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#### Abstract

We consider a sum of the derivatives of Dirichlet $L$ functions over the zeros of Dirichlet $L$-functions. We give an asymptotic formula for the sum.


## 1. Introduction

Let $s=\sigma+$ it denote a complex variable. The Dirichlet $L$-function attached to $\chi$ is defined by

$$
L(s, \chi)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s}} \quad(\sigma>1)
$$

where $\chi(n)$ is a Dirichlet character modulo $q$. For $\chi(\bmod 1)$ we get the Riemann $\zeta$-function $L(s, \chi)=\zeta(s)$. The Generalized Riemann Hypothesis (GRH) states that all zeros of every Dirichlet $L$-function in the strip $0<\sigma<1$ lie on the line $\sigma=1 / 2$. We denote the zeros in the strip $0<\sigma<1$ by $\rho_{\chi}=\beta_{\chi}+i \gamma_{\chi}$. A Dirichlet character is said to be primitive when it is not induced by any other character of modulus strictly less than $q$. The unique principal character modulo $q$ is denoted by $\chi_{0}$. When $\chi=\chi_{0}$, we have $L\left(s, \chi_{0}\right)=\zeta(s) \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)$, where, and in what follows, $p$ denotes a prime number. For a Dirichlet character $\chi(\bmod q)$ the Gauss sum is defined by

$$
\tau(\chi)=\sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) \exp \left(2 \pi i \frac{a}{q}\right) .
$$

For a primitive character $\chi(\bmod q)$ we have $|\tau(\chi)|=\sqrt{q}$.
In this paper, $T$ is a positive number which always tends to $+\infty$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Our main theorem is

[^0]Theorem 1.1. Let $c_{1}$ be a positive constant. Let $\chi(\bmod q)$ be a primitive character. Then, uniformly for $q \leq \exp \left(c_{1} \sqrt{\log T}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{0<\gamma_{\chi} \leq T} L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right)= & \frac{1}{4 \pi} T\left(\log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}+a_{1} \frac{T}{2 \pi} \log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}+a_{2} \frac{T}{2 \pi}+a_{3} \\
& +O(T \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T})),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the implicit constant is absolute, $c$ is a positive absolute constant depends on $c_{1}$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1}=\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}-1 \\
a_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}+\left(\gamma_{0}-1\right) \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \\
-\frac{3}{2} \sum_{p \mid q} p\left(\frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}+1-\gamma_{0}-\gamma_{0}^{2}+3 \gamma_{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

with the stieltjes constants $\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}$ and

$$
a_{3}=\frac{\omega \chi(-1) \tau(\bar{\chi}) \tau(\bar{\omega} \chi)}{q \varphi(q)} \frac{L^{\prime}(\beta, \omega)}{\beta}\left(\frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{\beta}
$$

when $L(s, \omega)$ with a quadratic character $\omega(\bmod q)$ has an exceptional zero $\beta$, otherwise $a_{3}=0$.

Assuming the GRH, we can replace the error term by $(q T)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ uniformly for $q \ll T^{1-\varepsilon}$.

Remark 1. Let $q$ be a prime power. If we could obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\gamma_{\chi} \leq T}\left|L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right)\right|^{2} \ll T(\log q T)^{4} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the implicit constant is absolute, we could replace the error term by $\sqrt{q T}(\log q T)^{\frac{7}{2}}$ under the GRH. We will give the details at the last section. In view of Gonek's formula (12), the above estimate (1) may be plausible.

When $q=1$, the above theorem implies Fujii's Theorem 1 in [2]. Our proof is a generalization of his method. However, it is not easy to obtain his Theorem 2 in [2] and we give a weaker statement. Kaptan, Karabulut and Yıldırım [6] consider more general cases and give the
asymptotic formula, that is for $\mu \geq 1$ and $q \leq(\log T)^{A}$ with any fixed $A>0$

$$
\sum_{0 \leq \gamma_{\chi} \leq T} L^{(\mu)}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right)=\frac{(-1)^{\mu}}{\mu+1} \frac{T}{2 \pi}\left(\log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{\mu+1}+O\left(T(\log T)^{\mu+\varepsilon}\right)
$$

for any fixed $\varepsilon>0$. Our result is the case $\mu=1$ in their paper and gives a more sophisticated formula. Jakhlouti and Mazhouda [5] consider the sum

$$
\sum_{\substack{\rho_{a, \chi} \\ 0<\gamma_{a, \chi} \leq T}} L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{a, \chi}, \chi\right) X^{\rho_{a, \chi}}
$$

where $\rho_{a, \chi}=\beta_{a, \chi}+i \gamma_{a, \chi}$ are the zeros of $L(s, \chi)-a$ for any fixed complex number $a$ and $X$ is a fixed positive number. They also fix $\chi$ throughout their paper. Hence our main theorem treats a special case of their sum, but our result gives a more precise form because we do not fix $\chi$.

