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ABSTRACT. In [14, 15] an unexpected phenomenon was observed in numerical simulations of a model of the Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction: in a random dynamical system with additive noise, as the amplitude of the noise was increased, the Lyapunov exponent associated to the stationary measure transitioned from positive to negative. This phenomena woke a lot of interest in the applied mathematical and physics community but a rigorous mathematical proof only was presented in [7].

In this paper I introduce a family of examples that show that this phenomena is strongly connected with non-uniform hyperbolicity.

1. Introduction

Noise induced order is the name given in applied mathematics and physical literature to a range of phenomena, where the Lyapunov exponent of the stationary measure of a random dynamical system with additive noise changes its sign from positive to negative (at least one time) as the amplitude of the noise increases [5, 20]. This phenomena seems, at a first sight, counterintuitive and in some sense pathological. My paper shows the opposite: Noise Induced Order is a pervasive phenomena in one dimensional dynamics.

This phenomena was first observed in numerical simulations of the Matsumoto-Tsuda model of the Belousov-Zhabotinsky reaction [14, 15]. While this phenomena attracted a huge interest in the applied community and some other examples were presented, no rigorous mathematical proof of the existence of the phenomena was presented until [7]: a computer aided proof that uses the regularizing properties of the annealed transfer operator to give an approximation of the stationary measure with a rigorous error bound, which permits to give upper and lower bounds on the Lyapunov exponent for explicit values of the noise.

In this paper I show how Noise Induced Order is a common phenomena in one dimensional non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamic: unimodal maps and contracting Lorenz maps may present Noise Induced Order. The contracting Lorenz case is specially interesting because the phenomena may be observed in the one dimensional map corresponding to an open set of parameters for the original (contracting) geometrical Lorenz flow.

I use tecniques from the functional analytic approach to the study of invariant measures for deterministic and random dynamical systems to study the behaviour of the Lyapunov exponent as the noise-size increase. By some elementary convolution inequality the transfer operator of the (annealed) random dynamical system acts regularizing densities and more general functional analytic objects (depending on the regularity of the kernel).

The one dimensional systems where I show the existence of Noise Induced Order are non-uniformly hyperbolic. In a non-uniformly hyperbolic system, contraction and expansion coexist: in the deterministic case what makes the system chaotic is
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that in average the contraction and the expansion balance out so that we see asymptotic expansion (i.e., positive Lyapunov exponent). The intuitive idea behind the NIO is that the noise bounces out the orbits from the expanding part too fast for the system to accumulate enough expansion to counterbalance the contraction and therefore we see, on average, contraction (negative Lyapunov exponent).

This is a further proof of the richness of the behaviour of non-uniformly hyperbolic systems.

Remark 1 (Philosophical Remark). The fact that Noise Induced order phenomena are common has interesting philosophical consequences. Most of the models of applied sciences present some form of chaoticity, but our experience of the world is based upon replicability of phenomena, and the fact that small errors in initial conditions do not cause tornados in Texas [12]. Maybe, the reason behind this is that the noise level of the world is big enough that, in the phenomena we observe, even if the underlying dynamic is chaotic, noise induces more predictable behaviour.

Remark 2 (A remark on style and generality). I decided to present the results, not in the utmost generality, but in a form that facilitates the understanding of the intuitive ideas behind the phenomena. Many results can be proved assuming more regular kernels (i.e., linear response [6, 9]) and will be treated in further works.

2. Statement of the results

In this paper I study random additive perturbations (also called additive noise) of non-uniformly hyperbolic dynamical systems.

Let $T : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$, I want to study the “Lyapunov exponent” of the system where I replace $T^n$ by $(T + \xi_n) \circ (T + \xi_{n-1}) \circ \ldots \circ (T + \xi_1)$, where $(\xi_n, \ldots, \xi_1)$ are chosen in the interval $[-\epsilon, \epsilon]$ using a probability distribution with density function $\rho_{\epsilon}$.

Since the noise may take orbits outside the interval $[-1, 1]$ and this reflects on the behaviour of the annealed operator of the random dynamical system I suppose we have periodic boundary conditions, i.e., as in [9], if $f \in L^1([-1, 1])$, letting $\hat{f}$ be its periodic extension, i.e.,

$$\hat{f}(x + 2k) = f(x) \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

I denote by abuse of notation, for $x \in [-1, 1]$

$$\rho_{\epsilon} \ast \hat{f}(x) := \rho_{\epsilon} \ast \hat{f}(x).$$

Let $m$ be the Lebesgue measure on $[-1, 1]$; for each $\epsilon$ the behaviour of the system with noise can be described by a Markov chain, such that for each Borel subset $E$ of $[-1, 1]$ we have that the probability of $x$ entering $E$ is

$$P_{\epsilon}(x, E) = \int_E \rho_{\epsilon}(y - T(x))dm(y).$$

Definition 1. If $T$ is a nonsingular Borel measurable dynamical system on $X$ then it induces a linear operator on the space of signed Borel measures $L : SM(X) \rightarrow SM(X)$, defined on $\mu \in SM(X)$ by

$$L\mu(A) = \mu(T^{-1}A),$$

the transfer operator.

