

# THz light amplification by an visible light laser in the presence of the plasma density gradient

S. Son

169 Snowden Lane, Princeton, NJ, 08540

A new mechanism for the THz light amplification is identified in a non-resonant Raman scattering between the THz light and a visible light lasers. The non-resonant scattering normally does not exchange the energy between E & M fields, but the presence of the plasma density gradient creates an condition in which a visible light laser could transfer its energy into a tera-hertz (THz) light via the laser-plasma interaction. The gain per length could reach 100 (1000) per centimeter for the THz light (far infra-red light) amplification.

PACS numbers: 42.55.Vc, 42.65.Dr, 42.65.Ky, 52.38.-r, 52.35.Hr

Even though the great advances have been made in the THz light technologies [1–15], current THz light sources are still not intense enough for many applications. As it is termed as the “THz Gap” [16], the current technologies cannot reach the THz light intensity, practically desirable or theoretically possible. If a comparison is made between the progresses in the visible light laser and the THz light technology, one obvious missing ingredient is an amplifier. A strong THz amplifier, if exists, could lead to a broad commercialization of the THz light in various applications. In this paper, one possible THz light amplifier is proposed based on the non-resonant Raman scattering between the THz light and an intense visible-light laser.

The Raman scattering is the well-known non-linear laser-plasma interaction [17–22]. The ponderomotive interaction between two lasers excite a Langmuir wave, transferring the energy from the higher frequency laser to the lower frequency laser. A tempting question would be whether a visible-light laser can amplify a THz light via a similar mechanism. Unfortunately, because of the frequency difference between a visible light laser and a THz light, the beating ponderomotive interaction is a non-resonant interaction and the non-resonantly excited density perturbation does not have a phase, suitable for the energy transfer between the visible light laser and a THz light.

In this paper, considering a situation where an intense visible light laser and a THz light are propagating each other in the presence of the strong plasma density gradient, the author shows that the ponderomotive density perturbation could have a phase suitable for the amplification even if it is a non-resonant scattering. In most cases, the amplification from the identified process is very small and the time average of the time oscillating density gradient tends to be even smaller along the THz light propagation path. However, the author considers a particular case when a lasting density gradient is provided by a co-propagating Langmuir wave whose phase velocity is the same with the group velocity of the THz light. In this situation, the oscillation will be suppressed so that

a strong THz light amplification is possible. The new scheme proposed in this paper can achieve the gain-per-length as high as 100 (1000) per centimeter for the THz light (far infra-red light) amplification.

Let us consider a THz light with the frequency  $\omega_T$  co-propagating or counter-propagating with an intense laser ( $\omega_1$ ) in the  $z$ -direction. For simplicity, the THz light and the laser is assumed to be linearly polarized. Furthermore, let us assume that there exists an intense plasma wave  $n_L(z-v_T t)$ , which has the same phase velocity with the group velocity of the THz light. As demonstrated many times, the plasma can support very strong plasma wave with the high electron density gradient:  $n_L/n_0 < 1$  but  $n_L/n_0 \cong 1$  where  $n_0$  is the background electron density. Then, the zeroth order is  $n_0(z, t) = n_0 + n_L(z-v_T t)$ .  $n_L$  slowly varies compared to the THz light and the laser. The computation of the density perturbation  $\delta n$  in the presence of  $n_L$  due to the ponderomotive interaction between the laser and the THz light is in order.

The density response to the ponderomotive potential can be obtained from the continuity equation and the momentum equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \delta n_e}{\partial t} &= -\nabla \cdot ((n_0 + n_L + \delta n_e)\mathbf{v}), \\ m_e \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dt} &= e \left( \nabla \phi - \frac{\mathbf{v}}{c} \times \mathbf{B} \right), \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equations with the Poisson equation  $\nabla^2 \phi = -4\pi \delta n_e e$ , we can obtain  $\delta n$ :

$$\frac{\partial^2 \delta n}{\partial t^2} + \omega_{pe}(z)^2 \delta n = -n_0(z, t) \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \right) - \frac{dn_L}{dx} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial n_L}{\partial t} \frac{dv}{dx}, \quad (1)$$

where the velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  is generated by the ponderomotive interaction between the THz light and an intense laser.

