

Gravitational entropy of wormholes with exotic matter and in galactic halos

R. de C. Lima^{1,*}, José A. C. Nogales^{2,†} and S. H. Pereira^{3,‡}

^{1,3}*Universidade Estadual Paulista (Unesp)*

Faculdade de Engenharia, Guaratinguetá, Departamento de Física e Química

12516-410, Guaratinguetá, SP, Brazil.

²*Departamento de Física, Universidade Federal de Lavras (UFLA),*

Caixa Postal 3037, 37200-000 Lavras, MG, Brazil.

Abstract

In this work we study and compare the features of gravitational entropy near the throat of transversable wormholes formed by exotic matter and wormholes in galactic halos. We have verified that gravitational entropy and entropy density of these wormholes in regions near their throats are indistinguishable for objects of same throat, despite the fact they are described by different metrics and by distinct energy-momentum tensors. We have found that the gravitational entropy density diverges near the throat for both cases, probably due to a non-trivial topology at this point, however allowing the interesting interpretation that a maximum flux of information can be carried through the throat of these wormholes. In addition, we have found that both are endowed with an entropic behaviour similar to Hawking-Bekenstein's entropy of non-rotating and null charge black holes.

PACS numbers: 04.20.-q

Keywords: Wormholes; gravitational entropy; galactic halos

* rc.lima@unesp.br

† jnogales@dfi.ufla.br

‡ s.pereira@unesp.br

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently the number of works studying physical properties of the relativistic object called wormhole has increased in the literature. Several studies of wormholes in various cosmological and astrophysical scenarios have appeared in last years, including its existence in galactic halos [1], its gravitational lensing effect [2, 3], neutron star interiors [4], quark matter wormholes [5], solutions in Einstein-Cartan gravity [6] and in R^2 -gravity [7], and also the possibility that super-massive black holes at the center of galaxies might be wormholes created in the early universe, connecting either two different regions of our universe or two different universes in a multiverse model [8].

Predicted for the first time in 1916 by L. Flamm [9] and discussed later in a geometrical perspective by Einstein and Rosen in 1935 [10], a peculiar characteristic appears for such objects connecting two four-dimensional manifolds, which was soon named Einstein-Rosen bridge (ER). In the fifties it was renamed by John Wheeler as wormholes, gaining prominence not only as a peculiar object of science fiction but also as a relativistic object of great physical interest by renowned scientists [11, 12]. In 1988, Kip Thorne and Michael S. Morris studied the energy and topological conditions for a wormhole to be transversable [12]. As a result they found that the region of the throat should contains something that creates a negative pressure and violates the null energy condition [13]. This kind of exotic matter capable of maintain the stability of the wormhole could be represented by scalar fields with negative kinetic energy for instance [14].

This work is motivated by the implementation of the Penrose's conjecture extended by Rudjord and Gron, an intrinsic entropy associated to space-time [15]-[16], along with the recent studies performed by Kuhffitig [1]-[2], which in turn checks the possibility of formation of these objects of non-trivial topology in regions of galactic halos. We study the behaviour of gravitational entropy evolution associated with these objects in the presence of exotic matter and in galactic halos. We have obtained that the total gravitational entropy and entropy density are indistinguishable for wormholes of exotic matter and in galactic halos for wormholes of same throat, even though they are originated from distinct energy-momentum tensors and characterized by different boundary conditions and form functions. Also, we have found that the entropy density of a wormhole in presence of exotic matter differs from that obtained in [17], once they use the same prescription as [16], where the spatial

components used for the description of entropy and gravitational entropy density differ precisely to ensure a convergent and finite entropy density in the throat of these objects. Already, in our results, these components are similar, leading to a divergent behavior near the throat of the wormhole, which corroborates with the non-trivial topology at this point, and decreasing asymptotically far from the throat. This allows the interesting physical interpretation that a maximum flux of information associated with the spacetime of these wormholes occurs and can be carried through the throat. In addition, for both cases we have found that the gravitational entropy of the wormholes are indistinguishable from the entropy of Hawking-Bekenstein on the surface of non-rotating and null charge black holes, once the entropy is also proportional to the surface area.

The paper is organized as follows. Section II presents the main equations concerning the definition of Rudjord and Gron for the gravitational entropy, Section III presents the explicit results for the entropy of a wormhole in presence of exotic matter and of the entropy in galactic halo. The conclusions are presented in Section IV.

II. GRAVITATIONAL ENTROPY

Roger Penrose conjectured that the space-time information emerges from the microstates configurations of spacetime to the most fundamental level, establishing an analogy with the entropy of Boltzmann, which lists the macro and micro-states of matter [15]. Since there is no well-defined quantum theory of gravitation, Penrose only evaluated the macroscopic behavior of spacetime, seeking for possible covariant candidates that could represent an intrinsic entropy of spacetime.

