

A REMARK ON STRESS OF A SPATIALLY UNIFORM DISLOCATION DENSITY FIELD

SIRAN LI

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. In an interesting recent paper [1], Acharya proved that the stress produced by a spatially uniform dislocation density field in a body comprising a nonlinear elastic material may fail to vanish under no loads. The class of counterexamples constructed in [1] is essentially 2-dimensional: it works with the subgroup $\mathcal{SO}(2) \oplus \langle \mathbf{Id} \rangle \subset \mathcal{O}(3)$. The objective of this note is to extend Acharya's result in [1] to the $\mathcal{O}(3)$, subject to one additional structural condition and less regularity assumptions.

1.2. **Nomenclature.** Throughout $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a simply-connected bounded domain with outward unit normal vectorfield \mathbf{n} . The group of 3×3 orthogonal matrices is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(3)$; *i.e.*, $M \in \mathcal{O}(3)$ if and only if $M^\top = M^{-1}$. The special orthogonal group $\mathcal{SO}(2)$ consists of the matrices in $\mathcal{O}(2)$ with determinant 1. The matrix field $\mathbf{F} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(3; \mathbb{R})$ designates the elastic distortion, and $\mathbf{W} := \mathbf{F}^{-1}$ whenever \mathbf{F} is invertible. $\mathbf{T} : \mathfrak{gl}(3; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(3)$ denotes a generally nonlinear, frame-indifferent stress response function, where $\mathfrak{gl}(3; \mathbb{R})$ is the space of 3×3 matrices. The composition $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{F})$ is the symmetric Cauchy stress field applied to the configuration of body Ω . The constant matrix $\alpha \in \mathfrak{gl}(3; \mathbb{R})$ denotes the dislocation density distribution specified on Ω .

For a matrix field $M = \{M_j^i\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(3; \mathbb{R})$, its curl and divergence are understood in the *row-wise* sense. In local coordinates it means the following: for each $i, j, k, \ell \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\text{curl } M$ is the 2-tensor field

$$[\text{curl } M]_j^i := \nabla_k M_\ell^i - \nabla_\ell M_k^i$$

where (k, ℓ, j) is an even permutation of $(1, 2, 3)$, and $\text{div } M$ is the vectorfield

$$[\text{div } M]^i = \sum_j \nabla_j M_j^i.$$

Moreover, recall the *Leray projector* is the L^2 -orthogonal projection $\mathcal{P} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^3; \mathbb{R}^3)$ that sends a vectorfield in \mathbb{R}^3 onto its divergence-free part. On \mathbb{R}^3 it can be defined via Fourier transform:

$$\widehat{\mathcal{P}v}(\xi) := \left(\mathbf{Id} - \frac{\xi \otimes \xi}{|\xi|^2} \right) \hat{v}(\xi).$$

The Leray projector plays an important rôle in the mathematical analysis of incompressible Navier–Stokes equations; *cf. e.g.* Constantin–Foias [3] and Temam [7]. For a matrix field M ,

Date: January 3, 2022.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 74B20; 74G25.

Key words and phrases. Nonlinear elasticity; Stress; Dislocation; Uniform dislocation density; Load; Elastic Body; Non-existence.

$\mathcal{P}(M)$ is again understood in the row-wise sense. We denote by

$$\mathcal{Q} := \mathbf{Id} - \mathcal{P}$$

the complementary projection of \mathcal{P} .

1.3. Differential Equations. In the above setting, the governing equations for the internal stress field in the body subject to the Cauchy stress field $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{F})$ was derived by Willis in [10]. See also Eq. (3) in [1]:

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{W} = -\alpha & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{F})) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{T}(\mathbf{F}) \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Here α is a prescribed constant matrix. This PDE system is considered under the following

Assumption 1.1. $\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{F}) = \mathbf{0}$ if and only if \mathbf{F} takes values in $\mathcal{O}(3)$.

Acharya proved in [1] the following result:

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω , \mathbf{W} , \mathbf{T} , \mathbf{F} , and \mathbf{n} be as in Section 1.2 above. Let α be any nonzero constant matrix. Then, under Assumption 1.1, there does not exist $\theta \in C^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R})$ such that $\mathbf{W} = \mathcal{R}_\theta$ is a solution for Eq. (1); here*

$$\mathcal{R}_\theta := \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2)$$

The proof in [1] follows from concrete computations: with the ansatz (2), Eq. (1) reduces to a system of algebraic equations for $\sin \theta$ and $\cos \theta$ only, which is not soluble unless $\alpha \equiv 0$.

