

GREEN'S FUNCTION FOR NONDIVERGENCE ELLIPTIC OPERATORS IN TWO DIMENSIONS

HONGJIE DONG AND SEICK KIM

ABSTRACT. We construct the Green function for second-order elliptic equations in non-divergence form when the mean oscillations of the coefficients satisfy the Dini condition and the domain has $C^{1,1}$ boundary. We show that the Green's function is BMO in the domain and establish logarithmic pointwise bounds. We also obtain pointwise bounds for first and second derivatives of the Green's function.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We consider a second-order elliptic operator in a bounded, connected, and open set Ω in \mathbb{R}^2 . Let L be an elliptic operator in non-divergence form given by

$$Lu = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a^{ij}(x) D_{ij}u. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, we assume (without loss of generality) that the coefficients a^{ij} are symmetric and defined on the entire space \mathbb{R}^2 . We require that the matrix $\mathbf{A} = (a^{ij})$ satisfy the uniform ellipticity condition, i.e., there exists a constant $\nu \in (0, 1]$ such that

$$\nu|\xi|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a^{ij} \xi_i \xi_j \leq \nu^{-1}|\xi|^2. \quad (1.2)$$

We shall say that $\mathbf{A} = (a^{ij})$ are of Dini mean oscillation in Ω if the mean oscillation function $\omega_{\mathbf{A}} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(r) := \sup_{x \in \Omega} \int_{\Omega(x,r)} |\mathbf{A}(y) - \bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\Omega(x,r)}| dy,$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbf{A}}_{\Omega(x,r)} := \int_{\Omega(x,r)} \mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{|\Omega(x,r)|} \int_{\Omega(x,r)} \mathbf{A} \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega(x,r) = B(x,r) \cap \Omega,$$

satisfies the Dini condition, i.e.,

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{t} dt < +\infty.$$

In this article, we shall show that if Ω is a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain and the coefficients $\mathbf{A} = (a^{ij})$ are of Dini mean oscillation in Ω , then the Green's function $G(x, y)$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35J08; Secondary 42B37.

Key words and phrases. Green's function; BMO; Dini mean oscillation.

H. Dong was partially supported by the NSF under agreement DMS-1600593.

S. Kim is partially supported by National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) under agreement NRF-20151009350 and NRF-2019R1A2C2002724.

exists and has logarithmic pointwise bounds. In fact, we show that $G(\cdot, y)$ is BMO in Ω and $G(\cdot, \cdot)$ is continuous on $(\Omega \times \Omega) \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \Omega\}$. We shall also derive pointwise bounds for $G(x, y)$ as well as its derivatives $D_x G(x, y)$ and $D_x^2 G(x, y)$; see Theorem 1.1 below.

It is well known that the elliptic operators in divergence form admit Green's functions that are comparable to those of the Laplace operator, even when the coefficients are just measurable; see [30, 21, 26, 7]. There are also many papers in the literature dealing with the existence and estimates of Green's functions or fundamental solutions of non-divergence form elliptic operators with measurable or continuous coefficients; see e.g., [4, 5, 15, 17, 27]. In the case when the coefficient matrix \mathbf{A} is uniformly Hölder (or Dini) continuous, it is well known that a Green's function is continuous and satisfies the pointwise bound comparable to that of Laplace operator; see e.g., [32, 36, 1]. For parabolic operators, we refer the reader to [18] for the construction of fundamental solutions by the parametrix method, and also [14, 9]. However, unlike the Green's function for elliptic operators in divergence form, in general, Green's function for non-divergence form elliptic operators do not necessarily have pointwise bounds, even if the domain is smooth and the coefficients are uniformly continuous; see [3].

In a recent paper [23], it is shown that in three dimensions or higher, the Green's functions for non-divergence form elliptic operators have the pointwise bound $c|x-y|^{2-n}$ if the coefficients of the operator have Dini mean oscillations. See also [31] for a related result with coefficients satisfying "square" Dini condition. However, the proof in [23] does not work in the two dimension. Even for the Laplace case, the behavior of Green's functions is somewhat different in two dimensions. As a matter of fact, there are quite a few papers devoted to the study of two dimensional Green's functions; see e.g. [13, 34, 37].

Now, we state our main results. The adjoint operator L^* is given by

$$L^*u = \sum_{i,j=1}^2 D_{ij}(a^{ij}(x)u).$$

It is known that if $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$, then the unique L^p solution (see, e.g., [16, Lemma 2]) of the problem

$$L^*u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \Omega, \quad (1.3)$$

is uniformly continuous in Ω ; see Theorem 1.8 in [12]. The definitions of BMO(Ω), $\|\cdot\|_*$, H^1 atom in Ω , etc. are given in the next section.

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded $C^{1,1}$ domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . Assume the coefficient $\mathbf{A} = (a^{ij})$ of the operator L in (1.1) satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition (1.2) and is of Dini mean oscillation in Ω . Then, there exists a Green's function $G(x, y)$ (for any $x, y \in \Omega$, $x \neq y$) and it is unique in the following sense: if u is the unique adjoint solution of the problem (1.3), where $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > 1$, then u is represented by*

$$u(y) = \int_{\Omega} G(x, y)f(x) dx. \quad (1.4)$$

Also, $G^*(x, y) = G(y, x)$ becomes the Green's function for the adjoint operator L^* , which is characterized as follows: for $q > 1$ and $f \in L^q(\Omega)$, if $v \in W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ is the strong solution of

$$Lv = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega, \quad (1.5)$$

then, we have the representation formula

$$v(y) = \int_{\Omega} G^*(x, y)f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} G(y, x)f(x) dx. \quad (1.6)$$

The Green function $G(x, y)$ satisfies the following estimates:

$$G(\cdot, y) \in \mathbf{BMO}(\Omega) \quad \text{with} \quad \|G(\cdot, y)\|_* \leq C, \quad y \in \Omega, \quad (1.7)$$

$$|G(x, y)| \leq C \left(1 + \log \frac{\text{diam} \Omega}{|x - y|} \right), \quad x \neq y \in \Omega, \quad (1.8)$$

$$|D_x G(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|}, \quad x \neq y \in \Omega, \quad (1.9)$$

$$|D_x^2 G(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-2}, \quad y \in \Omega, \quad x \in B(y, d_y/2) \setminus \{y\}, \quad (1.10)$$

where $C = C(v, \Omega, \omega_A)$ and $d_y = \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)$. Moreover, if Ω is a $C^{2, \text{Dini}}$ domain, then we have

$$|D_x^2 G(x, y)| \leq C|x - y|^{-2}, \quad x \neq y \in \Omega, \quad (1.11)$$

where $C = C(v, \Omega, \omega_A)$.