The Einstein's equation in the form $G_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R = -\kappa T_{\mu\nu}$ shows that the Ricci tensor components as well as their invariants become null in a region of space-time that does not contain matter/energy, since the scalar curvature is null in this case. Faced with this scenario, Penrose realized that the Weyl tensor is nonzero even in the absence of energy, implying that this tensor must contains intrinsic information of spacetime [18]. The Weyl tensor can be expressed in four dimensions by:

$$C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} - g_{\alpha[\gamma}R_{\delta]\beta} + g_{\beta[\gamma}R_{\delta]\alpha} + \frac{1}{3}Rg_{\alpha[\gamma}g_{\delta]\beta}, \quad (1)$$

where the brackets indicate a antisymmetric combination, $A_{[\mu\nu]} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{\mu\nu} - A_{\nu\mu})$. $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is

the Riemann's tensor, $R_{\alpha\beta}$ is the Ricci's tensor and R is the scalar curvature. Thus, the Weyl invariant [15], defined as

$$W \equiv C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}, \quad (2)$$

must be proportional to the gravitational entropy of a black-hole.

Based on this hypothesis, Rudjord and Gron developed a work on the gravitational entropy in Schwarzschild black holes and showed that just using the Weyl tensor the gravitational entropy disagreed with the results expected by Hawking-Bekenstein [18, 19]. It was necessary to redefine this entropy. In order to results be similar to the values established by Hawking and Bekenstein entropy to black-holes, it was necessary that the entropy in question continued to be proportional to the Weyl invariant however inversely proportional to the invariant Krestschmann, whose definition is

$$K \equiv R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}. \quad (3)$$

Thus the gravitational entropy must be given by the surface integral:

$$S = k_s \int_{\sigma} \Psi \cdot \mathbf{d}\sigma, \quad \Psi = P \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (4)$$

where $k_s = k_B c^3 / 4G\hbar$ and Ψ represents the scalar P oriented radially. With the square of this scale given by $P^2 = W/K = C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})^{-1}$, Rudjord and Gron proposed that in order to obtain the gravitational entropy of a black hole with the same Schwarzschild results obtained by Hawking and Bekenstein [16], the gravitational entropy should be defined as:

$$S = k_s \int_{\sigma} \sqrt{C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})^{-1}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{r}} \cdot \mathbf{d}\sigma. \quad (5)$$

In the definition of S , the area element under a spherical surface is given by

$$\mathbf{d}\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{h_{rr}}} d\theta d\varphi \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad (6)$$

where h is the determinant of spatial metric h_{ij} , of a spherically symmetric line element

$$h_{ij} = g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}}. \quad (7)$$

Using the Gauss's divergence theorem in (5),

$$\int_{\sigma} \Psi \cdot \mathbf{d}\sigma = \int_V (\nabla \cdot \Psi) dV, \quad (8)$$

we obtain the entropy density as

$$\begin{aligned} s &= k_s |\nabla \cdot \Psi| \\ &= k_s |\nabla \cdot \sqrt{C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} (R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})^{-1}} \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{r}}|. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

III. BOUNDARY CONDITIONS AND ENTROPY GRAVITATIONAL FOR WORM-HOLES

This section discusses under what physical and geometric conditions a wormhole can emerge in a certain region of space from the existence of exotic matter and in galactic halos. Starting from the definition of the gravitational entropy already presented, we calculate the gravitational entropy and entropy density for these two cases.

A. Entropy of a wormhole in presence of exotic matter

A metric in a static spherically symmetric space-time for a wormhole [12] is described by

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi(r)}dt^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}}dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\varphi^2), \quad (10)$$

where $b(r)$ is the shape function satisfying $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} [b(r)/r] \rightarrow 0$ and $\Phi(r)$ is the redshift function satisfying $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(r) \rightarrow 0$. The radial coordinate r has a specific geometric meaning, where $2\pi r$ is the circumference of a circle centered on the throat of the wormhole. Thus, r defines the geometric limits of coverage of wormhole space, decreasing from $r = +\infty$ to a minimum value such that $r_0 = b_0 = b(r_0)$ in the throat's radius, then increasing to $+\infty$.

In his classic work, Morris and Thorne delimited a possible boundary condition to confine the exotic matter inside the wormhole, which will keep the throat of a wormhole open [12]. The complete solution for shape function and redshift function in this case are:

$$b(r) = \begin{cases} (b_0 r)^{\frac{1}{2}}, & b_0 \leq r \leq r_c \\ \frac{1}{100r}, & r_c \leq r \leq R_s \\ \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{(r^3 - R_s^3)}{R_s^2} \right] + \frac{R_s}{100}, & R_s \leq r \leq R_1 \\ B = \frac{R_s}{100}, & R_1 \leq r \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} \Phi_0 \cong -0,01, & b_0 \leq r \leq R_1 \\ \frac{1}{2} \ln(1 - \frac{B}{r}), & R_1 \leq r, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

where $r_c = 10^4 b_0$, $R_1 = R_s + \Delta R$ and $\Delta R = \frac{1}{100R}$.