## 2. Preliminaries

The Dirichlet $L$-function attached to a primitive character $\chi(\bmod q)$ satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(s, \chi)=\Delta(s, \chi) L(1-s, \bar{\chi}) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\Delta(s, \chi)=\varepsilon(\chi) 2^{s} \pi^{s-1} q^{\frac{1}{2}-s} \Gamma(1-s) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(s+\kappa)
$$

when we put

$$
\kappa=\frac{1-\chi(-1)}{2}
$$

and

$$
\varepsilon(\chi)=\frac{\tau(\chi)}{i^{\kappa} \sqrt{q}}
$$

We note that $\Delta(s, \chi)$ is a meromorphic function with only real zeros and poles satisfying the functional equation

$$
\Delta(s, \chi) \Delta(1-s, \bar{\chi})=1
$$

By Stirling's formula, we can show that

Lemma 2.1. For $-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2$ and $t \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(1-s, \chi)=\frac{\tau(\chi)}{\sqrt{q}} e^{-\frac{\pi i}{4}}\left(\frac{q t}{2 \pi}\right)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(i t \log \frac{q t}{2 \pi e}\right)\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s, \chi)=-\log \frac{q t}{2 \pi}+O\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A theorem from [4] and an application of the Phragmen-Lindelöf principle yields the estimate

$$
\begin{gather*}
L(s, \chi) \ll(q(|t|+2))^{\frac{3}{16}+\varepsilon} \text { for } \frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1+\frac{1}{\log q T},  \tag{5}\\
L(s, \chi) \ll(q(|t|+2))^{\frac{1}{2}} \log q(|t|+2) \text { for } \quad-\frac{1}{\log q T} \leq \sigma<\frac{1}{2} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

uniformly in $|t| \ll T$ for any non-principal Dirichlet character $\chi(\bmod q)$. When we assume the GRH, the bound of (5) can be replaced by $(q(|t|+$ 2) $)^{\varepsilon}$. For the principal character, we need the restriction $|s-1| \gg 1$ in (5). For the logarithmic derivative it is known that for $q \geq 1$ and $\chi$ $(\bmod q)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi)=\sum_{\left|t-\gamma_{\chi}\right| \leq 1} \frac{1}{s-\rho_{\chi}}+O(\log q(|t|+2)) \quad \text { for } \quad-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2,|t| \geq 1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see [9, p. 225]). For $q \geq 1, \chi(\bmod q)$ and $t \geq 0$ we have (see 9, p. 220])

$$
\begin{align*}
N(t+1, \chi)-N(t, \chi) & :=\#\left\{\rho_{\chi}=\beta_{\chi}+i \gamma_{\chi}: t<\gamma_{\chi} \leq t+1\right\} \\
& \ll \log q(t+2) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence for any $T_{0} \geq 0$, there exists a $t=t(\chi), t \in\left(T_{0}, T_{0}+1\right]$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\gamma_{\chi}}\left|t-\gamma_{\chi}\right| \gg \frac{1}{\log q(t+2)} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the expression (7), it follows that for $q \geq 1, \chi(\bmod q)$ and $t$ satisfying (9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(\sigma+i t, \chi) \ll(\log q(|t|+2))^{2} \quad \text { for } \quad-1 \leq \sigma \leq 2 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly. This estimate is valid for $\left|s-\rho_{\chi}\right| \gg(\log (q(|t|+2)))^{-1}$ though $t$ is not satisfying (9).

We will apply the following approximate functional equation for $L(s, \chi)$.

Lemma 2.2 (A. F. Lavrik [7]). We let $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1,2 \pi x y=t, x \geq 1$ and $y \geq 1$. Then for $t>0$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(s, \chi)= & \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s}}+\Delta(s, \chi) \sum_{n \leq y} \frac{\bar{\chi}(n)}{n^{1-s}} \\
& +O\left(\sqrt{q}\left(y^{-\sigma}+x^{\sigma-1}(q t)^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma}\right) \log 2 t\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, for $t>t_{0}>0$ and $\sigma>1$, using partial summation, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(s, \chi)=\sum_{n \leq q t} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{s}}+O\left(\frac{q|s|}{\sigma}(q t)^{-\sigma}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will use the following modified Gonek's lemma ([3, Lemma 5]).
Lemma 2.3. Let $\left\{b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $b_{n} \ll n^{\varepsilon}$ for any $\varepsilon>0$. Let $a>1$ and let $m$ be a non-negative integer. Then for any sufficiently large $T$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{1}^{T}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n}}{n^{a+i t}}\right) \Delta(1-a-i t, \chi)\left(\log \frac{q t}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} d t \\
= & \frac{\tau(\chi)}{q} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q T / 2 \pi} b_{n} e\left(-\frac{n}{q}\right)(\log n)^{m}+O\left(\left|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_{n}}{n^{a}}\right|(q T)^{a-1 / 2}(\log q T)^{m}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is provided implicitly by Steuding in [10].

## 3. Proof in the unconditional case

In this section we prove the claim of the unconditional part of Theorem 1.1. Let $(\log 2 q)^{-1} \ll b \leq 1$ and $T \geq 2$ be such that

$$
\min _{\gamma_{\chi}}\left|b-\gamma_{\chi}\right| \gg \frac{1}{\log 2 q} \quad \text { and } \quad \min _{\gamma_{\chi}}\left|T-\gamma_{\chi}\right| \gg \frac{1}{\log q T}
$$

We prove the theorem under this situation. At the end of the proof, we remove this restriction. Let $a=1+(\log q T)^{-1}$ and define the contour $C$ as the positively oriented rectangular path with vertices $a+i b, a+i T, 1-a+i T$ and $1-a+i b$. By the residue theorem, our sum can be written as a contour integral

$$
\sum_{0<\gamma_{\chi} \leq T} L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi) L^{\prime}(s, \chi) d s+E
$$

where $E$ consists of the terms $L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right)$ with $0<\gamma_{\chi}<b$.
For zeros $\rho_{\chi}=\beta_{\chi}+i \gamma_{\chi}$ with $0<\gamma_{\chi}<b$ we have

$$
L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right) \ll q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log 2 q)^{2}
$$

by (5), (6) and the Cauchy's integral formula applied to the circle with centre $\rho_{\chi}$ and radius $(\log 2 q)^{-1}$. Therefore, by (8), we have

$$
E=\sum_{0<\gamma_{\chi}<b} L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right) \ll q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log 2 q)^{2} \sum_{0<\gamma_{\chi}<b} 1 \ll q^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log 2 q)^{3} .
$$