Remark 3. It is worth observing that $L(\delta_x) = \delta_{T(x)}$; we can think of the transfer operator as the operator that embodies how mass is transfered around by the dynamics on $X$. 

Definition 2. Let $\rho$ be a BV density function and 
$$\rho_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \rho\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$$
then, we call 
$$L_\epsilon = \rho_\epsilon \ast L,$$
the annealed transfer operator associated to the random dynamical system with noise size $\epsilon$. The annealed transfer operator is the operator that encodes how densities evolve under the action of the Markov chain $[1]$. 

Remark 4. The annealed transfer operator gives us information on the behaviour of the system averaged over all possible noise realizations. We can observe this by duality, let $\phi \in L^\infty([-1, 1])$
$$< \phi, L_\epsilon \delta_x > = \int \phi(T(x) - \xi) d\rho_\epsilon(\xi),$$
i.e. we are taking the average (weighted by $\rho_\epsilon$) of $\phi$ over all the possible images of the point $x$.

If $\rho$ is in $BV$ the (annealed) random dynamical system with noise of size $\epsilon$ has (at least) one stationary measure with density in $BV$ (Lemma[1]). For the moment being, suppose that for each $\epsilon$ the operator $L_\epsilon$ has a unique fixed point $f_\epsilon$. The main object of study of this article is the behaviour of the following function.

Definition 3. The function 
$$\lambda(\epsilon) = \int_{-1}^{1} \log(|T'(x)|) f_\epsilon dm.$$ 
is the “Lyapunov exponent in function of the noise”.

Definition 4. We call Noise Induced Order the existence of $\epsilon_1 < \epsilon_2$ such that $\lambda(\epsilon_1) > 0$, $\lambda(\epsilon_2) < 0$.

In other words, as the size of the noise increases, the Lyapunov exponent of the system transitions from positive to negative.

Definition 5. In the following we will denote by $V_0$ the space of average 0 signed measures and, by abuse of notation, the intersection of the same space with more regular Banach spaces as $L_1$ and $BV$ (which is going to be clear from the context).

I will show the following result; please remark that a lot of work is ongoing on the topic and some of the hypothesis may be shown redundant.

Theorem 1. Let $T : [-1, 1] \to [-1, 1]$ be a nonsingular Borel measurable dynamical system such that

D1 admits a unique a.c.i.m. $\mu_0$ with density $f_0$,
D2 $\int_{-1}^{1} \log(|T'(x)|) d\mu_0 > 0$,

and suppose that

R1 there exists $\epsilon_0$ such that for all $\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_0)$ the operators $L_\epsilon$ have a uniform bound on the decay of correlations in BV, i.e. there exists $C$ and $\theta < 1$ independent from $\epsilon$ such that $||L_\epsilon^n||_{BV} \leq C \theta^n$,
R2 $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \log(|T'(x)|) d\mu_0 = \int_{-1}^{1} \log(|T'(x)|) d\mu_0$
R3 $-\infty < \int_{-1}^{1} \log(|T'(x)|) dm < 0$

Then, the map $T$ exhibits Noise Induced Order.
3. The Models in our Study

Our main interest are two families of one-dimensional dynamics on the interval $[-1,1]$.

The first is a family of symmetric unimodal maps (figure 1a)

$$T_{a,\alpha}(x) = 2a \cdot |x|^{\alpha} - 1,$$

with $a \in [0,1]$ and $\alpha \in [1, +\infty)$.

The second family is a family of one-dimensional maps of the contracting Lorenz Flow [16] (figure 1b)

$$\tilde{T}_{a,\alpha}(x) = \begin{cases} -2a \cdot |x|^{\alpha} + 1 & x \in (0,1] \\ 2a \cdot |x|^{\alpha} - 1 & x \in [-1,0) \end{cases},$$

with $\alpha \in [1, +\infty)$, $a \in [0,1]$.

**Remark 5.** The $\alpha$ in (3) is given by $\alpha = -\lambda_3/\lambda_1$, i.e. is the ratio between two of the eigenvalues of the (contracting ) geometric Lorenz Flow at the origin. Therefore, the present paper proves that an open set of parameters may give rise to one-dimensional maps with Noise Induced Order. Translating this result to the flow is delicate and is going to be treated in further works.

For both the families, the parameter $a = 1$ corresponds to full branch maps. For these full branch maps the partition $\{[-1,0],[0,1]\}$ is a Markov partition, which permits us to conjugate them to the tent map and the doubling map respectively.