We are interested in the region close to the throat of the wormhole, namely $b_0 \leq r \leq r_c$,

thus we have the line element of this simple case [17] described by:

$$ds^2 = \chi dt^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \sqrt{\frac{b_0}{r}}} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (13)$$

where $\chi = -e^{2\Phi_0}$, $\Phi_0 \cong -0,01$ and $b_0 = r_0$ is the radius of the throat.

Now we will determine the entropy of the system. For this we obtain the invariants of Weyl and Krestschmann for the metric (13) using (2) and (3):

$$W_1 = (C^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} C_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})_1 = \frac{25b_0}{12r^5}, \quad (14)$$

$$K_1 = (R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta})_1 = \frac{9b_0}{2r^5}. \quad (15)$$

Thus, the scalar P defined in terms of (14) and (15) as established by Rudjord-Gron for this case is

$$P_1 = \sqrt{W_1/K_1} = \frac{5\sqrt{6}}{18}. \quad (16)$$

The infinitesimal surface element of the above metric can be obtained using the definition (6) and (7):

$$\mathbf{d}\sigma = \frac{\sqrt{h}}{\sqrt{h_{rr}}} d\theta d\phi = r^2 \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi \hat{\mathbf{e}}_{\mathbf{r}}. \quad (17)$$

Using the scalar P_1 obtained for this situation, together with the surface element area found above, this region will have an entropy given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= k_w \int_{\sigma} \Psi \cdot d\sigma \\ &= k_w [P_1 r^2 - P_1(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^2] \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where k_w is a constant similar to k_s in (4) that relates the entropy to the surface integral, and ε a very small opening radius inside.

In order to ensure that the beam is close to the throat, and therefore approximately constant, we limit θ into regions where $r_0 \leq r \leq 2r_0$. Taking the limit of S_1 when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S_1 &= k_w \frac{5\sqrt{6}}{18} r^2 \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi \\ &= (2\pi k_w (\cos(\theta_0) - \cos(\theta))) \frac{5\sqrt{6}}{18} r^2. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Defining $\zeta_1 \equiv 2k_w(\cos(\theta_0) - \cos(\theta))$, we notice that S_1 is proportional to the area near the throat of the wormhole:

$$\frac{S_1}{\zeta_1} = \frac{5\sqrt{6}}{18}\pi r^2. \quad (20)$$

Using (9) and the divergence operator, in a riemannian manifold, acting on a vector in curved spacetime, $\nabla \cdot \Psi = (1/\sqrt{-g})\partial_i(\sqrt{-g}\Psi^i)$, we obtain the entropy density (see A):

$$s_1 = k_w |\nabla \cdot \Psi| = k_w \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{-g} P_1(r)) \right|,$$

resulting in:

$$s_1 = k_w \left| -\frac{5}{72} \frac{\sqrt{6} \left(-9b_0 + 8r \sqrt{\frac{b_0}{r}} \right)}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{b_0}{r}} - 1 \right) r^2 \sqrt{\frac{b_0}{r}}} \right|, \quad (21)$$

where we have used (16) and $\sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{-\det(h_{ij})}$, whose value is expressed as

$$\sqrt{-g} = r^2 \sin(\theta) [(b_0/r)^{1/2} - 1]^{-1/2},$$

with $\theta \neq n\pi$.

It is important to emphasize that this result for the gravitational entropy density in wormholes with exotic matter is quite different from the result found in [17], where they use the spatial components of the vector Ψ like $\Psi^i = (P/\sqrt{h_{rr}}, 0, 0)$, given by [16]:

$$s = k_w \left| \sqrt{\frac{2}{27}} 5 \sqrt{\left[1 - \sqrt{\frac{b_0}{r}} \right] \frac{1}{r}} \right|. \quad (22)$$

The difference between the behaviors generated by (21) and (22) is shown in the Fig.1.

We believe that the divergence of gravitational entropy density in $r \rightarrow b_0$ or r_{th} , studied in this context, occurs probably due to structure of the synthesis between entropy density of space-time and gravitational dynamics, revealing that the spacetime has a non-trivial topology at this point. This suggests that the local entropy flux density, associated with the Noether current for gravity [20], must be analyzed near the wormhole's throat, since the information contained there must be indeterminate at $r = b_0$, and with no physical meaning at $r < b_0$. Moreover, from a physical point of view we know that entropy is associated with information and therefore, the increasing gravitational entropy density near the throat of these wormholes is an indication that the flux of information associated with spacetime increasingly as it approaches the throat on the right.