Next we consider the contour integral

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{C} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi) L^{\prime}(s, \chi) d s \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\{\int_{a+i b}^{a+i T}+\int_{1-a+i T}^{1-a+i b}+\int_{a+i T}^{1-a+i T}+\int_{1-a+i b}^{a+i b}\right\} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \chi) L^{\prime}(s, \chi) d s \\
= & I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3}+I_{4},
\end{aligned}
$$

say.
By the Laurent expansion of the Riemann $\zeta$-function, it is easily seen that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{1} & =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(a+i t, \chi) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(m) \Lambda(m) \chi(n) \log n}{(m n)^{a}} \int_{b}^{T} \frac{d t}{(m n)^{i t}} \\
& \ll\left|\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(a)\right|\left|\zeta^{\prime}(a)\right| \ll(\log q T)^{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Lambda(m)$ is the von-Mangoldt function. To estimate the integral on the horizontal line, we will show the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $\chi$ be a primitive character, then

$$
\int_{1-a}^{a} L^{\prime}(\sigma+i T, \chi) d \sigma \ll \sqrt{q T} \log q T
$$

Proof. Let

$$
\delta=\frac{1}{\log q T}
$$

Then $L(w, \chi)$ is analytic on the disk $|s-w| \leq \delta$, for $s=\sigma+i T$ with $1-a \leq \sigma \leq a$. Therefore, by Cauchy's integral formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}(s, \chi) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{|s-w|=\delta} \frac{L(w, \chi)}{(s-w)^{2}} d w \\
& \ll \log q T \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|L\left(s+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus it suffices to prove that

$$
\int_{1-a}^{a} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|L\left(s+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \theta d \sigma=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{1-a}^{a}\left|L\left(s+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma d \theta \ll \sqrt{q T} .
$$

From the functional equation and, for $1-a \leq \sigma \leq 1 / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1-a}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|L\left(s+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma \\
= & \int_{1-a}^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\Delta\left(s+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right) L\left(1-s-\delta e^{i \theta}, \bar{\chi}\right)\right| d \sigma \\
= & \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{a}\left|\Delta\left(1-\sigma+i T+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right) L\left(\sigma-i T-\delta e^{i \theta}, \bar{\chi}\right)\right| d \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the second equality, we change the variable $\sigma$ to $1-\sigma$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta\left(1-\left(\sigma-i T-\delta e^{i \theta}\right), \chi\right) \\
&= \frac{\Delta\left(1-\left(\sigma+i T-\delta e^{-i \theta}\right), \bar{\chi}\right)}{} \\
&= \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{\sqrt{q}} e^{\frac{\pi i}{4}}\left(\frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{\sigma-\delta \cos \theta-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(i T \log \frac{q T}{2 \pi e}\right) \\
&\left(1+O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2.1, the integral can be bounded by

$$
\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{a}(q T)^{\sigma-\delta \cos \theta-\frac{1}{2}}\left|L\left(\sigma+i T-\delta e^{-i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1-a}^{a}\left|L\left(s+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma \\
\ll & \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{a}\left|L\left(\sigma+i T+\delta e^{i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma \\
& +\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{a}(q T)^{\sigma-\delta \cos \theta-\frac{1}{2}}\left|L\left(\sigma+i T-\delta e^{-i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma \\
\ll & \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{a}(q T)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\left|L\left(\sigma+i T \pm \delta e^{ \pm i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the last inequality, we use the facts that

$$
(q T)^{\delta}=e
$$

with $\delta=(\log q T)^{-1}$. This integral is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\left\{\int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}+\int_{1}^{a}\right\}(q T)^{\sigma-\frac{1}{2}}\left|L\left(\sigma+i T \pm \delta e^{ \pm i \theta}, \chi\right)\right| d \sigma \\
& =S_{1}+S_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

say. Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} \ll & (q T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \ll \sqrt{q} T} n^{\delta} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}\left(\frac{q T}{n}\right)^{\sigma} d \sigma+\sum_{n \ll \sqrt{q T}} n^{\delta-1} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1} n^{\sigma} d \sigma \\
& +\sqrt{q} \log 2 T \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{1}(q T)^{\frac{\sigma+\delta-1}{2}} d \sigma \ll \sqrt{q T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by (11), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{2} & \ll(q T)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n \leq \frac{q T}{2}} n^{\delta} \int_{1}^{a}\left(\frac{q T}{n}\right)^{\sigma} d \sigma+\sqrt{q T} \int_{1}^{a} \frac{d \sigma}{\sigma} \\
& \ll \sqrt{q T} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we complete the proof.
By (10) and the above lemma, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{3}+I_{4} & \ll(\log q T)^{2} \int_{1-a}^{a}\left|L^{\prime}(\sigma+i T, \chi)\right| d \sigma \\
& \ll \sqrt{q T}(\log q T)^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we consider $I_{2}$. By the functional equation, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1-a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) \\
= & \left(\frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(1-a+i t, \chi)-\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(a-i t, \bar{\chi})\right) \\
& \times\left(\Delta^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) L(a-i t, \bar{\chi})-\Delta(1-a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \bar{\chi})\right) \\
= & \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(1-a+i t, \chi) \Delta^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) L(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) \\
& -2 \Delta^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) \\
& +\Delta(1-a+i t, \chi) \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we can divide $I_{2}$ into the following three integrals:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{T}^{b} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1-a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) d t \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{b}^{T} \Delta^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(1-a+i t, \chi) \Delta^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) L(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} \Delta(1-a+i t, \chi) \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
= & J_{1}+J_{2}+J_{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

say. We take complex conjugates of $J_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ to apply Lemma 2.3. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{J_{1}}= & \overline{\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{b}^{T} \Delta^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t} \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{b}^{T} \Delta^{\prime}(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi}) L^{\prime}(a+i t, \chi) d t \\
= & -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{b}^{T} L^{\prime}(a+i t, \chi) \Delta(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi}) \log \frac{q t}{2 \pi} d t \\
& +O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{a}} \int_{b}^{T} \frac{(q t)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}}{t} d t\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{b}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n) \log n}{n^{a+i t}} \Delta(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi}) \log \frac{q t}{2 \pi} d t+O\left((q T)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log q T)^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=2 \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q T / 2 \pi} \chi(n) e\left(-\frac{n}{q}\right)(\log n)^{2}+O\left((q T)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log q T)^{3}\right) .
$$