The following theorem is the key to the proof of Theorem 1.1, the statement of which has its own interest.

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and the non-divergence operator L be as in Theorem 1.1. Then, there exists a constant $N_0 = N_0(v, \Omega, \omega_A)$ such that if u is the adjoint solution of the problem*

$$L^*u = a \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where a is an H^1 atom in Ω , then $|u| \leq N_0$.

We conclude the introduction with a few remarks. First of all, the Green's function $G(x, y)$ is continuous on $\{(x, y) \in \overline{\Omega} \times \overline{\Omega} : x \neq y\}$. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 1.1 will show that $L^*G(x, \cdot) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus B(x, r)$ for any $r > 0$ and thus by [12, Theorem 1.8], we see that $G(x, \cdot)$ is continuous away from x . On the other hand, it is clear from (1.9) that $G(\cdot, y)$ is continuous away from y .

Next, it should be mentioned that Bauman [5] proved an estimate for the normalized Green's function $\tilde{G}(x, y) = G(x, y)/G(x_0, y)$:

$$\tilde{G}(x, y) \simeq \int_{|x-y|}^1 \frac{r}{w(B(y, r))} dr,$$

where $x, y \in B'$, $|x - y| \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, $x_0 \in B \setminus \overline{B'}$, $B' \Subset B$ are open balls,

$$w(E) = \int_E G(x_0, y) dy,$$

and G is the Green's function in B . Such result was obtained under the condition that the coefficients are bounded and measurable in two dimensions, and uniformly continuous in higher dimensions. Her proof uses the maximum principle. When the coefficients are of Dini mean oscillation, by using the Harnack type inequality [12, Lemma 4.2], we see that $G(x_0, y) \simeq 1$ for any y away from x_0 and the boundary ∂B , which implies that

$$G(x, y) \simeq \log \frac{1}{|x - y|}, \quad x \neq y \in B, \quad |x - y| < 1/2.$$

In view of the comparison principle, this result also holds for any bounded smooth domain. Compared to [5], we obtain (1.7) which implies (1.8) and gives more information of the Green's function. We also note that our proof also works for elliptic systems satisfying the strong ellipticity condition or the Legendre-Hadamard condition. More precisely, consider an elliptic system

$$\sum_{j=1}^N L_{ij} u^j := \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^2 A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} D_{\alpha\beta} u^j - \lambda u^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

Suppose the coefficients $A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta}$ are bounded, satisfies the Legendre-Hadamard condition

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^2 \sum_{i, j=1}^N A_{ij}^{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \eta^i \eta^j \geq \nu |\xi|^2 |\eta|^2,$$

and are of Dini mean oscillation. Assume that λ is large enough to guarantee the solvability of Dirichlet problem

$$L_{ij} u^j = f^i \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u^i = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

See, for instance, [10, Theorem 8]. Then, there exists an N by N Green's matrix $G(x, y) = (G_{ij}(x, y))$ that satisfy the conclusions of Theorem 1.1. The proof requires only routine adjustment and is omitted.

Finally, in the above theorems, the $C^{1,1}$ regularity condition on the domain Ω can be relaxed. In fact, in the proof such a condition is essentially used only for the $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of (1.5) and the L^p -solvability of (1.3). The latter solvability follows from the former by using the duality argument in [15]. For the $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of (1.5) in a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, we only require Ω to be in $C^{1,\alpha}$, where $\alpha > 1 - 1/\max\{n, p\}$. Thus, in our case by taking $p = 2$, it suffices to assume Ω to be in $C^{1,\alpha}$, where $\alpha > 1/2$. We cannot find an explicit reference for this result, so we sketch a proof in Appendix. It is also worth noting that in the two dimensional case, the $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of (1.5) is also available when p is close to 2 and Ω is a bounded convex domain; see, for instance, [6]. Thus, our main theorems also hold when Ω is bounded and convex.

2. NOTATION

For $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $r > 0$, we denote by $B(x_0, r)$ the Euclidean ball with radius r centered at x_0 , and denote

$$\Omega(x_0, r) := \Omega \cap B(x_0, r).$$

We define $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$ as the set of functions u such that

$$\|u\|_* := \sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |u - \bar{u}_{x_0, r}| : x_0 \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad r > 0 \right\}$$

is finite, where we set

$$\bar{u}_{x_0, r} := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r \geq \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega) \\ \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} u & \text{if } r < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega). \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

We shall say that a bounded measurable function a is an atom for Ω if a is supported in $\Omega(x_0, r)$ for some $x_0 \in \overline{\Omega}$ and $r > 0$ and satisfies

$$\|a\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq \frac{1}{|\Omega(x_0, r)|} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{a}_{x_0, r} = 0.$$

Notice that the latter condition requires $\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} a = 0$ only if $r < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$. A function f is in the atomic Hardy space $H^1(\Omega)$ if there is a sequence of atoms $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ and a sequence of real numbers $\{\lambda_i\} \in \ell^1$ so that $f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i a_i$. We define the norm on this space by

$$\|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^\infty |\lambda_i| : f = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \lambda_i a_i \right\}.$$

We note that the expression

$$\sup \left\{ \int_{\Omega} a u \, dy : a \text{ is an atom for } \Omega \right\}$$

gives an equivalent norm on $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$ and that $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$ may be identified with the dual of the atomic Hardy space $H^1(\Omega)$. It may seem that our definition of $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$ differs from those in other literatures [8, 24, 33, 37] but since we assume that Ω is at least a $C^{1,\alpha}$ domain, it is the same. In particular, $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$ can be identified with the subspace $\{f \in \text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^2) : \text{supp } f \subset \overline{\Omega}\}$, with equivalent norms.

We say that Ω is a $C^{1,\alpha}$ (resp. $C^{2,\text{Dini}}$) domain if each point on $\partial\Omega$ has a neighborhood in which $\partial\Omega$ is the graph of a $C^{1,\alpha}$ (resp. $C^{2,\text{Dini}}$) function.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In dimension three and higher, the strategy of [22] was used in [23] to construct Green's function but it does not work in two dimensions. Here we follow a duality argument in [37].

