

T_d to $1T'$ structural phase transition in WTe_2 Weyl semimetal

Yu Tao,¹ John A. Schneeloch,¹ Adam A. Aczel,^{2,3} and Despina Louca^{1,*}

¹*Department of Physics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia 22904, USA*

²*Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831, USA*

³*Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996, USA*

Elastic neutron scattering on a single crystal combined with powder X-ray diffraction measurements were carried out to investigate how the crystal structure evolves as a function of temperature in the Weyl semimetal WTe_2 . A sharp transition from the low-temperature orthorhombic phase (T_d) to the high-temperature monoclinic phase ($1T'$) was observed at ambient pressure in the single crystal near ~ 565 K. Unlike in $MoTe_2$, the solid-solid transition from T_d to $1T'$ occurs without the cell doubling of the intermediate T_d^* phase with AABB (or ABBA) layer stacking. In powders however, the thermal transition from the T_d to the $1T'$ phase was broadened and a two phase coexistence was observed until 700K, well above the structural transition.

I. INTRODUCTION

Transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) have attracted considerable attention recently because of their intriguing electronic band structure properties that render them hosts to exotic quasiparticles. $MoTe_2$ and WTe_2 are reported to be type-II Weyl semimetals in the orthorhombic T_d phase [1, 2] due to spatial inversion symmetry breaking, and both show a large non-saturating magnetoresistance [3–5]. They are layered structures, held together by van der Waals forces, and can undergo multiple solid-solid transitions through the sliding of layers [6, 7]. Upon quenching from high temperatures, the monoclinic phase, $1T'$, was first shown to be stabilized in $MoTe_2$, from which the low-temperature orthorhombic phase (T_d) emerges. The high-temperature monoclinic phase [6] and the low-temperature orthorhombic phase differ in their layer stacking. In WTe_2 , on the other hand, only the T_d phase has been reported at ambient pressure, and the $1T'$ phase has been theoretically predicted to be absent up to at least 500 K [8]. Application of external pressure, however, leads to a T_d to $1T'$ phase transition that commences around 6.0 GPa [9].

The $1T'$ crystal structure is shown in Fig. 1(a), projected in the a - c plane. Layer stacking follows two possible ordering schemes, with stacking types labeled “A” and “B” (Fig. 1(b)) [10, 11]. The T_d phase is constructed by stacking either AAAA... or BBBB... sequences, while the $1T'$ is built by stacking ABAB... or BABA... layers. We recently reported that an intermediate pseudo-orthorhombic T_d^* phase appears across the transition boundary between T_d and $1T'$, with an AABB... (or ABBA...) layer stacking in $MoTe_2$. The T_d^* phase is only observed upon warming, while on cooling, diffuse scattering is seen, most likely arising from a frustrated tendency towards the T_d^* stacking order. [11, 12]. Regardless of A- or B-type stacking, all pairs of neighboring layers are positioned relative to each other in essentially the same

way, which can be captured by an in-plane displacement parameter δ [13], as shown in Fig. 1(a). We define δ as the distance along the a -axis between the midpoints of metal-metal bonds of neighboring layers; this definition is uniquely defined for both $1T'$ (where it is related to the β angle) and T_d .

With W substitution as in $Mo_{1-x}W_xTe_2$, the $1T'$ to T_d structural transition temperature increases up until $x \approx 0.57$ [14]. However, it is not known at present whether this transition occurs at ambient pressure at the other end of the phase diagram with $x = 1$ as in WTe_2 . A pressure-driven T_d - $1T'$ structural transition has been reported to appear at 4 - 5 GPa [15], at 8 GPa [16], and in a broad range from 6.0 to 18.2 GPa, during which a volume collapse with dramatic changes in the lattice constants was observed [9]. In $MoTe_2$, pressure suppresses the temperature of the $1T'$ - T_d transition, and extinguishes it by ~ 1.2 GPa [12, 17, 18], though dramatic changes in the lattice constants between the phases have not been reported. Nonetheless, the presence of a transition in WTe_2 under pressure, as well as the trend of increasing T_d - $1T'$ transition temperature with W-substitution in the $Mo_{1-x}W_xTe_2$ [14, 19–21] phase diagram suggest the possibility of an ambient-pressure transition at high temperatures.