The techniques used to study these maps as $a$ varies are similar, and consist in the construction of induction schemes, to prove that, fixed $\alpha$ there exists positive Lebesgue measure sets of parameters $a$ such that the maps admit a unique absolutely continuous invariant measure.

An important question is whether these maps are stochastically stable, i.e. if $a$ is a parameter that admit a unique a.c.i.m. with density $f_0$ and $f_\epsilon$ is the density stationary measure of the Markov chain $L_\epsilon$ whether $f_\epsilon$ converges to $f$ as the noise size $\epsilon$ goes to 0.

We say that a system is **stochastically stable** if $f_\epsilon$ converges to $f$ in the weak topology and the system is **strongly stochastically stable** if $f_\epsilon$ converges to $f$ in $L^1$.

The literature on stochastic stability is huge, and general conditions for stochastic stability in the non-uniformly hyperbolic case were given recently in [11] and for strong stochastic stability in [3] but therein “conditions of stochastic stability for non-uniformly expanding maps are expressed as conditions on the random perturbations of the map and not solely on the original unperturbed dynamics” [2], while the results on [2, 17] do not give us information about the correlation decay.
Correlation decay is treated in [11] but it also depends on properties of the random perturbations.

We will refer mainly to the pioneering results in [4, 13] which apply to the families $T_a, \alpha$ and $\tilde{T}_a, \alpha$ of one-dimensional non-uniformly hyperbolic maps. The proof of these results is obtained by constructing an inducing scheme that works both for the unperturbed and perturbed operator; if $\hat{f}$ and $\hat{f}_\epsilon$ are the invariant densities on the inducing scheme, they prove that $\hat{f}_\epsilon$ converges to $\hat{f}$ in BV norm.

**Theorem 2** (Baladi-Viana [4]). Fixed $\alpha = 2$ there exists a positive measure set of parameters $a$ such that $T_{a,2}$ is strongly stochastically stable, moreover there exists an $\epsilon_0$ such that for all $\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_0)$ we have a uniform bound on decay of correlation for BV observables, i.e., there exist $C$ and $\theta$ independent of $\epsilon$ such that

$$||L^\epsilon|^\nu_0||_{BV} \leq C\theta^n.$$  

This proof works for maps with nondegenerate critical points, but, as stated by the authors, their argument can be adapted easily to the case when the critical point is non-flat (with $\alpha$ even) [4, pag. 485] and the argument should extend with minor adjustments to non-flat critical points with $\alpha > 1$ [19].

**Theorem 3** (Metzger [13]). Fixed $\alpha$, for a positive Lebesgue measure set of parameters $a$, the maps $\tilde{T}_{a,\alpha}$ are strongly stochastically stable.

While the article [13] does not explicitely state the uniform rate of decay of correlations, the proof of Theorem D [13, pag. 295] is obtained by applying the techniques in [4], therefore the uniform rate of decay of correlation follows from the proof.

So, we will assume the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.** Fixed $\alpha > 1$ there exists a positive measure set of parameters $a$ such that $T_{a,\alpha}$ (respectively $\tilde{T}_{a,\alpha}$) is strongly stochastically stable, moreover there exists an $\epsilon_0$ such that for all $\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_0)$ we have a uniform bound on decay of correlation for BV observables, i.e., there exist $C$ and $\theta$ independent of $\epsilon$ such that

$$||L^\epsilon|^\nu_0||_{BV} \leq C\theta^n.$$  

4. Well definedness and continuity of $\lambda(\epsilon)$

This is a simple inequality, where the regularization properties of convolution have strong consequences on the regularity of the fixed points of the operator $L_\epsilon$. The same inequality works for any “regularity” seminorm, i.e., if the noise is of regularity $C^k$ (or even analytic), the fixed point of the annealed transfer operator has the same regularity.

In the following section I show how one of the consequences of the uniform correlation decay in BV norm is that the operator $L_\epsilon$ has a unique stationary measure. This shows that the function $\lambda(\epsilon)$ is well defined.

4.1. Regularity of the stationary measure. We state this results with respect to the variation seminorm $\text{Var}(\cdot)$; this lemma easily generalizes to higher regularity.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose $||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV} < \infty$. Then $L_\epsilon$ preserves BV and if $f_\epsilon$ is a stationary density in $L^1$, then $f_\epsilon$ is in BV and

$$||f_\epsilon||_{BV} \leq ||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV}$$

**Proof.** Both statements follows from the properties of convolution, for any $g \in L^1$ we have that:

$$||L_\epsilon g||_{BV} \leq ||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV} ||g||_{L^1},$$

2Their results are more general, I present statements that are adapted to our case
i.e. \( \|L_\epsilon\|_{L^1 \to BV} \leq \|\rho_\epsilon\|_{BV} \) which in turn implies that \( \|L_\epsilon\|_{BV} \leq \|\rho_\epsilon\|_{BV} \).

