

Effective transmission conditions for reaction-diffusion processes in domains separated by thin channels

Apratim Bhattacharya*, Markus Gahn†, Maria Neuss-Radu‡

March 14, 2024

Abstract

We consider a reaction–diffusion equation in a domain consisting of two bulk regions connected via small channels periodically distributed within a thin layer. The height and the thickness of the channels are of order ϵ , and the equation inside the layer depends on the parameter ϵ and an additional parameter $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$, which describes the size of the diffusion in the layer. We derive effective models for the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, when the channel-domain is replaced by an interface between the two bulk-domains.

Keywords: Array of channels; homogenization; two-scale convergence; reaction-diffusion equation; effective transmission conditions

AMS Subject Classification: 35K57; 35B27, 35Q92

1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider a reaction–diffusion equation in a domain Ω consisting of two bulk regions Ω_ϵ^+ and Ω_ϵ^- which are connected via small periodically distributed channels. The height and the thickness of the channels are of order ϵ , where the parameter ϵ is small compared to the size of the bulk-domains. The equation in the channels’ domain depends on ϵ , the diffusion coefficients having the size ϵ^γ with $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$. On the lateral boundary of the channels, we consider a Neumann-boundary condition describing e.g., surface reactions. The aim of this paper is the derivation of an effective model in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. This requires asymptotic techniques which combine the classical approach of homogenization for microscopic structures with a singular limit approach, in the context

*Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Cauerstr. 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

†IWR, Universität Heidelberg, INF 205, 69112 Heidelberg, Germany

‡Department Mathematik, FAU Erlangen-Nürnberg, Cauerstr. 11, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

of a thin layer perforated by disconnected channels. In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the channel-domain is replaced by an interface Σ between the bulk-domains Ω^+ and Ω^- , and the main difficulty lies in the derivation of effective interface laws on Σ . Here, we extend reaction-diffusion problems and corresponding techniques considered previously in [1–3] to the situation of channels. We consider the case $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$, i.e., moderate and high diffusion inside the channels' domain. In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, at the interface Σ , we obtain the continuity of the concentrations and an ordinary differential equation for the limit concentration in the layer, involving the jump of the normal fluxes of the solutions in Ω^\pm across Σ . The critical case $\gamma = 1$ is treated in [4] and leads to different effective transmission conditions at Σ , namely a jump in the homogenized solution and its normal fluxes depending on solutions to local problems in the standard channel in every macroscopic variable $\bar{x} \in \Sigma$. We consider solutions with low regularity with respect to the time variable in order to keep the assumptions on the data quite general.


First homogenization results for problems with a geometrical framework related to our setting were given in [5]. Contributions to the homogenization of the Laplace equation in domains connected by thin channels have been given in [6–9], where the asymptotic behavior of the solution is investigated for different ratio of the thickness of the layer and the radius of the cylindrical channels. The homogenization of an elliptic Steklov type spectral problem in domains connected by thin channels was considered in [10,11]. Reaction-diffusion problems through channels with low conducting properties were considered in [12], and is also topic of ongoing work, see [4]. The more challenging problem concerning the ion transport through channels of biological membranes was announced in [13].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we define the microscopic geometry, introduce the microscopic model, and give its variational formulation with respect to function spaces adapted to the scaling of the model. In Section 3, we derive estimates for the microscopic solutions necessary for the derivation of compactness results, especially with respect to the two-scale convergence for channels. The definition of the latter together with the compactness results are given in Section 4. In Section 5 the convergence results for the microscopic solutions are derived. Especially the case $\gamma = -1$, when the gradient of the solution in the channels converges to zero in the two-scale sense, requires refined techniques adapted to the microscopic geometry. In Section 6 the homogenized model, including the effective interface conditions is derived.

2 Setting of the Problem

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a sequence of positive numbers tending to zero such that $\frac{1}{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $H > 0$ be a fixed real number. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we write $x = (\bar{x}, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R}$. Let Ω be a subset of \mathbb{R}^n defined as

$$\Omega = \Sigma \times (-H, H),$$



(a) Microscopic domain Ω_ϵ for the case $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ and $n = 3$. (b) Standard channel-domain Z^* in the standard cell Z .

