

SUBMETRIZABILITY OF STRONGLY TOPOLOGICAL GYROGROUPS
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Abstract. Topological gyrogroups, with a weaker algebraic structure without associative law, have been investigated recently. We prove that each $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup is completely regular. We also prove that every $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup with a countable pseudocharacter is submetrizable. Finally, we prove that the left coset space $G/H$ is submetrizable if $H$ is an admissible $L$-subgyrogroup of a $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup $G$.

1. Introduction

The gyrogroup was firstly posed by A.A. Ungar in the study of c-ball of relativistically admissible velocities with Einstein velocity addition [17]. Then, this topic has been studied by many authors during the past few years and they have achieved a large plenty of results about gyrogroups, see [7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 19]. In particular, W. Atiponrat [2] defined topological gyrogroups and proved that the separations of $T_0$ and $T_3$ are equivalent in topological gyrogroups; Z. Cai, S. Lin and W. He in [5] proved that every topological gyrogroup is a rectifiable space. T. Suksumran [14] defined the normal subgyrogroup of a gyrogroup and showed that the quotient space with the specific operation is a gyrogroup. In 2019, M. Bao and F. Lin [4] defined the strongly topological gyrogroups and proved that every feathered strongly topological gyrogroup is paracompact, which implies that every feathered strongly topological gyrogroup is a $D$-space. Indeed, Möbius gyrogroups, Einstein gyrogroups and Proper velocity gyrogroups with the usual topologies, that were studied e.g. in [7, 8, 17], are all strongly topological gyrogroups. In 2020, W. Atiponrat and R. Maungchang [3] showed that every regular paratopological gyrogroup equipped with some mild conditions is completely regular.

In this paper, we continue to study the strongly topological gyrogroups. We mainly show that $T_0$ strongly topological gyrogroups satisfy the conditions in [3, Lemma 3.6], and it follows that every $T_0$ strongly topological gyrogroup is completely regular. What’s more, every strongly topological gyrogroup with a countable pseudocharacter is submetrizable. Finally, we prove that if $H$ is an admissible $L$-subgyrogroup of a strongly topological gyrogroup $G$, then the left coset space $G/H$ is submetrizable. These results extend some well known results of topological groups.
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2. Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce the necessary notations, terminologies and some facts about topological gyrogroups.

Throughout this paper, all topological spaces are assumed to be $T_0$, unless otherwise is explicitly stated. Let $\mathbb{N}$ be the set of all positive integers and $\omega$ the first infinite ordinal. Let $X$ be a topological space $X$ and $A \subseteq X$ be a subset of $X$. The closure of $A$ in $X$ is denoted by $\overline{A}$ and the interior of $A$ in $X$ is denoted by $\text{Int}(A)$. The readers may consult [11, 6, 12] for notations and terminologies not explicitly given here.

**Definition 2.1.** [2] Let $G$ be a nonempty set, and let $\oplus : G \times G \to G$ be a binary operation on $G$. Then the pair $(G, \oplus)$ is called a groupoid. A function $f$ from a groupoid $(G_1, \oplus_1)$ to a groupoid $(G_2, \oplus_2)$ is called a groupoid homomorphism if $f(x \oplus_1 y) = f(x) \oplus_2 f(y)$ for any elements $x, y \in G_1$. Furthermore, a bijective groupoid homomorphism from a groupoid $(G, \oplus)$ to itself will be called a groupoid automorphism. We write $\text{Aut}(G, \oplus)$ for the set of all automorphisms of a groupoid $(G, \oplus)$.

**Definition 2.2.** [17] Let $(G, \oplus)$ be a groupoid. The system $(G, \oplus)$ is called a gyrogroup, if its binary operation satisfies the following conditions:

(G1) There exists a unique identity element $0 \in G$ such that $0 \oplus a = a = a \oplus 0$ for all $a \in G$.

(G2) For each $x \in G$, there exists a unique inverse element $\ominus x \in G$ such that $\ominus x \oplus x = 0 = x \oplus (\ominus x)$.

(G3) For all $x, y \in G$, there exists $\text{gyr}[x, y] \in \text{Aut}(G, \oplus)$ with the property that $x \oplus (y \ominus z) = (x \oplus y) \ominus \text{gyr}[x, y](z)$ for all $z \in G$.

(G4) For any $x, y \in G$, $\text{gyr}[x \oplus y, y] = \text{gyr}[x, y]$.

Notice that a group is a gyrogroup $(G, \oplus)$ such that $\text{gyr}[x, y]$ is the identity function for all $x, y \in G$. The definition of a subgyrogroup is as follows.

