

SECOND ORDER ESTIMATES FOR TRANSITION LAYERS AND A CURVATURE ESTIMATE FOR THE PARABOLIC ALLEN–CAHN

HUY THE NGUYEN AND SHENGWEN WANG

ABSTRACT. The parabolic Allen–Cahn equation is a semilinear partial differential equation linked to the mean curvature flow by a singular perturbation. We show an improved convergence property of the parabolic Allen–Cahn equation to the mean curvature flow, which is the parabolic analogue of the improved convergence property of the elliptic Allen–Cahn to minimal surfaces by Wang-Wei [WW19a] and Chodosh-Mantoulidis [CM20]. More precisely, we show if the phase-transition level sets are converging in C^2 , then they converge in $C^{2,\theta}$. As an application, we obtain a curvature estimate for parabolic Allen–Cahn equation, which can be viewed as a diffused version of Brakke’s [Bra78] and White’s [Whi05] regularity theorem for mean curvature flow.

1. INTRODUCTION

The parabolic Allen–Cahn equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \Delta u - W'(u)$$

is an evolution equation that models the diffusion-reaction dynamics of phase transition. It is the gradient flow of the Allen–Cahn phase separation energy

$$E(u) = \int \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + W(u)$$

where $W(u) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a double-well shaped potential function.

Geometrically, the Allen–Cahn equation has a close relationship with mean curvature flow through its singularly perturbed version

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u^\varepsilon = \Delta u^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}.$$

The two equations are related by the parabolic scaling $u^\varepsilon(x, t) = u(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$. In particular, equation is not scale invariant but u^ε satisfies an ε -equation of the same form but with a different parameter. It was shown by Ilmanen [Ilm93] that as the parameter $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, the energy measure

$$d\mu^\varepsilon(u) = \left[\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{W(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right] dx$$

of the ε -solution converges in the sense of varifolds to Brakke’s weak mean curvature flow. Moreover, the limit Brakke flow is integer multiplicity a.e. by Tonegawa [T⁺03]. Hence the parabolic Allen–Cahn is a model for the flow of mean curvature flow through singularities. In particular, note that the equation is a subcritical semilinear equation and hence does not form singularities as $t \rightarrow \infty$. This property makes the flow an appealing candidate for weak mean curvature flow.

For geometric applications, it is necessary to obtain higher regularity for the convergence. In the elliptic setting, Caffarelli-Cordoba [CC06] showed that the transition layers

of stable phase transitions have uniform $C^{1,\theta}$ regularity (independent of ε) and Wang-Wei [WW19a, WW19b] proved that stable transition layers converges in a stronger $C^{2,\theta}$ sense to the limit minimal surfaces. Using an improvement of the convergence in dimension 3, Chodosh-Mantoulidis [CM20] proved that the min-max minimal surfaces obtained from the Allen–Cahn construction in a generic 3-manifold has multiplicity 1 and expected index. This gives an alternative proof of Yau’s conjecture of existence of infinitely many minimal surfaces. These results differ from the methods used in [Ilm93], [T⁺03]. They do not use geometric measure theoretic techniques, but instead uses a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction developed in Pacard-Ritoré [PR03] except that where as Pacard-Ritoré uses the reduction to construct solutions to the Allen–Cahn equation given a minimal surface, the above papers infer results about limiting the minimal surface from the Allen–Cahn equation.

Motivated by the work of [WW19a, WW19b] and [CM20] in the elliptic setting, we initiate the corresponding regularity theory for parabolic Allen–Cahn. In particular, we prove for low entropy parabolic Allen–Cahn flow, we have an improved convergence of their transition layers to mean curvature flow. The motivation in the elliptic setting was minimal surfaces, in particular a proof Yau’s conjecture, in the parabolic setting, the corresponding problem is the multiplicity 1 conjecture for mean curvature flow by Ilmanen. It is expected that the parabolic Allen–Cahn equation and its improved convergence properties will have applications in understanding mean curvature flow and its singularities. The key idea in this paper is a parabolic analogue of the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction, (4.1). In the parabolic case, this was first used in [dPG18a] and [dPG18b].

Using this approximation, we prove the following theorem, which improves the regularity of level sets

Theorem 1.1. *Let u_ε be a solution of (2.1) in a space-time open set $B_2(0) \times [-2, 2] \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that $\nabla_x u_\varepsilon \neq 0$ and $\{u = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ for $t \in [-2, 2]$. Furthermore let us assume that the entropy satisfies $\lambda_\varepsilon(u) < 2\alpha$, and the enhanced second fundamental form is uniformly bounded by $\mathcal{A}(u_\varepsilon) \leq C$ (see section 2 for the definition), where C is a uniform constant independent of ε .*

Then the nodal sets $\{u_\varepsilon = 0\}$ converge in $C^{2,\theta}$ to a smooth mean curvature flow in $B_1(0) \times [-1, 1] \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$.

In particular, the spatial C^θ Hölder norm of the second fundamental form of the nodal sets and the $C^{1,\frac{\theta}{2}}$ norm of the time derivatives are uniformly bounded on compact subsets.

Remark 1.2. This theorem is the parabolic analogue of Theorem 1 in [WW19b], where the stability condition in the elliptic setting is replaced by a low entropy condition. We note that the low entropy condition ensures that we only have one transition layer which substantially simplifies the analysis. In particular, we are not required to model interactions between separate layers and hence do not need Toda systems. We will remove this restriction in a future work.

The condition in the theorem implicitly implies the limit mean curvature flow is smooth, because C^2 bounds imply $C^{1,\theta}$ convergence of the transition layers, and standard regularity theory for quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations allows us to bootstrap $C^{1,\theta}$ bounds to C^∞ smoothness of the limit flow.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose u_ε is a solution of (2.1) in a space-time open set $B_2(0) \times [-2, 2] \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ such that the entropy $\lambda_\varepsilon(u) < 2\alpha$, the nodal sets $\Gamma_{\varepsilon,t} = \{u_\varepsilon(x,t) = 0\}$ of u_ε in $B_2(0) \times [-2, 2]$ can be represented by a Lipschitz graph over the limit mean curvature*

flow Σ_t as

$$\Gamma_{\varepsilon,t} = \text{Graph}_{\Sigma_t} f_{\varepsilon,t}$$

with the $C^{1,\theta}$ norm of $f_{\varepsilon,t}$ uniformly bounded in $B_2(0) \times [-2, 2]$.

Then the same conclusion as in Theorem 1.1 holds.

Remark 1.4. This is the analogue of Corollary 1.2 in [WW19b]. In the elliptic case, stability guarantees the flatness of limit, since we cannot guarantee the limit being flat, the nodal sets are graphical over the limit flow instead of over a hyperplane as in [WW19b]. We will require this theorem in a subsequent paper, where we will prove a Brakke type regularity theorem for the parabolic Allen–Cahn equation.