All physical quantities are expressed as  $b(z, t) = b \exp(i\omega t - kz) + b^* \exp(-i\omega t + kz)$ . Especially  $a_{1,T} = eE_{1,T}/m\omega_{1,T}c$  is the laser quiver velocity normalized by the velocity of the light and  $E_{1,T}$  is the electric field of

the laser or the THz light. Due to the functional form  $n_L$ ,  $\partial n_L/\partial t = -v_T dn_L/dx$ . If the velocity  $\mathbf{v}$  is caused by a co-propagating laser,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}((\omega_1 + \omega_T)t - (k_T + k_1)z)$  by the beating of  $a_x a_1$  so that  $dv/dx = -c(\omega_x + \omega_1)/(k_x + k_1)(\partial v/\partial t)$ . Also,  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}((\omega_T - \omega_1)t - (k_T - k_1)x)$  by the beating of  $a_x a_1^*$  so that  $dv/dx = -c(\omega_T - \omega_1)/(k_T - k_1)(\partial v/\partial t)$ . Putting all these together, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \delta n}{\partial t^2} + \omega_{pe}(z)^2 \delta n &= -n(x) \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} \right) \\ &- \frac{dn_L}{dx} [1 + A] \frac{\partial v(a_x a_1)}{\partial t} \\ &- \frac{dn_L}{dx} [1 + B] \frac{\partial v(a_x a_1^*)}{\partial t}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega_{pe}^2(z, t) = 4\pi(n_0 + n_L(z, t))e^2/m_e$ ,  $A = v_T(k_1 + k_T)/(\omega_1 + \omega_T)$  and  $B = v_T(k_1 - k_T)/(\omega_1 - \omega_T)$  for a co-propagating laser and  $A = -v_T(k_1 - k_T)/(\omega_1 + \omega_T)$  and  $B = -v_T(k_1 + k_T)/(\omega_1 - \omega_T)$  for counter propagating laser. Then, the  $\delta n$  is given as [23]

$$\begin{aligned} \delta n(z) &= -n(z, t) \left( \frac{(ck_1 + ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1 \\ &+ n(z, t) \left( \frac{(ck_1 - ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 - \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1^* \\ &- \mathbf{i} \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 + k_T} [1 + A] \left( \frac{(ck_1 + ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1 \\ &- \mathbf{i} \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 - k_T} [1 + B] \left( \frac{(ck_1 - ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 - \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1^* \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

In case of the counter-propagating, the  $\delta n$  is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta n(z) &= -n(z, t) \left( \frac{(ck_1 - ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1 \\ &+ n(z, t) \left( \frac{(ck_1 + ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 - \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1^* \\ &+ \mathbf{i} \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 - k_T} [1 + A] \left( \frac{(ck_1 - ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1 \\ &+ \mathbf{i} \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 + k_T} [1 + B] \left( \frac{(ck_1 + ck_T)^2}{(\omega_1 - \omega_T)^2 - \omega_{pe}^2(z)} \right) a_T a_1^*. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The last two terms in the right side of Eqs. (2) and (3) causes the THz light to decay or amplify while the first two terms just modulate the phase of the THz. Assuming  $\omega_1 \gg \omega_T$  ( $k_1 \gg k_T$ ) and  $v_T \cong c$ , and putting the density perturbation into the envelop equation of the THz light [23], we obtain for the co-propagating laser:

$$L_T a_T = \frac{\omega_{pe}(z, t)^2}{2\omega_T} \left( i\beta_1(\eta) + \beta_2(\eta) \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 n(z, t)} \right) |a_1|^2 a_T, \quad (4)$$

where  $\eta = \omega_T/\omega_1$ ,  $\beta_1(\eta) \cong 2$ , and  $\beta_2(\eta) \cong 2/(1 - \eta) - 2/(1 + \eta)$ . If  $\eta \ll 1$ , this leads to:

$$L_T a_T = \frac{\omega_{pe}(z, t)^2}{\omega_T} \left( i + 2\eta \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 n(z, t)} \right) |a_1|^2 a_T. \quad (5)$$