**Definition 2.3.** [14] Let $(G, \oplus)$ be a gyrogroup. A nonempty subset $H$ of $G$ is called a subgyrogroup, denoted by $H \leq G$, if the following statements hold:

(i) The restriction $\oplus|_{H \times H}$ is a binary operation on $H$, i.e. $(H, \oplus|_{H \times H})$ is a groupoid.

(ii) For any $x, y \in H$, the restriction of $\text{gyr}[x, y]$ to $H$, $\text{gyr}[x, y]|_H : H \to \text{gyr}[x, y](H)$, is a bijective homomorphism.

(iii) $(H, \oplus|_{H \times H})$ is a gyrogroup.

Furthermore, a subgyrogroup $H$ of $G$ is said to be an $L$-subgyrogroup [14], denoted by $H \leq_L G$, if $\text{gyr}[a, h](H) = H$ for all $a \in G$ and $h \in H$.

**Definition 2.4.** [2] A triple $(G, \tau, \oplus)$ is called a topological gyrogroup if the following statements hold:

1. $(G, \tau)$ is a topological space.
2. $(G, \oplus)$ is a gyrogroup.
3. The binary operation $\oplus : G \times G \to G$ is jointly continuous while $G \times G$ is endowed with the product topology, and the operation of taking the inverse $\ominus(\cdot) : G \to G$, i.e. $x \mapsto \ominus x$, is also continuous.

**Definition 2.5.** [4] Let $G$ be a topological gyrogroup. We say that $G$ is a strongly topological gyrogroup if there exists a neighborhood base $\mathcal{U}$ of 0 such that, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $\text{gyr}[x, y](U) = U$ for any $x, y \in G$. For convenience, we say that $G$ is a strongly topological gyrogroup with neighborhood base $\mathcal{U}$ of 0. Clearly, we may assume that $U$ is symmetric for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. 
Remark 2.6. By [4] Example 3.1, there exists a strongly topological gyrogroup which is not a topological group. What’s more, the authors gave an example in [4] to show that there exists a strongly topological gyrogroup which has an infinite $L$-subgyrogroup, see [4] Example 3.2.

Definition 2.7. [6] A continuous mapping $f : X \to Y$ is called closed (resp. open) if for every closed (resp. open) set $A \subseteq X$ the image $f(A)$ is closed (resp. open) in $Y$.

We recall the following concept of the coset space of a topological gyrogroup. Let $(G, \tau, \oplus)$ be a topological gyrogroup and $H$ a $L$-subgyrogroup of $G$. We define a binary operation on the coset $G/H$ in the following natural way:

$$(a \oplus H) \oplus (b \oplus H) = (a \oplus b) \oplus H,$$

for any $a, b \in G$. By [14] Theorem 20, it follows that $G/H$ with the above operation forms a disjoint partition of $G$. We denote by $\pi$ the mapping $a \mapsto a \oplus H$ from $G$ onto $G/H$. Clearly, we have $\pi(a \oplus b) = \pi(a) \oplus \pi(b)$ for any $a, b \in G$, and for each $a \in G$, we have $\pi^{-1}(\pi(a)) = a \oplus H$. Denote by $\tau(G)$ the topology of $G$. In the set $G/H$, we define a family $\tau(G/H)$ of subsets as follows:

$$\tau(G/H) = \{O \subset G/H : \pi^{-1}(O) \in \tau(G)\}.$$

Finally, we give some facts about gyrogroups and topological gyrogroups, which are important in our proofs.

Proposition 2.8. [14]. Let $(G, \oplus)$ be a gyrogroup, and let $H$ be a nonempty subset of $G$. Then $H$ is a subgyrogroup if and only if the following statements are true:

1. For any $x \in H$, $\ominus x \in H$.
2. For any $x, y \in H$, $x \oplus y \in H$.

Proposition 2.9. [17]. Let $(G, \oplus)$ be a gyrogroup. Then for any $x, y, z \in G$, we obtain the following:

1. $(\ominus x) \oplus (x \oplus y) = y$.
2. $(x \oplus (\ominus y)) \oplus \text{gyr}[x, \ominus y](y) = x$.
3. $(x \oplus \text{gyr}[x, y](\ominus y)) \ominus y = x$.
4. $\text{gyr}[x, y](z) = \ominus(x \oplus y) \oplus (x \oplus (y \oplus z))$.
5. $(\ominus x \oplus y) \oplus \text{gyr}[^\ominus x, b](\ominus y \ominus z) = \ominus x \ominus z$.
6. $\ominus(x \oplus y) = \text{gyr}[x, y](y \ominus x)$.
7. $\ominus(x \ominus y) = \text{gyr}[x, y](y \ominus x)$.

