Parameter estimation for discretely sampled stochastic heat equation driven by space-only noise revised

Igor Cialenco  
cialenco@iit.edu  
http://cialenco.com

Hyun-Jung Kim  
hkim129@iit.edu  
https://sites.google.com/view/hyun-jungkim

Department of Applied Mathematics, Illinois Institute of Technology  
W 32nd Str, John T. Rettaialta Engineering Center, Room 220, Chicago, IL 60616, USA

First Circulated and This Version: March 18, 2020

Abstract: The main goal of this paper is to build consistent and asymptotically normal estimators for the drift and volatility parameter of the stochastic heat equation driven by an additive space-only white noise when the solution is sampled discretely in the physical domain. We consider both the full space \( \mathbb{R} \) and the bounded domain \((0, \pi)\). First, we establish the exact regularity of the solution and its spatial derivative, which in turn, using power-variation arguments, allows building the desired estimators. Second, we propose two sets of estimators, based on sampling either the spatial derivative or the solution itself on a discrete space-time grid. Using the so-called Malliavin-Stein’s method, we prove that these estimators are consistent and asymptotically normal as the spatial mesh-size vanishes. More importantly, we show that naive approximations of the derivatives appearing in the power-variation based estimators may create nontrivial biases, which we compute explicitly. We conclude with some numerical experiments that illustrate the theoretical results.
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1 Introduction

It is well recognized by now that stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) serve as a key modeling tool in various applied discipline, and we refer the reader to the classical monographs [Roz90, DPZ14, LR18], and also to the textbooks [Cho07, Hai09, LR17], for an in depth discussion of the theory of SPDEs and their numerous applications. As with any stochastic model, statistical analysis of SPDEs driven models is of fundamental practical importance, and it has been investigated in numerous works; cf. the survey paper [Cia18]. The existing literature on statistical inference for SPDEs usually deals with space-time noise. In [CKL20], the authors make the first attempt to study inverse problems for stochastic evolution equations driven by space-only noise, and the present paper contributes, in particular, to the efforts initiated therein. Specifically, we consider the one-dimensional stochastic heat equation driven by an additive space-only noise

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u(t,x)}{\partial t} &= \theta \frac{\partial^2 u(t,x)}{\partial x^2} + \sigma \hat{W}(x), \quad t > 0, \ x \in G \subset \mathbb{R}, \\
u(0,x) &= 0, \quad x \in G,
\end{align*}
\]  

(1.1)
where $\theta > 0$, $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\dot{W}(x)$ is a space-only Gaussian white noise on a complete probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$. That is, $\dot{W}$ is a Gaussian process on $G$ with mean zero and covariance $\mathbb{E}\left[\dot{W}(x)\dot{W}(y)\right] = \delta(x - y)$, for every $x, y \in G$, and where $\delta$ is the Dirac-delta function. The main goal of this paper is to estimate the drift coefficient $\theta$ or diffusion (volatility) parameter $\sigma$, based on discrete observations of the solution $u$.

In [CKL20, Section 3], statistical analysis of (1.1) was performed within the so-called spectral approach, when the observations are performed in the Fourier space and continuously in time. It was proved that the parameter $\theta$ can be determined exactly by knowing the value of just one Fourier coefficient of the solution $u$ at three time points. Finding the drift coefficient exactly without any statistical analysis is an unusual feature, and it is due to the singular nature of the statistical experiment at hand, which in turn in this case was due to the special space-only structure of the noise. Similar effect was noticed in [CL09] for equations driven by time-only noise. On the other hand, within the spectral approach to (1.1), the parameter $\sigma$ can only be estimated consistently by observing a larger number of Fourier coefficients of $u$, although only at two time points. While these are indeed remarkable properties, we emphasize that usually, the observer would measure the solution $u$ itself, rather than its Fourier coefficients. Moreover, to find or approximate even one Fourier coefficient of $u$ one has to use the values of $u$ on the whole space $G$ rather than some local space-time values; see [CDVK19] for more details on approximating the maximum likelihood estimators obtained by spectral approach.

The parameter estimation problem for SPDEs when the solution is discretely sampled in space and/or time component was addressed systematically only recently by quite different methods; cf. [CH19, BT19a, BT19b, Cho19a, CDVK19, Cho19b, KU19, KT19] and references therein. We follow the $p$-variation approach of [CH19], which exploit the optimal regularity of the solution combined with the power variation calculus to build consistent and asymptotically normal estimators of the unknown parameters; see also [PT07, ZZ19, KT19].

The main contributions of this paper can be summarized as follows. First, in Section 2, we study the regularity properties of the solution $u$ to (1.1) on the whole space $G = \mathbb{R}$, and prove that $\partial_x u(t, x) =: u_x(t, x)$ is Hölder continuous, with exponent almost $1/4$ in $t$, and almost $1/2$ in $x$. The case of a bounded domain $G$ was investigated in [KL17]. Second, assuming that the derivative $u_x(t, x)$ is sampled at a uniform space grid $a = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = b$, and $M$ time points, using the quadratic variation of $u_x$ in $x \in [a, b]$, we derive estimators for $\theta$ and $\sigma$. In Section 3.1 we prove consistency and asymptotic normality as the number of spatial points increases $N \to \infty$. We note that the case $M = 1$ was discussed in [CKL20]. Third, and more importantly, in Section 3.2, we show that naively substituting $u_x$ by its finite-difference approximations in the aforementioned estimators introduces a (nontrivial) bias. We compute explicitly this bias, and prove that the corresponding estimators based on the discrete sampling of the solution $u$ in physical domain are also consistent and asymptotically normal as the spatial sample size increases. Moreover, we show that the bias disappears if the spatial points used for each finite difference approximation are close enough to each other, relative to the reciprocal of total number of points in the sampling grid. We view this novel approach as a groundbreaking result that tentatively can be used to study the parameters estimation problems for SPDE driven by colored noises. Fourth, we treat both, bounded and unbounded domains $G \subset \mathbb{R}$. We note that the spectral approach in principle cannot be applied to the unbounded domain case.

The methods of proof of statistical properties of the proposed estimators are rooted in Malliavin calculus and Stein’s method, combined with tight control of the covariance structures of the relevant spatial increments of the solution. The theoretical results are illustrated via numerical experiments in Section 4. Some open problems are briefly discussed in Section 5. For reader’s convenience, most
of proofs are deferred to appendix due to their lengthy and technical nature.

## 2 Analytical properties of the solution

In this section we will derive some fine regularity properties of the solution of \((1.1)\). These properties, besides being of independent interest, will be also conveniently used in Section 3 to derive estimators for the unknown parameters \(\theta\) and \(\sigma\).

We will consider two cases for the domain \(G\): (a) the whole space, namely \(G = \mathbb{R}\); (b) the bounded domain \(G = (0, \pi)\) in which case we endow equation \((1.1)\) with Dirichlet boundary conditions \(u(t,0) = u(t,\pi) = 0\), \(t > 0\). Formally, when \(G = \mathbb{R}\), one can write \(\dot{W}(x) = \frac{\partial W(x)}{\partial x}\), where \(W\) is a two-sided Brownian motion.

We define a mild solution of \((1.1)\) as

\[
\begin{align*}
  u(t,x) &= \sigma \int_0^t \int_G \mathcal{P}(t-s,x,y) dW(y) ds,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\mathcal{P}\) is the fundamental solution of the corresponding deterministic heat equation. That is

(a) \(\mathcal{P}(t,x,y) := P(t,x-y) = (4\pi \theta t)^{-1/2} e^{-|x-y|^2/(4\theta t)}\), if \(G = \mathbb{R}\);

(b) \(\mathcal{P}(t,x,y) := P^D(t,x,y) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} e^{-k^2 \theta t} \sin(kx) \sin(ky)\), if \(G = (0, \pi)\) with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

One can show that \(u\) is a well-defined Gaussian field; we refer to \([\text{Kho}14, \text{Chapter 3}]\) for \(G = \mathbb{R}\), and \([\text{KL}17, \text{Chapter 5}]\) when \(G = (0, \pi)\). As mentioned in \([\text{KL}17]\) all analytical properties of the solution \(u\) remain true when \(G = (0, \pi)\) with Neumann boundary conditions.

For \(0 < \alpha \leq 1\), we will denote by \(C^\alpha_x(G)\) the space of Hölder continuous functions on \(G\) in variable \(x\) and with any Hölder exponent \(0 < \beta < \alpha\). Similarly, by \(C^{(1+\alpha)}_x(G)\) we denote the space of differentiable functions \(f\) on \(G\) such that \(\partial_x f = f_x \in C^\alpha_x(G)\). In what follows, for two sequences of numbers \(\{a_n\}\) and \(\{b_n\}\), we will write \(a_n \sim b_n\), if there exists a number \(0 < c < \infty\) such that \(\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n/b_n = c\). Respectively, \(a_n \simeq b_n\), if \(\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n/b_n = 1\).

Most of the paper focuses on the case \(G = \mathbb{R}\). First we note that the solution \(u\) is infinitely differentiable in \(t > 0\), and it is easy to show that

\[
\frac{\partial^n u(t,x)}{\partial t^n} = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial^{n-1}}{\partial t^{n-1}} P(t,x-y) dW(y), \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.
\]

The regularity properties of \(u\) in the spatial component \(x\) are more delicate. Towards this end, we fix \(a, b \in \mathbb{R}\) such that \(a > 0\) and \(b \geq 0\) (or \(a \geq 0\) and \(b > 0\)), and denote by \(\Delta_h\), for some \(h > 0\), the difference quotient operator (acting on the spatial component) of the form

\[
\Delta_h f(t,x) := \frac{f(t,x + ah) - f(t,x - bh)}{(a+b)h}, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R},
\]

which should be viewed as an approximation of \(f_x(t,x)\). For the sake of simplicity of writing we will suppress the dependence of \(\Delta_h\) on \(a, b\), while keeping in mind this dependence which will be important in the next section. We will also make use of the notation

\[
v(t,x) := \sigma \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_x(s,x-y) dW(y) ds, \quad t > 0, \ x \in \mathbb{R}.
\]

We start with a key technical result that will be used throughout.
Lemma 2.1. Let $t, t' > 0$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then,

$$
\mathbb{E}\Delta_h u(t, x) \Delta_h u(t', y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}(a + b)^2 h^2} \int_0^t \int_0^{t'} \left( \frac{2e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4b(s_1 + s_2)}}}{\sqrt{s_1 + s_2}} - e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4b(s_1 + s_2)}} \right) ds_1 ds_2,
$$

(2.3)

$$
\mathbb{E}\Delta_h u(t, x) v(t', x) = \frac{\sigma^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}b^{3/2}(a + b)} \int_0^t \int_0^{t'} \left( ae^{-\frac{3b(s_1 + s_2)^{3/2}}{4(1 + s_1 + s_2)^{3/2}}} + be^{-\frac{3b(s_1 + s_2)^{3/2}}{4(1 + s_1 + s_2)^{3/2}}} \right) ds_1 ds_2
$$

(2.4)

$$
\mathbb{E}v(t, x) v(t', y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}b^{3/2}} \int_0^t \int_0^{t'} e^{-\frac{3b(s_1 + s_2)^{3/2}}{4(1 + s_1 + s_2)^{3/2}}} \left( 1 - \frac{(x-y)^2}{2b(s_1 + s_2)} \right) ds_1 ds_2.
$$

(2.5)

Proof. The detailed proof is deferred to Appendix A. \qed

With this at hand, we are ready to present the main result of this section.