Figure 1: Example of a microscopic domain generated by periodically distributed channels.

where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ is a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} , i.e. $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (a_i, b_i)$ with $a_i, b_i \in \mathbb{Z}, a_i < b_i$. The meaningful case for applications is $n = 3$. However, many of our statements can be formulated in \mathbb{R}^n for $n \geq 2$. Therefore, we introduce our notations for a general dimension n .

We consider the domain $\Omega_\epsilon \subset \Omega$ consisting of three subdomains: the bulk regions Ω_ϵ^+ and Ω_ϵ^- which are connected by channels periodically distributed within a thin layer constituting the domain $\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M$, see Figure 1 (a). The bulk regions are given by:

$$\Omega_\epsilon^+ = \Sigma \times (\epsilon, H), \quad \Omega_\epsilon^- = \Sigma \times (-H, -\epsilon).$$

Furthermore, we denote by

$$S_\epsilon^+ = \Sigma \times \{\epsilon\}, \quad S_\epsilon^- = \Sigma \times \{-\epsilon\}, .$$

The thin layer separating the two bulk-domains is given by

$$\Omega_\epsilon^M = \Sigma \times (-\epsilon, \epsilon).$$

To define the channels, which are periodically distributed within Ω_ϵ^M , we first define the standard cell

$$Z := Y \times (-1, 1) := (0, 1)^{n-1} \times (-1, 1)$$

with the upper and lower boundaries

$$S^\pm := \{y = (\bar{y}, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \bar{y} \in Y, y_n = \pm 1\}.$$

Let $Z^* \subset Z$ be a connected and open Lipschitz domain representing the standard channel-domain, such that

$$S_*^\pm := \{y \in \partial Z^* : y_n = \pm 1\} \neq \emptyset$$

is a Lipschitz domain in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} with positive measure, see Figure 1 (b). Let the lateral boundary of the standard channel be denoted by

$$N := \partial Z^* \setminus (\overline{S_*^+} \cup \overline{S_*^-}).$$

We assume that N has a finite distance to the lateral boundary $\partial Z \setminus (S^+ \cup S^-)$ of the standard cell Z . The domain consisting of the channels is then given by

$$\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M := \bigcup_{\bar{k} \in I_\epsilon} \epsilon Z_{\bar{k}}^* := \bigcup_{\bar{k} \in I_\epsilon} \epsilon(Z^* + (\bar{k}, 0)),$$

where $I_\epsilon = \{\bar{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} : \epsilon(Z + (\bar{k}, 0)) \subset \Omega_\epsilon^M\}$. We have $|I_\epsilon| = \frac{|\Sigma|}{\epsilon^{n-1}}$. The interfaces between the channel-domain and the bulk-domains are defined by

$$S_{*,\epsilon}^\pm := \bigcup_{\bar{k} \in I_\epsilon} \epsilon(S_*^\pm + (\bar{k}, 0)).$$

The domain Ω_ϵ is thus defined by

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \Omega_\epsilon^+ \cup \Omega_\epsilon^- \cup \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M \cup S_{*,\epsilon}^+ \cup S_{*,\epsilon}^-.$$

We assume Ω_ϵ to be Lipschitz. The boundary $\partial\Omega_\epsilon$ can be decomposed into two disjoint subsets $\partial\Omega_\epsilon = \overline{N_\epsilon} \cup \partial_N\Omega_\epsilon$, where N_ϵ represents the lateral boundary of the channels

$$N_\epsilon := \bigcup_{\bar{k} \in I_\epsilon} \epsilon(N + (\bar{k}, 0)).$$

Let ν denote the outward unit normal at the boundary of Ω_ϵ . At the interfaces S_ϵ^\pm , we denote by ν the outward unit normal with respect to Ω_ϵ^\pm . For a function defined on Ω_ϵ , we add superscripts $+$, $-$, M to denote its restriction to the sub-domains Ω_ϵ^+ , Ω_ϵ^- and $\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M$ respectively. Finally, we define the domains

$$\Omega^+ := \Sigma \times (0, H), \quad \Omega^- := \Sigma \times (-H, 0).$$

which are separated by the interface Σ . We emphasize that, by abuse of notation, we will identify $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ with $\Sigma \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The outer normal to Ω^\pm is denoted by ν^\pm .

