

# ON THE ESSENTIAL DIMENSION OF AN ALGEBRAIC GROUP WHOSE CONNECTED COMPONENT IS A TORUS

ZINOVY REICHSTEIN AND FEDERICO SCAVIA

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $k$  be a  $p$ -closed field of characteristic  $\neq p$ ,  $T$  be a torus defined over  $k$ ,  $F$  be a finite  $p$ -group, and  $1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$  be an exact sequence of algebraic groups. In this paper we study the essential dimension  $\mathrm{ed}(G; p)$  of  $G$  at  $p$ . R. Löttscher, M. MacDonald, A. Meyer, and the first author showed that

$$\min \dim(V) - \dim(G) \leq \mathrm{ed}(G; p) \leq \min \dim(W) - \dim(G),$$

where  $V$  and  $W$  range over the  $p$ -faithful and  $p$ -generically free  $k$ -representations of  $G$ , respectively. This generalizes the formulas for the essential dimension at  $p$  of a finite  $p$ -group due to N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev (here  $T = \{1\}$ ) and of a torus, due to Löttscher et al. (here  $F = \{1\}$ ). In both of these cases every  $p$ -generically free representation of  $G$  is  $p$ -faithful, so the upper and lower bounds on  $\mathrm{ed}(G; p)$  given above coincide. In general there is a gap between these bounds. Löttscher et al. conjectured that the upper bound is, in fact, sharp; that is,  $\mathrm{ed}(G; p) = \min \dim(W) - \dim(G)$ , where  $W$  ranges over the  $p$ -generically free representations, as above. We prove this conjecture in the case, where  $F$  is diagonalizable. Moreover, we give an explicit way to compute  $\min \dim(W)$  in this case. As an application of our main theorem we compute  $\mathrm{ed}(G; p)$ , where  $G$  is the normalizer of a split maximal torus in a split simple algebraic group, in all previously inaccessible cases.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $p$  be a prime integer and  $k$  be a  $p$ -closed field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . That is, the degree of every finite extension  $l/k$  is a power of  $p$ . Consider an algebraic group  $G$  defined over  $k$ , which fits into the exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 1,$$

where  $T$  is a (not necessarily split) torus and  $F$  is a (not necessarily constant) finite  $p$ -group defined over  $k$ . We say that a representation  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$  is  $p$ -faithful if its kernel is a finite subgroup of  $G$  of order prime to  $p$  and  $p$ -generically free if the isotropy subgroup  $G_v$  is a finite group of order prime to  $p$  for  $v \in V(\bar{k})$  in general position. We denote by  $\eta(G)$  (respectively,  $\rho(G)$ ) the smallest dimension of a  $p$ -faithful (respectively,  $p$ -generically free) representation. R. Löttscher, M. MacDonald, A. Meyer, and the first author [14, Theorem

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20G15, 14L30, 14E05.

*Key words and phrases.* Essential dimension, algebraic torus, stabilizer in general position.

Zinovy Reichstein was partially supported by National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada Discovery grant 253424-2017.

Federico Scavia was partially supported by a graduate fellowship from the University of British Columbia.

1.1] showed that the essential  $p$ -dimension  $\mathrm{ed}(G; p)$  satisfies the inequalities

$$(1.2) \quad \eta(G) - \dim(G) \leq \mathrm{ed}_k(G; p) \leq \rho(G) - \dim(G).$$

The inequalities (1.2) represent a common generalization of the formulas for the essential  $p$ -dimension of a finite constant  $p$ -group, due to N. Karpenko and A. Merkurjev [11, Theorem 4.1] (where  $T = \{1\}$ ), and of an algebraic torus, due to Löttscher et al. [13] (where  $F = \{1\}$ ). In both of these cases, every  $p$ -faithful representation of  $G$  is  $p$ -generically free, and thus  $\eta(G) = \rho(G)$ . In general,  $\eta(G)$  can be strictly smaller than  $\rho(G)$ . Löttscher et al. conjectured that the upper bound of (1.2) is, in fact, sharp.

**Conjecture 1.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $k$  be a  $p$ -closed field of characteristic  $\neq p$ , and  $G$  be an affine algebraic group defined over  $k$ . Assume that the connected component  $G^0 = T$  is a  $k$ -torus, and the component group  $G/G^0 = F$  is a finite  $p$ -group. Then*

$$\mathrm{ed}(G; p) = \rho(G) - \dim G,$$

where  $\rho(G)$  is the minimal dimension of a  $p$ -generically free  $k$ -representation of  $G$ .

Informally speaking, the lower bound of (1.2) is the strongest lower bound on  $\mathrm{ed}(G; p)$  one can hope to prove by the methods of [11], [13], and [14]. In the case, where the upper and lower bounds of (1.2) diverge, Conjecture 1.1 calls for a new approach.

Conjecture 1.1 appeared in print in [20, Section 7.9] on the list of open problems in the theory of essential dimension. The only bit of progress since then has been a proof in the special case, where  $G$  is a semi-direct product of a cyclic group  $F = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  of order  $p$ , and a split torus  $T = \mathbb{G}_m^n$ , due to M. Huruguen [9]. Huruguen's argument relies on the classification of integral representations of  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  due to F. Diederichsen and I. Reiner [7, Theorem 74.3]. So far this approach has resisted all attempts to generalize it beyond the case, where  $G \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^n \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ .

Note that  $\eta(G)$  is often accessible by cohomological and/or combinatorial techniques; see Section 6 and Lemma 9.3, as well as the remarks after this lemma. Computing  $\rho(G)$  is usually a more challenging problem. The purpose of this paper is to establish Conjecture 1.1 in the case, where  $F$  is a diagonalizable abelian  $p$ -group. Moreover, our main result also gives a way of computing  $\rho(G)$  in this case.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $k$  be a  $p$ -closed field of characteristic  $\neq p$ , and  $G$  be an extension of a (not necessarily constant) diagonalizable  $p$ -group  $F$  by a (not necessarily split) torus  $T$ , as in (1.1). Then*

$$(a) \quad \mathrm{ed}(G; p) = \rho(G) - \dim G.$$

(b) *Moreover, suppose  $V$  is a  $p$ -faithful representation of  $G$  of minimal dimension,  $k$  is the algebraic closure of  $k$ , and  $S \subset G_{\bar{k}}$  is a stabilizer in general position for the  $G_{\bar{k}}$ -action on  $V_{\bar{k}}$ . Then  $\rho(G) = \eta(G) + \mathrm{rank}_p(S)$ .*

Here  $\mathrm{rank}_p(S)$  is the largest  $r$  such that  $S$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mu_p^r$ . Most of the remainder of this paper (Sections 2-8) will be devoted to proving Theorem 1.2. A key ingredient in the proof is the Resolution Theorem 7.2, which is based, in turn, on an old valuation-theoretic result of M. Artin and O. Zariski [1, Theorem 5.2]. In Section 9 we will use Theorem 1.2 to complete the computation of  $\mathrm{ed}(N; p)$  initiated in [18] and [15]. Here  $N$  is the normalizer of a split maximal torus in a split simple algebraic group.

## 2. STABILIZERS IN GENERAL POSITION

In this section we will assume that the base field  $k$  is algebraically closed. Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group defined over  $k$ . A  $G$ -variety  $X$  is called primitive if  $G$  transitively permutes the irreducible components of  $X$ .

Let  $X$  be a primitive  $G$ -variety. A subgroup  $S \subset G$  is called a stabilizer in general position for the  $G$ -action on  $X$  if there exists an open  $G$ -invariant subset  $U \subset X$  such that  $\text{Stab}_G(x)$  is conjugate to  $S$  for every  $x \in U(k)$ . Note that a stabilizer in general position does not always exist. When it exists, it is unique up to conjugacy.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group over  $k$  and  $X$  be a primitive quasi-projective  $G$ -variety. Assume that the connected component  $T = G^0$  is a torus and the component group  $F = G/G^0$  is finite of order prime to  $\text{char}(k)$ . Then there exists a stabilizer in general position  $S \subset G$ .*

*Proof.* After replacing  $G$  by  $\overline{G} := G/(K \cap T)$ , where  $K$  is the kernel of the  $G$ -action on  $X$ , we may assume that the  $T$ -action on  $X$  is faithful and hence, generically free. In other words, for  $x \in X(k)$  in general position,  $\text{Stab}_G(x) \cap T = 1$ ; in particular,  $\text{Stab}_G(x)$  is a finite  $p$ -group. Since  $\text{char}(k) \neq p$ , Maschke's theorem tells us that  $\text{Stab}_G(x)$  is linearly reductive. Hence, for  $x \in X(k)$  in general position,  $\text{Stab}_G(x)$  is  $G$ -completely reducible; see [10, Lemma 11.24]. The lemma now follows from [16, Corollary 1.5].  $\square$

*Remark 2.2.* The condition that  $X$  is quasi-projective can be dropped if  $k = \mathbb{C}$ ; see [22, Theorem 9.3.1]. With a bit more effort this condition can also be removed for any algebraically closed base field  $k$  of characteristic  $\neq p$ . Since we shall not need this more general variant of Lemma 2.1, we leave its proof as an exercise for the reader.

We define the (geometric)  $p$ -rank  $\text{rank}_p(G)$  of an algebraic group  $G$  to be the largest integer  $r$  such that  $G$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to  $\mu_p^r = \mu_p \times \cdots \times \mu_p$  ( $r$  times).

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a normal  $G$ -variety and  $Y \subset X$  be a  $G$ -invariant prime divisor of  $X$ . Let  $S_X$  and  $S_Y$  be stabilizers in general position of the  $G$ -actions on  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Assume that  $p$  is a prime and  $\text{char}(k) \neq p$ . Then:*

$$(a) \text{rank}_p(S_Y) \leq \text{rank}_p(S_X) + 1.$$

(b) *Assume the  $G$ -action on  $X$  is  $p$ -faithful. Denote the kernel of the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  by  $N$ . Then there is a group homomorphism  $\alpha: N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  does not contain a subgroup of order  $p$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U \subset X$  be a  $G$ -invariant dense open subset of  $X$  such that  $\text{Stab}_G(x)$  is conjugate to  $S$  for every  $x \in U(k)$ . If  $Y \cap U \neq \emptyset$ , then  $S_Y = S_X$ , and we are done. Thus we may assume that  $Y$  is contained in  $Z = X \setminus U$ . Since  $Y$  is a prime divisor in  $X$ , it is an irreducible component of  $Z$ . After removing all other irreducible components of  $Z$  from  $X$ , we may assume that  $Z = Y$ . Since  $X$  is normal,  $Y$  intersects the smooth locus of  $X$  non-trivially. Choose a  $k$ -point  $y \in Y$  such that both  $X$  and  $Y$  are smooth at  $y$  and  $\text{Stab}_G(y)$  is conjugate to  $S_Y$ . After replacing  $S_Y$  by a conjugate, we may assume that  $\text{Stab}_G(y) = S_Y$ . The group  $\text{Stab}_G(y)$  acts on the tangent spaces  $T_y(X)$  and  $T_y(Y)$ , hence on the 1-dimensional normal space  $T_y(X)/T_y(Y)$ . This gives rise to a character  $\alpha: S_Y \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ .

(a) Assume the contrary:  $S_Y$  contains  $\mu_p^{r+2}$ , where  $r = \text{rank}_p(S_X)$ . Then the kernel of  $\alpha$  contains a subgroup  $\mu \simeq \mu_p^{r+1}$ . By Maschke's Theorem, the natural projection  $T_y(X) \rightarrow T_y(X)/T_y(Y)$  is  $\mu$ -equivariantly split. Equivalently, there exists a  $\mu$ -invariant tangent vector  $v \in T_y(X)$  which does not belong to  $T_y(Y)$ . By the Luna Slice Theorem,

$$(2.1) \quad T_y(X)^\mu = T_y(X^\mu).$$

For a proof in characteristic 0, see [19, Section 6.5]. Generally speaking, Luna's theorem fails in prime characteristic, but (2.1) remains valid, because  $\mu$  is linearly reductive; see [3, Lemma 8.3]. Now observe that since  $\mu$  does not fit into any conjugate of  $S_X$ , the subvariety  $X^\mu$  is contained in  $Y = X \setminus U$ . Thus  $v \in T_y(X)^\mu = T_y(X^\mu) \subset T_y(Y)$ , a contradiction.

(b) Assume the contrary:  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  contains a subgroup  $H$  of order  $p$ . Then  $H$  (i) fixes a smooth point  $y$  of  $X$  and (ii) acts trivially on both  $T_y(Y)$  and  $T_y(X)/T_y(Y)$  and hence (since  $H$  is linearly reductive) on  $T_y(X)$ . It is well known that (i) and (ii) imply that  $H$  acts trivially on  $X$ ; see, e.g., the proof of [8, Lemma 4.1]. This contradicts our assumption that the  $G$ -action on  $X$  is  $p$ -faithful.  $\square$

### 3. COVERS

Let  $k$  be an arbitrary field, and let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group defined over  $k$ . As usual, we will denote the algebraic closure of  $k$  by  $\bar{k}$ . A  $G$ -variety  $X$  is called primitive if the  $G_{\bar{k}}$ -variety  $X_{\bar{k}}$  is primitive. A dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational map  $X \dashrightarrow Y$  of primitive  $G$ -varieties is called a cover of degree  $d$  if  $[k(X) : k(Y)] = d$ . Here if  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  are the irreducible components of  $X$ , then  $k(X)$  is defined as  $k(X_1) \oplus \dots \oplus k(X_n)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $p$  be a prime integer,  $G$  be a smooth algebraic group such that  $G/G^0$  is a finite  $p$ -group,  $W$  be an irreducible  $G$ -variety,  $Z \subset W$  be an irreducible divisor in  $W$ , and  $\tau: X \dashrightarrow W$  be a  $G$ -equivariant cover of degree prime to  $p$ . Then there exists a commutative diagram of  $G$ -equivariant maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D \hookrightarrow & X' & \\ \tau' \downarrow & \downarrow \alpha & \downarrow n \\ & X & \\ & \downarrow \tau & \\ Z \hookrightarrow & W & \end{array}$$

such that  $X'$  is normal,  $\alpha$  is a birational isomorphism,  $D$  is an irreducible divisor in  $X'$ , and  $\tau'$  is a cover of  $Z$  of degree prime to  $p$ .

*Proof.* Let  $X'$  be the normalization of  $W$  in the function field  $k(X)$ . Since  $G$  acts on  $W$  and  $X$  compatibly, there is a  $G$ -action on  $X'$  such that the normalization map  $n: X' \rightarrow W$  is  $G$ -equivariant. Over the dense open subset of  $W$  where  $\tau$  is finite,  $n$  factors through  $X$ . Thus  $n$  factors into a composition of a birational isomorphism  $\alpha: X' \dashrightarrow X$  and  $\tau: X \dashrightarrow W$ . This gives us the right column in the diagram.

To construct  $D$ , we argue as in the proof of [21, Proposition A.4]. Denote the irreducible components of the preimage of  $Z$  under  $n$  by  $D_1, \dots, D_r \subset X'$ . These components are

permuted by  $G$ . Denote the orbits of this permutation action by  $\mathcal{O}_1, \dots, \mathcal{O}_m$ . After renumbering  $D_1, \dots, D_n$ , we may assume that  $D_i \in \mathcal{O}_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . By the ramification formula (see, e.g., [12, Corollary 6.3, p. 490]),

$$d = \sum_{i=1}^m |\mathcal{O}_i| \cdot [D_i : Z] \cdot e_i,$$

where  $[D_i : Z]$  denotes the degree of the cover  $n_{|D_i}: D_i \rightarrow Z$ , and  $e_i$  is the ramification index of  $n$  at the generic point of  $D_i$ . Since  $d$  is prime to  $p$ , and each  $|\mathcal{O}_i|$  is a power of  $p$ , we conclude that there exists an  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  such that  $|\mathcal{O}_i| = 1$  (i.e.,  $D_i$  is  $G$ -invariant) and  $[D_i : Z]$  is prime to  $p$ . We now set  $D = D_i$  and  $\tau' = n_{|D}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group over an algebraically closed field  $k$ ,  $p \neq \text{char}(k)$  be a prime number and  $\tau: X \dashrightarrow W$  be a cover of  $G$ -varieties of degree  $d$ . Assume stabilizers in general position for the  $G$ -actions on  $X$  and  $W$  exist; denote them by  $S_X$  and  $S_W$  respectively. Assume  $d$  is prime to  $p$ .*

- (a) *If  $H$  is a finite  $p$ -subgroup of  $S_W$ , then  $S_X$  contains a conjugate of  $H$ .*
- (b)  $\text{rank}_p(S_X) = \text{rank}_p(S_W)$ .

*Proof.* (a) After replacing  $W$  by a dense open subvariety, we may assume that the stabilizer of every point in  $W$  is a conjugate of  $S_W$ . Furthermore, after replacing  $X$  by the normal closure of  $W$  in  $k(X)$ , we may assume that  $\tau$  is a finite morphism. We claim that  $W^{S_W} \subset \tau(X^H)$ . Indeed, suppose  $w \in W^{S_W}$ . Then  $H$  acts on  $\tau^{-1}(w)$ , which is a zero cycle on  $X$  of degree  $d$ . Since  $H$  is a  $p$ -group, it fixes a  $k$ -point in  $\tau^{-1}(w)$ . Hence,  $X^H \cap \tau^{-1}(w) \neq \emptyset$  or equivalently,  $w \in \tau(X^H)$ . This proves the claim.

Since the stabilizer of every point of  $W$  is conjugate to  $S_W$ , we have  $G \cdot W^{S_W} = W$ . By the claim,  $\tau(G \cdot X^H) = G \cdot \tau(X^H) = W$ . Since  $G$  acts transitively on the irreducible components of  $X$ , this implies that  $G \cdot X^H$  contains a dense open subset  $X_0 \subset X$ . In other words, the stabilizer of every point of  $X_0$  contains a conjugate of  $H$ , and part (a) follows.

(b) Clearly  $S_X \subset S_W$  and thus  $\text{rank}_p(S_X) \leq \text{rank}_p(S_W)$ . On the other hand, if  $S_W$  contains  $H = \mu_p^r$  for some  $r \geq 0$ , then by part (a),  $S_X$  also contains a copy of  $\mu_p^r$ . This proves the opposite inequality,  $\text{rank}_p(S_X) \geq \text{rank}_p(S_W)$ .  $\square$

#### 4. ESSENTIAL $p$ -DIMENSION

Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $G$ -varieties. By a correspondence  $X \rightsquigarrow Y$  of degree  $d$  we mean a diagram of rational maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & X' & \\ \text{degree } d \text{ cover } \downarrow & \searrow f & \\ & X & \rightarrow Y. \end{array}$$

We say that this correspondence is dominant if  $f$  is dominant. A rational map may be viewed as a correspondence of degree 1.

The *essential dimension*  $\text{ed}(X)$  of a generically free  $G$ -variety  $X$  is the minimal value of  $\dim(Y) - \dim(G)$ , where the minimum is taken over all generically free  $G$ -varieties  $Y$  admitting a dominant rational map  $X \dashrightarrow Y$ . For a prime integer  $p$ , the essential

dimension  $\text{ed}(X; p)$  of  $X$  at  $p$  is defined in a similar manner, as  $\dim(Y) - \dim(G)$ , where the minimum is taken over all generically free  $G$ -varieties  $X$  admitting a  $G$ -equivariant dominant correspondence  $X \rightsquigarrow Y$  of degree prime to  $p$ .

It follows from [14, Propositions 2.4 and 3.1] that this minimum does not change if we allow the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  to be  $p$ -generically free, rather than generically free; we shall not need this fact in the sequel. We will, however, need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Requiring  $Y$  to be projective in the above definitions does not change the values of  $\text{ed}(X)$  and  $\text{ed}(X; p)$ . That is, for any primitive generically free  $G$ -variety  $X$ ,*

(a) *there exists a  $G$ -equivariant dominant rational map  $X \dashrightarrow Z$ , where  $Z$  is projective, the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  is generically free, and  $\dim(Y) = \text{ed}(X; G) + \dim(G)$ .*

(b) *There exists a  $G$ -equivariant dominant correspondence  $X \dashrightarrow Z'$  of degree prime to  $p$ , where  $Z'$  is projective, the  $G$ -action on  $Z'$  is generically free, and  $\dim(Z') = \text{ed}(X; p) + \dim(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be a generically free  $G$ -variety and  $V$  be a generically free linear representation of  $G$ . It is well known that the  $G$ -action on  $V$  is versal; see, e.g., [17, Proposition 3.10]. Consequently, there exists a  $G$ -invariant subvariety  $Y_1 \subset V$  and a  $G$ -equivariant dominant rational map  $Y \dashrightarrow Y_1$  so that the  $G$ -action on  $Y_1$  is generically free. After replacing  $Y_1$  by its Zariski closure  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{P}(V \oplus k)$ , where  $G$  acts trivially on  $k$ , we obtain a  $G$ -equivariant dominant rational map  $\alpha: Y \dashrightarrow Z$  such that  $Z$  is projective and the  $G$ -action on  $Z$  is generically free.

