arXiv:2003.09777v2 [math.AT] 7 Jul 2020

PROPER ACTIONS AND DECOMPOSITIONS IN EQUIVARIANT K-THEORY

ANDRÉS ANGEL, EDWARD BECERRA, AND MARIO VELÁSQUEZ

The second author dedicates this paper to Vi.

Abstract. In this paper we study a natural decomposition of G-equivariant K-theory of a proper G-space, when G is a Lie group with a compact normal subgroup A acting trivially. Our decomposition could be understood as a generalization of the theory known as Mackey machine under suitable hypotheses, since it decomposes G-equivariant K-theory in terms of twisted equivariant K-theory groups respect to some subgroups of G/A. Similar decompositions were known for the case of a compact Lie group acting on a space, but our main result applies to discrete, linear and almost connected groups. We also apply this decomposition to study equivariant K-theory of spaces with only one isotropy type. We provide a rich class of examples in order to expose the strength and generality of our results. We also study the decomposition for equivariant connective K-homology for actions of compact Lie groups using a suitable configuration space model, based on previous papers published by the third author.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group, the (complex) representation theory of G has been largely studied because it encodes many properties associated to G. Unfortunately, representation theory of G could be difficult to describe in elemental terms directly. Presently, there is an interesting approach in order to describe the irreducible representations of a locally compact G in terms of the projective representations of a normal subgroup A: the beautiful theory known as *Mackey machine* [Mac58].

In this paper we generalize the Mackey machine's ideas to the context of finite dimensional G-vector bundles over proper G-spaces, with G a Lie group and where the normal subgroup A is compact and acts trivially on the base space obtaining a natural decomposition in equivariant K-theory.

More specifically, consider an extension

$$1 \to A \to G \to Q \to 1,$$

and let $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$ the space of isomorphism classes of irreducible representations of A (with the discrete topology), there is an action of G (and Q) over $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$ given by conjugation (see Section 2). Let $[\rho] \in \mathrm{Irr}(A)$ and $G_{[\rho]}$ (respectively $Q_{[\rho]}$) be the

Date: November 8, 2021.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary .

This work was partially supported by grants from the Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de los Andes (#INV-2019-84-1860). The first author acknowledges and thanks the hospitality and financial support provided by the Max Planck Institute for Mathematics in Bonn where part of this paper was also carried out.

isotropy group of $[\rho]$ under the mentioned action, we construct S^1-central extensions (see Prop. 2.4)

$$1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]},$$

and

$$1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \to G_{[\rho]}.$$

That codifies the obstruction to ρ can be lifted to an irreducible representation of $G_{[\rho]}$.

Using both extensions we can decompose a G-vector bundle E over a proper G-space X where $A \subseteq G$ is a compact normal subgroup acting trivially over X as follows:

The bundle E viewed as a A-vector bundle can be decomposed in isotypical parts in the following way (see [Seg68])

$$E \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in \mathrm{Irr}(A)} \rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E).$$

This is an isomorphism of A-vector bundles. Now the idea is to recover the G-action on the left hand side from an *ad hoc* G-action defined on the right hand side, this G action is defined in two steps:

First, we associate terms in the direct sum on the right hand side that are related by the G-action over $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$, then the above decomposition can be expressed as

$$E \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} \left(\bigoplus_{g\in G_{[\rho]}/A} g\cdot\rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(g\cdot\rho, E) \right).$$

Second, each of the terms

$$\bigoplus_{g\in G_{[\rho]}/A} g\cdot\rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(g\cdot\rho, E)$$

can be completely recovered (as an induction) if we know only one of the terms in the sum (with its $G_{[\rho]}$-action). This is the content of Thm. 2.10. Now if we choose one specific $\rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)$ it can be recovered (with its $G_{[\rho]}$-action) from $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)$ (with an action of some S^1-central extension of $Q_{[\rho]}$). It is the content of Thm. 2.8.

For a Lie group G and a proper G-space X where A acts trivially such that proper equivariant K-theory (denoted by $K_G(X)$) can be generated by finite dimensional G-vector bundles (assumption (K)), the above procedure produces a decomposition of $K_G(X)$ in terms of equivariant (respect to the isotropy groups $Q_{[\rho]}$, see Def. 2.13) twisted K-theory groups of X. More specifically we have the following result

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a Lie group satisfying (K), and X be a proper G-space on which the normal subgroup A acts trivially. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\Psi_X : K^*_G(X) \to \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} {}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}}K^*_{Q_{[\rho]}}(X)$$

$$[E] \mapsto \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} [\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)].$$

This isomorphism is functorial on G-maps $X \to Y$ of proper G- spaces on which A acts trivially.

It is the content of Thm. 2.15. The main purpose of this paper is prove that decomposition in this generality.

Our results generalize the decomposition obtained in [GU17] for finite groups and in [AGU18] for compact Lie groups. For finite groups, the same decomposition can be found in [TT14] using different methods.

On the other hand we also present some examples and applications of this decomposition, firstly, consider the antipodal action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\mathbb{S}^2$, we compute the Q_8-equivariant K-theory groups of $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$ where the action is given by the canonical projection $Q_8 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, in this case there is a copy of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \subseteq Q_8$ acting trivially on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$, then we can apply Thm. 2.15. In this case we obtain

$$K^*_{Q_8}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \cong K^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \oplus {}^{\alpha}K^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2),$$

where α is the unique (up to isomorphism) non trivial twisting over $\mathbb{R}P^2 \times \mathbb{R}P^2$. The first term of the direct sum on the left hand side is easily computed using the Kunneth formula for K-theory, the computation of the second term is non trivial (There is no a Kunneth formula for twisted K-theory useful for this case), for this we use a universal coefficient theorem for twisted K-theory and the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for twisted K-theory (with $\mathbb{Z}_2$-coefficients). We also use some computations in [BV16] to compute the equivariant K-theory of the classifying space for proper actions of the Steinberg group $\mathrm{St}(3, \mathbb{Z})$, this computations correspond the right hand side of the Baum-Connes conjecture (this conjecture is not know for $\mathrm{St}(3, \mathbb{Z})$).

We can use the above decomposition to study proper actions of Lie group with only one isotropy type and obtain another natural decomposition, this is the content of Thm. 3.9, we use that decomposition to study the equivariant K-theory groups of actions of $SU(2)$ on simply connected 5-dimensional manifolds with only one isotropy type and orbit space S^2.

Finally, we use similar ideas to obtain a decomposition in equivariant connective K-homology for actions of compact Lie groups, for this we use the configuration space model as in [Vel19], this is the content of Thm. 4.6.

This paper is organized as follows: The Section 2 is devoted to adapt the Mackey machine [Mac58] to equivariant vector bundles. Theorem 2.15 describes how any G-equivariant vector bundle over a topological space X can be obtained as the sum of inductions of twisted equivariant (with respect to certain groups) vector bundles over X. In the Subsection 2.4, we apply the induced decomposition of equivariant K-theory to examples coming from the quaternions and the Steinberg group.

In Section 3 we study proper actions of Lie groups with only one isotropy type and apply the decomposition of equivariant K-theory of Section 2. We apply this decomposition to compute the equivariant K-theory of 5-dimensional simply connected manifolds with actions of $SU(2)$ and only one isotropy type.

In Section 4, we prove an analogue decomposition as the presented in Section 2, for equivariant connective K-homology and actions of compact Lie groups on a topological space X.

Finally, in the Appendix A, we make some additional proofs and remarks about the property (K) in order to explain how this property is satisfied for a very general class of topological groups.

The second author thanks the first and third author for being helpful in the development of the initial idea of this paper.

2. Mackey machine for equivariant vector bundles and compact normal subgroup

In [Mac58], G. W. Mackey presented a technique to describe the unitary representations of a locally compact group G in terms of the representations of a closed normal subgroup A. This technique –called *Mackey machine*– is a powerful tool when the structure of the unitary representations of A is known. In this paper we restrict ourselves to the case when A is also a compact group because the Mackey machine works particularly well and the representation theory of A is suitable to be applied into the setup of equivariant K-theory. Following this approach, we proceed to explain how the natural action of G on the discrete set of irreducible representations of A implies the existence of twisted-vector bundles parametrized by a family of subgroups of G/A. In order to obtain useful applications to K-theory, we present here an exposition of how the Mackey machine can be generalized for equivariant vector bundles if the compact normal subgroup A acts trivially on the space X. Throughout this section we suppose that G is a Lie group and A is a compact normal subgroup of G.

Let

$$1 \to A \to G \to Q \to 1$$

be the corresponding extension with $Q := G/A$. We set $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$ to be the set of unitary equivalence classes of irreducible representations of A endowed with the Fell topology ([KT13], Section 1). By compactness of A, $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$ is a discrete set, see [KT13], Proposition 1.70. Let

$$\rho : A \to U(V_\rho),$$

be an irreducible representation of A on the vector space V_ρ which is supposed to have an A-invariant metric. Let $g \in G$. We define another irreducible representation of A by

$$\begin{aligned} g \cdot \rho : A &\to U(V_\rho) \\ a &\mapsto \rho(g^{-1}ag). \end{aligned}$$

resulting in a left action of G over $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$.

Let $G_{[\rho]}$ be the isotropy group of the isomorphism class of $[\rho] \in \mathrm{Irr}(A)$ under the action of G. This means that for each $g \in G_{[\rho]}$ there is a unitary matrix U_g such that, for all $a \in A$

$$\rho(g^{-1}ag) = U_g^{-1}\rho(a)U_g.$$

For a fixed $[\rho] \in \mathrm{Irr}(A)$ and $x \in A$, $a \cdot \rho(x) = \rho(x^{-1}ax) = \rho(x^{-1})\rho(a)\rho(x) = \rho(a)$ for all $a \in A$. Take $U_x = \rho(x)$. It follows that $A \subseteq G_{[\rho]}$, and it is possible to define $Q_{[\rho]} = G_{[\rho]}/A$. Therefore, there is an extension:

$$1 \to A \xrightarrow{\iota} G_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]} \to 1.$$

Remark 2.1. *If A is central in G, then the action of G on $\mathrm{Irr}(A)$ is trivial, $G_{[\rho]} = G$ and $Q_{[\rho]} = Q$.*

For any pair $g, h \in G_{[\rho]}$, and for all $a \in A$:

$$U_{(gh)^{-1}}\rho(a)U_{gh} = U_{h^{-1}}U_{g^{-1}}\rho(a)U_gU_h.$$

By straightforward calculations, the equation above can be rewritten as:

$$U_{gh}U_{h^{-1}}U_{g^{-1}}\rho(a) = \rho(a)U_{gh}U_{h^{-1}}U_{g^{-1}}.$$

As ρ is supposed to be irreducible, by Schur's lemma U_{gh} must be a multiple of U_gU_h and therefore there is a well defined homomorphism $\Upsilon : G_{[\rho]} \to PU(V_\rho)$ that extends $\rho : A \to U(V_\rho)$. Summarizing, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.2. *There is a unique homomorphism $\Upsilon : G_{[\rho]} \to PU(V_\rho)$ such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\iota} & G_{[\rho]} \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Upsilon} \\ U(V_\rho) & \xrightarrow{p} & PU(V_\rho). \end{array}$$

Recall the canonical central extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{i} U(V_\rho) \xrightarrow{p} PU(V_\rho) \to 1$$

where $PU(V_\rho) = \mathrm{Inn}(U(V_\rho))$ and $p(u)$ is the conjugation by u.

Define the Lie group $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} := G_{[\rho]} \times_{PU(V_\rho)} U(V_\rho) = \{(g,u) \mid \Upsilon(g) = p(u)\}$. There is a central extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G_{[\rho]} \to 1,$$

where $i : S^1 \to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$ is given by the inclusion $1 \times S^1 \subseteq G_{[\rho]} \times U(V_\rho)$, which actually lands in $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$, because $p(S^1) = 1$.

