

Nonlinear guiding of light in tunable food-dye solutions

GUO LIANG,^{1,2} SOJIN HAN,¹ XUBO HU,^{1,3} FELIX MARTINEZ SOLIS,¹ TREVOR KELLY,¹
GRAHAM SIGGINS¹, YINXIAO XIANG,¹ HUIZHONG XU,¹ ZHIGANG CHEN^{1,4,*}

¹ Department of Physics and Astronomy, San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA 94132

² School of Physics and Electrical Information, Shangqiu Normal University, Shangqiu 476000, China

³ College of Electronic Engineering, South China Agricultural University, Guangzhou 510642, China

⁴ TEDA Applied Physics Institute and School of Physics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300457, China

*Corresponding author: zhigang@sfsu.edu

Received XX Month XXXX; revised XX Month, XXXX; accepted XX Month XXXX; posted XX Month XXXX (Doc. ID XXXXX); published XX Month XXXX

We provide the first demonstration of nonlinear self-trapping of light in solutions of food coloring dyes, leading to dirt cheap soliton waveguides. The optical self-focusing nonlinearity observed in such solutions is attributed to the optical absorption force acting on dye molecules, as the thermal effect at relatively higher power results in a self-defocusing nonlinearity. We found the nonlinear response increases when the laser wavelength is switched to near the peak absorption for all food dyes tested. Furthermore, the absorption-dependent nonlinearity can be tuned by making purposeful samples of different food dyes. In particular, with appropriately mixing of dye solutions, nonlinear response arises for otherwise inactive wavelengths, leading to interaction between two beams of different wavelengths and formation of a coupled soliton-like pair. At milliwatt low power levels, self-trapping achieved at one wavelength in food-dye solutions can serve as low-cost waveguides for optical beams at other wavelengths. © 2020 Optical Society of America

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1364/AO.99.099999>

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of optical properties of soft-matter systems has attracted much attention in scientific and technological fields ranging from life sciences to chemistry and physics [1-5]. A laser beam can exert gradient forces on micro- or nano-sized particles diffused in solutions [6], which in turn manipulate characteristics of particles such as concentration, diffusion and osmotic pressure [7, 8]. In the nonlinear optics domain, spatial variation in particle concentration can lead to a change of the local refractive index. For particles with positive polarizability (PP), their refractive index exceeds that of the background solution, and they will be attracted toward the regions of highest intensity. While for particles with negative polarizability (NP), their refractive index is below that of the background solution, thus they tend to be repelled from the beam path. However, for both PP and NP particles, their resulting local index changes increase along the path of the beam, and that introduces a self-focusing nonlinearity [6, 7]. Interestingly, optical nonlinearity can also be tailored by changing size, shape, and composition of the particles, as well as the wavelength of illumination, as for plasmonic resonant solitons [9, 10]. As consequences of this type of optical force-induced nonlinearities, a variety of nonlinear phenomena including modulation instability,

spatial solitons, shock waves and beam filamentation have been observed in a variety of nonlinear colloidal suspensions [9-20].

In addition to dielectric and metallic nanoparticle suspensions, biological soft matter such as suspensions of marine bacteria [21], red blood cells [22], and human brain tumors [23] has also attracted great interest, exhibiting an appreciable nonlinear optical response. While gradient forces were believed to be the main cause of optical nonlinearity in dielectric suspensions, recent studies have revealed the important role that scattering and absorption forces play for optical nonlinearities in plasmonic and biological suspensions [10, 21, 22]. Optical nonlinear response has also been demonstrated in other soft-matter systems such as liquid crystals through different mechanisms [24, 25].

In this work, we show that nonlinear self-induced waveguides can be established in food dye solutions, in which self-trapping of light can be achieved at relatively low input power. By conducting a series of experiments with food dye solutions of different colors using laser beams at different wavelengths, we experimentally demonstrate that the optical nonlinearities of food dye solutions result primarily from the wavelength-dependent absorption forces. By mixing two different food dye solutions, we show it is possible to tune the optical nonlinearities such that two beams of different wavelengths cooperate to form a coupled spatial soliton pair.

2. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The setup for this study is illustrated in Fig. 1. A continuous-wave laser (laser 1) (operating at $\lambda=639, 532, \text{ or } 488 \text{ nm}$) is collimated by a pair of lenses (L_1 and L_2), then focused into a 3-cm-long glass cuvette filled with the food dye solutions by the lens L_5 . The focal point of the focused beam is located about 0.7 cm away from the input facet of the cuvette to avoid heating and surface effects. The output beam after propagating through the sample is collected by the lens L_6 , and recorded by a CCD camera. For the experiment of incoherently nonlinear coupling, a second laser (laser 2) at 532 nm wavelength is added. The two beams are combined through a dichroic mirror, and propagate collinearly through the sample. To record the input/output beam profiles for the two wavelengths, appropriate notch filters are placed before the CCD camera.




Fig. 1. Schematic of the experimental setup. (L_1, L_2) and (L_3, L_4) are two pairs of collimating lenses. L_5, L_6 : focusing lenses; DM: dichroic mirror; M: mirror; NF: notch filter; CCD: charge-coupled device camera. The optical path in the shaded area enclosed by dashed line is employed when needed for the experiment of incoherently coupled soliton pairs. The insets show a typical side-view of a self-trapped beam and a top-view of two soliton beams at 532 nm wavelength through a 3-cm-long cuvette filled with red food dye solution.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Wavelength-dependent Absorption of Food-dye Solutions

Food dyes (synthetic food dyes of Red 40, Yellow 5, Glycol & Red 3 & 0.1% Propylparaben, and Blue 1) of four different colors: red, yellow, green and blue, are purchased from a nearby convenience store. In our experiments, the food dyes are directly diluted into deionized water to prepare the samples with dilution factors (defined by the volume ratio of original dyes to deionized water) ranging from 0.05 to 10^{-4} . The absorption spectra of the food dye solutions at a dilution factor of 10^{-3} are measured by a UV-vis spectrometer as summarized in Fig. 2. The




Fig. 2. Measured absorption spectra for dye solutions of four different colors under the same dilution factor of 10^{-3} . The vertical dashed lines indicate absorbance at the laser wavelength of 488, 532 and 639 nm. The inset shows a picture of the food dye solutions of four colors used in experiment: red, yellow, green and blue.

absorption peaks of four colored dyes center at different wavelengths as expected. The food dyes exhibit different absorption-strengths. In accord with the absorption spectrum, we choose the three laser wavelengths available in our lab: 488 nm, 532 nm and 639 nm. At $\lambda=488 \text{ nm}$, the red dye is most absorptive, then yellow, blue, and green dyes. While for the other wavelengths of 532 nm and 639 nm, the absorption-strength takes a different order for the four dyes.

B. Nonlinear Beam Propagation in Food-dye Solutions

First, we investigate the nonlinear propagation of laser beams at the wavelength of 639 nm in food dye solutions with a dilution factor of 5×10^{-4} . Blue and red dyes exhibit notably different beam behaviors shown in Fig. 3. In blue dye solutions, the input beam [Fig. 3 (a)] diffracts strikingly at low power ($\sim 1 \text{ mW}$) [Fig. 3 (b)]. As the input




Fig. 3. Input (a, e) and typical output transverse intensity patterns in the blue (first row) and red (second row) dye solutions for a laser at 639 nm. The powers used for the blue dye solution are: (b) 1 mW, (c) 40 mW, and (d) 80 mW; and for the red dye solution are: (f) 10 mW, (g), 40 mW, and (h) 200 mW.

power increases gradually, the size of the output beam decreases accordingly due to the increasing focusing nonlinearity. A self-trapped soliton forms when the input power is increased to $\sim 40 \text{ mW}$ [Fig. 3 (c)]. Subsequently, when the power is higher than 40 mW, the beam starts to diverge, and gradually evolves into a ring-like pattern [Fig. 3 (d)]. Such defocusing rings with shifting positions arise from thermal nonlinearity and convection [26] at relatively high laser powers, as have been observed before in several prior experiments [10, 22, 27-29], so it will not be the focus of this work.

