle \cdot \langle g_j, \sigma_{n+1} \rangle$  with  $\mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1, x_2) = \sum_j f_j \otimes g_j$ , (2.3) gives

$$\langle \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1, x_2), \lambda(q(X, Y)) \rangle_H = q(x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0) + q(x_2 - x_1, x_1 - x_0) - q(x_2 - x_1, x_2 - x_0).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi(p(x_0, x_1, x_2)), \lambda(q(X, Y)) \rangle_H &= \langle \langle \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1, x_2), p(x_0, x_1, x_2) \rangle_3, \lambda(q(X, Y)) \rangle_H \\ &= \langle p(x_0, x_1, x_2), \langle \mathfrak{J}(x_0; x_1, x_2), \lambda(q(X, Y)) \rangle_H \rangle_3 \\ &= \langle p(x_0, x_1, x_2), q(x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0) + q(x_2 - x_1, x_1 - x_0) - q(x_2 - x_1, x_2 - x_0) \rangle_3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the first equality of the lemma is proved. The second equality is obvious by the definition.  $\square$

It is known that for  $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $\Delta(u) - 1 \otimes u - u \otimes 1 = 0$  if and only if  $u \in \mathfrak{D}_1 \tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ . As corollaries of the above lemma, we have the following lemmas.

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $p = p(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $w$ . Then  $\psi(p) \in \mathbb{Q}J(w+1)$  if and only if  $p(-X - Y, X, Y) + p(-Y, -X + Y, X) - p(-Y, -X, X + Y) \in V_w^-$ .*

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $w$  be a positive integer,  $N_0, N_1, N_2$  subsets of  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , and  $q(X, Y) \in V_w^+$ . Then  $\lambda(q)|_{H_w(N_0; N_1, N_2)} = 0$  if and only if for all  $n_0 \in N_0, n_1 \in N_1, n_2 \in N_2$ , the coefficients of  $x_0^{n_0} x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2}$  in*

$$q(x_1 - x_0, x_2 - x_0) + q(x_2 - x_1, x_1 - x_0) - q(x_2 - x_1, x_2 - x_0)$$

*vanish.*

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer,  $p = p(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Q}[x_0, x_1, x_2]$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $w$ , and  $q(X, Y) \in V_w^+$ . Then*

$$\langle \psi(p(x_0, x_1, x_2)), \lambda(q(X, Y)) \rangle_H = \langle \psi(p(x_1, x_0, x_2)), \lambda(q(Y, X)) \rangle_H.$$

**Lemma 24.** *Let  $a \in 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Then for  $q \in \mathbb{Q}[X^2, Y]$ ,*

$$\langle J(1; a, b), \lambda(q(X, Y)) \rangle_H = \left\langle J(0; a, b), \lambda\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial Y} q(X, Y)\right) \right\rangle_H.$$

Recall that  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}} = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}/\zeta^m(2)\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$  has a structure of a Hopf algebra. Let  $\mathbb{S} : \tilde{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  be the antipode of this Hopf algebra. Note that  $\mathbb{S}$  is an automorphism of  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ . By Lemma 23, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 25.** *For nonnegative integers  $a, b$  and  $c$  such that  $a + b + c$  is even, we have*

$$\mathbb{S}(J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; b, c)) = J_{\mathfrak{D}}(b; a, c).$$

**2.3. Preliminaries for modular forms.** For a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , we denote by  $S_k(\Gamma)$  (resp.  $M_k(\Gamma)$ ) the  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear vector space of weight  $k$  cusp (resp. modular) forms for  $\Gamma$ . Many modular phenomena for multiple zeta values occur via the period polynomials of modular forms, which are defined as follows.

Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer and  $k = w + 2$ . For a level  $m$  congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$  and  $f(z) = \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}} a_n e^{2\pi i n z} \in M_k(\Gamma)$ , we put  $L(f, s) := \sum_{n \in \frac{1}{m}\mathbb{Z}_{>0}} a_n n^{-s}$  and  $\tilde{L}(f, s) := (2\pi)^{-s} \Gamma(k-s)^{-1} L(f, s)$ . Note that  $\tilde{L}(f, n) = 0$  for integers  $n$  except for the case  $0 \leq n \leq k$ . We denote by  $V_w \subset \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$  the space of homogeneous polynomial of degree  $w$  in  $X$  and  $Y$ . We define an even (resp. odd) period polynomial  $P_f^+(X, Y)$  (resp.  $P_f^-(X, Y)$ ) by the sum

$$k! \sum_n \tilde{L}(f, n) X^{n-1} Y^{k-n-1}$$

where  $n$  runs all odd (resp. even) integers between 0 and  $k$ . Then  $P_f^+(X, Y) \in V_w^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and  $P_f^-(X, Y) \in \frac{1}{XY} V_{w+2}^+ \otimes \mathbb{C}$ . Furthermore,  $P_f^-(X, Y) \in V_w \otimes \mathbb{C}$  if and only if  $f$  vanishes at two cusps 0 and  $\infty$ . We define the space of even (resp. odd) period polynomials with complex or rational coefficients by

$$W_{w, \mathbb{C}}^{+, \Gamma} := \{P_f^+(X, Y) \mid f \in M_{w+2}(\Gamma)\}, \quad W_{w, \mathbb{C}}^{-, \Gamma} := \{P_f^-(X, Y) \mid f \in \tilde{S}_{w+2}(\Gamma)\},$$

$$W_w^{+, \Gamma} := W_{w, \mathbb{C}}^{+, \Gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}[X, Y], \quad W_w^{-, \Gamma} := W_{w, \mathbb{C}}^{-, \Gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}[X, Y]$$

where  $\tilde{S}_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  is the subspace of  $M_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  consisting modular forms  $f$  which vanish at two cusps 0 and  $\infty$ . We simply write  $W_{w, \mathbb{C}}^{\pm, \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$  and  $W_w^{\pm, \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})}$  as  $W_{w, \mathbb{C}}^{\pm}$  and  $W_w^{\pm}$ , respectively. We define the right action of  $\mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})]$  to  $\mathbb{C}(X, Y)$  by

$$p(X, Y)|_{\gamma} = p(aX + bY, cX + dY) \quad (\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}).$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T = US^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ T' &= U^2 S^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M = SUSU^2 S = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\Gamma_A$ ,  $\Gamma_B$  and  $\Gamma_0(2)$  the congruence subgroups of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_A &:= \Gamma(2) \sqcup U\Gamma(2) \sqcup U^2\Gamma(2), \\ \Gamma_B &:= \Gamma(2) \sqcup S\Gamma(2),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Gamma_0(2) := \Gamma(2) \sqcup T\Gamma(2)$$

where  $\Gamma(2)$  is the principal congruence subgroup of level 2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}W_w^+ &= \{p \in V_w^+ : p|_{1+S} = p|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)} &= \{p \in V_w^+ : p|_{(1-T)(1+M)} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{+, \Gamma_A} &= \{p \in V_w^+ : p|_{1+U+U^2} = p|_{S+SU+SU^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^- &= \{p \in V_w^- : p|_{1+S} = p|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{-, \Gamma_A} &= \{p \in V_w^- : p|_{1+U+U^2} = p|_{S+SU+SU^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{-, \Gamma_B} &= \{p \in V_w^- : p|_{1+S} = 0\},\end{aligned}$$

and for these cases,  $W_{w, \mathbb{C}}^{\pm, \Gamma} = W_w^{\pm, \Gamma} \otimes \mathbb{C}$  and the maps  $f \mapsto P_f^{\pm}$  are injective (see Lemma 61).

### 3. THE CASE $J(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 0)$

The following two theorems (Theorems 26 and 27) says that (1.24) and (1.5) are exact.

**Theorem 26.** *Let  $w$  be a positive even integer. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow W_w^{+, \Gamma_A} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 0)^\vee \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* It is enough to check that  $\ker \lambda = W_w^{+, \Gamma_A}$ . Let  $p(X, Y) \in V_w^+$ . By Lemma 22,  $\lambda(p(X, Y)) = 0$  if and only if

$$p(X, Y)|_{(T'+T)(1-\epsilon)} = 0.$$

Since  $p(X, Y)|_\epsilon = p(X, Y)$ , we have

$$p(X, Y)|_{(T'+T)(1-\epsilon)} = p(X, Y)|_{(U+U^2-SUS-SU^2S)S}.$$

Thus the condition  $p(X, Y)|_{(T'+T)(1+\epsilon)} = 0$  is equivalent to

$$p(X, Y)|_{1+U+U^2} = p(X, Y)|_{1+(SUS)+SU^2S}.$$

Thus  $W_w^{+, \Gamma_A} \subset \ker \lambda$ . On the other hand if  $p(X, Y) \in \ker \lambda$  then  $q = p(X, Y)|_{1+U+U^2} = p(X, Y)|_{1+(SUS)+SU^2S}$  vanishes since  $q|_U = q$  and  $q|_{SUS} = q$ . Thus  $\ker \lambda \subset W_w^{+, \Gamma_A}$ . Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 27.** *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer. Then there is an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow W_w^{-, \Gamma_A} \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_w^- \xrightarrow{\phi} H_w(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 0) \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\phi$  is a linear map defined by  $\phi(X^a Y^b) = a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; b, 0)$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to check  $\ker \phi = \text{Im } u$ . Let  $p \in V_w^-$ . By Lemma 21,  $\phi(p) = 0$  is and only if