Corollary 1.5. *For any $\delta_0 > 0$ there exists a $C_0 > 0$ so that if $u_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}$ is a solution of (2.1) with $\varepsilon = \tilde{\varepsilon}$ defined on $B_r(0) \times [-r^2, r^2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, $u_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(0, 0) = 0$ and entropy $\lambda_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(u_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}) \leq 2\alpha - \delta_0$, then the enhanced second fundamental form satisfies*

$$\mathcal{A}(u_{\tilde{\varepsilon}}(0, 0)) \leq \frac{C_0}{r}$$

with C_0 independent of $\tilde{\varepsilon}$.

As a consequence, we also obtain a gap theorem that the only ancient solution that represents phase transition in \mathbb{R}^2 for every $t \in (-\infty, \infty]$ to parabolic Allen–Cahn with entropy below 2α is the trivial static solution with flat level sets.

We also obtain an improvement in the regularity of convergence result of [Tru08]. We show the level sets of parabolic Allen–Cahn converges in $C^{2,\theta}$ to the curve shortening flow in \mathbb{R}^2 when entropy is below 2α .

Remark 1.6. This can be viewed as a relaxation of curvature estimates in Brakke’s [Bra78] and White’s [Whi05] for case of curve shortening flow. In higher dimensions, the ingredients that we’re still lacking to prove this is the rigidity of eternal solution to the parabolic Allen–Cahn equation with unit density at infinity, such rigidity theorems are usually an ingredient in the proof of curvature estimate in a blow up argument.

The entropy bound is sharp in the sense that for a limit curve shortening flow, there is a counter-example of the Grim Reaper as an eternal solution, whose entropy is 2 , but can be rescaled to have arbitrarily large curvature.

This paper is organised as follows: in section 2 we standardise our notation and provide background material for the parabolic Allen–Cahn equations. We also prove rigidity of the entropy minimizing solution for eternal solutions of Allen–Cahn in dimension 2 in section 3, which will be necessary in blow-up subsequent arguments. In section 4 and section 5 we carry out the main estimates and prove the main theorems. Finally in section 7 we prove the curvature estimates of low entropy solutions, Corollary 1.5.

Acknowledgements. This research was supported by EPSRC grant EP/S012907/1.

2. PRELIMINARIES AND NOTATIONS

2.1. Preliminaries about Allen–Cahn and the explicit 1-d heteroclinic solution. We will pick up some notations that will be followed for the rest of the paper.

The solutions to the Allen–Cahn equation with parameter ε are not invariant under standard parabolic rescaling. However, the rescaled solution satisfies equations of the same form but with a different parameter. We say $u^\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the ε -equation if

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u^\varepsilon = \Delta u^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}$$

and say $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the 1-equation if

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \Delta u - W'(u).$$

We will also fix the double potential to be a typical one $W(u) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - u^2)^2$, but the analysis in this paper generalises automatically to any other double well potentials with similar asymptotics and well shapes. The 2 global minima ± 1 of the potential function represents 2 phase and u describes the continuous change from one phase to another.

Under parabolic rescaling, it is not hard to see that if $u(x, t)$ satisfies equation (2.2), then $u^\varepsilon = u\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}\right)$ satisfies equation (2.1).

A static solution to the Allen–Cahn equation (2.2) is a function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies the elliptic equation

$$(2.3) \quad \Delta u - W'(u) = 0$$

and represents an equilibrium state of phase transition in \mathbb{R}^n .

Two trivial solutions to the equation that do not represent phase transitions are

$$u(x) = \pm 1$$

which is the equilibrium state when there is only one phase (either one of the 2 phases ± 1) in the whole region \mathbb{R}^n .

In dimension 1, one can find explicitly the next simplest solution which represents a phase transition by solving the ordinary differential equation

$$g''(x) - W'(g) = 0$$

where $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

And the explicit solution when $W(u) = \frac{1}{4}(1 - u^2)^2$ is

$$g(x) = \tanh(x).$$

By scaling and crossing with \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , we also obtain standing wave solutions to (2.1) with any ε parameter and in any dimensions

$$(2.4) \quad g^\varepsilon(x, t) = g^\varepsilon(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) = \tanh\left(\frac{x_n}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

We denote the total energy of the standing wave

$$\alpha = E(g) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g'^2(x) dx.$$

By [Ilm93], the energy measure

$$d\mu^\varepsilon(u) = \left[\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{W(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right] dx$$

converges as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ to an $(n - 1)$ rectifiable varifold μ_t for *a.e.t* and that μ_t is a mean curvature flow in the sense of Brakke. Moreover by [T⁺03], the limit varifold is integer multiplicity in the sense that it has density an integer multiple of α a.e.

Similar to Brakke's integral form of mean curvature flow, there is an ε version of the integral form of the parabolic Allen–Cahn equation

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \int \phi d\mu_t^\varepsilon = - \int \varepsilon \phi \left(\Delta u^\varepsilon - \frac{W'(u^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right)^2 dx - \delta V_t^\varepsilon(D\phi) - \int \nu \otimes \nu : D^2 \phi d\xi_t^\varepsilon.$$

The measure

$$d\xi_{\varepsilon,t} = \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{W(u_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right] dx$$

is called the discrepancy measure and it is shown to converge to 0 in L^1 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in [Ilm93, Son97, T⁺03] and δV_t^ε is the first variation of the corresponding varifold (see [Ilm93] for details how to consider $u(x, t)$ as a general moving varifold whose density is the energy density $d\mu_t^\varepsilon$).

Based on Huisken’s monotonicity formula in mean curvature flow, Ilmanen in [Ilm93] found an almost monotonicity formula for the ε -parabolic Allen–Cahn equation 2.1

(2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_{y,s} d\mu_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varepsilon \Psi_{y,s} \left(\Delta u_\varepsilon - \frac{W'(u_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Psi_{y,s}}{\Psi_{y,s}} \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2(s-t)} \Psi_{y,s} \left[\frac{\varepsilon}{2} |\nabla u - \varepsilon|^2 - \frac{W(u - \varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} \right] dx \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varepsilon \Psi_{y,s} \left(\Delta u_\varepsilon - \frac{W'(u_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} + \frac{\nabla u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla \Psi_{y,s}}{\Psi_{y,s}} \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2(s-t)} \Psi_{y,s} d\xi_{\varepsilon,t} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Psi_{y,s}(x, t) = \frac{1}{(4\pi(s-t))^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4(s-t)}}$ is the $(n-1)$ -dimensional backward heat kernel centered at $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with scale $s \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

It is also computed in [Ilm93] (section 4) that non-positivity of the discrepancy is preserved along time and thus the almost monotonicity formula is monotone for initial data with non-positive discrepancy.

