

Adversarial Multi-Binary Neural Network for Multi-class Classification

Haiyang Xu

AI Labs, Didi Chuxing

Beijing, China

xuhaiyangsnow@didiglobal.com

Kun Han

AI Labs, Didi Research America

Mountain View, United States

kunhan@didiglobal.com

Junwen Chen

AI Labs, Didi Chuxing

Beijing, China

chenjunwen@didiglobal.com

Xiangang Li

AI Labs, Didi Chuxing

Beijing, China

lixiangang@didiglobal.com

ABSTRACT

Multi-class text classification is one of the key problems in machine learning and natural language processing. Emerging neural networks deal with the problem using a multi-output softmax layer and achieve substantial progress, but they do not explicitly learn the correlation among classes. In this paper, we use a multi-task framework to address multi-class classification, where a multi-class classifier and multiple binary classifiers are trained together. Moreover, we employ adversarial training to distinguish the class-specific features and the class-agnostic features. The model benefits from better feature representation. We conduct experiments on two large-scale multi-class text classification tasks and demonstrate that the proposed architecture outperforms baseline approaches.

KEYWORDS

multi-class text classification, neural networks, adversarial training, multi-task learning

ACM Reference format:

Haiyang Xu, Junwen Chen, Kun Han, and Xiangang Li. 2016. Adversarial Multi-Binary Neural Network for Multi-class Classification. In *Proceedings of ACM Conference, Washington, DC, USA, July 2017 (Conference'17)*, 4 pages.

DOI: 10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn

1 INTRODUCTION

Multi-class classification is a classic task for machine learning. One need to assign a label for a given example, where the number of possible labels are more than two. This is a very common problem in many areas, including natural language processing (NLP), computer vision, etc.

Recent text classification utilizes neural networks. If the last layer uses a softmax function, it would be straightforward to deal with multi-class problem. However, this structure treats all class

independently and neglects the relations among classes. From the perspective of representation learning, it does not guarantee that the raw input feature contributes equally to each class. Furthermore, some information in the raw feature may not be useful for the classification and compromise the performance.

Previous studies proposed using the one-vs-rest (OVR) scheme to deal with the multi-class problem [8, 10, 21]. In neural networks, one can build multiple OVR classifiers in one network, where the top layers conduct independent OVR tasks and the bottom layers are shared among different classifiers. This structure is inspired by multi-task learning [18], which aims to learn the correlation between related tasks to improve classification by learning them jointly. Multi-task learning learns the feature from different aspects and potentially regularizes the model to achieve better generalization.

In this paper, we treat each OVR binary classification as a single task, and all OVR binary classification together with the original multi-class classification constitute a multi-task problem. The rationale is that, for multi-class classification, the raw feature from the input space usually contains both information shared by all classes (class-agnostic) and specific to each class (class-specific). The former is shared among all classes and provide little information for classification, while the latter contains critical information for each class. To image that, in text classification, most stop words do not contribute to classification, which can be considered as class-agnostic, while the model should pay attention to other meaningful words.

To enforce the model to separate the features, we incorporate an adversarial training strategy. Specifically, each OVR binary classifier has a class-specific feature extractor, and we use another feature extractor to generate the class-agnostic feature which is then fed into a discriminator. The goal of the discriminator is to determine the source of the incoming feature, while the goal of the generator is to extract class-agnostic feature to fool the discriminator. Note that, in this paper, we use this architecture to address text classification problems, but it is straightforward to extend the proposed architecture to other domains.

2 RELATED WORK

Multi-class classification has been studying for decades. Researchers designed one-vs-all and one-vs-one schemes and utilized many machine learning approaches to address the problem, including support

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from permissions@acm.org.

Conference'17, Washington, DC, USA

© 2016 ACM. 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

DOI: 10.1145/nnnnnnnn.nnnnnnnn

vector machines [21], Adaboost [10], decision trees [8], etc. Recently, neural networks are commonly used for classification. When utilizing the softmax layer, there is no essential difference between the binary and multi-class classification.