If \( f_\epsilon \) is a fixed point then
\[
\|f_\epsilon\|_{BV} = \|L_\epsilon f_\epsilon\|_{BV} \leq \|\rho_\epsilon\|_{BV} \|f_\epsilon\|_{L^1} \leq \|\rho_\epsilon\|_{BV}.
\]

\( \square \)

**Remark 6.** Indeed, a stronger result is true, i.e., if \( M \) is the space of signed measures endowed with the Wasserstein norm [7], we have that \( L_\epsilon \) is a continuous operator from \( M \) to \( BV \), i.e., any stationary measure is in \( BV \) and has a density.

### 4.2. Decay of correlations in \( L^1 \) and \( BV \) for \( L_\epsilon \)

**Lemma 2.** Suppose \( L_\epsilon \) has exponential decay of correlations with respect to bounded variation observables. Then, there exists \( N \) such that
\[
\|L_\epsilon^N\|_{V_0} \|_{L^1} \leq \frac{1}{2}.
\]

**Proof.** Decay of correlations for bounded variations observables guarantees that
\[
\|L_\epsilon^n\|_{BV} \leq C\theta^n.
\]
Observing that \( \|L_\epsilon^n f\|_{BV} \leq \|\rho_\epsilon\|_{BV} \|f\|_{L^1} \) we have that
\[
\|L_\epsilon^{n+1}\|_{V_0} \|_{L^1} \leq \left( \frac{C \cdot \text{Var}(\rho_\epsilon)}{\theta} \right) \theta^{n+1},
\]
by choosing \( N > (-1 - \log_2(C) - \log_2(\text{Var}(\rho_\epsilon))/\log_2(\theta)) \) we have the thesis. \( \square \)

The following Lemma is a generalization of a result in [7]: if for some noise amplitude the operator contracts \( L^1 \), then for all bigger amplitudes the annealed operators also contracts \( L^1 \).

**Lemma 3.** Let \( \rho_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-1} \rho(x/\epsilon) \) and \( N_\epsilon \) the associated noise operator. If \( \epsilon < \hat{\epsilon} \) and \( \|(N_\epsilon L)^i\|_{V_0 \to L^1} \leq C_i < 1 \), then
\[
(4) \quad \|(N_\hat{\epsilon} L)^i\|_{V_0 \to L^1} \leq C_i (\epsilon/\hat{\epsilon})^i + [1 - (\epsilon/\hat{\epsilon})^i] < 1.
\]

**Proof.** We observe that
\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon + \epsilon^i} \rho \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon + \epsilon} \right) = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + \epsilon^i} \rho \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon + \epsilon} \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon + \epsilon} \left( \rho \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon + \epsilon} \right) - \rho \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \right);
\]
moreover, the convolution operator
\[
M f = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \left( \rho \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) - \rho \left( \frac{x}{\epsilon + \epsilon} \right) \right) * f
\]
is a Markov operator.

Therefore
\[
N_\epsilon L f = \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} N_\epsilon L f + \left( 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \right) ML f,
\]
and
\[
(N_\hat{\epsilon} L)^i f = \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \right)^i (N_\epsilon L)^i f + \left( 1 - \left( \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \right)^i \right) Q f
\]
for a suitable Markov operator \( Q \). Taking the \( L^1 \) norm, the result follows. \( \square \)

This result is strong, but it has even stronger consequences, proved in the next lemma, i.e., if we see \( L^1 \) contraction for a noise amplitude \( \epsilon \) we have \( BV \) contraction for all bigger noise amplitudes.
Lemma 4. Suppose \(|L^n_\epsilon|_{V_0}\) \(\leq C\theta^n\); then
\[||L^n_\epsilon|_{V_0}||_{BV} \leq (C||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV}) \theta^n.\]

Proof. Let \(f \in V_0\), the proof is a chain of inequalities,
\[
||L^{n+1}_\epsilon f||_{BV} \leq ||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV}||L^n_\epsilon f||_{BV}
\leq ||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV}C\theta^n||f||_{L^1}
\leq ||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV}C\theta^n||f||_{BV}.
\]
\[\square\]

4.3. Unicity of the stationary measure and well-definedness of \(\lambda(\epsilon)\). From the results on the decay of correlation, we obtain the unicity of the stationary measure and therefore the fact that \(\lambda(\epsilon)\) is well-defined.

Corollary 1. Let \(T : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]\) be a dynamical system such that there exists \(\epsilon_0\) such that for all \(\epsilon \in [0, \epsilon_0]\) the operators \(L_\epsilon\) have a uniform bound on the decay of correlations in \(BV\), then the function \(\lambda(\epsilon)\) is well defined.