On the third equality, we use the approximation (4). For convenience, we put $x=q T / 2 \pi$. By partial summation, the above sum can be calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} \chi(n) e\left(-\frac{n}{q}\right)(\log n)^{2} \\
= & (\log x)^{2} \sum_{m=1}^{q} \chi(m) e\left(-\frac{m}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\
n \equiv m \bmod q}} 1 \\
& -2 \int_{1}^{x}\left(\sum_{m=1}^{q} \chi(m) e\left(-\frac{m}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{n \leq y \\
n \equiv m \bmod q}} 1\right) \frac{\log y}{y} d y \\
= & \left(\frac{x}{q} \chi(-1) \tau(\chi)+O(\sqrt{q})\right)(\log x)^{2}-2 \int_{1}^{x}\left(\frac{y}{q} \chi(-1) \tau(\chi)+O(\sqrt{q})\right) \frac{\log y}{y} d y \\
= & \frac{\chi(-1) \tau(\chi)}{q}\left(x(\log x)^{2}-2 \int_{1}^{x} \log y d y\right)+O\left(\sqrt{q}(\log x)^{2}+\sqrt{q} \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\log y}{y} d y\right) \\
= & \frac{\chi(-1) \tau(\chi)}{q}\left(x(\log x)^{2}-2 x \log x+2 x\right)+O\left(\sqrt{q}(\log x)^{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

and we can see that

$$
\frac{\chi(-1) \tau(\chi) \tau(\bar{\chi})}{q^{2}}=\frac{\overline{\tau(\chi)} \tau(\chi)}{q^{2}}=\frac{q}{q^{2}}=\frac{1}{q} .
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
J_{1}=2\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\left(\log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}-\frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}+\frac{T}{\pi}\right)+O\left((q T)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log q T)^{3}\right) .
$$

Next we consider $J_{2}$. We have, by (4) again,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{J_{2}}= & -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(1-a+i t, \chi) \Delta^{\prime}(1-a+i t, \chi) L(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
= & -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} L(a+i t, \chi) \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi}) \Delta^{\prime}(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
= & -\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^{a+i t}} \Delta(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi})\left(\log \frac{q t}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} d t \\
& +O\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{a}} \int_{b}^{T}(q t)^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\log q t}{t} d t\right) \\
= & -\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq q T / 2 \pi} \chi(n) e\left(-\frac{n}{q}\right)(\log n)^{2}+O\left((q T)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log q T)^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This sum is the same as the previous one. Hence we get

$$
J_{2}=-\left(\frac{T}{2 \pi}\left(\log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}-\frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}+\frac{T}{\pi}\right)+O\left((q T)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log q T)^{3}\right)
$$

Finally, we calculate $J_{3}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{J_{3}} & =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} \Delta(1-a+i t, \chi) \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(a+i t, \chi) L^{\prime}(a+i t, \chi) \Delta(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{b}^{T}\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n) \Lambda(n)}{n^{a+i t}}\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n) \log n}{n^{a+i t}}\right) \Delta(1-a-i t, \bar{\chi}) d t \\
& =-\frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} \sum_{1 \leq m n \leq q T / 2 \pi} \chi(m n) e\left(-\frac{m n}{q}\right) \Lambda(m) \log n+O\left((q T)^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log q T)^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the orthogonality of Dirichlet characters, we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m n \leq x} \chi(m) \chi(n) e\left(-\frac{m n}{q}\right) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) \sum_{b=1}^{q} \chi(b) e\left(-\frac{a b}{q}\right) \sum_{\substack{m n \leq x \\
m \equiv a \leq \bmod q \\
n=b \bmod q}} \Lambda(m) \log n
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \frac{1}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \bmod q \\
\psi^{\prime} \bmod q}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \bar{\psi}(a) \chi(a) \sum_{b=1}^{q} \overline{\psi^{\prime}}(b) \chi(b) e\left(-\frac{a b}{q}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \psi^{\prime}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n
\end{aligned}
$$

We will divide the sum into four parts, according to the following conditions:
(i) $\psi=\psi_{0}, \psi^{\prime}=\psi_{0}^{\prime}$,
(ii) $\psi=\psi_{0}, \psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}$,
(iii) $\psi \neq \psi_{0}, \psi^{\prime}=\psi_{0}^{\prime}$,
(iv) $\psi \neq \psi_{0}, \psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}$,
where $\psi_{0}=\psi_{0}^{\prime}$ is the principal character modulo $q$. Before discussing further, we will remind some facts on the sum of Dirichlet characters (see [1, Sec. 8]). We define $G(n, \chi)$ as

$$
G(n, \chi):=\sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) e\left(\frac{a n}{q}\right) .
$$