In neural network based text classification, convolutional neural networks (CNNs) have been widely used to extract word-level or character-level feature representations [4, 5, 11, 28]. Recurrent neural networks directly encode sequential structures and are suitable for text classification [12, 24, 25, 27]. Most recent trends suggest strong learning capacity of transformers with the attention mechanism and have shown impressive results on many NLP tasks [6, 20, 26]. We mention that our approach focuses on a new multi-class training mechanism which is compatible with any type of text neural encoders. We choose the hierarchical attention network (HAN) [27] to encode the text in documents in our experiments.

Our work also relates to multi-task learning and adversarial learning. Collobert and Weston [3] proposed a unified multi-task framework for NLP. Many multi-task learning designed encoding network to learn shared information among different tasks [13, 15, 19, 22, 23]. Clark et al. [2] proposed a cross-view method to exact features from different views of the neural networks. Adversarial learning has been gaining increasing attention since Goodfellow et al. [9]. Miyato et al. [17] proposed to use adversarial perturbations for text classification. Chen et al. [1] used adversarial networks to transfer the knowledge learned from labeled data for low resource classification. Liu et al. [14] adopted adversarial training to distinguish shared and private features for multi-task learning.

3 METHODOLOGY




Figure 1: The architecture of our proposed model. $BC_i, Attn_i, Encoder_i$ are corresponding to the i th OVR binary classifier, and MC denotes the multi-class classifier. D is the discriminator and $Attn_{adv}$ is the adversarial attention

3.1 Problem Formulation

Each document $\mathbf{d}_i \in D$ consists of a sequence of sentences $\langle s_1, s_2, \dots \rangle$ and each sentence is corresponding to a sequence of tokens. Given a set of documents D , the K -class text classification can be formulated as a mapping $f : D \rightarrow \{l_1, \dots, l_K\}$. We decompose the multi-class classification into K binary classifications by the OVR strategy, so each binary classifier is $f_k : D \rightarrow \{l_k, l_{-k}\}$, where l_k denotes the k th class and l_{-k} denotes the superclass containing all classes except the k th class.

3.2 Adversarial Multi-binary Neural Network

As shown in Figure 1, for a K -class classification problem, we build an encoding neural network for each OVR binary classification f_k . We use the hierarchical attention network (HAN) [27] as an encoder for document classification in this study. The output of each HAN encoder is an attention module, which is then fully connected to a binary classifier with a two-output softmax layer. Formally, given a document $\mathbf{d}$, for the k th class, we use an encoder with an attention module to compute its class-specific representation $\mathbf{a}_k$ for binary classification:

$$\mathbf{e}_k = \text{Encoder}_k(\mathbf{d}; \theta_{e_k}) \quad (1)$$

$$\mathbf{a}_k = \text{Attn}_k(\mathbf{e}_k; \theta_{a_k}) \quad (2)$$

$$P_{\text{bin}_k}(k|\mathbf{d}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{a}_k)}{\exp(\mathbf{w}_k^T \mathbf{a}_k) + \exp(\mathbf{w}'_k^T \mathbf{a}_k)} \quad (3)$$

Here, Encoder_k and Attn_k are neural networks for the k th class with trainable parameters θ_{e_k} and θ_{a_k} , which convert a document input into a fixed-length feature vector $\mathbf{a}_k$. $\mathbf{w}_k$ and $\mathbf{w}'_k$ are two weight vectors in the fully-connect layer corresponding to two softmax outputs. We use the negative log likelihood as the loss function for the k th binary classifier:

$$L_{\text{bin}_k} = -(y_k \log P_{\text{bin}_k}(k) + (1 - y_k) \log P_{\text{bin}_k}(-k)) \quad (4)$$

where y_k is the ground-truth label for the k th classifier which is 1 if $y_k = k$ otherwise 0. $P_{\text{bin}_k}(-k)$ is the probability of the softmax output not corresponding to k .