2.2. Entropy. Motivated by Colding–Minicozzi’s [CM12] entropy in mean curvature flow, we introduce the Allen–Cahn entropy functional λ_ε associated to the energy E_ε on the space of functions on \mathbb{R}^n by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_\varepsilon(u) &= \sup_{s,y,\rho} \int \Phi_{y,s}(x, 0) d\mu_{\rho\varepsilon}(u_\rho) \\ &= \sup_{y,s,\rho} \int \frac{1}{(4\pi s)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4s}} d\mu_{\rho\varepsilon}(u_\rho) \end{aligned}$$

where $u_\rho(x) = u(\frac{x}{\rho})$, $d\mu_{\rho\varepsilon}(u) = \left[\frac{\varepsilon\rho}{2} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{W(u)}{\varepsilon\rho} \right] \cdot dx$

By definition, this entropy is also invariant under the scaling $u_\rho(x) = u(\frac{x}{\rho})$. We also notice that, by an observation of Sun [Sun18], if the entropy λ is below 2α , then the limit mean curvature flow has unit density.

2.3. Geometry of Allen–Cahn and level sets. For a non-degenerate point $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $|\nabla u| \neq 0$, the normal vector of the level set is given by $\nu(x) = \frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$.

The enhanced second fundamental form of u is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{A}(u) = \nabla \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right)$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}(u)| &= \left| \nabla \left(\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|} \right) \right| \\ &= \frac{\sqrt{|\nabla^2 u|^2 - |\nabla|\nabla u||^2}}{|\nabla u|}. \end{aligned}$$

The enhanced second fundamental form bounds the second fundamental form of level sets, and it is not hard to see that $|\mathcal{A}(u)| = 0$ implies that $\frac{\nabla u}{|\nabla u|}$ is a parallel vector field and thus u has to have flat level sets.

If the second fundamental form is bounded as in the condition of Theorem 1.1, then the level sets can be written locally as $C^{1,\theta}$ graphs.

2.4. Fermi Coordinates. In this subsection we introduce the Fermi coordinates near a neighbourhood a family of moving hypersurfaces $\Sigma_t \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\delta > 0$ small enough, the δ neighbourhood $N_\delta(\Sigma_t) \times (-\delta, \delta) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ of Σ_t where the nearest point projection is well defined. We can parametrise $N_\delta(\Sigma_t) \times (-\delta, \delta)$ by $(x, t) = (y, w, t)$ where y are local coordinates on Σ_t (for a sufficiently small neighbourhood, one can use the same y coordinate for every t), and $w = \text{dist}_{\Sigma_t}(x)$.

By the conditions in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.3, the nodal sets Γ_t of the solution $u(x, t)$ of equation (2.2) can be written as a local Lipschitz graphs $\Gamma_t = \{w = f(y, t)\}$ over Σ_t , here Σ_t is chosen to be the limit mean curvature flow.

For the nodal set Γ_t , we denote its upper normal vector field by N_t and we use the same coordinate on Σ_t to parametrise Γ_t by the nearest point projection.

We denote by d_t the signed distance function to Γ_t , which is positive in the upper side. And we denote $\Gamma_{z,t} = \{d_t = z\}$, which is well defined for small z and (y, z) is local Fermi coordinate in a neighbourhood of Γ_t .

Let $\nabla_\Sigma, \nabla_{\Gamma_t}, \nabla_{\Gamma_{z,t}}$ denote the covariant derivatives with respect to the induced metrics on $\Sigma, \Gamma_t, \Gamma_{z,t}$ respectively.

We can compute the second fundamental form and mean curvature of the nodal sets, they are given by

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{\Gamma_t} &= A_{\text{Graph}_f} \\ &= \nabla_\Sigma \left(\frac{\nabla_\Sigma f}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} H_{\Gamma_t} &= H_{\text{Graph}_f} \\ &= \text{div}_\Sigma \left(\frac{\nabla_\Sigma f}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The normal velocity of the nodal sets are given by $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$.

In the Fermi coordinates, the Laplacian operator is

$$(2.11) \quad \Delta_x = \Delta_y + \partial_z^2 + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} \partial_z$$

where $H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} = \text{div}(\partial_z)$ is the mean curvature scalar of $\Gamma_{z,t}$ with respect to the normal ∂_z . Here we adopt the sign convention that mean curvature vector of the sets $\Gamma_{z,t}$ to be $\vec{H} = -H\partial_z = -\text{div}(\partial_z)\partial_z$

3. RIGIDITY OF ENTROPY MINIMIZING ANCIENT/ETERNAL SOLUTIONS TO (2.2)

The 1-d standing wave solution (2.4) is the analogue of the static plane solution in mean curvature flow. And the rigidity of such 1-d standing wave solutions is an ingredient in the proof curvature estimates using blow up arguments.

We first recall the following rigidity theorem due to Wang [Wan17] (c.f. [GMN]) for the 1-d standing wave solution of elliptic Allen–Cahn equation in any dimension (we state here an equivalent form in terms of entropy).

Theorem 3.1 (Theorem 11.1 of [Wan17]). *There exists $\delta > 0$ such that if u is a solution to the static solution (2.3) with*

$$\lambda_1(u) \leq \alpha + \delta$$

then u has to be the 1-d standing wave solution (2.4) with flat level sets up to a rotation and translation.

Next we obtain a rigidity in the parabolic setting of eternal solution with entropy α .

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose $u : \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a solution of (2.2) in \mathbb{R}^2 with*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \lambda_1(u(\cdot, t)) \leq \alpha$$

and that u represents phase transition for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ then u is the static 1-d standing wave solution (2.4) with flat level sets (up to a rotation and translation).

Proof. From the eternal solution u we construct a family of functions $\tilde{u}^\varepsilon(x, t) = u(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$ satisfying the ε -equation (2.1). We know that the energy measures $\frac{1}{\alpha} d\tilde{\mu}^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\alpha} (\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\nabla \tilde{u}^\varepsilon|^2 + \frac{W'(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon} dx)$ of the sequence subconverges to an integral Brakke flow $\{\mu_t\}$ with integer multiplicity by [Ilm93, T⁺03]. Moreover the discrepancy measure $|\xi^\varepsilon| = |\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon |\nabla \tilde{u}^\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{W'(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon}| dx \rightarrow 0$ in L^1 . Furthermore, by choosing a subsequence, the convergence $\frac{1}{\alpha} d\tilde{\mu}^\varepsilon \rightarrow d\mu_t$ holds for every t .

Since the entropy is lower semicontinuous, the limit Brakke flow $\{\mu_t\}$ has entropy at most $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha} = 1$ and furthermore it is non-empty. Hence it is a static planar solution. By section 9 in [Ilm93], the transport term converges

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\varepsilon D\phi \cdot D\tilde{u}^\varepsilon \left(-\Delta \tilde{u}^\varepsilon + \frac{W'(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \right) dx = \delta V(D\phi) = 0$$

because the limit Brakke flow is flat and has mean curvature zero, the convergence of the discrepancy to zero for that particular time slice is guaranteed by [RS06] (note in [Ilm93] one only gets vanishing discrepancy for *a.e.* time and we need it to vanish for this particular time).