For the counter-propagating laser, we obtain:

$$L_T a_T = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2(z, t)}{2\omega_T} \left( i\beta_1(\eta) + \beta_2(\eta) \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 n(z, t)} \right) |a_1|^2 a_T, \quad (6)$$

where  $\beta_1(\eta) \cong (1 - \eta)^2/(1 + \eta)^2 + (1 + \eta)^2/(1 - \eta)^2$  and  $\beta_2(\eta) \cong -2\eta((1 - \eta)/(1 + \eta)^3 + (1 + \eta)/(1 - \eta)^3)$ . If  $\eta \ll 1$ , this leads to:

$$L_T a_T = \frac{\omega_{pe}^2(z, t)}{\omega_T} \left( i - 2\eta \frac{dn_L/dz}{k_1 n(z, t)} \right) |a_1|^2 a_T, \quad (7)$$

Eqs. (4) and Eq. (6) are the major results of this papers. For the case of co-propagation (counter-propagating) THz light, the THz light will be amplified in the region  $dn/dx > 0$  ( $dn/dx < 0$ )

In the resonant Raman scattering between two lasers, the interaction between the lasers excite a Langmuir wave with a phase, different from the laser ponderomotive potential by a quarter cycle. Due to this phase mismatch, the beating current from the Langmuir wave and the laser quiver transfers the energy between the lasers. On the other hand, in a non-resonant case, the excited density perturbation does not have this phase lag and the energy exchange does not occur. In the case of the THz and the visible light laser, their big frequency difference makes the resonant intercation not feasible. As shown here, the first term on the right side of Eqs. (4) and Eq. (6) is from the non-resonant Raman scattering, which does not decay or amplify the THz light. However, the density gradient of the plasma could cause this desirable phase mismatch even for the non-resonant excitation, leading to the decay or amplification of the THz light, represented as the second terms on the right side of Eqs. (4) and Eq. (6).

In many cases, the THz light amplification discussed has a limited potential, because  $\alpha = (dn/dx/k_x n_0) \ll 1$ . Furthermore, as the THz light moves along,  $dn/dx$  tends to change the sign and the average of  $\alpha$  is even smaller. However, if the density gradient is provided by a strong Langmuir wave supported by plasma and if the phase velocity of the Langmuir wave is the same with the group velocity of the THz light, the  $\alpha$  can be big and also does not average out in the moving reference frame with the THz light. This is the main scenario considered in this paper. The case of counter-propagating and co-propagating has almost the same amplification strength.

Then, the co-propagation might be more advantageous than the counter-propagation since the interaction time would be much longer.

As discussed, the key is to make the phase velocity of the Langmuir wave to be the same with the group velocity of the THz light. In the case when the forward Raman scattering is utilized, the laser has  $\omega = \sqrt{\omega_{pe}^2 + c^2 k^2} > ck$  so that the phase velocity  $\delta\omega/\delta k > c$ . However, it is possible to make  $(\omega_1 - \omega_2)/|\mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2| = v_T < c$  by injecting two lasers in a slightly skewed direction. As another possibility, the high frequency microwave from the gyrotron might be also used to excite the Langmuir wave in a larger region [24, 25].