There is a commutative diagram of S^1-central extensions of Lie groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G_{[\rho]} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \widetilde{\Upsilon}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \Upsilon} & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & U(V) & \longrightarrow & PU(V) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

The homomorphism $\iota \times \rho : A \to G_{[\rho]} \times U(V_\rho)$ has the image contained in $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$. Lets see that $(\iota \times \rho)(A)$ is normal in $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$. To proof this we need to check that if $a \in A$ and $(g,u) \in G_{[\rho]} \times_{PU(V_\rho)} U(V_\rho)$ then

$$(g,u)(\iota \times \rho)(a)(g,u)^{-1} \in (\iota \times \rho)(A).$$

We have,

$$(g,u)(\iota \times \rho)(a)(g,u)^{-1} = (gag^{-1}, u\rho(a)u^{-1})$$

since $(g,u) \in G_{[\rho]} \times_{PU(V_\rho)} U(V_\rho)$, we have that $\Upsilon(g) = p(u)$, i.e

$$\rho(gag^{-1}) = u\rho(a)u^{-1}$$

and therefore

$$(g,u)(\iota \times \rho)(a)(g,u)^{-1} = (\iota \times \rho)(gag^{-1}).$$

This prove that $(\iota \times \rho)(A)$ is normal in $\widetilde{G}_\rho$.

Thus the quotient $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}/(\iota \times \rho)(A)$ is a Lie group and denoted by $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$ and there is a sequence

$$1 \to A \xrightarrow{\iota \times \rho} \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \to \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to 1.$$

We want to see now that there is a central extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{i}} \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]} \to 1 \tag{1}$$

where $\widetilde{\iota} : S^1 \to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}/(\iota \times \rho)(A)$ is induced by $1 \times S^1 \subseteq G_{[\rho]} \times_{PU(V_\rho)} U(V_\rho)$.

Note that $(\{e\} \times S^1) \cap (\iota \times \rho)(A) = \{e\}$ and therefore

$$S^1 \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}/(\iota \times \rho)(A)$$

is injective and gives a map $\widetilde{\iota} : S^1 \to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}/(\iota \times \rho)(A) = \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$.

The image of $\widetilde{\iota}$ can be written as $(\{e\} \times S^1)(\iota \times \rho)(A)/(\iota \times \rho)(A)$ (second isomorphism theorem), and the quotient $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}/S^1$ is:

$$\frac{\frac{\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}}{(\iota\times\rho)(A)}}{\frac{(\{e\}\times S^1)(\iota\times\rho)(A)}{(\iota\times\rho)(A)}} \cong \frac{\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}}{(\{e\} \times S^1)(\iota \times \rho)(A)} \cong \frac{\frac{\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}}{\{e\}\times S^1}}{\frac{(\{e\}\times S^1)(\iota\times\rho)(A)}{\{e\}\times S^1}} \cong \frac{G_{[\rho]}}{\iota(A)} \cong Q_{[\rho]}.$$

There is a commutative diagram of extensions of Lie groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & G_{[\rho]} & \longrightarrow & Q_{[\rho]} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & A & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

and also a commutative diagram of S^1-central extensions of Lie groups

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} & \xrightarrow{\pi_1} & G_{[\rho]} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \xrightarrow{\widetilde{i}} & \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} & \longrightarrow & Q_{[\rho]} & \longrightarrow & 1. \end{array}$$

Now we will study when these extensions are trivial.

Remark 2.3. *If ρ is a 1-dimensional irreducible representation of A, then the S^1-extension*

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G_{[\rho]} \to 1,$$

is trivial. This is because in this case $PU(V_\rho) = 1$.

Exactly as in [AGU18], we have:

Proposition 2.4. *The irreducible representation ρ can be extended to $G_{[\rho]}$ if and only if the S^1-central extension of Lie groups*

$$1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]} \to 1$$

is trivial.

Proof. Suppose that the above central extension is trivial, then

$$1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \to G_{[\rho]} \to 1$$

is also trivial. Since $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \cong G_{[\rho]} \times S^1$ as Lie groups, it means that there is a Lie group homomorphism $\sigma : G_{[\rho]} \to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$ that is a right inverse of the quotient map. Let $\widetilde{\Upsilon} : \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \to U(V_\rho)$ be the associated representation to $\Upsilon : G_{[\rho]} \to PU(V_\rho)$. $\widetilde{\Upsilon} \circ \sigma : G_{[\rho]} \to U(V_\rho)$ is an extension of ρ, and for $a \in A$

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\Upsilon}(\sigma(i(a))) &= \widetilde{\Upsilon}(\widetilde{i}(a)) \\ &= \rho(a), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows by the definition of $\widetilde{i}$.

On the other hand, if $\widetilde{\rho} : G_{[\rho]} \to U(V_\rho)$ is an extension of ρ we can take $\pi \circ \widetilde{\rho} : G_{[\rho]} \to PU(V_\rho)$ as a definition of Υ in Lemma 2.2. Recall that

$$\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} = \{(g, \chi) \in G_{[\rho]} \times U(V_\rho) \mid \pi(\widetilde{\rho}(g)) = \pi(\chi)\}.$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : G_{[\rho]} &\to \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \\ g &\mapsto (g, \widetilde{\rho}(g)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \cong G_{[\rho]} \times S^1$ as Lie groups. It implies that $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \cong Q_{[\rho]} \times S^1$ as Lie groups and thus the extension is trivial. □

When the exact sequence in Proposition 2.4 does not represent a trivial S^1-central extension of Lie groups, we refer to it as being the twisting associated with the irreducible representation.

2.0.1. *Semidirect products with abelian normal subgroup.* Now we will study the case of a semidirect product. As it is usual in representation theory, for a group G, we denote by

$$\widehat{G} = \{f : G \to \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is constant on conjugacy classes}\},$$

the space of characters of G (with the compact open topology).

Let $A \trianglelefteq G$ be a normal subgroup of G. Then the group G acts on $\widehat{A}$ by taking a character χ of A, and defining $(g\chi)(a) = \chi(gag^{-1})$ where $g \in G$. For a character $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, consider its restriction to the subgroup $A \subset G$ as an element $\gamma|_A \in \widehat{A}$. If $\chi = \gamma|_A$ for some $\gamma \in \widehat{G}$, then for every $g \in G$, $g \cdot \chi = \chi$. Thus the restriction homomorphism $\widehat{G} \to \widehat{A}$ has its image in the fixed point group $\widehat{A}^G$.

Proposition 2.5. *If $G = A \rtimes Q$, then there is a group isomorphism*

$$\Gamma : \widehat{G} \to \widehat{A}^Q \times \widehat{Q},$$

given by restriction.

Proof. Γ is injective because it is the restriction to both A and Q, and because $G = AQ$. To see that it is surjective, take $\alpha \in \widehat{A}^Q$ and $\beta \in \widehat{Q}$ and consider the product $\alpha \rtimes \beta$ defined for $g \in G$ as follows: there is a unique pair $(a, q) \in A \times Q$ such that $g = aq$; define $\alpha \rtimes \beta(g) = \alpha(a)\beta(q)$. Let us see that $\alpha \rtimes \beta$ is a character.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \rtimes \beta\left(h_1 q_1 h_2 q_2\right) &= \alpha \rtimes \beta\left(h_1 q_1 h_2 q_1^{-1} q_1 q_2\right) \\ &= \alpha\left(h_1 q_1 h_2 q_1^{-1}\right) \beta\left(q_1 q_2\right) \\ &= \alpha(h_1)\alpha\left(q_1 h_2 q_1^{-1}\right) \beta(q_1)\beta\left(q_2\right) \\ &= \alpha(h_1)\alpha\left(h_2\right) \beta(q_1)\beta\left(q_2\right) \\ &= \alpha(h_1)\beta(q_1)\alpha\left(h_2\right) \beta\left(q_2\right) \\ &= \left(\alpha \rtimes \beta\right)\left(h_1 q_1\right)\left(\alpha \rtimes \beta\right)\left(h_2 q_2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\Gamma(\alpha \rtimes \beta) = (\alpha, \beta)$. □

Proposition 2.6. *Let A be an abelian group and $G = A \rtimes Q$. Then for every irreducible representation ρ of A, the S^1-central extension of Lie groups*

$$1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]} \to 1$$

is trivial.

Proof. Suppose A is abelian, and take $\rho \in \widehat{A}$. For $g \in G_{[\rho]}$

$$\rho(g^{-1}ag) = U_g^{-1}\rho(a)U_g = \rho(a)$$

since U_g, $\rho(a)$ and U_g^{-1} are elements of S^1; therefore every character of A is invariant under G_ρ. Also, $G_{[\rho]} = A \rtimes Q_{[\rho]}$ since clearly $G_{[\rho]} \supseteq A \rtimes Q_{[\rho]}$ and for $(\widetilde{a}, q) \in G_{[\rho]}$

$$\rho(a) = \rho((\widetilde{a}q)^{-1}a(\widetilde{a}q)) = \rho(q^{-1}\widetilde{a}^{-1}a\widetilde{a}q) = \rho(q^{-1}aq)$$

and therefore $q \in Q_{[\rho]}$.

Taking the trivial character of $Q_{[\rho]}$ results in a character of $G_{[\rho]}$ that extends ρ. By Proposition 2.4 we are done.

□

Next, we describe the Mackey machine for equivariant vector bundles when the normal subgroup is compact.

2.1. **Decomposition of G-vector bundles.** Let E be a G-vector bundle over a G-space X, and let A be a compact normal subgroup of G acting trivially over X. Since A is compact we can assume that E is endowed with an A-invariant Hermitian metric. Each fiber is therefore a unitary representation of A. By a result in [Seg68] we know that the restriction $E\,|_A$ considered as an A-vector bundle can be decomposed into isotypical parts

$$E\,|_A \;\cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in \mathrm{Irr}(A)} \rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E),$$

where ρ is considered as the trivial A-bundle $X \times V_\rho \to X$ and $\mathrm{Hom}_A(E_1, E_2)$ is the bundle of A-equivariant maps between two A-bundles E_1, E_2 endowed with the trivial A-action. Let $E_\rho = \rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)$ and call it the *ρ-isotypical part of E.*

Definition 2.7. *Let X be a G-space, where the action of A is trivial and $\rho : A \to U(V)$ is an irreducible A-representation. A $G_{[\rho]}$-vector bundle $p : E \to X$ is $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical if each fiber E_x is an A-representation isomorphic to a multiple of ρ.*

Another way to say that each fiber E_x is an A-representation isomorphic to a multiple of ρ is that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta : E_\rho &\to E \\ v \otimes f &\mapsto f(v) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of A-vector bundles.

As in [AGU18], $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundles are in correspondence with $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-vector bundles on which S^1-acts by multiplication by inverses. The proof of [AGU18] did not depend on the compactness of G. We include it below for completeness.

Theorem 2.8. *Let X be a G-space where A acts trivially on X. There is a natural one to one correspondence between isomorphism classes of $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundles over X and isomorphism classes of $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-equivariant vector bundles over X on which S^1-acts by multiplication by inverses.*

Proof. Suppose that $p : E \to X$ is a $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundle over X. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)$ is a complex vector bundle. In order to get a more readable proof we proceed to divide it in steps.

Step 1: We keep the notation in Lemma 2.2 and endow $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)$ with a linear $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$-action in which $\Upsilon^*(A)$ acts trivially.

Let $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)$ and $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$. Define

$$(\widetilde{g} \bullet \phi)(v) = \tau(\widetilde{g})\phi\left(\left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}\left(\widetilde{g}\right)\right)^{-1} v\right)$$

where $\tau : \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]} \to G_{[\rho]}$ is the natural projection. This action is continuous.

