CYCLIC RELATION FOR MULTIPLE ZETA FUNCTION

HIDEKI MURAHARA AND TOMOKAZU ONOZUKA

Abstract. The cyclic relation obtained by Hirose, Murakami, and the first-named author is a wide class of relations which include the well-known cyclic sum formula for multiple zeta and zeta-star values and the derivation relation for multiple zeta values. In this paper, we give its complex generalization. Our proof includes a new proof of the cyclic relation.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Euler-Zagier multiple zeta function and functional relations. For complex numbers \( s_1, \ldots, s_r \in \mathbb{C} \), the Euler-Zagier multiple zeta function (MZF) is defined by

\[
\zeta(s_1, \ldots, s_r) := \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r}}.
\]

Matsumoto [13] proved that this series is absolutely convergent in the domain

\[
\{ (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r \mid \Re(s(l, r)) > r - l + 1 \ (1 \leq l \leq r) \},
\]

where \( s(l, r) := s_1 + \cdots + s_r \). Akiyama, Egami, and Tanigawa [1] and Zhao [25] independently proved that \( \zeta(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \) can be meromorphically continued to the whole space \( \mathbb{C}^r \). The special values \( \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \) with \( k_1, \ldots, k_{r-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( k_r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \) of MZF are called the multiple zeta values (MZVs). The MZVs are real numbers and known to satisfy many kinds of algebraic relations over \( \mathbb{Q} \).

In [14], Matsumoto raised the question whether the known relations among MZVs valid only for positive integers or not. In response to this question, Ikeda and Matsuoka [10] showed that there are no such relations under certain conditions, except for the “harmonic relation”, e.g., \( \zeta(s_1) \zeta(s_2) = \zeta(s_1, s_2) + \zeta(s_2, s_1) + \zeta(s_1 + s_2) \). Hirose and the authors obtained some complex generalizations of known MZV relations such as “Sum formula” and “Ohno’s relation” (see [3] and [4]). In addition, many studies have been conducted by various mathematicians to give functional relations (see [19], [21], [17], [18], [20], [15], [2], [5], [16], and [11], for example).

1.2. Main result. Hoffman and Ohno [7, eq.(1)] and Ohno and Wakabayashi [21] gave clean-cut decompositions for the well-known sum formulas of MZVs and multiple zeta-star values (MZSVs), which are called “Cyclic sum formulas”. In [6, Theorem 2], Hirose, Murakami, and the first-named author obtained their generalization by considering the cyclic analogue of MZVs (note that this relation also contains the well-known derivation relation obtained by Ihara, Kaneko, and Zagier [9, Theorem 3]). In this paper, we give its complex variable interpolation.
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Let \( d \) and \( r_1, \ldots, r_d \) be positive integers. For \( i = 1, \ldots, d \), let \( n_{i,1}, \ldots, n_{i,r_i} \) be positive integers and \( s_{i,1}, \ldots, s_{i,r_i} \) complex numbers. Then we write
\[
{s_i} := (s_{i,1}, \ldots, s_{i,r_i}),
\]
\[
{n_i}^{s_i} := n_{i,1} \cdots n_{i,r_i},
\]
\[
r := r_1 + \cdots + r_d.
\]
For these variables, we also write
\[
{s} := (s_1, \ldots, s_d),
\]
\[
{n}^{s} := n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_d^{s_d}.
\]

For positive integers \( d \) and \( r_1, \ldots, r_d \), set
\[
W = W(r_1, \ldots, r_d)
\]
\[
:= \{ s \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \Re(s_{i,r_i}) > 1, \Re(s_{i,r_i-1}) \leq \Re(s_{i,r_i}) > 2, \ldots, \Re(s_{i,r_i}) + \cdots + \Re(s_{i,r_i}) > r_i \}
\]
\[(i = 1, \ldots, d \text{ with } r_i \neq 1)\]
\[
\Re(s_{i,r_i}) \geq 1
\]
\[(i = 1, \ldots, d \text{ with } r_i = 1)\}.\]
when \((r_1, \ldots, r_d) \neq (1, \ldots, 1)\), and set
\[
W = W(1, \ldots, 1)
\]
\[
:= \{ s \in \mathbb{C}^d \mid \Re(s(1, d)) > d, \Re(s(l, l + i)) > i \ (1 \leq l \leq d, 0 \leq i \leq d - 2)\},\]
where we put \( s_{d+i,1} = s_{i,1} \) and \( s(l, l + i) = s_{l,1} + \cdots + s_{l+i,1} \).