2.1 The microscopic model

Let $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$. In the domain Ω_ϵ , we study the following reaction-diffusion equations for the unknown function $u_\epsilon = (u_\epsilon^+, u_\epsilon^M, u_\epsilon^-) : (0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\epsilon^\pm - D^\pm \Delta u_\epsilon^\pm &= f^\pm(t, x) \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon^\pm, \\ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \partial_t u_\epsilon^M - \nabla \cdot \left(\epsilon^\gamma D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \nabla u_\epsilon^M \right) &= \frac{1}{\epsilon} g_\epsilon(t, x) \quad \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M, \end{aligned} \tag{1a}$$

with the boundary conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} -D^\pm \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \cdot \nu &= 0 \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times \partial_N \Omega_\epsilon, \\ -\epsilon^\gamma D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \nabla u_\epsilon^M \cdot \nu &= h_\epsilon(t, x) \quad \text{on } (0, T) \times N_\epsilon, \end{aligned} \tag{1b}$$

and the initial conditions:

$$u_\epsilon(0) = u_{i,\epsilon} \quad \text{in } \Omega_\epsilon. \quad (1c)$$

On the interfaces $S_{*,\epsilon}^\pm$, we impose the natural transmission conditions, i.e., the continuity of the solution and of the normal flux, namely

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon^\pm &= u_\epsilon^M && \text{on } (0, T) \times S_{*,\epsilon}^\pm, \\ D^\pm \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \cdot \nu &= \epsilon^\gamma D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \nabla u_\epsilon^M \cdot \nu && \text{on } (0, T) \times S_{*,\epsilon}^\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (1d)$$

We emphasize that we consider scalar diffusion coefficients which are constant in the bulk-domains just for an easier notation. The results can be easily extended to more general problems, e.g., to matrix-valued diffusion coefficients having an oscillating structure also in the bulk regions.

Remark 1. In order to keep the notation as clear as possible, we skip the parameter $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$ in the labeling of the solution u_ϵ . The same holds for limit of u_ϵ for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

2.1.1 Assumptions on the data:

(A1) The diffusion coefficient $D_\epsilon : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$D_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} D^\pm, & x \in \Omega_\epsilon^\pm, \\ D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right), & x \in \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

such that $D^\pm > 0$ and $D^M \in L^\infty(Z^*)$, periodically extended with respect to \bar{y} with period Y , and there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that $D^M(y) \geq c_0$, for a.e. $y \in Z^*$.

(A2) For the reaction rates in the bulk-domains, we assume $f \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega)$.

(A3) We assume $g_\epsilon \in L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)$ with $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \|g_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)} \leq C$, and there exists $g_0 \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma \times Z^*)$ such that

$$g_\epsilon \rightarrow g_0 \quad \text{in the two-scale sense.}$$

For the definition of the two-scale convergence in the channels, see Section 4.

(A4) We assume $h_\epsilon \in L^2((0, T) \times N_\epsilon)$ with $\|h_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0,T) \times N_\epsilon)} \leq C$, and there exists $h_0 \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma \times N)$ such that

$$h_\epsilon \rightarrow h_0 \quad \text{in the two-scale sense on } N_\epsilon.$$

Again, see Section 4 for the definition of two-scale convergence on the surface N_ϵ of the channels.

(A5) For the initial condition, we assume that

$$u_{i,\epsilon}(x) = \begin{cases} u_i^\pm(x), & x \in \Omega_\epsilon^\pm, \\ u_i^M(\bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}), & x \in \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M, \end{cases}$$

with $(u_i^+, u_i^M, u_i^-) \in L^2(\Omega^+) \times L^2(\Sigma, C(\bar{Z}^*)) \times L^2(\Omega^-)$, and u_i^M is periodic with respect to \bar{y} with period Y . Especially, Lemma 4.2 implies

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \left\| u_i^M \left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \right) \right\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)} \leq C \|u_i^M\|_{L^2(\Sigma, C(\bar{Z}^*))} \leq C,$$

and

$$u_i^M \left(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon} \right) \rightarrow u_i^M(\bar{x}, y) \quad \text{in the two-scale sense.}$$

The last statement follows from (7) in Lemma 4.2, applied to $u_i^M(\cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon})\psi$, where ψ is a test-function in the definition of the two-scale convergence for channels.