$$\begin{aligned}0 &= p|_{(T+T')(1+\epsilon)} \\ &= p|_{(1+U+U^2)S} - p|_{(1+SU^2S+SU^2S)S}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $W_w^{-, \Gamma_A} \subset \ker \phi$ . On the other hand if  $p \in \ker \phi$  then  $q = p|_{1+U+U^2} = p|_{1+(SUS)+SU^2S}$  vanishes since  $q|_U = q$  and  $q|_{SUS} = q$ . Thus  $\ker \phi \subset W_w^{-, \Gamma_A}$ . Hence the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Example 28.** By Theorem 27, for a nonnegative even integer  $w$ , an odd period polynomial of a weight  $w+2$  modular form for  $\Gamma_A$  gives a linear relation among  $\{J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; b, 0) \mid a, b \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, a+b=w\}$ . For example  $w=4$ , the space of weight  $w+2$  cusp forms for  $\Gamma_A$  is generated by the square roots of Ramanujan delta  $\sqrt{\Delta}$ , and its odd period polynomial is  $c(XY^3 - XY^3)$  where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Thus  $1!3!J(1; 3, 0) - 3!1!J(3; 1, 0) \in \mathbb{Q}\zeta^{\text{m}}(6)$ . In fact,

$$1!3!J(1; 3, 0) - 3!1!J(3; 1, 0) = 11\zeta^{\text{m}}(6).$$

See Table 1 for other weights.

| $w$ | generator of $S_{w+2}(\Gamma_A)$ | generator of $W_w^{-, \Gamma_A}$                 | relations $(F(a, b) := a!b!J(a; b, 0))$                                                                |
|-----|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 4   | $\sqrt{\Delta}$                  | $XY^3 - X^3Y$                                    | $F(1, 3) - F(3, 1) = 11\zeta^m(6)$                                                                     |
| 8   | $E_4\sqrt{\Delta}$               | $XY^7 - 2X^3Y^5 + 2X^5Y^3 - X^7Y$                | $F(1, 7) - 2F(3, 5) + 2F(5, 3) - F(7, 1)$<br>$= 2^3 3^2 5 \cdot 29\zeta^m(10)$                         |
| 10  | $E_6\sqrt{\Delta}$               | $2XY^9 - 3X^3Y^7 + 3X^7Y^3 - 2X^9Y$              | $2F(1, 9) - 3F(3, 7) + 3F(7, 3) - 2F(9, 1)$<br>$= \frac{2^4 3^3 5 \cdot 7^2 10243}{691}\zeta^m(12)$    |
|     | $\Delta$                         | $4XY^9 - 25X^3Y^7 + 42X^5Y^5 - 25X^7Y^3 + 4X^9Y$ | $4F(1, 9) - 25F(3, 7) + 42F(5, 5) - 25F(7, 3) + 4F(9, 1)$<br>$= 2^5 3^4 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11\zeta^m(12)$ |

TABLE 1. Example of Theorem 27

**Example 29.** The even period polynomials for  $\sqrt{\Delta} \in M_{4+2}(\Gamma_A)$  and  $E_4\sqrt{\Delta} \in M_{8+2}(\Gamma_A)$  are constant multiples of  $Y^4 - 4X^2Y^2 + X^4$  and  $3Y^8 - 16X^2Y^6 + 20X^4Y^4 - 16X^6Y^2 + 3X^8$ , respectively. Thus by Theorem 26, we have

$$\langle J(a; b, 0), \sigma_1\sigma_5 - 4\sigma_3\sigma_3 + \sigma_5\sigma_1 \rangle = 0 \quad (a, b : \text{odd})$$

and

$$\langle J(a; b, 0), 3\sigma_1\sigma_9 - 16\sigma_3\sigma_7 + 20\sigma_5\sigma_5 - 16\sigma_7\sigma_3 + 3\sigma_9\sigma_1 \rangle = 0 \quad (a, b : \text{odd}).$$

#### 4. THE CASE $J(\text{even}; \text{even}, 0)$

Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer. We put

$$\begin{aligned} H_w^{\text{sym}} &:= \langle J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; w-a, 0) + J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-a; a, 0) \mid 0 \leq a \leq w \rangle \\ H_w^{\text{asym}} &:= \langle J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; w-a, 0) - J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-a; a, 0) \mid 0 \leq a \leq w \rangle, \\ H_{w, \text{odd}}^{\text{sym}} &:= \langle J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; w-a, 0) + J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-a; a, 0) \mid 0 < a < w, a : \text{odd} \rangle \subset H_w^{\text{sym}} \cap H_w(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 0), \\ H_{w, \text{even}}^{\text{sym}} &:= \langle J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; w-a, 0) + J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-a; a, 0) \mid 0 \leq a \leq w, a : \text{even} \rangle \subset H_w^{\text{sym}} \cap H_w(\text{even}; \text{even}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 30.** We have

$$H_{w, \text{odd}}^{\text{sym}} \subset H_{w, \text{even}}^{\text{sym}}.$$

*Proof.* We denote by  $V_w^{-, \text{sym}}$  the set of symmetric polynomials in  $V_w^-$ . The lemma is equivalent to the congruence

$$\psi(g(X, Y)) \equiv 0 \pmod{H_{w, \text{even}}^{\text{sym}}}$$

for  $g(X, Y) \in V_w^{-, \text{sym}}$ . We prove this congruence by using the double shuffle relation. For a degree  $w$  homogeneous polynomial  $p(X, Y, Z)$ , define  $\psi(p) \in H_w$  by linearity and

$$\psi(X^a Y^b Z^c) = a!b!c!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; b, c).$$

Let  $a$  and  $b$  are nonnegative integer such that  $a + b = w$ . By the harmonic relation

$$J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a)J_{\mathfrak{D}}(b) = J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0; a, b) + J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0; b, a),$$

and the shuffle relation

$$a!b!J(a)J(b) = \sum_{a_1+a_2=a} \binom{a}{a_1} a_1!(b+a_2)!J(0; a_1, b+a_2) + \sum_{b_1+b_2=b} \binom{b}{b_1} b_1!(a+b_2)!J(0; b_1, a+b_2),$$

we have

$$\psi(Y^a Z^b + Z^a Y^b - (Y+Z)^a Z^b - (Y+Z)^b Z^a) = 0.$$

Since  $\psi(p(X, Y, Z)) = \psi(p(X, Y, -X-Y))$  for all  $p$ , we have

$$(4.1) \quad \psi((X+Y)^a (X^b - (-Y)^b) + (X+Y)^b (X^a - (-Y)^a)) = 0.$$

Note that (4.1) gives linear relations among the generators of  $H_w^{\text{sym}}$  (resp.  $H_w^{\text{asym}}$ ) for odd (resp. even)  $a$  and  $b$ . Hence, let us assume that  $a$  and  $b$  are odd. Let  $q(X, Y)$  be a symmetric odd polynomial  $X^a Y^b + X^b Y^a$ . Then (4.1) says that

$$(4.2) \quad \psi(q(X, Y)|_{US+U^2S}) = 0.$$

Since the odd part of  $q(X, Y)|_{US+U^2S}$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} q(X, Y)|_{(US+U^2S)(1-\epsilon)} &= \frac{1}{2} q(X, Y)|_{US+U^2S-\epsilon SU^2-\epsilon SU} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} q(X, Y)|_{(U+U^2)(S-1)} \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\psi(q(X, Y)|_{(U+U^2)(S-1)}) \equiv 0 \pmod{H_{w, \text{even}}^{\text{sym}}}.$$

We denote by  $\alpha : V_w^{-, \text{sym}} \rightarrow V_w^{-, \text{sym}}$  the map defined by  $\alpha(p) = p|_{(U+U^2)(S-1)}$ . Thus if  $\alpha$  is surjective then the lemma is proved. For  $p(X, Y) \in V_w^{-, \text{sym}} \cap \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ , by direct calculation, we have

$$\alpha(\alpha(p(X, Y))) \equiv p(X, Y) \pmod{3\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]}.$$

Thus  $\alpha$  is injective and thus surjective.  $\square$

**Lemma 31.** *We have*

$$H_w^{\text{sym}} = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\lfloor w/4 \rfloor} J_{\mathfrak{D}}(m) J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-2m).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbb{S} : H_w \rightarrow H_w$  be the antipode map. Then  $H_w$  is factored to  $\pm$ -part by the action of  $\mathbb{S}$ ;  $H_w = H_w^+ \oplus H_w^-$ . Then we can easily show that

$$H_w^+ = \bigoplus_{m=0}^{\lfloor w/4 \rfloor} J_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m) J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-2m).$$

By Lemma 25,  $\mathbb{S}(v) = v$  for  $v \in H_w^{\text{sym}}$  and  $\mathbb{S}(v) = -v$  for  $v \in H_w^{\text{asym}}$ . Thus  $H_w^{\text{sym}} \subset H_w^+$  and  $H_w^{\text{asym}} \subset H_w^-$ . Since  $H_w$  is spanned by  $H_w^{\text{sym}}$  and  $H_w^{\text{asym}}$ , we have

$$H_w^{\text{sym}} = H_w^+.$$

Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 32.** *The sequences (1.6) and (1.25) are exact.*

*Proof.* Define  $\phi : V_w^+ \rightarrow V_w^+$  by

$$\phi(p) := p|_{(2-US-U^2S-SU-SU^2)}.$$

By Lemmas 21 and 22, the sequences (1.6) and (1.25) are exact if and only if

$$W_w^+ = \{p \in V_w^+ \mid \phi(p) = 0\}.$$

Since we can easily check

$$W_w^+ \subset \{p \in V_w^+ \mid \phi(p) = 0\},$$

it is enough to prove  $p \in W_w^+$  for all  $p \in \ker(\phi)$ . Decompose  $p$  as  $p = p^+ + p^-$  where  $p^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} p|_{1 \pm S}$ . Since

$$\phi(q)|_S = \phi(q|_S)$$

for any  $q \in V_w^+$ , we have  $\phi(p^+) = \phi(p^-) = 0$ .