For $y \in \Omega$ and $\epsilon > 0$, let $v = G_\epsilon(\cdot, y) \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be a unique strong solution of the problem

$$Lv = \frac{1}{|\Omega(y, \epsilon)|} \chi_{\Omega(y, \epsilon)} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega. \quad (3.1)$$

Since \mathbf{A} is uniformly continuous in Ω with its modulus of continuity controlled by $\omega_{\mathbf{A}}$ (see [23, Appendix]), the unique solvability of the problem (3.1) is a consequence of standard L^p theory. Next, for an H^1 atom a in Ω , consider the adjoint problem

$$L^*u = a \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega.$$

By [16, Lemma 2], there exists a unique adjoint solution u in $L^2(\Omega)$, and we have

$$\int_{\Omega(y, \epsilon)} u = \int_{\Omega} a G_\epsilon(\cdot, y).$$

Then, by Theorem 1.2, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} G_\epsilon(x, y) a(x) \, dx \right| \leq N_0.$$

Therefore, by the H^1 and BMO duality, we find that the BMO norm of $G_\epsilon(\cdot, y)$ is uniformly bounded, i.e.,

$$\|G_\epsilon(\cdot, y)\|_* \leq C_0 \quad (3.2)$$

for some constant C_0 depending only on ν , Ω , and ω_A . The Banach-Alaoglu theorem gives that for each y , there is a sequence $\{\epsilon_j\}$ with $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_j = 0$ and a function $G(\cdot, y) \in \text{BMO}(\Omega)$ so that $G_{\epsilon_j}(\cdot, y)$ converges to $G(\cdot, y)$ in the weak-* topology of $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$. Let us fix a $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > 1$ and let u be the unique adjoint solution of (1.3). Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega(y, \epsilon)} u(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} G_{\epsilon}(x, y) f(x) dx. \quad (3.3)$$

Since u is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$ by [12, Theorem 1.8], the left-hand side of (3.3) converges to $u(y)$. Since $L^p(\Omega) \subset H^1(\Omega)$ for all $p > 1$, we obtain the representation (1.4). This gives us that $G(\cdot, y)$ is the Green's function with pole at y . Therefore, by using (3.2), we obtain (1.7).

If we choose any sequence $\{\epsilon_k\}$ with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_k = 0$ in the above argument, gives a subsequence of $G_{\epsilon_k}(\cdot, y)$ which converges to a Green's function. As the Green's function is unique, the limit must be the function $G(\cdot, y)$. This implies that the entire family $\{G_{\epsilon}(\cdot, y)\}_{\epsilon}$ converges to $G(\cdot, y)$ in the weak-* topology of $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$. By the $W^{2,p}$ estimate for non-divergence form elliptic equations with continuous coefficients, we see from (3.1) that $G_{\epsilon}(\cdot, y)$ satisfies

$$\|G_{\epsilon}(\cdot, y)\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega \setminus \Omega(y, r))} \leq C(r), \quad r > 2\epsilon.$$

This estimate will also hold for the limit and we see that $G(\cdot, y) \in W^{2,2}(\Omega \setminus B(y, r))$ and $LG(\cdot, y) = 0$ in $\Omega \setminus B(y, r)$ for any $r > 0$. Moreover, we have $G(\cdot, y) = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$.

Next, we show the pointwise bound (1.8). For $x_0 \neq y \in \Omega$, we set

$$r := \frac{1}{2} |x_0 - y|.$$

In the case when $r \geq \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$, we can find a point $\hat{x}_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that

$$\Omega(x_0, r) \subset \Omega(\hat{x}_0, 2r) \subset \Omega \setminus \{y\}.$$

Since $LG(\cdot, y) = 0$ in $\Omega(\hat{x}_0, 2r)$ and $G(\cdot, y)$ vanishes on $\partial\Omega \cap B(\hat{x}_0, 2r)$, by the local $W^{2,p}$ estimate, the Sobolev embedding theorem, and a standard iteration argument, we see that the local L^∞ estimate is available for $G(\cdot, y)$, i.e.,

$$|G(x_0, y)| \lesssim \int_{\Omega(\hat{x}_0, 2r)} |G(x, y)| dx. \quad (3.4)$$

Then by (1.7), we have

$$|G(x_0, y)| \lesssim \int_{\Omega(\hat{x}_0, 2r)} |G(x, y)| dx \lesssim \|G(\cdot, y)\|_* \lesssim 1,$$

which clearly yields the bound (1.8). In the case when $r < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$, consider a chain of domains $\Omega_j = \Omega(x_0, 2^j r)$ for $j = 0, \dots, N$ so that $2^N r \geq \text{diam } \Omega$. Notice that N can be chosen so that

$$N \lesssim \log \frac{\text{diam } \Omega}{|x_0 - y|} + 1. \quad (3.5)$$

Since $G(\cdot, y)$ is in $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\left| \int_{\Omega_j} G(x, y) dx - \int_{\Omega_{j+1}} G(x, y) dx \right| \lesssim \|G(\cdot, y)\|_* \lesssim 1$$

and by the choice of N , we have

$$\int_{\Omega_N} |G(x, y)| dx = \int_{\Omega} |G(x, y)| dx \lesssim \|G(\cdot, y)\|_* \lesssim 1.$$

Since $L(G(\cdot, y) - c) = LG(\cdot, y) = 0$ in $\Omega_0 = B(x_0, r) \subset \Omega$ for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$, similar to (3.4), we have the local L^∞ estimate

$$|G(x_0, y) - c| \lesssim \int_{\Omega_0} |G(x, y) - c| dx, \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.6)$$

Then by taking $c = \int_{\Omega_0} G(x, y) dx$ and using (1.7), we have

$$\left| G(x_0, y) - \int_{\Omega_0} G(x, y) dx \right| \lesssim \|G(\cdot, y)\|_* \lesssim 1.$$

Therefore, by telescoping and using (3.5), we obtain the bound (1.8).

Now, we turn to the gradient estimate (1.9). In the case when $r \geq \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$, similar to (3.4), we have the local gradient bound

$$|D_x G(x_0, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega(x_0, 2r)} |G(x, y)| dx$$

and in the case when $r < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$, similar to (3.6) we have

$$|D_x G(x_0, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |G(x, y) - c| dx, \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In both cases, we get (1.9).