In contrast, totally different outcome is observed in red dye solutions at the same concentration and same wavelength: neither focusing nor defocusing dynamics could be clearly observed even when the input power is increased to as high as 200 mW, as shown in Figs. 3 (e)-3(h). Meanwhile, the positions of the output beam remain almost unchanged, and no ring-like pattern emerges. It implies that no evident nonlinear self-focusing and thermal convection exists for 639 nm light in red dye solutions due to weak absorption at this wavelength.

Then, we preform systematic experiments and compare the beam dynamics for all four colors of food dye solutions using the 639 nm laser. The measured output beam diameters (full width at $1/e^2$ -intensity) as a function of input powers in these solutions are shown in Fig. 4 (a). It can be seen that both blue and green dye solutions exhibit notable nonlinearities. The power needed for self-trapping, at which beams are focused to the smallest size, is almost the same ($\sim 40 \text{ mW}$) for these two dye solutions. However, compared with the green dye,

the beam in the blue dye solution is focused into a smaller size at the soliton power, and also defocused into a larger size at a high input power. In the yellow and red dye solutions, however, the output beam size is almost unchanged when the input power increases. No visible focusing nonlinearity is observed even when the power is increased up to 200 mW (or at a concentration as high as 0.05). Moreover, formation of ring-like patterns is not observed in these two solutions.




Fig. 4. Measured output beam diameters as a function of the input laser powers for dye solutions of four different colors under the same dilution factor of 7×10^{-4} for three laser wavelengths of (a) 639 nm, (b) 532 nm, and (c) 488 nm. Stronger self-focusing occurs at the wavelength of highest absorption, in accordance with Fig. 2. (d) shows the output beam diameter as a function of the input laser powers at $\lambda=639$ nm in blue dye solutions of different concentrations.

C. Absorption-dependent Nonlinearity of Food-dye Solutions

The above observations of optical nonlinearity in food dye solutions reveal a strong correlation between absorption and nonlinear self-guiding of light. This motivates us to turn the attentions back to the absorption spectrum of the food dye solutions of different colors shown in Fig. 2. Near the wavelength 639 nm, among the four colored dyes, blue dye has the strongest absorption, then the green dye, while red and yellow dyes exhibit little absorption. Obviously, the measured absorption spectra are consistent with the nonlinearity strength observed in different dye solutions [Fig. 3 and Fig. 4(a)]. Stronger absorption results in a stronger nonlinearity. To test the absorption-dependent nonlinearity also exhibits at other laser wavelengths, we consider the nonlinear dynamics of laser beams at wavelengths of 532 nm and 488 nm. The dependence of the output beam diameters for the two laser beams propagating in different colored food dye solutions is summarized in Fig. 4 (b) and 4 (c). At $\lambda=532$ nm, Fig. 4 (b) shows that the order of nonlinearity strength from the strongest to the weakest is red, blue, green and yellow dyes. At $\lambda=488$ nm, the results in Fig. 4 (c) show that the order for the four coloring dyes is red, yellow, blue and green. However, it is difficult to quantitatively compare the nonlinearity strength of a given dye solution at different wavelengths in experiment, because the measurements are not done under the same setup with the same laser. For example, in red dye solutions, the output beam width at 532 nm is nearly twice than that at 488 nm: 0.8 mm for the former, while 0.4 mm for the latter. Then in order to focus the output beams to nearly the same size (about 0.1mm), the power needed at 532 nm is higher than that at 488 nm. The nonlinearities at these two laser wavelengths demonstrated in Fig. 4 (b) and 4 (c) again conform that a stronger absorption (as measured in Fig. 2) leads to a higher nonlinearity.