Using elastic neutron scattering, we observed the T_d - $1T'$ structural phase transition at ambient pressure in a single crystal of WTe_2 . The transition is sharp, occurs at ~ 565 K, and proceeds without hysteresis. No intermediate phase is present across the phase boundary in WTe_2 , in contrast to the T_d^* phase seen in $MoTe_2$. From powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) however, the transition appears broad and incomplete up to 700 K, with phase coexistence across a wide temperature range.

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The WTe_2 single crystals were grown out of a Te flux. First, WTe_2 powder was prepared from stoichiometric ratios of W and Te powders. The sintering was done in an evacuated quartz silica ampoule at 900 °C for 2

* Corresponding author; louca@virginia.edu

days. The sintered powder was then pressed into a pellet and sealed with excess Te in a molar ratio of 1:13. The ampoule was placed horizontally in a tube furnace and heated at a constant temperature of 850 °C for 7 days. Excess Te was removed by re-inserting one end of the ampoule into a tube furnace at ~ 900 °C and decanting the molten Te towards the cold end. For XRD, powder was sintered as described above.

Resistivity measurements under magnetic fields of 0 and 9 T are shown in Fig. 1(c). The residual resistivity ratio (RRR) from the 0 T data is calculated to be $\sim 118(3)$. Our WTe_2 crystals also have a large magnetoresistance, with a magnitude of 51,553% at 2 K under a 9 T magnetic field. These values are reasonably high [22], though higher values have been reported in the literature, such as an RRR of ~ 370 and a magnetoresistance of 452,700% at 4.5 K in an applied field of 14.7 T [4].

Elastic neutron scattering was performed on the triple axis spectrometer HB1A, located at the High Flux Isotope Reactor at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL). The elastic measurements used an incident neutron energy of 14.6 meV and the collimation was 40'-40'-S-40'-80'. The crystal was mounted to an aluminum plate via aluminum wire, and a furnace was used to control the temperature. Powder XRD measurements were collected as a function of temperature between 300 K and 700 K. Rietveld refinement was done using the GSAS-II software [23]. In this paper, we use atomic coordinates based on an orthorhombic unit cell (unless otherwise noted) with $b < a < c$ (i.e., $a \approx 6.28$ Å, $b \approx 3.496$ Å, and $c \approx 14.07$ Å).

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Shown in Figs. 1(d,e) are intensity maps which combine elastic neutron scattering scans along the $(2,0,L)$ at a sequence of temperatures on warming from 510 to 610 K, then cooling. A clear T_d - $1T'$ transition can be seen from changes in the Bragg peaks, which occur without the diffuse scattering seen in MoTe_2 [11]. At low temperatures, the $(202)_{T_d}$ and $(203)_{T_d}$ Bragg peaks are observed. On warming, a structural phase transition into the $1T'$ phase is observed at ~ 565 K, followed by $1T'$ phase peaks appearing near $L \approx 2.2$ and 2.8. The calculated volume fractions of the $1T'$ twins are around 48% and 52%. Unlike the appearance of the T_d^* phase in MoTe_2 , there is no intermediate phase present in the transition in WTe_2 .

In Fig. 1(f), the intensities of the $(203)_{T_d}$ and $(203)_{1T'}$ peaks, obtained from fits to scans along $(2,0,L)$, are plotted as a function of temperature on warming and cooling through the hysteresis loop. The transition in WTe_2 is quite sharp (mostly complete within ~ 10 K) with very little hysteresis, as seen from the overlap of the warming and cooling curves. In contrast, MoTe_2 has a broader transition of tens of Kelvins, with a lingering hysteresis in the resistivity that can persist hundreds of Kelvins away




Figure 1. (a) The crystal structure of $1T'$ - $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$ projected in the a - c plane. (b) Stacking sequences for the T_d and $1T'$ phases of WTe_2 . (c) Temperature and field dependence of resistivity in WTe_2 , for current along the b -direction and $H \parallel c$. The relative error of each data point is ~ 0.001 . (d,e) Scans of neutron scattering intensity along $(2,0,L)$ collected on a single crystal of WTe_2 on cooling and warming. The Bragg peak labelled D1 and D2 refer to the two $1T'$ twins. (f) Intensity as a function of temperature of $(203)_{T_d}$ and $(203)_{1T'}$, obtained from fits of scans along $(2,0,L)$. (inset of (f)) The temperature dependence of the interlayer spacing, obtained from fits to longitudinal scans along (004) .