Proposition 2.10. [2] Let $(G, \tau, \oplus)$ be a topological gyrogroup, and let $U$ be a neighborhood of the identity $0$. Then the following three statements hold:

1. There is an open symmetric neighborhood $V$ of $0$ in $G$ such that $V \subseteq U$ and $V \ominus V \subseteq U$.
2. There is an open neighborhood $V$ of $0$ such that $\ominus V \subseteq U$.
3. If $A$ is a subset of $G$, $\overline{A} \subseteq W \ominus A$ for any neighborhood $W$ of the identity $0$.

Proposition 2.11. [2] Let $(G, \tau, \oplus)$ be a topological gyrogroup, and let $A$ be a subgyrogroup of $G$. Then the followings are true:

1. If $\text{Int}(A) \neq \emptyset$, then $A$ is open.
2. If $A$ is open, then it is also closed.
3. $\overline{A}$ is a subgyrogroup of $G$. 
3. Complete regularity of strongly topological gyrogroups

In this section, we mainly prove that every $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup is completely regular. In [2], W. Atiponrat proved that the separation axioms $T_0$ and $T_3$ are equivalent for a topological gyrogroup and posed the following question.

**Question 3.1.** [2] Is every Hausdorff topological gyrogroup completely regular?

It is clear that every strongly topological gyrogroup is a topological gyrogroup. Hence, it is natural to pose the following question.

**Question 3.2.** Is every Hausdorff strongly topological gyrogroup completely regular?

Next we prove that each $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup is completely regular, which gives an affirmative answer to Question 3.2, see Theorem 3.5. In [3], the authors defined a micro-associative paratopological gyrogroup and showed that a micro-associative regular paratopological gyrogroup with additional conditions is completely regular. We recall this concept as follows.

**Definition 3.3.** [3] We call a paratopological gyrogroup $G$ is micro-associative if for any neighborhood $U \subset G$ of 0, there are neighborhoods $W$ and $V$ of 0 such that $W \subset V \subset U$ and $a \oplus (b \oplus V) = (a \oplus b) \oplus V$ for any $a, b \in W$.

In [5], they introduced the concept of paratopological gyrogroup such that each topological gyrogroup is a paratopological gyrogroup.

**Lemma 3.4.** [3] Let $(G, \tau, \oplus)$ be a micro-associative Hausdorff paratopological gyrogroup such that for any neighborhoods $A, B$ of 0, $A \subset \text{Int}(B \oplus A)$.

Then $G$ is completely regular.

Next, we show that every $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup $G$ is a micro-associative Hausdorff topological gyrogroup and satisfies the additional condition in Lemma 3.4, thus $G$ is completely regular.

**Theorem 3.5.** Every $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup is completely regular.

**Proof.** Let $G$ be a $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup with a symmetric neighborhood base $\mathcal{U}$ of 0. Therefore, for every $U \in \mathcal{U}$, we have $\text{gyr}[x, y](U) = U$ for any $x, y \in G$. For any neighborhood $O \subset G$ of 0, there are $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset O$. Moreover, for every $a, b \in U_1$,

$a \oplus (b \oplus U_2) = (a \oplus b) \oplus \text{gyr}[a, b](U_2) = (a \oplus b) \oplus U_2$.

Therefore, a strongly topological gyrogroup is a micro-associative topological gyrogroup. Let $U, V$ be any neighborhoods of 0 in $G$. It follows from [2, Lemma 9] that $V \subset V \oplus U \subset \text{Int}(V \oplus U)$. Hence, by Lemma 3.4, we have that a $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup is completely regular. □

4. Submetrizability of a strongly topological gyrogroups

In this section, we mainly discuss the submetrizabilities of a strongly topological gyrogroup. A space $X$ is submetrizable if there exists a continuous one-to-one mapping of $X$ onto a metrizable space. It is well known that every topological group with a countable pseudocharacter is submetrizable. In [4], the authors posed the following question.
Question 4.1. Let \((G, \tau, \oplus)\) be a topological gyrogroup with a countable pseudocharacter. Is \(G\) submetrizable? What if the topological gyrogroup is a strongly topological gyrogroup?

Next we prove that a strongly topological gyrogroup with a countable pseudocharacter is submetrizable, which gives an affirmative answer to Question 4.1 when the topological gyrogroup is a strongly topological gyrogroup, see Theorem 4.3. First, we recall an important lemma in [4].