Example 2.1. (i) An example of a reaction rate g_ϵ satisfying assumption (A3) is given by $g_\epsilon(t, x) = g(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$ where $g \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma, C(\bar{Z}^*))$ periodically extended with respect to \bar{y} with period Y . This follows again by Lemma 4.2.

(ii) An example of a reaction rate h_ϵ satisfying assumption (A4) is given by $h_\epsilon(t, x) = h(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon})$ where $h \in L^2((0, T), C(\bar{\Sigma} \times \bar{N}))$ periodically extended with respect to \bar{y} with period Y . This follows by Lemma 4.3.

2.1.2 Variational formulation of the microscopic problem

Due to the scaling of the problem in the channel-domain, we consider function spaces with scaled inner products as follows: Let L_ϵ denote the space

$$L_\epsilon := L^2(\Omega_\epsilon) = L^2(\Omega_\epsilon^+) \times L^2(\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M) \times L^2(\Omega_\epsilon^-)$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon)_{L_\epsilon} := \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^+} u_\epsilon v_\epsilon dx + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^-} u_\epsilon v_\epsilon dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} u_\epsilon v_\epsilon dx,$$

and for $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$, let $H_{\gamma,\epsilon}$ be the space

$$H_{\gamma,\epsilon} := H^1(\Omega_\epsilon) = \{(u_\epsilon^+, u_\epsilon^M, u_\epsilon^-) \in H^1(\Omega_\epsilon^+) \times H^1(\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M) \times H^1(\Omega_\epsilon^-) : u_\epsilon^\pm = u_\epsilon^M \text{ on } S_{*,\epsilon}^\pm\}$$

equipped with the inner product

$$(u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon)_{H_{\gamma,\epsilon}} := (u_\epsilon, v_\epsilon)_{L_\epsilon} + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^+} \nabla u_\epsilon \nabla v_\epsilon dx + \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^-} \nabla u_\epsilon \nabla v_\epsilon dx + \epsilon^\gamma \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} \nabla u_\epsilon \nabla v_\epsilon dx.$$

The associated norms are denoted with $\|\cdot\|_{L_\epsilon}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{H_{\gamma,\epsilon}}$. Let $H'_{\gamma,\epsilon}$ denote the dual of $H_{\gamma,\epsilon}$. We obtain the Gelfand-triple

$$H_{\gamma,\epsilon} \hookrightarrow L_\epsilon \hookrightarrow H'_{\gamma,\epsilon}. \quad (3)$$

The variational formulation of the problem (1) is given as follows. Find

$$u_\epsilon \in L^2((0, T), H_{\gamma, \epsilon}) \cap H^1((0, T), H'_{\gamma, \epsilon})$$

such that u_ϵ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_t u_\epsilon, \phi \rangle_{H'_{\gamma, \epsilon}, H_{\gamma, \epsilon}} + \sum_{\pm} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} D^\pm \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \nabla \phi \, dx + \epsilon^\gamma \int_{\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M} D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \nabla u_\epsilon^M \nabla \phi \, dx \\ &= \sum_{\pm} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} f^\pm(t, x) \phi \, dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M} g_\epsilon(t, x) \phi \, dx - \int_{N_\epsilon} h_\epsilon(t, x) \phi \, dS(x), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all $\phi \in H_{\gamma, \epsilon}$ and a.e. $t \in (0, T)$, together with the initial condition (1c).