**Definition 1.1.** Let \( d \) and \( r_1, \ldots, r_d \) be positive integers. For positive integers \( i, j \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq r_i \), and \( s \in W \), we define
\[
\tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}(s) := \sum_{S_{i,j}} \left( \frac{n_{i,r_i}^{s_{i,1}}}{{n^s} n_{i,r_i}^{s_{i,1}} (n - n_{i,j})} - \frac{n_{i,j}^{s_{i,j}}}{{n^s} n_{i,j}^{s_{i,j}} (n - n_{i,j})} \right),
\]
\[
\zeta_i^C(s) := \sum_{S_i} \frac{1}{{n^s} n},
\]
where
\[
S_{i,j} := \{(n_{i,1}, \ldots, n_{d,rd}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r \mid n_{1,1} < \cdots < n_{1,r_1}, \ldots, n_{d,1} < \cdots < n_{d,rd},
\]
\[
n_{1,1} \leq n_{2,r_2}, \ldots, n_{i-2,1} \leq n_{i-1,r_i-1}, n_{i-1,1} \leq \max\{n_{i,r_i}, n\},
\]
\[
n_{i,1} \leq n_{i+1,r_{i+1}}, \ldots, n_{d-1,1} \leq n_{d,rd}, n_{d,1} \leq n_{i,r_1}, n_{i,j} < n < n_{i,j+1}\},
\]
\[
S_i := \{(n_{i,1}, \ldots, n_{d,rd}, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r \mid n_{1,1} < \cdots < n_{1,r_1}, \ldots, n_{d,1} < \cdots < n_{d,rd},
\]
\[
n_{1,1} \leq n_{2,r_2}, \ldots, n_{i-1,1} \leq n_{i,r_i}, n_{i,1} \leq n \leq n_{i+1,r_{i+1}}\},
\]
Here we understand \( n_{i,r_i+1} = \infty \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, d \), \( n_{0,j} = n_{d,j} \) for \( j = 1, \ldots, r_d \), \( n_{d+1,r_{d+1}} = n_{1,r_1} \), and \( \delta_{j,r_i} \) denotes the Kronecker delta function.

Then, our theorem is as follows:

**Theorem 1.2.** For \( s \in W \), we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^d \zeta_i^C(s).
\]
Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.2 is a complex variable interpolation of the cyclic relation obtained by Hirose, Murakami, and the first-named author [6, Theorem 2] (see Section 3.2).

Example 1.4. When \( d = 1 \) and \( r_1 = r \geq 2 \), we have
\[
\sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_j < n \atop n<n_{j+1} < \cdots < n_r} \left( n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_j^{s_j} n^{j-r} (n-n_j) - n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r} n^{j-s_j} (n-n_j) \right) = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_r^{s_r} n}
\]
for \( \Re(s_r) > 1, \Re(s_{r-1}) + \Re(s_r) > 2, \ldots, \Re(s_1) + \cdots + \Re(s_r) > r \).

Example 1.5. When \( d = 1 \) and \( r_1 = r = 1 \), we have
\[
\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < n} \left( n_1 \frac{n_1}{n_1^{s_1} n(n-n_1)} - \frac{1}{n^s(n-n_1)} \right) = \sum_{1 \leq n} \frac{1}{n^{s+1}}
\]
for \( \Re(s) > 1 \). Note that this is equivalent to
\[
\zeta_{MT}(s-1, 1; 1) - \zeta(1, s) = \zeta(s+1),
\]
where \( \zeta_{MT} \) is the Mordell-Tornheim double zeta function (for details, see [22]).