Let $\widetilde{a} \in \tau^{-1}(A)$ with $\tau(\widetilde{a}) = a$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\widetilde{a} \bullet \phi)(v) &= a\left(\left(\phi(\widetilde{\Upsilon}\left(\widetilde{a}\right)\right)^{-1} v\right) \\ &= a\phi\left(\rho(a)^{-1} v\right) \\ &= \phi(v).\end{aligned}$$

Thus the action of $\tau^{-1}(A)$ is trivial, resulting in an action of $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$.

Step 2: Show that the action of $S^1 = \ker(\tau)$ acts by multiplication by inverses.

For $\lambda \in S^1 = \ker(\tau)$,

$$(\lambda \bullet \phi)(v) = \phi\left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\lambda)^{-1} v\right) = \phi\left(\lambda^{-1} v\right) = \lambda^{-1}\phi(v).$$

Define a transformation

$$[E] \mapsto [\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)]$$

between isomorphism classes of $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundles over X and isomorphism classes of $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-equivariant vector bundles over X for which S^1-acts by multiplication by inverses. We will see that this transformation is a bijective correspondence.

Let $p : F \to X$ be a $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-vector bundle on which S^1-acts by multiplication by its inverses. Consider the vector bundle $\rho \otimes F$.

Step 3: Endow $\rho \otimes F$ with a linear $G_{[\rho]}$-action.

Define a $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$-action, let $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$ and $v \otimes f \in \rho \otimes F$

$$\widetilde{g} \cdot (v \otimes f) = \left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}\left(\widetilde{g}\right) v\right) \otimes (\widetilde{g}\tau^{-1}(A) \cdot f)$$

where $\widetilde{g}\tau^{-1}(A)$ is the coset corresponding to $\widetilde{g}$ in $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$. This action is continuous. Moreover, if $\lambda \in S^1 = \ker(\tau)$,

$$\lambda \cdot (v \otimes f) = \left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\lambda) v\right) \otimes \left(\left(\lambda\tau^{-1}(A)\right) \cdot f\right) = \lambda v \otimes \lambda^{-1} f = v \otimes f.$$

Thus $\ker(\tau)$ acts trivially, and there is an action of $G_{[\rho]} = \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}/S^1$.

Step 4: $\rho \otimes F$ is a $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundle.

Let $a \in A$, and let $\widetilde{a} \in \tau^{-1}(a)$,

$$a \cdot (v \otimes f) = \widetilde{a}(v \otimes f) = \left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}\left(\widetilde{a}\right) v\right) \otimes \left(\widetilde{a}\tau^{-1}(A) \cdot f\right) = \rho(a)v \otimes f.$$

This implies that $\rho \otimes F$ is a $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundle.

Define a transformation

$$[F] \mapsto [\rho \otimes F]$$

between isomorphism classes of $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-equivariant vector bundles over X for which S^1 acts by multiplication by inverses and isomorphism classes of $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundles over X. We will see that this transformation is the inverse of the transformation defined in Step 2.

Step 5: $\rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E) \cong E$ as $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundles.

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} ev : \rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E) &\to E \\ v \otimes \phi &\mapsto \phi(v) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of vector bundles. We will see that ev is $G_{[\rho]}$-equivariant.

Let $g \in G_{[\rho]}$ and $\widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$ with $\tau(\widetilde{g}) = g$.

$$\begin{aligned} ev(g \cdot (v \otimes \phi)) &= ev\left(\widetilde{g} \cdot (v \otimes \phi)\right) \\ &= ev\left(\left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}(\widetilde{g})v\right) \otimes (\widetilde{g} \bullet \phi)\right) \\ &= (\widetilde{g} \bullet \phi)\left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}\left(\widetilde{g}\right) v\right) \\ &= g\phi\left(\widetilde{\Upsilon}\left(\widetilde{g}\right)^{-1} \widetilde{\Upsilon}\left(\widetilde{g}\right) v\right) \\ &= g\phi(v). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E) \cong E$ as $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical vector bundles.

Step 6: $F \cong \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, \rho \otimes F)$ as $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-equivariant vector bundles over X for which S^1-acts by multiplication by inverses.

As A acts trivially on F it is the case that

$$\begin{aligned} F &\to \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, \rho \otimes F) \\ f &\mapsto (v \mapsto v \otimes f) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism of $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-vector bundles. Therefore the correspondence defined in Step 2 is one to one. □

Now using the above theorem we will decompose every G-vector bundle over an A-trivial G-space in terms of equivariant A-vector bundles, which turn out to be twisted $Q_{[\rho]}$-equivariant vector bundles (see Theorem 2.15 below). To do that, we need to recall the construction of the induced vector bundle.

2.2. **Induction.** Let $H \subseteq G$ be a subgroup of G of finite index and $E \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ an H-vector bundle over a G-space X. If we choose an element from each left coset of H, we obtain a subset R of G called a *system of representatives of* G/H; each $g \in G$ can be written uniquely as $g = rs$, with $r \in R = \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ and $s \in H$, $G = \coprod_{i=1}^{n} r_i H$. We assume that r_1 is the identity of the group G. Consider the

vector bundle $F = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} (r_i^{-1})^* E$, with projection $\pi_F : F \to X$, and consider the following G-action defined over F.

Let $f \in F$. Then

$$f = f_{r_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus f_{r_n},$$

where $f_{r_i} \in (r_i^{-1})^* E$. If $\pi_F(f) = x$ then $f_{r_i} = (x, e)$, with $e \in E_{r_i^{-1} x}$. Let $g \in G$; note that gr_i is in the same right coset as some r_j, i.e. $gr_i = r_j s$ for some $s \in H$. Define

$$g(f_{r_i}) = (gx, se) \in (r_j^{-1})^* E,$$

and extend the action of g on f by linearity.

We will denote the G-vector bundle F defined above by $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(E)$. The properties of $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G(E)$ can be summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9 (Frobenius reciprocity)**.**

Proof. The argument in Thm. 2.9 in [CRV19] applies to any Lie group. □

In particular, note that each $(r_i^{-1})^* E$ has a natural structure of $r_i H r_i^{-1}$-vector bundle because if $g \in r_i H r_i^{-1}$, then $g = r_i h r_i^{-1}$ with $h \in H$. If $(x, e) \in (r_i^{-1})^* E$, then

$$g \cdot (x, e) = (gx, he),$$

hence $g \cdot (x, e) \in (r_i^{-1})^* E$.

As the vector bundle E is finite-dimensional there is only a finite number of isomorphism classes $[\rho]$ such that

$$\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E) \neq 0.$$

Moreover if $\mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E) \neq 0$, for every $g \in G$ we have $\mathrm{Hom}_A(g \cdot \rho, E) \neq 0$. Let $\phi : (V, \rho) \to E$ be a non trivial A-equivariant homomorphism. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\phi} : (V, g \cdot \rho) &\to E \\ v &\mapsto g\phi(v) \end{aligned}$$

is a nontrivial element in $\mathrm{Hom}_A(g \cdot \rho, E)$. Hence, if $[\rho]$ appears in E, then every element in its orbit appears in E. This implies that $G_{[\rho]}$ has finite index in G, since $G/G_{[\rho]} \cong G \cdot [\rho]$, the orbit of $[\rho]$. Therefore we can apply the induction defined previously.

Let $R = \{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$ be a system of representatives of $G/G_{[\rho]}$. There is a canonical isomorphism of $G_{[r_i \cdot \rho]}$-vector bundles

$$(r_i^{-1})^* E_\rho \cong E_{r_i \cdot \rho}$$

defined as follows. Let $\xi = (x, \phi) \in (r_i^{-1})^* E_\rho$, with $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E_{r_i^{-1} x})$, and define

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\phi} : (V, r_i \cdot \rho) &\to E_x \\ v &\mapsto r_i \phi(v). \end{aligned}$$

$\widetilde{\phi}$ is A-equivariant,

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\phi}(a \cdot v) &= \widetilde{\phi}(r_i^{-1} a r_i v) \\ &= r_i \phi(r_i^{-1} a r_i v) \\ &= a r_i \phi(v) = a \widetilde{\phi}(v). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, note that the bundle map

$$\mathrm{Ind}_{G_{[\rho]}}^{G}(E_\rho) \to E$$
$$(x, v \otimes \phi) \in (r_i^{-1})^*(E_\rho) \mapsto r_i\phi(v)$$

is G-equivariant. Thus we have proved the following theorem:

Theorem 2.10. *Let G be a Lie group and X be a G-space. Suppose that A is a compact normal subgroup of G acting trivially over X. Let $p : E \to X$ be a finite-dimensional G-vector bundle,. Then we have the isomorphism of G-vector bundles*

$$\bigoplus_{[\rho]\in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} \mathrm{Ind}_{G_{[\rho]}}^{G}\left(\rho \otimes \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E)\right) \to E.$$

where $[\rho]$ runs over representatives of the orbits of the G-action on the set of isomorphism classes of representations of A.

The previous theorems applied to $X = *$ are the usual Mackey machine which describes how to get the finite-dimensional unitary representations of G in terms of irreducible representations of A and $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-twisted representations of $Q_{[\rho]}$:

(1) Every finite-dimensional representation of G is the sum of induced representations of $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical ones (Theorem 2.10).
(2) $(G_{[\rho]}, \rho)$-isotypical representations can be obtained by tensoring the extension of ρ to $G_{[\rho]}$ with $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-twisted representations of $Q_{[\rho]}$ (Theorem 2.8).

The following examples show how useful (and sometimes not so useful) the Mackey machine is.

Example 2.11 ($G = D_8, A = \mathbb{Z}_4$)**.**

$$D_8 = \mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \left\langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^2 = e, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \right\rangle$$

Since $D_8 = \mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ is a semidirect product, we know that the characters of D_8 are given by $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times \widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2}$, $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \{1, sign\}$.

$\mathbb{Z}_2$ acts on

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_4} = \{1, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^3\}$$

with orbits

$$\mathbb{Z}_2\backslash\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_4} = \{\{1\}, \{\rho, \rho^3\}, \{\rho^2\}\}$$

and therefore $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{\mathbb{Z}_2} = \{1, \rho^2\}$ and there are four characters of D_8 :

$$1 \rtimes 1, 1 \rtimes sign, \rho^2 \rtimes 1, \rho^2 \rtimes sign.$$

From

$$\mid G \mid = \Sigma_{\sigma\in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} \dim(\sigma)^2$$

we know that there should be a 2-dimensional irreducible representation of D_8.

Take $A = \mathbb{Z}_4$. Then D_8 acts on

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \{1, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^3\}$$

with orbits

$$D_8\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \{\{1\}, \{\rho, \rho^3\}, \{\rho^2\}\}.$$

We have $G_{[1]} = G_{[\rho^2]} = D_8$, $Q_{[1]} = Q_{[\rho^2]} = D_8/\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}_2$, $G_{[\rho]} = \mathbb{Z}/4$, $Q_{[\rho]} = 1$, and the twistings are trivial.

The two-dimensional irreducible representation of D_8 should be an induction from an irreducible representation of $G_{[\rho]}$ that restricts to A as a multiple of ρ. In this case $G_{[\rho]} = A$ and therefore we take the induction from ρ, $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{D_8}(\rho)$, as the 2-dimensional irreducible representation of D_8,

$$R(D_8) \cong R(\mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus R(e) \oplus R(\mathbb{Z}_2)$$

which is explicitly

$$R(D_8) \cong \mathbb{Z}\langle 1 \rtimes 1, 1 \rtimes sign\rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle \mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{D_8}(\rho)\rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle \rho^2 \rtimes 1, \rho^2 \rtimes sign\rangle.$$

Example 2.12 ($G = D_8, A = \mathbb{Z}_2$)**.**

$$D_8 = \mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \left\langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^2 = e, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \right\rangle$$

Lets take $A = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{e, a^2\}$, the center of D_8. Since A is central, D_8 acts trivially on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}/2) = \{1, sign\}$ with $G_{[1]} = G_{[sign]} = D_8$ and $Q_{[1]} = Q_{[sign]} = D_8/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The trivial representation extends to all D_8, but the sign representation does not extend to all $D_8 = G_{[sign]}$, since the center of D_8 is the commutator subgroup and all 1-dimensional representations factor through abelianization. Therefore there is a twisting, which is the obstruction to the S^1-extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{i}} \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]} \to 1$$

to be trivial. It is the nontrivial element of $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$, giving the extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{i}} D_8 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to 1.$$

Nevertheless, since the sign representation is 1-dimensional, the S^1-extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{i} \widetilde{G}_{[sign]} \xrightarrow{\pi_1} G_{[sign]} \to 1,$$

is the trivial extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{i} D_8 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} D_8 \to 1.$$

Therefore

$$R(D_8) \cong R(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2) \oplus {}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[sign]}}R(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

Let's see what the Mackey machine says about the term ${}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[sign]}}R(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$.