Lemma 4.2. [4] Let \(G\) be a strongly topological gyrogroup with the symmetric neighborhood base \(\mathcal{U}\) at 0, and let \(\{U_n : n \in \omega\}\) and \(\{V(m/2^n) : n, m \in \omega\}\) be two sequences of open neighborhoods satisfying the following conditions (1)-(5):

1. \(U_n \in \mathcal{U}\) for each \(n \in \omega\).
2. \(U_{n+1} \oplus U_{n+1} \subset U_n\), for each \(n \in \omega\).
3. \(V(1) = U_0\).
4. For any \(n \geq 1\), put
   \[V(1/2^n) = U_n, V(2m/2^n) = V(m/2^{n-1})\]
   for \(m = 1, \ldots, 2^n\), and
   \[V((2m+1)/2^n) = U_n \oplus V(m/2^{n-1}) = V(1/2^n) \oplus V(m/2^{n-1})\]
   for each \(m = 1, \ldots, 2^n - 1\);
5. \(V(m/2^n) = G\) when \(m > 2^n\).

Then there exists a prenorm \(N\) on \(G\) satisfies the following conditions:

(a) for any fixed \(x, y \in G\), we have \(N(\text{gyr}[x, y](z)) = N(z)\) for any \(z \in G\);
(b) for any \(n \in \omega\),
   \[\{x \in G : N(x) < 1/2^n\} \subset U_n \subset \{x \in G : N(x) \leq 2/2^n\}\]

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that \(G\) is a strongly topological gyrogroup with the symmetric neighborhood base \(\mathcal{U}\) at 0. If \(G\) is of countable pseudocharacter, then \(G\) is submetrizable.

Proof. Since the identity element 0 is a \(G_\delta\)-point in \(G\), there exists a sequence \(\{W_n : n \in \omega\}\) of open sets in \(G\) such that \(0 = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n\). By induction, we obtain a sequence \(\{U_n : n \in \omega\}\) of symmetric open neighborhoods of 0 such that \(U_n \in \mathcal{U}, U_n \subset W_n\) and \(U_{n+1} \oplus U_{n+1} \subset U_n\) for each \(n \in \omega\).

Apply Lemma 4.2 to choose a continuous prenorm \(N\) on \(G\) which satisfies

\[N(\text{gyr}[x, y](z)) = N(z)\]

for any \(x, y, z \in G\) and

\[\{x \in G : N(x) < 1/2^n\} \subset U_n \subset \{x \in G : N(x) \leq 2/2^n\}\]

for each integer \(n \geq 0\).

Now, for arbitrary \(x\) and \(y\) in \(G\), put \(\varrho_N(x, y) = N(\ominus x \oplus y) + N(\ominus y \oplus x)\). Let us show that \(\varrho_N\) is a metric on \(G\).

(1) Clearly, \(\varrho_N(x, y) = N(\ominus x \oplus y) + N(\ominus y \oplus x) \geq 0\), for every \(x, y \in G\). At the same time, \(\varrho_N(x, x) = N(0) + N(0) = 0\), for each \(x \in G\). Assume that

\[\varrho_N(x, y) = N(\ominus x \oplus y) + N(\ominus y \oplus x) = 0,\]

that is, \(N(\ominus x \oplus y) = N(\ominus y \oplus x) = 0\). Then, for each \(n \in \mathbb{N}\),

\[\ominus x \oplus y \in \{x \in G : N(x) < 1/2^n\} \subset U_n \subset W_n\]
and so is the $\ominus y \oplus x$. Since $\{0\} = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} W_n$, it follows that $\ominus x \oplus y = 0 = \ominus y \oplus x$, that is, $x = y$.

(2) For every $x, y \in G$, $g_N(y, x) = N(\ominus y \oplus x) + N(\ominus x \oplus y) = g_N(x, y)$.

(3) For every $x, y, z \in G$, it follows from \cite{FS} Theorem 2.11 that
\[
g_N(x, y) = N(\ominus x \oplus y) + N(\ominus y \oplus x)
= N((\ominus x \oplus z) \oplus \text{gyr}[\ominus x, z](\ominus z \oplus y))
+ N((\ominus y \oplus z) \oplus \text{gyr}[\ominus y, z](\ominus z \oplus x))
\leq N(\ominus x \oplus z) + N(\text{gyr}[\ominus x, z](\ominus z \oplus y))
+ N(\ominus y \oplus z) + N(\text{gyr}[\ominus y, z](\ominus z \oplus x))
= N(\ominus x \oplus z) + N(\ominus z \oplus y) + N(\ominus y \oplus z) + N(\ominus z \oplus x)
= g_N(x, z) + g_N(z, y)
\]

Thus, $g_N$ is a metric on $G$. Clearly, the topology generated by the metric $g_N$ of $G$ is weaker than the original topology. Therefore, $G$ is submetrizable. \hfill \Box

Next we consider the coset space of a topological gyrogroup, and prove that the coset space $G/H$ of topological gyrogroup $G$, where $H$ is an admissible $L$-subgyrogroup, is submetrizable.