from the transition region [11]; even the $T_d \rightarrow T_d^* \rightarrow T_d$ loop in MoTe_2 , which proceeds much more sharply than the transition between T_d and $1T'$, still has a hysteresis of ~ 5 K [11]. Although structural phase transitions are often accompanied by anomalies in the lattice constants, no change in the interlayer spacing was observed in the

inset of Fig. 1(f), in contrast to the abrupt changes seen under pressure for the lattice constants [9]. The a -axis did not change dramatically either, given the similar intensities of $(2, 0, L)$ scans which were performed across the transition without re-alignment.




Figure 2. (a,b) A plot of the X-ray diffraction pattern compared to the refined model for the average symmetry of powder WTe_2 , collected at 300 K and 700 K on warming. Pure Te Bragg peaks are observed at 700 K. (c-h) Diffraction data plotted in a narrow range (blue dashed lines) for 300 K (c-e) and 700 K (f-h) for the $(0,0,2)$ peak (c,f) and two other peaks. The red curves correspond to the calculated intensity for the T_d phase or a T_d - $1T'$ phase coexistence, respectively. (i) The volume fractions of the T_d and $1T'$ phases as a function of temperature. (j) Simulated XRD intensities for T_d ($p = 0$), $1T'$ ($p = 1$) and disordered stacking ($0 < p < 1$), intermediate between T_d and $1T'$, for the same regions as (d,g). p is the probability of a randomly swap of A with B-type stacking for every other interlayer boundary in the T_d AAAA... stacking.

In contrast to the clean transition seen in the single crystal, we observe a broad T_d - $1T'$ transition in powder WTe_2 on warming to 700 K. XRD patterns at 300 and 700 K are shown in Figs. 2(a,b). At 300 K, peaks from




Figure 3. (a) The temperature dependence of the δ parameter of WTe_2 from powder XRD (black) and single crystal neutron diffraction (SCND) (red) measured on HB1A. (b-d) The temperature dependence of the lattice constants a , b , and c . The error bars for the points in (a-d) are smaller than the symbols except for the XRD δ points in 3(a).

the T_d phase can be seen, as well as an impurity WO_2 phase having weight percent 5.1(1)%. At 700 K, the pattern can be better fit by a combination of T_d and $1T'$, as depicted in Figs. 2(c-h). The WO_2 impurity phase was still present at 700 K. Peaks belonging to Te arose, first observable around 600 K, and reaching a weight percent of 8.13(17)% by 700 K. These Te peaks suggest the decomposition of WTe_2 , though refinement suggested no Te vacancies; a refinement of 700 K data with the occupancies of all Te atoms in T_d - and $1T'$ - WTe_2 fixed to a single value yielded a composition of $WTe_{2.016(18)}$. Though decomposition implies that elemental W should be present, no W peaks were seen.

When fitting both T_d and $1T'$ to the 700 K data, we first allowed the lattice constants of both phases to vary, then kept the $1T'$ lattice constants fixed to be consistent with those found in the T_d refinement; the monoclinic tilting angle β was fixed to a value corresponding to the δ parameter derived from the refined T_d phase atomic coordinates. With these assumptions, the T_d phase can be seen (in Fig. 2(d,e) and Fig. 2(g,h)) to have its peaks split into those of $1T'$, though the resulting intensity is broader than expected for a simple combination of peak intensities from the two phases. If the broadening were due to a spread of lattice constants induced by decomposition, we might expect the $(00L)$ peaks to also be broadened, whereas these peak intensities should not change for changes in stacking (even for disordered stackings) since the $(00L)$ peak intensities only depend on atomic positions along the out-of-plane axis. We indeed see a lack of broadening of the (002) peak in Figures 2(c) and 2(f), suggesting that the change in peak intensity is consistent with changes in stacking. The relative volume fractions for the $1T'$ and T_d contributions are shown in Fig. 2(i). The transition in the WTe_2 powder is much broader than in the single crystal, beginning between 500 and 600 K, and steadily increasing up to at least 700 K.