To prove part (a), choose a dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational map  $f: X \dashrightarrow Y$  such that the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  is generically free and  $\dim(Y)$  is the smallest possible, i.e.,  $\dim(Y) = \text{ed}(X) + \dim(G)$ . Now compose  $f$  with the map  $\alpha: Y \dashrightarrow Z$  constructed above. By the minimality of  $\dim(Y)$ , we have  $\dim(Z) = \dim(Y)$ , and part (a) follows. The proof of part (b) is the same, except that the rational map  $f$  is replaced by a correspondence of degree prime to  $p$ .  $\square$

The essential dimension  $\text{ed}(G)$  (respectively the essential dimension at  $p$ ,  $\text{ed}(G; p)$ ) of the group  $G$  is the maximal value of  $\text{ed}(X)$  (respectively, of  $\text{ed}(X; p)$ ) taken over all generically free  $G$ -varieties  $X$ .

## 5. THE GROUPS $G_n$

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group over  $k$  such that the connected component  $T = G^0$  is a torus, and the component group  $F = G/T$  is a finite  $p$ -group, as in (1.1). By [14, Lemma 5.3], there exists a finite  $p$ -subgroup  $F' \subset G$  such that  $\pi|_{F'}: F' \rightarrow F$  is surjective. We will refer to  $F'$  as a “quasi-splitting subgroup” for  $G$ . We will denote the subgroup generated by  $F'$  and  $T[n]$  by  $G_n$ . Here  $T[n]$  denotes the  $n$ -torsion subgroup of  $T$ , i.e., the kernel of the homomorphism  $T \xrightarrow{\times n} T$ . Note that our definition of  $G_n$  depends on the choice of the quasi-splitting subgroup  $F'$ . We will assume that  $F'$  is fixed throughout. We will be particularly interested in the subgroups

$$(5.1) \quad G_1 \subset G_p \subset G_{p^2} \subset G_{p^3} \subset \dots$$

Informally speaking, we will show that these groups approximate “ $p$ -primary behavior” of  $G$  in various ways; see Lemma 5.2 and Proposition 6.2(b) below.

In the sequel we will denote the center of  $G$  by  $Z(G)$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** (a) Let  $z \in Z(G)(\bar{k})$  be a central element of  $G$  of order  $p^n$  for some  $n \geq 0$ . Then  $z \in G_{p^m}$  for  $m \gg 0$ .

(b) For every  $n \geq 0$ , we have  $Z(G)[p^n] = Z(G_{p^r})[p^n]$  as group schemes for all  $r \gg 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) By the definition of  $F'$ , there exists  $g \in F'(\bar{k})$  and  $t \in T(\bar{k})$  such that  $g = zt$ . Since  $F'$  is a  $p$ -group,  $g^N = 1$ , where  $N$  is a sufficiently high power of  $p$ . Taking  $N \geq p^n$ , we also have  $z^N = 1$ . Since  $z$  is central,  $1 = g^N = (zt)^N = z^N t^N = t^N$ . Thus  $t \in T[N](\bar{k}) \subset G_N(\bar{k})$  and consequently,  $z = gt^{-1}$  is a  $\bar{k}$ -point of  $F' \cdot T[N] = G_N$ .

(b) Let  $n \geq 0$  be fixed. Since both  $Z(G)[p^n]$  and  $G_{p^r}$  are finite  $p$ -groups, and we are assuming that  $\text{char}(k) \neq p$ , part (a) tells us that there exists  $m \geq 0$  such that  $Z(G)[p^n] \subset Z(G_{p^r})[p^n]$  as group schemes, for all  $r \geq m$ .

Let  $r \geq 0$ , and let  $x \in Z(G_{p^r})[p^n](k_s)$ , where  $k_s$  is a separable closure of  $k$ . Let  $f_x : T_{k_s} \rightarrow T_{k_s}$  be the homomorphism of conjugation by  $x$ . Passing to character lattices, we obtain a homomorphism  $\langle x \rangle \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $d = \text{rank } X(T_{k_s})$ . By a theorem of Jordan, in  $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z})$  there are at most finitely many finite subgroups, up to conjugacy. In particular, we may find an integer  $N \gg 0$  such that the restriction of  $\text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_d(\mathbb{Z}/p^N\mathbb{Z})$  to every finite subgroup is injective.

Thus, if  $r \geq N$ ,  $f_x$  is the identity for every  $x \in Z(G_{p^r})[p^n](k_s)$ . Since  $F'$  is contained in  $G_{p^r}$ , every  $x \in Z(G_{p^r})[p^n](k_s)$  commutes with  $F'$ . Since  $G^0$  and  $F'$  generate  $G$ , we deduce that  $x \in Z(G)[p^n](k_s)$ . This shows that  $Z(G_{p^r})[p^n] \subset Z(G)[p^n]$  for  $r \geq N$ . We conclude that for  $r \geq \max(N, m)$  we have  $Z(G_{p^r})[p^n] = Z(G)[p^n]$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -closed field containing  $k$ . Then every class  $\alpha \in H^1(K, G)$  lies in the image of the map  $H^1(K, G_{p^r}) \rightarrow H^1(K, G)$  for sufficiently high  $r$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha \in H^1(K, G)$ . Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & T[n] & \longrightarrow & G_n & \longrightarrow & F \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & T & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & F \longrightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

and the associated diagram in Galois cohomology. Let  $\bar{\alpha} \in H^1(K, F)$  be the image of  $\alpha$  under the natural morphism  $H^1(K, G) \rightarrow H^1(K, F)$ . Since  $T$  is abelian, the conjugation actions of  $G$  on  $T$  and of  $G_n$  on  $T[n]$  descend to  $F$ . Twisting the bottom sequence by  $\bar{\alpha}$ , and setting  $U = \bar{\alpha}T$ , we see that the fiber of  $\bar{\alpha}$  equals the image of  $H^1(K, U)$ ; see [23, Section I.5.5]. Similarly twisting the top sequence by  $\bar{\alpha}$ , we see that fiber of  $H^1(K, G_n) \rightarrow H^1(K, F)$  over  $\bar{\alpha}$  equals the image of  $H^1(K, U[p^n])$ . Hence it suffices to prove the following:

**Claim:** Let  $K$  be a  $p$ -closed field and  $U$  be a torus defined over  $K$ . Then the natural map  $H^1(K, U[p^r]) \rightarrow H^1(K, U)$  is surjective for  $r$  sufficiently large.

To prove the claim, note that since  $K$  is  $p$ -closed, the torus  $U$  is split by an extension  $L/K$  of degree  $n$ , where  $n$  is a power of  $p$ . By a restriction-corestriction argument, it follows that  $H^1(K, U)$  is  $n$ -torsion. Now consider the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow U[n] \longrightarrow U \xrightarrow{\times n} U \longrightarrow 1.$$

The associated exact cohomology sequence

$$H^1(K, U[n]) \longrightarrow H^1(K, U) \xrightarrow{\times n} H^1(K, U)$$

shows that  $H^1(K, U[n])$  surjects onto  $H^1(K, U)$ . This completes the proof of the claim and thus of the Lemma 5.2.  $\square$

## 6. THE INDEX

Let  $\mu$  be a diagonalizable abelian  $p$ -group, and

$$(6.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow \mu \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \overline{G} \longrightarrow 1$$

be a central exact sequence of affine algebraic groups defined over  $k$ . This sequence gives rise to the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$H^1(K, G) \longrightarrow H^1(K, \overline{G}) \xrightarrow{\partial_K} H^2(K, \mu)$$

for any field extension  $K$  of the base field  $k$ . Any character  $x: \mu \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ , induces a homomorphism  $x_*: H^2(K, \mu) \rightarrow H^2(K, \mathbb{G}_m)$ . We define  $\text{ind}^x(G, \mu)$  as the maximal index of  $x_* \circ \partial_K(E) \in H^2(K, \mu)$ , where the maximum is taken over all field extensions  $K/k$  and over all  $E \in H^1(K, \overline{G})$ . This number is finite for every  $x \in X(\mu)$ ; see [17, Theorem 6.1].

*Remark 6.1.* Since  $\mu$  is a finite  $p$ -group, the index of  $x_* \circ \partial_K(E)$  does not change when  $K$  is replaced by a finite extension  $K'/K$  whose degree is prime to  $p$ , and  $E$  is replaced by its image under the natural restriction map  $H^1(K, \overline{G}) \rightarrow H^1(K', \overline{G})$ . Equivalently, we may replace  $K$  by its  $p$ -closure  $K^{(p)}$ . In other words, the maximal value of  $x_* \circ \partial_K(E)$  will be attained if we only allow  $K$  to range over  $p$ -closed fields extensions of  $k$ .

Set  $\text{ind}(G, \mu) := \min \sum_{i=1}^r \text{ind}^{x_i}(G, \mu)$ , where the minimum is taken over all generating sets  $x_1, \dots, x_r$  of the group  $X(\mu)$  of characters of  $\mu$ .

Now suppose  $G^0 = T$  is a torus, and  $G/G^0 = F$  is a  $p$ -group, as in (1.1). In this case there is a particularly convenient choice of  $\mu \subset G$ . Following [14, Section 4] we will denote this central subgroup of  $G$  by  $C(G)$ . If  $k$  is algebraically closed,  $C(G)$  is simply the  $p$ -torsion subgroup of the center of  $G$ ,  $C(G) = Z(G)[p]$ . If  $k$  is only assumed to be  $p$ -closed, then we set  $\mu = \text{Split}_k(Z(G)[p])$  to be the largest  $k$ -split subgroup of  $Z(G)[p]$  in the sense of [13, Section 2].

**Proposition 6.2.** *Let  $G$  be as in (1.1). Denote by  $\eta(G)$  the smallest dimension of a  $p$ -faithful  $G$ -representation. Then:*

$$(a) \text{ind}(G, C(G)) = \eta(G).$$

$$(b) \text{ If } r \text{ is sufficiently large, then } \eta(G) = \eta(G_{p^r}) = \text{ed}(G_{p^r}) = \text{ed}(G_{p^r}; p).$$

*Proof.* (a) Let  $\text{Rep}^x(G)$  be the set of irreducible  $G$ -representations  $\nu: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  such that  $\nu(z) = x(z)\text{Id}_V$  for every  $z \in \mu(\overline{k})$ . By the Index Formula [17, Theorem 6.1],  $\text{ind}^x(G) = \text{gcd dim}(\nu)$ , where  $\nu$  ranges over  $\text{Rep}^x(G)$ , and  $\text{gcd}$  stands for the greatest common divisor. By [14, Proposition 4.2],  $\text{dim}(\nu)$  is a power of  $p$  for every irreducible representation  $\nu$  of  $G$  defined over  $k$ . Thus one can replace  $\text{gcd dim}(\nu)$  by  $\min \text{dim}(\nu)$  in the Index Formula. Decomposing an arbitrary representation of  $G$  as a direct sum



of irreducible subrepresentations, we see that  $\text{ind}(G, C(G)) = \text{minimal dimension of a } k\text{-representation } \nu: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \text{ such that the restriction } \nu|_{C(G)}: C(G) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \text{ is faithful.}$  Finally, by [14, Proposition 4.3],  $\nu|_{C(G)}$  is faithful if and only if  $\nu$  is  $p$ -faithful.