To investigate the effect of dye concentrations on their generated nonlinearity strength, we also measured output beam diameters with

increasing input powers at different concentrations. Typical results are shown in Fig. 4(d) for the blue dye solutions. When the solutions are more concentrated, their induced nonlinearities become stronger, as indicated by the stronger self-focusing of the beam.

It should be noted that the above observed nonlinearity is in fact a result of the optical absorption force acting on the dye molecules to create a spatial variation in molecule concentration, thus a contrast in refractive index, rather than the resonant-absorption induced nonlinearity [30]. The former is associated with redistribution of molecules due to their motion, and the latter does not require molecules to move. For a further clarification, we perform z-scan measurements of dye solutions, whose movements are restricted in a 1-mm-thick cuvette (Fig. 5(a)). The normalized power transmittance is determined by [31]

$$T(z) = \frac{\int_0^{r_a} |E_a(\Delta\Phi_0, r, z)|^2 r dr}{S \int_0^\infty |E_a(0, r, z)|^2 r dr}, \quad (1)$$

where E_a is the optical field at the aperture, r_a is the aperture radius, $\Delta\Phi_0$ is the on-axis phase shift at the focus and S is the aperture transmittance in the linear regime. The measured power transmittance, as shown in Fig. 5(b), exhibits a




Fig. 5. (a): Z-scan setup; (b): Z-scan results of 1-mm-thick cell filled by a red dye solution under the dilution factor of 7×10^{-4} at 532 nm.

peak-to-valley structure, implying the self-defocusing nonlinearity of the solution. The measured Kerr coefficient is $n_2 = -2.14 \times 10^{-7} \text{cm}^2/\text{W}$ for the input power of 100mW (the estimated input intensity is $2.46 \times 10^3 \text{W}/\text{cm}^2$). Therefore, the focusing nonlinearity, observed for dye solutions [Figs. (3) and (4)], cannot be simply explained by the resonant-absorption of molecules. In particle nanosuspensions, the nonlinearity is typically considered to be a consequence of optical force-induced refractive index changes [6-11, 27], where the optical gradient, absorption and scattering forces play an important role in creating a spatial variation in particle concentration [32]. As for the food dyes, their molecule size is only several nanometers, therefore the scattering force can be neglected. Furthermore, since the real part of the molecular polarizability is relatively small at the absorption peak of a dye solution [33], the gradient force is also weak (yet it is necessary for nonlinear self-guiding [21, 22]). This suggests that the absorption force plays a dominant role in nonlinear dye solutions. As explained in prior work [9], although the z-scan experiments showed a negative n_2 for most of the nanoparticle suspensions [34-36], self-focusing and plasmonic resonant solitons can still form in those media due to optical force-induced nonlinearity. In fact, if we move the 1mm-sample around the focal point so its position is before or after the sample (as in the case of z-scan experiment), we would not observe self-trapping of light due to weak gradient forces. In addition, depending on the power level, diffraction or thermal defocusing can dominate in these environments, which in both cases results in a negative n_2 . It

should be noted that this type of force-dependent nonlinearity is different from that by virtue of the thermophoresis effect for the “hot-particle” solitons in dielectric nanoparticle suspensions [37], because the response of food dye solutions to the laser beams is nearly instantaneous (~ 200 ms, measured by recorded videos) while the latter requires a much longer time in order to evolve into a temporal steady state. Additionally, the power required to form solitons in food dye solutions is only at low mW levels, much lower than that needed in dielectric nanoparticle suspensions.