Disordered stacking likely accounts for the extra intensity between the T_d and $1T'$ Bragg peaks. To illustrate,

we show simulated XRD patterns from disordered stacking sequences progressing from T_d to $1T'$ in Fig. 2(j). While a variety of disordered stackings are conceivable, we used a simple model which was used to analyze diffuse scattering in MoTe_2 [10]. We start from the T_d structure with AAAA... stacking, then randomly swap “A” with “B”-type stacking with probability p for every other interlayer boundary; thus, $p = 0$ corresponds to T_d , and $p = 1$ corresponds to $1T'$ with ABAB... stacking. The diffuse scattering can then be obtained from the structure factor of the Bragg peaks from a very large supercell. Fig. 2(j) shows simulated patterns for selected p for a 1000-layer supercell. Increasing p results in a steady shift of intensity toward the locations of the $1T'$ peaks. Though the intensity is peaked, even for intermediate p , a broader intensity distribution could result from inhomogeneity in the values of p , or from a more complex model of stacking disorder.

An essential parameter characterizing the $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$ structure is the δ parameter, which characterizes in-plane positioning of neighboring layers. From the refined coordinates of the T_d phase XRD data, we obtained δ as a function of temperature (Fig. 3(a).) The δ parameter decreases by ~ 0.007 from 300 to 600 K, which is very similar to the decrease in $\text{Mo}_{0.91}\text{W}_{0.09}\text{Te}_2$ (~ 0.006 from 320 to 600 K.) For the $1T'$ phase in the single crystal, we can obtain δ from the separation between opposite-twin $1T'$ peaks, yielding $0.5482(3)$ at 610 K (and a monoclinic β angle of $92.456(17)^\circ$.) This latter value is probably more reliable than those from powder refinement, which may be more insidiously affected by systematic errors due to the indirect nature of obtaining positions from Bragg peak intensities. Nevertheless, a rough agreement for δ is found between values found from the T_d -phase powder refinement and from the $1T'$ peak splitting in the single crystal, as seen in Fig. 3(a). The refined T_d -phase lattice parameters are shown in Fig. 3(b-d). Aside from a possible anomaly near 700 K, which may be related to the decomposition that results in the Te phase, or to the difficulty in getting uniquely fitted lattice constants in the presence of stacking disorder, we see the expected thermal expansion for a , b , and c .

Our finding of a T_d - $1T'$ structural phase transition in WTe_2 suggests that theories of the transition be revisited. Although the relative stability of $1T'$ over T_d in MoTe_2 at higher temperature has been supported by density functional theory calculations [8, 18], WTe_2 is predicted not to have a transition up to 500 K, and likely much higher [8]. In MoTe_2 , the preference for $1T'$ at high temperature is attributed to the phonon entropy contribution (with a lack of soft mode behavior noted) [18], and more accurate calculations may suggest a similar reason for the existence of $1T'$ in WTe_2 . However, there are two theoretical obstacles. First, there is the inherent difficulty in reliably calculating the very small differences in free energy between phases like $1T'$ and T_d . Second, beyond the relative stability of $1T'$ and T_d , to our knowledge no the-

oretical attempts have been made to explain the details of the transition, including the existence/absence of a hysteresis, presence of T_d^* on warming, stacking disorder in other parts of the transition, gradual disappearance of stacking disorder on warming/cooling away from the transition, etc. [11]. Interestingly, the calculations in Ref. [8] show a lack of an energy barrier in WTe_2 between $1T'$ and T_d , in contrast to MoTe_2 , which may be related to the lack of hysteresis in WTe_2 but not in MoTe_2 . However, other factors, such as increased thermal energy facilitating layer movement, may play a role as well.