Figure 1: Comparison between gravitational entropy density of wormholes supported by exotic matter s/k_w (red line) [17] and s_1/k_w (black line) for us.

B. Entropy of a wormhole in galactic halo

Recent studies [1, 2] have shown that regions in galactic halo ensure necessary properties to support transversable wormholes, based on density profile of Navarro-Frenk-White [21, 22] to galaxies and the method for calculating the deflection angles of galactic halo.

The metric of such astrophysical system is described as

$$ds^2 = -Br^l dt^2 + e^{2g(r)} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (23)$$

where $g(r)$ is a function that defines the radial behaviour of the halo, $B = 1/r_s^l$, where r_s is the effective radius of the galaxy, $l = 2v_\phi^2$ and v_ϕ is the rotational speed of galactic halo. In typical galaxies, $v_\phi \sim 10^{-3}c = 300km/s$.

Considering the Morris-Thorne's metric (10) and the metric for galactic halo (23), a wormhole supported by a galactic halo [1] have the line element in the form:

$$ds^2 = -\left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^l dt^2 + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{b(r)}{r}} dr^2 + r^2(d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2). \quad (24)$$

Through the G_{00} Einstein field equations, the shape function $b(r)$ can be written as

$$\frac{b'(r)}{r^2} = 8\pi\rho(r), \quad (25)$$

where a prime denotes derivative with respect to r . As we are dealing with this wormhole in a halo, the energy density stemmed by this, according to Navarro-Frenk-White model

[21, 22] is defined by

$$\rho(r) = \frac{\rho_s}{\left(\frac{r}{r_s} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_s}\right)\right)^2}, \quad (26)$$

where ρ_s is the effective density of the galaxy and r_s is the effective radius. Replacing (26) into (25), the shape function is:

$$\begin{aligned} b(r) &= 8\pi \int \frac{\rho_s}{\left(\frac{r}{r_s} \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_s}\right)\right)^2} r^2 dr \\ &= 8\pi \rho_s r_s^3 \left[\ln \left(1 + \frac{r}{r_s}\right) + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{r}{r_s}} + C \right], \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where C is a constant of integration. Defining a constant $\bar{r} = 8\pi \rho_s r_s^3$, is possible to analyse the conditions that guarantees a transversable wormholes [1, 12]:

1. The redshift function $\Phi(r)$ prevents an event horizon;
2. For the shape function $b(r)$, it is valid that $b(r_{th}) = r_{th}$ and $b'(r_{th}) < 1$, with r_{th} named throat's radius;
3. For $b(r)$, we have $b(r) < r$ when $r > r_{th}$.

Since $r_s \gg r_{th}$, the condition 2 leads to $b(r_{th}) = \bar{r} = r_{th}$ if we set $C = 0$ into (27).

In his work, Kuhfittig [2] made an analysis on the metric to verify the existence of wormholes in halos by Bozz method, obtaining the values of the parameters as: Effective radius of the galaxy, $r_s = 20,88 kpc = 6,44 \times 10^{20} m$; throat of the wormhole in this region, $r_{th} = \bar{r} = 3,7466 \times 10^{15} m$ and parameter l associated with the typical rotation velocity in the galactic halo (for $c = 1$) is $l = 2 \times 10^{-6}$. Replacing the shape function of (27) the wormhole's metric in the galactic halo is:

$$ds^2 = - \left(\frac{r}{r_s}\right)^l dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{1 - \frac{\bar{r} \ln(1 + \frac{r}{r_s})}{r} - \frac{\bar{r}}{r(1 + \frac{r}{r_s})}} + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (28)$$

where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2$.

Now, from (28) we can determine the Weyl and Kretschmann invariants (see Appendix), and consequently the scalar P for this case, which we call $P_2(r) = \sqrt{W_2(r)/K_2(r)}$. Similarly

to the entropy calculation in the regions near of the throat, we choose $r_{th} < r < 6r_{th}$ and we obtain:

$$S_2 = k_w [P_2(r)r^2 - P_2(\varepsilon)\varepsilon^2] \int_0^{2\pi} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta} \sin(\theta) d\theta d\phi, \quad (29)$$

where k_w is a constant. In the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S_2 = 2\pi(\cos(\theta_0) - \cos(\theta))k_w P_2(r)r^2, \quad (30)$$