Now since the limit Brakke flow is obtained from blowing down the original eternal solution u to the parabolic equation, each element in the sequence is a rescaling of u . And the integral $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} -\varepsilon D\phi \cdot D\tilde{u}^\varepsilon \left(-\Delta \tilde{u}^\varepsilon + \frac{W'(\tilde{u}^\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2} \right) dx$ is scale invariant in dimension 2, which converges to 0 in the limit because the limit flow is a static solution. This forces that back at the original scale the integral has vanish for a particular time slice we have $u_t = \Delta \tilde{u} - W'(u) = 0$, by the rigidity in elliptic case Theorem 3.1, it is the 1-d standing wave solution with flat slice for that particular time and thus the whole eternal solution is static 1-d standing wave solution by uniqueness of the Cauchy problem. \square

4. THE APPROXIMATE SOLUTION

We construct an approximate solution out of the zero sets of a parabolic Allen–Cahn (2.2) by composing the local distance function to Γ with the 1-d standing wave solution, and we want to show the approximation is well controlled if the zero sets are sufficiently non-collapsed.

For nodal sets Γ^ε of solutions u^ε to the equations (2.1) with different ε , we rescale $u_\varepsilon(x, t) = u^\varepsilon(\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 t)$ so that u_ε satisfies (2.2). Correspondingly we denote by $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, t}$ the nodal sets of $u_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)$, $f_\varepsilon(\cdot, t) = f_{\varepsilon, t}$ the graph function of $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, t}$ as a graph of over Σ_t , and $d_{\varepsilon, t}$ the signed distance to $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, t}$. Moreover we denote $\Gamma_{\varepsilon, z, t} = \{d_{\varepsilon, t} = z\}$ for small z so that $d_{\varepsilon, t}$ is well defined.

Similar to section 9 of [WW19a], we choose \bar{g} to be a smooth cutoff approximation at infinity of the 1-d standing wave solution g with well controlled errors

$$\bar{g}(x) = \zeta(3|\log \varepsilon|x)g(x) + (1 - \zeta(3|\log \varepsilon|x))\text{sgn}(x)$$

where ζ is a smooth cutoff function supported in $(-2, 2)$ with $\zeta \equiv 1$ in $(-1, 1)$ and $|\zeta'| + |\zeta''| \leq 16$, and $\text{sgn} = \frac{x}{|x|}$ is the sign function.

We have

$$\bar{g}'' = W(\bar{g}) + \bar{\eta}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} \text{spt}(\bar{\eta}) &\subset \{3|\log \varepsilon| \leq |x| \leq 6|\log \varepsilon|\} \\ |\bar{\eta}| + |\bar{\eta}'| + |\bar{\eta}''| &\leq O(\varepsilon^3) \\ \int \bar{g}'^2 &= \alpha + O(\varepsilon^3). \end{aligned}$$

We define for each $h \in C^2(\Gamma_0)$

$$(4.1) \quad g_\varepsilon^*(y, z, t) = \bar{g}(d_{\varepsilon,t} - h(y, t)).$$

Here $h(y, t) : \Gamma_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is used to obtain an optimal approximation to offset the effect from mean curvature of the nodal sets Γ_t . The existence of h is guaranteed by Proposition 9.1 Of [WW19a], stating that there exists a function h with $|h| \ll 1$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (u - g^*)(g^*)' dz.$$

We denote $\phi_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon - g_\varepsilon^*$. We compute in the (y, z, t) coordinates

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial g_\varepsilon^*}{\partial t} - \Delta g_\varepsilon^* \\ &= \bar{g}'(z - h) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) - \bar{g}''(z) - H_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} \bar{g}'(z) + \bar{g}'(z - h) \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} h \\ &\quad - \bar{g}''(z - h) |\nabla h|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f_\varepsilon|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\varepsilon}{\partial t}$ is the normal velocity of the nodal sets $\Gamma_{0,t} = \text{Graph}_\Sigma f_{\varepsilon,t}$. It cancels out the mean curvature term of the nodal sets up to small error as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ by the convergence to the mean curvature flow for the unscaled equation as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We compute the equation for ϕ_ε as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.3) \quad & \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \phi_\varepsilon \\
 &= \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} - \partial_z^2 - H_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} \partial_z \right) \phi_\varepsilon \\
 &= -W'(\phi_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^*) + W'(g_\varepsilon^*) + \bar{\eta} - \bar{g}'(z-h) \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\Sigma f_\varepsilon|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f_\varepsilon}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) + H_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} \bar{g}'(z) \\
 &\quad - \bar{g}'(z-h) \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} h + \bar{g}''(z-h) |\nabla h|^2 \\
 &= -[W'(\phi_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^*) - W'(g_\varepsilon^*)] + \left[\bar{g}' \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} \right) \right] + [\bar{g}'' |\nabla h|^2] \\
 &\quad + \left[\bar{g}' \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] + \bar{\eta} \\
 &= I + II + III + IV + \bar{\eta}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Term I is $-W'(\phi_\varepsilon + g_\varepsilon^*) + W'(g_\varepsilon^*) = -W''(g_\varepsilon) \phi_\varepsilon + \mathcal{R}(\phi_\varepsilon)$ which have Hölder norms bounded by the Hölder norms of ϕ_ε .

Term III is bounded by the $C^{2,\theta}$ norm of h , which again is bounded by the $C^{2,\theta}$ norms of ϕ by an interpolation inequality. (cf [WW19a, pp 58 (4)]).

We will estimate the Hölder norm of Term II + Term IV and show they are sufficiently small.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.4) \quad & \bar{g}' \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \\
 &= \bar{g}' \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} h + \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + H_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} \right) \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

The term $\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} \right) h$ will be estimated by deriving the corresponding equation and proving parabolic Schauder estimates as in Appendix B of Wang-Wei [WW19a].

The term $\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + H_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}} \right)$ will be estimated using the fact that the nodal set converges to the MCF in $C^{1,\theta}$ sense when there is uniform enhanced second fundamental form bound independent of ε as in assumed in Theorem 1.1 (as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$).

We will also need later the error estimates of geometries of $\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}$ and $\Gamma_{\varepsilon,0,t} = \Gamma_{\varepsilon,t}$.

First by the assumptions of uniform enhanced second fundamental form $\mathcal{A}^\varepsilon = \nabla \left(\frac{\nabla u^\varepsilon}{|\nabla u^\varepsilon|} \right) \leq C_0$ bounds, we have by rescaling

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \leq C_0.$$

This shows the level sets of the rescaled solution u_ε is $C_0 \cdot \varepsilon$ are close in C^2 norm to a flat solution $\tanh(x_n)$ in spatial directions, and thus u_ε is $C_0 \cdot \varepsilon$ close in C^2 to the flat solution $\tanh(x_n)$ in spatial directions.

By the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_\varepsilon = \Delta u_\varepsilon + W'(u_\varepsilon)$, and the uniform boundedness of derivatives of the potential function W , we have that u_ε is $\bar{C}_0 \cdot \varepsilon$ close in C^1 norm in time t direction to the flat solution $\tanh(x_n)$.