Since the sign representation is 1-dimensional, $\widetilde{G}_{[sign]} = D_8 \times S^1$. Also, $\widetilde{\Upsilon} : D_8 \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\pi_2} S^1$ is the extension of the sign representation to $\widetilde{G}_{[sign]}$. Additionally, $\widetilde{Q}_{[sign]} = D_8 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1$.

The second part of the Mackey machine says that to find irreducible representations of $G_{[sign]} = D_8$ that restrict $A = \mathbb{Z}_2$ to copies of the sign representation, we need to take a representation σ of $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \cong D_8 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1$ such that S^1 acts by multiplication by inverses, and tensor it with $\widetilde{\Upsilon}$.

But if $\sigma : \widetilde{Q}_{[sign]} = D_8 \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} S^1 \to U(n)$ is a representation such that S^1 acts by multiplication, then $\sigma([g, \lambda]) = \sigma([g, 1])\,\sigma([e, \lambda]) = \lambda^{-1}\sigma([g, 1])$, so it is determined by $\sigma([g, 1])$, which is a representation of D_8 that restricts $\mathbb{Z}_2$ to multiples of the sign representation. We do not gain anything new with the second part of the Mackey machine in this case.

Comparing the two decompositions in the examples, we see that we must have $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{D_8}(\rho)$ *as the generator of* ${}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[sign]}}R(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2)$*. But this should be understood in the following sense:* $\mathrm{Ind}_{\mathbb{Z}_4}^{D_8}(\rho)$ *is a* $D_8 \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} S^1$*-representation given by*

$$[g, \lambda] \cdot \vec{v} = \lambda^{-1} (g \cdot \vec{v}) .$$

2.3. **Decomposition in equivariant K-theory (proper case).** For a general Lie group G, we extend the definition in [LO01] of equivariant K-theory for proper actions, to twisted equivariant K-theory for the twisting relevant to our decompositions. See also [Can11].

For general Lie groups we work on the category of locally compact Hausdorff second countable spaces. If the group is discrete or compact we can also work on the category of proper G-CW complexes.

Definition 2.13. *Let G be a Lie group acting properly on a G-space X and*

$$1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{G} \to G \to 1 \tag{2}$$

be an S^1-central extension. Define ${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^0(X)$ as the Grothendieck group of the monoid of isomorphism classes of $\widetilde{G}$-vector bundles over X where S^1 acts by multiplication by inverses. Define for $n \geq 0$

$${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^{-n}(X) = \ker\left({}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G(X \times S^n) \xrightarrow{i^*} {}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G(X)\right),$$

where G acts trivially on S^n. For any proper G-pair (X, A), set

$${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^{-n}(X, A) = \ker\left({}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^{-n}(X \cup_A X) \xrightarrow{i_2^*} {}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^{-n}(X)\right).$$

Note that, when $\widetilde{G} \cong S^1 \times G$, a $\widetilde{G}$-vector bundle over X where S^1 acts by multiplication by inverses is the same as a G-vector bundle, and we use the notation $\mathbb{K}_G^*(X) = {}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^*(X)$ and $\mathbb{K}_G^*(X, A) = {}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^*(X, A)$.

Suppose that the Lie group G satisfies the following property:

For every compact normal subgroup A and irreducible representation $\rho \in \mathrm{Irr}(A)$, the functors ${}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}}\mathbb{K}_{Q_{[\rho]}}^*(-)$ define $\mathbb{Z}/2$-graded $Q_{[\rho]}$-cohomology theories. This means that the twisted equivariant K-theories satisfy the following axioms:

(K)
1. *$Q_{[\rho]}$-homotopy invariance.*
2. *Long exact sequence of a pair.*
3. *Excision.*
4. *Disjoint union axiom.*

For more details on the axioms see [Lö5]. Note that by taking A the trivial group, we have $Q_{[\rho]} = G_{[\rho]} = G$ and $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} = S^1 \times G$ and therefore we are requiring in particular that $\mathbb{K}_G^*(-)$ is a G-cohomology theory.

When G satisfies the above assumption we write ${}^{\widetilde{G}}K_G^{-n}(X, A)$ for ${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}_G^{-n}(X, A)$.

Remark 2.14. *From* [LO01] *and* [Dwy08]*, a discrete group G satisfies (K) (in the category of finite, proper G-CW complexes). Any compact Lie group also satisfies (K) (in the category of finite G-CW complexes and in the category of locally compact Hausdorff second countable G-spaces). On the other hand, in the appendix we*

will build upon the work of [Phi89b] *to prove that almost connected and linear Lie groups satisfy* (K) *(in the category of locally compact Hausdorff second countable* G*-spaces). There are Lie groups where (K) fails to be true; see* [Phi89a] *and* [LO01].

For a general Lie group there is an induction scheme: if H is a subgroup of G and Y is a proper H-space, then

$$\mathbb{K}^*_G(G \times_H Y) \cong \mathbb{K}^*_H(Y)$$

(see lemma 3.4 of [LO01]). There is a corresponding result for free actions, analogue to Lemma 3.5 of [LO01].

Let G be a Lie group acting properly on a G-space X and

$$1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{G} \to G \to 1 \tag{3}$$

be a S^1-central extension. For G acting freely on X,

$${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathbb{K}^*_G(X) \cong {}^{\alpha}K^*(X/G)$$

where the right hand side denotes the *classical* twisted K-theory (defined for example in [AS04]), and α is a cohomology class induced from the central extension (2). This is done for finite groups in Proposition 3.8 of [GU17], and for proper actions of Lie groups on manifolds using C^*-algebras in Proposition 3.6 of [TXLG04]. The twisting α can be described as follows: for the central extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\iota}} \widetilde{G} \to G \to 1$$

consider the cohomology class $\alpha_{\widetilde{G}} \in H^2(BG; S^1)$ that classifies it (see Thm. 10 in [Moo76]). We have the fibration $p : EG \times_G X \to BG$, and since the action is free, there exists a homotopy equivalence $EG \times_G X \to X/G$ giving a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EG \times_G X & \xrightarrow{p} & BG \\ \downarrow \simeq & \nearrow & \\ X/G & & \end{array}$$

Consider the cohomology class $\alpha \in H^2(X/G; S^1) \cong H^2_G(X; S^1)$ given by $p^*(\alpha_{\widetilde{G}})$.

Theorem 2.15. *Let G be a Lie group satisfying (K), and X be a proper G-space on which the normal subgroup A acts trivially. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_X : K^*_G(X) &\to \bigoplus_{[\rho] \in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} {}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}}K^*_{Q_{[\rho]}}(X) \\ [E] &\mapsto \bigoplus_{[\rho] \in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E). \end{aligned}$$

This isomorphism is functorial on G-maps $X \to Y$ of proper G- spaces on which A acts trivially.

Proof. It is a straightforward application of Theorems 2.8 and 2.10. □

2.4. **Examples.**

Example 2.16. *Consider the group*

$$D_8 = \mathbb{Z}_4 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 = \left\langle a, b \mid a^4 = b^2 = e, bab^{-1} = a^{-1} \right\rangle$$

Take $A = \mathbb{Z}_4$. D_8 acts on

$$\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \{1, \rho, \rho^2, \rho^3\}$$

with orbits

$$D_8 \backslash \mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_4) = \{\{1\}, \{\rho, \rho^3\}, \{\rho^2\}\}.$$

We have $G_{[1]} = G_{[\rho^2]} = D_8$, $Q_{[1]} = Q_{[\rho^2]} = D_8/\mathbb{Z}_4 = \mathbb{Z}_2$, $G_{[\rho]} = \mathbb{Z}/4$, $Q_{[\rho]} = 1$, and the twistings are trivial.

If X is a space with an action of D_8 that restricts to the trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}_4$, then

$$K^*_{D_8}(X) \cong K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X) \oplus K^*(X) \oplus K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(X).$$

Compare with example 6.1 of [GU17].

Example 2.17. *Consider the quaternions $Q_8 = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\}$. It is a central extension of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ by $\mathbb{Z}_2$*

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}/2 \to Q_8 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \to 0.$$

Take $A = \mathbb{Z}_2 = \{1, -1\}$. Since A is central, Q_8 acts trivially on $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \{1, sign\}$ with $G_{[1]} = G_{[sign]} = Q_8$ and $Q_{[1]} = Q_{[sign]} = Q_8/\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$.

The trivial representation extends to Q_8, but the sign representation does not extend to $Q_8 = G_{[sign]}$. Therefore there is a nontrivial twisting. If X is a space with an action of Q_8 with trivial action of $\mathbb{Z}_2$, then

$$K^*_{Q_8}(X) \cong K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(X) \oplus {}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[sign]}} K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(X)$$

and the twisting is represented by the nontrivial element of $H^3(\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Consider the projection $Q_8 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$, and consider the action of $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ on $\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2$. Consider the decomposition

$$K^*_{Q_8}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \cong K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \oplus {}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[sign]}} K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2).$$

Since the action is free,

$$K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \cong K^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2)$$

and

$${}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[sign]}} K^*_{\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \cong {}^{\alpha} K^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2)$$

where α is the nontrivial class of $H^3(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$.

From the Kunneth's theorem for K-theory [Ati62]*, there is a short exact sequence:*

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i+j=*} K^i(\mathbb{RP}^2) \otimes K^j(\mathbb{RP}^2) \to K^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \to \operatorname*{Tor}_{i+j=*+1}(K^i(\mathbb{RP}^2), K^j(\mathbb{RP}^2)) \to 0.$$

For $* = 0$, there is no Tor *term and therefore the K-theory is just the tensor product, which is $(\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) \otimes (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$.*

For $* = 1$ there is no tensor term and the K-theory is the Tor *part, which is $Tor((\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2), (\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$*

$$K^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2, & * = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Let us compute the twisted K-theory ${}^{\alpha}K^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2)$ *with the twisted Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence* [AS06]. *With coefficients* $\mathbb{Z}_2$ *we have*

$$H^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[x, y]/(x^3, y^3).$$

On the other hand, for the cohomology with integer coefficients,

$$H^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}[x_2, y_2, z_3]/(2x_2, 2y_2, 2z_3, x_2^2, y_2^2, z_3^2, x_2z, y_2z_3)$$

with x_2 *and* y_2 *having degree* 2 *and* $x_2 \pmod 2 = x^2$, $y_2 \pmod 2 = y^2$, z_3 *having degree* 3 *and* $z_3 \pmod 2 = x^2y + xy^2$. *We have the following components on each degree*

dim 0	*dim 1*	*dim 2*	*dim 3*	*dim 4*	*dim 5*	*dim 6*
$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0

Then the third page of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence for twisted K-theory looks like

$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
2	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0
-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-2	$\mathbb{Z}$	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6

where $d^3 : H^0 \to H^3$ *sends 1 to* α *and* $d^3 : H^1 \to H^4$ *is zero because* Sq^2 *on* 0 *and* 1*-dimensional classes is zero. The* E_4*-page is the* E_∞*-page and gives*

$$E_\infty^{0,2k} = 2\mathbb{Z}, E_\infty^{2,2k} = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, E_\infty^{3,2k} = 0, E_\infty^{4,2k} = \mathbb{Z}_2$$

Let's see what the result is for ${}^{\alpha}K^*(X)$. *Working backwards,* $F^4({}^{\alpha}K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2)) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ *which is also* F^3, *and then* $F^2/F^3 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$, *so we need to find possible extensions*

$$\{0\} \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to F^2({}^{\alpha}K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2)) \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \{0\}$$

which could be $\mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$ *or* $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$ *or* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$.