The interval $[\theta_0, \theta]$ delimits the region for r values near r_{th} . Defining $\zeta_2 \equiv 2k_w(\cos(\theta_0) - \cos(\theta))$, we can rewrite (30) as

$$\frac{S_2}{\zeta_2} = \pi r^2 P_2(r). \quad (31)$$

The entropy density is obtained via (9). In this case:

$$\begin{aligned} s_2 &= k_w \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{-g} P_2(r)) \right| \\ &= k_w \left| \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\ln(-g)) P_2(r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} P_2(r) \right|, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where g is the determinant of Morris-Thorne's matrix,

$$g = \det \left(g_{ij} - \frac{g_{i0}g_{j0}}{g_{00}} \right) = \frac{-r^5 \sin^2(\theta)}{b(r) - r}, \quad (33)$$

and $b(r)$ will be obtained through (27) to ensure that this parameter has the information of the galactic halo, with $C = 0$. Using (33) in (32), we have

$$\frac{s_2}{k_w} = \left| \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{5(b(r) - r) + r}{r(b(r) - r)} \right) P_2(r) + \frac{\partial}{\partial r} P_2(r) \right|. \quad (34)$$

C. Gravitational entropy and entropy density of wormholes with exotic matter and in galactic halo

Now we can compare the total gravitational entropy for wormholes with exotic matter and in galactic halo from equations (20) and (31), respectively. The Fig.2 shows the behaviour of $\frac{S_1}{\zeta_1}$ and $\frac{S_2}{\zeta_2}$ as a function of r/b_0 for the wormhole with exotic matter and r/r_{th} for the wormhole in the galactic halo, with $b_0 = r_{th} = 3,7466 \times 10^{15}\text{m}$. It easy to see that near the throats the gravitational entropy is almost indistinguishable.




Figure 2: Comparison between gravitational entropy of wormholes supported by exotic matter S_1/ζ_1 (black line) and in galactic halo S_2/ζ_2 (red dashed line). The throats of these objects are indexed by b_0 and r_{th} , respectively, with $b_0 = r_{th} = 3,7466 \times 10^{15}\text{m}$.

The same behaviour is found when analysing the gravitational entropy densities obtained for wormholes with exotic matter and in galactic halos, described by the equations (21) and (34). The Fig.3 shows that the entropy density diverges exactly in the throat for both cases




Figure 3: Comparison between gravitational entropy density of wormholes supported by exotic matter s_1/k_w (black line) and in galactic halo s_2/k_w (red dashed line), with $b_0 = r_{th} = 3,7466 \times 10^{15}\text{m}$.

and decrease as the radius increase, from b_0 and r_{th} . It is important to emphasize that for $r < b_0$ and $r < r_{th}$ the entropy density has no physical meaning, since does not exist events

in this region, because in this throat limit, the spacetime has non-trivial topology and any information associated with the manifold is lost.

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this work we have studied the behaviour of gravitational entropy near of the throat and for external radius in wormholes emerging from exotic matter and in galactic halos. The main result obtained is that for both wormholes the total gravitational entropy and the entropy density are indistinguishable for wormholes of same throat. This result is interesting because the first metric, (10), is a generic description of wormholes whose source is a theoretical exotic matter, having boundary conditions that characterize the form function $b(r)$ given by expression (11), while the second metric, (28), has a source of energy-momentum from halos (dark matter) present in the function $b(r)$ characterized by (27), completely different from the other one. Notice that the features of the expected galaxies, in this framework, takes into account the radius and the effective density. Both have different sources and this does not guarantee that they must have the same entropies and densities. Even with Weyl and Kretschmann invariants represented by very different expressions, when calculating the scalar $P = \sqrt{W/K}$ the result for the Rudjord-Gron entropy is very similar. In addition, contrary to the results in [17] for the gravitational entropy density of wormholes in classic exotic matter, where the entropy density was shown to be null at the throat, we have obtained that at this point it is divergent. The same occurs for wormholes in galactic halos. We interpret that this divergence occurs because, at the throat limit, the spacetime has non-trivial topology and thus the information contained must be indeterminate for $r = b_0$ or $r = r_{th}$. May be the circumstances behind this are associated with the Noether current for gravity and the local entropy flux density[20] for these objects in b_0 , which must be better understood. On the other hand, from a physical point of view we know that entropy is associated with information and therefore, the gravitational entropy density near the throat of these wormholes admits the interpretation that the flux of information associated with spacetime is high as it approaches the throat on the right, i.e., $r \longrightarrow b_0^+ \Rightarrow s(r) \longrightarrow s(b_0^+) \cong s_{max}$ with exotic matter [or $r \longrightarrow r_{th}^+ \Rightarrow s(r) \longrightarrow s(r_{th}^+) \cong s_{max}$ in galactic halos], contrary to the interpretation that the entropy flux (information) is null in b_0 by[17]. It is reasonable to accept this interpretation that the flux is high in the throat once in this point there is the

connection between two distinct regions of spacetime, concentrating as much information as possible.