The goal of this note is to extend Acharya's Theorem 1.2 in order to include more general form of \mathbf{W} and assuming lower regularity requirements. At the moment we are not able to generalise to all of $\mathcal{O}(3)$ -valued \mathbf{W} ; an additional structural condition is needed —

Assumption 1.3. $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{W})$ is $\mathcal{O}(3)$ -valued (\mathcal{Q} is the complement of Leray projector in Section 1.2).

1.4. Mechanics. In the terminologies of continuum mechanics, Theorem 1.2 means that in the *nonlinear* regime, there is no C^2 -stress-free spatially uniform dislocation density field, unless such uniform dislocation density is everywhere vanishing.

Various dislocation distributions producing no stress have been observed in the limit of continuum elastic descriptions (*cf.* Mura [6], Head–Howison–Ockendon–Tighe [4], Yavari–Goriely [11], etc.). This is the background for our work. In this note, we aim to further the investigation by Acharya [1] in the nonlinear regime.

2. MAIN RESULT

Theorem 2.1. *Let Ω , \mathbf{W} , α , \mathbf{T} , \mathbf{F} , and \mathbf{n} be as in Section 1.2. Under Assumptions 1.1 and 1.3, Eq. (1) has no solution \mathbf{W} in $C^1(\Omega; \mathcal{O}(3))$ unless the uniform dislocation density field $\alpha \equiv 0$.*

Theorem 2.1 agrees with the linear case. The following arguments are essentially taken from Section 3 in [1]. When $\mathbf{U} := \mathbf{F} - \mathbf{Id}$ is uniformly small, set $\mathbf{C} := D\mathbf{T}(\mathbf{I})$. The matrix

field \mathbf{U} is known as the elastic distortion, and the rank-4 tensor field \mathbf{C} is known as the elastic modulus. Then the *linearised system* for Eq. (1) is

$$\begin{cases} \operatorname{curl} \mathbf{U} = -\alpha & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \operatorname{div} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{U}) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \mathbf{C}\mathbf{U} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

By Kirchhoff's uniqueness theorem for linear elastostatics, the symmetric part

$$\epsilon := \frac{\mathbf{U} + \mathbf{U}^\top}{2}$$

must be zero. Thus, if \mathbf{U} is $\mathcal{O}(3)$ -valued, then Eq. (3) is not soluble except when $\alpha \equiv 0$. That is, $\alpha \equiv 0$ is a necessary (in fact, not sufficient in general) condition for the solubility of Eq. (3).

Also note that $\mathbf{W} = \mathcal{R}_\theta$ in Theorem 1.2 satisfies Assumption 1.3: direct computation in polar coordinates shows that $\operatorname{div} \mathcal{R}_\theta \equiv 0$; hence $\mathcal{Q}\mathbf{W} \equiv \mathbf{W} \equiv \mathcal{R}_\theta$, which is $\mathcal{O}(3)$ -valued.

3. PROOF

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Throughout the proof we denote by $\mathbf{W}^1, \mathbf{W}^2, \mathbf{W}^3$ the row-vectorfields of the matrix field \mathbf{W} . Also, let $\tilde{\alpha}$ be the field of differential 2-forms dual to α , namely

$$\tilde{\alpha}^i = \alpha_1^i dx^2 \wedge dx^3 + \alpha_2^i dx^3 \wedge dx^1 + \alpha_3^i dx^1 \wedge dx^2.$$

Thus, by Hodge duality, the first equation in Eq. (1) becomes

$$d\mathbf{W}^i = -\tilde{\alpha}^i \quad \text{for each } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}, \quad (4)$$

which is an identity of 2-forms. Here and hereafter, we identify \mathbf{W}^i with a 1-form (not relabelled).

Under Assumption 1.1 the second and the third equations in Eq. (1) are satisfied automatically. So it remains to solve for Eq. (4) in the space of $\mathcal{O}(3)$ -valued matrix fields.