A subgyrogroup $H$ of a topological gyrogroup $G$ is called admissible if there exists a sequence $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of open symmetric neighborhoods of the identity 0 in $G$ such that $U_{n+1} \oplus (U_{n+1} \oplus U_{n+1}) \subset U_n$ for each $n \in \omega$ and $H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$. If $G$ is a strongly topological gyrogroup with a symmetric neighborhood base $\mathcal{U}$ at 0 and each $U_n \in \mathcal{U}$, we say that the admissible topological subgyrogroup is generated from $\mathcal{U}$. The following proposition shows that if the admissible $L$-subgyrogroups $H$ is generated from $\mathcal{U}$, then the coset space $G/H$ is a homogenous space.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $(G, \tau, \oplus)$ be a strongly topological gyrogroup with a symmetric neighborhood base $\mathcal{U}$ at 0. If $H$ is an admissible $L$-subgyrogroup being generated from $\mathcal{U}$ then the coset space $G/H$ is a homogenous space.

**Proof.** Since $H$ is an admissible $L$-subgyrogroup being generated from $\mathcal{U}$, there exists a sequence $\{U_n : n \in \omega\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ such that $U_{n+1} \oplus (U_{n+1} \oplus U_{n+1}) \subset U_n$ for each $n \in \omega$ and $H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n$. Now we prove that the coset space $G/H$ is a homogenous space. Indeed, for any $a \in G$, define a mapping $h_a$ of $G/H$ to itself by the rule
\[
h_a(x \oplus H) = (a \oplus x) \oplus H.
\]

First, we prove that this definition is correct. Hence it suffices to prove that $(a \oplus x) \oplus H = (a \oplus y) \oplus H$ if $x \oplus H = y \oplus H$. Then it only need to prove $(a \oplus z) \oplus H = a \oplus (z \oplus H)$ for any $z \in G$. Take an arbitrary $z \in G$. Then
\[
(a \oplus z) \oplus H = a \oplus (z \oplus \text{gyr}[z, a](H))
= a \oplus (x \oplus \text{gyr}[z, a](\bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n))
\subseteq a \oplus (z \oplus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \text{gyr}[z, a](U_n))
= a \oplus (z \oplus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n)
= a \oplus (z \oplus H).
\]
What’s more, we have
\[
    a \oplus (z \oplus H) = (a \oplus z) \oplus \text{gyr}[a, z](H) \\
    = (a \oplus z) \oplus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n \\
    \subset (a \oplus z) \oplus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \text{gyr}[a, z](U_n) \\
    = (a \oplus z) \oplus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n \\
    = (a \oplus z) \oplus H.
\]

Therefore, \((a \oplus z) \oplus H = a \oplus (z \oplus H)\). Clearly, \(h_a\) is a bijection. Next we prove that \(h_a\) is a homeomorphism. This can be seen from the following argument.

Take any \(x \oplus H \in G/H\) and any open neighbourhood \(U\) of 0 in \(G\). Then it follows from [4, Theorem 3.7] that \(\pi((x \oplus U) \oplus H)\) is a basic neighbourhood of \(x \oplus H\) in \(G/H\). Similarly, the set \(\pi((a \oplus (x \oplus U) \oplus H))\) is a basic neighbourhood of \(a \oplus (x \oplus H)\) in \(G/H\). Since \(h_a(\pi((x \oplus U) \oplus H)) = \pi((a \oplus ((x \oplus U) \oplus H))\), it easily verify that \(h_a\) is a homeomorphism. Now, for any given \(x \oplus H\) and \(y \oplus H\) in \(G/H\), we can take \(a = y \oplus \text{gyr}[y, x](\ominus x)\). We claim that \(h_a(x \oplus H) = y \oplus H\). In fact, from the above proof, it follows that \(\text{gyr}[y \oplus \text{gyr}[y, x](\ominus x), x](H) = H\). Since \(H\) is an admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup being generated from \(\mathcal{U}\),
\[
h_a(x \oplus H) = (y \oplus \text{gyr}[y, x](\ominus x)) \oplus (x \oplus H) \\
= ((y \oplus \text{gyr}[y, x](\ominus x)) \oplus x) \oplus \text{gyr}[y \oplus \text{gyr}[y, x](\ominus x), x](H) \\
= y \oplus H.
\]