If a Dirichlet character $\chi(\bmod q)$ is primitive, then we have

$$
G(a, \chi)=\bar{\chi}(a) \tau(\chi) .
$$

Now we consider the above four parts.
(i) In this case, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) \sum_{b=1}^{q} \chi(b) e\left(-\frac{a b}{q}\right) \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \psi_{0}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{1}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) G(-a, \chi) \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \psi_{0}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{\chi(-1) \tau(\chi)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \psi_{0}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Perron's formula we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \Lambda(m) \psi_{0}(n) \log n \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i U}^{a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s+R,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the error term appearing in Perron's formula (see [8, p.140]) and satisfies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R \ll & \sum_{\substack{\frac{x}{2}<m n<2 x \\
m n \neq x}}|\Lambda(m) \log n| \min \left(1, \frac{x}{U|x-m n|}\right) \\
& +\frac{(4 x)^{a}}{U} \sum_{m n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Lambda(m) \log n|}{(m n)^{a}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will choose an appropriate $U$ later. The first term of the error term $R$ can be estimated as follows;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{x}{U} \sum_{\frac{x}{2}<m n<x-1} \frac{\Lambda(m) \log n}{x-m n}+\sum_{x-1 \leq m n \leq x+1} \Lambda(m) \log n \\
& +\frac{x}{U} \sum_{x+1<m n<2 x} \frac{\Lambda(m) \log n}{m n-x} \\
& \ll \frac{x}{U} \log x \sum_{m<x-1} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m} \sum_{\frac{x}{2 m}<n<\frac{x-1}{m}} \frac{1}{\frac{x}{m}-n} \\
& \\
& +(\log x)^{2} \sum_{x-1 \leq l \leq x+1} \sum_{l=m n} 1 \\
& \\
& +\frac{x}{U} \log x \sum_{m<2 x} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m} \sum_{\frac{x+1}{m}<n<\frac{2 x}{m}} \frac{1}{n-\frac{x}{m}} \\
& \ll \frac{x}{U}(\log x)^{2} \sum_{m<2 x} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m}+(\log x)^{2} \sum_{x-1 \leq l \leq x+1} d(l) \\
& \ll \frac{x}{U}(\log x)^{3}+x^{\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d(l)$ is the divisor function. On the last estimates, we use

$$
\sum_{m \leq x} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m}=\log x+O(1)
$$

and

$$
d(x) \ll x^{\varepsilon}
$$

The second is

$$
\ll \frac{(4 x)^{a}}{U} \sum_{m n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|\Lambda(m) \log n|}{(m n)^{a}} \ll \frac{x^{a}}{U}(\log q T)^{3} .
$$

Therefore

$$
R \ll \frac{x}{U}(\log x)^{3}+x^{\varepsilon}
$$

Since $L\left(s, \psi_{0}\right)=\zeta(s) \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)$, there is an absolute constant $C>0$ such that

$$
L\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad \sigma \geq 1-\frac{C}{\log (|t|+2)}
$$

(see [8, p.172]). With regard to this zero-free region for $L\left(s, \psi_{0}\right)$, let $a^{\prime}=1-C / \log U$ and $U=\exp \left(4 c_{1} \sqrt{\log q T}\right)$. By the residue theorem, the integral is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i U}^{a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
= & \operatorname{Res} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L=1}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\{\int_{a+i U}^{a^{\prime}+i U}+\int_{a^{\prime}+i U}^{a^{\prime}-i U}+\int_{a^{\prime}-i U}^{a-i U}\right\} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s .
\end{aligned}
$$

By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma3.1, we can see that the integral on the horizontal line can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{a \pm i U}^{a^{\prime} \pm i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s & \ll \frac{(\log q U)^{3}}{U} x^{a}(q U)^{\frac{3}{16}+\varepsilon}\left(a-a^{\prime}\right) \\
& \ll x U^{-\frac{1}{2}}=x \exp \left(-2 c_{1} \sqrt{\log x}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

noting the condition $q \leq \exp \left(c_{1} \sqrt{\log T}\right) \leq \exp \left(4 c_{1} \sqrt{\log q T}\right)=U$ and (10). Since $L^{\prime} / L\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \ll|s-1|^{-1}$ and $L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \ll|s-1|^{-2}$ in the neighbourhood around $s=1$, the integral on the vertical line can be bounded by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ll x^{a^{\prime}}(q U)^{\frac{3}{16}+\varepsilon}(\log q U)^{3} \int_{-U}^{U} \frac{d t}{1+|t|}+x^{a^{\prime}}(\log q U)^{3} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d t}{\left|a^{\prime}+i t\right|} \\
& \ll x^{a^{\prime}}(q U)^{\frac{3}{16}+\varepsilon}(\log U)^{4} \\
& \ll x^{a^{\prime}} U^{\frac{1}{2}}=x \exp \left(\left(2 c_{1}-\frac{C}{4 c_{1}}\right) \sqrt{\log x}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

When we put $c_{1}=\sqrt{C} / 4$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i U}^{a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
= & \operatorname{Res} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s}+O\left(x \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{C}}{2} \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
= & \frac{1}{2!} \lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}}(s-1)^{3} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate this residue, we observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right)= & \zeta^{\prime}(s) \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)+\zeta(s)\left(\prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
= & \left(-\frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k} k(s-1)^{k-1}\right) \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right) \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{s-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{k}(s-1)^{k}\right)\left(\prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right) \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) & =\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s)+\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1} \\
& =-\frac{1}{s-1}+\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_{k}(s-1)^{k}+\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\gamma_{k}$ is the $k$-th Stieltjes constant and can be defined by the limit

$$
\gamma_{k}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\{\left(\sum_{m=1}^{n} \frac{(\log m)^{k}}{m}\right)-\frac{(\log n)^{k+1}}{k+1}\right\},
$$

and $\eta_{k}$ can be represented by the sum

$$
\eta_{k}=(-1)^{k}\left\{\frac{k+1}{k!} \gamma_{k}+\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(k-n-1)!} \eta_{n} \gamma_{k-n-1}\right\} .
$$