Recall that the divergence operator acting on differential 1-forms on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is nothing but the codifferential $d^* := \star d \star$, where \star is the Hodge star operator. Also, the Laplacian equals

$$\Delta = dd^* + d^*d. \quad (5)$$

Let us split \mathbf{W} into

$$\mathbf{W}^i = d^*\Pi^i + d\phi^i + c^i \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad (6)$$

where Π^i is a field of differential 2-form, ϕ^i is a scalarfield, and c^i is a constant in \mathbb{R}^3 . This is done by the Hodge decomposition theorem and that Ω is simply-connected; see, *e.g.*, Chapter 6 in [9]. In local coordinates, Eq. (6) can be expressed as follows:

$$\mathbf{W}_j^i = \sum_{k=1}^3 \nabla_k \Pi_{kj}^i + \nabla_j \phi^i + c_j^i \quad \text{for each } i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\}.$$

By standard elliptic regularity theory (see [8]), Π^i and ϕ^i have $C^{1,\gamma}$ -regularity for any $\gamma \in [0, 1[$.

Now we *claim* that

$$\left\{ \nabla_j \phi^i \right\}_{1 \leq i, j \leq 3} \text{ is equal to a constant } \mathcal{O}(3)\text{-matrix.} \quad (7)$$

Indeed, since the Leray projector maps onto the divergence-free part of \mathbf{W} , we have $\mathcal{Q}\mathbf{W}^i = d\phi^i$ for $\phi^i \in C^{1,\gamma}(\Omega)$. By Assumption 1.3 we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^3 \nabla_k \phi^i \nabla_k \phi^j = \delta^{ij},$$

namely that ϕ is an isometric embedding from $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ into \mathbb{R}^3 . The classical rigidity theorem of Liouville [5] yields that ϕ^i is an affine map globally on Ω (in fact, C^1 -regularity of ϕ^i suffices here). Thus the *claim* (7) follows.

To conclude the proof, taking d^* to both sides of Eq. (6) and noting the *claim* (7), we get

$$d^* \mathbf{W}^i = 0.$$

This together with Eqs. (4) and (5) implies that

$$\Delta \mathbf{W}^i = 0. \tag{8}$$

That is, \mathbf{W}^i is a harmonic 1-form for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Eq. (8) is understood in the sense of distributions; nevertheless, by Weyl's lemma (see [8]) W^i is automatically C^∞ . In view again of the Hodge theory (see Chapter 6 in [10]), it is represented by generators of the first cohomology group. But Ω is simply-connected, so there is no non-trivial such generator. Thus \mathbf{W}^i is constant. Therefore, we infer from Eq. (4) that α^i equals zero. The proof is complete. \square

4. REMARKS

It would be interesting to consider the same problem for Ω being a 3-dimensional manifold, which falls into the framework of incompatible (non-Euclidean) elasticity.

The mechanical problem considered in this paper may have deep underlying geometrical connotations. In particular, it is related to constructions for coframes with prescribed (closed) differential. See Bryant–Clelland [2] for analyses via exterior differential systems.

Acknowledgement. The author is deeply indebted to Amit Acharya for kind communications and insightful discussions. We also thank Janusz Ginstler for pointing out a fallible argument in an earlier version of the draft.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Acharya, Stress of a spatially uniform dislocation density field, *J. Elasticity* **137** (2019), no. 2, 151–155
- [2] R. L. Bryant and J. N. Clelland, Flat metrics with a prescribed derived coframing, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **16** (2020), Paper No. 004, 23 pp
- [3] P. Constantin and C. Foias, *Navier–Stokes Equations*, University of Chicago Press, 1988
- [4] A. K. Head, S. D. Howison, J. R. Ockendon, and S. P. Tighe, An equilibrium theory of dislocation continua, *SIAM Rev.* **35** (1993), 580–609
- [5] J. Liouville, Théorème sur l'équation $dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 = \lambda d\alpha^2 + d\beta^2 + d\gamma^2$, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (1850).
- [6] T. Mura, Impotent dislocation walls, *Materials Science and Engineering: A* **113** (1989), 149–152
- [7] R. Temam, *Navier–Stokes Equations: Theory and Numerical Analysis*, AMS Chelsea Publishing, 2001
- [8] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001
- [9] F. W. Warner, *Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups*. Corrected reprint of the 1971 edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 94. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1983
- [10] J. R. Willis, Second-order effects of dislocations in anisotropic crystals, *Intern. J. Engineering Sci.* **5** (1967), 171–190

- [11] A. Yavari and A. Goriely, Riemann–Cartan geometry of nonlinear dislocation mechanics, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **205** (2012), 59–118

SIRAN LI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RICE UNIVERSITY, MS 136 P.O. BOX 1892, HOUSTON, TEXAS, 77251, USA.

E-mail address: `Siran.Li@rice.edu`