When \( r_1 = \cdots = r_d = 1 \), we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.6 (Cyclic sum formula). For \( s \in W \), we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{1 \leq n_i \leq \cdots \leq n_d \leq l \atop n_i \neq n_{i-1}} \left( n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_i^{s_i} n(n-n_i) - n_1^{s_1} \cdots n_d^{s_d} n^{s_d} (n-n_i) \right) = d\zeta(s_1 + \cdots + s_d + 1).
\]

Remark 1.7. Assume \( s_1, \ldots, s_d \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) in the above equality, we have the cyclic sum formula for MZSVs obtained by Ohno and Wakabayashi [21]:
\[
(2)
\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{s_i-1} \zeta^*(s_i - m, s_{i+1}, \ldots, s_d, s_1, \ldots, s_{i-1}, m + 1) = (s_i + \cdots + s_d)\zeta(s_1 + \cdots + s_d + 1)
\]
for details, see Section 3.2).

Remark 1.8. When \( s \in W \cap \mathbb{Z}_{>1} \), Theorem 1.2 is equivalent to (10) (see Section 3.2). It is known that (10) gives the derivation relation [9, Theorem 3] when \( s_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \) and \( s_2 = (1), \ldots, s_d = (1) \) (see [6, Section 5]).

Before closing this section, we mention the number of linearly independent relations supplied by the cyclic sum formula [7, eq.(1)], the derivation relation [9, Theorem 3], and the cyclic relation [6, Theorem 2]. In Table 1, the first line means the weight of MZVs (we call \( k := k_1 + \cdots + k_r \) the weight for \( \zeta(k_1, \ldots, k_r) \)). The second and subsequent lines of the table give the number of linearly independent relations. Computations are performed by Mathematica. Note that the number of the independent relations is obtained by rewriting original relations into MZV relations by using a well-known series representation.
The interesting fact of Theorem 2.2 is that a wide class of relations among MZVs such as the cyclic relations can be lifted to the MZF relations.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Weight & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 \\
\hline
Cyclic sum formula & 1 & 2 & 4 & 6 & 12 & 18 & 34 & 58 & 106 \\
Derivation relation & 1 & 2 & 5 & 10 & 22 & 44 & 90 & 181 & 363 \\
Cyclic relation & 1 & 2 & 5 & 10 & 25 & 52 & 110 & 228 & 466 \\
All relations & 1 & 3 & 6 & 14 & 29 & 60 & 123 & 249 & 503 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Number of Independent Relations for MZVs}
\end{table}

2. Proof of convergence

Lemma 2.1. Let \( d \geq 0 \) and \( r \geq 1 \). For \( u_1, \ldots, u_d, v_1, \ldots, v_r \in \mathbb{R} \), the series

\[ \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_r^{v_r}} \]

is convergent when \( u_1, \ldots, u_d \geq 1 \) and \( v_r > 1, v_{r-1} + v_r > 2, \ldots, v_1 + \cdots + v_r > r \).

Proof. For any small \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have

\[ \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d}} \leq \sum_{m_1=1}^{n} \cdots \sum_{m_d=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m_1 \cdots m_d} \ll n^{\epsilon}. \]

Then, from (I), we obtain the result. \( \square \)

Lemma 2.2. The series

\[ \zeta_C(s) := \sum_{s} \frac{1}{n^s} \]

is absolutely convergent for \( s \in W \), where

\[ S := \{(n_{1,1}, \ldots, n_{d,r_1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r \mid n_{1,1} < \cdots < n_{1,r_1}, \ldots, n_{d,1} < \cdots < n_{d,r_d}, n_{1,1} \leq n_{2,2}, \ldots, n_{d-1,1} \leq n_{d,r_d}, n_{d,1} \leq n_{1,r_1}\}. \]

Proof. When \( r_1 = \cdots = r_d = 1 \), we have \( \zeta_C(s) = \zeta(s_{1,1} + \cdots + s_{d,1}) \), which implies the lemma. Now we consider the case \( (r_1, \ldots, r_d) \neq (1, \ldots, 1) \). Note that

\[ \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_r^{v_r}} \leq \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_r^{v_r}} \]

holds for sufficiently large \( u_1, \ldots, u_d, v_1, \ldots, v_r, u'_1, \ldots, u'_{d'}, v'_1, \ldots, v'_{r'} \). By the previous lemma and (I), we get the result. \( \square \)
Lemma 2.3. Let $d$ and $r_1, \ldots, r_d$ be positive integers with $(r_1, \ldots, r_d) \neq (1, \ldots, 1)$. For positive integers $i, j$ with $1 \leq i \leq d$ and $1 \leq j \leq r_i$, the series

$$\sum_{S_{i,j}} \frac{n^{\delta_{p,r_i}}}{n_{i,r_i}^{\delta_{p,r_i}}} (n - n_{i,j})$$

is absolutely convergent for $s \in W$.