As an example, consider a plasma with  $n_0 = 10^{17}/\text{cm}^3$ . Consider a far infra-red light with a frequency of 10 THz and the laser with the wave length of 10  $\mu\text{m}$  so that  $\eta = 0.33$ . Let us assume  $n_L \cong 0.3 n_0$ , Then, from Eq. (5),  $L_3 a_t \cong 2 \times 10^{11} I_{16} / \text{sec}$ , where  $I_{16}$  is the laser intensity normalized by  $10^{16} \text{ W}/\text{cm}^2$ . For a relativistic laser, the gain per length could be as high as 10 (1000) per centimeter if  $I_{16} = 1$  ( $I_{16} = 100$ ). As another example, consider a plasma with  $n_0 = 10^{16}/\text{cm}^3$ . Consider a THz light has a frequency of 3 THz and the laser has the wave length of 10  $\mu\text{m}$ . Let us assume  $n_L \cong 0.3 n_0$ ,  $L_3 a_t \cong 3 \times 10^{10} I_{16} / \text{sec}$ . For a relativistic laser, the gain per length could be as high as 1 (100) per centimeter if  $I_{16} = 1$  ( $I_{16} = 100$ ). For a large enough plasma, the THz amplification by many factor is quite possible. As illustrated in the above example, as the THz light frequency gets lower, the coupling between the laser and THz light is proportional to  $(\omega_{pe}^2/\omega_1^2)$ . The scheme proposed will be more efficient and practical in the frequency range between 5 THz to 30 THz rather than between 1 THz and 5 THz.

---

[1] J. van Tilborg, P. A. Michel, C. B. Schroeder, C. Toth, C. G. R. Geddes, and B. A. Shadwick, Plasma Science. IEEE Transaction on **33**, 22 (2005).

[2] Z. Sheng, H. Wu, K. Li, and J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. E **69**, 025401 (2004).

[3] K. Reimann, Reports on Progress in Physics **70**, 1597 (2007).

[4] K. R. Chu, H. Y. Chen, C. L. Hung, T. H. Chang, L. R. Barnett, S. H. Chen, and T. T. Yang, Phys. Rev. Lett. **81**, 4760 (1998).

[5] K. E. Kreischer and R. J. Temkin, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 547 (1987).

[6] V. L. Bratman, Y. L. Kalynov, and V. N. Manuilov, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 245101 (2009).

[7] J. Kim, S. Jeon, Y. Jin, D. Kim, and S. Jung, J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B **27**, 687 (2009).

[8] J. Faist, F. Capasso, D. L. Sivco, C. Sirtori, A. L. Hutchinson, and A. Y. Cho, Science **264**, 553 (1994).

[9] M. Tonouchi, Terahertz Science and Technology **2**, 90 (2009).

[10] G. L. Carr, M. C. Martin, W. R. McKinney, K. Jordan, G. R. Neil, and G. P. Williams, Nature **420**, 153 (2002).

[11] G. P. Williams, Review of Scientific Instruments **73**, 1461 (2002).

[12] W. B. Colson, Nucl. Inst. Meth. Phys. A **237**, 1 (1985).

[13] S. Son and S. J. Moon, Phys. Plasmas **19**, 063102 (2012).

[14] J. C. Gallardo, IEEE J. Quantum. Elec. **24**, 1557 (1988).

[15] S. Son, S. J. Moon, and J. Y. Park, Optics Letters **37**, 5172 (2012).

[16] J. H. Booske, Physics of Plasmas **15**, 055502 (2008).

[17] V. M. Malkin and N. J. Fisch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 205001 (2007).

[18] V. M. Malkin, G. Shvets, and N. J. Fisch, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 1208 (2000).

[19] S. Son, S. Ku, and S. J. Moon, Phys. Plasmas **17**, 114506 (2010).

[20] D. A. Russell, D. F. DuBois, and H. A. Rose, Phys. Plasmas **6**, 1294 (1999).

[21] A. S. Sakharov and V. I. Kirsanov, Phys. Rev. E **49**, 3274 (1994).

[22] D. S. Clark and N. J. Fisch, Phys. Plasmas **10**, 4837 (2003).

[23] C. J. McKinstrie and A. Simon, Phys. Fluids **29**, 1959 (1986).

[24] Y. Hidaka, E. M. Choi, I. Mastovsky, M. A. Shapiro, J. R. Sirigiri, R. J. Temkin, G. F. Edmiston, A. A. Neuber, and Y. Oda, Physics of Plasmas **16** (2009).

[25] N. Kumar, U. Singh, T. P. Singh, and A. K. Sinha, Journal of Fusion Energy **30**, 257 (2011).