Finally, we prove the second derivative estimate (1.11). In the case when $r < \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$, we use the interior C^2 estimate (see [11, Theorem 1.6]), $W^{2,p}$ estimate for elliptic equations with continuous coefficients, and a standard iteration argument to get

$$|D_x^2 G(x_0, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |G(x, y) - c| dx, \quad \forall c \in \mathbb{R}.$$

In the case when $r \geq \text{dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega)$ and Ω is a $C^{2,\text{Dini}}$ domain, we apply the C^2 estimate near the boundary in [12] (see Lemma 2.18 there), the boundary $W^{2,p}$ estimate for elliptic equations with continuous coefficients, and a standard iteration argument to get

$$|D_x^2 G(x_0, y)| \lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \int_{\Omega(x_0, 2r)} |G(x, y)| dx.$$

Therefore, we get (1.10) and (1.11).

Finally, we prove that $G^*(x, y) := G(y, x)$ becomes the Green's function for the adjoint operator L^* . For $x_0 \neq y \in \Omega$ and $\rho > 0$, let $u = G_\rho^*(\cdot, x_0) \in L^2(\Omega)$ be a unique solution of the adjoint problem

$$L^* u = \frac{1}{|\Omega(x_0, \rho)|} \chi_{\Omega(x_0, \rho)} \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Notice that we have

$$\int_{\Omega(y, \epsilon)} G_\rho^*(x, x_0) dx = \int_{\Omega(x_0, \rho)} G_\epsilon(x, y) dx.$$

By [12, Theorem 1.8], we know that $G_\rho^*(\cdot, x_0)$ is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$. Since $G_\epsilon(\cdot, y) \rightarrow G(\cdot, y)$ in weak-* topology of $\text{BMO}(\Omega)$, by taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$G_\rho^*(y, x_0) = \int_{\Omega(x_0, \rho)} G(x, y) dx.$$

Therefore, we find

$$\lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} G_\rho^*(y, x_0) = G(x_0, y). \quad (3.7)$$

We note that argument around (3.4) – (3.6) shows that

$$|G_\rho^*(y, x_0)| = \left| \int_{\Omega(x_0, \rho)} G(x, y) dx \right| \leq C \left(1 + \log \frac{\text{diam } \Omega}{|x_0 - y|} \right), \quad \forall \rho > 0. \quad (3.8)$$

For $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ with $q \in (1, \infty)$, let $v \in W^{2,q}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ be the strong solution of

$$Lv = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, \rho)} v(x) dx = \int_{\Omega} G_\rho^*(x, x_0) f(x) dx,$$

and thus, by taking the limit, we also get

$$v(x_0) = \lim_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} G_\rho^*(x, x_0) f(x) dx.$$

Therefore, by (3.7), (3.8), and Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we obtain the representation formula (1.6), which means $G^*(x, y) = G(y, x)$ is the Green's function for L^* . \blacksquare

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Suppose that a is supported in $\Omega(y_0, R)$ so that $\|a\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim 1/R^2$. For $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $r > 0$, we define

$$u_{x_0, r} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r > 2 \text{ dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega) \\ \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} u & \text{if } r \leq 2 \text{ dist}(x_0, \partial\Omega) \end{cases}$$

and set

$$\phi(x_0, r) := \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |u - u_{x_0, r}|.$$

Note that $u_{x_0, r}$ in the above is slightly different from $\bar{u}_{x_0, r}$ defined in (2.1). Let us define the adjoint operator L_0^* with constant coefficients

$$L_0^* u := \sum_{i, j=1}^2 D_{ij}(\bar{a}^{ij} u), \quad \text{where } \bar{a}^{ij} = \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} a^{ij},$$

and split $u = v^{(r)} + w^{(r)} + \tilde{w}^{(r)}$, where $w = w^{(r)}$ is the weak solution of

$$L_0^* w = a \text{ in } \Omega(x_0, r), \quad w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega(x_0, r),$$

and $\tilde{w} = \tilde{w}^{(r)}$ is the L^2 adjoint solution of

$$L_0^* \tilde{w} = \sum_{i, j=1}^2 D_{ij} \left((\bar{a}^{ij} - a^{ij}) u \chi_{\Omega(x_0, r)} \right) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \tilde{w} = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega.$$

By the L^2 theory for adjoint equations, we have

$$\left(\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |\tilde{w}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |\tilde{w}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \lesssim \left(\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |\bar{A} - A|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))}.$$

By Hölder's inequality and equivalence of L^2 and L^1 mean oscillation conditions (see [2, Proposition 1.13])¹, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |\tilde{w}| \lesssim \left(\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |A - \bar{A}|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))} \lesssim \omega_A(r) \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))}. \quad (4.1)$$

Now we turn to the decay estimate of $\phi(x_0, r)$. Let $\kappa \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ be fixed. Note that $v = v^{(r)} = u - w^{(r)} - \tilde{w}^{(r)}$ satisfies

$$L_0^* v = 0 \text{ in } \Omega(x_0, r), \quad v = u = 0 \text{ in } \partial\Omega \cap B(x_0, r).$$

By an interior and boundary estimate for elliptic equations with constant coefficients, we have (recall $v_{x_0, r} = 0$ if $B(x_0, r/2)$ intersects $\partial\Omega$)

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa r)} |v - v_{x_0, \kappa r}| \leq 2\kappa r \|Dv\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r/3))} \leq C_0 \kappa \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |v - v_{x_0, r}|. \quad (4.2)$$

Here, C_0 is a constant depending only on ν and Ω . By using the decomposition $u = v + w + \tilde{w}$ and (4.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa r)} |u - u_{x_0, \kappa r}| &\leq \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa r)} |v - v_{x_0, \kappa r}| + 2 \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa r)} |w| + 2 \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa r)} |\tilde{w}| \\ &\leq C_0 \kappa \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |u - u_{x_0, r}| + C(\kappa^{-2} + 1) \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} (|w| + |\tilde{w}|). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we used the obvious facts that

$$u_{x_0, r} = v_{x_0, r} + w_{x_0, r} + \tilde{w}_{x_0, r}, \quad |w_{x_0, r}| \leq \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w|, \quad |\tilde{w}_{x_0, r}| \leq \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |\tilde{w}|.$$

Therefore, by (4.1), we have

$$\phi(x_0, \kappa r) \leq C_0 \kappa \phi(x_0, r) + C(\kappa^{-2} + 1) \omega_A(r) \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))} + C(\kappa^{-2} + 1) \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w|.$$

Now we fix a $\kappa = \kappa(\nu, \Omega) \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ sufficiently small so that $C_0 \kappa \leq 1/2$. Then, we obtain

$$\phi(x_0, \kappa r) \leq \frac{1}{2} \phi(x_0, r) + C \omega_A(r) \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))} + C \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}|.$$