Hence, the quotient space \(G/H\) is homogeneous. \(\square\)

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \((G, \tau, \oplus)\) be a strongly topological gyrogroup with a symmetric neighborhood base \(\mathcal{U}\) at 0. Then:

(a) every admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup \(H\) of \(G\) is closed in \(G\) and the quotient space \(G/H\) has countable pseudocharacter;

(b) every neighborhood of the identity 0 in \(G\) contains an admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup;

(c) the intersection of countably many admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroups of \(G\) is again an admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup of \(G\).

**Proof.** (a) Suppose that \(H\) is an admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup of \(G\). Then there exists a sequence \(\{U_n : n \in \omega\}\) of open symmetric neighborhoods of 0 in \(G\) such that \(U_n \in \mathcal{U}\) and \(U_{n+1} \ominus (U_{n+1} \ominus U_n) \subset U_n\) for each \(n \in \omega\) and \(H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n\). Since \(\bigcup_{n \in \omega} U_n \subset U_{n+1} \ominus U_n \subset U_{n+1} \ominus (U_{n+1} \ominus U_n) \subset U_n\) for each \(n \in \omega\), the intersection of the sets \(U_n\) coincides with the intersection of their closures. Hence, \(H\) is closed in \(G\).

Let \(\pi : G \to G/H\) be the quotient mapping of \(G\) onto the left coset space \(G/H\). For every \(n \in \omega\), we have
\[
    \pi^{-1}(\pi(U_{n+1})) = U_{n+1} \ominus H \subset U_{n+1} \ominus U_{n+1} \subset U_n.
\]
Therefore, the set \(P = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \pi(U_n)\) satisfies
\[
    \pi^{-1}(P) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \pi^{-1}(U_{n+1}) \subset \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n = H.
\]
Hence \( \pi(P) = \{0\} \). For any \( a \in G \), we have
\[
\pi(a) \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \pi(a \oplus U_n) = \pi(a) \oplus \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \pi(U_n) = \varphi(a),
\]
that is, \( \pi(a) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \pi(a \oplus U_n) \). Therefore, \( G/H \) has countable pseudocharacter.

(b) Let \( U \) be an arbitrary neighborhood of 0 in \( G \). Define a sequence \( \{U_n : n \in \omega\} \) of open symmetric neighborhoods of 0 in \( G \) such that \( U_0 \subset U \), \( U_n \in \mathcal{U} \) and \( U_{n+1} \oplus (U_{n+1} \oplus U_{n+1}) \subset U_n \) for each \( n \in \omega \). Then \( H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n \) is an admissible \( L \)-subgyrogroup of \( G \) and \( H \subset U_0 \subset U \).

(c) Let \( \{H_n : n \in \omega\} \) be a sequence of admissible \( L \)-subgyrogroups of \( G \). For every \( n \in \omega \), we can find a sequence \( \{U_{i,n} : k \in \omega\} \) of open symmetric neighborhoods of 0 in \( G \) such that \( U_{i,n,k} \subset U_{i,n} \), \( U_{i,n} \in U_{i,n+1} \) and \( U_{i,n+1} \oplus (U_{i,n+1} \oplus U_{i,n+1}) \subset U_{i,n} \) for each \( n \in \omega \). Clearly, every \( V_n \) is an open symmetric neighborhood of 0 in \( G \). In addition, whenever \( i \leq n \),
\[
V_{n+1} \oplus (V_n \oplus V_{n+1}) \subset U_{i,n+1} \oplus (U_{i,n+1} \oplus U_{i,n+1}) \subset U_{i,n}.
\]
So, for all \( n \in \omega \),
\[
V_{n+1} \oplus (V_n \oplus V_{n+1}) \subset \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} U_{i,n} = V_n.
\]
What’s more, for every \( x, y \in G \),
\[
gyr[x, y](H) = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} U_{i,n} = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n = H.
\]
By [14, Proposition 2.6], we have \( \text{gyr}[x, y](H) = H \) for all \( x, y \in G \). Moreover,
\[
H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} V_n = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} U_{i,n} = \bigcap_{i \in \omega} \bigcap_{n=i}^{\infty} U_{i,n} = \bigcap_{i \in \omega} H_i.
\]
Therefore, \( \bigcap_{i \in \omega} H_i \) is an admissible \( L \)-subgyrogroup.