Theorem 2.2. For each $t > 0$, the function $u(t, \cdot)$ is $\mathbb{P}$-a.s. differentiable, and its derivative is given by

$$
u_x(t, x) = \sigma \int_0^t \int_\mathbb{R} P_x(s, x - y) dW(y) ds.
$$

(2.6)

Moreover, $u_x(t, x) \in C_{t,x}^{1/4-1/2-}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. To prove (2.6), we will show that, for any $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\lim_{h \to 0} \left[ \Delta_h u(t, x) - v(t, x) \right] = 0, \quad \mathbb{P} - \text{a.s.}
$$

(2.7)

From Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\mathbb{E} |\Delta_h u(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2 = A_1 + 2A_2 + A_3,
$$

where $A_1, A_2$ and $A_3$ are the right hand sides of (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5) respectively. Applying Lemma A.1 to $A_1, A_2$ and $A_3$, we get

$$
A_1 = \frac{4\sigma^2}{3\sqrt{\pi}(a + b)^2 h^2} \left( (2t)^{3/2} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2h^2}{8\theta(t)}} \right) - 2t^{3/2} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2h^2}{4\theta(t)}} \right) \right)
$$

$$
- \frac{4\sigma^2}{3\sqrt{\pi}b^{3/2}} \left( (2t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2h^2}{8\theta(t)}} + 2t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2h^2}{4\theta(t)}} \right)
$$

$$
+ \frac{2(a + b)h^2}{3\sqrt{\pi}b^2} \left[ \int_0^{\theta(t)} \frac{s^{3/2}e^{-1/s}}{s^{3/2}e^{-1/s} - s^{-3/2}e^{-1/s}} ds - \int_0^{\theta(t)} \frac{s^{3/2}e^{-1/s}}{(a + b)^2h^2} s^{-3/2}e^{-1/s} ds \right]
$$

$$
+ \frac{(a + b)h^2}{2\sqrt{\pi}b^2} \int_0^{\theta(t)} \frac{s^{3/2}e^{-1/s}}{(a + b)^2h^2} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2}e^{-\frac{1}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2,
$$

where $s = \frac{\theta(t)}{s_1 + s_2}$.
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\[ A_2 = -\frac{\sigma^2(2t)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi} \theta^{3/2}(a+b)} \left( ae^{-\frac{\theta^2}{a+b}} + be^{-\frac{\theta^2}{a+b}} \right) - \frac{2\sigma^2 t^{1/2}}{\sqrt{\pi} \theta^{3/2}(a+b)} \left( ae^{-\frac{\theta^2}{a+b}} + be^{-\frac{\theta^2}{a+b}} \right) \]

\[ - \frac{a^2 h \sigma^2}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \theta^2(a+b)} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds - \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds \right] \]

\[ - \frac{b^2 h \sigma^2}{2 \sqrt{\pi} \theta^2(a+b)} \left[ \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds - \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds \right] \]

\[ - \frac{a^2 h \sigma^2}{4 \sqrt{\pi} \theta^2(a+b)} \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 \]

\[ - \frac{b^2 h \sigma^2}{4 \sqrt{\pi} \theta^2(a+b)} \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} \int_0^{\frac{4\theta t}{ab}} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2, \]

and

\[ A_3 = -\frac{\sigma^2}{\theta^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}} (2t)^{1/2} + \frac{2\sigma^2}{\theta^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}} t^{1/2}. \]

Combining the above, and taking into account that

\[ \int_0^\infty s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds = \sqrt{\pi}, \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 = \sqrt{\pi}, \]

we get at once that

\[ \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\mathbb{E} \left| \Delta_h u(t,x) - v(t,x) \right|^2}{h} = \frac{(a^2 - ab + b^2)\sigma^2}{3(a+b)\theta^2}. \]

Note that \( a^2 - ab + b^2 > 0 \), and thus, for small \( h > 0 \), we have that

\[ \mathbb{E} \left| \Delta_h u(t,x) - v(t,x) \right|^2 \leq C h, \quad \text{for some } C := C(\theta, \sigma, a, b) > 0. \]

Hence, (2.7) follows by Kolmogorov’s continuity theorem, and thus (2.6) is proved.

Using (2.6) and by applying Lemma 2.1, for \( t, h > 0 \) and \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), we have

\[ \mathbb{E} |u_x(t,x+h) - u_x(t,x)|^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{h^2}{4\theta(s_1+s_2)}}}{(s_1+s_2)^{3/2}} ds_1 ds_2 \]

\[ + \frac{\sigma^2 h^2}{4\theta^{5/2} \sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^t \int_0^t e^{-\frac{h^2}{4\theta(s_1+s_2)}} (s_1+s_2)^{5/2} ds_1 ds_2 \]

\[ \leq C_1 h^{2\alpha} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{(s_1+s_2)^{3/2+\varepsilon}} ds_1 ds_2 + C_2 h \int_0^{h^2 t} \int_0^{h^2 t} e^{-\frac{4\theta(s_1+s_2)}} (s_1+s_2)^{5/2} ds_1 ds_2 \]

\[ \leq C_3 h^{2\alpha}, \]

for some constants \( C_1, C_2, C_3 > 0 \) and \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1/2 \). By Kolmogorov’s continuity theorem, we conclude that \( u_x(t, \cdot) \) has a continuous modification that is globally Hölder continuous with any exponent \( \alpha < 1/2 \).

On the other hand, for each \( x \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( 0 \leq s \leq t \) such that \( |t-s| \leq 1 \), we have

\[ \mathbb{E} |u_x(t,x) - u_x(s,x)|^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{4\sqrt{\pi} \theta^{3/2}} \int_s^t \int_s^t (r_1 + r_2)^{-3/2} dr_1 dr_2 \]

\[ = \frac{\sigma^2}{\sqrt{\pi} \theta^{3/2}} \left[ -(2t)^{1/2} + (t+s)^{1/2} + (t+s)^{1/2} - (2s)^{1/2} \right] \]

\[ \leq C |t - s|. \]
Again, in view of Kolmogorov’s continuity theorem \( u_x(\cdot, x) \) has a continuous modification that is globally Hölder continuous with exponent \( \alpha < 1/4 \) on \([0, T]\), for any \( T > 0 \).

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

As we will show in the next section, the space regularity of \( u_x(t, x) \) is optimal - a fact critically used in deriving the estimators for \( \theta \) and \( \sigma \).

The case of bounded domain was studied in [KL17], where the authors showed that Theorem 2.2 holds true for \( G = (0, \pi) \) and Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions.

3 Statistical analysis

In this section we will derive consistent and asymptotically normal estimators for the parameters \( \theta \) and \( \sigma \), assuming discrete sampling of the solution in spatial component (or spatial and time components). As in the previous section, we focus our attention on the case \( G = \mathbb{R} \).

Consider the uniform partition \( \{ x_i := A + (B - A)i/N, \ i = 0, ..., N \} \) of the interval \([A, B] \subset \mathbb{R}\).

We recall that the quadratic variation\(^1\) of process \( X \) on \([A, B] \), is defined as

\[
V(X; [A, B]) := \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{N} |X(x_i) - X(x_{i-1})|^2, \ \mathbb{P} \text{-a.s.}
\]

3.1 Discrete observations of \( u_x \)

In view of Theorem 2.2, \( u_x(t, \cdot) \in C^{1/2}_x(\mathbb{R}) \), for any \( t > 0 \), and thus one would expect that the quadratic variation of \( u_x(t, \cdot) \) on any finite interval \([A, B] \subset \mathbb{R}\), is finite (\( \mathbb{P} \text{-a.s.} \) or in probability). Indeed, using (2.6), applying integration by parts combined with simple properties of the heat kernel, we deduce

\[
u_x(t, x) = -\sigma \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{xy}(t-s, x-y)W(y) \, dy \, ds = \sigma \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{xx}(t-s, x-y)W(y) \, dy \, ds
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma}{\theta} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_t(t-s, x-y)W(y) \, dy \, ds = -\frac{\sigma}{\theta} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_s(t-s, x-y)W(y) \, dy \, ds
\]

\[
= -\frac{\sigma}{\theta} \lim_{\nu' \to t} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_s(t-s, x-y)W(y) \, dy \, ds = -\frac{\sigma}{\theta} \lim_{\nu' \to t} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_s(t-s, x-y)W(y) \, ds \, dy,
\]

which consequently implies that

\[
u_x(t, x) = -\frac{\sigma}{\theta} \lim_{\nu' \to t} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_t(t-y, x-y)W(y) \, dy + \frac{\sigma}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_t(t-y, x-y)W(y) \, dy
\]

\[
= -\frac{\sigma}{\theta} W(x) + \frac{\sigma}{\theta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} P_t(t-y, x-y)W(y) \, dy. \tag{3.1}
\]

Note that \( \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(t, x-y)W(y) \, dy \) is infinitely differentiable in \( x \), and thus in view of [CH19, Proposition 2.1], we have, for any fixed \( t > 0 \),

\[
V(u_x(t, \cdot); [A, B]) = \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta^2} (B - A), \ \mathbb{P} \text{-a.s.} \tag{3.3}
\]

for any \( A, B \in \mathbb{R}, \ A < B \).

---

\(^1\)For convenience, we consider the quadratic variation only with respect to uniform partitions.
Remark 3.1. Note that the representation (3.2) implies that the Hölder continuity $3/2-$ of $u(t, \cdot)$ given by Theorem 2.2 is optimal.