(b) Since  $G_{p^r}$  is a (not necessarily constant) finite  $p$ -group and  $k$  is  $p$ -closed, the identities  $\eta(G_{p^r}) = \text{ed}(G_{p^r}) = \text{ed}(G_{p^r}; p)$  follow from [13, Theorem 7.1]. It thus remains to show that

$$(6.2) \quad \eta(G) = \eta(G_{p^r}) \text{ for } r \gg 0.$$

By Lemma 5.1(b),  $Z(G)[p] = Z(G_{p^r})[p]$  and thus  $C(G) = C(G_{p^r})$  for  $r \gg 0$ . In view of part (a), (6.2) is thus equivalent to

$$(6.3) \quad \text{ind}(G, C(G)) = \text{ind}(G_{p^r}, C(G)) \text{ for } r \gg 0.$$

Let  $h$  be the natural projection  $G \rightarrow \overline{G} = G/C(G)$ . Note that the group  $\overline{G}$  is of the same type as  $G$ . That is, the connected component  $\overline{G}^0$  is the torus  $\overline{T} := h(T)$ , and since the homomorphism  $F = G/T \rightarrow \overline{G}/\overline{T}$  is surjective,  $\overline{F} := \overline{G}/\overline{G}^0$  is a  $p$ -group. Moreover, if  $F'$  is a quasi-splitting subgroup for  $G$  (as defined at the beginning of Section 5), then  $\overline{F}' := h(F')$  is a quasi-splitting subgroup for  $\overline{G}$ . We will use this subgroup to define the finite subgroups  $\overline{G}_n$  of  $\overline{G}$  for every integer  $n$  in the same way as we defined  $G_n$ :

$\overline{G}_n$  is the subgroup of  $\overline{G}$  generated by  $\overline{F}'$  and torsion subgroup  $\overline{T}[n]$ .

Now observe that since  $C(G)$  is  $p$ -torsion in  $G$ ,  $h(T[n]) \subset \overline{T}[n] \subset h(T[pn])$  and thus

$$(6.4) \quad h(G_n) \subset \overline{G}_n \subset h(G_{pn}).$$

for every  $n$ . We now proceed with the proof of (6.3). Consider the diagram of natural maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & C(G) & \longrightarrow & G & \longrightarrow & \overline{G} \longrightarrow 1 \\ & & \parallel & & \uparrow i & & \uparrow \bar{i} \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & C(G) & \longrightarrow & G_{p^r} & \longrightarrow & h(G_{p^r}) \longrightarrow 1, \end{array}$$

and the induced diagram in Galois cohomology

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(K, G) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, \overline{G}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_K} & H^2(K, C(G)) \\ \uparrow i_* & & \uparrow \bar{i}_* & & \parallel \\ H^1(K, G_{p^r}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(K, h(G_{p^r})) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_K} & H^2(K, C(G)). \end{array}$$

In view of Remark 6.1, for the purpose of computing  $\text{ind}(G, C(G))$  and  $\text{ind}(G_{p^r}, C(G))$ , we may assume that  $K$  is a  $p$ -closed field. We claim that for  $r \gg 0$ , the vertical map  $\bar{i}_*: H^1(K, h(G_{p^r})) \rightarrow H^1(K, \overline{G})$  is surjective for every  $p$ -closed field  $K/k$ . If we can prove this claim, then for  $r \gg 0$ , the image of  $\bar{\partial}_K$  in  $H^2(K, C(G))$  is the same as the image of  $\partial_K$ . Thus  $\text{ind}^x(G)$  and  $\text{ind}^x(G_{p^r})$  are the same for every  $x \in X(C(G))$ , and (6.3) will follow.

To prove the claim, note that by (6.4),  $\overline{G}_{p^r} \subset h(G_{p^{r+1}})$ . Consider the composition

$$H^1(K, \overline{G}_{p^{r-1}}) \longrightarrow H^1(K, h(G_{p^r})) \xrightarrow{\bar{i}_*} H^1(K, \overline{G}).$$

By Lemma 5.2, the map  $H^1(K, \overline{G}_{p^{r-1}}) \rightarrow H^1(K, \overline{G})$  is surjective for  $r \gg 0$ . Hence, so is  $\bar{i}_*$ . This completes the proof of the claim and thus of (6.3) and of Proposition 6.2.  $\square$

## 7. A RESOLUTION THEOREM FOR RATIONAL MAPS

The following lemma is a minor variant of [6, Lemma 2.1]. For the sake of completeness, we supply a self-contained proof.

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $K \subseteq L$  be a field extension and  $v : L^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a discrete valuation. Assume that  $v|_{K^\times}$  is non-trivial and denote the residue fields of  $v$  and  $v|_{K^\times}$  by  $L_v$  and  $K_v$ , respectively. Then  $\text{trdeg}_K L \geq \text{trdeg}_{K_v} L_v$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m \in L_v$ . For every  $i$ , let  $x_i$  be a preimage of  $\bar{x}_i$  in the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_L$ . It suffices to show that  $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m$  are algebraically independent over  $K_v$ , then  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are algebraically independent over  $K$ . To prove this, we argue by contradiction. Suppose there exists a non-zero polynomial  $f \in K[t_1, \dots, t_m]$  such that  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m) = 0$ . Multiplying  $f$  by a suitable power of a uniformizing parameter for  $v|_{K^\times}$ , we may assume that  $f \in \mathcal{O}_K[x_1, \dots, x_m]$  and that at least one coefficient of  $f$  has valuation equal to 0. Reducing modulo the maximal ideal of the valuation ring  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , we see that  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  are algebraically dependent over  $K_v$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

Recall that if  $X_1$  is regular in codimension 1 (e.g.  $X_1$  is normal) and  $X_2$  is complete, any rational map  $f : X_1 \dashrightarrow X_2$  is regular in codimension 1. It follows that if  $D \subseteq X_1$  is a prime divisor of  $X_1$ , the closure of the image  $\overline{f(D)} \subseteq X_2$  is well-defined.

**Theorem 7.2.** *Let  $G$  be a linear algebraic group over  $k$ , and  $f : X \dashrightarrow Y$  be a dominant rational map of  $G$ -varieties. Assume that  $Y$  is complete,  $D \subset X$  is a prime divisor, and  $\overline{f(D)} \neq Y$ . Then there exist a commutative diagram of  $G$ -equivariant dominant rational maps*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Y' \\ & \nearrow f' & \downarrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

*and a divisor  $E \subset Y'$  such that  $Y'$  is normal and complete,  $\pi : Y' \rightarrow Y$  is a birational morphism, and  $f'(D) = E$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $v : k(X)^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be the valuation given by the order of vanishing or pole along  $D$ . Define  $C := \overline{f(D)}$  and let  $w : k(Y)^\times \xrightarrow{f^*} k(X)^\times \xrightarrow{v} \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\varphi \in k(Y)^\times$  be such that  $f$  is regular in an open neighbourhood  $U$  of the generic point of  $C$ , and such that  $\varphi|_{U \cap C} = 0$ . It follows that  $\varphi \circ f$  is zero on  $D$ , hence  $w(f) = v(\varphi \circ f) > 0$ . This shows that  $w$  is non-zero, and so  $w$  is a discrete valuation on  $k(Y)$ .

Since  $D$  maps dominantly onto  $C$ , we have an inclusion of local rings  $f^* : \mathcal{O}_{Y,C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,D}$ . It follows that if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{O}_{Y,C}$ , then  $w(\varphi) = v(\varphi \circ f) \geq 0$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{O}_{Y,C}$  is contained in the valuation ring of  $w$ . In other words,  $C$  is the center of  $w$ .

Denote by  $k(Y)_w$  the residue field of  $w$ . By Lemma 7.1 we have

$$\mathrm{trdeg}_k k(X) - \mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y) \geq \mathrm{trdeg}_k k(D) - \mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y)_w.$$

Since  $\mathrm{trdeg}_k k(D) = \mathrm{trdeg}_k k(X) - 1$ , we obtain that  $\mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y)_w \geq \mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y) - 1$ . By the Zariski-Abhyankar inequality [4, VI, §10.3, Cor 1] we have  $\mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y)_w \leq \mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y) - 1$ , hence

$$\mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y)_w = \mathrm{trdeg}_k k(Y) - 1.$$

By [1, Theorem 5.2], there exists a sequence of proper birational morphisms

$$Y' = Y_n \rightarrow Y_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Y_1 \rightarrow Y_0 = Y$$

such that  $Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_i$  is a blow-up at the center of  $w$  on  $Y_i$ , and such that the center  $E'$  of  $w$  on  $Y'$  is a prime divisor and  $Y'$  is normal at the generic point of  $E'$ . Since  $C$  is  $G$ -invariant, by the universal property of the blow-up, the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  lifts to every  $Y_i$ , and the maps  $Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_i$  are  $G$ -equivariant.

We let  $\pi : Y' \rightarrow Y$  be the composition of the maps  $Y_{i+1} \rightarrow Y_i$ , and  $f' : X \rightarrow Y'$  be the composition of  $f$  with the birational inverse of  $\pi$ . By construction,  $f'$  is  $G$ -equivariant. It suffices to show that  $\overline{f'(D)} = E$ . Since the center of  $w$  is the divisor  $E \subseteq Y'$ , the valuation  $w$  is given by the order of vanishing or pole along  $E$ . If we identify  $k(Y)$  with  $k(Y')$  via  $\pi$ , we also have  $w = (f')^*v$ . It follows that for every  $\varphi \in k(Y')^\times$ ,  $\varphi$  is regular and vanishes at the generic point of  $E$  if and only if  $w(\varphi) > 0$  if and only if  $v(\varphi \circ f') = 0$  if and only if  $\varphi$  vanishes at the generic point of  $f'(D)$ . We conclude that  $\overline{f'(D)} = E$ , as desired. Finally, after replacing  $Y'$  by its normalization,  $(Y')^n$  and  $E'$  by its preimage in  $(Y')^n$ , we may assume that  $Y'$  is normal everywhere (and not just at a generic point of  $E'$ ). The  $G$ -action naturally lifts to  $(Y')^n$ .  $\square$

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Let  $G$  be an algebraic group as in (1.1). Let  $V$  be a  $p$ -faithful representation of  $G$  of minimal dimension  $\eta(G)$ . By Lemma 2.1 there exists a stabilizer in general position  $S_V$  for the  $G_k$ -action on  $V_k$ . Since  $V(k)$  is dense in  $V$ , we may assume without loss of generality that  $S_V$  is the stabilizer of a  $k$ -point of  $V$ . In particular, we may assume that  $S_V$  is a closed subgroup of  $G$  defined over  $k$ . Since  $T$  acts  $p$ -faithfully on  $V$ , we have  $S_V \cap T = \{1\}$ .