D. Nonlinearity Coupling and Vector-like Soliton Pairs in Mixed Food-dye Solutions

Since the nonlinearity of food dye solutions is directly related to their absorption, it should be tunable by mixing of different dye solutions. In principle, a strong nonlinearity of dye solutions can be achieved at the wavelengths of interest in such synthetic food dye solution with appropriate proportions. Here, we illustrate a simple example by mixing red and green food dyes with equal proportions as shown in Fig. 6(a). The spectra of the mixture and each individual solution are also measured and shown in Fig. 6(b). After the mixing, two absorption




Fig. 6. (a) Red, green food dye solutions and their mixture with equal proportions; (b) absorption spectra of red dye, green dye, and their mixed solution; (c) nonlinear coupling of two laser beams of wavelengths 639 nm (beam 1) and 532 nm (beam 2) in a mixture of red and green food dye solutions. Shown in (c) from left to right are transverse intensity patterns and corresponding beam profiles taken for input, normal diffraction, decoupled output (when the other pairing beam is blocked), and the coupled vector soliton output (when both beams are in action).

peaks emerge near the two wavelengths of 532 nm and 639 nm. If we illuminate the sample with two laser beams of 532 nm and 639 nm simultaneously, the two beams will both experience the self-focusing nonlinearity. As such, it is possible to have nonlinear interaction and coupling in the mixed solution, and to form coupled spatial soliton pairs that would not exist if only red or green dye solution is used.

The above idea of vector-type nonlinear coupling between two incoherent beams of different wavelengths in mixed solutions is demonstrated in Fig. 6(c). One of pairing beams is at 639 nm (laser 1), and the other is at 532 nm (laser 2). The two beams propagate collinearly in the mixed solution of red and green dyes with the same dilution factor of 5×10^{-4} , and they experience a refractive-index modulation created by both beams. At low power, both beams diffract

during linear propagation [second column in Fig. 6(c)]. The apparent larger diffraction at 532 nm is due to chromatic aberration and that its focal point is placed closer to the front facet of the sample. When the input powers are increased to 23.7 mW for the 639 nm beam and 55.4 mW for the 532 nm beam, the two output beams are mutually trapped to form a coupled vector soliton pair [fourth column in Fig. 6(c)]. However, when the two beams are decoupled, that is, any one of the two beams is blocked, the remaining beam only displays partial self-focusing due to the lack of sufficient nonlinearity [third column in Fig. 6(c)]. These results resemble the one-dimensional incoherently coupled spatial soliton pairs observed early in a biased photorefractive crystal [38], but realized here in a new tunable nonlinear material formed by mixing low-cost food dye solutions. In this experiment, the mixture of different colors is crucial for the coupled vector soliton pair. In a single-color food dye solution (e.g., only the red one), although the 532 nm laser beam can exhibit a certain amount of nonlinearity, the other 639 nm laser beam itself cannot induce appreciable nonlinearity, making it impossible to induce nonlinear coupling in just one color of food-dye solution. We point out that, since the dye solutions are of optical isotropic properties, this kind of vector-type nonlinear coupling between two mutually incoherent beams is independent of their respective polarizations.

4. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have demonstrated nonlinear guiding of light in food dye solutions over a wide range of colors and concentrations. We have observed that absorption by the food dye solutions is a key factor in determining their nonlinearities. The stronger absorption a dye solution has, the greater nonlinearity it exhibits. Furthermore, this kind of absorption force-induced nonlinearity can be well tuned by a purposeful mixing of dye solutions of different colors. Self-trapping of light beams in food dye solutions can be achieved at very low laser powers because of the strong nonlinearity in these systems. At higher laser powers, the nonlinear propagation of light is accompanied by thermal convection, resulting in both shifting of the beam positions and the appearance of defocusing rings. Finally, we have shown that two mutually incoherent beams of different wavelengths can act together to form a coupled spatial soliton pair in synthetic food dye solutions.

Funding. This research is supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (2017YFA0303800), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11604199), Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (2018A0303130232), and the China Scholarship Council (201708410236).