As a direct consequence of (3.3), similarly to the method proposed in [CH19], for any fixed $t > 0$, we consider the following estimators for $\theta^2$, assuming $\sigma$ is known, and correspondingly, for $\sigma^2$ assuming that $\theta$ is known,

$$\hat{\theta}_N^2 := \frac{\sigma^2(B - A)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(u_x(t, x_i) - u_x(t, x_{i-1}))^2}, \quad \hat{\sigma}_N^2 := \frac{\theta^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N}(u_x(t, x_i) - u_x(t, x_{i-1}))^2}{B - A}. $$

Consistency and asymptotic normality, as $N \to \infty$, are readily available due to [CH19, Example 2.1]. By extension, assuming that $u_x(t, x_i)$ is also sampled at some discrete time points, say $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M = T$, for some fixed $T > 0$ and $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we consider the estimators

$$\hat{\theta}_{N,M}^2 := \frac{\sigma^2(B - A)M}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N}(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 := \frac{\theta^2 \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N}(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2}{(B - A)M}, \quad (3.5)$$

which can be viewed as ‘time-average’ counterparts of $\hat{\theta}_N^2$, and $\hat{\sigma}_N^2$. Strong consistency of $\hat{\theta}_{N,M}^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2$, as $N \to \infty$, follow trivially from the strong consistency of $\hat{\theta}_N^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_N^2$. On the other hand, the proof of asymptotic normality is more delicate and technically evolved. We use elements of Malliavin calculus and Stein’s method to prove asymptotic normality of $\hat{\theta}_{N,M}^2$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2$, as $N \to \infty$, which is performed over the course of several technical results listed below, while most of the proofs are postponed to Appendix A.1.

In what follows, we will denote by $\Upsilon_{N,M}$ the space-time sampling grid, namely

$$\Upsilon_{N,M} = \left\{(t_k, x_i) \mid 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M = T, \ A = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = B, \ x_{j+1} - x_j = x_1 - x_0, \ j = 1, \ldots, N - 1 \right\},$$

for some fixed $T > 0$, $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$. In addition, we set $\tau := \sigma^2/(\theta^3/2\sqrt{\pi})$.

Proposition 3.2. For every $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha < 1$, we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^\alpha \left[ \mathbb{E} \left| u_x \left( t, x + \frac{B - A}{N} \right) - u_x(t, x) \right|^2 - \frac{\sigma^2(B - A)}{\theta^2} \right] = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E} \left| u_x \left( t, x + \frac{B - A}{N} \right) - u_x(t, x) \right|^2 = \frac{\sigma^2(B - A)}{\theta^2}.$$

Proof. The proof is deferred to Appendix A.1. \hfill \Box

We next investigate the limit distribution of the centered and averaged spatial quadratic variation of $u_x$ defined as

$$Q_{N,M} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \frac{(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2}{\mathbb{E} (u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2} - 1 \right] = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \mathbb{E} u_x(t_j, x_i) - 1 \right],$$
where \( U(t, x_i) := (u_x(t, x_i) - u_x(t, x_{i-1}))^2 \). In view of Proposition 3.2, \( \mathbb{E}[U(t, x_i)] \) is independent of \( i \), and for simplicity we will simply write \( \mathbb{E} U(t, x_i) = \mathbb{E} U(t) \).

Note that \( u_x(t, x) \) is centered Gaussian and

\[
  u_x(t, x_i) - u_x(t, x_{i-1}) = \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} du_x(t, \cdot) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} 1_{[x_{i-1}, x_i]}(y) du_x(t, y).
\]

Let us denote by \( \mathcal{H} \) the canonical Hilbert space associated to the Gaussian process \( \{u_x(t, x), x \in \mathbb{R}\} \) for \( t > 0 \). Specifically, for any \( \phi, \psi \in \mathcal{H} \),

\[
  \langle \phi, \psi \rangle_\mathcal{H} := \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(y) du_x(t, y) \int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(y) du_x(t, y) \right].
\]

By the product formula [Nua06, Proposition 1.1.3] for multiple stochastic integral, we rewrite \( Q_{N, M} \) as

\[
  Q_{N, M} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (\mathbb{E} U(t_j))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{2}^{i, j \cdot} \left( 1^{\otimes 2}_{[x_i, x_{i+1}]} \right), \tag{3.6}
\]

where \( I_{n}^{t_1, \ldots, t_n} \) is the \( n \)-th multiple stochastic integral

\[
  I_{n}^{t_1, \ldots, t_n}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) du_x(t_1, x_1) \cdots du_x(t_n, x_n)
\]

for a symmetric function \( f \) and \( \otimes \) denoting the tensor product.

Accordingly, using the isometry for multiple integrals, we obtain

\[
  \mathbb{E} Q_{N, M}^2 = \frac{1}{M^2} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E} U(t_k) \mathbb{E} U(t_\ell)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \mathbb{E} \left[ I_{2}^{i, k \cdot} \left( 1^{\otimes 2}_{[x_i, x_i]} \right) I_{2}^{\ell, j \cdot} \left( 1^{\otimes 2}_{[x_j, x_j]} \right) \right]
\]

\[
  = \frac{2}{M^2} \sum_{k, \ell=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\mathbb{E} U(t_k) \mathbb{E} U(t_\ell)} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} \left[ \mathbb{E} (u_x(t_k, x_i) - u_x(t_k, x_{i-1})) (u_x(t_\ell, x_j) - u_x(t_\ell, x_{j-1})) \right]^2
\]

\[
  = \frac{2}{M^2} \sum_{k=1}^{M} (\mathbb{E} U(t_k))^{-2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ \mathbb{E} (u_x(t_k, x_i) - u_x(t_k, x_{i-1})) \right]^2
\]

\[
  + \frac{8}{M^2} \sum_{\ell > k} (\mathbb{E} U(t_\ell))^{-1} \sum_{i,j>1} \left[ \mathbb{E} (u_x(t_\ell, x_i) - u_x(t_\ell, x_{i-1})) (u_x(t_\ell, x_j) - u_x(t_\ell, x_{j-1})) \right]^2
\]

\[
  =: Q_D + Q_{ND}.
\]

By construction, clearly the ‘diagonal term’ \( Q_D \) is equal to \( 2N/M \). To deal with the non-diagonal term \( Q_{ND} \), we compute explicitly (in Appendix A.1) the expectations in inner sum, that consequently leads to the following representation

\[
  Q_{ND} = \frac{8}{M^2} \sum_{\ell > k} (\mathbb{E} U(t_\ell))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (N - i) \Phi_N^2(i, t_\ell, t_k), \tag{3.7}
\]

where the function \( \Phi \) is defined in (A.5).

**Proposition 3.3.** The following limits hold true

\[
  \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} Q_{ND} = 0, \tag{3.8}
\]

\[
  \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \mathbb{E} Q_{N,M}^2 = \frac{2}{M}. \tag{3.9}
\]
Proof. We note that for any $x, c, c_1, c_2 > 0$, we have

$$\varphi_c(0,x) = \partial_z \varphi_c(0,x) = \phi_{(c_1,c_2)}(0,x) = \partial_z \phi_{(c_1,c_2)}(0,x) = 0,$$

where $\phi_c$ and $\phi_{(c_1,c_2)}$ are defined in (A.3) and (A.4). Moreover, for $x \geq z > 0$, small $z$ and $c_1 > c_2$, there exists a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$|\varphi_c(z,x)| \leq C z^2 (c^2 (x+z)^2 + c) e^{-c(x-z)^2},$$

$$|\phi_{(c_1,c_2)}(z,x)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{c_2}} z^2 \left( \frac{1}{c_2} (x+z)^2 + 1 \right) e^{-\frac{(x-z)^2}{c_1}},$$

which can be obtained, for example, by considering Taylor expansion of $\varphi_c$ and $\phi_{(c_1,c_2)}$ in $z$ with the remainder of order two. Therefore, for each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N-1$ and $k, \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, M$, we get

$$|\Phi_N(i,t_\ell,t_k)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{t_1 N^2}} \left( \frac{(i+1)^2}{t_1 N^2} + 1 \right).$$

Hence, by (3.7), we get that $Q_{ND}/N \leq C/N \to 0$, as $N \to \infty$, and thus (3.8) is proved. Using this, and recalling that $E Q_{N,M}^2 = Q_D + Q_{ND}$, we get (3.9). This completes the proof. \qed

For convenience, we define the renormalized version of $Q_{N,M}$ as

$$Q_{N,M} := \sqrt{\frac{M}{2N}} Q_{N,M}.$$

Now, we are ready to study the asymptotic normality of $Q_{N,M}$ by studying the total variation distance between $Q_{N,M}$ and a standard Gaussian random variable.

**Theorem 3.4.** For each $N,M \geq 1$, there exists a positive constant $C$ such that

$$d_{TV}(Q_{N,M}, \mathcal{N}(0,1)) \leq \frac{CM}{N},$$

where $d_{TV}$ denotes the total variation distance and $\mathcal{N}(0,1)$ is a standard Gaussian random variable.

**Proof.** The detailed proof is deferred to Appendix A.1. \qed

Finally, we present the main result of this section.

**Theorem 3.5.** Assume that $u_x(t,x)$ is observed at the grid points $\Upsilon_{N,M}$. Then:

(i) given that $\sigma$ is known,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \hat{\theta}_{N,M}^2 = \theta^2,$$

with probability one, and

$$\text{w-} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sqrt{NM} \left( \hat{\theta}_{N,M}^2 - \theta^2 \right) = \mathcal{N}(0,2\theta^4).$$

(ii) given that $\theta$ is known,

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 = \sigma^2$$

with probability one, and

$$\text{w-} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sqrt{NM} \left( \hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 - \sigma^2 \right) = \mathcal{N}(0,2\sigma^4).$$

\text{w-} \lim stands for convergence in distribution of random variables.
Proof. Recall that strong consistency (3.12) and (3.14) are already proved.

Next we will prove asymptotic normality of \( \hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 \), namely identity (3.15). With the aim to connect \( Q_{N,M} \) with \( \hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 \), we rewrite \( Q_{N,M} \) as

\[
Q_{N,M} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2NM}} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \frac{(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2}{\mathbb{E}(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2} - 1 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2 \right) - \frac{\sigma^2(B-A)}{\theta^2} \right] + \frac{\sigma^2(B-A)}{\theta^2} \mathbb{E}(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2.
\]

Then, from Proposition 3.2, we have

\[
Q_{N,M} \overset{a.s.}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{N\theta^2}{2M\sigma^2(B-A)}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2 - \frac{M\sigma^2(B-A)}{\theta^2} \right]
\]

\[
+ \sqrt{\frac{N\theta^2}{2M\sigma^2(B-A)}} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\sigma^2(B-A)}{\theta^2} - \mathbb{E}(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2 \right], \quad \text{as } N \to \infty.
\]

Equivalently, as \( N \to \infty \),

\[
Q_{N,M} \overset{a.s.}{\sim} \sqrt{\frac{NM}{2\sigma^4}} \left( \hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 - \sigma^2 \right) + \sqrt{\frac{N\theta^2}{2M\sigma^2(B-A)}} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\sigma^2(B-A)}{\theta^2} - \mathbb{E}(u_x(t_j, x_i) - u_x(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2 \right].
\]

From Proposition 3.2 again, we have

\[
\sqrt{N} \left[ \frac{\sigma^2(B-A)}{\theta^2} - \mathbb{E}(u_x(t, x_i) - u_x(t, x_{i-1}))^2 \right] \to 0, \quad \text{as } N \to \infty.
\]

Moreover, Theorem 3.4 combined with Theorem A.3 implies that \( \lim_{N \to \infty} Q_{N,M} = N(0,1) \). This ensures

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sqrt{NM} \left( \hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 - \sigma^2 \right) = N(0, 2\sigma^4)
\]

by the Slutsky’s theorem. Finally, (3.13) follows by Delta method and (3.16).