*Reduction 8.1.* To prove Theorem 1.2, it suffices to construct a  $G$ -representation  $V'$  such that  $\dim(V') = \mathrm{rank}_p(S)$ ,  $W := V \oplus V'$  is  $p$ -generically free, and

$$(8.1) \quad \mathrm{ed}(W; p) = \dim(W) - \dim(G).$$

Here when we write  $\mathrm{ed}(W; p)$ , we are viewing  $W$  as a generically free  $G/\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$ -variety, where  $\varphi : G \rightarrow W$  denotes the representation of  $G$  on  $W$ . The kernel,  $\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$ , of this representation is a finite normal subgroup of  $G$  of order prime to  $p$ .

*Proof.* Suppose we manage to construct  $V'$  so that (8.1) holds. Then

$$\mathrm{ed}(W; p) \stackrel{(i)}{=} \mathrm{ed}(G/\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi); p) \stackrel{(ii)}{=} \mathrm{ed}(G; p) \stackrel{(iii)}{\leq} \rho(G) - \dim(G) \stackrel{(iv)}{\leq} \dim(W) - \dim(G),$$

where

(i) follows from the fact that  $W$  is a versal  $G/\text{Ker}(\varphi)$ -variety; see, e.g., [17, Propositions 3.10 and 3.11],

(ii) by [14, Proposition 2.4],

(iii) is the right hand side of (1.2), and

(iv) is immediate from the definition of  $\rho(G)$ .

If we know that (8.1) holds, then the inequalities (iii) and (iv) are, in fact, equalities. Equality in (iii) yields Theorem 1.2(a). On the other hand, since

$$\dim(W) = \dim(V) + \dim(V') = \eta(G) + \text{rank}_p(S),$$

equality in (iv) tells us that  $\eta(G) + \text{rank}_p(S) = \rho(G)$ , thus proving Theorem 1.2(b).  $\square$

To construct  $W$ , we begin with a  $p$ -faithful linear representation  $\nu: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  of minimal possible dimension  $d = \eta(G)$ . The kernel of  $\nu$  is a finite group of order prime to  $p$ ; it is contained in the maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$ . From now on we will replace  $G$  by  $\overline{G} = G/\text{Ker}(\nu)$ . All other  $G$ -actions we will construct (including the linear  $G$ -action on  $W$ ) will factor through  $\overline{G}$ . In the end we will show that  $\text{ed}(W; p) = \text{ed}(\overline{G}; p)$ ; once again, this is enough because  $\text{ed}(G; p) = \eta(G) = \eta(\overline{G}) = \text{ed}(\overline{G}; p)$  by [14, Proposition 2.4]. In other words, from now on we may (and will) assume that the  $G$ -action on  $V$  is faithful.

Recall that  $S_V$  denotes the stabilizer in general position for the  $G$ -action on  $V$ , and that we have chosen  $S_V$  (which is a priori a closed subgroup of  $S_{\overline{k}}$  defined up to conjugacy), so that it is defined over  $k$ . Since  $T$  is a torus, and  $T$  acts faithfully on  $V$ , this action is automatically generically free. That is  $S_V \cap T = 1$  or equivalently, the natural projection  $\pi|_{S_V}: S_V \rightarrow F$  is injective. In particular,  $\pi(S_V)$  is diagonalizable. By our assumption  $F$  is isomorphic to  $\mu_{p^{i_1}} \times \cdots \times \mu_{p^{i_R}}$  for some integers  $R \geq 0$  and  $i_1, \dots, i_R \geq 1$ . Moreover, this isomorphism can be chosen so that

$$\pi(S_V) = \mu_{p^{j_1}} \times \cdots \times \mu_{p^{j_r}}$$

for some  $0 \leq r \leq R$  and some integers  $1 \leq j_t \leq i_t$ , for every  $t = 1, \dots, r$ . Let  $\chi_t$  be the composition of  $\pi: G \rightarrow F$  with the projection map  $F \rightarrow \mu_{p^{j_t}}$  to the  $t$ -th component and  $V_t$  be a 1-dimensional vector space on which  $G$  acts by  $\chi_t$ . Set  $W_d = V$  and  $W_{d+t} = V \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_t$  for  $m = 1, \dots, r$ . A stabilizer in general position for the  $G$ -action on  $W_{d+m}$  is clearly

$$S_{W_{d+m}} = S_V \cap \text{Ker}(\chi_1) \cap \cdots \cap \text{Ker}(\chi_m)$$

and thus

$$(8.2) \quad S_{W_{d+m}} \simeq \pi(S_{W_{d+m}}) = \{1\} \times \cdots \times \{1\} \times \mu_{p^{j_{m+1}}} \times \cdots \times \mu_{p^{j_{d+r}}}$$

for any  $0 \leq m \leq r$ . In particular,  $S_{W_{d+r}} = \{1\}$ , in other words, the  $G$ -action on  $W_{d+r}$  is generically free. We now set

$$W = W_{d+r} = V \oplus V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r.$$

Having defined  $W$ , we now proceed with the proof of (8.1). In view of Lemma 4.1(b) it suffices to establish the following.

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $W$  be as above. Consider a dominant  $G$ -equivariant correspondence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & & \\ \tau \downarrow & \searrow f & \\ W & & Y, \end{array}$$

*of degree prime to  $p$ , where  $Y$  is a  $p$ -generically free projective  $G$ -variety. Then  $\dim(Y) = \dim(W) = d + r$ .*

We now proceed with the proof of the proposition. By Lemma 3.1 (with  $Z = W_{d+r-1}$ ) there exists a commutative diagram of  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_{d+r-1} & \hookrightarrow & X_{d+r} \\ \tau_{d+r-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_{d+r} \\ W_{d+r-1} & \hookrightarrow & W \\ & & \downarrow \tau \\ & & X \end{array}$$

such that  $X_{d+r}$  is normal,  $\alpha_{d+r}$  is a birational isomorphism,  $D_{d+r-1}$  is an irreducible divisor in  $X_{d+r}$ , and  $\tau_{d+r-1}$  is a cover of  $W_{d+r-1}$  of degree prime to  $p$ . Let  $S_{D_{d+r-1}} \subset G$  be a stabilizer in general position for the  $G$ -action on  $D_{d+r-1}$ ; it exists by Lemma 2.1. In view of (8.2), Lemma 3.2 tells us that

$$(8.3) \quad \text{rank}_p(S_{D_{d+r-1}}) = 1.$$

On the other hand, by our assumption the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  is  $p$ -generically free. Thus the restriction of  $f$  (viewed as a dominant rational map  $X_{d+r} \dashrightarrow Y$ ) to  $D_{d+r-1}$  cannot be dominant, and Theorem 7.2 applies: there exists a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_{d+r} & \xrightarrow{f_{d+r}} & Y_{d+r} \\ \alpha_{d+r} \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_{d+r} \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y, \end{array}$$

of dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational maps, where  $\sigma_{d+r}$  is a birational morphism,  $Y_{d+r}$  is normal and complete, and  $f_{d+r}$  restricts to a dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational map  $D_{d+r-1} \dashrightarrow E_{d+r-1}$  for some  $G$ -invariant irreducible divisor  $E_{d+r-1}$  of  $Y_{d+r}$ . We will denote this dominant rational map by  $f_{d+r-1}: D_{d+r-1} \dashrightarrow E_{d+r-1}$ . We now iterate this construction with  $f_{d+r}$  replaced by  $f_{d+r-1}$ .

By Lemma 3.1 there exists a commutative diagram of  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 D_{d+r-2} & \hookrightarrow & X_{d+r-1} \\
 \downarrow \tau_{d+r-2} & & \downarrow \alpha_{d+r-1} \\
 & & D_{d+r-1} \\
 & & \downarrow \tau_{d+r-1} \\
 W_{d+r-2} & \hookrightarrow & W_{d+r-1}
 \end{array}$$

such that  $X_{d+r-1}$  is normal,  $\alpha_{d+r-1}$  is a birational isomorphism,  $D_{d+r-2}$  is an irreducible divisor in  $X_{d+r-1}$ , and  $\tau_{d+r-2}$  is a cover of  $W_{d+r-2}$  of degree prime to  $p$ .

Denote a stabilizer in general position for the  $G$ -action on  $E_{d+r-1}$  by  $S_{E_{d+r-1}}$ . Recall that the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  (and thus  $Y_{d+r}$ ) is  $p$ -generically free. Since  $E_{d+r-1}$  is a  $G$ -invariant hypersurface in  $Y_{d+r}$ , Lemma 2.3(a) tells us that  $\text{rank}_p(S_{E_{d+r-1}}) \leq 1$ . On the other hand, since  $X_{d+r-1}$  maps dominantly to  $E_{d+r-1}$ ,  $S_{E_{d+r-1}}$  contains (a conjugate of)  $S_{X_{d+r-1}}$  and thus  $\text{rank}_p(S_{E_{d+r-1}}) \geq \text{rank}_p(S_{X_{d+r-1}})$ , where  $\text{rank}_p(S_{X_{d+r-1}}) = 1$  by (8.3). We conclude that  $\text{rank}_p(S_{E_{d+r-1}}) = 1$ . Now observe that since  $\text{rank}_p(S_{E_{d+r-1}}) = 1$  and  $\text{rank}_p(S_{X_{d+r-2}}) = 2$  (see (8.2)),  $f_{d+r-1}(X_{d+r-2})$  cannot be dense in  $E_{d+r-1}$ . Consequently, Theorem 7.2 can be applied to  $f_{d+r-1}: X_{d+r-1} \dashrightarrow E_{d+r-1}$ . It yields a birational morphism  $\sigma_{d+r-1}: Y_{d+r-1} \rightarrow E_{d+r-1}$  such that  $Y_{d+r-1}$  is normal and complete, and the composition  $\sigma_{d+r-1}^{-1} \circ f_{d+r-1}$  restricts to a dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational map  $f_{d+r-2}: D_{d+r-2} \dashrightarrow E_{d+r-2}$  for some  $G$ -invariant prime divisor  $E_{d+r-2}$  of  $Y_{d+r-1}$ . Proceeding recursively, we obtain a commutative diagram of  $G$ -equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 X_d & \dashrightarrow & \dots & \xrightarrow{f_d} & Y_d & & \\
 \downarrow \alpha_d & & & & \downarrow \sigma_d & & \\
 D_d \hookrightarrow X_{d+1} & \dashrightarrow & \dots & \xrightarrow{f_{d+1}} & Y_{d+1} \hookleftarrow E_d & & \\
 \downarrow \tau_d & & \downarrow \alpha_{d+1} & & \downarrow \sigma_{d+1} & & \\
 & & \dots & & \dots & & \\
 & & \dots & & \dots & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma_{d+r-2} & & \\
 & & D_{d+r-2} \hookrightarrow X_{d+r-1} & \dashrightarrow & Y_{d+r-1} \hookleftarrow E_{d+r-2} & & \\
 & & \downarrow \alpha_{d+r-1} & & \downarrow \sigma_{d+r-1} & & \\
 & & D_{d+r-1} \hookrightarrow X_{d+r} & \dashrightarrow & Y_{d+r} \hookleftarrow E_{d+r-1} & & \\
 & & \downarrow \tau_{d+r-1} & & \downarrow \sigma_{d+r} & & \\
 & & & & X \dashrightarrow Y & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 W_d \hookrightarrow W_{d+1} \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow W_{d+r-1} \hookrightarrow W_{d+r} & & & & & & 
 \end{array}$$