A subset \( B \) of a space \( X \) is said to be bounded in \( X \) if every continuous real-valued function on \( X \) is bounded on \( B \).

**Lemma 4.6.** [1] A subset \( B \) of a Tychonoff space \( X \) is bounded in \( X \) iff for every locally finite family \( \gamma \) of open sets in \( X \), the set \( B \) meets only finitely many elements of \( \gamma \).
Theorem 4.7. Suppose that \((G, \tau, \oplus)\) is a strongly topological gyrogroup with a symmetric neighborhood base \(\mathcal{W}\) at 0. Suppose further that \(B\) is a subset of \(G\) such that the set \(\pi_H(B)\) is bounded in the quotient space \(G/H\) for every admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup \(H\) of \(G\), where \(\pi_H: G \to G/H\) is the quotient mapping. Then \(B\) is bounded in \(G\).

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that \(B\) is not bounded in \(G\). Then, by Lemma 4.6, there exists a locally finite family \(\gamma = \{V_n : n \in \omega\}\) of open sets in \(G\) such that \(B\) meets every \(V_n\). For every \(n \in \omega\), choose a point \(x_n \in B \cap V_n\) and an open neighborhood \(W_n\) of the identity 0 such that \(W_n \in \mathcal{W}\) and \((x_n \oplus W_n) \oplus W_n \subset V_n\). According to (b) and (c) of Lemma 4.5, \(W_n\) contains an admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup \(H_n\) of \(G\), and \(H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} H_n\) is also an admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup of \(G\). Let \(\pi : G \to G/H\) be the quotient mapping. Then, for each \(n \in \omega\),

\[
\pi^{-1}(x_n \oplus W_n) = (x_n \oplus W_n) \oplus H \subset (x_n \oplus W_n) \oplus H_n \subset (x_n \oplus W_n) \oplus W_n \subset V_n.
\]

By [4] Theorem 3.7, the mapping \(\pi\) is open. Then since \(\gamma\) is locally finite in \(G\), it follows that the family \(\{\pi(x_n \oplus W_n) : n \in \omega\}\) of open sets is locally finite in \(G/H\). It is clear that \(\pi(B)\) meets every element of this family, so that \(\pi(B)\) is not bounded in \(G/H\) by Lemma 4.6.

Theorem 4.8. Suppose that \(G\) is a strongly topological gyrogroup with the symmetric neighborhood base \(\mathcal{W}\) at 0, \(H\) is an admissible \(L\)-subgyrogroup of \(G\), then the left coset space \(G/H\) is submetrizable.

Proof. Let \(\{U_n : n \in \omega\}\) be a sequence of symmetric open neighborhoods of the identity 0 in \(G\) satisfying \(U_n \in \mathcal{W}\) and \(U_{n+1} \oplus (U_{n+1} \oplus U_{n+1}) \subset U_n\), for each \(n \in \omega\), and such that \(H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n\). By Lemma 4.2, there exists a continuous prenorm \(N\) on \(G\) which satisfies

\[
N(\text{gyr}[x, y](z)) = N(z)
\]

for any \(x, y, z \in G\) and

\[
\{x \in G : N(x) < 1/2^n\} \subset U_n \subset \{x \in G : N(x) \leq 2/2^n\},
\]

for any \(n \in \omega\).

First, we show that \(N(x) = 0\) if and only if \(x \in H\). If \(N(x) = 0\), then

\[
x \in \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \{x \in G : N(x) < 1/2^n\} \subset \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n = H.
\]

On the other hand, if \(x \in H\), since

\[
H = \bigcap_{n \in \omega} U_n \subset \bigcap_{n \in \omega} \{x \in G : N(x) \leq 2/2^n\},
\]

we have that \(N(x) = 0\).

We claim that \(N(x \oplus h) = N(x)\) for every \(x \in G\) and \(h \in H\). Indeed, for every \(x \in G\) and \(h \in H\), \(N(x \oplus h) \leq N(x) + N(h) = N(x) + 0 = N(x)\). Moreover, by the definition of \(N\), we observe that \(N(\text{gyr}[x, y](z)) = N(z)\) for every \(x, y, z \in G\). Since \(H\) is a \(L\)-subgyrogroup, it follows that

\[
N(x) = N((x \oplus h) \oplus \text{gyr}[x, h](\oplus h)) \\
\leq N(x \oplus h) + N(\text{gyr}[x, h](\oplus h)) \\
= N(x \oplus h) + N(\oplus h) \\
= N(x \oplus h).
\]

Therefore, \(N(x \oplus h) = N(x)\) for every \(x \in G\) and \(h \in H\).
Now define a function $d$ from $G \times G$ to $\mathbb{R}$ by $d(x, y) = |N(x) - N(y)|$ for all $x, y \in G$. Obviously, $d$ is continuous. We show that $d$ is a pseudometric.