The proof is complete. \( \square \)

3.2 Discrete observations of \( u \)

While the estimators \( \hat{\theta}_{N,M}^2 \) and \( \hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 \) have desirable statistical properties, they assume that the observer measures the values of the derivative \( u_x(t, k) \) which practically speaking is a less preferable assumption. As argued in [CKL20], for similar estimators involving spatial derivatives, it is natural to replace \( u_x(t_j, x_i) \) in (3.4) and (3.5) by a finite difference approximation of derivative such as \( \Delta_h u(t_j, x_i) \), defined in (2.2). In particular, with \( h = (B-A)/N \) and \( a = 1, b = 0 \) (or \( a = 0, b = 1 \)), assuming the solution \( u \) is sampled discretely in the physical space-time domain \( \Upsilon_{N,M} \), we can consider the following estimators

\[
\hat{\theta}_{N,M}^2 := \frac{\sigma^2 M(B-A)^3}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u(t_j, x_{i+1}) - 2u(t_j, x_i) + u(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2},
\]

\[
\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 := \frac{\theta^2 M(B-A)^3}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} (u(t_j, x_{i+1}) - 2u(t_j, x_i) + u(t_j, x_{i-1}))^2}.
\]
Since $\hat{\theta}^2_{N,M}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2_{N,M}$ are just approximations of $\tilde{\theta}^2_{N,M}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^2_{N,M}$, it is tempting to argue that the consistency and asymptotic normality will be preserved. However, it turns out that due to the fact that the finite difference approximation of the derivative is done at the critical spatial regularity, namely $u(t, x)$ is only $3/2$– Hölder continuous, a multiplicative adjustment has to be introduced to $\tilde{\theta}^2_{N,M}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}^2_{N,M}$ to have consistency, and consequently asymptotic normality. This ‘bias’ was first noticed in the numerical experiments in [CKL20]. In the present paper we formally derive the value of this bias. Moreover, we prove that one can modify the sampling scheme such that the bias disappears.

Towards this end, we fix $T > 0, M \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma \geq 1$, and assume that $a, b$ from (2.2) are such that $a, b \in [0, 1], a + b > 0$. We denote by $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{N,M}$ the space-time sampling grid of the form

$$
\tilde{\Upsilon}_{N,M} = \{(t_k, y_i), (t_k, z_i) \mid 0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_M = T, A = x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_N = B, x_{j+1} - x_j = x_1 - x_0, y_j := x_j + \frac{a(B - A)}{N^\gamma}, z_j := x_j - \frac{b(B - A)}{N^\gamma}, j = 1, \ldots, N - 1\}.
$$

Note that generally speaking this is not a uniform spatial grid, unless $\gamma = 1$ and $a = b = 1$ in which case $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{N,M} = \Upsilon_{N,M}$. See also the picture below that corresponds to a time cross section of $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{N,M}$.

![Space-time sampling grid](image)

Also note that if $\gamma > 1$, then, as $N$ increases, the distance between grid points $x_j, z_j$ (or $y_j, x_j$) decays faster than the reciprocal of number of sampled points.

Assuming that the solution is sampled at the space-time grid points $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{N,M}$, we consider the following estimators

$$
\tilde{\theta}^2_{N,M} := \frac{\sigma^2 M(B - A)}{\sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \left( \Delta_{\frac{B-A}{N^\gamma}} u(t_j, x_i) - \Delta_{\frac{B-A}{N^\gamma}} u(t_j, x_{i-1}) \right)^2},
\tilde{\sigma}^2_{N,M} := \frac{\theta^2 \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \left( \Delta_{\frac{B-A}{N^\gamma}} u(t_j, x_i) - \Delta_{\frac{B-A}{N^\gamma}} u(t_j, x_{i-1}) \right)^2}{M(B - A)}.
$$

Proposition 3.6. For every $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \left| \Delta_{\frac{B-A}{N^\gamma}} u(t, x + (B - A)/N) - \Delta_{\frac{B-A}{N^\gamma}} u(t, x) \right|^2 = \frac{\mu \sigma^2 (B - A)}{\theta^2},
$$

where

$$
\mu := \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{a + b} - \frac{1}{3(a + b)^2}, & \text{if } \gamma = 1, a + b \geq 1 \\
1 - \frac{1}{(a + b)}, & \text{if } \gamma = 1, a + b < 1 \\
1, & \text{if } \gamma > 1.
\end{cases}
$$

Proof. See Appendix A.1.  \qed
Remark 3.7. We emphasize, that in contrast to Proposition 3.2, a nontrivial factor $\mu$ appears on the right hand side of (3.21), when $\gamma = 1$. Moreover, $\mu = 1$, only if the approximation of the derivative is performed at higher resolution compared to total number of sampling points.

**Corollary 3.8.** As a direct consequence of Proposition 3.6, we get the weak consistency of $\tilde{\theta}_{N,M}^2$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{N,M}^2$, namely, for $a, b \in [0, 1]$, $a + b > 0$ in (2.2) and $M \geq 1$,

$$
P - \lim_{N \to \infty} \mu \tilde{\theta}_{N,M}^2 = \theta^2, \quad \text{and} \quad P - \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 = \sigma^2,$$

where $P - \lim$ denotes the limit in probability.

With Proposition 3.6 at hand, the rest of the proof of asymptotic properties of $\tilde{\theta}_{N,M}^2$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{N,M}^2$ will follow by a similar agenda as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. For brevity of writing, some steps will be skipped or shorten.

Let

$$\tilde{U}(t, x_i) := \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t, x_i) - \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t, x_{i-1})$$

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t) := \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t, x_i) - \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t, x_{i-1}) \right)^2.$$ 

Note that the right hand side of the last identity does not depend on $i$. Consequently, we put

$$\tilde{Q}_{N,M} := \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \left( \frac{\tilde{U}(t_j, x_i)}{\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_j)} - 1 \right).$$

Analogous to (3.6), we define the canonical Hilbert space $\tilde{H}$ associated to the Gaussian process $\{ \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t, x), x \in \mathbb{R} \}$ for $t > 0$, and by the product formula for multiple stochastic integral ([Nua06, Proposition 1.1.3]), we write

$$\tilde{Q}_{N,M} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_j) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \tilde{I}_{j,t}^{2} \left( \frac{1}{[x_{i-1}, x_i]} \right),$$

where $\tilde{I}_{n}^{1\ldots,n}$ is the $n-$th multiple stochastic integral with a symmetric function $f$:

$$\tilde{I}_{n}^{1\ldots,n}(f) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) d\Delta_{N\gamma} u(t_1, x_1) \cdots d\Delta_{N\gamma} u(t_n, x_n).$$

Hence, one can show that

$$\mathbb{E}\tilde{Q}_{N,M}^2 = \frac{2N}{M} + \tilde{Q}_{ND},$$

where

$$\tilde{Q}_{ND} = \frac{8}{M^2} \sum_{\ell > k} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_k)\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{\ell}) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i > j} \left[ \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t_k, x_i) - \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t_k, x_{i-1}) \right) \right. \times \left. \left( \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t_{\ell}, x_j) - \Delta_{N\gamma} u(t_{\ell}, x_{j-1}) \right) \right]^2.$$
After a series of algebraic transformations, and by using Lemma 2.1, one can show that

\[
\tilde{Q}_{ND} = \frac{8}{M^2} \sum_{\ell > k} \left( \tilde{E} \tilde{U}(t_k) \tilde{E} \tilde{U}(t_\ell) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} (N-i) \Phi_N^2(i, t_k, t_\ell),
\]

where

\[
\Phi_N(i, t_k, t_\ell) := \frac{\tau \theta N^2}{2(a+b)^2(B-A)^2} \left( 2F(i, t_k, t_\ell) - F(i+1, t_k, t_\ell) - F(i-1, t_k, t_\ell) \right),
\]

\[
F(i, t_k, t_\ell) := \int_0^{t_k} \int_0^{t_\ell} (s_1 + s_2)^{-1/2} \left( 2e^{-\frac{(s_1 + s_2) (i-(a+b)N^2(1-\gamma))}{4\theta N^4(s_1 + s_2)}} - e^{-\frac{(i-(a+b)N^2(1-\gamma))^2(\theta-A)^2}{4\theta N^4(s_1 + s_2)}} \right) ds_1 ds_2,
\]

for \(i > j\) and \(k, \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, M\). We also define the renormalized quadratic variation

\[
\tilde{Q}_{N,M} := \sqrt{\frac{M}{2N}} \tilde{Q}_{N,M}.
\]

**Theorem 3.9.** Let \(M \in \mathbb{N}\). Then,

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \tilde{Q}_{ND} = 0,
\]

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \tilde{Q}_{N}^2 = \frac{2}{M}.
\]

For each \(N, M \geq 1\), there exists a constant \(C > 0\) such that

\[
d_{TV} \left( \tilde{Q}_{N,M}, \mathcal{N}(0, 1) \right) \leq \frac{C}{N}.
\]

**Proof.** The proof is postponed to Appendix A.1.

Finally, we are ready to give the main result of this section that shows that \(\tilde{\theta}_{N,M}^2/\mu\) and \(\tilde{\sigma}_{N,M}^2/\mu\) are weakly consistent and asymptotically normal estimators for \(\theta^2\) and \(\sigma^2\) respectively, assuming that the solution \(u\) is sampled on a discrete time-space grid.