The structural trends shown in our data place constraints on theoretical models for the transition. We observed no detectable change in the interlayer spacing across the transition, similar to the negligible change seen in other $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$ crystals [13]. (Kinks in interlayer spacing vs. temperature have been seen in some $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$ crystals, but may be due to slight misalignment accompanying the transition [13].) This finding highlights the similarities between the phases, expected since they have nearly identical layers that are positioned relative to neighboring layers in nearly symmetry-equivalent ways. Such similarities may make sufficiently accurate calculations difficult, with subtle effects such as spin-orbit coupling contributing non-negligibly to the layer spacing [8]. Another structural factor to be considered is the dependence of the δ parameter on composition and temperature, though these trends are less constraining. Theory already appears to be consistent with the decrease in δ with W-substitution, with calculated values of $\delta = 0.540$ for WTe_2 vs. $\delta = 0.564$ for MoTe_2 (as extracted from calculated $1T'$ lattice constants), and experimental values of 0.552 for our powder T_d - WTe_2 data vs. $\delta = 0.574$ reported for $1T'$ - MoTe_2 [18] (both at 300 K.) The similarity in the temperature-dependence of WTe_2 and $\text{Mo}_{0.91}\text{W}_{0.09}\text{Te}_2$ [13] suggests that these compositions have a similar anharmonicity in the interlayer potential, despite the difference in δ .

There are several possible explanations for the broadness of the transition in WTe_2 powder as compared to single crystals. First, Te vacancies may be responsible, as they have been proposed to broaden the transition in MoTe_{2-z} crystals [24]. We would expect that powder would have more decomposition than a single crystal due to a greater surface area to volume ratio. However, XRD refinement of the WTe_2 powder showed no evidence of Te vacancies. The inaccuracy in the Te occupancy is likely due to difficulties in fitting Bragg peaks when the disordered stacking is present. A second possibility is that the transition is broadened in the small crystallites of a powder sample. In thin MoTe_2 crystals (hundreds of nm or less) the transition is known to be broadened or suppressed completely [25–27]. Third, there are likely more defects in powder, induced during sintering or grinding. Defects may frustrate layer sliding, and the presence of grain boundaries and interparticle strain would frustrate the shape change accompanying each grain’s orthorhombic-to-monoclinic transition. A

better understanding of non-ideal behavior, such as that of powder, may help in realizing the potential of stacking changes to influence properties in quasi-two-dimensional materials.

IV. CONCLUSION

Using elastic neutron scattering on a single crystal and XRD on a powder sample of WTe_2 , we observed a T_d - $1T'$ structural phase transition in the Weyl semimetal WTe_2 at ambient pressure. In the crystal, the transition occurs at ~ 565 K without hysteresis, but in the powder, the transition is broadened and incomplete up to 700 K. Our results place constraints on theories of the structural

behavior of $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$, which thus far have not predicted a transition in WTe_2 .

Note added. During the review of this paper, a study reporting a structural phase transition in WTe_2 at 613 K at ambient pressure was published [28].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been supported by the Department of Energy, Grant number DE-FG02-01ER45927. A portion of this research used resources at the High Flux Isotope Reactor, which is DOE Office of Science User Facilities operated by Oak Ridge National Laboratory.