Besides, we take all K class-specific feature vectors $\mathbf{a}_k$ as inputs to perform standard multi-class classification with multi-output softmax.

$$P_{\text{mul}}(k|\mathbf{d}) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{u}_k^T \mathbf{a}_k)}{\sum_{i=1}^K \exp(\mathbf{u}_i^T \mathbf{a}_i)} \quad (5)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_i$ denotes the weight vector between the i th attention module to the multi-class softmax layer. Therefore, the loss function is:

$$L_{\text{mul}} = - \sum_{k=1}^K y \log P_{\text{mul}}(k) \quad (6)$$

To distinguish the class-specific and class-agnostic features, we employ an adversarial training structure including an adversarial attention model Attn_{adv} and a discriminator D . For each training example, Attn_{adv} takes as an input from each class-specific encoder and generate K adversarial training instances. The goal of the discriminator is to determine which *class-specific encoder* the current instance comes from, regardless which *ground-truth class* the current example belongs to. The adversarial attention Attn_{adv} serves

as a generator aiming to extract class-agnostic features to fool the discriminator D :

$$\mathbf{a}_{adv}(k) = \text{Attn}_{adv}(\mathbf{e}_k; \theta_{adv}) \quad (7)$$

$$P_D(j|k) = \frac{\exp(\mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{a}_{adv}(k))}{\sum_{i=1}^K \exp(\mathbf{v}_i \mathbf{a}_{adv}(k))} \quad (8)$$

$$L_{adv} = \min_{\theta_{adv}} (\lambda \max_{\theta_D} (\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K z_k^j \log(P_D(j|k)))) \quad (9)$$

where $\mathbf{a}_{adv}(k)$ is the attention computed from the k th encoder. z_k^j is the training target for D which is equal to 1 if $k = j$ otherwise 0. $\mathbf{v}_i$ is the weight vector for the discriminator D and $\theta_D = \{\mathbf{v}_i; i = 1, \dots, K\}$. λ is a hyper-parameters.

The model is trained to extract class-specific features and class-agnostic features separately. The feature extracted from the each binary classifier should contribute more to the corresponding class than others, and we will demonstrate this in the experiment section. Furthermore, we apply orthogonality constraints [14] to prevent class-specific features from containing class-agnostic features. In the inference stage, we only take the class-specific features from the multi-class classifier as the final prediction.

Finally, the training loss of the whole model is the summation of all loss:

$$L_{diff} = \sum_{k=1}^K \|\mathbf{a}_k^T \mathbf{a}_{adv}(k)\|_F^2 \quad (10)$$

$$L = \alpha \sum_{k=1}^K L_{bin_k} + \beta L_{mul} + \gamma L_{adv} + \delta L_{diff} \quad (11)$$

where α, β, γ and δ are hyper-parameters.

4 EXPERIMENTS

4.1 Datasets and Baselines

To evaluate the proposed approach, we apply two large scale multi-class document datasets.

- **IMDB reviews** [7]: it is formed by randomly select 50k movies and crawl all their reviews from IMDB. There are around 348,000 documents in the dataset and 10 classes in total. We randomly select 80% of the data for training, 10% for validation and the remaining 10% for test.
- **Yahoo answers** [28]: it is a topic classification task with 10 topic classes, which includes 140,000 training samples and 5,000 testing samples. We randomly select 10% of the training samples as validation set.

For comparison, we choose the following methods based on deep learning as the baseline approaches:

- **CNN**: Kim [11] take the whole document as a single sequence and uses a CNN with pooling for classification (CNN).
- **Conv-GRNN and LSTM-GRNN**: Tang et al. [25] use a CNN or LSTM to form a sentence vector and then use a gated recurrent neural to combine the sentence vectors to a document level vector representation for classification

Table 1: The experimental results on IMDB reviews and Yahoo answers datasets, in percentage. MB stands for multi-binary model and AMB stands for adversarial multi-binary model.

Methods	IMDB	Yahoo
CNN	34.1	71.2
Conv-GRNN	42.5	-
LSTM-GRNN	45.3	-
HAN-AVE	47.8	75.2
HAN-MAX	48.2	75.2
HAN-ATT	49.4	75.8
MB	50.8	76.8
AMB	51.9	77.5

- **HAN**: Yang et al. [27] use a hierarchical attention network to model the sentences and the document with different pooling methods (HAN-{ATT, AVE, MAX}).