**Theorem 3.10.** Assume that the solution \(u(t, x)\) is observed at the mesh points \(\tilde{\Upsilon}_{N,M}\). In addition, assume that \(a, b \in [0, 1]\), \(a + b > 0\) in (2.2). Then:

(i) given that \(\sigma\) is known,

\[
\mathbb{P} - \lim_{N \to \infty} \mu \tilde{\theta}_{N,M}^2 = \theta^2,
\]

\[
\text{w-} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sqrt{NM} \left( \mu \tilde{\theta}_{N,M}^2 - \theta^2 \right) = \mathcal{N}(0, 2\theta^4).
\]

(ii) given that \(\theta\) is known,

\[
\mathbb{P} - \lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 = \sigma^2,
\]

\[
\text{w-} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sqrt{NM} \left( \frac{1}{\mu} \tilde{\sigma}_{N,M}^2 - \sigma^2 \right) = \mathcal{N}(0, 2\sigma^4).
\]

With all technical results from this section already established, the proof of Theorem 3.10 is followed by analogous arguments as in the proof of Theorem 3.5. We skip the details here.
3.3 The case of bounded domain

The statistical analysis of (1.1) on bounded domain and with Dirichlet boundary conditions was initiated in [CKL20], which also served as a basis for this study. Generally speaking, all results on estimation of \( \theta \) and \( \sigma \) stated above for \( G = \mathbb{R} \) remain true for Dirichlet boundary problems on \( G = (0, \pi) \). Moreover, while fundamental solutions of the heat equation \( P \) and \( P^D \) in these two cases are substantially different, the methods of proofs developed in this paper for the unbounded domain in the nutshell remain the same and can be transferred to the case of bounded domain. For the sake of brevity we skip the (majority of) detailed proofs, which can be obtained from the authors upon request. To show an example of how to deal with the differences associated with Dirichlet boundary conditions, we will sketch the proof of (3.21) for \( u \) given by (1.1) with \( P = P^D \). The starting point is to note that in this case the mild solution \( u \) can be written as

\[
  u(t, x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{\sigma}{\theta} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1 - e^{-k^2\theta t}}{k^2} \sin(kx) \xi_k, \tag{3.26}
\]

where \( \{\xi_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \) is a sequence of independent and identically distributed standard normal random variables. We will prove (3.21), for \( u \) given by (3.26) when \( A = 0 \) and \( B = 1 \). As before, we let \( a, b \in [0, 1], a + b > 0 \) in (2.2).

Using the representation (3.26) and expanding \( \frac{1}{N^\gamma} \Delta u(t, x) \), after some elementary, albeit tedious, computations, we write

\[
  \mathbb{E} \left( \frac{1}{N^\gamma} u \left( t, x + \frac{1}{N} \right) - \frac{1}{N^\gamma} u(t, x) \right)^2 =: \hat{A}_1 + \hat{A}_2,
\]

where

\[
  \hat{A}_1 := 2\hat{B}_1(0) - 2\hat{B}_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} \right) + \hat{B}_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{(a + b)}{N^\gamma} \right) + \hat{B}_1 \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{(a + b)}{N^\gamma} \right),
\]

\[
  \hat{A}_2 := -\hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{2}{N} + \frac{2a}{N^\gamma} \right) + 2\hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{2a}{N^\gamma} \right) + 2\hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{2}{N} + \frac{(a - b)}{N^\gamma} \right) - 4\hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{1}{N} + \frac{(a - b)}{N^\gamma} \right)
\]

\[
- \hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{2}{N} - \frac{2b}{N^\gamma} \right) + 2\hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{1}{N} - \frac{2b}{N^\gamma} \right) - \hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{2a}{N^\gamma} \right) + 2\hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{a - b}{N^\gamma} \right) - \hat{B}_2 \left( \frac{b}{N^\gamma} \right),
\]

and

\[
  \hat{B}_1(z) = \hat{B}_1(N, t, z) := \frac{2a^2 N^{2\gamma}}{\pi(a + b)^2\theta^2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(1 - e^{-k^2\theta t})^2}{k^4} \cos(kz),
\]

\[
  \hat{B}_2(z) = \hat{B}_2(N, t, x, z) := \frac{\sigma^2 N^{2\gamma}}{\pi(a + b)^2\theta^2} \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(1 - e^{-k^2\theta t})^2}{k^4} \cos(k(2x + z)).
\]

Let

\[
  f(z) := \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{(1 - e^{-k^2\theta t})^2}{k^2} \cos(kz)
\]

\[
= \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\cos(kz)}{k^2} - 2 \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{e^{-k^2\theta t} \cos(kx)}{k^2} + \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{e^{-2k^2\theta t} \cos(kz)}{k^2}, \quad z \in (0, \pi).
\]
For each $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, the Taylor series of $\hat{B}_1(z)$ at $z = 0$ of order three gives that $\hat{A}_1 \simeq \frac{2\mu \sigma^2 f'(0)}{\pi \theta N^2}$, as $N \to \infty$, and by a similar argument $\hat{A}_2 \simeq \frac{1}{N^2}$, as $N \to \infty$. Since $f'(0) = \pi/2$, we achieve $\lim_{N \to \infty} N \mathbb{E} \left| \Delta \frac{1}{N^2} u \left( t, x + \frac{1}{N} \right) - \Delta \frac{1}{N^2} u(t, x) \right|^2 = \frac{\mu \sigma^2}{\theta^2}$. To conclude, all other results listed in Section 3.1 and Section 3.2 hold true and can be proved ‘by analogy’, up to technicalities due to the differences in the Green’s functions. In particular, as mentioned in the Introduction, Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.10 remain true regardless of the domain $G$ being an interval of whole space.

4 Numerical experiments

We consider the equation (1.1) on the bounded domain $G = [0, \pi]$ with zero boundary conditions, and with the following set of parameters: $\theta = 0.1$, $\sigma = 0.1$, $T = 1$. Recall that in this case the solution is given by (3.26), which we use for our numerical approximations of the solution at any space-time point. For all computations we take 10,000 Fourier coefficients or terms in the series appearing in (3.26), and the sampling domain $[A, B] = G$. We use the forward approximation scheme for (3.20), namely $a = 1$, $b = 0$. Note that in this case, the multiplicative bias $1/\mu = 3/2$.

In Figure 1 we display one sample path of the estimators $\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}$ from Theorem 3.5 (left panel) and $\sqrt{3/2}\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}$ from Theorem 3.10 (right panel). On the horizontal axis $N$ is equal to the number of points in the discretization of $(0, \pi)$. Crossed marked lines correspond to the estimators that use the values of $u_x$ or $u$ only at one time instance (i.e. $M = 1$), while the dotted lines depict the estimators that use data from $M = 50$ time points. The obtained results show that indeed $\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}$ and $\sqrt{3/2}\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}$ are consistent estimators of $\sigma$.

To validate the asymptotic normality of these estimators, we simulated 1,000 paths of the solution $u(t, x)$ at $t = 0.2$ and on a spatial grid with $N = 1,000$ points. We compute $\hat{\sigma}_{N,1}$ for each path. The normalized density of $\hat{\sigma}_{N,1}$ superposed on the theoretical limiting density is presented in Figure 2 (left panel). The corresponding Q-Q plot is displayed in the right panel of the same figure. We attribute the slight shift to the left of normalized histogram to the computational error. Overall, the obtained results validate the asymptotic normality of the $\hat{\sigma}_{N,M}$.

The numerical computations are performed using programing language Python. The source code is available from the authors upon request.

5 Future work and concluding remarks

An immediate future work is to investigate the extension of Theorem 3.5 and Theorem 3.10, when both $N, M \to \infty$, and with $T \to \infty$ or $T$ fixed. Similar to [CDVK19, BT19a], we conjecture that these theorems remain true, tentatively under some additional assumption on the rates of convergence of $N, M \to \infty$.

While in this work we rely on the special ‘white’ structure of the noise, that yielded a continuity of $u_x$ similar to that of a Brownian motion, it will be practically important and theoretically curious to consider ‘colored’ spatial noise. Such noise will change the regularity properties of the solution, and thus higher order derivatives and their approximations will enter the estimators. We believe

\footnote{Note that, since $a = 1, b = 0$, and thus the sampling is done on a uniform spatial grid, we essentially have that $\hat{\sigma}^2_{N,M} = \bar{\sigma}^2_{N,M}$, up to sampling the end mesh points which do not change the asymptotic results.}
that the methods used in this paper can be extended, via some inductive steps, to smoother solutions, albeit computing the exact bias will be one of the major challenges.

Finally, an important extension of the current work is to consider nonlinear counterparts of (1.1), which will contribute to the limited literature (cf. [CGH11, PS19] and references therein) on statistical inference for nonlinear SPDEs.

A Appendix

In this section we present the detailed proofs for most of the results in this paper, as well as some needed technical results. For reader’s convenience we will include some known results from Malliavin calculus. We start with a result from Section 2.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. Recall that for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h > 0$

$$u(t, x) = \sigma \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} P(s, x - y) \, dW(y) \, ds,$$

and

$$\Delta_h u(t, x) = \sigma \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta_h P(s, x - y) \, dW(y) \, ds.$$
Then, (2.3) follows immediately by Itô’s isometry and the semigroup property of $P$. As far as (2.5), we compute

\[
\mathbb{E}v(t, x) v(t', y) = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \int \mathbb{E} P_x(s_1, x - z) dW(z) ds_1 \right) \left( \int_0^{t'} \int \mathbb{E} P_x(s_2, y - z) dW(z) ds_2 \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{4\theta^2} \int_0^t \int_0^t \int \frac{(x-z)(y-z)}{s_1 s_2} P(s_1, x - z) P(s_2, y - z) \, dz \, ds_1 \, ds_2
\]

\[
= \frac{\sigma^2}{16\pi\theta^3} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1}{s_1^{3/2} s_2^{3/2}} \int \frac{(x-z)(y-z)}{s_1 s_2} \, dz \, ds_1 \, ds_2
\]

= \frac{\sigma^2}{16\pi\theta^3} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4\theta(s_1 + s_2)}}}{s_1^{3/2} s_2^{3/2}} \int \frac{(z^2 - (x-y)z)}{s_1 s_2} \, dz \, ds_1 \, ds_2.
\]

Using the mean and the variance of Gaussian random variables, we get

\[
\mathbb{E}v(t, x) v(t', y) = \frac{\sigma^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}\theta^{3/2}} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4\theta(s_1 + s_2)}}}{(s_1 + s_2)^{3/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{(x-y)^2}{2\theta(s_1 + s_2)} \right) \, dz \, ds_1 \, ds_2.
\]