Disclosures. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. P. W. Smith, P. J. Maloney, and A. Ashkin, "Use of a liquid suspension of dielectric spheres as an artificial Kerr medium," *Opt. Lett.* **7**, 347-349 (1982)
2. V. J. Anderson and H. N. W. Lekkerkerker, "Insights into phase transition kinetics from colloid science," *Nature* **416**, 811-815 (2002).
3. R. Sigel, G. Fytas, N. Vainos, S. Pispas, and N. Hadjichristidis, "Pattern Formation in Homogeneous Polymer Solutions Induced by a Continuous-Wave Visible Laser," *Science* **297**, 67 (2002).
4. C. Conti, N. Ghofraniha, G. Ruocco, and S. Trillo, "Laser Beam Filamentation in Fractal Aggregates," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 123903 (2006).
5. C. Conti and E. DelRe, "Optical Supercavitation in Soft Matter," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 118301 (2010).
6. R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, C. Rotschild, and M. Segev, "Soliton dynamics and self-induced transparency in nonlinear nanosuspensions," *Opt. Express* **15**, 10207 (2007).

7. M. Matuszewski, W. Krolikowski, and Y. S. Kivshar, "Spatial solitons and light-induced instabilities in colloidal media," *Opt. Express* **16**, 1371 (2008).
8. G. G. Hammes, *Thermodynamics and Kinetics for the Biological Sciences* (Wiley, New York, 2000).
9. S. Fardad, A. Salandrino, M. Heinrich, P. Zhang, Z. Chen, and D. N. Christodoulides, "Plasmonic Resonant Solitons in Metallic Nanosuspensions," *Nano Letters* **14**, 2498-2504 (2014).
10. H. Xu, P. Alvaro, Y. Xiang, T. S. Kelly, Y. Ren, C. Zhang, and Z. Chen, "Plasmonic resonant nonlinearity and synthetic optical properties in gold nanorod suspensions," *Photon. Res.* **7**, 28-35 (2019).
11. Z. Chen, M. Segev, D.N. Christodoulides, "Optical spatial solitons: historical overview and recent advances," Invited review paper, *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **75**, 086401 (2012).
12. Y. V. Kartashov, G. E. Astrakharchik, B. A. Malomed, and L. Torner, "Frontiers in multidimensional self-trapping of nonlinear fields and matter," *Nature Reviews Physics* **1**, 185-197 (2019).
13. C. Conti, G. Ruocco, and S. Trillo, "Optical spatial solitons in soft matter," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 183902 (2005).
14. P. J. Reece, E. M. Wright, and K. Dholakia, "Experimental Observation of Modulation Instability and Optical Spatial Soliton Arrays in Soft Condensed Matter," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 203902 (2007).
15. W. Man, S. Fardad, Z. Zhang, J. Prakash, M. Lau, P. Zhang, M. Heinrich, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. Chen, "Optical Nonlinearities and Enhanced Light Transmission in Soft-Matter Systems with Tunable Polarizabilities," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **111**, 218302 (2013).
16. R. A. Terborg, J. P. Torres, and K. Volke-Sepulveda, "Steering and guiding light with light in a nanosuspension," *Opt. Lett.* **38**, 5284 (2013).
17. E. Greenfield, J. Nemirowsky, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and M. Segev, "Shockwave based nonlinear optical manipulation in densely scattering opaque suspensions," *Opt. Express* **21**, 23785 (2013).
18. C. Conti, N. Ghofraniha, G. Ruocco, and S. Trillo, "Laser Beam Filamentation in Fractal Aggregates," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 123903 (2006).
19. N. M. Litchinitser, "Nonlinear optics in metamaterials," *Adv. Phys. X* **3**, 1367628 (2018).
20. A. S. Reyna and C. B. de Araújo, "High-order optical nonlinearities in plasmonic nanocomposites—a review," *Adv. Opt. Photon.* **9**, 720 (2017).