-
- [1] K. Deng, G. Wan, P. Deng, K. Zhang, S. Ding, E. Wang, M. Yan, H. Huang, H. Zhang, Z. Xu, J. Denlinger, A. Fedorov, H. Yang, W. Duan, H. Yao, Y. Wu, S. Fan, H. Zhang, X. Chen, and S. Zhou, "Experimental observation of topological Fermi arcs in type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe_2 ," *Nat. Phys.* **12**, 1105–1110 (2016).
 - [2] Y. Wu, D. Mou, N. H. Jo, K. Sun, L. Huang, S. L. Budko, P. C. Canfield, and A. Kaminski, "Observation of Fermi arcs in the type-II Weyl semimetal candidate WTe_2 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 121113 (2016).
 - [3] J. Yang, J. Colen, J. Liu, M. C. Nguyen, G. W. Chern, and D. Louca, "Elastic and electronic tuning of magnetoresistance in MoTe_2 ," *Sci. Adv.* **3**, eaao4949 (2017).
 - [4] M. N. Ali, J. Xiong, S. Flynn, J. Tao, Q. D. Gibson, L. M. Schoop, T. Liang, N. Haldolaarachchige, M. Hirschberger, N. P. Ong, and R. J. Cava, "Large, non-saturating magnetoresistance in WTe_2 ," *Nature* **514**, 205–208 (2014).
 - [5] P. L. Cai, J. Hu, L. P. He, J. Pan, X. C. Hong, Z. Zhang, J. Zhang, J. Wei, Z. Q. Mao, and S. Y. Li, "Drastic Pressure Effect on the Extremely Large Magnetoresistance in WTe_2 : Quantum Oscillation Study," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 057202 (2015).
 - [6] R. Clarke, E. Marseglia, and H. P. Hughes, "A low-temperature structural phase transition in β - MoTe_2 ," *PHILOS MAG Part B* **38**, 121–126 (1978).
 - [7] B. E. Brown, "The crystal structures of WTe_2 and high-temperature MoTe_2 ," *Acta Crystallogr* **20**, 268–274 (1966).
 - [8] H. J. Kim, S. H. Kang, I. Hamada, and Y. W. Son, "Origins of the structural phase transitions in MoTe_2 and WTe_2 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **95**, 180101 (2017).
 - [9] Y. Zhou, X. Chen, N. Li, R. Zhang, X. Wang, C. An, Y. Zhou, X. Pan, F. Song, B. Wang, W. Yang, Z. Yang, and Y. Zhang, "Pressure-induced T_d to $1T'$ structural phase transition in WTe_2 ," *AIP Adv.* **6**, 075008 (2016).
 - [10] J. A. Schneeloch, C. Duan, J. Yang, J. Liu, X. Wang, and D. Louca, "Emergence of topologically protected states in the MoTe_2 Weyl semimetal with layer-stacking order," *Phys. Rev. B* **99**, 161105 (2019).
 - [11] Y. Tao, J. A. Schneeloch, C. Duan, M. Matsuda, S. E. Dissanayake, A. A. Aczel, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, F. Ye, and D. Louca, "Appearance of a T_d^* phase across the T_d - $1T'$ phase boundary in the Weyl semimetal MoTe_2 ," *Phys. Rev. B* **100**, 100101 (2019).
 - [12] S. Dissanayake, C. Duan, J. Yang, J. Liu, M. Matsuda, C. Yue, J. A. Schneeloch, J. C. Y. Teo, and D. Louca, "Electronic band tuning under pressure in MoTe_2 topological semimetal," *npj Quantum Mater.* **4**, 1–7 (2019).
 - [13] John A. Schneeloch, Yu Tao, Chunruo Duan, Masaaki Matsuda, Adam A. Aczel, Jaime A. Fernandez-Baca, Guangyong Xu, Jrg C. Neuefeind, Junjie Yang, and Despina Louca, "Evolution of the structural transition in $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$," arXiv:2003.08531 [cond-mat] (2020), arXiv: 2003.08531.
 - [14] X. J. Yan, Y. Y. Lv, L. Li, X. Li, S. H. Yao, Y. B. Chen, X. P. Liu, H. Lu, M. H. Lu, and Y. F. Chen, "Composition dependent phase transition and its induced hysteretic effect in the thermal conductivity of $\text{W}_x\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{Te}_2$," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **110**, 211904 (2017).
 - [15] P. Lu, J. S. Kim, J. Yang, H. Gao, J. Wu, D. Shao, B. Li, D. Zhou, J. Sun, D. Akinwande, D. Xing, and J. F. Lin, "Origin of superconductivity in the Weyl semimetal WTe_2 under pressure," *Phys. Rev. B* **94**, 224512 (2016).
 - [16] J. Xia, D. F. Li, J. D. Zhou, P. Yu, J. H. Lin, J. L. Kuo, H. B. Li, Z. Liu, J. X. Yan, and Z. X. Shen, "Pressure-Induced Phase Transition in Weyl Semimetallic WTe_2 ," *Small* **13**, 1701887 (2017).
 - [17] Y. Qi, G. Naumov, M. N. Ali, C. R. Rajamathi, W. Schnelle, O. Barkalov, M. Hanfland, S. C. Wu, C. Shekhar, Y. Sun, V. Sss, M. Schmidt, U Schwarz, E. Pippel, P. Werner, R. Hillebrand, T. Frster, E. Kampert, S. Parkin, R. J. Cava, C. Felser, B. Yan, and S. A. Medvedev, "Superconductivity in Weyl semimetal candidate MoTe_2 ," *Nat. Commun.* **7** (2016), 10.1038/ncomms11038.
 - [18] C. Heikes, I. L. Liu, T. Metz, C. Eckberg, P. Neves, Y. Wu, L. Hung, P. Piccoli, H. Cao, J. Leao, J. Paglione, T. Yildirim, N. P. Butch, and W. Ratcliff, "Mechanical control of crystal symmetry and superconductivity in Weyl semimetal MoTe_2 ," *Phys. Rev. Mater.* **2**, 074202 (2018).
 - [19] S. M. Oliver, R. Beams, S. Krylyuk, I. Kalish, A. K. Singh, A. Bruma, T. Francesca, J. Joshi, I. R. Stone, S. J. Stranick, A. V. Davydov, and P. M. Vora, "The structural phases and vibrational properties of $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$