Identity (2.4) is obtained by analogous evaluations:

\[
\mathbb{E} \Delta_h u(t, x) v(t', x) = \sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \int \Delta_h P(s_1, x-y) dW(y) ds_1 \right) \left( \int_0^{t'} \int \mathbb{E} P_x(s_2, x-y) dW(y) ds_2 \right)
\]

\[
= -\frac{\sigma^2}{2\theta(a+b)h} \int_0^t \int \int \frac{x-y}{s_2} (P(s_1, x-y + ah) - P(s_1, x-y - bh)) P(s_2, x-y) \, dy \, ds_1 \, ds_2
\]

\[
= -\frac{\sigma^2}{8\pi\theta^2(a+b)h} \int_0^t \int \int \frac{1}{s_1^{3/2} s_2^{3/2}} \int \frac{x-y}{s_2} \left[ e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4\theta s_1}} - e^{-\frac{(x-y)^2}{4\theta s_2}} \right] \, dy \, ds_1 \, ds_2
\]

\[
= -\frac{\sigma^2}{8\pi\theta^2(a+b)h} \int_0^t \int \int \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta^2}{s_1(s_1+s_2)}}}{s_1^{3/2} s_2^{3/2}} \int z \cdot e^{-\frac{s_1+s_2}{s_1+s_2} (z + \frac{ah}{s_1+s_2})^2} \, dz \, ds_1 \, ds_2
\]

+ \frac{\sigma^2}{8\pi\theta^2(a+b)h} \int_0^t \int \int \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta^2}{s_1(s_1+s_2)}}}{s_1^{3/2} s_2^{3/2}} \int z \cdot e^{-\frac{s_1+s_2}{s_1+s_2} (z - \frac{bh}{s_1+s_2})^2} \, dz \, ds_1 \, ds_2
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha\sigma^2}{4\sqrt{\pi}\theta^{3/2}(a+b)} \int_0^t \int \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta^2}{s_1(s_1+s_2)}}}{(s_1+s_2)^{3/2}} \, ds_1 \, ds_2 + \frac{\tau}{4\theta N^2} \int_0^t \int \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta N^2}{s_1(s_1+s_2)}}}{(s_1+s_2)^{5/2}} \, ds_1 \, ds_2.
\]

The proof is complete.

A.1 Proofs of results from Section 3

Without loss of generality, in all technical proofs in this section, we will set $A = 0$ and $B = 1$. That is, $0 = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_N = 1$ and $x_i = i/N$.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. By Lemma 2.1, for $t > 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $N \geq 1$,

\[
B_1 := \mathbb{E} \left| u_x \left( t, x + \frac{1}{N} \right) - u_x(t, x) \right|^2
\]

\[
= \frac{\tau}{2} \int_0^t \int_0^t \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{4\theta N^2(s_1+s_2)}}}{(s_1+s_2)^{3/2}} \, ds_1 \, ds_2 + \frac{\tau}{4\theta N^2} \int_0^t \int \frac{e^{-\frac{4\theta N^2(s_1+s_2)}}}{(s_1+s_2)^{5/2}} \, ds_1 \, ds_2.
\]
Consequently, by Lemma A.1, we get
\[
B_1 = -2\sqrt{2}\tau \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{8\theta N^2}}\right) + 4\sqrt{\tau} \left(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{4\theta N^2}}\right) + \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\theta N}} \int_{0}^{4tN^2\theta} e^{-\frac{s}{s^{3/2}}} ds - \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\theta N}} \int_{4tN^2\theta}^{8tN^2\theta} e^{-\frac{s}{s^{3/2}}} ds.
\] (A.1)

Since \(1 - e^{-\frac{\beta}{N^2}} \simeq \beta/N^2\), for \(\beta > 0\), as \(N \to \infty\), we continue
\[
NB_1 - \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{\theta}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{s^{3/2}}} ds \sim N^{-1}, \quad \text{as \(N \to \infty\).}
\]

Note that
\[
\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{s}{s^{3/2}}} ds = \int_{0}^{\infty} s^{-1/2}e^{-s} ds = \Gamma(1/2) = \sqrt{\pi},
\]
which implies that
\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\alpha} \left[NB_1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{\theta}\right] = 0
\]
for any \(\alpha < 1\). This completes the proof. \(\square\)

**Proof of identity (3.7).** As usual we denote by \(\text{erf}\) the error function
\[
\text{erf}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{0}^{x} e^{-y^2} dy.
\]

**Step I.** First we will prove that for a uniform partition \(\{x_i \mid i = 0, \ldots, N\} \subset [0,1]\), and \(N \in \mathbb{N}\), for any \(i > j\) and \(k, \ell = 1, 2, \ldots, M\), we have
\[
I := \mathbb{E}(u_x(t_k, x_i) - u_x(t_k, x_{i-1})) (u_x(t_\ell, x_j) - u_x(t_\ell, x_{j-1}))
\]
\[
= \tau \sqrt{t_\ell} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\theta t_\ell}} \left(1, \frac{i-j}{N}\right)\right) + \tau \sqrt{t_k} \varphi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\theta t_k}} \left(1, \frac{i-j}{N}\right)\right)
\]
\[
- \sqrt{t_\ell} + t_k \tau \frac{\varphi \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\theta t_\ell t_k}} \left(1, \frac{i-j}{N}\right)\right)}{2\sqrt{\theta}}
\]
\[
\phi_{c_1, c_2}(z, x) := 2e^{-c_2^2} - e^{-c(x+z)^2} - e^{-c(x-z)^2},
\] (A.3)
\[
\phi_{c_1, c_2}(z, x) := 2x \left(\text{erf} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{c_1}}\right) + \text{erf} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{c_2}}\right) - \text{erf} \left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{c_1 + c_2}}\right) - 1\right)
\]
\[
- (x + z) \left(\text{erf} \left(\frac{x + z}{\sqrt{c_1}}\right) + \text{erf} \left(\frac{x + z}{\sqrt{c_2}}\right) - \text{erf} \left(\frac{x + z}{\sqrt{c_1 + c_2}}\right) - 1\right)
\]
\[
- (x - z) \left(\text{erf} \left(\frac{x - z}{\sqrt{c_1}}\right) + \text{erf} \left(\frac{x - z}{\sqrt{c_2}}\right) - \text{erf} \left(\frac{x - z}{\sqrt{c_1 + c_2}}\right) - 1\right).
\] (A.4)

Indeed, since for \(i > j\), we have
\[
x_i - x_j = x_{i-1} - x_{j-1} = (i-j)/N, \quad x_i - x_{j-1} = (i-j+1)/N, \quad x_{i-1} - x_j = (i-j-1)/N.
\]
then, for \( i > j \), we obtain that
\[
I = \tau \int_{t_\ell}^{t_k} \int_{t_\ell}^{t_k} \left[ e^{-\frac{(i-j)^2}{4\theta N^2(s_1 + s_2)^3/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{(i-j)^2}{2\theta N^2(s_1 + s_2)} \right) - e^{-\frac{(i-j+1)^2}{4\theta N^2(s_1 + s_2)^3/2}} \left( 1 - \frac{(i-j+1)^2}{2\theta N^2(s_1 + s_2)} \right) \right] ds_1 ds_2
\]
\[
= 4\sqrt{t_\ell} e^{-\frac{c^2}{4\theta N^2 t_\ell}} + 4\sqrt{t_k} e^{-\frac{c^2}{4\theta N^2 t_k}} + 4\sqrt{t_\ell + t_k} e^{-\frac{c^2}{4\theta N^2(t_\ell + t_k)}}
\]

Next we note that for \( c, t_\ell, t_k, x \geq 0 \), in view of Lemma A.1, we get
\[
\int_{t_\ell}^{t_k} \left( s_1 + s_2 \right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{c^2}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 = 4\sqrt{\pi} \frac{(i-j)\tau}{\sqrt{\theta N}} \left( \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j}{2\sqrt{\theta t_\ell N}} \right) + \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j}{2\sqrt{\theta t_k N}} \right) - \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j}{2\sqrt{\theta (t_\ell + t_k) N}} \right) - 1 \right),
\]
\[
\int_{t_\ell}^{t_k} \left( s_1 + s_2 \right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{c^2}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 = 4\sqrt{\pi} \frac{(i-j+1)\tau}{2\sqrt{\theta N}} \left( \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j+1}{2\sqrt{\theta t_\ell N}} \right) + \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j+1}{2\sqrt{\theta t_k N}} \right) - \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j+1}{2\sqrt{\theta (t_\ell + t_k) N}} \right) - 1 \right),
\]
\[
\int_{t_\ell}^{t_k} \left( s_1 + s_2 \right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{c^2}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 = 4\sqrt{\pi} \frac{(i-j-1)\tau}{2\sqrt{\theta N}} \left( \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j-1}{2\sqrt{\theta t_\ell N}} \right) + \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j-1}{2\sqrt{\theta t_k N}} \right) - \text{erf} \left( \frac{i-j-1}{2\sqrt{\theta (t_\ell + t_k) N}} \right) - 1 \right).
\]

After combining the above, one gets (A.2).

**Step 2.** Let
\[
\Phi_N(i, t_\ell, t_k) := \tau \sqrt{t_\ell} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta t_\ell}} \left( \frac{1}{N}, \frac{i}{N} \right) + \tau \sqrt{t_k} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta t_k}} \left( \frac{1}{N}, \frac{i}{N} \right) - \sqrt{t_\ell + t_k} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta (t_\ell + t_k)}} \left( \frac{1}{N}, \frac{i}{N} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{\tau \sqrt{\pi}}{2\sqrt{\theta}} \Phi(\eta t_\ell, \eta t_k) \left( \frac{1}{N}, \frac{i}{N} \right),
\]
where \( \phi_c \) and \( \phi_{(c_1, c_2)} \) are defined in (A.3) and (A.4). Then, substituting (A.2) directly into the definition of \( Q_{ND} \), the identity (3.7) follows at one. The proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 3.4. By (3.6), and taking into account that $Q_{N,M} = \sqrt{M/2N}Q_{N,M}$, we deduce that Malliavin derivative $DQ_{N,M}$ is given by

$$DQ_{N,M} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2N}M} \sum_{j=1}^{M} (EU(t_j))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} I_{1i}^j (1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]}(1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]}).$$

Hence, by the product formula for multiple integrals, we deduce

$$\|DQ_{N,M}\|_H^2 = \frac{2}{NM} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{M} (EU(t_k)(EU(t_\ell))^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} I_{1i}^{k,\ell} (1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]}(1_{[x_{j-1},x_j]}))$$

$$\times \mathbb{E} \left( u_x(t_k, x_i) - u_x(t_k, x_{i-1}) \right) \left( u_x(t_\ell, x_j) - u_x(t_\ell, x_{j-1}) \right)$$

$$= \frac{2}{NM} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{M} (EU(t_k)(EU(t_\ell))^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} I_{1i}^{k,\ell} (1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]}(1_{[x_{j-1},x_j]}))$$

$$\times \mathbb{E} \left( u_x(t_k, x_i) - u_x(t_k, x_{i-1}) \right) \left( u_x(t_\ell, x_j) - u_x(t_\ell, x_{j-1}) \right) + \mathbb{E}\|DQ_{N,M}\|_H^2.$$