The duality pairing in (4) is connected to the scaling in the microscopic equation (1a) in the following way: For $\partial_t u_\epsilon^\pm \in L^2((0, T), H^1(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)')$ and $\partial_t u_\epsilon^M \in L^2((0, T), H^1(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)')$, we obtain from the Gelfand-tripel (3) and the definition of the inner product in L_ϵ

$$\langle \partial_t u_\epsilon, \phi \rangle_{H'_{\gamma, \epsilon}, H_{\gamma, \epsilon}} = \sum_{\pm} \langle \partial_t u_\epsilon^\pm, \phi \rangle_{H^1(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)', H^1(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)} + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \langle \partial_t u_\epsilon^M, \phi \rangle_{H^1(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)', H^1(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)}.$$

However, in our case, the time derivative $\partial_t u_\epsilon$ is a functional defined on the whole space $H_{\gamma, \epsilon}$, i.e. a space of functions defined on the whole domain Ω_ϵ , and it is not straightforward to restrict such functionals to $H^1(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)'$ and $H^1(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)'$.

3 A priori estimates

Lemma 3.1. *For all $\theta > 0$ there exists $C(\theta) > 0$ such that for all $v_\epsilon \in H^1(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)$, we have*

$$\|v_\epsilon\|_{L^2(N_\epsilon)} \leq \frac{C(\theta)}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \|v_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)} + \theta \sqrt{\epsilon} \|\nabla v_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)}. \quad (5)$$

This result is easily obtained by decomposing $\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M$ into microscopic cells ϵZ_k^* for $\bar{k} \in I_\epsilon$ together with a scaling argument and the usual trace estimate on ∂Z^* .

Theorem 3.2. *The weak solution u_ϵ of the microscopic problem (4) satisfies the following a priori estimate with a constant $C > 0$ independent of ϵ*

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L_\epsilon} + \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0, T), H_{\gamma, \epsilon})} + \|\partial_t u_\epsilon\|_{L^2((0, T), H'_{\gamma, \epsilon})} \leq C.$$

Proof. The proof is rather standard, however, we have to exhibit the explicit dependence on the scale parameter ϵ . Inserting u_ϵ as a test function in (4), for a.e. $t \in (0, T)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_t u_\epsilon, u_\epsilon \rangle_{H'_{\gamma, \epsilon}, H_{\gamma, \epsilon}} + \sum_{\pm} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} D^\pm \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \, dx + \epsilon^\gamma \int_{\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M} D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \nabla u_\epsilon^M \nabla u_\epsilon^M \, dx \\ &= \sum_{\pm} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} f^\pm u_\epsilon^\pm \, dx + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_{\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M} g_\epsilon u_\epsilon^M \, dx - \int_{N_\epsilon} h_\epsilon u_\epsilon^M \, dS(x) \leq \sum_{\pm} \|u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)}^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|u_\epsilon^M\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)}^2 + \|u_\epsilon^M\|_{L^2(N_\epsilon)}^2 + \sum_{\pm} \|f^\pm\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|g_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)}^2 + \|h_\epsilon\|_{L^2(N_\epsilon)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the assumption (A1) on the diffusion coefficients and the trace estimate (5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L_\epsilon}^2 + \sum_{\pm} \|\nabla u_\epsilon^\pm\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)}^2 + \epsilon^\gamma \|\nabla u_\epsilon^M\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)}^2 &\leq (1 + C(\theta)) \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L_\epsilon}^2 \\ + \theta \epsilon \|\nabla u_\epsilon^M\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)}^2 + \sum_{\pm} \|f^\pm\|_{L^2(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)}^2 + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \|g_\epsilon\|_{L^2(\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)}^2 + \|h_\epsilon\|_{L^2(N_\epsilon)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

For $\theta > 0$ sufficiently small, the gradient term on the right hand side can be absorbed on the left-hand side. Integrating with respect to time, using assumptions (A2)-(A4) and Gronwall's inequality, we obtain

$$\max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u_\epsilon(t)\|_{L_\epsilon} + \|u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;H_{\gamma,\epsilon})} \leq C.$$

The estimate for the norm $\|\partial_t u_\epsilon\|_{L^2(0,T;H'_{\gamma,\epsilon})}$ follows by testing (4) with $v \in H_{\gamma,\epsilon}$ with $\|v\|_{H_{\gamma,\epsilon}} \leq 1$ and using similar arguments as above. \square

4 Two-scale convergence for channels

Definition 4.1. (a) We say the sequence $v_\epsilon \in L^2((0,T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)$ converges in the two-scale sense to a limit function $v_0(t, \bar{x}, y) \in L^2((0,T) \times \Sigma \times Z^*)$, if we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} v_\epsilon(t, x) \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} v_0(t, \bar{x}, y) \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt,$$

for all $\psi \in L^2((0,T) \times \Sigma, C(\overline{Z^*}))$ and extended periodically with respect to \bar{y} with period Y .