4.2 Implementation

We take the same pretrain mechanism as in [27], which retain words appearing more than 5 times in the vocabulary and obtain the word embedding using word2vec [16]. Furthermore, the parameters of our model are the following: we set the word embedding dimension to be 200 and the BiLSTM hidden state dimension to be 100. The word/sentence context vectors dimension also are set to be 100. We use RMSProp with learning rate 0.001. The hyper-parameters are tuned on the validation set. The α is set as 0.5, β is set as 1, γ is set as 0.1 and δ is set as 0.1.

4.3 Results and analysis

The experimental results on two datasets are shown in Table 1. We compare the baseline approaches with our adversarial multi-binary approach (AMB) in terms of classification accuracy. In order to evaluate the effectiveness of the adversarial training, we also train multi-binary models without adversarial training (MB). Results of baselines are taken from the corresponding papers. From the results, we can observe that: (1) Our AMB and MB models outperform the baselines on both datasets (+1.4% and +2.5% on IMDB, +1.0% and +1.7% on Yahoo), showing the advantage of the multi-binary scheme in multi-class problem. The results are consistent in different classification tasks. (2) Comparing MB and AMB, the adversarial training further boosts the performance, indicating that better feature representation is learned from the adversarial model.

4.4 Visualization

Our model aims to separate class-specific features and class-agnostic features. We randomly choose a document from Yahoo dataset and visualize the corresponding attention to validate the idea. In Figure 2, each row represents the attention strength over all sentences in this document, and the depth of color represents the strength of the weight. As shown in the figure, the upper 10 rows are the class-specific attention ($\mathbf{a}_k$) which have different activation pattern. The lower 10 rows are corresponding to the adversarial attention from each class-specific encoder ($\mathbf{a}_{adv}(k)$), and the patterns in the




Figure 2: The example of attention weight distribution of each binary subtask in dataset Yahoo

adversarial attention (shared) are very similar, suggesting that it learns the class-agnostic information.

5 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we consider a multi-class problem as multiple binary classifications using a multi-task framework. We employ an adversarial training approach to learn the information shared and specific to each class, which learns better feature representation and improve the classification performance. Experiments show the superiority of the proposed approach.