Proof. For all \(\epsilon\) any stationary measure has density \(f_\epsilon\) in \(BV \subset L^1\). Due to the lemmas above, for all \(\epsilon\) there exists an \(N\) such that \(|L^N_\epsilon|_{V_0}\) \(\leq 1/2\). By contradiction, suppose there are two stationary densities, \(f_\epsilon\) and \(g_\epsilon\), then
\[
||f_\epsilon - g_\epsilon||_{L^1} = ||L^N_\epsilon (f_\epsilon - g_\epsilon)||_{L^1} \leq \frac{1}{2}||f_\epsilon - g_\epsilon||_{L^1},
\]
which implies that \(f_\epsilon\) coincides with \(g_\epsilon\). \(\square\)

4.4. Continuity in \(BV\) of the stationary measure with respect to the noise size. In this subsection we prove the continuity of the stationary measure with respect to the noise size at a fixed noise size \(\epsilon > 0\).

Corollary 2. Let \(\rho_\epsilon = \epsilon^{-1}\rho(x/\epsilon)\) and \(N_\epsilon\) the associated noise operator. Then, for \(\hat{\epsilon} > \epsilon > 0\) we have that
\[
||L_\hat{\epsilon} - L_\epsilon||_{L^1} \leq 2 \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)
\]
and that
\[
||L_\hat{\epsilon} - L_\epsilon||_{L^1 \rightarrow BV} \leq 3 \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV}
\]

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 3 we have that
\[
L_\hat{\epsilon}f - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}L_\epsilon f = \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)MLf,
\]
where \(M\) is a Markov operator. Then
\[
||L_\hat{\epsilon} - L_\epsilon||_{L^1} \leq ||L_\epsilon - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}L_\epsilon||_{L^1} + ||L_\hat{\epsilon} - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}L_\epsilon||_{L^1} \leq 2 \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right).
\]
Similarly
\[
||L_\hat{\epsilon} - L_\epsilon||_{L^1 \rightarrow BV} \leq ||L_\epsilon - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}L_\epsilon||_{L^1 \rightarrow BV} + ||L_\hat{\epsilon} - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}L_\epsilon||_{L^1 \rightarrow BV}
\leq 3 \left(1 - \frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{\epsilon}\right)||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV},
\]
since
\[
\frac{1}{\epsilon}||\rho \left(\frac{x}{\hat{\epsilon}}\right) - \rho \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)||_{BV} \leq 2||\rho_\epsilon||_{BV}.
\]
\[\square\]

Now, we want to estimate the distance between the fixed points of \(L_\epsilon\) and \(L_\hat{\epsilon}\). This proofs follows the line of Theorem 1 in \([8]\).
Theorem 5. Let $L_\epsilon$ and $L_{\hat{\epsilon}}$ be the annealed operators for the noise of size $\epsilon$ and $\hat{\epsilon}$ respectively. Suppose moreover that $\|L^N_\epsilon v_0\|_{BV} \leq C\theta^N_\epsilon$ for $\theta_\epsilon < 1$. Suppose $f_\epsilon$ and $f_{\hat{\epsilon}}$ are the unique fixed points of $L_\epsilon$ and $L_{\hat{\epsilon}}$ respectively. Then

$$\|f_{\hat{\epsilon}} - f_\epsilon\|_{BV} \leq C \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{\epsilon}}\right) \|\rho_\epsilon\|_{BV}.$$ 

Proof. Let $N$ be such that $C\theta^N_\epsilon < 1/2$, then

$$\|f_\epsilon - f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{BV} = \|L^N_\epsilon f_\epsilon - L^N_\epsilon f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{BV} \leq \|L^N_\epsilon f_\epsilon - L^N_\epsilon f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{BV} + \|L^N_\epsilon f_\epsilon - L^N_\epsilon f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{BV},$$

which implies that

$$\|f_\epsilon - f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{BV} \leq 2\|L^N_\epsilon f_\epsilon - L^N_\epsilon f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{BV} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \|L^k_\epsilon v_0\|_{BV} \|L_e - L_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{L^1 \to BV} \|L^{N-k-1}_{\hat{\epsilon}} f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{L^1} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} C\theta^k_\epsilon \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{\epsilon}}\right) \|\rho_\epsilon\|_{BV},$$

which implies the thesis since $\|f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{L^1} = 1$. \qed

5. Proof of Theorem 1

In this section we piece together the various results and prove the main result of the paper.

Proof of Theorem 1. Hypothesis R1, Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 imply that for any noise size $\epsilon$ the operator $L_\epsilon$ contract the space of average 0 measure with respect to the BV norm (please remark that Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 do not prove uniform contraction, but only contraction).

Therefore, for a fixed $\epsilon > 0$ we have, by Theorem 5 that

$$\|f_\epsilon - f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|f_\epsilon - f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{BV} \leq D \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon}{\hat{\epsilon}}\right)$$

for some constant $D$ (depending on $\epsilon$).