Both wormholes have a similar behaviour with the entropy on the surface of non-rotating and null charge black holes, being proportional to its area, remembering that the entropy of Hawking-Bekenstein for these objects is proportional to the radius squared of the event horizon, as shown in [19]

$$S = k.4\pi R^2 = k.A = S_{HB}, \quad (35)$$

where k is a constant relating entropy and area A of the event horizon of radius R . This shows that the entropy of Hawking-Bekenstein for Schwarzschild's black holes and gravitational entropy for wormholes with exotic matter and in galactic halo are also indistinguishable, once the entropy is also proportional to the surface area. So, reinforcing the work [8], even in the entropic aspect there is a subtle break when dealing with the distinguishing of wormholes generated by exotic matter or in halos and black holes of Hawking-Bekenstein, as verified by the results (20) and (31) compared to (35). In other words, the space-time itself may account for the entropic characteristics in this prescription, regardless of the content of the scenarios studied.

As already mentioned in [8], supermassive black holes at the center of galaxies are good candidates to be wormholes. At the same time, black hole thermodynamic is well studied theoretically. Faced with this situation, if the entropic behaviour of wormholes are similar to black holes, we have strong motivations to studying their properties, aiming to predict possible thermodynamic stability and astrophysical nature of such exotic objects. We hope that the results here discussed may serve also to motivate investigations about behaviors between other wormholes generated from different energy-matter, besides arouse studies of the correlation between entropy and spacetime, according to Penrose-Rudjord-Gron, as well as to what extent sources of distinct wormholes can generate indistinguishable entropies or have behaviors similar to black holes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

RdeCL is grateful to Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001 for financial support. SHP is grateful to CNPq - Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico, Brazilian research agency,

for financial support, grants numbers 303583/2018-5 and 400924/2016-1.

Appendix A: Components of vector Ψ for entropy density

A vector it is described as $\mathbf{v} = v^\mu \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\mu = v_\mu \hat{\mathbf{e}}^\mu$, where v^μ (or v_μ) is its components and $\hat{\mathbf{e}}_\mu$ (or $\hat{\mathbf{e}}^\mu$) is its basis. Admitting Ψ as a vector in curved spacetime and with radial symmetry (our interest) one obtain, from Eq. (4):

$$\begin{aligned} S &= k_s \int_\sigma \Psi \cdot d\sigma = k_s \int_\sigma \Psi^\mu \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\mu \cdot d\sigma = k_s \int_\sigma (\Psi^t \hat{\mathbf{e}}_t + \Psi^r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r + \Psi^\theta \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\theta + \Psi^\varphi \hat{\mathbf{e}}_\varphi) \cdot d\sigma \\ &= k_s \int_\sigma \Psi^r \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \cdot d\sigma = k_s \int_\sigma P(r) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r \cdot d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A1})$$

where $\Psi = P(r) \hat{\mathbf{e}}_r$, with $P(r) \equiv \sqrt{W(r)/K(r)}$, whose $W(r)$ and $K(r)$ are Weyl and Kretschmann invariants in radial symmetry scenarios, respectively. Therefore, from Eq. (A1) we interpret the components of Ψ as $\Psi = (\Psi^t, \Psi^r, \Psi^\theta, \Psi^\varphi) = (0, P(r), 0, 0)$. Using the divergence theorem in Eq. (4),

$$S = k_s \int_\sigma \Psi \cdot d\sigma = k_s \int_V \nabla \cdot \Psi dV = k_s \int_V |s| dV, \quad (\text{A2})$$

so, the entropy density is described by $s = k_s |\nabla \cdot \Psi|$.

Since the divergence of a vector $\mathbf{v}$ in curved space is given by

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{-g} v^i), \quad (\text{A3})$$

applying this concept to a gravitational entropy density s from Eq. (A2) and knowing that $\sqrt{-g} = \sqrt{h}$, where h is the spatial determinant of the metric in the case studied, one has:

$$s = k_s \left| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} (\sqrt{h} \Psi^i) \right) \right| = k_s \left| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{h} \Psi^r) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sqrt{h} \Psi^\theta) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (\sqrt{h} \Psi^\varphi) \right) \right|. \quad (\text{A4})$$

Now, knowing that Ψ has a radial symmetry, consequence already coming from Eq. (A1), $[\Psi = (\Psi^r, \Psi^\theta, \Psi^\varphi) = (P(r), 0, 0)]$, we concluded that:

$$s = k_s \left| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{h} P(r)) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} (\sqrt{h} \cdot 0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} (\sqrt{h} \cdot 0) \right) \right| = k_s \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{h}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{h} P(r)) \right|. \quad (\text{A5})$$

So, we see that the spatial components of the vector Ψ , Ψ^i , inserted in the entropy density equation Eq. (A5) should be $\Psi^i = (P(r), 0, 0)$, unlike $(P(r)/h_{rr}, 0, 0)$ present in [16]. That is, the only component used is actually $\Psi^r = P(r)$. The invariant for entropy and gravitational entropy density is guaranteed: $(\Psi^\mu \hat{e}_\mu)(\Psi_\nu \hat{e}^\nu) = \Psi^\mu \Psi_\nu \delta_\mu^\nu = \Psi^i \Psi_i = \Psi^r \Psi_r = P^2(r) = P^2$.