By definition, we have  $0 = \phi(p^-) = p^-|_{(1+U+U^2)(1-S)}$ . Put  $q = p^-|_{1+U+U^2}$ . Then  $q|_U = q$  and  $q|_S = q$ . Thus  $q = 0$  or  $w = 0$ . Therefore  $p^- \in W_w^+$ .

Let us prove  $p^+ = 0$  by contradiction. Suppose that  $p^+ \neq 0$ . Since  $\phi(p^+) = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{m=0}^{w/2} a_m(2m)!(w-2m)! J_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m; w-2m, 0) = 0$$

where  $p^+ = \sum_{m=0}^{w/2} a_m X^{2m} Y^{w-2m}$ . This gives a non-trivial linear relation among  $(J_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m; w-2m, 0) + J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-2m; 2m, 0))$ 's, and thus

$$\dim H_{w, \text{even}}^{\text{sym}} < \{m \in \mathbb{Z} \mid 0 \leq 2m \leq w-2m\} = 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{w}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Since  $H_{w, \text{even}}^{\text{sym}} = H_w^{\text{sym}}$  by Lemma 30, we have

$$\dim H_w^{\text{sym}} < 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{w}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

This contradicts Lemma 31. Hence,  $p^+ = 0$ .

Therefore  $p = p^+ + p^- \in V_w^+$ , and the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Example 33.** Let  $w$  be a positive even integer. Then even period polynomial for the weight  $w+2$  Eisenstein series is a constant multiple of  $X^w - Y^w$ . Thus by exactness of (1.6),

$$J(w; 0, 0) - J(0; w, 0) \in \mathbb{Q}\zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(w+2).$$

In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} J(w; 0, 0) - J(0; w, 0) &= \sum_{a=0}^{w-1} \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(a+1, w-a+1) \\ &= \zeta^{\mathfrak{m}}(w+2). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 34.** Let  $w = 10$ . The linear relations among  $\{J(0; 10, 0), J(2; 8, 0), \dots, J(10; 0, 0), \zeta^m(12)\}$  are spanned by  $J(10; 0, 0) - J(0; 10, 0) = \zeta^m(12)$  and

$$14J(2; 8, 0) - 9J(4; 6, 0) + 9J(6; 4, 0) - 14J(8; 2, 0) = -\frac{6248}{691}\zeta^m(12).$$

By multiplying a some rational number and taking a quotient by  $\mathbb{Q}\zeta^m(12)$ , we obtain

$$(4.3) \quad 1 \times 2!8!J(2; 8, 0) - 3 \times 4!6!J(4; 6, 0) + 3 \times 6!4!J(6; 4, 0) - 1 \times 2!8!J(8; 2, 0) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}\zeta^m(12)}.$$

Then the coefficients 1, -3, 3, 1 in (4.3) coincide with the coefficients of an even period polynomial

$$X^2Y^8 - 3X^4Y^6 + 3X^6Y^4 - X^8Y^2 \in W_{10}^+.$$

##### 5. THE CASES SIMILAR TO THE CASE $J(0; \text{even}, \text{even})$ : $J(1; \text{even}, \text{even})$ , $J(\text{even}; 0, \text{even})$ , $J(\text{odd}; 1, \text{odd})$

In this section, we prove the exactness of (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), (1.26), (1.27), (1.28). For the convenience of the reader, we also give a proof of the exactness of (1.1) and (1.20) because it can be proved simultaneously without any additional effort.

**Lemma 35.** *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer. Then  $u_{\text{GKZ}} : W_w^\pm \rightarrow V_w^\pm$  is injective.*

*Proof.* Note that

$$u_{\text{GKZ}}(p) = \frac{1}{2} p|_{SU^2S+U}.$$

Assume that  $u_{\text{GKZ}}(p) = 0$  for some  $p \in W_w^\pm$ . Then

$$0 = p|_{(SU^2S+U)U^2} = p|_{SU^2SU^2+1}.$$

Thus

$$p|_{(SU^2)^4} = p.$$

Since  $(SU^2)^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{Q}Y^w$ . Furthermore, since  $p|_{1+S} = 0$ ,  $p = 0$ . Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 36.** *Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer. Let  $p(X, Y) \in V_w^\pm$ . Then*

$$p(X, Y) - p(X + Y, Y) \pm p(X + Y, X) \in V_w^\mp,$$

*if and only if  $p(X, Y) \in W_w^\pm$ .*

*Proof.* We can assume  $w \geq 2$  since the case  $w = 0$  is obvious. The ‘if’ part is obvious, so it is enough to consider the ‘only if’ part. Assume that

$$(5.1) \quad p(X, Y) - p(X + Y, Y) \pm p(X + Y, X) \in V_w^\mp.$$

By swapping  $X$  and  $Y$  of (5.1), we have

$$(5.2) \quad p(Y, X) - p(X + Y, X) \pm p(X + Y, Y) \in V_w^\mp.$$

By (5.1) and (5.2), we have  $p(X, Y) \pm p(Y, X) \in V_w^\pm$ . Furthermore, since  $p(X, Y) \in V_w^\pm$ ,  $p(X, Y)|_{1+S} = 0$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= p(X, Y)|_{(1-T-T')(1\pm\epsilon)} \\ &= p(X, Y)|_{1-US-U^2S\pm\epsilon(1-SU^2-SU)} \\ &= p(X, Y)|_{1-US-U^2S+1-SU^2-SU} \\ &= p(X, Y)|_{(1+U+U^2)(1-US)} = p(X, Y)|_{(1+U+U^2)(1-U^2S)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $p(X, Y)|_{1+U+U^2} = 0$  since there is no nonzero polynomial annihilated by both  $1 - US$  and  $1 - U^2S$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 37.** *The sequences (1.1), (1.7), (1.8), (1.9), (1.20), (1.26), (1.27) and (1.28) are exact.*

*Remark 38.* The exactness of (1.1) and (1.20) is not new (and others are new results).

*Proof.* The exactness of (1.20) follows from Lemmas 22 and 36. The exactness of (1.26) and (1.27) follows from that of (1.20) and Lemmas 24 and 23.

Let us check the exactness of (1.28):

$$0 \rightarrow (\partial_X^{-1}W_w^-) \xrightarrow{\text{id}} V_{w+1}^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda} H_w(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{1}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $w$  is a nonnegative even integer. For  $p(X, Y) \in V_{w+1}^+$ , by Lemma 22,  $\lambda(p) = 0$  if and only if

$$p_1(Y - X, -X) - p_1(-X, Y) + p_2(Y, -X) + p_1(Y - X, Y) \in V_w^+$$

where  $p_1(Y, X) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} p(X, Y)$  and  $p_2(X, Y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} p(X, Y)$ . Thus,  $\lambda(p) = 0$  if and only if

$$p_1(X, Y) - p_1(X + Y, Y) - p_1(X + Y, X) \in V_w^+.$$

Note that  $p_1(X, Y) \in V_w^-$ . Therefore, by Lemma 36,  $\lambda(p) = 0$  if and only if  $p_1(X, Y) \in W_w^-$ . Thus  $\lambda(p) = 0$  if and only if  $\frac{\partial}{\partial X} p(X, Y) \in W_w^-$ . Thus (1.28) is exact.

Let us check the exactness of (1.1) and (1.9):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow W_w^+ &\xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0; a, b)} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{even}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0, \\ 0 \rightarrow W_w^- &\xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; 1, b)} H_{w+1}(\text{odd}; \mathbf{1}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 21 and direct calculation, we can show that these sequences are chain complex. By definition and Lemma 35, the left and right parts of these sequences are exact, i.e.,  $0 \rightarrow W_w^+ \xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^+$ ,  $0 \rightarrow W_w^- \xrightarrow{u_{\text{GKZ}}} V_w^-$ ,  $V_w^+ \rightarrow H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{even}, \text{even}) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $V_w^- \rightarrow H_{w+1}(\text{odd}; \mathbf{1}, \text{odd}) \rightarrow 0$  are exact. Furthermore, by exactness of (1.20) and (1.28),

$$\dim H_w(\mathbf{0}; \text{even}, \text{even}) = \frac{w}{2} + 1 - \dim W_w^+, \quad \dim H_{w+1}(\text{odd}; \mathbf{1}, \text{odd}) = \frac{w}{2} - \dim W_w^-.$$

Thus (1.1) and (1.9) are exact.