Thus for the equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_\varepsilon - \Delta u_\varepsilon = W'(u_\varepsilon)$, the non-homogenous term satisfies $\|W'(u_\varepsilon) - W'(\tanh(x_n))\|_\theta \leq \bar{C}_0\varepsilon$ and the standard regularity of the semi-linear parabolic equations gives

$$(4.6) \quad \|u_\varepsilon - \tanh(x_n)\|_{C^{2,\theta}} \leq \bar{C}_0\varepsilon$$

where $C^{2,\theta}$ is the parabolic Hölder norm (see (5.15) for the definition). Also recall in our notation that a superscript ε means quantities at the original scale where the function satisfies equation (2.1) and subscript means the quantities of the functions that are scaled to satisfy equation (2.2).

Since the second fundamental form satisfies $A_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}(y)} = (I - zA_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,0,t}(y)})^{-1}A_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,0,t}(y)}$, we have

$$(4.7) \quad |A_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}(y)} - A_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,0,t}(y)}| \leq |z||A_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,0,t}(y)}|^2 = O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Similarly one computes the error of operators $\Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}}$ and obtains

$$(4.8) \quad |\Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}}\phi(y) - \Delta_{\Gamma_{\varepsilon,0,t}}\phi(y)| \leq \varepsilon|z|(|\nabla\phi| + |\nabla^2\phi|) = O(\varepsilon^2) + \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}.$$

5. DERIVATION OF THE EQUATION AND ESTIMATE FOR TERM II+IV

In this section, we derive the parabolic analogue of the Toda system obtained in section 10 of Wang-Wei [WW19a]. Here we assume single layer convergence of the nodal sets which come from our entropy bound condition and which substantially simplifies the equation.

From now on we will drop the subscripts ε in terms if there is no confusion, $u(x, t) = u_\varepsilon(x, t) = u^\varepsilon(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon^2})$ are solutions of (2.2) obtained by rescaling a solution of (2.1), the ε in $\Gamma_{\varepsilon,z,t}$, $f_{\varepsilon,t} = f_\varepsilon(\cdot, t)$, $\Delta_{\varepsilon,z,t}$ etc. will be dropped if it is clear from the context.

Multiply (4.3) by \bar{g}' and integrate in the spatial direction normal to the nodal sets, we get

$$(5.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{g}'(z) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \phi dz \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{g}' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} - \partial_z^2 - H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} \partial_z \right) \phi dz \\ &= - \int \bar{g}' [W'(\phi + g^*) - W'(g^*)] + \int \bar{g}'^2 \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \\ & \quad + \int \bar{g}' [\bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2] + \int \bar{g}' \bar{\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

To obtain improved estimates, we make the following orthogonality condition to offset the error in vertical direction of the approximate solution ϕ

$$(5.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [u(y, z, t) - g^*(y, z, t)] \bar{g}'(z - h(y, t)) dz = \int \phi \bar{g}' dz = 0.$$

Differentiating once (5.2) in tangential direction (the coordinate y with respect to the Fermi coordinate) we get

$$(5.3) \quad \int \phi_{y_i} \bar{g}' dz - h_{y_i} \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz = 0.$$

Differentiating again we have

$$(5.4) \quad \int \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} \bar{g}' - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i} \bar{g}'' \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_j} \bar{g}'' \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_i} - \phi \bar{g}'' \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} + \phi \bar{g}''' \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_i} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j} = 0.$$

And thus

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} \phi \bar{g}' \\ &= \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \int \phi \bar{g}'' - 2 \int \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j} \right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{\Gamma_{y,0}}} \bar{g}'' - |\nabla_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h|^2 \int \phi \bar{g}'''. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, differentiating the orthogonality condition with respect to time t and integrating by parts, we get

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int \phi_t \bar{g}' &= - \int \phi \bar{g}'' \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \int \phi \bar{g}'' \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we also have

$$(5.7) \quad \|h\|_{k,\theta} \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{k,\theta}}).$$

5.1. The term from II+IV comes out of the integral by the error estimates (4.7), (4.8) and (5.7).

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \bar{g}'^2 \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \\ &= \alpha \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\varepsilon \|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}}) \\ &= \alpha \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] + O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2) \end{aligned}$$

as estimated in Appendix B of Wang-Wei [WW19a], where α is the total energy for the 1-d standing wave.

The additional terms not in Wang-Wei is

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int \bar{g}'^2 \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right) \int \bar{g}'^2 \\ &= \alpha \cdot \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \alpha \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + O(\varepsilon^3) \end{aligned}$$

by the error control in the cutoff \bar{g} of g in (4).

5.2. Sup norm of Π +IV. By integration by parts and (5.5), (5.6), the equation (5.1) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.10) \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{g}'(z) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta \right) \phi dz \\
& = - \int \bar{g}' [W'(\phi + g^*) - W'(g^*)] + \int \bar{g}' \bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2 \\
& \quad + \int \bar{g}'^2 \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] dz.
\end{aligned}$$

And we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.11) \quad & \text{LHS} \\
& = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \int \phi \bar{g}'' - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2) \\
& \quad + O(\varepsilon^2) - \int \phi_{zz} \bar{g}' dz - \int H_{\Gamma_{y,z,t}} \phi' \bar{g}' dz \\
& = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \int \phi \bar{g}'' - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz \\
& \quad + O(\varepsilon^2) - \int W''(\bar{g}) \bar{g}' \phi dz + \int H_{\Gamma_{y,z,t}} \phi \bar{g}'' dz + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2) \\
& = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \int \phi \bar{g}'' - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz \\
& \quad + O(\varepsilon^2) - \int W''(\bar{g}) \bar{g}' \phi dz + H_{\Gamma_{y,0,t}} \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2) \\
& = \left(H_{\Gamma_{y,0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \right) \int \phi \bar{g}'' \\
& \quad + O(\varepsilon^2) - \int W''(\bar{g}) \bar{g}' \phi dz + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2)
\end{aligned}$$

by the expression of the Laplacian in Fermi coordinates (2.11). The change of sign of the mean curvature term is due to integration by parts and we are able to take the mean curvature term out of integral is due to the error estimates (4.7).

And we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.12) \quad & \text{RHS} \\
& = - \int W''(g^*) g' \phi dz + O(\varepsilon^2) + \int \bar{g}' \bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2 \\
& \quad + \int \bar{g}'^2 \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] dz \\
& = - \int W''(g^*) \bar{g}' \phi dz + O(\varepsilon^2) + \int \bar{g}' \bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2 \\
& \quad + \alpha \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.13) \quad & \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \left[\int \phi g'' dz - \alpha \right] + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
 & = O(\varepsilon^2) + \int \bar{g}' \bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2 dz + \|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2 \\
 & = O(\varepsilon^2 + \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality above we used that $\|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}}$ is controlled by $\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}$ by (5.7) and Cauchy inequality on the middle term. The sup norm estimates is obtained by integration by parts together with the fact that integral of \bar{g} and its derivatives are uniformly bounded independent of ε .