Hence we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
= & \frac{1}{2!} \lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d^{2}}{d s^{2}} \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
& -\frac{2}{2!} \lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \frac{d}{d s} \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-p^{-s}\right)\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}+\eta_{0}+\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
& -\frac{2}{2!} \lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \prod_{p \mid q}\left(1-\frac{1}{p^{s}}\right)\left\{\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{0} \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}+\eta_{1}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}\left(\eta_{0}+\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}\right)\right\} \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
= & \frac{\varphi(q)}{q} x\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{2}-\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}+1\right) \log x-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{p \mid q} p\left(\frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}-3 \gamma_{1}+1\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\chi(-1) \tau(\chi)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \psi_{0}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} x\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{2}-\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}+1\right) \log x-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{p \mid q} p\left(\frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}-3 \gamma_{1}+1\right\} \\
& +O\left(x \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{C}}{2} \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we note that $\tau(\chi) / \varphi(q) \ll 1$.
(ii) In the same way, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \frac{1}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{\psi^{\prime} \bmod q \\
\psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}}} \sum_{b=1}^{q} \overline{\psi^{\prime}}(b) \chi(b) \sum_{a=1}^{q} \chi(a) e\left(-\frac{a b}{q}\right) \\
& \quad \times \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \psi^{\prime}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
& = \\
& \frac{\chi(-1) \tau(\chi)}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{\psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}} \sum_{b=1}^{q} \overline{\psi^{\prime}}(b) \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \psi^{\prime}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n .
\end{aligned}
$$

The sum of $\overline{\psi^{\prime}}$ is 0 . Hence we see that the sum in this case vanishes.
(iii) This case is the same as the case (ii).
(iv)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \neq \psi_{0} \\
\psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \bar{\psi}(a) \chi(a) \sum_{b=1}^{q} \overline{\psi^{\prime}}(b) \chi(b) e\left(-\frac{a b}{q}\right) \\
& \times \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \psi^{\prime}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{1}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \neq \psi_{0} \\
\psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \bar{\psi}(a) \chi(a) \psi^{\prime}(-a) \bar{\chi}(-a) \tau\left(\overline{\psi^{\prime}} \chi\right) \\
& \times \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \psi^{\prime}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{\chi(-1)}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \neq \psi_{0} \\
\psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}}} \sum_{a=1}^{q} \bar{\psi}(a) \psi^{\prime}(-a) \tau\left(\overline{\psi^{\prime}} \chi\right) \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \psi^{\prime}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{\chi(-1)}{\varphi(q)^{2}} \sum_{\substack{\psi \neq \psi_{0} \\
\psi^{\prime} \neq \psi_{0}^{\prime}}} \psi^{\prime}(-1) \tau\left(\overline{\psi^{\prime}} \chi\right) \sum_{a=1}^{q} \bar{\psi}(a) \psi^{\prime}(a) \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \psi^{\prime}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{\chi(-1)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_{0}} \psi(-1) \tau(\bar{\psi} \chi) \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \psi(n) \Lambda(m) \log n .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show the last equality, we use the fact that the sum over $a$ does not equal to 0 if and only if $\psi=\psi^{\prime}$.

In this case, we know the fact that there is an absolute constant $C^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
L(s, \chi) \neq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad \sigma>1-\frac{C^{\prime}}{\log q(|t|+2)}
$$

unless $\chi$ is a quadratic character, in which case $L(s, \chi)$ has at most one, necessarily real, zero $\beta<1$ (see [8, p. 360]). By the same argument as in the case (i), when we put $c_{1}=\sqrt{C^{\prime}} / 4$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \Lambda(m) \psi(n) \log n \\
= & -L^{\prime}(\beta, \psi) \frac{x^{\beta}}{\beta}+O\left(x \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{C^{\prime}}}{2} \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

when $L(s, \psi)$ with a quadratic character $\omega$ has an exceptional zero $\beta$. If there is no exceptional zero, then the first term vanishes. Hence when $L(s, \omega)$ has an exceptional zero $\beta$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\chi(-1)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{\psi \neq \psi_{0}} \psi(-1) \tau(\bar{\psi} \chi) \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi(m) \psi(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & -\frac{\chi(-1)}{\varphi(q)} \omega(-1) \tau(\bar{\omega} \chi) L^{\prime}(\beta, \omega) \frac{x^{\beta}}{\beta}+O\left(\sqrt{q} x \exp \left(-\frac{\sqrt{C^{\prime}}}{2} \sqrt{\log x}\right)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

otherwise the main term does not appear.
From the above, when we put $c_{1}=\min \left\{\sqrt{C} / 4, \sqrt{C^{\prime}} / 4\right\}$ and $c=c_{1} / 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{3}= & -\frac{T}{2 \pi}\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}-\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}+1\right) \log \frac{q T}{2 \pi}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{p \mid q} p\left(\frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)+\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}+\gamma_{1}+1\right\} \\
& +\frac{\omega \chi(-1) \tau(\bar{\chi}) \tau(\bar{\omega} \chi)}{q \varphi(q)} \frac{L^{\prime}(\beta, \omega)}{\beta}\left(\frac{q T}{2 \pi}\right)^{\beta}+O(T \exp (-c \sqrt{\log T})) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that $\tau(\chi) \sqrt{q} / q \ll 1$.
To complete the proof, we take away the condition on $T$. When $T$ increases continuously in $\left|T-\gamma_{\chi}\right| \ll(\log q T)^{-1}$, the number of relevant $L^{\prime}\left(\rho_{\chi}, \chi\right)$ is at most $O(\log q T)$ and the order of each term is $O\left((q T)^{\frac{3}{16}+\varepsilon}\right)$. Thus the contribution of these terms is smaller than the
error in our main theorem. Therefore the proof in the unconditional case is completed.