(b) We say the sequence $v_\epsilon \in L^2((0,T) \times N_\epsilon)$ converges in the two-scale sense on N_ϵ to a limit function $v_0(t, \bar{x}, y) \in L^2((0,T) \times \Sigma \times N)$, if we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{N_\epsilon} v_\epsilon(t, x) \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dS(x) dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_N v_0(t, \bar{x}, y) \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dS(y) d\bar{x} dt,$$

for all $\psi \in L^2((0,T), C(\overline{\Sigma \times N}))$ and extended periodically with respect to \bar{y} with period Y .

The following Theorem 4.4 provides compactness results for two-scale convergence for channels and on channels' boundaries. To prove it, we use the following two lemmas. A similar result for bulk-domains can be found e.g. in [14, Theorem 2]. Our proof is based on the decomposition of Σ into ϵ -cells which is also used in the definition of the unfolding operator, see also [1, Lemma 4.3].

Lemma 4.2 (Oscillation-lemma for channels). *Let $\psi \in L^1((0, T) \times \Sigma, C(\overline{Z^*}))$ and extended periodically with respect to \bar{y} with period Y . Then $\psi(\cdot, \cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}) \in L^1((0, T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)$ with*

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon|Z|} \left\| \psi\left(\cdot, \cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^1((0,T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^1((0,T) \times \Sigma, C(\overline{Z^*}))} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Estimate (6) is straightforward. To prove (7), let us first consider $\psi \in C([0, T] \times \bar{\Sigma} \times \overline{Z^*})$. By similar arguments like in [1, Lemma 4.3], we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} \psi\left(t, \epsilon \left[\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}\right] + \epsilon \bar{y}, y\right) dy d\bar{x} dt,$$

where $[\cdot]$ denotes the Gauß bracket. Taking now the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, the assertion (7) follows by Lebesgue's theorem. For a general $\psi \in L^1((0, T) \times \Sigma, C(\overline{Z^*}))$, the estimate (7) follows by approximation due to (6). \square

Lemma 4.3 (Oscillation-lemma for channels' lateral boundaries). *Let $\psi \in L^1((0, T), C(\bar{\Sigma} \times \overline{N}))$ and extended periodically with respect to \bar{y} with period Y . Then $\psi(\cdot, \cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}) \in L^1((0, T) \times N_{\epsilon})$ with*

$$\frac{1}{|\Sigma||N|} \left\| \psi\left(\cdot, \cdot, \frac{\cdot}{\epsilon}\right) \right\|_{L^1((0,T) \times N_{\epsilon})} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^1((0,T), C(\bar{\Sigma} \times \overline{N}))} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{N_{\epsilon}} \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dS(x) dt = \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_N \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dS(y) d\bar{x} dt. \quad (9)$$

Proof. The proof follows the lines of Lemma 4.2 and is skipped here. We emphasize that for the measure of N_{ϵ} it holds $|N_{\epsilon}| = O(1)$, thus no scaling of integrals on N_{ϵ} is needed. \square

Theorem 4.4. (i) *Let v_{ϵ} be a sequence of functions in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)$ such that*

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \|v_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2((0,T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M)} \leq C. \quad (10)$$

Then there exists a function $u_0(t, \bar{x}, y) \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma \times Z^)$ such that, up to a sub-sequence, v_{ϵ} converges to v_0 in the two-scale sense.*

(ii) *Let v_{ϵ} be a sequence of functions in $L^2((0, T) \times N_{\epsilon})$ such that*

$$\|v_{\epsilon}\|_{L^2((0,T) \times N_{\epsilon})} \leq C. \quad (11)$$

Then there exists a function $v_0(t, \bar{x}, y) \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma \times N)$ such that, up to a sub-sequence, v_{ϵ} converges to v_0 in the two-scale sense on N_{ϵ} .