such that for every  $m$ , we have

- (i)  $D_{d+m}$  is an irreducible divisor in  $D_{d+m}$  and  $E_{d+m-1}$  is an irreducible divisor in  $Y_{d+m}$ ,
- (ii) the vertical maps  $\alpha_{d+m}$  and  $\sigma_{d+m}$  are birational isomorphisms,
- (iii)  $X_{d+m}$  and  $Y_{d+m}$  are normal and  $Y_{d+m}$  is complete,
- (iv)  $\text{rank}_p(S_{X_{d+m}}) = \text{rank}_p(S_{Y_{d+m}}) = r - m$ ,
- (v)  $\tau_{d+m}$  is a cover of degree prime to  $p$ .

Note that the subscripts are chosen so that  $\dim(X_{d+m}) = \dim(W_{d+m}) = d + m$ , for each  $m = 0, \dots, r$ . We will eventually show that  $\dim(Y_{d+m}) = d + m$  for each  $m$  as well, but we do not know it at this point.

**Lemma 8.3.** *The  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+m}$  (or equivalently, on  $E_{d+m}$ ) is  $p$ -faithful for every  $m = 0, \dots, r$ .*

Assume, for a moment, that this lemma is established. By our construction  $f_d$  may be viewed as a dominant  $G$ -equivariant correspondence  $W_d \rightsquigarrow Y_d$  of degree prime to  $p$ . Now recall that  $W_d = V$  is a  $p$ -faithful representation of  $G$  of minimal possible dimension  $\eta(G)$ . By Lemma 8.3, the  $G$ -action of  $Y_d$  is  $p$ -faithful. Restricting to the  $p$ -subgroup  $G_n \subset G$ , where  $n$  is a power of  $p$ , we obtain a dominant  $G_n$ -equivariant correspondence  $f_d: V \rightsquigarrow Y_d$  of degree prime to  $p$ , where the  $G_n$ -action on  $Y$  is faithful. Thus  $\dim(Y_d) \geq \text{ed}(G_n; p)$ . When  $n$  is a sufficiently high power of  $p$ , Proposition 6.2 tells us that

$$\text{ed}(G_n; p) = \eta(G_n) = \eta(G) = \dim(V) = d.$$

By conditions (i) and (ii) above,  $\dim(Y_{d+m+1}) = \dim(E_{d+m}) + 1 = \dim(Y_{d+m}) + 1$  for each  $m = 0, 1, \dots, r$ . Thus  $\dim(Y) = \dim(Y_{d+r}) = \dim(Y_d) + r = \dim(V) + r = d + r = \dim(W)$ , as desired. This will complete the proof of Proposition 8.2 and thus of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Lemma 8.3.* For the purpose of this proof, we may replace  $k$  by its algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  and thus assume that  $k$  is algebraically closed. We argue by reverse induction on  $m$ . For the base case, where  $m = r$ , note that by our assumption the  $G$ -action on  $Y$  is  $p$ -faithful. Since  $Y_{d+r}$  is birationally isomorphic to  $Y$ , the same is true of the  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+r}$ .

For the induction step, assume that the  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+m+1}$  is  $p$ -faithful for some  $0 \leq m \leq r - 1$ . Our goal is to show that the  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+m}$  is also  $p$ -faithful. Let  $N$  be the kernel of the  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+m}$ . Recall that by Lemma 2.3(b), there is a homomorphism

$$(8.4) \quad \alpha: N \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

where  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  has no elements of order  $p$ . Since  $\text{Ker}(\alpha)$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , and we are assuming that  $G^0 = T$  is a torus and  $G/G^0 = F$  is a finite  $p$ -group, we conclude that

$$(8.5) \quad \text{Ker}(\alpha) \text{ is a finite subgroup of } T \text{ of order prime to } p.$$

It remains to show  $\alpha(N)$  is a finite group of order prime to  $p$ . Assume the contrary:  $\alpha(N)$  contains  $\mu_p \subset \mathbb{G}_m$ .

**Claim:** There exists a subgroup  $\mu_p \simeq N_0 \subset N$  such that  $N_0$  is central in  $G$ .

Since  $G^0 = T$  is a torus and  $G/G^0 = F$  is a  $p$ -group, if  $N_0 \simeq \mu_p$  is normal in  $G$ , then the conjugation map  $G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mu_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$  is trivial, so  $N_0$  is automatically

central. Thus in order to prove the claim, it suffices to show that there exists a subgroup  $\mu_p \simeq N_0 \subset N$  such that  $N_0$  is normal in  $G$ . Now consider two cases.

Case 1:  $G^0 = T$  does not act  $p$ -faithfully on  $Y_{d+m}$ . Then  $\mu_p \subset N \cap T \triangleleft G$ . In view of (8.4) and (8.5),  $N \cap T$  contains exactly one copy of  $\mu_p$ . This implies that  $\mu_p$  is characteristic in  $N \cap T$  and hence, normal in  $G$ , as desired.

Case 2:  $N \cap T$  does not contain  $\mu_p$ , i.e.,  $N \cap T$  is a finite group of order prime to  $p$ . Examining the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow N \cap T \rightarrow N \rightarrow F = G/T$$

we see that  $N$  is a finite group of order  $pm$ , where  $m$  is prime to  $p$ . Let  $\text{Syl}_p(N)$  be the set of Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of  $N$ . By Sylow's theorem  $|\text{Syl}_p(N)| \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .<sup>1</sup> The group  $G$  acts on  $\text{Syl}_p(N)$  by conjugation. Clearly  $T$  acts trivially, and the  $p$ -group  $F = G/T$  fixes a subgroup  $N_0 \in \text{Syl}_p$ . In other words,  $N_0 \simeq \mu_p$  is normal in  $G$ . This proves the claim.

We are now ready to finish the proof of Lemma 8.3. Let  $S_{Y_{d+m}} \subset G$  be a stabilizer in general position for the  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+m}$ . Clearly  $N_0 \subset N \subset S_{Y_{d+m}}$ . Since  $f_{d+m}: X_{d+m} \dashrightarrow Y_{d+m}$  is a dominant  $G$ -equivariant rational map,  $S_{Y_{d+m}}$  contains (a conjugate of)  $S_{X_{d+m}}$ . By (iv)

$$(8.6) \quad \text{rank}_p(S_{Y_{r+m}}) = r - m = \text{rank}_p(S_{X_{d+m}}).$$

In particular,  $S_{X_{d+m}}$  contains a subgroup  $A$  isomorphic to  $\mu_p^{r-m}$ . Since  $N_0 \simeq \mu_p$  is central in  $G$ , it has to be contained in  $A$ ; otherwise,  $S_{Y_{n-m}}$  would contain a subgroup isomorphic to  $A \times \mu_p = (\mu_p)^{r-m+1}$ , contradicting (8.6). Thus  $\mu_p \simeq N_0 \subset S_{X_{d+m}}$ . Moreover, since  $N_0$  is normal in  $G$ , it is contained in every conjugate of  $S_{X_{d+m}}$ . This implies that  $N_0$  stabilizes every point of  $X_{d+m}$ . We conclude that  $N_0$  acts trivially on  $X_{d+m}$  and hence on  $X_d \subset X_{d+m}$  and on  $\tau_d(X_d) = W_d = V$ . This contradicts our assumption that  $G$  acts  $p$ -faithfully on  $W_d = V$ .

This contradiction shows that our assumption that  $\alpha(N)$  contains  $\mu_p$  was false. Returning to (8.4) and (8.5), we conclude that the kernel  $N$  of the  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+m}$  is a finite group of order prime to  $p$ . In other words, the  $G$ -action on  $Y_{d+m}$  is  $p$ -faithful. This completes the proof of Lemma 8.3 and thus of Proposition 8.2 and Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

*Remark 8.4.* Our proof of Theorem 1.2 goes through even if  $F$  is not abelian, provided that the stabilizer in general position  $S_V$  projects isomorphically to  $F/[F, F]$ . (If  $F$  is abelian, this is always the case.)

## 9. NORMALIZERS OF MAXIMAL TORI IN SPLIT SIMPLE GROUPS

In this section  $\Gamma$  will denote a split simple algebraic group over  $k$ ,  $T$  will denote a  $k$ -split maximal torus of  $\Gamma$ ,  $N$  will denote the normalizer of  $T$  in  $\Gamma$ , and  $W = N/T$  will denote the Weyl group. These groups fit into an exact sequence

$$(9.1) \quad 1 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow N \xrightarrow{\pi} W \longrightarrow 1.$$

<sup>1</sup>Recall that we are assuming that  $k$  is an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $\neq p$ . If  $\text{char}(k)$  does not divide  $|N|$ ,  $\text{Syl}_p(N)$  is the set of Sylow subgroups of the finite group  $N(k)$ . If  $\text{char}(k)$  divides  $|N|$ , then elements of  $\text{Syl}_p(N)$  can be identified with Sylow  $p$ -subgroups of the finite group  $N_{\text{red}}(k)$ .



A. Meyer and the first author [18] have computed  $\text{ed}(N; p)$  in the case, where  $\Gamma = \text{PGL}_n$ , for every prime number  $p$ . M. MacDonald [15] subsequently found the exact value of  $\text{ed}(N; p)$  for most other split simple groups  $\Gamma$ . One reason this is of interest is that

$$\text{ed}(N; p) \geq \text{ed}(\Gamma; p);$$

see, e.g., [17, Section 10a]. Let  $W_p$  denote a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $W$  and  $N_p$  denote the preimage of  $W_p$  in  $N$ . Then

$$\text{ed}(N; p) = \text{ed}(N_p; p);$$

see [18, Lemma 4.1]. The exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow N_p \xrightarrow{\pi} W_p \longrightarrow 1$$

is of the form of (1.1) and thus the inequalities (1.2) apply to  $N_p$ . MacDonald computed the exact value of  $\text{ed}(N; p) = \text{ed}(N_p; p)$  for most split simple linear algebraic groups  $\Gamma$  by showing that the left hand side and the right hand side of the inequalities (1.2) for  $N_p$  coincide. There are two families of groups  $\Gamma$ , where the exact value of  $\text{ed}(N; p)$  remained inaccessible by this method,  $\Gamma = \text{SL}_n$  and  $\Gamma = \text{SO}_{4n}$ .<sup>2</sup> As an application of Theorem 1.2, we will now compute  $\text{ed}(N; p)$  in these two remaining cases. Our main results are Theorems 9.1 and 9.2 below.