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.14) \quad & |II + IV| \\
 & = \left| \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \right| \\
 & \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}}^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Hölder norm of II+IV. The parabolic distance for 2 points $X_1 = (x_1, t_1), X_2 = (x_2, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ is defined by $\text{dist}_p(X_1, X_2) = \max(|x_1 - x_2|, \sqrt{|t_1 - t_2|})$

For a function $u : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and an open set $W \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$, we will use the parabolic Hölder norm defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.15) \quad & [u]_{\theta; W} = \sup_{X_1 \neq X_2, X_1, X_2 \in W} \frac{|u(X_1) - u(X_2)|}{\text{dist}_p(X_1, X_2)} \\
 & \|u\|_{C^{0,\theta}(W)} = \sup_{x \in W} |u(X)| + [u]_{\theta} \\
 & \|u(x, t)\|_{C^{k,\theta}(W)} = \sum_{i+2j \leq k} \|\partial_x^i \partial_t^j u\|_{0,\theta}
 \end{aligned}$$

In particular

$$(5.16) \quad \|u(x, t)\|_{C^{2,\theta}(W)} = \sum_{i=0}^2 \sup |\partial_x^i u| + \sup |\partial_t| + \|\partial_x^2 u\|_{0,\theta} + \|\partial_t u\|_{0,\theta}$$

Again by rewriting equation (5.1) using the orthogonality conditions (5.5) and (5.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.17) \quad & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{g}' \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} - \partial_z^2 - H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} \partial_z \right) \phi dz \\
&= \int [\Delta_{\Gamma_{y,0,t}} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{y,z,t}}] \phi \bar{g}' \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz \\
&+ 2 \int \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j} \right\rangle_{g_{\Gamma_{y,0}}} \bar{g}'' dz + |\nabla_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h|^2 \int \phi \bar{g}''' dz - \int \bar{g}' (\partial_{zz} \phi + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} \partial_z \phi) dz \\
&= - \int \bar{g}' [W'(\phi + g^*) - W'(g^*)] dz + \int \bar{g}'^2 \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] dz \\
&+ \int \bar{g}' [\bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2] dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Further simplification and some integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.18) \quad & \int [\Delta_{\Gamma_{y,0,t}} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{y,z,t}}] \phi \bar{g}' \\
&+ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz \\
&+ 2 \int \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j} \right\rangle_{g_{\Gamma_{y,0}}} \bar{g}'' dz + |\nabla_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h|^2 \int \phi \bar{g}''' dz - \int \bar{g}' H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} \partial_z \phi dz \\
&= - \int \bar{g}' [W''(g^*) - W''(\bar{g})] \phi dz + \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \left(\int g'^2 dz \right) \\
&- \int [H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} - H_{\Gamma_{0,t}}] \bar{g}'^2 dz + \int [\Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h] \bar{g}'^2 dz + \int \bar{g}' \bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2 dz.
\end{aligned}$$

5.3.1. *Hölder estimate in space.* We will estimate the spatial Hölder norms in (5.18) term by term.

By the error estimates (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.19) \quad & \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \int [\Delta_{\Gamma_{y,0,t}} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{y,z,t}}] \phi(\cdot, t) \bar{g}' dz \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))} \\
&= O(\varepsilon |z| \sup_{t \in I} \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y))}) \\
&= O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\sup_{t \in I} \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y))}^2) \\
&\leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Since the $C^{k,\theta}$ norms of ϕ control the $C^{k,\theta}$ norms for h by (5.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.20) \quad & \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h(\cdot, t) \left(\int \phi(\cdot, t) \bar{g}'' dz \right) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))} \\
& \leq O(\sup_{t \in I} \|h(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(t))}) \cdot O(\sup_{t \in I} \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{0,\theta}(B_r(t))}) \\
& \leq O(\sup_{t \in I} \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(t))}^2) \\
& \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.21) \quad & \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) (\cdot, t) \left(\int \phi(\cdot, t) \bar{g}'' dz \right) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))} \\
& \leq O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) (\cdot, t) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \int \phi(\cdot, t) \bar{g}'' dz \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) \\
& \leq O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_\Sigma f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (\cdot, t) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} (\cdot, t) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \left\| \int \phi(\cdot, t) \bar{g}'' dz \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) \\
& \leq O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \left\| \frac{u_t}{|\nabla u|} (\cdot, t) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} (\cdot, t) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{t \in I} \left\| \int \phi(\cdot, t) \bar{g}'' dz \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2 \right) \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2) + O(\sup_{t \in I} \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{C^\theta(B_r(y))}^2) \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, using (5.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.22) \quad & \sup_t \left\| \int \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j} \right\rangle_{\bar{g}_{\Gamma_{y,0}}} g'' dz \right\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))} \\
& \leq O(\sup_t \|h\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))}) \|\phi\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))} \\
& \leq O(\sup_t \|\phi\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))}^2) \\
& \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.23) \quad & \sup_t \left\| |\nabla_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h| \int \phi \bar{g}''' dz \right\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))} \\
& \leq O(\sup_t \|h\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))}^2) \cdot \sup_t \|\phi\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))}^2 \\
& \leq O(\sup_t \|h\|_{C^{1,\theta}(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))}^2) \\
& \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.24) \quad & \sup_t \left\| \int H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} \partial_z \phi \, dz \right\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon \sup_t \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))}) \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By the uniform bounds on derivatives of the potential function for values between $(-1, 1)$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.25) \quad & \sup_t \left\| \int g'[W''(g^*) - W''(\bar{g})] \phi \, dz \right\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))} \\
& \leq C \|\sup_t \phi(\cdot, t)(B_r(y))\|_\theta \cdot \varepsilon \\
& \leq O(\sup_t \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{0,\theta}(B_r(y))}^2) + O(\varepsilon^2) \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\sup_t \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(\cdot, t)(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.26) \quad & \sup_t \left\| \int \bar{g}' \bar{g}'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2 \, dz \right\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)} \\
& \leq O \|\sup_t \phi(\cdot, t)\|_{1,\theta}^2(B_r(t)) \\
& \leq O \|\phi\|_{2,\theta(B_r(t) \times I)}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Again by (4.8) and (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.27) \quad & \sup_t \left\| \int [\Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h] \bar{g}'^2 \, dz \right\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon \sup_t \|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{2,\theta}}) \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(t) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.28) \quad & \sup_t \left\| \int [H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} - H_{\Gamma_{0,t}}] \bar{g}'^2 \, dz \right\|_{C^\theta(\cdot, t)} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining all these above estimates and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \left(\int \bar{g}'^2 \, dz \right) (\cdot, t) \right\|_\theta \\
& = \alpha \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (\cdot, t) \right\|_{C^\theta(B_r(t))}
\end{aligned}$$

we obtain from (5.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.29) \quad & \sup_{t \in I} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] (\cdot, t) \right\|_{C^{\theta}(B_r(t))} \\
 & \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(t) \times I)}^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