REFERENCES

- [1] Xilun Chen, Yu Sun, Ben Athiwaratkun, Claire Cardie, and Kilian Weinberger. 2018. Adversarial deep averaging networks for cross-lingual sentiment classification. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics* 6 (2018), 557–570.
- [2] Kevin Clark, Minh-Thang Luong, Christopher D Manning, and Quoc Le. 2018. Semi-Supervised Sequence Modeling with Cross-View Training. In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*. 1914–1925.
- [3] Ronan Collobert and Jason Weston. 2008. A unified architecture for natural language processing: Deep neural networks with multitask learning. In *Proceedings of the 25th international conference on Machine learning*. ACM, 160–167.
- [4] Ronan Collobert, Jason Weston, Léon Bottou, Michael Karlen, Koray Kavukcuoglu, and Pavel Kuksa. 2011. Natural language processing (almost) from scratch. *Journal of machine learning research* 12, Aug (2011), 2493–2537.
- [5] Alexis Conneau, Holger Schwenk, Loïc Barrault, and Yann Lecun. 2017. Very deep convolutional networks for text classification. In *Proceedings of the 15th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Volume 1, Long Papers*, Vol. 1. 1107–1116.
- [6] Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2018. Bert: Pre-training of deep bidirectional transformers for language understanding. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.04805* (2018).
- [7] Qiming Diao, Minghui Qiu, Chao-Yuan Wu, Alexander J Smola, Jing Jiang, and Chong Wang. 2014. Jointly modeling aspects, ratings and sentiments for movie recommendation (JMARS). In *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining*. ACM, 193–202.
- [8] Mikel Galar, Alberto Fernández, Edurne Barrenechea, Humberto Bustince, and Francisco Herrera. 2011. An overview of ensemble methods for binary classifiers in multi-class problems: Experimental study on one-vs-one and one-vs-all schemes. *Pattern Recognition* 44, 8 (2011), 1761–1776.
- [9] Ian Goodfellow, Jean Pouget-Abadie, Mehdi Mirza, Bing Xu, David Warde-Farley, Sherjil Ozair, Aaron Courville, and Yoshua Bengio. 2014. Generative adversarial nets. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 2672–2680.
- [10] Trevor Hastie, Saharon Rosset, Ji Zhu, and Hui Zou. 2009. Multi-class adaboost. *Statistics and its Interface* 2, 3 (2009), 349–360.
- [11] Yoon Kim. 2014. Convolutional neural networks for sentence classification. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*.
- [12] Siwei Lai, Liheng Xu, Kang Liu, and Jun Zhao. 2015. Recurrent Convolutional Neural Networks for Text Classification. In *AAAI*, Vol. 333. 2267–2273.
- [13] Pengfei Liu, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2016. Recurrent neural network for text classification with multi-task learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1605.05101* (2016).
- [14] Pengfei Liu, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2017. Adversarial Multi-task Learning for Text Classification. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, Vol. 1. 1–10.
- [15] Minh-Thang Luong, Quoc V Le, Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Lukasz Kaiser. 2015. Multi-task sequence to sequence learning. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [16] Tomas Mikolov, Ilya Sutskever, Kai Chen, Greg S Corrado, and Jeff Dean. 2013. Distributed representations of words and phrases and their compositionality. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 3111–3119.
- [17] Takeru Miyato, Andrew M Dai, and Ian Goodfellow. 2016. Adversarial training methods for semi-supervised text classification. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [18] Sinno Jialin Pan and Qiang Yang. 2010. A survey on transfer learning. *IEEE Transactions on knowledge and data engineering* 22, 10 (2010), 1345–1359.
- [19] Hao Peng, Sam Thomson, and Noah A Smith. 2017. Deep Multitask Learning for Semantic Dependency Parsing. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, Vol. 1. 2037–2048.
- [20] Alec Radford, Karthik Narasimhan, Tim Salimans, and Ilya Sutskever. 2018. Improving language understanding by generative pre-training. *Technical Report* (2018).
- [21] Ryan Rifkin and Aldebaro Klautau. 2004. In defense of one-vs-all classification. *Journal of machine learning research* 5, Jan (2004), 101–141.
- [22] Anders Søgaard and Yoav Goldberg. 2016. Deep multi-task learning with low level tasks supervised at lower layers. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, Vol. 2. 231–235.
- [23] Sandeep Subramanian, Adam Trischler, Yoshua Bengio, and Christopher J Pal. 2018. Learning general purpose distributed sentence representations via large scale multi-task learning. In *International Conference on Learning Representations*.
- [24] Kai Sheng Tai, Richard Socher, and Christopher D Manning. 2015. Improved Semantic Representations From Tree-Structured Long Short-Term Memory Networks. In *Proceedings of the 53rd Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 7th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, Vol. 1. 1556–1566.
- [25] Duyu Tang, Bing Qin, and Ting Liu. 2015. Document modeling with gated recurrent neural network for sentiment classification. In *Proceedings of the 2015 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*. 1422–1432.
- [26] Ashish Vaswani, Noam Shazeer, Niki Parmar, Jakob Uszkoreit, Llion Jones, Aidan N Gomez, Łukasz Kaiser, and Illia Polosukhin. 2017. Attention is all you need. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 5998–6008.
- [27] Zichao Yang, Diyi Yang, Chris Dyer, Xiaodong He, Alex Smola, and Eduard Hovy. 2016. Hierarchical attention networks for document classification. In *Proceedings of the 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*. 1480–1489.
- [28] Xiang Zhang, Junbo Zhao, and Yann LeCun. 2015. Character-level convolutional networks for text classification. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*. 649–657.