So $F^2 = F^1$, *and thus we have the reduced K-theory equal to* $(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$ *or* $\mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4$

Also note that in the extension

$$\{0\} \to F^1 \to F^0({}^{\alpha}K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2)) \to \mathbb{Z} \to \{0\}$$

since $\mathbb{Z}$ *es free, the extension is trivial. Therefore,*

$${}^{\alpha}K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$$

or

$${}^{\alpha}K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

For $* = 1$, *the only* E_∞*-page that contributes is* $E_\infty^{3,-2} = 0$ *which gives*

$${}^{\alpha}K^1(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) = 0.$$

To be able to resolve the extension problems, we will use twisted K-theory with $\mathbb{Z}/2$*-coefficients, i.e. twisted Morava K-theory at the prime* $p = 2$. *Twisted Morava*

K-theory was introduced in [SW15] *where the corresponding twisted Atiyah Hirzebruch spectral sequence was studied.*

The following Universal Coefficient Theorem was used by Aliaksandra Yarosh in [Yar17]*: for $H_3 \in H^3(X;\mathbb{Z})$, there is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \to K_n^{H_3}(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to K(1)_n\left(X; H_3\right) \to \operatorname{Tor}_1\left(K_{n-1}^{H_3}(X), \mathbb{Z}_2\right)$$

where $K(1)_n(X, H_3)$ denotes the twisted Morava K-homology theory which becomes the twisted K-theory with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}_2$. For details on the relationship between twisted Morava K-theory and twisted K-theory with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ coefficients see [Yar17]*, Theorem 6.12.*

Using the theory of C^*-algebras there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \to {}^{H_3}K^n(X) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to K(1)^n\left(X; H_3\right) \to \operatorname{Tor}_1\left({}^{H_3}K^{n+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}_2\right).$$

For $n = 0$, it translates to

$$0 \to {}^{\alpha}K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \to K(1)^0\left(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \alpha\right) \to \operatorname{Tor}_1\left({}^{\alpha}K^1(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2), \mathbb{Z}_2\right).$$

Since

$${}^{\alpha}K^1(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) = 0$$

this gives ${}^{\alpha}K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong K(1)^0\left(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \alpha\right)$.

Let us compute $K(1)^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \alpha)$ using the twisted Atiyah Hirzebruch spectral sequence.

$$H^*(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \mathbb{Z}_2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2[x, y]/(x^3, y^3).$$

On each degree we have

dim 0	*dim 1*	*dim 2*	*dim 3*	*dim 4*
$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$
1	x, y	x^2, xy, y^2	x^2y, y^2x	x^2y^2

And the third page of the spectral sequence looks like

$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
2	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0
-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-2	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^2$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6

The differentials are given by

$$d_{2^{n+1}-1}\left(xv_n^k\right) = \left(Q_n(x) + (-1)^{|x|}x \cup (Q_{n-1} \cdots Q_1(H))\right) v_n^{k-1}$$

(from [SW15]*) and for $n = 1$,*

$$d_3\left(xv_1^k\right) = \left(Q_1(x) + x \cup H \pmod 2\right) v_1^{k-1}$$

The Q_i are the Milnor primitives at prime 2, defined inductively by $Q_0 = Sq^1$ and $Q_{j+1} = Sq^{2^{j+1}}Q_j - Q_jSq^{2^{j+1}}$. Therefore $Q_1 = Sq^2Sq^1 - Sq^1Sq^2$. On the other hand, $Sq^1Sq^2 = Sq^3$ (see for example Mosher-Tangora [MT68] *page 23), making*

$Q_1 = Sq^2Sq^1 + Sq^3$.
Thus we conclude:

$$d^3(x) = Q_1(x) + x \cup H \pmod 2.$$

In our example, $Q_1(1) = 0$ *and*

$$Q_1(x) = Sq^2Sq^1(x) = Sq^2(x^2) = x^4 = 0,$$

$$Q_1(y) = Sq^2Sq^1(y) = Sq^2(y^2) = y^4 = 0,$$

therefore $d^3 : H^0 \to H^3$ *sends 1 to* $\alpha \pmod 2 = x^2y + yx^2$*;* $d^3 : H^1 \to H^4$ *sends* $x \to x(x^2y + y^2x) = y^2x^2$ *and* $y \to y(x^2y + y^2x) = y^2x^2$*; thus* $x + y \in \ker(d^3)$.

The E_4*-page is the* E_∞*-page and gives*

$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
2	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0	0
-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
-2	0	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$(\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	0	0	0
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
	0	1	2	3	4	5	6

$$E_\infty^{1,2k} = \mathbb{Z}_2, E_\infty^{2,2k} = (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3, E_\infty^{3,2k} = \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

In this manner we obtain:

$$K(1)^0\left(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; \alpha\right) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$$

and

$$K(1)^1\left(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2; H_3\right) \cong (\mathbb{Z}_2)^2.$$

We have either

$${}^\alpha K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^3$$

or

$${}^\alpha K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$$

but tensoring with $\mathbb{Z}_2$ *we see that the only possibility, consistent with universal coefficients, is*

$${}^\alpha K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2.$$

Summarizing,

$${}^\alpha K^0(\mathbb{RP}^2 \times \mathbb{RP}^2) \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2, & * = 0 \\ 0, & * = 1 \end{cases},$$

and all of these observations put together result in

$$K^*_{Q_8}(\mathbb{S}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^2) \cong \begin{cases} (\mathbb{Z})^2 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)^4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_4, & * = 0 \\ \mathbb{Z}_2, & * = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Compare with example 6.2 of [AGU18]*, where* $K^*_{D_8}(\mathbb{S}^\infty \times \mathbb{S}^\infty)$ *is computed with the help of the Atiyah-Segal completion theorem.*

Example 2.18. *Let* $\mathrm{St}_n(R)$ *be the Steinberg group* [Ste16] *associated to a commutative ring* R*, and consider the central extension*

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}_2 \to \mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \to 0. \tag{4}$$

Note that if we take a finite $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{Z})$*-CW-complex model of* $\underline{E}\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{Z})$*, this space with the induced action of* $\mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ *is a finite* $\mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z})$*-CW-complex model for* $\underline{E}\mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z})$*.*

Moreover, as the extension is central, the action of $\mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ *over* $\mathrm{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_2) = \{[triv], [sgn]\}$ *is trivial. Thus* $Q_{[triv]} = Q_{[sgn]} = \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{Z})$*. Now we will compute the* S^1*-central extensions corresponding to each irreducible representation.*

For $[triv]$*, the* S^1*-central extension is trivial and therefore there is no twisting.*

For $[sgn]$*, the associated* S^1*-central extension is constructed as follows:*

Note that $V_{[sgn]}$ *is 1-dimensional; thus* $PU(V_{[sgn]}) = \{0\}$ *and therefore* $\widetilde{G}_{[sgn]} = \mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \times S^1$ *and* $\widetilde{Q}_{[sgn]} = (\mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z}) \times S^1)/\Delta(\mathbb{Z}_2)$*. It follows that there is a pullback of central extensions*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle i} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle id} & & \\
1 & \longrightarrow & S^1 & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{Q}_{[sgn]} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sl}_n(\mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & 1.
\end{array}$$

This means that the extension presented in (4) is the pullback of the S^1*-central extension associated to* $[sgn]$*. In* [Dwy08] *it was proved that for a discrete group* Q *and a proper* Q*-CW-complex, if a* $\mathbb{Z}_n$*-central extension* $\widetilde{Q'}$ *of* Q *is the pullback of an* S^1*-central extension* $\widetilde{Q}$ *of* Q *then*

$$^{\widetilde{Q}}K_Q(X) \cong {}^{\alpha_{\widetilde{Q'}}}K_Q(X)$$

with the twisting $\alpha_{\widetilde{Q'}}$ *on the right side defined in terms of cocycles in group cohomology (for details consult* [Dwy08] *or* [BV16]*). In this terminology, in* [BV16]*, calculations of the equivariant twisted* K*-theory groups for* $\underline{E}\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ *were obtained. Thus we have to determine the cocycle* $\alpha_{\widetilde{Q'}_{[sgn]}}$ *in terms of the generators* u_1 *and* u_2 *of* $H^3(\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2$*. From the discussion on page 59 in* [BV16]*, we know that there is a unique element* $u_1 + u_2 \in H^3(\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{Z})$ *restricting to nontrivial extensions of the three different copies of* S_3 *contained in* $\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z})$*; on the other hand, Lemma 9 (i) in* [Sou78] *implies that* $\mathrm{St}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ *has the same property. Hence* $u_1 + u_2$ *represents extension (4) . Then using Theorem 2.15,*

$$\begin{aligned}
K^*_{\mathrm{St}_n(\mathbb{Z})}(\underline{E}\mathrm{St}_3(\mathbb{Z})) \cong & K^*_{\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z})}(\underline{E}\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z})) \oplus {}^{u_1+u_2}K^*_{\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z})}(\underline{E}\mathrm{Sl}_3(\mathbb{Z})) \\
\cong & \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{13} & \text{if } * \text{ is even} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{if } * \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

3. Structure of actions with only one isotropy type

When a compact Lie group acts freely on a space X, the projection $X \to X/G$ is a fiber bundle with fiber G. More generally, when the action is not free but has only one isotropy type (G/H), then $X \to X/G$ is a fiber bundle with fiber G/H and structure group NH/H.

Borel observed that there is another fibration over the orbit space of a G-space with only one isotropy with fiber NH/H.

Theorem 3.1 (Corollary 5.10 [Bre72], page 89)**.** *Let G be a compact Lie group acting continuously on a completely regular Hausdorff space X. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G (not necessarily normal). If the action of G on X has only one orbit type G/H (all isotropy groups are conjugate to H), then the action of NH/H on X^H is free and X^H/NH is homeomorphic to X/G. Also, there is a principal NH/H-bundle*

$$NH/H \to X^H \to X/G.$$

In fact, a G-space with only one orbit type is the associated fiber bundle over X/G with fiber G/H and structure group NH/H.

Theorem 3.2 (Corollary 5.11 [Bre72] page 89)**.** *Let G be a compact Lie group acting continuously on a completely regular Hausdorff space X. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G (not necessarily normal). If the action of G on X has only one orbit type G/H (all isotropy groups are conjugate to H), then the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : G/H \times_{N(H)/H} X^H &\to X \\ [gH, x] &\mapsto gx \end{aligned}$$

is a G-homeomorphism.

This theorem gives a correspondence between principal NH/H-bundles over B, and actions of G with only one isotropy type (G/H) and orbit space B. This correspondence takes a principal NH/H-bundle $P \to B$ and assigns the G space $G/H \times_{NH/H} P$. For example, the G-actions with only one isotropy type (G/H) and quotient space S^n are classified by $\pi_{n-1}(NH/H)$.

These results follow from the next theorem:

Theorem 3.3 (Theorem 5.9 [Bre72] page 89)**.** *Let G be a compact Lie group acting continuously on a completely regular Hausdorff space X. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G (not necessarily normal). If the action of G on X has only one orbit type G/H (all isotropy groups are conjugate to H), then the map $\Phi([g, x]) = gx$*

$$\Phi : G \times_{NH} X^H \to X$$

is a G-homeomorphism.

For G a compact Lie group, many G-spaces are of the form $G \times_H X$ for X an H-space. Consider a G-space Y with a G-equivariant continuous map $f : Y \to G/H$. If we take $X = f^{-1}(eH)$, the natural map

$$F : G \times_H X \to Y$$

is a G-equivariant homeomorphism (for G a compact Lie group, H closed).