By Lemmas 24 and 23, the exactness of (1.7) and (1.8) follows from that of (1.1).  $\square$

**Example 39.** In [10, Example of Theorem 3], the authors give two examples of linear relations coming from the GKZ-relation:

$$28\zeta(3, 9) + 150\zeta(5, 7) + 168\zeta(7, 5) = \frac{5197}{691}\zeta(12),$$

$$66\zeta(3, 13) + 375\zeta(5, 11) + 686\zeta(7, 9) + 675\zeta(9, 7) + 396\zeta(11, 5) = \frac{78967}{3617}\zeta(16).$$

The exactness of (1.6) and (1.7) implies that  $J(\text{even}; \text{even}, 0)$ 's and  $J(1; \text{even}, \text{even})$ 's also satisfy very similar linear relations where the coefficients perfectly coincide (except for those of single zetas). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} 28J(2; 0, 8) + 150J(4; 0, 6) + 168J(6; 0, 4) &= \frac{118492}{691}\zeta^{\text{m}}(12), \\ 28J(1; 2, 8) + 150J(1; 4, 6) + 168J(1; 6, 4) &= -69\zeta^{\text{m}}(13), \\ 66J(2; 0, 12) + 375J(4; 0, 10) + 686J(6; 0, 8) + 675J(8; 0, 6) + 396J(10; 0, 4) &= \frac{3961222}{3617}\zeta^{\text{m}}(16), \\ 66J(1; 2, 12) + 375J(1; 4, 10) + 686J(1; 6, 8) + 675J(1; 8, 6) + 396J(1; 10, 4) &= -283\zeta^{\text{m}}(17). \end{aligned}$$

**Example 40.** Let us see the  $w = 10$  case of (1.9). The linear relations among  $\{J(1; 1, 9), J(3; 1, 7), \dots, J(9; 1, 1), \zeta^{\text{m}}(13)\}$  are given by

$$48J(1; 1, 9) + 119J(3; 1, 7) + 10J(5; 1, 5) - 144J(7; 1, 3) = 640\zeta^{\text{m}}(13).$$

By multiplying  $-30240$  and taking a quotient by  $\mathbb{Q}\zeta^{\text{m}}(13)$ , we obtain

$$(5.3) \quad -4 \times 1!9!J(1; 1, 9) - 119 \times 3!7!J(3; 1, 7) - 21 \times 5!5!J(5; 1, 5) + 144 \times 7!3!J(7; 1, 3) \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathbb{Q}\zeta^{\text{m}}(13)}.$$

On the other hand, the space  $W_{10}^-$  is spanned by

$$p(X, Y) := 4XY^9 - 25X^3Y^7 + 42X^5Y^5 - 25X^7Y^3 + 4X^9Y.$$

Then

$$(5.4) \quad u_{\text{GKZ}}(p(X, Y)) = \frac{p(-X - Y, X) + p(X - Y, X)}{2} = -4XY^9 - 119X^3Y^7 - 21X^5Y^5 + 144X^7Y^3.$$

Thus the same coefficients  $-4, -119, -21, 144$  appears in both (5.3) and (5.4).

## 6. THE CASES SIMILAR TO THE CASE $J(0; \text{even}, \text{odd})$ : $J(1; \text{even}, \text{odd})$ , $J(\text{even}; 1, \text{odd})$ , $J(\text{odd}; 0, \text{even})$

In this section, we prove the exactness of (1.10), (1.11), (1.12), (1.29), (1.30), (1.31). For the convenience of the reader, we also give a proof of the exactness of (1.2) and (1.21) because it can be proved simultaneously without any additional effort.

**Lemma 41.** *Let  $w \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $p(X, Y) \in W_{w-1}^{\mp}$  and  $q(X, Y) \in W_{w+1}^{\pm}$ . If  $Yp(X, Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} q(X, Y) = 0$  then  $p(X, Y) = q(X, Y) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By assumption, we have

$$(6.1) \quad Yp(X, Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X}q(X, Y) = 0.$$

By swapping  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= Xp(Y, X) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}q(Y, X) \\ &= \pm Xp(X, Y) \mp \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}q(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

By (6.1) and (6.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X \frac{\partial}{\partial X}q(X, Y) + Y \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}q(X, Y) \\ &= (w+1)q(X, Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $q(X, Y) = 0$ , and  $p(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{Y} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}q(X, Y) = 0$ . Hence the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 42.** Let  $w \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $f(X, Y) \in W_w^\pm$ . Put  $R(X, Y) := f(X + Y, X)$ . If

$$R(X, Y) \pm R(Y, X) \mp R(-X, Y) - R(Y, -X) = 0$$

then

$$f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}X^w.$$

*Proof.* By assumption, we have

$$(6.3) \quad 0 = f(X + Y, X) \pm f(X + Y, Y) \mp f(-X + Y, -X) - f(-X + Y, Y).$$

By swapping  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have

$$(6.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= f(X + Y, Y) \pm f(X + Y, X) \mp f(X - Y, -Y) - f(X - Y, X) \\ &= f(X + Y, Y) \pm f(X + Y, X) \pm f(-X + Y, Y) + f(-X + Y, -X) \\ \pm 0 &= f(X + Y, X) \pm f(X + Y, Y) + f(-X + Y, Y) \pm f(-X + Y, -X). \end{aligned}$$

By adding (6.3) and (6.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= f(X + Y, X) \pm f(X + Y, Y) \\ 0 &= f(X, Y) - f(X, -X + Y) \quad (X \mapsto Y, Y \mapsto X - Y). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$f(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}X^w. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 43.** Let  $w \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ,  $p(X, Y) \in W_{w-1}^\pm$  and  $q(X, Y) \in W_{w+1}^\mp$ . Put  $R(X, Y) := Xp(X + Y, X) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}q(X + Y, X)$ . If

$$R(X, Y) \pm R(Y, X) \mp R(-X, Y) - R(Y, X) = 0$$

then  $p(X, Y) = 0$  and  $q(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Q}(X^{w+1})$ .

*Proof.* Put

$$f(X, Y) := Yp(X, Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X}q(X, Y) \in V_w^\pm.$$

Then by definition,

$$R(X, Y) = f(X + Y, X).$$

Thus by Lemma 42,

$$f(X, Y) = \begin{cases} 0 & V_w^\pm = V_w^+ \\ cX^w & V_w^\pm = V_w^- \end{cases}$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Thus

$$Yp(X, Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\bar{q}(X, Y) = 0$$

where

$$\bar{q}(X, Y) = \begin{cases} q(X, Y) & V_w^\pm = V_w^+ \\ q(X, Y) - \frac{c}{w+1}(X^{w+1} - Y^{w+1}) & V_w^\pm = V_w^- \end{cases}.$$

Thus by Lemma 41, we have  $p(X, Y) = 0$  and  $\bar{q}(X, Y) = 0$ . Hence the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 44.** Let  $w \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $C(X, Y) \in V_w^\pm$ . Put  $D(X, Y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}C(X, Y) \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}C(Y, X)$ ,  $L(X, Y) = \pm C(X + Y, X) + C(X + Y, Y) - C(X, Y)$ ,  $S(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2}(L(X, Y) \pm L(-X, Y))$ ,  $S_1(X, Y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(S(X, Y) \pm S(Y, X))$ ,  $S_2(X, Y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(S(X, Y) \mp S(Y, X))$ . Then

$$\pm D(X + Y, X) + D(X + Y, Y) - D(X, Y) = S_1(X, Y) - S_2(X, X + Y).$$

*Proof.* By linearity, it is enough to only consider the case  $C(X, Y) = X^a Y^b$  where  $(-1)^a = \pm 1$  and  $(-1)^b = \mp 1$ . Then

$$p(X, Y) = a(X^{a-1}Y^b \pm Y^{a-1}X^b),$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \pm D(X + Y, X) + D(X + Y, Y) - D(X, Y) \\ &= a \left( \pm(X + Y)^{a-1}X^b + X^{a-1}(X + Y)^b + (X + Y)^{a-1}Y^b \pm Y^{a-1}(X + Y)^b - X^{a-1}Y^b \mp Y^{a-1}X^b \right). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$L(X, Y) = \pm(X + Y)^a X^b + (X + Y)^a Y^b - X^a Y^b,$$

$$S(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \pm(X + Y)^a X^b + (X + Y)^a Y^b - 2X^a Y^b - (X - Y)^a X^b + (X - Y)^a Y^b \right),$$

$$S(X, Y) \pm S(Y, X) = \pm(X + Y)^a X^b + (X + Y)^a Y^b - X^a Y^b \mp Y^a X^b,$$

$$S(X, Y) \mp S(Y, X) = -X^a Y^b \pm Y^a X^b - (X - Y)^a X^b + (X - Y)^a Y^b.$$

$$S_1(X, Y) = \frac{\partial}{\partial X}(S(X, Y) \pm S(Y, X)) = \pm(X + Y)^{a-1}X^{b-1}(aX + bX + bY) + a(X + Y)^{a-1}Y^b - aX^{a-1}Y^b \mp bY^a X^{b-1}.$$

$$S_2(X, Y) = -(X - Y)^{a-1}X^{b-1}(aX + bX - bY) + a(X - Y)^{a-1}Y^b - aX^{a-1}Y^b \pm bY^a X^{b-1}.$$

$$S_2(X, X + Y) = -(-Y)^{a-1}X^{b-1}(aX - bY) + a(-Y)^{a-1}(X + Y)^b - aX^{a-1}(X + Y)^b \pm b(X + Y)^a X^{b-1},$$

$$S_1(X, Y) - S_2(X, X + Y) = a \left( \pm(X + Y)^{a-1}X^b + X^{a-1}(X + Y)^b + (X + Y)^{a-1}Y^b \pm Y^{a-1}(X + Y)^b - X^{a-1}Y^b \mp Y^{a-1}X^b \right).$$

Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 45.** Let  $w \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $q(X, Y) \in V_{w+1}^\pm$ . Assume that  $q(X, Y) = \mp q(Y, X)$  and

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) (q(X, Y) - q(X + Y, Y) \pm q(X + Y, X)) \in V_w^\mp.$$

Then  $q(X, Y) \in \hat{W}_{w+1}^\pm$  where

$$\hat{W}_{w+1}^+ = W_{w+1}^+, \quad \hat{W}_{w+1}^- = W_{w+1}^- \oplus \mathbb{Q}(X^w + Y^w).$$

*Proof.* By changing  $X$  and  $Y$ ,

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) (q(X, Y) - q(X + Y, Y) \pm q(X + Y, X)) \in V_w^\pm.$$

Thus

$$\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) (q(X, Y) - q(X + Y, Y) \pm q(X + Y, X)) = 0.$$

Thus there exists  $c \in \mathbb{Q}$  such that

$$(6.5) \quad q(X, Y) - q(X + Y, Y) \pm q(X + Y, X) = c(X + Y)^{w+1}.$$

By changing  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have

$$(6.6) \quad -q(X, Y) \mp q(X + Y, X) + q(X + Y, Y) = \pm c(X + Y)^{w+1}.$$

By considering (6.5) plus (6.6), we have

$$c(1 \pm 1) = 0.$$

Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 46.** Let  $w \in 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  and  $C(X, Y) \in V_w^\pm$ . Then

$$C(X, Y) - C(X + Y, Y) \mp C(X + Y, X) \in V_w^\mp$$

if and only if there exists  $p(X, Y) \in W_{w-1}^\mp$  and  $q(X, Y) \in W_{w+1}^\pm$  such that

$$C(X, Y) = Xp(X, Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}q(X, Y).$$

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the ‘only if’ part. Put

$$p(X, Y) := \frac{1}{w+1} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X} C(X, Y) \pm \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} C(Y, X) \right) \in V_w^\mp.$$

$$q(X, Y) := \frac{1}{w+1} (YC(X, Y) \mp XC(Y, X)) \in V_w^\pm.$$

Then by definition,

$$(6.7) \quad Xp(X, Y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} q(X, Y) = C(X, Y).$$

By Lemma 44

$$p(X, Y) - p(X + Y, Y) \mp p(X + Y, X) = 0.$$

Thus

$$p(X, Y) \in W_w^\mp.$$

By (6.7), by modulo  $V_w^\mp$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\equiv C(X, Y) - C(X + Y, Y) \mp C(X + Y, X) \\ &= Xp(X, Y) - (X + Y)p(X + Y, Y) \mp (X + Y)p(X + Y, X) \\ &\quad + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} q(X, Y) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) q(X + Y, Y) \mp \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial X} - \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} \right) q(X + Y, X) \\ &\equiv (X + Y) (p(X, Y) - p(X + Y, Y) \mp p(X + Y, X)) \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) (q(X, Y) - q(X + Y, Y) \pm q(X + Y, X)) \\ &\equiv \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} - \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \right) (q(X, Y) - q(X + Y, Y) \pm q(X + Y, X)) \pmod{V_w^\mp}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 45,  $q(X, Y) \in \hat{W}_{w+1}^\pm$ . Furthermore, we can easily show that  $q(X, Y) \in W_{w+1}^\mp$ . Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 47.** *The sequences (1.2), (1.10), (1.11), (1.12), (1.21), (1.29), (1.30) and (1.31) are exact.*

*Remark 48.* The exactness of (1.2) and (1.21) is not new (and others are new results).

*Proof.* Let us check the exactness of (1.21) and (1.31):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^- \oplus W_{w+1}^+ &\xrightarrow{(p,q) \mapsto Xp + \frac{\partial}{\partial Y} q} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{even}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^+ \oplus W_{w+1}^- &\xrightarrow{(p,q) \mapsto Yp + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} q} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{\lambda_2} H_w(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{even})^\vee \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are linear maps induced from  $\lambda : V_w^+ \rightarrow H_w^\vee$ . By Lemma 41, the left parts  $0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^- \oplus W_{w+1}^+ \rightarrow V_w^+$  and  $0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^+ \oplus W_{w+1}^- \rightarrow V_w^+$  are exact. The exactness of the right parts is obvious. By Lemma 22,  $C(X, Y) \in \ker(\lambda_1)$  if and only if

$$C(X, Y) - C(X + Y, Y) - C(X + Y, X) \in V_w^-.$$

Thus (1.21) is exact by Lemma 46. On the other hand, by Lemma 22,  $C(Y, X) \in \ker(\lambda_2)$  if and only if

$$C(X, Y) - C(X + Y, Y) + C(X + Y, X) \in V_w^+.$$

Thus (1.31) is exact by Lemma 46.

The exactness of (1.29) and (1.30) follows from the exactness of (1.21) and Lemmas 24 and 23.

Let us check the exactness of (1.2) and (1.12):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^- \times \tilde{W}_{w+1}^+ \times \mathbb{Q} &\xrightarrow{u_M} V_w^+ \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0; a, b)} H_w(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{even}, \mathbf{odd}) \rightarrow 0 \\ 0 \rightarrow W_{w-1}^+ \times W_{w+1}^- &\xrightarrow{u'_M} V_w^- \xrightarrow{X^a Y^b \mapsto a!b!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(a; 0, b)} H_w(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{even}) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 21 and direct calculation, we can show that these sequences are chain complex. By Lemma 43, the left parts of these sequences are exact. The exactness of the right parts is obvious. Furthermore, by exactness of (1.21) and (1.31),

$$\dim H_w(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{even}; \mathbf{odd}) = \frac{w+1}{2} - \dim W_{w-1}^- - \dim W_{w+1}^+, \quad \dim H_w(\mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{0}; \mathbf{even}) = \frac{w+1}{2} - \dim W_{w-1}^+ - \dim W_{w+1}^-.$$

Thus (1.1) and (1.9) are exact.

By Lemmas 24 and 23, the exactness of (1.7) and (1.7) follows from that of (1.1).  $\square$

7. THE CASES  $J(0, \text{odd}, \text{odd})$ ,  $J(\text{odd}; 0, \text{odd})$ 

**Theorem 49** (Tasaka). *The sequences (1.4) and (1.22) are exact.*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a nonnegative even integer and  $\lambda' : V_w^+ \rightarrow H_w(\mathbf{0}; \mathbf{odd}; \mathbf{odd})^\vee$  the linear map induced from  $\lambda : V_w^+ \rightarrow H_w^\vee$ . Then, by Lemma 22, we have

$$\ker(p) = 0 \Leftrightarrow p|_{U-SU^2-SU^2S+US} = 0.$$

Since

$$p|_{U-SU^2-SU^2S+US} = p|_{-S(1-T)(1+M)SU},$$

the sequence (1.22) is exact. The exactness of (1.4) follows from that of (1.22) and the calculation of the dimension.  $\square$

**Theorem 50.** *The sequences (1.13) and (1.32) are exact.*

*Proof.* It follows from Lemma 23 and Theorem 49.  $\square$

8. ALMOST NO RELATION CASES:  $J(\text{even}; 1, \text{even})$ ,  $J(\text{even}; \text{odd}, 0)$ ,  $J(\text{odd}; \text{even}, 0)$ 

We put  $J_!(m_0; m_1, m_2) := m_0!m_1!m_2!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(m_0; m_1, m_2)$  and  $J_!(n) := n!J_{\mathfrak{D}}(0; n)$ . By Lemma 21, for an odd integer  $w$  and  $m_0 + m_1 + m_2 = w$ , we have

$$(8.1) \quad J_!(m_0, m_1, m_2) = \sum_{n=0}^{(w-1)/2} a_n J_!(2n) J_!(w-2n)$$

where  $a_n$  is the coefficient of  $X^{2n}Y^{w-2n}$  in

$$(-X-Y)^{m_0}X^{m_1}Y^{m_2} + (-Y)^{m_0}(-X+Y)^{m_1}X^{m_2} - (-Y)^{m_0}(-X)^{m_1}(X+Y)^{m_2}.$$

Note that  $\{J_!(2n)J_!(w-2n)\}$  is linearly independent.