By hypothesis R4, $\log(|T'|)$ belongs to $L^1$, therefore

$$\left|\int_0^1 \log(|T'|)(f_\epsilon - f_{\hat{\epsilon}})dm\right| \leq \|\log(|T'|)\|_{L^1} \|f_\epsilon - f_{\hat{\epsilon}}\|_{L^\infty},$$

which goes to 0 as $\hat{\epsilon}$ goes to $\epsilon$, which proves continuity.

Continuity at $\epsilon = 0$ is guaranteed by hypothesis R2, which implies that by hypothesis D2 there exists an $\epsilon_1$ such that $\lambda(\epsilon_1) > 0$.

Now,

$$\text{Var}(f_\epsilon - m) \leq \text{Var}(f_\epsilon) + \text{Var}(m) \leq \text{Var}(\rho_\epsilon) = \frac{\text{Var}(\rho)}{\epsilon}$$

which goes to 0 as $\epsilon$ goes to $+\infty$, therefore by hypothesis R4 there exists an $\epsilon_2$ such that $\lambda(\epsilon_2) < 0$, which proves Noise Induced Order. \qed

6. Consequences for the models

In this section, the noise kernel is

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{[-1,1]}$$

the (normalized) characteristic function of the interval $[-1,1]$.
6.1. The full branch case $T_\alpha$. In this section we will use the notation $T_\alpha := T_{1,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{T}_\alpha = \tilde{T}_{1,\alpha}$.

Since $T_\alpha$ (respectively $\tilde{T}_\alpha$) is full branch, the partition $\mathcal{P} = \{[-1,0], [0,1]\}$ is a Markov partition for $T_\alpha$ (respectively $\tilde{T}_\alpha$) this permits us to find an explicit formula for the Lyapunov exponent.

6.1.1. The deterministic behaviour. We do the computations for $T_\alpha$, the computations for $\tilde{T}_\alpha$ are similar. With respect to the $\mathcal{P}$ for each $\alpha$ we can construct the transition matrix

$$P_\alpha = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{m(T_\alpha^{-1}([-1,0]))}{m([-1,0])} & \frac{m(T_\alpha^{-1}([0,1]))}{m([0,1])} \\
\frac{m([-1,0]))}{m([-1,0])} & \frac{m([0,1]))}{m([0,1])}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
p_\alpha & 1 - p_\alpha \\
p_\alpha & 1 - p_\alpha
\end{bmatrix}.$$ 

If $\mu_\alpha$ is the a.c.i.m. for $T_\alpha$, this Markov chain is conjugated with $(T_\alpha, \mu_\alpha)$. Therefore, we have that

$$h(T_\alpha, \mu_\alpha) = - (p_\alpha \log(p_\alpha) + (1 - p_\alpha) \log(1 - p_\alpha)),$$

and by Pesin formula, we have that

$$\lambda(\alpha) := \int_{-1}^{1} \log(|T_\alpha'(x)|) d\mu_\alpha = h(T_\alpha, \mu_\alpha) = - (p_\alpha \log(p_\alpha) + (1 - p_\alpha) \log(1 - p_\alpha));$$

which is a positive decreasing function of $\alpha$ and

$$\lim_{\alpha \to +\infty} \lambda(\alpha) = 0.$$

The graph of $\lambda(\alpha)$ is plotted in figure 2.

The computation for $\tilde{T}_\alpha$ gives rise to the same $\lambda(\alpha)$.

6.1.2. Behaviour for large noise. As the size of the noise $\epsilon$ grows, we have that $f_\epsilon$ converges to the uniform distribution on $[-1,1]$.

We define and compute

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\alpha) := \int_{-1}^{1} \log(|T_\alpha'(x)|) dm = 1 - \alpha + \log(\alpha) + \log(2).$$
This is a decreasing function of $\alpha$, $\hat{\lambda}(2) > 0$, with a zero $\tilde{\alpha}$ contained in the interval $[2.67834, 2.67835]$ whose graph is plotted in figure [2].

**Corollary 3.** For $\alpha > \tilde{\alpha}$, the maps $T_\alpha$ and $\tilde{T}_\alpha$ present Noise Induced Order.

6.2. Behaviour as the parameters $a$ and $\alpha$ vary. We will now study the behaviour of the Lyapunov exponent of $T_{a,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{T}_{a,\alpha}$, in presence of noise, when we vary the parameters $a$ and $\alpha$.

To do so, we will use the following arguments by Maurizio Monge, that were proved for a version of [7].

**Definition 6.** A piecewise continuous map $T$ on $[-1, 1]$ is a function $T : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ such that there is partition $\{I_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ of $[-1, 1]$ made of intervals $I_i$, such that $T$ has a continuous extension to the closure $\bar{I}_i$ of each interval.