21. A. Bezryadina, T. Hansson, R. Gautam, B. Wetzel, G. Siggins, A. Kalmbach, J. Lamstein, D. Gallardo, E. J. Carpenter, A. Ichimura, R. Morandotti, and Z. Chen, "Nonlinear self-action of light through biological suspensions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 058101 (2017).
22. R. Gautam, Y. Xiang, J. Lamstein, Y. Liang, A. Bezryadina, G. Liang, T. Hansson, B. Wetzel, D. Preece, A. White, M. Silverman, S. Kazarian, J. Xu, R. Morandotti, and Z. Chen, "Optical force-induced nonlinearity and self-guiding of light in human red blood cell suspensions," *Light Sci Appl* **8**, 31 (2019).
23. N. V. Kuzmin, P. Wesseling, P. C. de Witt Hamer, D. P. Noske, G. D. Galgano, H. D. Mansvelder, J. C. Baayen and M. L. Groot, "Third harmonic generation imaging for fast, label-free pathology of human brain tumors," *Biomed. Opt. Express* **7**, 1889 (2016).
24. G. Assanto, M. Peccianti, and C. Conti, "Nematicons: Optical Spatial Solitons in Nematic Liquid Crystals," *Opt. Photonics News* **14**, 44 (2003).
25. C. P. Jisha, A. Alberucci, J. Beeckman, and S. Nolte, "Self-Trapping of Light Using the Pancharatnam-Berry Phase," *Phys. Rev. X* **9**, 021051 (2019).
26. R. Karimzadeh, "Spatial self-phase modulation of a laser beam propagating through liquids with self-induced natural convection flow," *J. Opt.* **14**, 095701 (2012).
27. T. S. Kelly, Y. X. Ren, A. Samadi, A. Bezryadina, D. Christodoulides, and Z. Chen, "Guiding and nonlinear coupling of light in plasmonic nanosuspensions," *Opt. Lett.* **41**, 3817 (2016).
28. G. Marcucci, D. Pierangeli, S. Gentilini, N. Ghofraniha, Z. Chen, and C. Conti, "Optical Spatial Shock Waves in Nonlocal Nonlinear Media," *Adv Phys X*, **4**, 1662733 (2019).
29. G. Wang, S. Zhang, F. A. Umran, X. Cheng, N. Dong, D. Coghlan, Y. Cheng, L. Zhang, W. J. Blau, and J. Wang, "Tunable effective nonlinear refractive index of graphene dispersions during the distortion of spatial self-phase modulation," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **104**, 141909 (2014).
30. D. C. Hutchings, M. Sheik-Bahae, D. J. Hagan, and E. W. Van Stryland, "Kramers-Kronig relations in nonlinear optics," *Opt. Quantum Electron.*, **24**, 1 (1992).
31. M. Sheik-Bahae, A. A. Said, and E. W. Van Stryland, "High Sensitivity, Single Beam n₂ Measurements," *Opt. Lett.* **14**, 955-957 (1989).
32. Q. Zhan, "Trapping metallic Rayleigh particles with radial polarization," *Opt. Express* **12**, 3377-3382 (2004).
33. A. Djorović, M. Meyer, B. L. Darby, and E. C. Le Ru, "Accurate Modeling of the Polarizability of Dyes for Electromagnetic Calculations," *ACS Omega* **2**, 1804 (2017).
34. T. J. Jia, T. C. He, P. W. Li, Y. J. Mo, and Y. T. Cui, "A study of the thermal-induced nonlinearity of Au and Ag colloids prepared by the chemical reaction method," *Optics and Laser Technology* **40**, 936 (2008).
35. R. Karimzadeh and N. Mansour, "The effect of concentration on the thermo-optical properties of colloidal silver nanoparticles," *Optics and Laser Technology* **42**, 783 (2010).
36. R. F. Souza, M. Alencar, E. C. da Silva, M. R. Meneghetti, and J. M. Hickmann, "Nonlinear optical properties of Au nanoparticles colloidal system: Local and nonlocal responses," *Applied Physics Letters* **92**, 201902 (2008).
37. Y. Lamhot, A. Barak, O. Peleg, and M. Segev, "Self-trapping of optical beams through thermophoresis," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 163906 (2010).
38. Z. Chen, M. Segev, T. H. Coskun, and D. N. Christodoulides, "Observation of incoherently coupled photorefractive spatial soliton pairs," *Opt. Lett.* **21**, 1436 (1996).