5.3.2. *Hölder estimate in time.* Again we estimate the Hölder norm in time term by term.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.30) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \|\Delta_{\Gamma_{y,0,t}} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{y,z,t}}\| \phi(y, 0) \bar{g}' \|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(I)} \\
 & \leq O(\varepsilon \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}) \\
 & \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

By (4.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.31) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) (y, \cdot) \int \phi(y, \cdot) \bar{g}'' dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(I)} \\
 & \leq O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} \right) (y, \cdot) \right\|_{\theta}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz \right\|_{\theta}^2 \right) \\
 & \leq O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} (y, \cdot) \right\|_{\theta}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} (y, \cdot) \right\|_{\frac{\theta}{2}}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \|\phi(y, \cdot)\|_{\theta}^2 \right) \\
 & \leq O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \frac{u_t}{|\nabla u|} (y, \cdot) \right\|_{\theta}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} (y, \cdot) \right\|_{\frac{\theta}{2}}^2 \right) + O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \|\phi(y, \cdot)\|_{\theta}^2 \right) \\
 & \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2) + O \left(\sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \|\phi(y, \cdot)\|_{\theta}^2 \right) \\
 & \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2) \\
 & \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.32) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \Delta_{\Gamma_{y,\cdot}} h \int \phi g'' dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y,\cdot)} \\
 & \leq \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \|\Delta_{\Gamma_{y,\cdot}} h\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y,\cdot)}^2 + \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \int \phi \bar{g}'' dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y,\cdot)}^2 \\
 & \leq \|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2 + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2) \\
 & \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (5.33) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \int \left\langle \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_i}, \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_j} \right\rangle_{g_{\Gamma_{y,0}}} \bar{g}'' dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y,\cdot)(I)} \\
 & \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{1,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)} \cdot \|h\|_{C^{1,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}) \\
 & \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.34) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \left| \nabla_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h \right| \int \phi \bar{g}''' dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y, \cdot)(I)} \\
& \leq O(\|h\|_{C^{1,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)} \cdot \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \|\phi(y, \cdot)\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(I)}) \\
& \leq O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.35) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \int H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} \partial_z \phi dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y, \cdot)(I)} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon \cdot \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}) \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).
\end{aligned}$$

By the uniform smallness of deviation in z coordinate (4.7) and (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.36) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \int [H_{\Gamma_{z,t}} - H_{\Gamma_{0,t}}] \bar{g}^2 dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y, \cdot)(I)} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.37) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \int [\Delta_{\Gamma_{z,t}} h - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h] \bar{g}^2 dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y, \cdot)(I)} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.38) \quad & \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \int g' g'' |\nabla_{z,t} h|^2 dz \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(y, \cdot)(I)} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2).
\end{aligned}$$

And thus similar to (5.29), we obtain

$$(5.39) \quad \sup_{x \in B_r(y)} \left\| \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] (y, \cdot) \right\|_{C^{\frac{\theta}{2}}(B_r(y))} \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).$$

5.3.3. From (5.29, 5.39), we get Hölder estimates for the term II+IV in space-time

$$(5.40) \quad \left\| \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \right\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)} \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2).$$

From (5.29, 5.39), we get Hölder estimates for the term II+IV in space time

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.41) \quad & \left\| \left[\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}} h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma} f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right] \right\|_{C^{0,\theta}(B_r(r) \times I)} \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + O(\|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}^2) \\
& \leq O(\varepsilon^2) + \sigma \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B_r(y) \times I)}.
\end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma = o(1)$ is a small coefficient since the norm of ϕ is small. This will be used later for an iteration argument.

6. PARABOLIC SCHAUDER ESTIMATES FOR ϕ AND REGULARITY OF THE LEVEL SETS,
THE PROOF OF MAIN THEOREMS

Rewriting the equation (4.3), we get

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \Delta\right)\phi + W''(g)\phi = g' \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}}h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right) + g''|\nabla h|^2 + \bar{\eta}.$$

By applying standard parabolic Schauder estimates (see chapter 4 of [Lie96] for reference) to the above equation, we get

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B(r)\times I)} \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}}h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right\|_{C^{\theta}(B(2r)\times 2I)} + \|h\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B(2r)\times 2I)}^2 + O(\varepsilon^2) \\ & \leq \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}}h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right\|_{C^{\theta}(B(2r)\times 2I)} + \sigma \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B(2r)\times 2I)}^2 + O(\varepsilon^2) \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma < 1$ is a small constant.

Combining this with the estimate (5.41) and using an iteration argument, we get

$$(6.2) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} - \Delta_{\Gamma_{0,t}}h + H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \right\|_{C^{\theta}(B(r)\times I)} + \|\phi\|_{C^{2,\theta}(B(r)\times I)} \leq O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Since the mean curvature satisfies

$$(6.3) \quad H_{\Gamma_{0,t}} = H_{\Gamma_{\text{Graph}f}} = -\text{div}_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\nabla_{\Sigma}f}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f|^2}} \right).$$

We have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} - \text{div}_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\nabla_{\Sigma}f}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f|^2}} \right) \right\|_{C^{\theta}} \leq O(\varepsilon^2).$$

After rescaling back to the original scale we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f^{\varepsilon}|^2}} \cdot \frac{\partial f^{\varepsilon}}{\partial t} - \text{div}_{\Sigma} \left(\frac{\nabla_{\Sigma}f^{\varepsilon}}{\sqrt{1 + |\nabla_{\Sigma}f^{\varepsilon}|^2}} \right) \right\|_{C^{\theta}} \leq O(\varepsilon).$$

Thus by parabolic Schauder estimates, we have

$$(6.4) \quad \|f_{\varepsilon}\|_{C^{2,\theta}} \leq C$$

after rescaling back to the original scale and the convergence of f_{ε} to f_{ε} is in $C^{2,\theta}$ sense.

Proof. (of Theorem 1.1) We essentially already completed the proof of Theorem 1.1 in the last equation (6.4) obtaining a uniform $C^{2,\theta}$ estimate from a uniform enhanced second fundamental form bound and an entropy bound. This gives a uniform $C^{0,\theta}$ norm for the curvature of the level sets. \square

Proof. (of Theorem 1.3) This is the same argument as in the proof of Corollary 1.2 in [WW19b] where the conditions in Theorem 1.3 imply the conditions (uniform enhanced second fundamental form bounds) in Theorem 1.1 by a blow up argument. \square

7. PROOF OF CURVATURE ESTIMATES

In this section we prove the a priori bound on enhanced second fundamental forms for low entropy Allen–Cahn flows.

We begin with an a priori lower bound for the gradients of parabolic Allen–Cahn at points of phase transition. The enhanced second fundamental form $\mathcal{A}(u) = \frac{\sqrt{|\nabla^2 u|^2 - |\nabla|\nabla u||^2}}{|\nabla u|}$ makes sense only if the gradient does not vanish.