Let

$$R_{N,M} := \|DQ_{N,M}\|_H^2 - \mathbb{E}\|DQ_{N,M}\|_H^2$$

$$= \frac{2}{NM} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{M} (EU(t_k)(EU(t_\ell))^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^{N} I_{1i}^{k,\ell} (1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]}(1_{[x_{j-1},x_j]}))$$

$$\times \mathbb{E} \left( u_x(t_k, x_i) - u_x(t_k, x_{i-1}) \right) \left( u_x(t_\ell, x_j) - u_x(t_\ell, x_{j-1}) \right).$$

Then, in view of the above and by Theorem A.2, we have

$$d_{TV}(Q_{N,M}, N(0,1)) \leq C_1 \left( \mathbb{E}R_{N,M}^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{(A.6)}$$

for some $C_1 > 0$. Thus, we finally compute

$$\mathbb{E}R_{N,M}^2 = \frac{8}{N^2M^2} \sum_{i^*,j^*,k^*,\ell^*=1}^{i,j,k,\ell} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^{\infty} (EU(t_{i^*})(EU(t_{j^*})(EU(t_{k^*})(EU(t_{\ell^*}))^{-1})$$

$$\times \mathbb{E} \left( u_x(t_{i^*}, x_{i^*}) - u_x(t_{i^*}, x_{i^*-1}) \right) \left( u_x(t_{k^*}, x_{k^*}) - u_x(t_{k^*}, x_{k^*-1}) \right)$$

$$\times \mathbb{E} \left( u_x(t_{j^*}, x_{j^*}) - u_x(t_{j^*}, x_{j^*-1}) \right) \left( u_x(t_{\ell^*}, x_{\ell^*}) - u_x(t_{\ell^*}, x_{\ell^*-1}) \right)$$

$$\times \Phi_N(|i^* - k|, t_{i^*}, t_{k^*}) \Phi_N(|j^* - \ell|, t_{j^*}, t_{\ell^*}) \Phi_N(|i - j|, t_{i}, t_{j}) \Phi_N(|k - \ell|, t_{k}, t_{\ell}).$$

Note that

$$\mathbb{E}R_{N,M}^2 = \frac{8}{N^2M^2} \sum_{i^*,j^*,k^*,\ell^*=1}^{i,j,k,\ell} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=1}^{\infty} (EU(t_{i^*})(EU(t_{j^*})(EU(t_{k^*})(EU(t_{\ell^*}))^{-1})$$

$$\times \Phi_N(|i^* - k|, t_{i^*}, t_{k^*}) \Phi_N(|j^* - \ell|, t_{j^*}, t_{\ell^*}) \Phi_N(|i - j|, t_{i}, t_{j}) \Phi_N(|k - \ell|, t_{k}, t_{\ell}).$$

Since, by (3.11), for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, N$ and $k, \ell = 1, \ldots, M$,

$$\Phi_N(i, t_k, t_\ell) \leq \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{t_1N^2}} \left( \frac{(i + 1)^2}{t_1N^2} + 1 \right).$$
we immediately conclude that
\[ \mathbb{E} R_N^2 \leq \frac{C_3 M^2}{N^2}. \]

This, combined with (A.6) concludes the proof. \(\square\)

**Proof of Proposition 3.6.** By Lemma 2.1, for \( t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( N \geq 1 \), we get
\[
B_2 := \mathbb{E} \left| \frac{\Delta}{N^\gamma} \left( t, x + \frac{1}{N} \right) - \frac{\Delta}{N^\gamma} u(t, x) \right|^2
\]
\[
= \frac{2\tau \theta N^{2\gamma}}{(a+b)^2} \int_0^t \int_0^t (s_1 + s_2)^{-1/2} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{4\theta N^{2\gamma}(s_1 + s_2)}} \right) ds_1 ds_2
\]
\[
+ \frac{\tau \theta N^{2\gamma}}{(a+b)^2} \int_0^t \int_0^t (s_1 + s_2)^{-1/2} \left( e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{4\theta N^{2\gamma}(s_1 + s_2)}} - e^{-\frac{1}{4\theta N^{2\gamma}(s_1 + s_2)}} \right) ds_1 ds_2
\]
\[
+ \frac{\tau \theta N^{2\gamma}}{(a+b)^2} \int_0^t \int_0^t (s_1 + s_2)^{-1/2} \left( e^{-\frac{1}{4\theta N^{2\gamma}(s_1 + s_2)}} \right) ds_1 ds_2
\]
\[
= \tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_3. \tag{A.7}
\]

We deal with each term in (A.7) by applying consequently Lemma A.1, and write
\[
\tilde{A}_1 = \frac{8\tau \theta N^{2\gamma}}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{3/2} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{8\theta N^{2\gamma} t}} \right) - \frac{16\tau \theta N^{2\gamma}}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{3/2} \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{4\theta N^{2\gamma} t}} \right) - \frac{8\tau}{3} (2t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{8\theta N^{2\gamma} t}}
\]
\[
+ \frac{16\tau}{3} t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{4\theta N^{2\gamma} t}} + \frac{4\tau(a+b)}{3\sqrt{\theta N^\gamma}} \left[ \int_0^{4\theta t N^{2\gamma}} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2, \right. \tag{A.8}
\]
\[
\tilde{A}_2 = \frac{4\tau \theta N^{2\gamma}}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{3/2} \left( e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{8\theta N^{2\gamma} t}} - e^{-\frac{1}{8\theta N^{2\gamma} t}} \right) - \frac{8\tau \theta N^{2\gamma}}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{3/2} \left( e^{-\frac{(a+b)^2}{4\theta N^{2\gamma} t}} - e^{-\frac{1}{4\theta N^{2\gamma} t}} \right)
\]
\[
+ \frac{4\tau(1 + (a+b)N^{1-\gamma})^2 N^{2\gamma}-2}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{(1+(a+b)N^{1-\gamma})^2}} - \frac{4\tau N^{2\gamma}-2}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{8\theta N^{2\gamma} t}}
\]
\[
- \frac{8\tau(1 + (a+b)N^{1-\gamma})^2 N^{2\gamma}-2}{3(a+b)^2} t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{(1+(a+b)N^{1-\gamma})^2}} + \frac{8\tau N^{2\gamma}-2}{3(a+b)^2} t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{4\theta N^{2\gamma} t}}
\]
\[
- \frac{2\tau(1 + (a+b)N^{1-\gamma})^3}{3(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta N^{3-2\gamma}}} \left[ \int_0^{4\theta t N^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds - \int_0^{4\theta t N^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{2\tau}{3(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta N^{3-2\gamma}}} \left[ \int_0^{4\theta t N^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds - \int_0^{4\theta t N^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds \right]
\]
\[
- \frac{\tau(1 + (a+b)N^{1-\gamma})^3}{2(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta N^{3-2\gamma}}} \left[ \int_0^{4\theta t N^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds - \int_0^{4\theta t N^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds \right]
\]
\[
+ \frac{\tau}{2(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta N^{3-2\gamma}}} \left[ \int_0^{4\theta t N^2} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2, \right. \tag{A.9}
\]
and

\[ \tilde{A}_3 = \frac{4\tau \theta \gamma N^{2\gamma}}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{3/2} \left( e^{-\frac{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2}{80N^{4\gamma}}} - e^{-\frac{1}{80N^{4\gamma}}} \right) - \frac{8\tau \theta \gamma N^{2\gamma}}{3(a+b)^2} t^{3/2} \left( e^{-\frac{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2}{40N^{4\gamma}}} - e^{-\frac{1}{40N^{4\gamma}}} \right) \]

\[ + \frac{4\tau (1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2 N^{2\gamma-2}}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2}{80N^{4\gamma}}} - \frac{4\tau N^{2\gamma-2}}{3(a+b)^2} (2t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{80N^{4\gamma}}} \]

\[ - \frac{8\tau (1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2 N^{2\gamma-2}}{3(a+b)^2} t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2}{40N^{4\gamma}}} + \frac{8\tau N^{2\gamma-2}}{3(a+b)^2} t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{1}{40N^{4\gamma}}} \]

\[ - \frac{2\tau [1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma]^3}{3(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta} N^{3-2\gamma}} \left[ \int^s_{\gamma} \frac{8\theta t N^2}{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds - \int^0_0 \frac{4\theta t N^2}{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds \right] \]

\[ + \frac{2\tau}{3(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta} N^{3-2\gamma}} \left[ \int^0_0 \frac{8\theta t N^2}{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds - \int^0_0 \frac{4\theta t N^2}{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2} s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds \right] \]

\[ - \frac{\tau [1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma]^3}{2(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta} N^{3-2\gamma}} \int^s_{\gamma} \frac{4\theta t N^2}{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2} \left[ \int^0_0 \frac{4\theta t N^2}{(1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^2} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 \right] \]

\[ + \frac{\tau}{2(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta} N^{3-2\gamma}} \int_0^s \int_0^s (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2, \quad (A.10) \]

Using the asymptotics

\[ \left( 1 - e^{-\frac{a}{N}} \right) \simeq \alpha N^{-2}, \quad \left( e^{-\frac{a}{N}} - e^{-\frac{b}{N}} \right) \simeq (\beta - \alpha) N^{-2}, \]

with \( \beta > \alpha \), and sufficiently large \( N \), we continue

\[ B_2 \simeq \frac{1}{N^{2\gamma}} \left[ -\frac{4\tau (a+b)}{3\sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds + \tau (a+b) \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 \right] \]

\[ + \frac{1}{N^{3-2\gamma}} \left[ \frac{2\tau (1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^3}{3(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds + \frac{2\tau [1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma]^3}{3(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds \right] \]

\[ - \frac{\tau (1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma)^3}{2(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 \]

\[ - \frac{\tau [1-(a+b)N^1-\gamma]^3}{2(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 \]

\[ - \frac{4\tau}{3(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds + \frac{\tau}{(a+b)^2 \sqrt{\theta}} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 \] \], for large \( N \).

From here, using that

\[ \int_0^\infty s^{-3/2} e^{-1/s} ds = \sqrt{\pi} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 = \sqrt{\pi}, \]

the identity (3.21) follows.