- alloys,” 2D Mater. **4**, 045008 (2017).
- [20] Y. Y. Lv, L. Cao, X. Li, B. B. Zhang, K. Wang, B. Pang, L. Ma, D. Lin, S. H. Yao, J. Zhou, Y. B. Chen, S. T. Dong, W. Liu, M. H. Lu, Y. Chen, and Y. F. Chen, “Composition and temperature-dependent phase transition in miscible $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$ single crystals,” Sci. Rep. **7**, srep44587 (2017).
- [21] D. Rhodes, D. A. Chenet, B. E. Janicek, C. Nyby, Y. Lin, W. Jin, D. Edelberg, E. Mannebach, N. Finney, A. Antony, T. Schiros, T. Klarr, A. Mazzoni, M. Chin, Y. C. Chiu, W. Zheng, Q. R. Zhang, F. Ernst, J. I. Dadap, X. Tong, J. Ma, R. Lou, S. Wang, T. Qian, H. Ding, R. M. Osgood, D. W. Paley, A. M. Lindenberg, P. Y. Huang, A. N. Pasupathy, M. Dubey, J. Hone, and L. Balicas, “Engineering the Structural and Electronic Phases of MoTe_2 through W Substitution,” Nano Lett. **17**, 1616–1622 (2017).
- [22] Y.-Y. Lv, B.-B. Zhang, X. Li, B. Pang, F. Zhang, D.-J. Lin, J. Zhou, S.-H. Yao, Y. B. Chen, S.-T. Zhang, M. Lu, Z. Liu, Y. Chen, and Y.-F. Chen, “Dramatically decreased magnetoresistance in non-stoichiometric WTe_2 crystals,” Scientific Reports **6**, 26903 (2016).
- [23] B. H. Toby and R. B. Von Dreele, “GSAS-II: the genesis of a modern open-source all purpose crystallography software package,” J. Appl. Crystallogr. **46**, 544–549 (2013).
- [24] S. Cho, S. H. Kang, H. S. Yu, H. W. Kim, W. Ko, S. W. Hwang, W. H. Han, D. H. Choe, Y. H. Jung, K. J. Chang, Y. H. Lee, H. Yang, and S. W. Kim, “Te vacancy-driven superconductivity in orthorhombic molybdenum ditelluride,” 2D Mater. **4**, 021030 (2017).
- [25] R. He, S. Zhong, H. H. Kim, G. Ye, Z. Ye, L. Winford, D. McHaffie, I. Rilak, F. Chen, X. Luo, Y. Sun, and A. W. Tsen, “Dimensionality-driven orthorhombic MoTe_2 at room temperature,” Phys. Rev. B **97**, 041410 (2018).
- [26] S. Zhong, A. Tiwari, G. Nichols, F. Chen, X. Luo, Y. Sun, and A. W. Tsen, “Origin of magnetoresistance suppression in thin γ - MoTe_2 ,” Phys. Rev. B **97**, 241409 (2018).
- [27] C. Cao, X. Liu, X. Ren, X. Zeng, K. Zhang, D. Sun, S. Zhou, Y. Wu, Y. Li, and J. H. Chen, “Barkhausen effect in the first order structural phase transition in type-II Weyl semimetal MoTe_2 ,” 2D Mater. **5**, 044003 (2018).
- [28] R. Dahal, L. Z. Deng, N. Poudel, M. Gooch, Z. Wu, H. C. Wu, H. D. Yang, C. K. Chang, and C. W. Chu, “Tunable structural phase transition and superconductivity in the Weyl semimetal $\text{Mo}_{1-x}\text{W}_x\text{Te}_2$,” Phys. Rev. B **101**, 140505 (2020).