We want to extend these theorems to more general groups. This is condition (S) of [LU14] and it is satisfied, for example, if the group is locally compact, second countable and has finite covering dimension. In particular, Lie groups satisfy condition (S).

Theorem 3.4 ([LU14] Lemma 4.2)**.** *Let $f : Y \to G/H$ be a G-equivariant map for some subgroup $H \subseteq G$. Suppose that condition (S) is satisfied . Then the G-equivariant map $F : G \times_H f^{-1}(eH) \to Y$ given by $[g, e] \to g \cdot e$ is a G-homeomorphism.*

We will use the previous theorem to study proper actions of Lie groups with only one isotropy type. When the Lie group acts smoothly and properly on a manifold, the analogs of Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 are obtained.

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a Lie group acting continuously and properly on a manifold M. Suppose H is a closed subgroup of G (not necessarily normal). If the action of G on M has only one orbit type G/H (all isotropy groups are conjugate to H), then the action of NH/H on M^H is free and M^H/NH is homeomorphic to M/G. Hence, there is a principal NH/H-bundle*

$$NH/H \to M^H \to M/G$$

Theorem 3.6. *Keeping the same assumptions as in Theorem 3.5, the map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : G/H \times_{N(H)/H} M^H &\to M \\ [gH, x] &\mapsto gx \end{aligned}$$

is a diffeomorphism of G-spaces.

These results follow for example from [DK00] Theorem 2.6.7, Part iii) and iv) respectively.

The following theorems extend the previous results to proper actions of Lie groups. The key fact is the existence of tubes and slices for proper actions of groups. In the case of completely regular Hausdorff spaces, see Theorem 2.3.3 of [Pal61]; for G-CW complexes, see Theorem 7.1 of [LU14].

Theorem 3.7. *Let G be a Lie group acting properly on a completely regular Hausdorff space X. Suppose H is a compact subgroup of G (not necessarily normal). If the action of G on X has only one orbit type G/H (all isotropy groups are conjugate to H), then the map $\Phi([g, x]) = gx$*

$$\Phi : G \times_{NH} X^H \to X$$

is a G-homeomorphism.

Proof. Consider the function $\phi : X \to G/NH$ defined for $x \in X$ as follows: the stabilizer at x is gHg^{-1} for some $g \in G$, and therefore the stabilizer of $g^{-1}x$ is H. ϕ is defined sending x to the coset gNH.

This function is G-equivariant because $\overline{g}x$ has stabilizer $\overline{g}gHg^{-1}\overline{g}^{-1}$ and therefore $\phi(\overline{g}x) = \overline{g}gNH = \overline{g}\phi(x)$.

If $\phi(x) = gNH$, then $G_x = gHg^{-1}$ so that $x \in X^{gHg^{-1}}$. Also if $x \in X^{gHg^{-1}}$, then $gHg^{-1} \subseteq G_x$, and since we are assuming only one isotropy type, then $G_x = \overline{g}H\overline{g}^{-1}$ for some $\overline{g} \in G$. Now by [DK00], Corollary 1.11.9,

$$gHg^{-1} \subseteq \overline{g}H\overline{g}^{-1} \text{ implies } gHg^{-1} = \overline{g}H\overline{g}^{-1}.$$

Since H is compact, gHg^{-1} and $\overline{g}H\overline{g}^{-1}$ have a finite number of components, and both are Lie groups. Therefore

$$\phi(x) = gNH \iff x \in X^{gHg^{-1}}.$$

Since the action of G on X is proper and X is a completely regular Hausdorff space, by [Pal61], Theorem 2.3.3, there is a slice and tube around x. Take a slice at x; this is a subset $S \subseteq X$ that is G_x-equivariant and such that the natural map $G \times_{G_x} S \to X$ is a G-homeomorphism onto an open subset of X.

Since the stabilizer at x is gHg^{-1}, the tube $U \cong G \times_{G_x} S$ has isotropy at $[\overline{g}, s]$ given by $G_{[\overline{g},s]} = \overline{g}G_{xs}\overline{g}^{-1} \subseteq \overline{g}gHg^{-1}\overline{g}^{-1}$. But since the isotropy type of $\overline{g}s$ is also H, there exists $\widetilde{g}$ such that

$$G_{[\overline{g},s]} = \widetilde{g}H\widetilde{g}^{-1} \subseteq \overline{g}gHg^{-1}\overline{g}^{-1}.$$

But since H is compact, $\widetilde{g}H\widetilde{g}^{-1}$ and $\overline{g}gHg^{-1}\overline{g}^{-1}$ have a finite number of components, and since they are both Lie groups, once again by Corollary 1.11.9 in [DK00], $\widetilde{g}H\widetilde{g}^{-1} \subseteq \overline{g}gHg^{-1}\overline{g}^{-1}$ implies $\widetilde{g}H\widetilde{g}^{-1} = \overline{g}gHg^{-1}\overline{g}^{-1}$, and therefore $\widetilde{g}NH = \overline{g}gNH$. On the tube $G \times_{G_x} S$ we have

$$\phi([\overline{g}, s]) = \overline{g}gNH$$

which means ϕ is constant on the slice and is continuous on the tube at x.

As $\phi^{-1}(eNH) = X^H$, using Theorem 3.4 the proof is finished. □

Similarly for G-CW complexes, there exist slices by Theorem 7.1 of [LU14]. Therefore the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.8. *Let G be a Lie group acting properly on a G-CW complex X. Suppose H is a compact subgroup of G (not necessarily normal). If the action of G on X has only one orbit type G/H (all isotropy groups are conjugate to H), then the map $\Phi([g, x]) = gx$*

$$\Phi : G \times_{NH} X^H \to X$$

is a G-homeomorphism.

3.1. **K-theory of actions with only one isotropy type.** In this section we study G-equivariant K-theory for actions with only one isotropy type. The are two easy types of actions with one isotropy type.

(1) Free actions
(2) Trivial actions

For free actions of a compact Lie group G, we know that the equivariant K-theory is the K-theory of the quotient:

$$K_G^*(X) \cong K^*(X/G).$$

For trivial actions, the equivariant K-theory is the tensor product of the group ring with the K-theory of the space, which can be written as a sum over the irreducible representations of G of the K-theory of the space:

$$K_G^*(X) \cong R(G) \otimes K^*(X) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in \mathrm{Irr}(G)} K^*(X).$$

More generally, the G-action on $G/H \times X$, acting only on the first component, has one isotropy type, and the equivariant K-theory is:

$$K_G^*(G/H \times X) \cong K_H^*(X) \cong R(H) \otimes K^*(X) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in \mathrm{Irr}(H)} K^*(X).$$

We will prove a decomposition formula for actions with only one isotropy type that generalizes the previous examples.

If G is a compact Lie group acting continuously on the space X with only one orbit type (G/H), we know that there is a G-homeomorphism

$$\Phi : G \times_{NH} X^H \to X.$$

Since H is closed, the normalizer NH is a closed subgroup, and since G is compact we have that NH is a compact group.

From the G-homeomorphism and induction structure of K-theory:

$$K_G^*(X) \cong K_G^*(G \times_{NH} X^H) \cong K_{NH}^*(X^H).$$

H is a closed normal subgroup of NH that acts trivially on X^H. From [AGU18] there is a decomposition

$$K_{NH}^*(X^H) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho] \in NH \backslash \operatorname{Irr}(H)} {}^{\widetilde{W}_{[\rho]}} K_{W_{[\rho]}}^*(X^H),$$

where the sum is over representatives of the orbits of the NH-action on the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible H-representations, and $W_{[\rho]} = NH_{[\rho]}/H$.

We have an extension of compact Lie groups

$$1 \to H \xrightarrow{\iota} NH \xrightarrow{\pi} NH/H \to 1$$

and for each $\rho : H \to U(V_\rho)$ complex, finite-dimensional, irreducible representation of H, there are central extensions

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\iota}} \widetilde{W}_{[\rho]} \to W_{[\rho]} \to 1.$$

Now, under the conditions of only one isotropy type, NH/H acts freely on X^H and therefore $W_{[\rho]}$ acts freely on X^H. Thus

$${}^{\widetilde{W}_\rho} K_{W_\rho}^*(X^H) \cong {}^{\alpha_{[\rho]}} K^*(X^H/W_{[\rho]})$$

where $\alpha_{[\rho]}$ is the twisting coming from the central extension as in Section 2.3.

$NH_{[\rho]}$ is the stabilizer of the action of NH on $\operatorname{Irr}(H)$, which is a discrete space. Therefore $NH/NH_{[\rho]}$ is a discrete space, and in fact a finite set of size $[NH_{[\rho]} : NH]$. Thus, there is a covering space:

$$\begin{array}{c} X^H/NH_{[\rho]} \\ \big\downarrow \\ X^H/NH \end{array}$$

of degree $[NH_{[\rho]} : NH]$.

But $G \times_{NH} X^H$ is G-homeomorphic to X and therefore

$$X/G \cong X^H/NH$$

and there is a covering space $X^H/NH_{[\rho]} \to X/G$.

Since H acts trivially on X^H,

$$X^H/(NH/H) = X^H/NH \cong X/G$$

and $X^H/NH_{[\rho]} = X^H/W_{[\rho]}$. These observations put together result in

$$K_G^*(X) \cong K_{NH}^*(X^H) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho] \in NH \backslash \operatorname{Irr}(H)} {}^{\widetilde{W}_{[\rho]}} K_{W_{[\rho]}}^*(X^H) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho] \in NH \backslash \operatorname{Irr}(H)} {}^{\alpha_{[\rho]}} K^*(X^H/W_{[\rho]})$$

and $X^H/W_{[\rho]} = X^H/NH_{[\rho]} \to X^H/NH = X/G$ is a covering space with fiber $NH/NH_{[\rho]}$.

Theorem 3.9. *Suppose a compact Lie group G acts continuously on the topological space X with only one orbit type (G/H). Then*

$$K_G^*(X) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in NH\setminus \mathrm{Irr}(H)} {}^{\alpha_{[\rho]}}K^*(X^H/NH_{[\rho]})$$

and for every irreducible representation ρ of H there is a covering space of degree $[NH_{[\rho]} : NH]$

$$X^H/NH_{[\rho]} \to X/G$$

and a central extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\iota}} \widetilde{W}_{[\rho]} \to W_{[\rho]} \to 1$$

classified by a cohomology class $\alpha_{\widetilde{W_{[\rho]}}} \in H^2(BW_{[\rho]}; S^1)$, and $\alpha_{[\rho]} \in H^2(X^H/NH_{[\rho]}; S^1)$ is the pullback $p^*(\alpha_{\widetilde{W_{[\rho]}}})$ with

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EW_{[\rho]} \times_{W_{[\rho]}} X^H & \xrightarrow{p} & BW_{[\rho]} \\ \downarrow \simeq & \nearrow & \\ X^H/NH_{[\rho]} & & \end{array}$$

Theorem 3.9 generalizes Theorem 3.9 of [GU17].