Proof. By (3), it is enough to show

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n_r} \frac{1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_{1}^{r_1} \cdots n_{r}^{r_r} (n - n_i)};$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{n_r}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r} (n - n_i)};$$

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{m_1'}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} m_1^{u_1'} \cdots m_d^{u_d'} m_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r} (n - n_1')$$

are convergent when $u_1, \ldots, u_d, u_1', \ldots, u_d' \geq 1$ and $v_r > 1, v_r - 1 + v_r > 2, \ldots, v_1 + \cdots + v_r > r$.

For (4), since

$$\sum_{n_i < n < n_{i+1}} \frac{1}{n - n_i} \ll n_{i+1}^\epsilon$$

for any small $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n_r} \frac{1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r} (n - n_i)} \ll \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n_r} \frac{n_{i+1}^\epsilon}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r}}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we obtain the result.

For (5), by the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{n_r}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r} (n - n_r)} \ll \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{n_r n^\epsilon}{n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r} (n - n_r)}.$$

Since

$$\sum_{n_r < n} \frac{1}{n^{1-\epsilon}(n - n_r)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n + n_r)^{1-\epsilon}} \ll \frac{1}{n_1^{1-2\epsilon}},$$

we have

$$\sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_r < n} \frac{n_r n^\epsilon}{n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r} (n - n_r)} \ll \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \cdots < n_r} \frac{n_r n^\epsilon}{n_1^{r_1} \cdots n_r^{r_r}}.$$
By (1), we have the result.

For (6), when \( u' > 1 \), it is easily to check the convergence by Lemma 2.1 (3), and the convergence of (5). When \( u' = 1 \), by the similar argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we have

\[
\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{m'_1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} m_1^{u_1'} \cdots m_d^{u_d'}} n^{v_1} \cdots n^{v_r} n(n - m'_1)
\]

\[
\leq \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq n} \frac{m'_1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n(n - m'_1)} \leq \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq n} \frac{1}{m_1^{1-2\epsilon}} \ll n_r^{2\epsilon},
\]

we have

\[
\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq n} \frac{m'_1}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n(n - m'_1)} \leq \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq n} \frac{n^2}{n_1^{s_{1,j}}} \ll n_r^{2\epsilon}.
\]

By Lemma 2.1 this finishes the proof. \( \square \)

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( d \) and \( r_1, \ldots, r_d \) be positive integers with \( (r_1, \ldots, r_d) \neq (1, \ldots, 1) \). For positive integers \( i, j \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq d \) and \( 1 \leq j \leq r_i \), the series

\[
\sum_{s_{i,j}} \frac{n^{s_{i,j}}}{n^{s_{i,j}+1}} (n - n_{i,j})
\]

is absolutely convergent for \( s \in W \).

**Proof.** By (2), it is enough to show

\[
\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{n^{v_i}}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_r^{v_r} n(n - n_i)}
\]

\[
\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{n^{v_r}}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_r^{v_r} n(n - n_r)}
\]

\[
\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n} \frac{n^{v_i'}}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} m_1^{u_1'} \cdots m_d^{u_d'} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_r^{v_r} n^{v_i'}(n - m'_1)}
\]

are convergent when \( u_1, \ldots, u_d, u'_1, \ldots, u'_d \geq 1 \) and \( v_r > 1, v_{r-1} + v_r > 2, \ldots, v_1 + \cdots + v_r > r \).
For (7), since
\[ \sum_{n_1 < n} \frac{1}{n - n_i} \ll n^\epsilon \]
for any small \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[ \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n_r} \frac{n_i^{v_i}}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_i^{v_i}} \frac{n_i^{v_i}}{n_i^{v_i} n_i^{v_i} \cdots n_i^{v_i}} \ll \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \cdots \leq m_d \leq n_r} \frac{n_i^{v_i}}{m_1^{u_1} \cdots m_d^{u_d} n_1^{v_1} \cdots n_i^{v_i}}. \]

By Lemma 2.1, we find the result.