**Lemma 5.** Let $T_1$ and $T_2 : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ be piecewise continuous nonsingular maps and let $L_{T_1}, L_{T_2}$ the associated deterministic transfer operators, let $f \in L^1$. Then

$$||L_{T_1}(f) - L_{T_2}(f)||_{\infty} \leq ||T_1 - T_2||_{\infty}||f||_{1}$$

**Proof.** The proof of the statement is straightforward, using the uniform continuity of each branch of $T_1$ and $T_2$ to suitably approximate $f$ with a combination of delta measures.

**Lemma 6.** Let $T_1$ and $T_2 : [-1, 1] \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ be piecewise continuous nonsingular maps with associated transfer operators with noise $L_{c,T_1}, L_{c,T_2}$ defined as

$$L_{c,T_1}(f) = \rho_c L_{T_1}(f)$$

then for any $f \in L^1$,

$$||L_{c,T_1}(f) - L_{c,T_2}(f)||_{1} \leq ||T_1 - T_2||_{\infty}||\rho_c||_{BV}||f||_{1}$$

**Proof.**

$$||L_{c,T_1}(f) - L_{c,T_2}(f)||_{1} = ||\rho_c(L_{T_1}(f) - L_{T_2}(f))||_{1} \leq ||\rho_c||_{BV}||L_{T_1}(f) - L_{T_2}(f)||_{W}$$

which gives the statement.

**Lemma 7.** Let $T_{a,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{T}_{a,\alpha}$ be the maps defined in Section 3. Then, for $h, k \geq 0$ we have that

$$|T_{a+h,\alpha+k}(x) - T_{a,\alpha}(x)| \leq 2|h||x|^\alpha + k2|x|^\alpha \frac{|x|^k - 1}{k}$$

and

$$|\tilde{T}_{a+h,\alpha+k}(x) - \tilde{T}_{a,\alpha}(x)| \leq 2|h||x|^{\alpha+k} + k2|x|^\alpha \frac{|x|^k - 1}{k}$$

**Proof.** We will prove the inequality on $[0, 1]$, the proof is the same for the two maps

$|2(a+h)x^{\alpha+k} - 2ax^\alpha| \leq |2(a+h)x^{\alpha+k} - 2ax^{\alpha+k}| + |2ax^{\alpha+k} - 2ax^\alpha|;$

we focus now on

$$|2ax^{\alpha+k} - 2ax^\alpha| = k2|x|^\alpha \frac{|x|^k - 1}{k}$$

---
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Remark 7. We write the inequality of the Lemma in this specific form since
\[ k^2|x|^\alpha \frac{|x|^k - 1}{k} = k^2|x|^\alpha \log |x| + O(k^2), \]
therefore, for a fixed \( \alpha \) and small \( k \) we have that, for some constant \( C \)
\[ ||T_{a+h,\alpha+k} - T_{a,\alpha}||_{\infty} \leq |h| + C|k|, \]
since \(|x|^\alpha \log(|x|)| \) goes to 0 for \( x \to 0 \) for any \( \alpha > 1 \). The same is true for \( \tilde{T}_{a,\alpha} \).

Therefore, we have the following.

Corollary 4. For a fixed \( \epsilon > 0 \), if we denote by \( f_{\epsilon,a,\alpha} \) the density of the stationary measure for the r.d.s. with noise size associated to \( T_{a,\alpha} \) (respectively \( \tilde{T}_{a,\alpha} \)) then there exists a constant \( C \) such that
\[ ||f_{\epsilon,a+h,\alpha+k} - f_{\epsilon,a,\alpha}||_{L^1} \leq C(|h| + |k|). \]

Proof. This follows from Lemma 6 and Lemma 2 and Theorem 1 of [8], since Lemma 2 gives us an \( N \) such that \( ||L_{\epsilon,a,\alpha}^{-\nu}\rho_{\epsilon}||_{L^1} < 1/2 \). \( \square \)

Theorem 6. Denote by
\[ \lambda(\epsilon,a,\alpha) = \int_{-1}^{1} \log(|T_{a,\alpha}'|) f_{\epsilon,a,\alpha} dm \]
(the same for \( \tilde{T}_{a,\alpha} \)). For any \( \epsilon > 0 \), \( \lambda(\epsilon,a,\alpha) \) is Hölder continuous with respect to \( a \) and \( \alpha \).

Proof. We observe now that, by Hölder inequality
\[ ||f_{\epsilon,a+h,\alpha+k} - f_{\epsilon,a,\alpha}||_{L^r} \leq (||f_{\epsilon,a+h,\alpha+k} - f_{\epsilon,a,\alpha}||_{L^1})^{1/r} (||f_{\epsilon,a+h,\alpha+k} - f_{\epsilon,a,\alpha}||_{L^\infty})^{1-1/r}, \]
and that
\[ ||f_{\epsilon,a+h,\alpha+k} - f_{\epsilon,a,\alpha}||_{L^\infty} \leq 2||\rho_{\epsilon}||_{BV}. \]
Since \( \log |x| \) is in \( L^p([-1,1]) \) for \( p > 1 \), the result follows. \( \square \)

Remark 8. Under stronger hypothesis on the noise kernel it is possible to prove further regularity results on \( \lambda(\epsilon,a,\alpha) \), using the linear response theory for random dynamical systems developed in [8,9].