By iterating, for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, we get

$$\phi(x_0, \kappa^j r) \leq 2^{-j} \phi(x_0, r) + C \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))} \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i} \omega_A(\kappa^{j-i} r) + C \psi_j(x_0, r), \quad (4.3)$$

¹In [2], the equivalence was proved under the conditions that the modulus of continuity ω_A is increasing and $\omega_A(r)/r$ is almost decreasing. From the proof there, it is easily seen that the increasing condition can be replaced with the condition that $\omega_A(r)$ is comparable to $\omega_A(s)$ for any r and s satisfying $r/s \in (1/2, 2)$, which is satisfied in our case (see, for example, [28, pp. 495]). The second condition will be satisfied if we consider a modified modulus of continuity $\tilde{\omega}_A(r) = \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{-k} \omega_A(2^k r)$, which is also Dini.

where we set

$$\psi_j(x_0, t) := \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i} \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa^{j-i}r)} |w^{(\kappa^{j-i}r)}|. \quad (4.4)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i} \omega_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa^{j-i}r) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \omega_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa^{j-i}r) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa^j r) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \omega_{\mathbf{A}}(\kappa^j r) \lesssim \int_0^r \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{t} dt. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \psi_j(x_0, r) \lesssim 1, \quad \forall x_0 \in \Omega, \quad 0 < \forall r < \text{diam } \Omega. \quad (4.6)$$

Take the lemma for granted now. Then from (4.3) and (4.5) we find that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi(x_0, \kappa^j r) \lesssim \phi(x_0, r) + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))} \int_0^r \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{t} dt + 1. \quad (4.7)$$

Since we have

$$|u_{x_0, \kappa r} - u_{x_0, r}| \leq |u(x) - u_{x_0, r}| + |u(x) - u_{x_0, \kappa r}|,$$

by taking average over $x \in \Omega(x_0, \kappa r)$, we obtain

$$|u_{x_0, \kappa r} - u_{x_0, r}| \leq \phi(x_0, \kappa r) + \phi(x_0, r).$$

Then, by iterating, we get

$$|u_{x_0, \kappa^i r} - u_{x_0, r}| \leq 2 \sum_{j=0}^i \phi(x_0, \kappa^j r). \quad (4.8)$$

By Theorem 1.8 in [12], we find that u is continuous in $\overline{\Omega}$, and thus we see that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} u_{x_0, \kappa^i r} = u(x_0).$$

Therefore, by taking $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.8) and using (4.7), we get

$$|u(x_0) - u_{x_0, r}| \lesssim \phi(x_0, r) + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))} \int_0^r \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{t} dt + 1,$$

which implies that

$$|u(x_0)| \lesssim r^{-2} \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega(x_0, r))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x_0, r))} \int_0^r \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{t} dt + 1.$$

Now, taking the supremum for $x_0 \in \Omega(x, r)$, where $x \in \overline{\Omega}$, we have

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x, r))} \leq C \left(r^{-2} \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega(x, 2r))} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x, 2r))} \int_0^r \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{t} dt + 1 \right).$$

We fix $r_0 < \frac{1}{3}$ such that for any $0 < r \leq r_0$,

$$C \int_0^r \frac{\omega_{\mathbf{A}}(t)}{t} dt \leq \frac{1}{32}.$$

Then, we have for any $x \in \Omega$ and $0 < r \leq r_0$ that

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x,r))} \leq 3^{-2}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega(x,2r))} + Cr^{-2}\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega(x,2r))} + C.$$

For $k = 1, 2, \dots$, denote $r_k = 3 - 2^{1-k}$. Note that $r_{k+1} - r_k = 2^{-k}$ for $k \geq 1$ and $r_1 = 2$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $0 \in \Omega$. For $x \in \Omega_{r_k} = \Omega(0, r_k)$ and $r \leq 2^{-k-2}$, we have $B(x, 2r) \subset B_{r_{k+1}}$. We take $k_0 \geq 1$ sufficiently large such that $2^{-k_0-2} \leq r_0$. It then follows that for any $k \geq k_0$,

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{r_k})} \leq 3^{-2}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{r_{k+1}})} + C2^{2k}\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega_3)} + C.$$

By multiplying the above by 3^{-2k} and then summing over $k = k_0, k_0 + 1, \dots$, we reach

$$\sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 3^{-2k}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{r_k})} \leq \sum_{k=k_0}^{\infty} 3^{-2(k+1)}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{r_{k+1}})} + C\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega_3)} + C.$$

Since we assume that $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, the summations on both sides are convergent. Therefore, we have

$$3^{-2k_0}\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{r_{k_0}})} \leq C\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega_3)} + C,$$

which in turn implies (recall $2 < r_{k_0}$)

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_2)} \lesssim \|u\|_{L^1(\Omega_3)} + 1. \quad (4.9)$$

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \lesssim 1.$$

Proof. For any $f \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, let $v \in W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ be the unique solution of the problem

$$Lv = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad v = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

By the Sobolev embedding and the $W^{2,2}$ theory, we have $v \in C(\overline{\Omega})$ and

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \leq C\|v\|_{W^{2,2}(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

where $C = C(v, \omega_A, \Omega)$. Since

$$\int_{\Omega} uf \, dx = \int_{\Omega} av \, dx,$$

and $\|a\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 1$, we find

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} uf \, dx \right| \leq C\|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)},$$

which implies that $\|u\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq C$. ■

By Lemma 4.2 and (4.9), we have (recalling that $0 \in \Omega$ is an arbitrary choice)

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \lesssim 1$$

as desired. The proof of the theorem is complete once we prove Lemma 4.1.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. Let $G_r(x, y)$ denote the Green's function for the constant coefficient operator $L_0^* = \sum D_{ij}\bar{a}^{ij} = \sum \bar{a}^{ij}D_{ij}$ in $\Omega(x_0, r)$. Then we have

$$w^{(r)}(x) = \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} G_r(x, y)a(y) \, dy, \quad \forall x \in \Omega(x_0, r).$$

We shall use the following estimates for Green's function $G_r(x, y)$:

$$|G_r(x, y)| \leq C \left(1 + \log \frac{2r}{|x - y|} \right), \quad (4.10)$$

$$|G_r(x, y) - G_r(x, y')| \leq C \frac{|y - y'|}{|x - y|}. \quad (4.11)$$

Since L_0 is a constant coefficients operator, the above estimates are widely known. We remark that (4.11) may not be sharp if $|y - y'| > \frac{1}{2}|x - y|$ but it is still a legitimate estimate, which can be seen by telescoping: choose a sequence of points y_0, y_1, \dots, y_N in Ω such that

$$y_0 = y, \quad y_N = y', \quad |y_i - y_{i-1}| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x - y|, \quad |x - y| \leq |x - y_i|, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^N |y_i - y_{i-1}| \approx |y - y'|.$$

Then, we have

$$|G(x, y) - G(x, y')| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N |G(x, y_{i-1}) - G(x, y_i)| \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{|y_{i-1} - y_i|}{|x - y_i|} \lesssim \frac{|y - y'|}{|x - y|},$$

which establishes (4.11).