The series (8) and (9) are bounded by (5) and (6), respectively.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( d \) be a positive integer and \( r_1 = \cdots = r_d = 1 \). For positive integer \( i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq d \), the series
\[
\sum_{s_{i,1}} \frac{n_{i,1}}{n^s n(n - n_{i,1})} = \sum_{n \neq n_{i,1}} \frac{n_{i,1}}{n^s n(n - n_{i,1})},
\]
\[
\sum_{s_{i,1}} \frac{n_{i,1}}{n^s n_{s_{i,1}}(n - n_{i,1})} = \sum_{n \neq n_{i,1}} \frac{n_{i,1}}{n^s n_{s_{i,1}}(n - n_{i,1})}
\]
are absolutely convergent for \( s \in W \).

**Proof.** We prove that the first series converges absolutely by induction on \( d \). When \( d = 1 \), we easily see the convergence (see [22, Theorem 2.2], for example). Assume that the first series converges absolutely when \( d - 1 \). By the induction hypothesis, we need to consider only the series
\[ \sum_{n_{i,1} < \cdots < n_{d,1} < n_{1,1} < \cdots < n_{i-1,1}} \frac{n_{i,1}}{n^s n(n - n_{i,1})}. \]
Since
\[ \sum_{n_{i-1,1} \leq n} \frac{1}{n(n - n_{i,1})} \ll \frac{1}{n_{i-1,1}^{1-\epsilon}} \]
for any \( \epsilon > 0 \), we have
\[ \sum_{n_{i,1} < \cdots < n_{d,1} < n_{1,1} < \cdots < n_{i-1,1}} \frac{n_{i,1}}{n^s n(n - n_{i,1})} \ll \sum_{n_{i,1} < \cdots < n_{d,1} < n_{1,1} < \cdots < n_{i-1,1}} \frac{\Xi(s_{i,1}) \cdots \Xi(s_{i+1,1}) \cdots \Xi(s_{i-2,1})}{n_{i,1}^{\epsilon_{i,1}} \cdots n_{i+1,1}^{\epsilon_{i+1,1}} \cdots n_{i-2,1}^{\epsilon_{i-2,1}}} \frac{1}{n_{i-1,1}^{\epsilon_{i-1,1}} \cdots n_{i-2,1}^{\epsilon_{i-2,1}} + 1 - \epsilon}. \]

By (1), we have the result. Similarly, we can check that the second series converges absolutely. \( \square \)
3. Proof and applications of Theorem 1.2

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We define

\[ \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(1)}(s) := \sum_{T_i} \frac{\delta_{s,r_i}}{n^sn^{\delta_{s,r_i}}(n-n_i,j)}, \]

\[ \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(2)}(s) := \sum_{S_i,j} \frac{n^{s_{i,j}}}{n^s n^{s_{i,j}}(n-n_i,j)}. \]

Lemma 3.1. For \( s \in W \), we have

\[ \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(1)}(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{T_i} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( \frac{1}{n_{i,j+1} - n_i,j} \right) & (j \neq r_i), \\ \sum_{T_i} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( \frac{1}{\max\{1, n_{i-1,i} - n_i,r_i\}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\max\{n_{i,r_i}, n_{i-1,i} - 1\}} \right) & (j = r_i), \end{cases} \]

where

\[ T_i := \{(n_1,1, \ldots, n_{d,r_i}) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d+1}_+ \mid n_1,1 < \cdots < n_{1,r_i}, \ldots, n_{d,1} - 1 < \cdots < n_{d,1}\}, \]

\[ n_1,1 \leq n_{2,1}, \ldots, n_{i-1,1} < n_{i,1} \leq n_{i+1,1}, \ldots, n_{d-1,1} \leq n_{d,1} < n_{d,1}\] (\( i \neq 1 \)).