Corollary 5. Fixed \( \alpha \) there exists a parameter \( a \) such that
\[ \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lambda(\epsilon,a,\alpha) \neq \lambda(0,a,\alpha). \]

Proof. Fix \( \alpha \) and let \( a = 1 \). This is the full branch case, and by the results in Section 3 we know that there exists an interval \( [0,\epsilon_0] \) such that \( \lambda(\epsilon,1,\alpha) > 0 \) for \( \epsilon \in [0,\epsilon_0] \).

Since the set of parameters \( a \) such that \( \lambda(0,a,\alpha) < 0 \) is dense in the parameter set and \( \lambda(\epsilon,a,\alpha) \) is continuous in \( a, \alpha \) for \( \epsilon > 0 \) we can find a parameter \( a \) such that \( \lambda(0,a,\alpha) < 0 \) and \( \lambda(\epsilon,a,\alpha) > 0 \) for any \( \epsilon \). \( \square \)

7. A two-dimensional model

In this section I introduce a two-dimensional model, similar to Viana maps, with an a.c.i.m. with one positive and one negative Lyapunov exponent.

In this section we denote by \( S^1 \) the interval \([-1,1]\) with the endpoints identified (periodic boundary conditions). Some abuse of notation is involved in the definition.

We refer to Subsection 6.2 for the definition of the function \( \lambda(\alpha) \). There exists a \( \hat{\alpha} \) such that \( \lambda(\alpha) < \log(2) \) for all. Therefore, if \( \alpha > \hat{\alpha} \) there exists an \( \epsilon_{0,\alpha} \) such that for all \( \epsilon > \epsilon_{0,\alpha} \) we have that \( \lambda(\epsilon,1,\alpha) < -\log(2) \).
Let $G : S^1 \to S^1$, with
\[ G(x) = \begin{cases} 
2x + 1 & x < 0 \\
2x - 1 & x \geq 0
\end{cases} \]
this map preserves Lebesgue and is conjugated to the doubling map.

We define now a map on the torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$ by $F : \mathbb{T}^2 \to \mathbb{T}^2$ by
\[ F_\epsilon(x, y) = (G(x), T_{1, \alpha}(y) + \epsilon_0 G(x)) \]
where $T_{1, \alpha}$ is the full branch map from (2), with $\alpha > \hat{\alpha}$, $\epsilon > \epsilon_0, \alpha$.

This skew-product preserves the measure $\mu = \frac{1}{2} \cdot m \times f_\epsilon$ (where $m$ is the Lebesgue measure). Since $f_\epsilon$ is the unique stationary measure by Corollary (1) it is ergodic, therefore $\mu$ is ergodic (these are known results in the topic of random dynamical systems, see [18]).

Therefore for $\mu$ almost every point we have that
\[ V(x, y) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(DV_{n, x}(y)) < -\log(2). \]

We will compute the Lyapunov exponents for the skew-product. By direct computation we have that
\[ DF(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \epsilon \cdot 2 \\
0 & T'_{1, \alpha}(y) \end{bmatrix}. \]

Let $\pi_y(x, y) = y$, we will denote by $Y_{2}^n(y) = \pi_y(F^n(x, y))$, by
\[ DV_2^n(y) = \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} T'_{1, \alpha}(Y_{2}^k(y)) \]
and by
\[ C_n(x, y) = \epsilon(2^n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{n-i}DV_{i, x}(y)), \]
therefore
\[ D(F^n)(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2^n & C_n(x, y) \\
0 & DV_{n, x}(y) \end{bmatrix} \]
and
\[ M(x, y, n) := D(F^n)(x, y)^T \times D(F^n)(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 2^{2n} + C_n(x, y)^2 & C_n(x, y)DV_{n, x}(y) \\
C_n(x, y)DV_{n, x}(y) & (DV_{n, x}(y))^2 \end{bmatrix}; \]
For $\mu$-a.e. $(x, y)$ the limit, as $n$ goes to $+\infty$ of the eigenvalues of $M(n, x, y)$ gives us the Lyapunov exponents.

Since for $\mu$-a.e. $(x, y)$ we have that $V(x, y) < -\log(2) < 0$ we have that $C_n(x, y) \leq K \cdot 2^n$, for some constant $K$, which in turn implies that
\[ \lim_{n \to +\infty} C_n(x, y)DV_{n, x}(y) = 0. \]
This shows that the skew-product $F$ has an a.c.i.m. which has a positive and a negative Lyapunov exponent.
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