To estimate $w^{(r)}$, we consider two cases: $|x_0 - y_0| \leq 2R$ and $|x_0 - y_0| > 2R$.

Case 1. Let us first consider the case when $|x_0 - y_0| \leq 2R$. If $r \leq 3R$, we use the size condition of a and (4.10) to estimate $w^{(r)}(x)$ for $x \in \Omega(x_0, r)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} |w^{(r)}(x)| &\leq \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |G_r(x, y)| |a(y)| dy \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R)} \left(1 + \log \frac{2r}{|x - y|} \right) dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B(x, 2r)} \left(1 + \log \frac{2r}{|x - y|} \right) dy \lesssim \frac{r^2}{R^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}| \lesssim \frac{r^2}{R^2} \quad \text{when } r \leq 3R. \quad (4.13)$$

If $r > 3R$, we have $\Omega(x_0, r) \supset \Omega(y_0, R)$. In the case when $R < \text{dist}(y_0, \partial\Omega)$, we use the cancellation property of a to find that

$$w^{(r)}(x) = \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} G_r(x, y) a(y) dy = \int_{\Omega(y_0, R)} (G_r(x, y) - G_r(x, y_0)) a(y) dy.$$

Then by the estimate (4.11) and using the symmetry, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |w^{(r)}(x)| &\leq \int_{\Omega(y_0, R)} |G_r(x, y) - G_r(x, y_0)| |a(y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(y_0, R)} \min \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|}, \frac{1}{|x - y_0|} \right) dy. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when $|x - y_0| \leq R$, we estimate

$$|w^{(r)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(y_0, R)} \frac{1}{|x - y|} dy \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(x, 2R)} \frac{1}{|x - y|} dy \lesssim 1.$$

In the case when $|x - y_0| > R$, we estimate

$$|w^{(r)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(y_0, R)} \frac{1}{|x - y_0|} dy \lesssim \frac{R}{|x - y_0|}.$$

Note that for $x \in \Omega(x_0, r)$, we have

$$|x - y_0| \leq |x - x_0| + |x_0 - y_0| \leq r + 2R < 2r.$$

Combining these together, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}(x)| dx &\lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\int_{B(y_0, R)} |w^{(r)}(x)| dx + \int_{B(y_0, 2r) \setminus B(y_0, R)} |w^{(r)}(x)| dx \right) \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\int_{B(y_0, R)} dx + \int_{B(y_0, 2r)} \frac{R}{|x - y_0|} dx \right) \lesssim \frac{R^2}{r^2} + \frac{R}{r} \lesssim \frac{R}{r}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when $R \geq \text{dist}(y_0, \partial\Omega)$, we can find $y' \in \partial\Omega(x_0, r)$ such that $|y' - y_0| \leq R$. Therefore, we have

$$w^{(r)}(x) = \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} G_r(x, y) a(y) dy = \int_{\Omega(y_0, R)} (G_r(x, y) - G_r(x, y')) a(y) dy.$$

Notice that $\Omega(y_0, R) \subset \Omega(y', 2R)$. Then, by repeating the above argument with y' in place of y_0 , we get the same conclusion. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}(x)| dx \lesssim \frac{R}{r} \quad \text{when } r > 3R. \quad (4.14)$$

Now, let us look into $\psi_j(x_0, r)$, which is defined in (4.4). Let ℓ be the largest integer satisfying $\kappa^\ell r > 3R$. In the case when $\ell < 0$, we have $r \leq 3R$, and thus by (4.13),

$$\psi_j(x_0, r) = \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i} \left(\frac{\kappa^{j-i} r}{R} \right)^2 \lesssim 2^{-j} \frac{r^2}{R^2} \lesssim 2^{-j}.$$

In the case when $0 \leq \ell < j$, we have by (4.13) and (4.14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(x_0, r) &= \sum_{i=1}^{j-\ell-1} 2^{-i} \left(\frac{\kappa^{j-i} r}{R} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=j-\ell}^j 2^{-i} \frac{R}{\kappa^{j-i} r} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\kappa^\ell r}{R} \right)^2 2^{\ell-j} + \left(\frac{R}{\kappa^\ell r} \right) 2^{\ell-j} \lesssim 2^{\ell-j}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\kappa^\ell r \simeq R$, which follows from the choice of ℓ . Finally, in the case when $\ell \geq j$, we have by (4.14) that

$$\psi_j(x_0, r) = R \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i} \frac{R}{\kappa^{j-i} r} \lesssim \frac{R}{\kappa^j r} = \frac{R}{\kappa^\ell r} \kappa^{\ell-j} \lesssim \kappa^{\ell-j}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(x_0, r) &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j} [\ell < 0] + 2^{\ell-j} [0 \leq \ell < j] + \kappa^{\ell-j} [\ell \geq j]) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} 2^{-j} + \sum_{j=\ell}^{\infty} 2^{\ell-j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} \kappa^{\ell-j} \lesssim 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

This completes the proof of (4.6) in the case when $|x_0 - y_0| \leq 2R$.