Proof. Using Lemma 4.2 and Lemma 4.3, this result can be proved analogously to [1, Proposition 4.2], see also [15, Theorem 1.2]. \square

5 Convergence results

In this section, based on the a priori estimates, we prove convergence results for the weak solutions of the microscopic problems in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. These results are the basis for the derivation of the homogenized model in the next section.

Proposition 5.1. *Let u_ϵ be the sequence of solutions of problem (4). Then, there exists $u_0^\pm \in L^2((0, T), H^1(\Omega^\pm))$ such that up to a subsequence*

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} u_\epsilon^\pm &\rightarrow u_0^\pm && \text{strongly in } L^2((0, T) \times \Omega^\pm), \\ u_\epsilon^\pm(\cdot, t, \cdot, \bar{x}, \pm\epsilon) &\rightarrow u_0^\pm|_\Sigma && \text{strongly in } L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma), \\ \chi_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm &\rightharpoonup \nabla u_0^\pm && \text{weakly in } L^2((0, T) \times \Omega^\pm). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. A similar result was proved in [1, Proposition 2.1 and 2.2] for time derivatives $\partial_t u_\epsilon^\pm$ in $L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon^\pm)$. In our case, we have that $\partial_t u_\epsilon^\pm$ are functionals on the spaces $H_0^1(\Omega_\epsilon^\pm)$. However, the methods from [1] can easily be extended to our setting and we skip the details. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Let u_ϵ be the sequence of solutions of problem (4). Then, there exist $u_0^M \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma)$ and $u_1^M \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma; H^1(Z^*)/\mathbb{R})$ such that up to a subsequence*

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon^M &\rightarrow u_0^M && \text{in the two-scale sense,} \\ \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \nabla u_\epsilon^M &\rightarrow \nabla_y u_1^M && \text{in the two-scale sense.} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, for $\gamma = -1$ it holds:

$$\nabla u_\epsilon^M \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in the two-scale sense.}$$

Proof. From the a priori estimates in Theorem 3.2 we have

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \|u_\epsilon^M\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)} + \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|\nabla u_\epsilon^M\|_{L^2((0, T) \times \Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M)} \leq C.$$

Thus, by Theorem 4.4, there exist functions $u_0^M \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma \times Z^*)$ and $\xi \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma \times Z^*)^n$ such that up to a subsequence

$$\begin{aligned} u_\epsilon^M &\rightarrow u_0^M && \text{in the two-scale sense,} \\ \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \nabla u_\epsilon^M &\rightarrow \xi && \text{in the two-scale sense.} \end{aligned}$$

Next, we show that u_0^M does not depend on $y \in Z^*$. Let $\psi(t, \bar{x}, y) \in C_0^\infty((0, T) \times \Sigma \times Z^*)^n$ and periodically extended with respect to \bar{y} with period Y . Since $\gamma < 1$, the two-scale convergence of $\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \nabla u_\epsilon^M$ yields

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*, \epsilon}^M} \nabla u_\epsilon^M(t, x) \cdot \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx dt = 0. \quad (12)$$

Integration by parts in (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} u_\epsilon^M(t, x) \left(\nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot \psi \left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) + \frac{1}{\epsilon} \nabla_y \cdot \psi \left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dx dt \\ &= -\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} u_0^M(t, \bar{x}, y) \nabla_y \cdot \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since Z^* is connected, we conclude that u_0^M does not depend on $y \in Z^*$.