Example 3.10. *Lets look at actions of $SU(2)$ on simply connected 5-dimensional manifolds with only one isotropy type and orbit space S^2. These manifolds have been classified up to equivariant diffeomorphism in* [Sim16]. *There can only be three orbit types: (e), $(SU(2)/(\mathbb{Z}_2))$ and $(SU(2)/(\mathbb{Z}_m))$. We will describe now the possible equivariant diffeomorphic types:*

Consider for natural numbers l, m with $(l, m) = 1$,

$$\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m} = \mathrm{SU}(2) \times_{S^1} S^3$$

with S^1 acting on $SU(2) \times S^3$ by

$$z \cdot (g, (w_1, w_2)) = (gz^{-l}, (z^m w_1, z^m w_2))$$

and $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ *acting on $\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}$ by*

$$\overline{g} \cdot [g, (w_1, w_2)] = [\overline{g}g, (w_1, w_2)]$$

- *$\mathcal{N}^0_{1,1} = SU(2) \times S^2$ is the free $SU(2)$-manifold. In this case*
$$K^*_{SU(2)}(\mathcal{N}^0_{1,1}) \cong K^*(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[H]/(H-1)^2$$
concentrated in degree 0.
- *$SO(3)\times S^2$ and $\mathcal{N}^1_{2,2}$ are the $SU(2)$-manifolds with isotropy type $(SU(2)/\mathbb{Z}_2)$. $SO(3) \times S^2$ is not simply connected, but $\mathcal{N}^1_{2,2}$ is in fact simply connected.*

For isotropy type $(SU(2)/(\mathbb{Z}_m))$, with $m \geq 3$, we have the manifolds

$$\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}.$$

Actually, by the theorem of Barden-Smale about 5-dimensional simply connected manifolds

$$\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m} \cong S^3 \times S^2.$$

To use theorem 3.9 we need to know the normalizers and the Weyl groups:

H	1	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	$\mathbb{Z}_m$
NH	$SU(2)$	$SU(2)$	$Pin(2)$
NH/H	$SU(2)$	$SO(3)$	$Pin(2)$

Lets consider the case $m \geq 3$. The normalizer in this case is $N\mathbb{Z}_m = Pin(2)$. By theorem 3.9

$$K^*_{SU(2)}(\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in Pin(2)\setminus \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_m)} {}^{\alpha_{[\rho]}}K^*\left(\left(\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_m}/Pin(2)_{[\rho]}\right)$$

where for every irreducible representation ρ of $\mathbb{Z}_m$ there is a covering space

$$\left(\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_m}/Pin(2)_{[\rho]} \to \mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}/SU(2)$$

of degree $[Pin(2)_{[\rho]} : Pin(2)]$. But $\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}/SU(2) \cong S^2$, which is simply connected, and therefore the nontrivial coverings are disconnected,

$$\left(\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}\right)^{\mathbb{Z}_m}/Pin(2)_{[\rho]} \cong S^2 \times [Pin(2)_{[\rho]} : Pin(2)].$$

Since S^2 is 2-dimensional, there are no twistings.

Therefore

$$K^*_{SU(2)}(\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in Pin(2)\setminus \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_m)} K^*(S^2 \times [Pin(2)_{[\rho]} : Pin(2)])$$

which is just

$$\bigoplus_{[\rho]\in Pin(2)\setminus \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_m)} \bigoplus_{[Pin(2)_{[\rho]}:Pin(2)]} K^*(S^2) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in \operatorname{Irr}(\mathbb{Z}_m)} K^*(S^2)$$

This can be written as

$$K^*_{SU(2)}(\mathcal{N}^l_{m,m}) \cong R(\mathbb{Z}_m) \otimes K^*(S^2) \cong \mathbb{Z}[\sigma]/(\sigma^m - 1) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]/(\gamma - 1)^2$$

concentrated in degree zero (the isomorphisms are just additive). The vector bundle γ denotes the tautological line bundle over S^2.

The case of isotropy $\mathbb{Z}_2$ is completely analogue, resulting in a similar answer. We finish by saying that for a simply connected 5-manifold M, with action of $SU(2)$ with one isotropy type $(SU(2)/H)$ and orbit space S^2, there is an isomorphism of abelian groups

$$K^*_{SU(2)}(M) \cong R(H) \otimes \mathbb{Z}[\gamma]/(\gamma - 1)^2.$$

Note that this is the equivariant K-theory of $SU(2)/H \times S^2$, which is an example of a 5-dimensional $SU(2)$-manifold with only one isotropy type, but nevertheless is not simply connected.

We can generalize Theorem 3.9 to the case of proper actions of Lie groups by using Theorems 2.15 and 3.7.

Theorem 3.11. *Supppose the Lie groups G and NH satisfy (K) and act properly on a locally compact Hausdorff second countable space X with only one orbit type (G/H). Then*

$$K^*_G(X) \cong \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in NH\setminus \operatorname{Irr}(H)} {}^{\alpha_{[\rho]}}K^*(X^H/NH_{[\rho]})$$

where for every irreducible representation ρ of H there is a covering space of degree $[NH_{[\rho]} : NH]$

$$X^H/NH_{[\rho]} \to X/G$$

and a central extension

$$1 \to S^1 \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\iota}} \widetilde{W}_{[\rho]} \to W_{[\rho]} \to 1$$

classified by a cohomology class $\alpha_{\widehat{W_{[\rho]}}} \in H^2(BW_{[\rho]}; S^1)$, *and* $\alpha_{[\rho]} \in H^2(X^H/NH_{[\rho]}; S^1)$ *is the pullback* $p^*(\alpha_{\widehat{W_{[\rho]}}})$ *with*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} EW_{[\rho]} \times_{W_{[\rho]}} X^H & \xrightarrow{p} & BW_{[\rho]} \\ \downarrow \simeq & \nearrow & \\ X^H/NH_{[\rho]} & & \end{array}$$

4. Decomposition in equivariant connective K-homology (compact case)

In this section we will provide a decomposition for equivariant connective K-homology in terms of twisted equivariant connective K-homology groups, in a similar manner as in Section 2.3. A configuration space model as constructed in [Vel15] and [Vel19] will be used. It will be assumed throughout this section that G is a compact Lie group.

Definition 4.1. *Let (X, x_0) be a finite, based G-CW-complex that is G-connected. Consider an S^1-central extension*

$$0 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{G} \to G \to 0.$$

Define the space

$${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathrm{Hom}^*(C(X, x_0), M_n(L^2(\widetilde{G}, S^1)))$$

with the compact open topology, where $C(X, x_0)$ denotes the C^*-algebra of complex valued continuous maps from X vanishing at x_0,

$$L^2(\widetilde{G}, S^1) = \{f \in L^2(\widetilde{G}) \mid \textit{for } \lambda \in S^1 \textit{ and } \widetilde{g} \in \widetilde{G}, f(\lambda \widetilde{g}) = \lambda^{-1} f(\widetilde{g})\},$$

and $M_n(-)$ denotes the ring of matrices of size $n \times n$.

Results in [Vel15] imply that $\mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G)$ can be described as a configuration space whose elements are finite formal sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} (x_i, V_i),$$

where $x_i \in X - \{x_0\}$ with $i \neq j$ implies $x_i \neq x_j$, and each V_i is an element of $L^2(G)^\infty$ (this is the space of finite-dimensional subspaces of $L^2(G)$) such that if $i \neq j$ then V_i and V_j are orthogonal.

We have a natural action of G over $\mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G)$ that we can define in the configuration space description as follows:

$$g \bullet \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} (x_i, V_i) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} (gx_i, gV_i).$$

Theorem 4.2. *For a compact Lie group G and a finite, based, G-CW-complex (X, x_0) that is G-connected, there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\pi_i\left(\mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G)/G, \mathbf{0}\right) \cong k_i^G(X, x_0),$$

where $k_*^G(-)$ stands for the G-equivariant connective K-homology of the pair (X, x_0).

Remark 4.3. *When G is a finite group, in* [Vel15] *it is proved that the homotopy groups of the G-invariant part of the configuration space correspond with equivariant connective K-homology groups. In fact, if G is finite there is a based homotopy equivalence*

$$\mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G)/G \simeq \mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G)^G.$$

In the case of compact Lie groups of positive dimension, if G acts on X with only infinite orbits, the G-action on $\mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G)$ is free outside of x_0. That is the reason to consider the orbit space.

The elements in ${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathcal{C}(X, X_0, G)/\widetilde{G}$ have a description as finite formal sums

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(Gx_i, E_i),$$

where Gx_i denotes the G-orbit of x_i and E_i is a $\widetilde{G}$-vector bundle over Gx_i, with S^1 acting by multiplication by inverses.

Remark 4.4. *When the based G-CW-complex (X, x_0) is not supposed to be G-connected, we define the configuration space*

$${}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathcal{C}(X, x_0, G) = \Omega_0\left({}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathcal{C}(\Sigma X, x_0, G)\right),$$

where Ω_0 denotes the based loop space and Σ denotes the reduced suspension.

Definition 4.5. *Let X be a finite, G-CW-complex. Consider an S^1-central extension*

$$0 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{G} \to G \to 0.$$

The $\widetilde{G}$-twisted, G-equivariant connective K-homology groups of X are defined by

$${}^{\widetilde{G}}k_n^G(X, x_0) = \pi_i\left({}^{\widetilde{G}}\mathcal{C}(X_+, +, G)/\widetilde{G}, \mathbf{0}\right)$$

where $X_+ = X \coprod \{+\}$ and $+$ is an extra point with a trivial G-action.

In this context, a decomposition is obtained after applying Theorem 2.10.

Theorem 4.6. *Let G be a compact Lie group, with X being a finite G-CW-complex on which a normal subgroup A acts trivially. There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\Psi_X : k_*^G(X) \to \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} {}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}}k_*^{Q_{[\rho]}}(X)$$

induced by the following map on configuration spaces:

$$\mathcal{C}(\Sigma X_+, +, G)/G \to \prod_{[\rho]\in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} {}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}}\mathcal{C}(\Sigma X_+, +, Q_{[\rho]})/\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]},$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k}(Gx_i, E_i) \mapsto \bigoplus_{[\rho]\in G\backslash \mathrm{Irr}(A)} \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(Gx_i, \mathrm{Hom}_A(\rho, E_i)\right).$$

This isomorphism is functorial on G-maps $X \to Y$ of G-CW-complexes spaces on which A acts trivially.

Proof. Just apply Theorem 2.10 to each G-vector bundle E_i in the sum. □

Remark 4.7. *A decomposition for nonconnective K-homology may require the use of C^*-algebras. In a future work we will address this problem.*

Appendix A. Additional proofs regarding (K)

In this section we will consider an additional assumption on the group G:

(AN) *For every finite-dimensional G-bundle $E \to X$ over a G-space X such that X/G is a compact space, there exists a finite-dimensional G-representation V and a finite-dimensional G-bundle $F \to X$ such that there is an isomorphism of G-vector bundles: $E \oplus F \cong X \times V$.*

It was proved in [Phi89b], Lemma 2.2, that (AN) implies that the equivariant K-theory $K_G(X)$ is a G-cohomology theory. We remark that if every central extension $\tilde{G}$ of G by S^1 satisfies (AN), it also implies (K) as defined in Section 2.3. Also, (AN) is closely related to the property of being *Bredon-compatible*, defined by J. Cantarero in [Can12] and [Can11] for representable grupoids. We use (AN) here because it happens to be easier to verify it for some particular cases.

Theorem A.1. *Let*

$$1 \to A \to G \to Q \xrightarrow{\pi} 1$$

be an extension of Lie groups, where A is compact. If G satisfies (AN) then Q also does.