Case 2. Next, we turn to the proof of (4.6) in the case when $|x_0 - y_0| > 2R$. We first consider the case when $\Omega(y_0, R) \not\subset \Omega(x_0, r)$. Instead of (4.12), we estimate

$$|w^{(r)}(x)| \leq \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |G_r(x, y)| |a(y)| dy \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{\Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R)} |G_r(x, y)| dy. \quad (4.16)$$

If $\Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R) = \emptyset$, then the above integral is zero. Therefore, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}(x)| dx = 0 \quad \text{when } \Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R) = \emptyset. \quad (4.17)$$

If $\Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R) \neq \emptyset$, then for $y \in \Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R)$, we can find $y' \in \partial\Omega(x_0, r)$ such that $|y - y'| \leq 2R$ (recall $\Omega(y_0, R) \not\subset \Omega(x_0, r)$). Then, by the Green's function estimate (4.11), we have

$$|G_r(x, y)| = |G_r(x, y) - G_r(x, y')| \lesssim \frac{R}{|x - y|}.$$

Hence by (4.16), we obtain

$$|w^{(r)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{\Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R)} \frac{1}{|x - y|} dy.$$

In the case when $|x - y_0| > 2R$, we have $|x - y| > R$, and thus

$$|w^{(r)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{R^2} \int_{B(y_0, R)} dy \lesssim 1.$$

In the case when $|x - y_0| \leq 2R$, we have $B(y_0, R) \subset B(x, 3R)$, and thus

$$|w^{(r)}(x)| \lesssim \frac{1}{R} \int_{B(x, 3R)} \frac{1}{|x - y|} dy \lesssim 1.$$

In both cases, we have

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}(x)| dx \lesssim 1 \quad \text{when } \Omega(y_0, R) \not\subset \Omega(x_0, r) \text{ and } \Omega(x_0, r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R) \neq \emptyset. \quad (4.18)$$

In the case when $\Omega(y_0, R) \subset \Omega(x_0, r)$, we have $r > 3R$ (recall $|x_0 - y_0| > 2R$) and similar to (4.14), we have

$$\int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}(x)| dx \lesssim \frac{R}{r}. \quad (4.19)$$

Since we assume $|x_0 - y_0| > 2R$, there exists the smallest integer ℓ satisfying

$$\Omega(x_0, \kappa^\ell r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R) = \emptyset.$$

Then, by the choice of ℓ ,

$$\Omega(x_0, \kappa^{\ell-1} r) \cap \Omega(y_0, R) \neq \emptyset,$$

and thus $\kappa^{\ell-1} r > |x_0 - y_0| - R > R$. Also, since $\kappa < \frac{1}{4}$, we note that

$$\Omega(y_0, R) \subset \Omega(x_0, 2R + \kappa^{\ell-1} r) \subset \Omega(x_0, \kappa^{\ell-2} r).$$

In the case when $\ell \leq 1$, then by (4.17) and (4.18), we have

$$\psi_j(x_0, r) = 2^{-j} \int_{\Omega(x_0, r)} |w^{(r)}| \lesssim 2^{-j}.$$

In the case when $1 < \ell \leq j$, then by (4.17), (4.18), and (4.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(x_0, r) &= 2^{-(j-\ell+1)} \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa^{\ell-1}r)} |w^{(\kappa^{\ell-1}r)}| + \sum_{i=j-\ell+2}^j 2^{-i} \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa^{j-i}r)} |w^{(\kappa^{j-i}r)}| \\ &\lesssim 2^{\ell-j} + \sum_{i=j-\ell+1}^j 2^{-i} \frac{R}{\kappa^{j-i}r} \lesssim 2^{\ell-j} + \frac{R}{\kappa^{\ell-1}r} 2^{\ell-j} \lesssim 2^{\ell-j}. \end{aligned}$$

In the case when $\ell > j$, then by (4.18) we have

$$\psi_j(x_0, r) = \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i} \int_{\Omega(x_0, \kappa^{j-i}r)} |w^{(\kappa^{j-i}r)}| \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^j 2^{-i} \frac{R}{\kappa^{j-i}r} \lesssim \frac{R}{\kappa^j r} = \frac{R}{\kappa^{\ell-1}r} \kappa^{\ell-1-j} \lesssim \kappa^{\ell-j}.$$

Therefore, similar to (4.15), we obtain

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \psi_j(x_0, r) \lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (2^{-j}[\ell \leq 1] + 2^{\ell-j}[1 < \ell \leq j] + \kappa^{\ell-j}[\ell > j]) \lesssim 1$$

as desired. \blacksquare

5. APPENDIX

We sketch the proof of the $W^{2,p}$ -solvability of (1.5) in a bounded $C^{1,\alpha}$ domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\alpha > 1 - 1/\max\{n, p\}$, which enable us to relax the $C^{1,1}$ condition of Ω in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 to $C^{1,\alpha}$, where $\alpha > 1/2$. Recall the regularized distance function ψ on Ω introduced in [29] is such that $\psi(x)$ is comparable to $\text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ near the boundary and $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \cap C^\infty(\Omega)$. Without loss of generality, we assume $0 \in \partial\Omega$ and the x_n -direction is the normal direction at 0. Taking a small constant $r > 0$, we flatten the boundary $\partial\Omega$ near 0 by making the change of variables

$$x \in \Omega_r := \Omega(0, r) \rightarrow y \in \mathbb{R}_+^n, \quad y_i(x) = x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1, \quad y_n(x) = \psi(x).$$

In the y -variables, the equation becomes

$$\tilde{a}_{kl} D_{y_k} D_{y_l} u + \tilde{b} D_{y_n} u = f \quad \text{in } \psi(\Omega_r) \subset \mathbb{R}_+^n$$

with the Dirichlet boundary condition $u = 0$ on $\{y_n = 0\}$, where

$$\tilde{a}_{kl} = a_{ij} D_{x_i} y_k D_{x_j} y_l, \quad \tilde{b} = a_{ij} D_{x_i} x_j \psi.$$

Since $\psi \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, \tilde{a}_{kl} is uniformly continuous in $\psi(\Omega_r)$. It follows from [35, Lemma 2.4] that $|b(y)| \leq C y_n^{1-\alpha}$. In particular, $b \in L^{\max\{n, p\}^+}(\psi(\Omega_r))$ provided that $\alpha > 1 - 1/\max\{n, p\}$. By using the boundary $W^{2,p}$ -estimate for elliptic equation, Gerhardt's inequalities (cf. [19] or [25, Lemma 1 (ii)]), and a standard iteration argument, we conclude that for any $W^{2,p}$ -solution u ,

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega_{r/2})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega_r)} + C \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega_r)}.$$

It then follows from a partition of unity argument and the corresponding interior estimate that

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + C \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Now by the proof of [20, Lemma 9.17], using a compactness argument and the Alexandrov maximum principle, we have

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)},$$

which also gives the uniqueness of solutions. Finally, the existence of solutions follows from an approximation and bootstrap argument. See the proof of [20, Theorem 9.15].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to thank Zongyuan Li for pointing out the $W^{2,p}$ -solvability in Appendix.