**Theorem 51.** *There are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; 1, \text{even})$ 's.*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a positive odd integer. By (8.1),

$$J_!(w-1-2m; 1, 2m) = \sum_{n=0}^{(w-1)/2} a_{m,n} J_!(2n) J_!(w-2n)$$

for  $0 \leq m \leq (w-1)/2$  where

$$a_{m,n} = \delta_{m,n} + \binom{w-1-2m}{2n-1} + \binom{2m}{2n-1}.$$

Since  $a_{m,n} \equiv \delta_{m,n} \pmod{2}$ , the matrix  $(a_{m,n})_{0 \leq m, n \leq w/2}$  is invertible. Thus there are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(w-2m-1; 1, 2m)$ 's.  $\square$

**Theorem 52.** *There are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; \text{odd}, 0)$ 's.*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a positive odd integer. By (8.1),

$$J_!(2m; w-2m, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{(w-1)/2} a_{m,n} J_!(2n) J_!(w-2n)$$

for  $0 \leq m \leq (w-1)/2$  where

$$a_{m,n} = \binom{2m}{w-2n} + \binom{w-2m}{2n}.$$

Then the matrix  $M_w = (a_{m,n})_{0 \leq m, n \leq (w-1)/2}$  is invertible since

$$M_w \equiv \left( \binom{w-2m}{2n} \right)_{0 \leq m, n \leq (w-1)/2} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} * & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \\ 1 & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \pmod{2}.$$

Thus there are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m; w-2m, 0)$ 's.  $\square$

*Remark 53.* We found following observation concerning the determinant of  $M_w$ :

$$\det(M_w) \stackrel{?}{=} \prod_{\substack{n=1 \\ n:\text{odd}}}^w c_n$$

where

$$c_n = (-1)^{(n-1)/2} \times \left( \left( \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n + \left( \frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2} \right)^n \right) \begin{cases} 1 & n \equiv 1, 5 \pmod{6} \\ \frac{1}{4} & n \equiv 3 \pmod{6}. \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 54.** *There are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m+1; 2n, 0)$ 's.*

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a positive odd integer. By (8.1),

$$J_1(w-2m; 2m, 0) = \sum_{n=0}^{(w-1)/2} \left( -\binom{w-2m}{2n-2m} - \binom{2m}{2n} + \delta_{n,m} \right) J_1(2n) J_1(w-2n).$$

for  $0 \leq m \leq (w-1)/2$ . Thus the claim is equivalent to

$$A_w := \det \left( -\binom{w-2m}{2n-2m} - \binom{2m}{2n} + \delta_{n,m} \right)_{0 \leq m, n \leq (w-1)/2} \neq 0.$$

Define  $\eta_w : V_w^+ \rightarrow V_w^+$  by

$$\eta_w(p(X, Y)) = \frac{1}{2} (-p(X, X+Y) - p(X, -X+Y) - p(X-Y, Y) - p(X+Y, Y) + 2p(X, Y)).$$

Then

$$\det \eta_w = A_w.$$

Define  $\Phi'_w : V_w^+ \rightarrow V_w$  and  $\Phi_w : V_w^+ \rightarrow V_w^+$  by

$$\Phi'_w(p(X, Y)) = p(Y, X+Y) - p(X+Y, X) + p(X, Y)$$

and

$$\Phi_w(p(X, Y)) = \Phi'_w(p(X, Y) + p(-X, Y)).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2\Phi'_w(\eta_w(p(X, Y))) &\equiv (p(Y, X-2Y) + 2p(Y, X+Y)) \\ &\quad - 3p(X, X+Y) - 3p(X+Y, Y) \\ &\quad + (-p(X+2Y, X+Y) + p(X-Y, -2X+Y)) \\ &\quad + (-p(-2X+Y, X) - 2p(X+Y, X)) \\ &\quad + 2p(X, Y) \pmod{V_w^-} \end{aligned}$$

for  $p(X, Y) \in V_w^+$ . We define the integral part of  $V_w^+$  by  $V_{w,\mathbb{Z}}^+ := V_w^+ \cap \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ . Then for  $p(X, Y) \in V_{w,\mathbb{Z}}^+$ ,

$$\Phi_w \circ \eta_w(p(X, Y)) \equiv p(X, Y) \pmod{3V_{w,\mathbb{Z}}^+}.$$

Thus

$$A_w \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}.$$

Therefore, the theorem is proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 55.** *There are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; 0, \text{odd})$ 's except for*

$$\sum_{m=0}^{(w-1)/2} J_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m; 0, w-2m) = 0$$

where  $w$  is a positive odd integer.

*Proof.* Let  $w$  be a positive odd integer. By (8.1),

$$J_1(2m; 0, w-2m) = \sum_{n=1}^{(w-1)/2} \left( \binom{2m}{2n} - \binom{w-2m}{2n} \right) J_1(2n) J_1(w-2n)$$

for  $0 \leq m \leq (w-1)/2$ . Thus the identity  $\sum_{m=0}^{(w-1)/2} J_{\mathfrak{D}}(2m; 0, w-2m) = 0$  can be checked easily from this, and the claim is equivalent to

$$\det \left( \binom{2m}{2n} - \binom{w-2m}{2n} \right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq (w-1)/2} \neq 0.$$

For  $1 \leq n \leq (w-1)/2$ , since

$$\binom{2m}{2n} - \binom{w-2m}{2n} = \binom{\frac{w}{2}+x}{2n} - \binom{\frac{w}{2}-x}{2n} \quad (x := 2m - w/2),$$

there exists a polynomial  $P_n$  of degree  $n-1$  such that

$$\binom{2m}{2n} - \binom{w-2m}{2n} = \left(2m - \frac{w}{2}\right) P_n \left(\left(2m - \frac{w}{2}\right)^2\right).$$

Since

$$xP(x^2) = \frac{1}{(2n)!} \left( \prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{w}{2} - j + x\right) - \prod_{j=0}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{w}{2} - j - x\right) \right),$$

the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $P_n$  is

$$\frac{2}{(2n)!} \sum_{j=0}^{2n-1} \left(\frac{w}{2} - j\right) = \frac{w-2n+1}{(2n-1)!}.$$

Thus, by the Vandermonde's determinant formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det \left( \binom{2m}{2n} - \binom{w-2m}{2n} \right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq (w-1)/2} &= \det \left( \left(2m - \frac{w}{2}\right) P_n \left(\left(2m - \frac{w}{2}\right)^2\right) \right)_{1 \leq m, n \leq (w-1)/2} \\ &= \left( \prod_{m=1}^{(w-1)/2} \left(2m - \frac{w}{2}\right) \right) \left( \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq (w-1)/2} \left( \left(2j - \frac{w}{2}\right)^2 - \left(2i - \frac{w}{2}\right)^2 \right) \right) \\ &\quad \times \left( \prod_{n=1}^{(w-1)/2} \frac{w-2n+1}{(2n-1)!} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{w-1}{2} \right)! \times \begin{cases} -1 & w \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the theorem is proved.  $\square$

## 9. REMARKS

In this section, we make some comment for the cases not treated in Theorems 18 and 19. For the cases  $J(1; \text{odd}, \text{odd})$  and  $J(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 1)$ , we have following conjectures.

**Conjecture 56.** *There are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(1; \text{odd}, \text{odd})$ 's.*

**Conjecture 57.** *There are no linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{odd}; \text{odd}, 1)$ 's.*

Let  $w$  be a positive even integer. By (8.1),

$$J_1(1; w-2m-1, 2m+1) = \sum_{n=0}^{w/2-1} \left( -\delta_{m,n} + \binom{w-2m-1}{2n} - \binom{2m+1}{2n} \right) J_1(w-2n) J_1(2n+1)$$

and

$$J_1(2m+1; w-2m-1, 1) = \sum_{n=0}^{w/2-1} \left( -\delta_{m,n} + \binom{w-2m-1}{2n-2m} - \binom{2m+1}{2n} \right) J_1(w-2n) J_1(2n+1)$$

by Lemma 21 ( $n = w/2$  terms vanish). Thus Conjectures 56 and 57 are equivalent to

$$\det \left( -\delta_{m,n} + \binom{w-2m-1}{2n} + \binom{2m+1}{2n} \right)_{0 \leq m, n \leq w/2-1} \neq 0$$

and

$$\det \left( -\delta_{m,n} + \binom{w-2m-1}{2n-2m} - \binom{2m+1}{2n} \right)_{0 \leq m, n \leq w/2-1} \neq 0,$$

respectively. Numerical calculation<sup>3</sup> suggests

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{sgn} \left( \det \left( -\delta_{m,n} + \begin{pmatrix} w-2m-1 \\ 2n \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2m+1 \\ 2n \end{pmatrix} \right)_{0 \leq m,n \leq w/2-1} \right) &= \begin{cases} 1 & w/2 \equiv 0, 2, 5 \pmod{6} \\ -1 & w/2 \equiv 1, 3, 4 \pmod{6}. \end{cases} \\ \operatorname{sgn} \left( \det \left( -\delta_{m,n} + \begin{pmatrix} w-2m-1 \\ 2n-2m \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 2m+1 \\ 2n \end{pmatrix} \right)_{0 \leq m,n \leq w/2-1} \right) &= (-1)^{w/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus one might be able to prove Conjectures 56 and 57 by giving a good approximation of the value of these determinants.