**Theorem 9.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer, and let  $N$  be the normalizer of a  $k$ -split maximal torus  $T$  in  $\text{SL}_n$ . Then*

- (a)  $\text{ed}(N; p) = n/p + 1$ , if  $p \geq 3$  and  $n$  is divisible by  $p$ ,
- (b)  $\text{ed}(N; p) = n/2 + 1$ , if  $p = 2$  and  $n$  is divisible by 4,
- (c)  $\text{ed}(N; p) = \lfloor n/p \rfloor$ , if  $p \geq 3$  and  $n$  is not divisible by  $p$ ,
- (d)  $\text{ed}(N; p) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , if  $p = 2$  and  $n$  is not divisible by 4.

**Theorem 9.2.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $\neq 2$  and  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. Let  $N$  be the normalizer of a  $k$ -split maximal torus of  $\text{SO}_{4n}$ . Then  $\text{ed}_k(N; 2) = 4n$ .*

Our proofs of these theorems will rely on the following simple lemma, which is implicit in [18] and [15]. Let  $F$  be a finite discrete  $p$ -group, and let  $M$  be an  $F$ -lattice. The symmetric  $p$ -rank of  $M$  is the minimal cardinality  $d$  of a finite  $H$ -invariant  $p$ -spanning subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\} \subset M$ . Here “ $p$ -spanning” means that the index of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module spanned by  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  in  $M$  is finite and prime to  $p$ . Following MacDonald, we will denote the symmetric  $p$ -rank of  $M$  by  $\text{SymRank}(M; p)$ .

**Lemma 9.3.** *Consider an exact sequence  $1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G \rightarrow F \rightarrow 1$  of algebraic groups over  $k$ , as in (1.1). Assume further that  $T$  is a split torus and  $F$  is a constant finite  $p$ -group. Denote the character lattice of  $T$  by  $X(T)$ , we will view it as an  $F$ -lattice. Then  $\eta(G) \geq \text{SymRank}(X(T); p)$ .*

Here  $\eta(G)$  denotes the minimal dimension of a  $p$ -faithful representation of  $G$ , as defined in the Introduction, and  $X(T)$  is viewed as an  $F$ -lattice. If we further assume that the sequence (1.1) in Lemma 9.3 is split, then, in fact,  $\eta(G) = \text{SymRank}(X(T); p)$ . We shall not need this equality in the sequel, so we leave its proof as an exercise for the reader.

---

<sup>2</sup>The omission of  $\text{SL}_n$  from [15, Remark 5.11] is an oversight; we are grateful to Mark MacDonald for clarifying this point for us.

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be a  $p$ -faithful representation of  $G$ , of minimal dimension  $r = \eta(G)$ . As a  $T$ -representation,  $V$  decomposes as the direct sum of characters  $\chi_1, \dots, \chi_r$ . A simple calculation shows that the  $F$ -action permutes the  $\chi_i$ . Let  $S \subseteq G$  be the torus generated by the images of the  $\chi_i$ . By construction, we have an  $F$ -equivariant homomorphism whose kernel is finite and of order prime to  $p$ . Passing to character lattices, we obtain an  $F$ -equivariant homomorphism  $X(S) \rightarrow X(T)$  whose cokernel is finite and of order prime to  $p$ . The images of the  $\chi_i$  in  $X(T)$  form a  $p$ -spanning subset of  $X(T)$  of size  $\eta(G)$ .  $\square$

For the proof of Theorem 9.1 we will also need the following lemma. Let  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n$ ,  $T$  be the diagonal maximal torus,  $N$  be the normalizer of  $T$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_n$ ,  $H$  be a subgroup of the Weyl group  $W = N/T \simeq S_n$ , and  $N'$  be the preimage of  $H$  in  $N$ . Restricting (9.1) to  $N'$ , we obtain an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow N' \xrightarrow{\pi} H \longrightarrow 1.$$

**Lemma 9.4.** *Let  $V_n$  be the natural  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  and  $S$  be the stabilizer in general position for the restriction of this representation to  $N'$ . Then (a)  $S \cap T = 1$  and (b)  $\pi(S) = H \cap A_n$ .*

Here, as usual,  $A_n$  denotes the alternating group.

*Proof.* (a) follows from the fact that the  $T$ -action on  $V_n$  is generically free. To prove (b), note that  $\pi(S)$  is the kernel of the action of  $H$  on  $V_n/T$ , where  $V_n/T$  is the rational quotient of  $V_n$  by the action of  $T$ ; see, e.g., the proof of [14, Proposition 7.2]. Consider the dense open subset  $\mathbb{G}_m^n \subset V_n$  consisting of vectors of the form  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $x_i \neq 0$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We can identify  $\mathbb{G}_m^n$  with the diagonal maximal torus in  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . Now

$$V_n/T \triangleleft \cong \triangleright (\mathbb{G}_m)^n/T \xrightarrow[\det]{\cong} \mathbb{G}_m$$

where  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{G}_m$  by  $\sigma \cdot t = \mathrm{sign}(\sigma)t$ . Thus the kernel of the  $H$ -action on  $V_n/T$  is  $H \cap A_n$ , as claimed.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 9.1.* We will assume that  $\Gamma = \mathrm{SL}_n$  and  $T$  is the diagonal torus in  $\Gamma$ . The inequalities

$$(9.2) \quad \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor \leq \mathrm{ed}(N; p) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p} \right\rfloor + 1;$$

are known for every  $n$  and  $p$ ; see [15, Section 5.4]. We will write  $V_n$  for the natural  $n$ -dimensional representation of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  (which we will sometimes restrict to  $N$  or subgroups of  $N$ ).

(a) Suppose  $n$  is divisible by  $p$ . Let  $H \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^{n/p}$  be the subgroup of  $W = N/T \simeq S_n$  generated by the commuting  $p$ -cycles  $(1 \ 2 \ \dots \ p)$ ,  $(p+1 \ p+2 \ \dots \ 2p)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $(n-p+1 \ \dots \ n)$ . Since  $H$  is a  $p$ -group, it lies in a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $W_p$  of  $S_n$ . Denote the preimage of  $H$  in  $N$  by  $N'$ . Then  $N'$  is a subgroup of  $N$  of finite index, so

$$(9.3) \quad \mathrm{ed}(N; p) \geq \mathrm{ed}(N'; p);$$

see [5, Lemma 2.2]. It thus suffices to show that  $\mathrm{ed}(N'; p) = \frac{n}{p} + 1$ .

**Claim:**  $\eta(N') = n$ .

Suppose the claim is established. Then  $V_n$  is a  $p$ -faithful representation of  $N'$  of minimal dimension. Since  $p$  is odd,  $H$  lies in the alternating group  $A_n$ . By Lemma 9.4(a), the stabilizer in general position for the  $N'$ -action on  $V$  is isomorphic to  $H$ . By Theorem 1.2

$$\text{ed}(N'; p) = \dim(V_n) + \text{rank}(H) - \dim(N') = n + \frac{n}{p} - (n-1) = \frac{n}{p} + 1,$$

and we are done.

To prove the claim, note that  $N'$  has a faithful representation  $V_n$  of dimension  $n$ . Hence,  $\eta(N') \leq n$ . To prove the opposite inequality,  $\eta(N') \geq n$ , it suffices to show that

$$(9.4) \quad \text{SymRank}(X(T); p) \geq n;$$

see Lemma 9.3. Here we view  $X(T)$  as an  $H$ -lattice. By definition,  $\text{SymRank}(X(T); p)$  is the minimal cardinality of a finite  $H$ -invariant  $p$ -spanning subset  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\} \subset X(T)$ . The  $H$ -action on  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$  gives rise to a permutation representation  $\varphi: H \rightarrow S_d$ .

The permutation representation  $\varphi$  is necessarily faithful. Indeed, assume the contrary:  $1 \neq h$  lies in the kernel of  $\varphi$ . Then  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  lie in  $X(T)^h$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that  $X(T)^h$  is of infinite index in  $X(T)$ . Hence,  $\{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$  cannot be a  $p$ -spanning subset of  $X(T)$ . This contradiction shows that  $\varphi$  is faithful.

Now [2, Theorem 2.3(b)] tells us that the order of any abelian  $p$ -subgroup of  $S_d$  is  $\leq p^{d/p}$ . In particular,  $|H| \leq p^{d/p}$ . In other words,  $p^{n/p} \leq p^{d/p}$  or equivalently,  $n \leq d$ . This completes the proof of (9.4) and thus of the claim and of part (a).

(b) When  $p = 2$ , the argument in part (a) does not work as stated because it is no longer true that  $H$  lies in the alternating group  $A_n$ . However, when  $n$  is divisible by 4, we can redefine  $H$  as follows:

$$H = H_1 \times \dots \times H_{n/4} \hookrightarrow A_4 \times \dots \times A_4 \quad (n/4 \text{ times}) \hookrightarrow A_n,$$

where  $H_i \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^2$  is the unique normal subgroup of order 4 in the  $i$ th copy of  $A_4$ . Now  $H \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{n/p}$  is a subgroup of  $A_n$ , and the rest of the proof of part (a) goes through unchanged.

(c) Write  $n = pq + r$ , where  $1 \leq r \leq p-1$ . The subgroup of  $S_n$  consisting of permutations  $\sigma$  such that  $\sigma(i) = i$  for any  $i > pq$ , is naturally identified with  $S_{pq}$ . Let  $P_{pq}$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $S_{pq}$ , and let  $N'$  be the preimage of  $P_{pq}$  in  $N$ . Then  $[N : N'] = [S_n : P_{pq}]$  is prime to  $p$ ; hence, it suffices to show that  $\text{ed}(N'; p) = \lfloor n/p \rfloor$ . In view of (9.2), it is enough to show that  $\text{ed}(N'; p) \leq \lfloor n/p \rfloor$ . Since  $r \geq 1$ , as an  $N'$ -representation,  $V_n$  splits as  $k^{pq} \oplus k^r$  in the natural way. Let us now write  $k^r$  as  $k^{r-1} \oplus k$  and combine  $k^{r-1}$  with  $k^{pq}$ . This yields a decomposition

$$V_n = k^{n-1} \oplus k$$

where the action of  $N'$  on  $k^{n-1}$  is faithful. Now recall that  $P_{pq}$  has a faithful  $q$ -dimensional representation; see, e.g., the proof of [18, Lemma 4.2]. Denote this representation by  $V'$ . Viewing  $V'$  as a  $q$ -dimensional representation of  $N'$  via the natural projection  $N' \rightarrow P_{pq}$ , we obtain a generically free representation  $k^{n-1} \oplus V'$  of  $N'$ . Thus

$$\text{ed}(N'; p) \leq \dim(k^{n-1} \oplus V') - \dim(N') = (n-1) + q - (n-1) = q = \lfloor \frac{n}{p} \rfloor,$$

as desired.