REFERENCES

- [1] Ancona, Alano. *Principe de Harnack à la frontière et théorème de Fatou pour un opérateur elliptique dans un domaine lipschitzien*. Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **28** (1978), no. 4, 169–213, x.
- [2] Acquistapace, Paolo. *On BMO regularity for linear elliptic systems*. Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **161** (1992), 231–269.
- [3] Bauman, Patricia. *Equivalence of the Green's functions for diffusion operators in \mathbb{R}^n : a counterexample*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **91** (1984), no. 1, 64–68.
- [4] Bauman, Patricia. *Positive solutions of elliptic equations in nondivergence form and their adjoints*. Ark. Mat. **22** (1984), no. 2, 153–173.
- [5] Bauman, Patricia. *A Wiener test for nondivergence structure, second-order elliptic equations*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **34** (1985), no. 4, 825–844.
- [6] Campanato, S. *Un risultato relativo ad equazioni ellittiche del secondo ordine di tipo non variazionale*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) **21** (1967), 701–707.
- [7] Chanillo, S.; Li, Yanyan. *Continuity of solutions of uniformly elliptic equations*. Manuscripta Math. **77** (1992), no. 4, 415–433.
- [8] Chang, Der-Chen. *The dual of Hardy spaces on a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n* . Forum Math. **6** (1994), no. 1, 65–81.
- [9] Cho, Sungwon. *Alternative proof for the existence of Green's function*. Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. **10** (2011), no. 4, 1307–1314.
- [10] Dong, Hongjie; Kim, Doyoon. *On the L_p -solvability of higher order parabolic and elliptic systems with BMO coefficients*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **199** (2011), no. 3, 889–941.
- [11] Dong, Hongjie; Kim, Seick. *On C^1 , C^2 , and weak type-(1, 1) estimates for linear elliptic operators*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations. **42** (2017), no. 3, 417–435.
- [12] Dong, Hongjie; Escauriaza, Luis; Kim, Seick. *On C^1 , C^2 , and weak type-(1, 1) estimates for linear elliptic operators: part II*. Math. Ann. **370** (2018), no. 1-2, 447–489.
- [13] Dong, Hongjie; Kim, Seick. *Green's matrices of second order elliptic systems with measurable coefficients in two dimensional domains*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **361** (2009), no. 6, 3303–3323.
- [14] Eidel'man, S. D. *Parabolic systems*. Translated from the Russian by Scripta Technica, London North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-London; Wolters-Noordhoff Publishing, Groningen 1969.
- [15] Escauriaza, Luis. *Bounds for the fundamental solution of elliptic and parabolic equations in nondivergence form*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **25** (2000), no. 5-6, 821–845.
- [16] Escauriaza, Luis; Montaner, Santiago. *Some remarks on the L^p regularity of second derivatives of solutions to non-divergence elliptic equations and the Dini condition*. Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl. **28** (2017) 49–63.
- [17] Fabes, E. B.; Stroock, D. W. *The L^p -integrability of Green's functions and fundamental solutions for elliptic and parabolic equations*. Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), no. 4, 997–1016.
- [18] Friedman, Avner. *Partial differential equations of parabolic type*. Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 1964.
- [19] Gerhardt, C. *Stationary solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in dimension four*. Math. Z. **165** (1979), no. 2, 193–197.
- [20] Gilbarg, David; Trudinger, Neil S. *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*. Reprint of the 1998 edition. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [21] Grüter, Michael; Widman, Kjell-Ove. *The Green function for uniformly elliptic equations*. Manuscripta Math. **37** (1982), no. 3, 303–342.
- [22] Hofmann, Steve; Kim, Seick. *The Green function estimates for strongly elliptic systems of second order*. Manuscripta Math. **124** (2007), no. 2, 139–172.
- [23] Hwang, Sukjung; Kim, Seick. *Green's function for second order elliptic equations in non-divergence form*. Potential Analysis **52** (2020), no. 1, 27–39.

- [24] Jones, Peter. *Extension Theorems for BMO*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. **29** (1980), no. 1, 41–66
- [25] Kim, Hyunseok. *Existence and regularity of very weak solutions of the stationary Navier-Stokes equations*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **193** (2009), no. 1, 117–152.
- [26] Kenig, Carlos E.; Ni, Wei-Ming. *On the elliptic equation $Lu - k + K \exp[2u] = 0$* . Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) **12** (1985), no. 2, 191–224.
- [27] Krylov, N. V. *On one-point weak uniqueness for elliptic equations*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **17** (1992), no. 11-12, 1759–1784.
- [28] Li, Yanyan. *On the C^1 regularity of solutions to divergence form elliptic systems with Dini-continuous coefficients*. Chin. Ann. Math. Ser. B **38** (2017), no. 2, 489–496.
- [29] Lieberman, Gary M. *Regularized distance and its applications*. Pacific J. Math. **117** (1985, no. 2, 329–352.
- [30] Littman W., Stampacchia G., Weinberger H. F. *Regular points for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa (3), **17** (1963) 43–77.
- [31] Maz'ya, Vladimir; McOwen, Robert. *On the fundamental solution of an elliptic equation in nondivergence form*. Nonlinear partial differential equations and related topics, 145–172, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, **229**, Adv. Math. Sci., 64, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [32] Miranda, Carlo. *Partial differential equations of elliptic type*. Second revised edition. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin 1970.
- [33] Miyachi, Akihiko. *H^p spaces over open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n* . Studia Math. **95** (1990), no. 3, 205–228.
- [34] Ott, K. A.; Kim, S.; Brown, R. M. *The Green function for the mixed problem for the linear Stokes system in domains in the plane*. Math. Nachr. **288** (2015), no. 4, 452–464.
- [35] Safonov, M. V. *On the oblique derivative problem for second order elliptic equations*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations. **20** (1995), 1349–1367.
- [36] Sjögren, Peter. *On the adjoint of an elliptic linear differential operator and its potential theory*. Ark. Mat. **11** (1973), 153–165.
- [37] Taylor, J. L.; Kim, S.; Brown, R. M. *The Green function for elliptic systems in two dimensions*. Comm. Partial Differential Equations **38** (2013), no. 9, 1574–1600.

(H. Dong) DIVISION OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS, BROWN UNIVERSITY, 182 GEORGE STREET, PROVIDENCE, RI 02912, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
E-mail address: Hongjie.Dong@brown.edu

(S. Kim) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YONSEI UNIVERSITY, 50 YONSEI-RO, SEODAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 03722, REPUBLIC OF KOREA
E-mail address: kimseick@yonsei.ac.kr