Moreover, because the O. Rudjord and O. Gron prescription do not hold for asymmetric metrics, in [17] it is used the form for entropy density in asymmetric metrics like:

$$s = k_s \left| \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (\sqrt{-g} P) \right|, \quad (\text{A6})$$

where $\vec{\Psi} = P \hat{e}_r$. Now, you can see that when moving from the case of asymmetric metrics to a diagonal symmetric metric (particular case), the spatial part is written as $h_{ij} = g_{ij} - g_{i0}g_{j0}/g_{00}$ and $\sqrt{-g} \rightarrow \sqrt{h}$, recovering the result of the Eq. (A5), that was the way we performed our calculations.

Appendix B: Explicit (W, K) terms for wormholes in galactic halo

Here we present the calculations of the Weyl and Kretschmann invariants for the metric (28). We use the software Maple 13 and the program GRTensorII 1,75(R4) [23] as support.

The Weyl invariant (2) for the metric (28) is:

$$W_2(r) = \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}{r^6 (r_s + r)^4} f_2(r),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} f_2(r) = & \{ \bar{r} [r^2(l-2) + r_s^2(l^2(rr_s^{-1} + 1) - 5l(rr_s^{-1} + 1) + 6)] + lr^2[-l(r + 2r_s + lr_s^2r^{-1}) \\ & + 4r_s(r_s r^{-1} + 2 + r_s^{-1}r)] + \beta(r)[l\bar{r}((6l^{-1} - 5)(r_s^2 - r^2) + 2lrr_s + lr^2 + 12l^{-1} - 10) \\ & + l^2r_s^2] \}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

where $\beta(r) = \ln(1 + r/r_s)$, with the numeric values in [1] and [2] ($r_s = 6, 44.10^{20}m$, $r_{th} = \bar{r} = 3, 7466.10^{15}m$ and $l = 10^{-6}$).

From the definition (3) we obtain the Kretschmann invariant of the metric (28):

$$K_2(r) = \frac{1}{4r^6(r_s + r)^4} g_2(r),$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(r) = & \{l^2 \bar{r} r_s^2 [l^2 ((\bar{r} r_s (r_s (r_s + 2r) + r)) + r_s^2 (2r_s r + (14 + 6r_s^{-1} r) r^2))] + l(r_s (r_s^2 (10r - 6\bar{r}) \\ & - r(12\bar{r} r_s + 4\bar{r} r - 28r^2)) + (2\bar{r} + 6r) r^3) + \bar{r}(r_s (r_s (17r_s + 34r) + 11r) - 6r^3) - r_s (r_s (84r \\ & + 28r_s) r - 80r^3) - (2l^3 + 20l) r^4] + \beta(r) (128\bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 - 2l^4 r_s^4 \bar{r} r + 40l^3 r_s r^4 \bar{r} - 12l^4 r^3 r_s^2 \bar{r} \\ & + 10l^3 r_s^4 r \bar{r} + 40l^3 r^2 r_s^3 \bar{r} + 60l^3 r^2 \bar{r} - 8l^4 r_s r^4 \bar{r} - 12l^2 r_s r^4 \bar{r} - 16\bar{r}^2 r^4 - 28l^2 r^5 \bar{r} - 2l^4 r^5 \bar{r} \\ & + 10l^3 r^5 \bar{r} - 168l^2 r^3 r_s^2 \bar{r} + 96l^2 \bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 - 112l^2 r^2 r_s^3 \bar{r} - 28l^2 r r_s^4 \bar{r} + 48\bar{r}^2 r_s^4 + 102l^2 \bar{r}^2 r_s^4 + 6l^4 \bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 \\ & + 2l^3 \bar{r}^2 r^4 + 22l^2 \bar{r}^2 r^3 r_s - 36l^3 \bar{r}^2 r_s^3 r + 6l^4 \bar{r}^2 r_s^3 r - 34l^2 \bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 - 12l^3 \bar{r}^2 r_s^4 - 8r_s r^3 + 2l^4 \bar{r}^2 r^3 r_s \\ & + 34l^2 \bar{r}^2 r_s^4 + 144\bar{r}^2 r_s^3 r + 2l^4 \bar{r}^2 r_s^4 - 6l^2 \bar{r}^2 r^4) + \beta^2(r) (96\bar{r}^2 r_s r^3 + l^4 \bar{r}^2 r_s^4 + 24\bar{r}^2 r^4 + 24\bar{r}^2 r_s^4 \\ & + 68l^2 \bar{r}^2 r^3 r_s + 4l^2 \bar{r}^2 r + 102l^2 \bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 + 68l^2 \bar{r}^2 - 36l^3 \bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 - 24l^3 \bar{r}^2 r_s^3 r + 17l^2 \bar{r}^2 r^4 + 6l^4 \bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 \\ & + 4l^4 \bar{r}^2 r_s r^3 - 24l^5 \bar{r}^2 r_s r^5 + 17l^2 \bar{r}^2 r_s^4 + 96\bar{r}^2 r_s^3 r - 6l^3 \bar{r}^2 r_s^4 + 144\bar{r}^2 r_s^2 r^2 + l^4 - 6l^3 \bar{r}^2 r^4)\}, \quad (B2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(r) = \ln(1 + r/r_s)$, with the numeric values in [1] and [2] ($r_s = 6, 44.10^{20}m$, $r_{th} = \bar{r} = 3, 7466.10^{15}m$ and $l = 10^{-6}$). The scalar $P_2(r)$ is given by:

$$P_2(r) = \sqrt{W_2(r)/K_2(r)} = \sqrt{f_2(r)/(3g_2(r))}. \quad (B3)$$

-
- [1] F. Rahaman, P. K. F. Kuhfittig, S. Ray and N. Islam, Possible existence of wormholes in the galactic halo region, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, 2750 (2014).
 - [2] P. K. F. Kuhfittig, Gravitational lensing of wormholes in the galactic halo region, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **74**, no. 99, 2818 (2014).
 - [3] K. Jusufi, A. Ovgun Gravitational Lensing by Rotating Wormholes, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 024042 (2018)
 - [4] P. K. F. Kuhfittig, Neutron star interiors and topology change, *Adv. Math. Phys.* **2013**, 630196 (2013).
 - [5] T. Harko, F. S. N. Lobo and M. K. Mak, Wormhole geometries supported by quark matter at ultra-high densities, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **24**, no. 01, 1550006 (2014).

- [6] M. R. Mehdizadeh, A. H. Ziaie, Dynamic wormhole solutions in Einstein-Cartan gravity, *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, 124017 (2017).
- [7] P. K. Sahoo, P. H. R. S. Moraes, Parbati Sahoo, Wormholes in R^2 -gravity within the $f(R, T)$ formalism, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **78**, 46 (2018).
- [8] Z. Li and C. Bambi, Distinguishing black holes and wormholes with orbiting hot spots, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 024071 (2014).
- [9] L. Flamm *Kommentare zu Einsteins Theorie der Schwerkraft*. Physikalische Zeitschrift **17**, Springer, p. 448-449, 1916.
- [10] A. Einstein, N. Rosen *The particle problem in the general theory of relativity*. Physical Review, APS, v. 48, n. 1, p. 73, 1935.
- [11] M. Visser, *Lorentzian Wormholes: From Einstein to Hawking* (AIP Press, American Institute of Physics, Woodbury, 1995).
- [12] M. S. Morris and K. S. Thorne, Wormholes in space-time and their use for interstellar travel: A tool for teaching general relativity, *Am. J. Phys.* **56**, 395 (1988).
- [13] D. Hochberg and M. Visser, *Phys. Rev. D* **56**, 4745 (1997); **58**, 044021 (1998).
- [14] P. E. Kashargin and S. V. Sushkov, *Slowly rotating scalar field wormholes: The second order approximation* *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 064071 (2008).
- [15] R. Penrose, in *Proc. of the First Marcel Grossmann Meeting on General Relativity*, ICTP Trieste, ed. R. Ruffini (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1977), pp. 173–181.
- [16] O. Rudjord and O. Gron, The Weyl curvature conjecture and black hole entropy, *Phys. Scripta* **77**, 055901 (2008).
- [17] G. E. Romero, R. Thomas and D. Perez, Gravitational entropy of black holes and wormholes, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **51**, 925 (2012).
- [18] S. Hawking, W. Israel *General Relativity, an Einstein Centenary Survey*. [S.l.]: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1979.
- [19] J. D. Bekenstein, Generalized second law of thermodynamics in black hole physics, *Phys. Rev. D* **9**, 3292 (1974).
- [20] T. Padmanabhan, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **18**, 2189 (2009).
- [21] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk and S. D. M. White, The Structure of cold dark matter halos, *Astrophys. J.* **462**, 563 (1996)
- [22] J. F. Navarro, C. S. Frenk and S. D. M. White, A Universal density profile from hierarchical

- clustering, *Astrophys. J.* **490**, 493 (1997)
- [23] M. Ishak, P. Musgrave, J. Mourra, J. Stern and K. Lake, GRLite and GRTensorJ: Graphical user interfaces to the computer algebra system GRTensorII, *AIP Conf. Proc.* **493**, no. 1, 316 (1999).