For other cases, numeric calculation suggests that the dimensions of the linear relations among  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; \text{even}, 1)$ 's,  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(1; \text{odd}, \text{even})$ 's,  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{odd}; 1, \text{even})$ 's,  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{odd}; \text{even}, 1)$ 's, and  $J_{\mathfrak{D}}(\text{even}; \text{odd}, 1)$ 's are given by 1,  $\dim S_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ ,  $\dim S_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ ,  $\dim M_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ , and  $\dim M_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ , respectively where  $k$  is a weight. However, the author could not find any good description of these relations.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers, JP18J00982 and JP18K13392. The author would like to thank Koji Tasaka and Nobuo Sato for useful comments on the draft of this paper.

#### APPENDIX A. PERIOD POLYNOMIALS FOR $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , $\Gamma_0(2)$ , $\Gamma_A$ , $\Gamma_B$

**A.1. Popa and Pasol's formulation of period polynomials.** In this section, we state the formulation of period polynomials stated in [22]. Fix a non-negative integer  $w$  and a finite index congruence subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We assume that  $\epsilon\Gamma\epsilon = \Gamma$ . We denote by  $V_w$  the space of degree  $w$  homogeneous polynomial of  $X$  and  $Y$ . We put  $\hat{V}_w = \frac{1}{XY} V_{w+2}$ . We denote by  $\hat{V}_w^\Gamma$  the space of maps  $P : \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \hat{V}_w$  such that  $P \left( \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} C \right) = (-1)^w P(C)$  for all  $C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ . We define the right action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  to  $\hat{V}_w^\Gamma$  by  $P|_\gamma(C) = P(\epsilon^m C \gamma^{-1})|_\gamma$  where  $m = 0$  if  $\det(\gamma) = 1$  and  $m = 1$  if  $\det(\gamma) = -1$ . This action does not preserve  $\hat{V}_w^\Gamma$ , but we can define the following spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^\Gamma &= \{P \in \hat{V}_w^\Gamma : P|_{1+S} = P|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}, \\ \mathcal{W}_w^\Gamma &= \{P \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^\Gamma : P(C) \in V_w \text{ for all } C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the action of  $\epsilon$  preserve  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^\Gamma$  and  $\mathcal{W}_w^\Gamma$ . Thus we can get the decomposition  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^\Gamma = \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma,+} \oplus \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma,-}$  and  $\mathcal{W}_w^\Gamma = \mathcal{W}_w^{\Gamma,+} \oplus \mathcal{W}_w^{\Gamma,-}$  where

$$\hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma,\pm} = \{P \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^\Gamma : P|_\epsilon = \pm P\}, \quad \mathcal{W}_w^{\Gamma,\pm} = \{P \in \mathcal{W}_w^\Gamma : P|_\epsilon = \pm P\}.$$

For  $f \in M_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  and  $C \in \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , define  $f|_C \in M_{w+2}(C^{-1}\Gamma C)$  by  $f|_C(z) = (cz+d)^{-w-2} f(\frac{az+b}{cz+d})$  where  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in C$ . Furthermore, define  $\hat{\rho}_f \in \hat{V}_w^\Gamma$  by  $\hat{\rho}_f(C) = P_{f|_C}$ . Then we can show  $\hat{\rho}_f \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^\Gamma$  for  $f \in M_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  and  $\hat{\rho}_f \in \mathcal{W}_w^\Gamma$  for  $f \in S_{w+2}(\Gamma)$ . We put  $\hat{\rho}_f^\pm = \frac{1}{2}(\hat{\rho}_f \pm \hat{\rho}_f|_\epsilon)$  and  $\hat{\rho}^\pm(f) = \hat{\rho}_f^\pm$ .

**Theorem 58** ([22, Theorem 2.1], Popa–Pasol, Eichler–Shimura). *Let  $C_w^{\Gamma,\pm}$  be the subspace of  $\mathcal{W}_w^{\Gamma,\pm}$  defined in [22]. Then the composite map*

$$S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}^\pm} \mathcal{W}_w^{\Gamma,\pm} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_w^\Gamma / C_w^{\Gamma,\pm}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

Here we do not explain the definition of  $C_w$  because we only use the injectivity of  $S_{w+2}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\hat{\rho}_f^\pm} \mathcal{W}_w^{\Gamma,\pm}$ .

**Theorem 59** ([22, Proposition 8.4]). *The spaces  $M_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  and  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma,\pm}$  have same dimensions. If the extended Peterson Scalar product on  $M_{w+2}(\Gamma)$  defined in [23] is nondegenerate then the maps  $\hat{\rho}^\pm : M_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma,\pm}$ ,  $f \mapsto \hat{\rho}_f^\pm$  are isomorphisms.*

**Theorem 60** ([23, Theorem 4.4]). *For  $k > 2$  and  $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_1(N), \Gamma_0(N)\}$ , the extended Peterson Scalar product on  $M_k(\Gamma)$  is nondegenerate. Furthermore, for  $k = 2$  and  $\Gamma \in \{\Gamma_1(p), \Gamma_0(p)\}$  with  $p$  prime, the extended Peterson Scalar product on  $M_k(\Gamma)$  is also nondegenerate.*

<sup>3</sup>We check this up to  $w \leq 200$ .

**A.2. Period polynomials for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\Gamma_0(2)$ ,  $\Gamma_A$ ,  $\Gamma_B$ .** In this subsection, we show the following.

**Proposition 61.** *The even period maps*

$$M_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow W_w^{+, \Gamma} ; f \mapsto P_f^+$$

for  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\Gamma_0(2)$ , and  $\Gamma_A$ , and the odd period maps

$$\tilde{S}_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow W_w^{-, \Gamma} ; f \mapsto P_f^-$$

for  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\Gamma_A$ , and  $\Gamma_B$  are injective. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} W_w^+ &= \{p \in V_w : p|_{1-\epsilon} = p|_{1+S} = p|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)} &= \{p \in V_w : p|_{1-\epsilon} = p|_{(1-T)(1+M)} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{+, \Gamma_A} &= \{P \in V_w : p|_{1-\epsilon} = p|_{1+U+U^2} = p|_{S+SU+SU^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^- &= \{p \in V_w : p|_{1+\epsilon} = p|_{1+S} = p|_{1+U+U^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{-, \Gamma_A} &= \{p \in V_w : p|_{1+\epsilon} = p|_{1+U+U^2} = p|_{S+SU+SU^2} = 0\}, \\ W_w^{-, \Gamma_B} &= \{p \in V_w : p|_{1+\epsilon} = p|_{1+S} = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

The case  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  is obvious and well-known. So let us check the other cases.

**Lemma 62.** *The even period map  $M_k(\Gamma_0(2)) \rightarrow W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)}$  is injective (and thus an isomorphism) and*

$$W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)} = \{p \in V_w : p|_{1-\epsilon} = p|_{(1-T)(1+M)} = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* By Theorems 59 and 60,  $\hat{\rho}^\pm : M_k(\Gamma_0(2)) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), \pm}$  are isomorphisms. We denote by  $C$ ,  $C'$ , and  $C''$  the cosets represented by 1,  $U$ ,  $U^2$  respectively. Then by definition,  $\hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), \pm}$  is the space of maps  $P$  from  $\{C, C', C''\}$  to  $\hat{V}_w$  such that

$$0 = P(C)|_S + P(C') = P(C'')|_{1+S} = P(C) + P(C')|_{U^2} + P(C'')|_U = P(C)|_{1-\epsilon} = P(C'')|_{1-\epsilon}.$$

Thus  $P \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), \pm}$  is determined by  $P(C)$  since  $P(C') = -P(C)|_S$  and  $P(C'') = P(C)|_{SU-U^2}$ . Thus the even period map  $M_k(\Gamma_0(2)) \rightarrow W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)}$  is injective. Let  $P \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), \pm}$ . By  $P(C'') = P(C)|_{-U^2+SU}$  and  $P(C'')|_{1+S} = 0$ ,

$$0 = P(C)|_{(SU-U^2)(1+S)} = P(C)|_{(1-T)SU(1+S)} = P(C)|_{(1-T)(1+M)SUS}.$$

Thus  $P(C)|_{(1-T)(1+M)} = 0$ . Therefore,

$$W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)} \subset \{p \in V_w : p|_{1-\epsilon} = p|_{(1-T)(1+M)} = 0\}.$$