## 4. The conditional estimate

In this section, we assume the GRH. We choose $a^{\prime}=1 / 2+(\log q T)^{-1}$ and $U=q T$. In the case (i), by Cauchy's theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i U}^{a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
= & \operatorname{Res} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L=1}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\{\int_{a+i U}^{a^{\prime}+i U}+\int_{a^{\prime}+i U}^{a^{\prime}-i U}+\int_{a^{\prime}-i U}^{a-i U}\right\} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral on the horizontal line is

$$
\int_{a^{\prime} \pm i U}^{a \pm i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \ll \frac{x^{a}}{U}(q U)^{\varepsilon}(\log q U)^{3} \ll(q T)^{\varepsilon} .
$$

As for the vertical line, we note that

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right)=\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s)+\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1} \ll \log 2 q
$$

for $s=a^{\prime}+$ it and $0 \leq|t| \leq 1$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{a^{\prime}-i U}^{a^{\prime}+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
= & i \int_{-U}^{U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(a^{\prime}+i t, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}+i t, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{a^{\prime}+i t}}{a^{\prime}+i t} d t \\
\ll & x^{a^{\prime}}(\log q U)^{3} \int_{1}^{U} \frac{(q t)^{\varepsilon}}{t} d t+x^{a^{\prime}}(\log 2 q)^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{q^{\varepsilon}}{a^{\prime}} d t \\
\ll & (q T)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Concerning the case (iv), we can see that

$$
\sum_{n m \leq x} \psi(m) \Lambda(m) \psi(n) \log n \ll(q T)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}
$$

by the similar argument. Therefore we can replace the error term in our theorem by $(q T)^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$.

## 5. The Details of Remark 1

We consider the case when $q$ is a prime power. Let $q=p^{\alpha}, a^{\prime}=$ $-(\log q T)^{-1}=1-a$ and $U=q T$. In the case (i), by the residue theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{a-i U}^{a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
= & \operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s}+\operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\rho \neq 0 \\
|\Im \rho| \leq U}} L^{\prime}\left(\rho, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left\{\int_{a+i U}^{a^{\prime}+i U}+\int_{a^{\prime}+i U}^{a^{\prime}-i U}+\int_{a^{\prime}-i U}^{a-i U}\right\} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho$ runs over the zeros of $L\left(s, \psi_{0}\right)$. With regard to the residue at $s=0$, we can see that

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right)=\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s)+\frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1} \quad\left(q=p^{\alpha}\right)
$$

and

$$
\frac{\log p}{p^{s}-1}=\frac{1}{s} \cdot \frac{s \log p}{e^{s \log p}-1}=\frac{1}{s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n}}{n!}(s \log p)^{n},
$$

where $B_{n}$ is the $n$-th Bernoulli number, and hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Reses}_{s=0} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} \\
= & \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{d s} s \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) x^{s} \\
= & \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{d s} s\left(\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(s)+\frac{1}{s} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_{n}}{n!}(s \log p)^{n}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) x^{s} \\
= & L^{\prime \prime}\left(0, \psi_{0}\right)+\left(\frac{\zeta^{\prime}}{\zeta}(0)+B_{1} \log p+\log x\right) L^{\prime}\left(0, \psi_{0}\right) \\
= & 3 \zeta^{\prime}(0) \log p-\frac{3}{2} \zeta(0)(\log p)^{2}+\zeta(0) \log x \ll(\log q T)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The integral on the horizontal line is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1-a \pm i U}^{a \pm i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
\ll & \left\{\int_{\frac{1}{2} \pm i U}^{a \pm i U}+\int_{1-a \pm i U}^{\frac{1}{2} \pm i U}\right\} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
\ll & \frac{x^{a}}{U}(q U)^{\varepsilon}(\log q U)^{3}+\frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}}}{U}(q U)^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log q U)^{4} \\
\ll & (q U)^{\varepsilon}(\log q U)^{3}+\sqrt{q}(\log q U)^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the integral along the vertical line, since $\left|s-\rho_{\psi_{0}}\right| \gg 1$, by (7), we can see that

$$
\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \ll \log q(|t|+2)
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1-a-i U}^{1-a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(s, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
= & i \int_{-U}^{U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}\left(1-a+i t, \psi_{0}\right) L^{\prime}\left(1-a+i t, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{1-a+i t}}{1-a+i t} d t \\
\ll & (\log q U)^{2}\left|\int_{-U}^{U} \zeta(1-a+i t) \frac{d t}{1-a+i t}\right| \\
\ll & (\log q U)^{2}\left(\log U \int_{1}^{U} t^{-\frac{1}{2}} d t+\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d t}{|1-a+i t|}\right) \\
\ll & \sqrt{U}(\log q U)^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we use the well-known estimate

$$
\zeta(s) \ll(|t|+2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log (|t|+2) \quad \text { for } \quad-\frac{1}{\log T} \leq \sigma<\frac{1}{2} .
$$