Proof. When \( j \neq r_i \), we have

\[ \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(1)}(s) = \sum_{n_{i,j} < n < n_{i,j+1}} \frac{1}{n^s (n-n_i,j)} \]

\[ = \sum_{s} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( \frac{1}{n_{i,j+1} - n_i,j} \right). \]

On the other hand, when \( j = r_i \), we have

\[ \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(1)}(s) = \sum_{T_i} \frac{n_{i,r_i}}{n^s n(n-n_i,j)} \]

\[ = \sum_{T_i} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( \frac{1}{n-n_i,j} - \frac{1}{n} \right) \]

\[ = \sum_{T_i} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( \frac{1}{\max\{1, n_{i-1,i} - n_i,r_i\}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\max\{n_{i,r_i}, n_{i-1,i} - 1\}} \right). \]

\[ \square \]

Lemma 3.2. For \( s \in W \), we have

\[ \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(2)}(s) = \begin{cases} \sum_{T_{i+1}} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( \frac{1}{\max\{1, n_i,j - n_i+1,r_{i+1}\}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_i,j - 1} \right) & (j = 1), \\ \sum_{T} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_i,j - n_i,j-1} \right) & (j \neq 1), \end{cases} \]
Proof. When $j = 1$, we have
\[
\tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(2)}(s) = \sum_{T_{i+1}} \frac{1}{n^s(n_{i,1} - n)}
= \sum_{T_{i+1}} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( \frac{1}{\max\{1, n_{i,1} - n_{i+1,r_{i+1}}\}} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_{i,1} - 1} \right).
\]
When $j \neq 1$, we have
\[
\tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(2)}(s) = \sum_{S_{i,j}} \frac{1}{n^s(n_{i,j} - n)}
= \sum_{S_{i,j}} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_{i,j} - n_{i,j-1} - 1} \right). \tag*{□}
\]

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Note that
\[
\tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}^{(1)}(s) - \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j+1}^{(2)}(s) = 0
\]
holds for $j = 1, \ldots, r_i - 1$. Then we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \tilde{\zeta}_{i,1}^{(1)}(s) - \tilde{\zeta}_{i,1}^{(2)}(s) \right).
\]
By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{n_{i,j-1} < n < n_{i,j}} \frac{1}{n^s} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n_{i,j} - n_{i,j-1} - 1} \right)
= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{n_{i,1} \leq n \leq n_{i+1,r_{i+1}}} \frac{1}{n^s n}.
\]
This finishes the proof. \tag*{□}

3.2. Applications to MZVs. As we mentioned in Remark 1.3, Theorem 1.2 is a complex variable interpolation of the cyclic relation [6, Theorem 2]. In fact, for $s \in W \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$, we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \tilde{\zeta}_{i,j}(s) = \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \sum_{S_{i,j}} \left( \frac{n_{i,j}}{n^s(n_{i,j} - n)} - \frac{n_{i,j}}{n^s n_{i,j}^r(n - n_{i,j})} \right)
= \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,j} + m} n^s n^{m+\delta_{i,j}r_i} - \sum_{m=0}^{s_{i,j} + m} n^s n^{m+\delta_{i,j}r_i + 1},
\]
which is the left-hand side of [6, Theorem 2]. For $s \in W \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^r$, the series
\[
\sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{S_i} \frac{1}{n^s n}
\]
is the right-hand side of [6, Theorem 2]. Hence Theorem 1.2 implies the cyclic relation

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{r} \sum_{m=b_{j,r_i}}^{s_{i,j}-1} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{n^m_{i,j}}{n^s_{i,j} n^{m+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{S_{i,j}} \frac{1}{n^s_{i,j}}. \]  

(10)

Next, we explain that the cyclic relation implies the cyclic sum formula for MZSVs mentioned in Remark 1.7. Note that the following explanation is similar to [6, Section 5.1]. Assume \( r_1 = \cdots = r_d = 1 \). From (10), for \( s \in W \cap \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} \), we have

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{s_i-1} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \frac{n^m_{i,j}}{n^s_{i,j} n^{m+1}} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{n \neq n_i} \frac{1}{n^s_{i,j}}. \]

The left-hand side of the above equality equals

\[ \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{m=1}^{s_i-1} (\zeta(s_i - m, s_{i+1}, \ldots, s_d, s_1, \ldots, s_{i-1}, m + 1) - \zeta(s_1 + \cdots + s_d + 1)). \]

The right-hand side of the above equality equals

\[ d\zeta(s_1 + \cdots + s_d + 1). \]

Then we have [2].

Remark 3.3. The cyclic sum formulas for MZVs and MZSVs are equivalent (see [8, Section 4] and [23, Proposition 3.3]).
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