(d) The argument of part (c) is valid for any prime. In particular, if  $p = 2$ , it proves part (d) in the case, where  $n$  is odd. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Let  $N'$  be the preimage of  $P_n$  in  $N$ , where  $P_n$  is a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $S_n$ . Then the index  $[N : N'] = [S_n : P_n]$  is finite and odd; hence,  $\text{ed}(N; 2) = \text{ed}(N'; 2)$ . In view of (9.2), it suffices to show that  $\text{ed}(N'; 2) \leq n/2$ .

Since  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $P_n = P_{n-2} \times P_2$ , where  $P_2 \simeq S_2$  is the subgroup of  $S_n$  of order 2 generated by the 2-cycle  $(n-1, n)$ . Let  $V'$  be a faithful representation of  $P_{n-2}$  of dimension  $(n-2)/2$ . We may view  $V'$  as a representation of  $N'$  via the projection  $N' \rightarrow P_n \rightarrow P_{n-2}$ .

**Claim:**  $V_n \oplus V'$  is a generically free representation of  $N'$ .

If this claim is established, then

$$\text{ed}(N') \leq \dim(V_n \oplus V') - \dim(N') = n + \frac{n-2}{2} - (n-1) = \frac{n}{2},$$

and we are done.

To prove the claim, let  $S$  be the stabilizer in general position for the action of  $N'$  on  $V_n$ . Denote the natural projection  $N' \rightarrow P_n$  by  $\pi$ . By Lemma 9.4(a),  $S \cap T = 1$ . In other words,  $\pi$  is an isomorphism between  $S$  and  $\pi(S)$ . Since  $P_n = P_{n-2} \times P_2$ , the kernel of the  $P_n$ -action on  $V'$  is  $P_2$ . It now suffices to show that  $S$  acts faithfully on  $V'$ , i.e.,  $\pi(S) \cap P_2 = 1$ .

By Lemma 9.4,  $\pi(S) \subset A_n$ , i.e., every permutation in  $\pi(S)$  is even. On the other hand, the non-trivial element of  $P_2$ , namely the transposition  $(n-1, n)$ , is odd. This shows that  $\pi(S) \cap P_2 = 1$ , as desired.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 9.2.* By [15, Section 5.7],  $\text{ed}(N; 2) \leq 4n$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $\text{ed}(N; 2) \geq 4n$ . Let

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_0^{2n} := \{(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_{2n}) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2n} : \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \gamma_i = 0\}.$$

Recall that a split maximal torus  $T$  of  $\text{SO}_{4n}$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{G}_m)^{2n}$ , and the Weyl group  $W$  is a semidirect product  $A \rtimes S_{2n}$ , where  $A$  is an elementary abelian 2-group  $A \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{2n-1}$ . Here  $A$  is the multiplicative group of  $2n$ -tuples  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{2n})$ , where each  $\epsilon_i$  is  $\pm 1$ , and  $\epsilon_1 \epsilon_2 \dots \epsilon_{2n} = 1$ .  $S_{2n}$  acts on  $A$  by permuting  $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{2n}$ . The action of  $W$  on  $(t_1, \dots, t_{2n}) \in T$  is as follows:  $S_{2n}$  permutes  $t_1, \dots, t_{2n}$ , and  $\epsilon$  takes each  $t_i$  to  $t_i^{\epsilon_i}$ .

Let  $H$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by elements  $(\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_{2n}) \in A$ , with  $\epsilon_1 = \epsilon_2$ ,  $\epsilon_3 = \epsilon_4, \dots, \epsilon_{2n-1} = \epsilon_{2n}$ , and the  $n$  disjoint 2-cycles  $(1, 2), (3, 4), \dots, (2n-1, 2n)$  in  $S_{2n}$ . It is easy to see that these generators are of order 2 and commute with each other, so that  $H \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ . Let  $N'$  be the preimage of  $H$  in  $N$ .

Note that  $H$  arises as a stabilizer in general position of the natural  $4n$ -representation  $V_{4n}$  of  $N$  (restricted from  $\text{SO}_{4n}$ ). Here  $(t_1, \dots, t_{2n}) \in T$  acts on  $(x_1, \dots, x_{2n}, y_1, \dots, y_{2n}) \in V_{4n}$  by  $x_i \mapsto t_i x_i$  and  $y_i \mapsto t_i^{-1} y_i$  for each  $i$ . The symmetric group  $S_{2n}$  simultaneously permutes  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{2n}$ ;  $\epsilon \in A$  leaves  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  invariant if  $\epsilon_i = 1$  and switches them if  $\epsilon_i = -1$ .

Note that  $N'$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $N$ . Hence,  $\text{ed}(N; 2) \geq \text{ed}(N'; 2)$ , and it suffices to show that  $\text{ed}(N'; 2) \geq 4n$ .

**Claim:**  $\eta(N') = 4n$ .

Suppose for a moment that the claim is established. Then  $V_{4n}$  is a 2-faithful representation of  $N'$  of minimal dimension. As we mentioned above, a stabilizer in general position for this representation is isomorphic to  $H$ . By Theorem 1.2,

$$\mathrm{ed}(N'; 2) = \dim(V_{4n}) + \mathrm{rank}(H) - \dim(N') = 4n + 2n - 2n = 4n,$$

and we are done.

To prove the claim, note that  $\eta(N') \leq 4n$ , since  $N'$  has a faithful representation  $V_{4n}$  of dimension  $4n$ . By Lemma 9.4, in order to establish the opposite inequality,  $\eta(N') \geq 4n$ , it suffices to show that  $\mathrm{SymRank}(X(T); 2) \geq 4n$ . To prove this last inequality, we will use the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 9.1(a). Recall that  $\mathrm{SymRank}(X(T); 2)$  is the minimal size of an  $H$ -invariant 2-generating set  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  of  $X(T)$ . The  $H$ -action on  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  induces a permutation representation  $\varphi: H \rightarrow S_d$ . Once again, this representation has to be faithful. By [2, Theorem 2.3(b)],  $|H| \leq 2^{d/2}$ . In other words,  $2^{2n} \leq 2^{d/2}$ , or equivalently,  $d \geq 4n$ , as claimed.  $\square$

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Jerome Lefebvre, Mathieu Huruguen and Mikhail Borovoi for stimulating discussions related to Conjecture 1.1.

# REFERENCES

- [1] M. Artin. Néron models. In *Arithmetic geometry (Storrs, Conn., 1984)*, pages 213–230. Springer, New York, 1986.
- [2] Michael Aschbacher and Robert M. Guralnick. On abelian quotients of primitive groups. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 107(1):89–95, 1989.
- [3] Peter Bardsley and R. W. Richardson. Étale slices for algebraic transformation groups in characteristic  $p$ . *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)*, 51(2):295–317, 1985.
- [4] Nicolas Bourbaki. *Commutative algebra. Chapters 1–7*. Elements of Mathematics (Berlin). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. Translated from the French, Reprint of the 1972 edition.
- [5] Patrick Brosnan, Zinovy Reichstein, and Angelo Vistoli. Essential dimension, spinor groups, and quadratic forms. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 171(1):533–544, 2010.
- [6] Patrick Brosnan, Zinovy Reichstein, and Angelo Vistoli. Essential dimension in mixed characteristic. *Doc. Math.*, 23:1587–1600, 2018.
- [7] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner. *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. XI. Interscience Publishers, a division of John Wiley & Sons, New York-London, 1962.
- [8] Philippe Gille and Zinovy Reichstein. A lower bound on the essential dimension of a connected linear group. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 84(1):189–212, 2009.
- [9] M. Huruguen. On the essential  $p$ -dimension of a semidirect product  $\mathbb{G}_m^n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . *preprint*, 2015.
- [10] Jens Carsten Jantzen. Nilpotent orbits in representation theory. In *Lie theory*, volume 228 of *Progr. Math.*, pages 1–211. Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 2004.
- [11] Nikita A. Karpenko and Alexander S. Merkurjev. Essential dimension of finite  $p$ -groups. *Invent. Math.*, 172(3):491–508, 2008.
- [12] Serge Lang. *Algebra, volume 211 of Graduate texts in mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York,, 2002.
- [13] Roland Lötscher, Mark MacDonald, Aurel Meyer, and Zinovy Reichstein. Essential dimension of algebraic tori. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, 2013(677):1–13, 2013.

- [14] Roland Löttscher, Mark MacDonald, Aurel Meyer, and Zinovy Reichstein. Essential  $p$ -dimension of algebraic groups whose connected component is a torus. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(8):1817–1840, 2013.
- [15] Mark L. MacDonald. Essential  $p$ -dimension of the normalizer of a maximal torus. *Transform. Groups*, 16(4):1143–1171, 2011.
- [16] Benjamin Martin. Generic stabilisers for actions of reductive groups. *Pacific J. Math.*, 279(1-2):397–422, 2015.
- [17] Alexander S. Merkurjev. Essential dimension: a survey. *Transformation groups*, 18(2):415–481, 2013.
- [18] Aurel Meyer and Zinovy Reichstein. The essential dimension of the normalizer of a maximal torus in the projective linear group. *Algebra Number Theory*, 3(4):467–487, 2009.
- [19] V. L. Popov and E. B. Vinberg. *Invariant Theory*, pages 123–278. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1994.
- [20] Zinovy Reichstein. Essential dimension. *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians (Vol. 2)*, 2010.
- [21] Zinovy Reichstein and Boris Youssin. Essential dimensions of algebraic groups and a resolution theorem for  $G$ -varieties. *Canad. J. Math.*, 52(5):1018–1056, 2000. With an appendix by János Kollár and Endre Szabó.
- [22] R. W. Richardson, Jr. Deformations of Lie subgroups and the variation of isotropy subgroups. *Acta Math.*, 129:35–73, 1972.
- [23] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Galois cohomology*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1997. Translated from the French by Patrick Ion and revised by the author.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2,  
CANADA

*E-mail address:* reichst@math.ubc.ca

*E-mail address:* scavia@math.ubc.ca