The sum over $\rho$ consists of two sums as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\substack{\rho \neq 0 \\
|\Im \rho| \leq U}} L^{\prime}\left(\rho, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}= & \sum_{|\gamma| \leq U} L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma}}{\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma} \\
& +\sum_{\substack{\left|\frac{2 \pi k}{}\right| \leq \mid \leq U \\
\log p \neq 0 \\
k \neq 0}} L^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 \pi i k}{\log p}, \psi_{0}\right) \frac{x^{\frac{2 \pi k}{\log p} i} \log p}{2 \pi i k} \\
= & S_{1}+S_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

say. Since

$$
L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma, \psi_{0}\right)=\zeta^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma\right)\left(1-p^{-\frac{1}{2}-i \gamma}\right)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{\gamma \leq U} \frac{\left|L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma, \psi_{0}\right)\right|}{\gamma} \\
& \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{\gamma \leq U} \frac{\left|\zeta^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma\right)\right|^{2}}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{\gamma \leq U} \frac{1}{\gamma}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log U)^{\frac{7}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

by partial summation and the fact that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0<\gamma \leq T}\left|\zeta^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma\right)\right|^{2} \asymp T(\log T)^{4} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

proved by Gonek [3].
On the other hand, since

$$
L^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 \pi i k}{\log p}, \psi_{0}\right)=\zeta\left(\frac{2 \pi i k}{\log p}\right) \log p
$$

we see that

$$
S_{2} \ll(\log p)^{2} \sum_{\frac{2 \pi k}{\log p} \leq U} \frac{\left|\zeta\left(\frac{2 \pi i k}{\log p}\right)\right|}{2 \pi k} \ll \sqrt{U} \log U(\log q)^{2}
$$

by the estimate

$$
\zeta(s) \ll(|t|+2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log (|t|+2) \quad \text { for } \quad-\frac{1}{\log T} \leq \sigma<\frac{1}{2}
$$

again. Therefore we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\chi(-1) \tau(\chi)}{\varphi(q)} \sum_{m n \leq x} \psi_{0}(m) \psi_{0}(n) \Lambda(m) \log n \\
= & \frac{\tau(\bar{\chi})}{q} x\left\{\frac{1}{2}(\log x)^{2}-\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}+1\right) \log x-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{3}{2} \sum_{p \mid q} p\left(\frac{\log p}{p-1}\right)^{2}+\left(1-\gamma_{0}\right) \sum_{p \mid q} \frac{\log p}{p-1}+\gamma_{0}^{2}+\gamma_{0}-3 \gamma_{1}+1\right\} \\
& +O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log U)^{\frac{7}{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

As for the case (iv), we need to deal with the Dirichlet $L$-functions with primitive and also imprimitive characters. However, it is sufficient to consider these with only primitive characters, for we put $q=p^{\alpha}$. For primitive characters, the integral on the vertical line can be estimated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1-a-i U}^{1-a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \psi) L^{\prime}(s, \psi) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
= & \int_{1-a-i U}^{1-a+i U} \Delta(s, \psi)\left\{\left(\frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s, \psi)\right)^{2} L(1-s, \bar{\psi})\right. \\
& \left.-2 \frac{\Delta^{\prime}}{\Delta}(s, \psi) L^{\prime}(1-s, \bar{\psi})+\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(1-s, \bar{\psi}) L^{\prime}(1-s, \bar{\psi})\right\} \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \\
\ll & q^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \left\lvert\, \int_{-U}^{U}\left(t^{a-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left(i t \log \frac{2 \pi e}{q t}\right)+O\left(t^{a-\frac{3}{2}}\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times\left((\log q U)^{2} L(a-i t, \psi)+\frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(a-i t, \psi) L^{\prime}(a-i t, \psi)\right) \frac{x^{1-a+i t}}{1-a+i t} d t \right\rvert\, \\
\ll & x^{1-a} q^{a-\frac{1}{2}}\left((\log U)^{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{a}}\left|\int_{1}^{U}\left(t^{a-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(i t \log \frac{2 \pi e x n}{q t}\right)+O\left(t^{a-\frac{5}{2}}\right)\right) d t\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(m)}{m^{a}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\log n}{n^{a}}\left|\int_{1}^{U}\left(t^{a-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(i t \log \frac{2 \pi e x m n}{q t}\right)+O\left(t^{a-\frac{5}{2}}\right)\right) d t\right|\right) \\
& +O\left(q^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log U)^{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{d^{2}}{d t^{2}}\left(t \log \frac{2 \pi e x n}{q t}\right)=-t^{-1}
$$

by the second derivative test,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{1}^{U} t^{a-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(i t \log \frac{2 \pi e x n}{q t}\right) d t \\
\ll & \sum_{l \leq[\log U]+1} \int_{\frac{U}{2^{l}}}^{\frac{U}{2^{l-1}}} t^{a-\frac{3}{2}} \exp \left(i t \log \frac{2 \pi e x n}{q t}\right) d t \\
\ll & \sum_{l \leq[\log U]+1} 1 \ll \log U .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore we obtain

$$
\int_{1-a-i U}^{1-a+i U} \frac{L^{\prime}}{L}(s, \psi) L^{\prime}(s, \psi) \frac{x^{s}}{s} d s \ll q^{a-\frac{1}{2}}(\log U)^{4}
$$

On the sum $S_{1}$, we assume the estimate (11). By partial summation and this assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{1} & \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{0<\gamma_{\psi} \leq U} \frac{\left|L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma_{\psi}, \psi\right)\right|}{\gamma_{\psi}} \\
& \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{0<\gamma_{\psi} \leq U} \frac{\left|L^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}+i \gamma_{\psi}, \psi\right)\right|^{2}}{\gamma_{\psi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{0<\gamma_{\psi} \leq U} \frac{1}{\gamma_{\psi}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
& \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}}(\log U)^{\frac{7}{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, the counterpart of the sum $S_{2}$ does not appear. When $\psi(\bmod q)$ is induced by $\psi^{\star}(\bmod d)$ with $d \mid q$, we see that

$$
L(s, \psi)=L\left(s, \psi^{\star}\right) \prod_{\substack{p \mid q \\ p \nmid d}}\left(1-\frac{\psi^{\star}(p)}{p^{s}}\right) .
$$

However we assume that $q=p^{\alpha}$. Thus the products on the right-hand side is 1 . Hence there is no zeros on the imaginary axis.

Therefore we can replace the estimate of the error term by

$$
\sqrt{q T}(\log q T)^{\frac{7}{2}}
$$
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