Proof. Let $E \to X$ be a Q-vector bundle over a Q-space X. X is a G-space via the map π. Note that this action restricted to the subgroup A is trivial. Similarly, the vector bundle E can be seen as a G-vector bundle. As G satisfies (AN), for the vector bundle E regarded as a G-vector bundle there exists a finite-dimensional G-representation V and a finite-dimensional G-vector bundle $F \to X$ such that there is an isomorphism of G-vector bundles $E \oplus F \cong X \times V$. As the group A acts trivially on the space X, the image of E (regarded as a G-vector bundle) via the natural morphism $\phi : K_G(X) \to K_Q(X/A) = K_Q(X)$ is the vector bundle E itself. Since the application ϕ is a ring homomorphism,

$$\phi(E \oplus F) = E \oplus \phi(F) = X \times V$$

as Q-vector bundles. This proves that (AN) holds for Q. □

Theorem A.2. *Suppose H is a closed subgroup of the Lie group G. If G satisfies (AN) then H also does.*

Proof. Let $E \to X$ be an H-vector bundle over an H-space X, H acting on the right on G by multiplication. Consider the G-vector bundle

$$G \times_H E \to G \times_H X$$

over the G-space $G \times_H X$. By (AN), for this vector bundle there exists a G-vector bundle $F \to G \times_H X$ and a G-representation V such that there is an isomorphism of G-vector bundles over $G \times_H X$

$$(G \times_H E) \oplus F \cong (G \times_H X) \times V.$$

Using the unit of the adjunction $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G \dashv \mathrm{Res}_H^G$, there is an H-equivariant map

$$\varepsilon_X : X \to G \times_H X,$$

defined by $x \mapsto [e, x]$. Pulling back with ε_X there is an isomorphism of H-vector bundles over X. Therefore

$$\varepsilon_X^*(G \times_H E) \oplus \varepsilon_x^*(F) \cong E \oplus \varepsilon_x^*(F) \cong \mathrm{Res}_H^G(X \times V)$$

as H-equivariant bundles. Then, by the pullback's properties, (AN) holds for H. □

Definition A.3. *A linear (real) group is a closed subgroup of the group $GL_n(\mathbb{R})$.*

Theorem A.4. *If G is a linear group, then it satisfies (AN).*

Proof. See [Phi89b], Lemma 2.1. □

Theorem A.5. *Let $1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]} \to 1$ be the central extension (1) for a linear group G. Then $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$ satisfies (AN).*

Proof. $G_{[\rho]}$ is a subgroup of a linear group, hence it is linear. $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$ is a linear group because it is a subgroup of $G_{[\rho]} \times U(n)$ which is the product of linear groups. Also, since $\widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}$ is linear, it satisfies (AN) and therefore $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} = \widetilde{G}_{[\rho]}/A$. □

As a result by Phillips, the equivariant K-theory defined with finite-dimensional $\tilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-vector bundles is a $\tilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$-cohomology theory on the category of locally compact Hausdorff second-countable spaces. Decomposing by the characters of S^1, the ${}^{\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}}K^*_{Q_{[\rho]}}$-theory is a $Q_{[\rho]}$-equivariant cohomology theory.

We recall that a topological group G is called almost connected if G/G_0 is compact, where G_0 denotes the identity component of G. Any compact group is almost connected. Any connected group is almost connected. A Lie group is almost connected precisely when it has finitely many components. By Theorem 3.1 in [Phi89b], for every almost connected group G there is a maximal compact subgroup K.

Theorem A.6. *Let G be an almost connected group, and let X be a proper G-space. Let K be a maximal compact subgroup. Then there exists a closed K-invariant subset S of X such that the natural map is a G-homeomorphism from $G \times_K S$ to X.*

Proof. See Theorem 3.2 in [Phi89b]. □

Theorem A.7. *If G is an almost connected Lie group acting on a compact G-space X, then it satisfies (AN).*

Proof. Let E be a finite-dimensional G-vector bundle over X. By the induction structure on vector bundles there is a finite-dimensional K-vector bundle E' over S such that there is an isomorphism of G-vector bundles

$$E \cong G \times_K E'.$$

As K satisfies (AN) (being a compact group), there is a finite-dimensional K-vector bundle F' over S and a finite-dimensional K-representation V such that

$$E' \oplus F' \cong S \times V.$$

It implies

$$E \oplus (G \times_K F') \cong X \times (G \times_K V).$$

This proves (AN) for G. □

Theorem A.8. *Suppose A is a closed, normal subgroup of an almost connected group G. Then $Q = G/A$ is almost connected.*

Proof. Let Q_0 be the identity component of Q. Note that $\overline{G_0 A}/A \subset Q_0$. Therefore Q/Q_0 is a closed subspace of

$$(G/A)/(\overline{G_0 A}/A) \cong G/\overline{G_0 A} \cong (G/G_0)/(\overline{G_0 A}/G_0).$$

Since G/G_0 is a compact space, the quotient space $(G/G_0)/(\overline{G_0 A}/G_0)$ also is, and then Q/Q_0 is a compact space. □

Theorem A.9. *Suppose H is a finite index, closed subgroup of an almost connected Lie group G. Then H is almost connected.*

Proof. By the finite index of H in G,

$$G = g_1 H \cup g_2 H \cup \cdots \cup g_k H$$

for $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k$ a set of representatives of the quotient G/H. Since each element $g \in G$ belongs to only one set $g_i H$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$, a map $f : G \to H$ can be defined as $f(g) = g_i^{-1} g$ with i such that $g \in g_i H$. This application is surjective, hence its image is dense in H. Since G is almost connected, by Lemma 5.7 in [HM07], H is an almost connected group. □

Theorem A.10. *Suppose the short sequence $1 \to A \to G \to Q \to 1$ is an exact sequence, where A is a connected normal subgroup of G. If Q is almost connected then G is almost connected.*

Proof. Let A be a connected subgroup of G. The group A contains the identity. Therefore it is the case that $A \subset G_0$. Since A is normal,

$$(G/A)/(G_0/A) \cong G/G_0.$$

On the other hand, $G_0/A \subset Q_0$. The set G_0/A is a closed and open set in the quotient space $Q = G/A$, thus $Q_0 = G_0/A$. This proves the compactness of G/G_0. □

Theorem A.11. *Let $1 \to S^1 \to \widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]} \to Q_{[\rho]} \to 1$ be the central extension (1) for G an almost connected group. Then $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$ is almost connected.*

Proof. $G_{[\rho]}$ is a subgroup of finite index of an almost connected subgroup, so it is almost connected. Since the quotient of an almost connected group by a normal subgroup is almost connected, $Q_{[\rho]}$ is an almost connected group.

Since $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$ is an extension of $Q_{[\rho]}$ by S^1 which is connected, $\widetilde{Q}_{[\rho]}$ is almost connected. □

References

[AGU18] Andrés Ángel, José Manuel Gómez, and Bernardo Uribe, *Equivariant complex bundles, fixed points and equivariant unitary bordism*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **18** (2018), no. 7, 4001–4035. MR 3892237

[AS04] Michael Atiyah and Graeme Segal, *Twisted K-theory*, Ukr. Mat. Visn. **1** (2004), no. 3, 287–330. MR 2172633

[AS06] ———, *Twisted K-theory and cohomology*, Inspired by S. S. Chern, Nankai Tracts Math., vol. 11, World Sci. Publ., Hackensack, NJ, 2006, pp. 5–43. MR 2307274

[Ati62] M. F. Atiyah, *Vector bundles and the Künneth formula*, Topology **1** (1962), 245–248. MR 150780

[Bre72] Glen E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York-London, 1972, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 46. MR 0413144

[BV16] Noé Bárcenas and Mario Velásquez, *Equivariant K-theory of central extensions and twisted equivariant K-theory: $SL_3\mathbb{Z}$ and $St_3\mathbb{Z}$*, Homology Homotopy Appl. **18** (2016), no. 1, 49–70. MR 3465637

[Can11] Jose Cantarero, *Twisted K-theory for actions of Lie groupoids and its completion theorem*, Math. Z. **268** (2011), no. 1-2, 559–583. MR 2805447

[Can12] ———, *Equivariant K-theory, groupoids and proper actions*, J. K-Theory **9** (2012), no. 3, 475–501. MR 2955971

[CRV19] G. Combariza, J. Rodríguez, and M. Velásquez, *Induced character in equivariant k-theory, wreath products and pullback of groups*, Preprint, arXiv:1902.07097 [math.KT], 2019.

[DK00] J. J. Duistermaat and J. A. C. Kolk, *Lie groups*, Springer, New York, 2000.

[Dwy08] Christopher Dwyer, *Twisted equivariant K-theory for proper actions of discrete groups*, K-Theory **38** (2008), no. 2, 95–111. MR 2366557

[GU17] José Manuel Gómez and Bernardo Uribe, *A decomposition of equivariant K-theory in twisted equivariant K-theories*, Internat. J. Math. **28** (2017), no. 2, 1750016, 23. MR 3615587

[HM07] K.H. Hofmann and S.A. Morris, *The lie theory of connected pro-lie groups: A structure theory for pro-lie algebras, pro-lie groups, and connected locally compact groups*, EMS tracts in mathematics, European Mathematical Society, 2007.

[KT13] Eberhard Kaniuth and Keith F. Taylor, *Induced representations of locally compact groups*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 197, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. MR 3012851

[LÖ5] Wolfgang Lück, *Equivariant cohomological Chern characters*, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **15** (2005), no. 5-6, 1025–1052. MR 2197819

[LO01] Wolfgang Lück and Bob Oliver, *The completion theorem in K-theory for proper actions of a discrete group*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 3, 585–616. MR 1838997

[LU14] Wolfgang Lück and Bernardo Uribe, *Equivariant principal bundles and their classifying spaces*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **14** (2014), no. 4, 1925–1995. MR 3331607

[Mac58] George W. Mackey, *Unitary representations of group extensions. I*, Acta Math. **99** (1958), 265–311. MR 98328

[Moo76] Calvin C. Moore, *Group extensions and cohomology for locally compact groups. III*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **221** (1976), no. 1, 1–33. MR 414775

[MT68] Robert E. Mosher and Martin C. Tangora, *Cohomology operations and applications in homotopy theory*, Harper & Row, Publishers, New York-London, 1968. MR 0226634

[Pal61] Richard S. Palais, *On the existence of slices for actions of non-compact lie groups*, Annals of Mathematics **73** (1961), no. 2, 295–323.

[Phi89a] N. Christopher Phillips, *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 178, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. MR 991566

[Phi89b] ———, *Equivariant K-theory for proper actions ii: Some cases in which finite dimensional bundles suffice*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, vol. 178, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; copublished in the United States with John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1989. MR 991566

[Seg68] Graeme Segal, *Equivariant K-theory*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1968), no. 34, 129–151. MR 234452

[Sim16] Fabio Simas, *Nonnegatively curved five-manifolds with non-abelian symmetry*, Geom. Dedicata **181** (2016), 61–82. MR 3475738

[Sou78] Christophe Soulé, *The cohomology of* $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbf{Z})$, Topology **17** (1978), no. 1, 1–22. MR 0470141

[Ste16] Robert Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, University Lecture Series, vol. 66, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2016, Notes prepared by John Faulkner and Robert Wilson, Revised and corrected edition of the 1968 original [MR0466335], With a foreword by Robert R. Snapp. MR 3616493

[SW15] Hisham Sati and Craig Westerland, *Twisted Morava K-theory and E-theory*, J. Topol. **8** (2015), no. 4, 887–916. MR 3431663

[TT14] Xiang Tang and Hsian-Hua Tseng, *Duality theorems for étale gerbes on orbifolds*, Adv. Math. **250** (2014), 496–569. MR 3122175

[TXLG04] Jean-Louis Tu, Ping Xu, and Camille Laurent-Gengoux, *Twisted K-theory of differentiable stacks*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **37** (2004), no. 6, 841–910. MR 2119241

[Vel15] Mario Velásquez, *A configuration space for equivariant connective K-homology*, J. Noncommut. Geom. **9** (2015), no. 4, 1343–1382. MR 3448338

[Vel19] ———, *A description of the assembly map for the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients*, New York J. Math. **25** (2019), 668–686. MR 4012566

[Yar17] Aliaksandra Yarosh, *Computations in Twisted Morava K-Theory*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2017, Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Pittsburgh. MR 3768626

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Los Andes, Cra. 1 No 18a-12, Bogotá, Colombia,

E-mail address: ja.angel908@uniandes.edu.co

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Cra. 30 cll 45 - Ciudad Universitaria, Bogotá, Colombia

E-mail address: esbecerrar@unal.edu.co

Departamento de Matemáticas, Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Cra. 7 No. 43-82 - Edificio Carlos Ortíz 6to piso, Bogotá D.C, Colombia

E-mail address: mario.velasquez@javeriana.edu.co

URL: https://sites.google.com/site/mavelasquezm/