Theorem 7.1. *There exists $C > 0$ such that if u^ε is a solution of equation (2.1) and that the energy density of u^ε converges with multiplicity α to a smooth mean curvature flow in $U \times I \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, then*

$$\varepsilon |\nabla u^\varepsilon(0, t)| \geq C$$

for sufficiently small ε on compact subsets of $U \times I$.

Proof. Suppose not, then there exists a sequence of $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$, a sequence of solutions u^{ε_i} to the equation (2.1) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_i$ such that

$$\varepsilon_i |\nabla u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0)| \rightarrow 0$$

in $U \times I \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$.

By scaling, we obtain a sequence of solutions $u_{\varepsilon_i} = u^{\varepsilon_i}(\frac{x}{\varepsilon_i}, \frac{t}{\varepsilon_i^2})$ satisfying equation (2.2) in $\frac{U}{\varepsilon_i} \times \frac{I}{\varepsilon_i^2} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with

$$|\nabla u_{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0)| = 0.$$

After passing to a limit, we obtain a solution u_∞ of (2.2) that is defined on the whole space-time $\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$, but with

$$|\nabla u_\infty(0, 0)| = 0.$$

But this contradicts the rigidity of eternal solution to Allen–Cahn flow Theorem 3.2, and thus we must have a gradient lower bound. \square

Now we can prove the curvature estimates in Corollary 1.5.

Proof. Again argue by contradiction. Therefore, assume there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_i \rightarrow 0$ and a sequence of solutions u^{ε_i} to the equations (2.1) in $B_{r_i}(0) \times [-r_i^2, r_i^2] \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_i$ such that $u(0, 0) = 0$ and

$$|\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))| \cdot r_i = C_i \rightarrow \infty$$

and that

$$|\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(x, t))| \leq 2|\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))|$$

for $(x, t) \in B_{r_i}(0) \times [-r_i^2, r_i^2]$ by a point picking argument.

We rescale the sequence of solutions by $|\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))|$ and obtain a new sequence

$$\tilde{u}_i(x, t) = u^{\varepsilon_i} \left(\frac{x}{|\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))|}, \frac{t}{|\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))|^2} \right).$$

where u_i satisfies ((2.1) with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_i |\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))|$).

u_i is defined in $B_{C_i} \times [-C_i^2, C_i^2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}$ and

$$|\mathcal{A}(u_i(0, 0))| = 1,$$

$$|\mathcal{A}(u_i(x, y))| \leq 2, (x, y) \in B_{C_i} \times [-C_i^2, C_i^2].$$

We have $\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i |\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))| < \infty$ by the Liouville's Theorem of linear heat equations. So there are 2 cases:

If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i |\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))| = 0$ after passing to a subsequence, by the improvement of estimates Theorem 1.1, for sufficiently large i , we have uniform $C^{2,\theta}$ bounds, so the second fundamental form is preserved in the limit.

Therefore the nodal sets of u_i converge in $C^{2,\theta}$ to a limit eternal curve shortening flow with the norm of second fundamental form at $(0, 0)$ being 1. This contradicts the fact that the only eternal curvature shortening flow with entropy below 2 must be the static flat line.

If $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_i |\mathcal{A}(u^{\varepsilon_i}(0, 0))| = \bar{C} \neq 0$ after passing to a subsequence, then we get a limit eternal solution to the parabolic Allen–Cahn equation (2.1) with $\varepsilon = \bar{C}$ but with norm of second fundamental form at $(0, 0)$ being 1. This is a contradiction to the rigidity Theorem 3.2.

So we must have a uniform curvature bound. □

REFERENCES

- [Bra78] Kenneth A. Brakke. *The motion of a surface by its mean curvature*, volume 20 of *Mathematical Notes*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1978.
- [CC06] Luis A. Caffarelli and Antonio Córdoba. Phase transitions: uniform regularity of the intermediate layers. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 593:209–235, 2006.
- [CM12] Tobias H. Colding and William P. Minicozzi, II. Generic mean curvature flow I: generic singularities. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 175(2):755–833, 2012.
- [CM20] Otis Chodosh and Christos Mantoulidis. Minimal surfaces and the Allen-Cahn equation on 3-manifolds: index, multiplicity, and curvature estimates. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 191(1):213–328, 2020.
- [dPG18a] Manuel del Pino and Konstantinos T. Gkikas. Ancient multiple-layer solutions to the Allen-Cahn equation. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, 148(6):1165–1199, 2018.
- [dPG18b] Manuel del Pino and Konstantinos T. Gkikas. Ancient shrinking spherical interfaces in the Allen-Cahn flow. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 35(1):187–215, 2018.
- [GMN] Marco A. M. Guaraco, Fernando C. Marques, and Andre Néves. Multiplicity one and strictly stable Allen-Cahn minimal hypersurfaces. arXiv:1912.08997 [math.DG].
- [Ilm93] Tom Ilmanen. Convergence of the Allen-Cahn equation to Brakke’s motion by mean curvature. *J. Differential Geom.*, 38(2):417–461, 1993.
- [Lie96] Gary M. Lieberman. *Second order parabolic differential equations*. World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1996.
- [PR03] Frank Pacard and Manuel Ritoré. From constant mean curvature hypersurfaces to the gradient theory of phase transitions. *J. Differential Geom.*, 64(3):359–423, 2003.
- [RS06] Matthias Röger and Reiner Schätzle. On a modified conjecture of De Giorgi. *Math. Z.*, 254(4):675–714, 2006.
- [Son97] Halil Mete Soner. Ginzburg-landau equation and motion by mean curvature, ii: Development of the initial interface. *The Journal of Geometric Analysis*, 7(3):477–491, 1997.
- [Sun18] Ao Sun. On the entropy of parabolic allen-cahn equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1812.08894*, 2018.
- [T⁺03] Yoshihiro Tonegawa et al. Integrality of varifolds in the singular limit of reaction-diffusion equations. *Hiroshima mathematical journal*, 33(3):323–341, 2003.
- [Tru08] Mariel Sáez Trumper. Relaxation of the curve shortening flow via the parabolic ginzburg-landau equation. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 31(3):359–386, 2008.
- [Wan17] Kelei Wang. A new proof of Savin’s theorem on Allen-Cahn equations. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 19(10):2997–3051, 2017.
- [Whi05] Brian White. A local regularity theorem for mean curvature flow. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 161(3):1487–1519, 2005.
- [WW19a] Kelei Wang and Juncheng Wei. Finite Morse index implies finite ends. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 72(5):1044–1119, 2019.
- [WW19b] Kelei Wang and Juncheng Wei. Second order estimate on transition layers. *Adv. Math.*, 358:106856, 2019.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, MILE END ROAD,
LONDON E1 4NS

E-mail address: h.nguyen@qmul.ac.uk

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, MILE END ROAD,
LONDON E1 4NS

E-mail address: shengwen.wang@qmul.ac.uk