Let  $p \in \{p \in V_w : p|_{1-\epsilon} = p|_{(1-T)(1+M)} = 0\}$ . Define  $P : \{C, C', C''\} \rightarrow V_w$  by  $P(C) = p$ ,  $P(C') = -p|_S$ , and  $P(C'') = p|_{SU-U^2}$ . Then  $P \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), \pm}$ . Thus

$$\{p \in V_w : p|_{1-\epsilon} = p|_{(1-T)(1+M)} = 0\} \subset W_w^{+, \Gamma_0(2)}.$$

Thus the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 63.** *The odd period map  $\tilde{S}_k(\Gamma_B) \rightarrow W_w^{-, \Gamma_B}$  is injective (and thus an isomorphism) and*

$$W_w^{-, \Gamma_B} = \{p \in V_w : p|_{1+\epsilon} = p|_{1+S} = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $C$ ,  $C'$  and  $C''$  be the same as in the proof of Lemma 62. We remark that

$$M_k(\Gamma_B) = \{f|_{C''} : f \in M_k(\Gamma_0(2))\}.$$

Note that

$$W_w^{-, \Gamma_B} = \{P(C'') : P \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), -}\} \cap V_w.$$

Let  $P \in \hat{\mathcal{W}}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), -}$  and  $p = P(C'')$ . Then

$$P(C)|_{T-1} = p|_U.$$

Since  $P(C)|_{1+\epsilon} = 0$ ,  $P(C)$  is uniquely determined by  $p$ . Thus  $\tilde{S}_k(\Gamma_B) \rightarrow W_w^{-, \Gamma_B}$  is injective. The inclusion

$$W_w^{-, \Gamma_B} \subset \{p \in V_w : p|_{1+\epsilon} = p|_{1+S} = 0\}$$

is obvious from the definition.

Let  $p \in \{p \in V_w : p|_{1+\epsilon} = p|_{1+S} = 0\}$ . Then there is unique  $q \in \frac{X}{Y}V_w$  such that

$$q|_{1-T} = p|_U.$$

Then

$$q|_{(1+\epsilon)(1-T)} = p|_{U-\epsilon SU} = 0.$$

Thus  $q|_{1+\epsilon} \in \mathbb{Q}Y^w$ . Since  $q \in \frac{X}{Y}V_w$ ,  $q|_{1+\epsilon} = 0$ . Now, if we define  $P : \{C, C', C''\} \rightarrow \hat{V}_w$  by

$$P(C) = q, P(C') = -q|_S, P(C'') = p,$$

then  $P \in \hat{W}_w^{\Gamma_0(2), -}$ . Thus

$$\{p \in V_w : p|_{1+\epsilon} = p|_{1+S} = 0\} \subset W_w^{-, \Gamma_B}.$$

Hence the lemma is proved.  $\square$

**Lemma 64.** *Then even (resp. odd) period map  $M_k(\Gamma_A) \rightarrow W_w^{+, \Gamma_A}$  (resp.  $S_k(\Gamma_A) \rightarrow W_w^{-, \Gamma_A}$ ) is injective (and thus an isomorphism) and*

$$W_w^{\pm, \Gamma_A} = \{p \in V_w^{\pm} : p|_{1+U+U^2} = p|_{S+SU+SU^2} = 0\}.$$

*Proof.* First, let us prove the injectivity of  $\hat{\rho}^{\pm} : M_k(\Gamma_A) \rightarrow \hat{W}_w^{\Gamma_A, \pm}$ . Let  $f \in M_k(\Gamma_A)$  and assume that  $\hat{\rho}^{\pm}(f) = 0$ . Then  $f$  can be written as  $f = f' + f''$  where  $f' \in M_k(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$  and  $f'' \in \sqrt{\Delta}M_{k-6}(\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Then  $\hat{\rho}^{\pm}(f') = \hat{\rho}^{\pm}(f'') = 0$  since  $\hat{\rho}^{\pm}(f) = 0$ ,  $f' = \frac{1}{2}f|_{1+S}$ , and  $f'' = \frac{1}{2}f|_{1-S}$ . Thus  $f' = 0$  by the injectivity of the period map for  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , and  $f'' = 0$  by the injectivity of  $\hat{\rho}^{\pm} : S_k(\Gamma_A) \rightarrow \hat{W}_w^{\Gamma_A, \pm}$  (Theorem 58). Thus  $\hat{\rho}^{\pm} : M_k(\Gamma_A) \rightarrow \hat{W}_w^{\Gamma_A, \pm}$  is injective and therefore an isomorphism. The claim of the lemma easily follows from this isomorphism.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] Henrik Bachmann. Modular forms and  $q$ -analogues of modified double zeta values. *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg*, 2018. online first article.
- [2] Samuel Baumard and Leila Schneps. Period polynomial relations between double zeta values. *Ramanujan J.*, 32(1):83–100, 2013.
- [3] D. J. Broadhurst and D. Kreimer. Association of multiple zeta values with positive knots via Feynman diagrams up to 9 loops. *Phys. Lett. B*, 393(3-4):403–412, 1997.
- [4] Johannes Broedel, Oliver Schlotterer, and Stephan Stieberger. Polylogarithms, multiple zeta values and superstring amplitudes. *Fortschr. Phys.*, 61(9):812–870, 2013.
- [5] Francis Brown. Mixed Tate motives over  $\mathbb{Z}$ . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(2):949–976, 2012.
- [6] Francis Brown. Depth-graded motivic multiple zeta values. 2013. arXiv:1301.3053.
- [7] Francis Brown. Motivic periods and  $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. II*, pages 295–318. Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014.
- [8] Francis Brown. Zeta elements in depth 3 and the fundamental Lie algebra of the infinitesimal Tate curve. *Forum Math. Sigma*, 5:e1, 56, 2017.
- [9] Pierre Deligne and Alexander B. Goncharov. Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixte. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 38(1):1–56, 2005.
- [10] Herbert Gangl, Masanobu Kaneko, and Don Zagier. Double zeta values and modular forms. In *Automorphic forms and zeta functions*, pages 71–106. World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006.
- [11] A. B. Goncharov. Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and noncommutative geometry. *Duke Math. J.*, 128(2):209–284, 2005.
- [12] Yasutaka Ihara. Some arithmetic aspects of Galois actions in the pro- $p$  fundamental group of  $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ . In *Arithmetic fundamental groups and noncommutative algebra (Berkeley, CA, 1999)*, volume 70 of *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, pages 247–273. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [13] Masanobu Kaneko and Koji Tasaka. Double zeta values, double Eisenstein series, and modular forms of level 2. *Math. Ann.*, 357(3):1091–1118, 2013.
- [14] Thang Tu Quoc Le and Jun Murakami. Kontsevich’s integral for the Kauffman polynomial. *Nagoya Math. J.*, 142:39–65, 1996.
- [15] Tu Quoc Thang Le and Jun Murakami. Kontsevich’s integral for the Homfly polynomial and relations between values of multiple zeta functions. *Topology Appl.*, 62(2):193–206, 1995.
- [16] Tu Quoc Thang Le and Jun Murakami. The universal Vassiliev-Kontsevich invariant for framed oriented links. *Compositio Math.*, 102(1):41–64, 1996.
- [17] Jiangtao Li. The depth structure of motivic multiple zeta values. *Math. Ann.*, 374(1-2):179–209, 2019.
- [18] Jiangtao Li and Fei Liu. Motivic double zeta values of odd weight. *manuscripta mathematica*, 2020. online first article.
- [19] Ding Ma. Connections between Double Zeta Values relative to  $\mu_N$ , Hecke Operators  $T_N$ , and Newforms of Level  $\Gamma_0(N)$  for  $N = 2, 3$ , 2015. arXiv:1511.06102.
- [20] Ding Ma. Period polynomial relations between formal double zeta values of odd weight. *Math. Ann.*, 365(1-2):345–362, 2016.
- [21] Ding Ma and Koji Tasaka. Relationships between multiple zeta values of depths 2 and 3 and period polynomials, 2017. arXiv:1707.08178.
- [22] Vicențiu Pașol and Alexandru A. Popa. Modular forms and period polynomials. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 107(4):713–743, 2013.
- [23] Vicențiu Pașol and Alexandru A. Popa. On the Petersson scalar product of arbitrary modular forms. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 142(3):753–760, 2014.
- [24] O. Schlotterer and S. Stieberger. Motivic multiple zeta values and superstring amplitudes. *J. Phys. A*, 46(47):475401, 37, 2013.
- [25] Leila Schneps. On the Poisson bracket on the free Lie algebra in two generators. *J. Lie Theory*, 16(1):19–37, 2006.
- [26] Koji Tasaka. Hecke eigenform and double Eisenstein series. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 148(1):53–58, 2020.
- [27] D. Zagier. Evaluation of the multiple zeta values  $\zeta(2, \dots, 2, 3, 2, \dots, 2)$ . *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(2):977–1000, 2012.

(Minoru Hirose) INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED RESEARCH, NAGOYA UNIVERSITY, FURO-CHO, CHIKUSA-KU, NAGOYA, 464-8602, JAPAN  
Email address: minoru.hirose@math.nagoya-u.ac.jp