Proof of Theorem 3.9. Without loss of generality, for computational simplicity, we assume that \( a + b = 1 \). Using Lemma A.1, split function \( F \) defined in (3.22) as

\[ F(i,t_k,t_\ell) = F_1(i,t_k,t_\ell) + F_2(i,t_k,t_\ell) + F_3(i,t_k,t_\ell) + F_4(i,t_k,t_\ell), \]
where

\[
F_1(i, t, k, t) = \frac{4}{3} (t_k + t\ell)^{3/2} \left[ 2e^{-\frac{t_k^2}{4\theta N^2(t_k + t)\ell}} - e^{-\frac{(t_k + N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2(t_k + t)\ell}} - \frac{(t - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2(t_k + t)\ell} \right] \\
- \frac{4}{3} t_{\ell}^{3/2} \left[ 2e^{-\frac{t_{\ell}^2}{4\theta N^2 t_k}} - e^{-\frac{(t - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_k}} - \frac{(t - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_k} \right] \\
- \frac{4}{3} \left( \frac{1}{t_{\ell}^2} - \frac{1}{4\theta N^2 t_k} \right) \left[ 2e^{-\frac{t_{\ell}^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} - e^{-\frac{(t - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} - \frac{(t - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}} \right]
\]

\[
F_2(i, t, k, t) = \frac{8i^2}{3\theta N^2} (t_k + t\ell)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{i^2}{4\theta N^2(t_k + t)\ell}} - \frac{4(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{3\theta N^2} (t_k + t\ell)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2(t_k + t)\ell}} \\
- \frac{4(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{3\theta N^2} (t_k + t\ell)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2(t_k + t)\ell}} - \frac{8i^2 t_{\ell}^{1/2}}{3\theta N^2} e^{-\frac{i^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} \\
+ \frac{4(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{3\theta N^2} t_{\ell}^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} + \frac{4(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{3\theta N^2} t_{\ell}^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} - \frac{8i^2 t_{\ell}^{1/2}}{3\theta N^2} e^{-\frac{i^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} \\
+ \frac{4(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{3\theta N^2} t_{\ell}^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} + \frac{4(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{3\theta N^2} t_{\ell}^{1/2} e^{-\frac{(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}} - \frac{8i^2 t_{\ell}^{1/2}}{3\theta N^2} e^{-\frac{i^2}{4\theta N^2 t_{\ell}}}
\]

\[
F_3(i, t, k, t) = -\frac{4i^3}{3\theta^2/2 N^3} \left[ \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{s^3} e^{-1/s} ds - \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{s} e^{-1/s} ds \right] \\
+ \frac{2(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^3}{3\theta^3/2 N^3} \left[ \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2} s - \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2} s e^{-1/s} ds \right] \\
+ \frac{2(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^3}{3\theta^3/2 N^3} \left[ \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2} s e^{-1/s} ds - \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2} s e^{-1/s} ds \right]
\]

\[
F_4(i, t, k, t) = \frac{i^3}{\theta^3/2 N^3} \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} e^{-1/s} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_2 ds_2 \\
+ \frac{2(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^3}{2\theta^3/2 N^3} \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{(i + N^{-1-\gamma})^2} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_2 ds_2 \\
+ \frac{2(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^3}{2\theta^3/2 N^3} \int_0^{t_k + t\ell} \frac{\theta N^2(t_k + t\ell)}{(i - N^{-1-\gamma})^2} (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1+s_2}} ds_2 ds_2.
\]

Similarly to (3.10), one can show that, for each \(i, k, \ell,\)

\[
|2F_j(i, t, k, t\ell) - F_j(i + 1, t, k, t\ell) - F_j(i - 1, t, k, t\ell)| \leq \frac{C_1}{N^{2\gamma+2}}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,
\]

which imply that

\[
|2F(i, t, k, t\ell) - F(i + 1, t, k, t\ell) - F(i - 1, t, k, t\ell)| \leq \frac{C_1}{N^{2\gamma+2}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\Theta}_N(i, t, k, t\ell) \leq \frac{C_2}{N^{2\gamma}}. \quad (A.11)
\]

Using these, (3.23) and (3.24) clearly follow.
Next we will prove (3.25), starting with computation of the Malliavin derivative of $\mathcal{D}\tilde{Q}_{N,M}$

$$
\mathcal{D}\tilde{Q}_{N,M} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{2NM}} \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_j) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i=2}^{N-1} \tilde{I}^I_j \left( 1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} \right) 1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]}.
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\| \mathcal{D}\tilde{Q}_{N,M} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \frac{2}{NM} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{M} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_k)\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{\ell}) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i,j=2}^{N-1} \tilde{I}^{I,k}_{1,i} \left( 1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} \right) \tilde{I}^{I,\ell}_{1,j} \left( 1_{[x_{j-1},x_j]} \right)
$$

$$
\times \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_k,x_i) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_k,x_{i-1}) \right) \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell},x_j) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell},x_{j-1}) \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{NM} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{M} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_k)\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{\ell}) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i,j=2}^{N-1} \tilde{I}^{I,k,\ell}_{2} \left( 1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} \otimes 1_{[x_{j-1},x_j]} \right)
$$

$$
\times \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_k,x_i) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_k,x_{i-1}) \right) \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell},x_j) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell},x_{j-1}) \right) + \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{D}\tilde{Q}_{N,M} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2.
$$

Then,

$$
\tilde{R}_{N,M} := \left\| \mathcal{D}\tilde{Q}_{N,M} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - \mathbb{E} \left\| \mathcal{D}\tilde{Q}_{N,M} \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2
$$

$$
= \frac{2}{NM} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^{M} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_k)\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{\ell}) \right)^{-1} \sum_{i,j=2}^{N-1} \tilde{I}^{I,k,\ell}_{2} \left( 1_{[x_{i-1},x_i]} \otimes 1_{[x_{j-1},x_j]} \right)
$$

$$
\times \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_k,x_i) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_k,x_{i-1}) \right) \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell},x_j) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell},x_{j-1}) \right),
$$

and

$$
\mathbb{E}\tilde{R}^2_{N,M} = \frac{8}{N^2M^2} \sum_{i',j',k',\ell'=1}^{M} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=2}^{N-1} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{i'})\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{j'})\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{k'})\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{\ell'}) \right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\times \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{i'},x_i) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{i'},x_{i-1}) \right) \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{k'},x_k) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{k'},x_{k-1}) \right)
$$

$$
\times \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{j'},x_j) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{j'},x_{j-1}) \right) \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell'},x_{\ell}) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell'},x_{\ell-1}) \right)
$$

$$
\times \mathbb{E} \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{k'},x_k) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{k'},x_{k-1}) \right) \left( \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell'},x_{\ell}) - \Delta_{\frac{1}{N\gamma}} u(t_{\ell'},x_{\ell-1}) \right)
$$

Consequently,

$$
\tilde{R}^2_{N,M} = \frac{8}{N^2M^2} \sum_{i',j',k',\ell'=1}^{M} \sum_{i,j,k,\ell=2}^{N-1} \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{i'})\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{j'})\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{k'})\mathbb{E}\tilde{U}(t_{\ell'}) \right)^{-1}
$$

$$
\times \Phi_N(|i-k|,t_{i'},t_{k'})\Phi_N(|j-l|,t_{j'},t_{\ell'})\Phi_N(|j-i|,t_{j'},t_{\ell'})\Phi_N(|k-l|,t_{k'},t_{j'}).
$$

Note that by (A.11), for each $i,k,\ell$,

$$
\Phi_N(i,t_k,t_\ell) \leq \frac{C_1}{N^2}, \quad \tilde{R}^2_{N,M} \leq \frac{C_2}{N^2},
$$

for some $C_1,C_2 > 0$. Therefore, in view of Theorem A.2,

$$
d_{TV} \left( \tilde{Q}_{N,M},\mathcal{N}(0,1) \right) \leq C_3 \left( \mathbb{E}\tilde{R}^2_{N,M} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{C_4}{N},
$$

for some $C_3,C_4 > 0$. This concludes the proof. □
A.2 Auxiliary results

Lemma A.1. Let $c, t, t' \geq 0$. Then,
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^t \int_0^{t'} (s_1 + s_2)^{-1/2} e^{-\frac{c}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 &= \frac{4}{3} \left( (t' + t)^{3/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t' + t}} - (t')^{3/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t'}} - t^{3/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t}} \right) \\
&+ \frac{16c}{3} \left( (t' + t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t' + t}} - (t')^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t'}} - t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t}} \right) - \frac{16c^{3/2}}{3} \left( \int_0^{t'} s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds - \int_0^t s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds \right) \\
&- 4c^{3/2} \int_0^{t'} \int_0^t (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2,
\end{align*}
\]

and
\[
\begin{align*}
\int_0^t \int_0^{t'} (s_1 + s_2)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{c}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2 &= 4 \left( (t')^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t'}} + t^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t}} - (t' + t)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{c}{t' + t}} \right) \\
&+ 4c^{1/2} \left( \int_0^{t'} s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds - \int_0^t s^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s}} ds \right) + 2c^{1/2} \int_0^{t'} \int_0^t (s_1 + s_2)^{-5/2} e^{-\frac{1}{s_1 + s_2}} ds_1 ds_2.
\end{align*}
\]

Proof. Both identities follow directly after integration by parts.

As already mentioned, proof of asymptotic normality of $Q_{N,M}$ and $\tilde{Q}_{N,M}$ relies on Stein’s method and Malliavin calculus. For brevity, we list here only two relevant results from this topic, and refer the reader to [Nua06, NP12] for details. Alternatively, the reader may see [CDVK19, Appendix B] for a comprehensive snapshot of elements of Malliavin calculus used in the current paper.

Theorem A.2. [NP12, Theorem 5.2.6] Let $\mathcal{U}$ be the canonical Hilbert space associated to a Gaussian process. Let $I_q(f)$ be a multiple integral of order $q \geq 2$ with respect to the Gaussian process. Assume that $\mathbb{E} \left[ I_q(f)^2 \right] = \sigma^2$. Then,
\[
d_{TV} \left( I_q(f), \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \right) \leq \frac{2}{\sigma^2} \left( \text{Var} \left[ \frac{1}{q} \| DI_q(f) \|_\mathcal{U}^2 \right] \right)^{1/2},
\]

where $d_{TV}$ is the total variation distance and $\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ is a Gaussian random variable with mean 0 and variance $\sigma^2$.

Theorem A.3. [NP12, Corollary 5.2.8] Let $F_N = I_q(f_N)$, $N \geq 1$, be a sequence of multiple integrals of order $q \geq 2$ with respect to a Gaussian process. Assume that $\mathbb{E} \left[ F_N^2 \right] \to \sigma^2 > 0$, as $N \to \infty$. Then, the following assertions are equivalent:

1. $\text{w-}\lim_{N \to \infty} F_N = \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$;
2. $\lim_{N \to \infty} d_{TV} \left( F_N, \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2) \right) = 0$.

References


Parameter estimation for SHE