(1) For any $x, y \in G$, if $x = y$, then $d(x, y) = |N(0) - N(0)| = 0$.
(2) For any $x, y \in G$, $d(y, x) = |N(y) - N(x)| = |N(x) - N(y)| = d(x, y)$.
(3) For any $x, y, z \in G$, we have

$$d(x, y) = |N(x) - N(y)|$$
$$= |N(x) - N(z) + N(z) - N(y)|$$
$$\leq |N(x) - N(z)| + |N(z) - N(y)|$$
$$= d(x, z) + d(z, y).$$

If $x' \in x \oplus H$ and $y' \in y \oplus H$, then there exist $h_1, h_2 \in H$ such that $x' = x \oplus h_1$ and $y' = y \oplus h_2$, then

$$d(x', y') = |N(x \oplus h_1) - N(y \oplus h_2)| = |N(x) - N(y)| = d(x, y).$$

This enables us to define a function $\varrho$ on $G/H \times G/H$ by

$$\varrho(\pi_H(x), \pi_H(y)) = d(\ominus x \oplus y, 0) + d(\ominus y \oplus x, 0)$$
for any $x, y \in G$.

It is obvious that $\varrho$ is continuous, and we verify that $\varrho$ is a metric on $G/H$.

(1) Obviously, for any $x, y \in G$, then

$$\varrho(\pi_H(x), \pi_H(y)) = 0 \iff d(\ominus x \oplus y, 0) = d(\ominus y \oplus x, 0) = 0$$
$$\iff N(\ominus x \oplus y) = N(\ominus y \oplus x) = 0$$
$$\iff \ominus x \oplus y \in H \text{ and } \ominus y \oplus x \in H$$
$$\iff x \in x \oplus H \text{ and } y \in y \oplus H$$
$$\iff \pi_H(x) = \pi_H(y).$$

(2) For every $x, y \in G$, it is obvious that $\varrho(\pi_H(y), \pi_H(x)) = \varrho(\pi_H(x), \pi_H(y)).$

(3) For every $x, y, z \in G$, it follows from [13, Theorem 2.11] that

$$\varrho(\pi_H(x), \pi_H(y)) = N(\ominus x \oplus y) + N(\ominus y \oplus x)$$
$$= N((\ominus x \oplus z) \oplus \text{gyr}[\ominus x, z](\ominus y \oplus z))$$
$$+ N((\ominus y \oplus z) \oplus \text{gyr}[\ominus y, z](\ominus z \oplus x))$$
$$\leq N(\ominus x \oplus z) + N(\text{gyr}[\ominus x, z](\ominus z \oplus y))$$
$$+ N(\ominus y \oplus z) + N(\text{gyr}[\ominus y, z](\ominus z \oplus x))$$
$$= N(\ominus x \oplus z) + N(\ominus y \oplus z) + N(\ominus y \oplus z) + N(\ominus z \oplus x)$$
$$= d(\ominus x \oplus z, 0) + d(\ominus z \oplus x, 0) + d(\ominus z \oplus y, 0) + d(\ominus y \oplus z, 0)$$
$$= \varrho(\pi_H(x), \pi_H(z)) + \varrho(\pi_H(z), \pi_H(y)).$$

Given any points $x \in G$, $y \in G/H$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we define open balls,

$$B(x, \varepsilon) = \{x' \in G : d(x', x) < \varepsilon\}$$

and

$$B^*(y, \varepsilon) = \{y' \in G/H : \varrho(y', y) < \varepsilon\}$$
in $G$ and $G/H$, respectively. Obviously, if $x \in G$ and $y = \pi_H(x)$, then we have $B(x, \varepsilon) = \pi_H^{-1}(B^*(y, \varepsilon))$. Therefore, the topology generated by $\varrho$ on $G/H$ is coarser than the quotient topology on $G/H$ and the space $G/H$ is submetrizable. $\square$
Since every completely regular submetrizable space is Dieudonné complete, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.9.** Every $T_0$-strongly topological gyrogroup with a countable pseudocharacter is Dieudonné complete.
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