To show that the limit ξ can be represented by the gradient of a function $u_1 \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma; H^1(Z^*)/\mathbb{R})$, we consider a test-function ψ as above, with the additional property that $\nabla_y \cdot \psi = 0$. Now integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} \nabla u_\epsilon^M(t, x) \cdot \psi \left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) dx dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} \epsilon^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} u_\epsilon^M(t, x) \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot \psi \left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) dx dt. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

By passing to the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (13), for $\gamma \in (-1, 1)$ we immediately obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} \xi(t, \bar{x}, y) \cdot \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt = 0. \quad (14)$$

For $\gamma = -1$ the factor $\epsilon^{\frac{\gamma+1}{2}} = 1$ in the right-hand side of (13) gives no contribution, thus a more refined argument is necessary. Passing to the limit in (13) leads to

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} \xi(t, \bar{x}, y) \cdot \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt = -\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} u_0(t, \bar{x}) \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot \int_{Z^*} \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt. \quad (15)$$

For the components ψ_i of the test-function ψ we have for $1 \leq i \leq n$:

$$\int_{Z^*} \psi_i(t, \bar{x}, y) dy = \int_{Z^*} \psi \cdot e_i dy = \int_{Z^*} \psi \cdot \nabla_y y_i dy = -\int_{Z^*} y_i \nabla_y \cdot \psi dy = 0.$$

It follows that (14) is satisfied also for $\gamma = -1$. From (14), by using similar arguments like e.g. in [16, Chapter IV, Lemma 1.4.1]), we conclude that for all $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$, there exists a function $u_1^M \in L^2((0, T) \times \Sigma; H^1(Z^*)/\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\xi(t, \bar{x}, y) = \nabla_y u_1^M(t, \bar{x}, y).$$

Now, let us show the second part of the theorem, namely that for $\gamma = -1$, ∇u_ϵ^M converges to zero in the two-scale sense, i.e., that $\nabla_y u_1^M(t, \bar{x}, y)$ is, in fact, 0. The idea is to test the weak formulation (4) by a suitable test-function and to pass to the limit for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Let $\alpha \in C_0^\infty(0, T)$, $\beta \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ and $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{Z}^*)$. We extend ϕ periodically with respect to \bar{y} with period Y . Furthermore, we extend $\phi|_{S_*^\pm}$ to a function $\tilde{\phi}^\pm \in W^{1,\infty}(S^\pm)$ and then periodically with respect to \bar{y} with period Y such that we obtain $\tilde{\phi}^\pm(\cdot, \pm 1) \in W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$. Since S_*^\pm is Lipschitz,

such an extension exists, see e.g., [17, Theorem 9.7]. Finally, let $\rho \in C^\infty([0, \infty))$ such that $0 \leq \rho \leq 1$, $\rho = 1$ in $[0, 1]$ and $\rho = 0$ in $[2, \infty)$. Now, we define ϕ_ϵ on $(0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon$ by

$$\phi_\epsilon(t, x) = \begin{cases} \epsilon \alpha(t) \beta(\bar{x}) \tilde{\phi}^+ \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, 1 \right) \rho \left(\frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right), & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon^+, \\ \epsilon \alpha(t) \beta(\bar{x}) \phi \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right), & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M, \\ \epsilon \alpha(t) \beta(\bar{x}) \tilde{\phi}^- \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, -1 \right) \rho \left(-\frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right), & (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \Omega_\epsilon^-. \end{cases}$$

By construction, $\phi_\epsilon \in L^2(0, T; H_{\gamma,\epsilon})$ and using it as a test-function in (4) yields:

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \partial_t u_\epsilon, \phi_\epsilon \rangle_{H'_{\gamma,\epsilon}, H_{\gamma,\epsilon}} + \sum_{\pm} \epsilon \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} D^\pm \alpha(t) \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \nabla \beta(\bar{x}) \tilde{\phi}^\pm \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, \pm 1 \right) \rho \left(\pm \frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right) dx \\ & + \sum_{\pm} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} D^\pm \alpha(t) \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \beta(\bar{x}) \nabla_y \tilde{\phi}^\pm \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, \pm 1 \right) \rho \left(\pm \frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right) dx \\ & + \sum_{\pm} \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} D^\pm \alpha(t) \nabla u_\epsilon^\pm \beta(\bar{x}) \tilde{\phi}^\pm \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, \pm 1 \right) \nabla_y \rho \left(\pm \frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right) dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \alpha(t) \nabla u_\epsilon^M \nabla \left(\beta(\bar{x}) \phi \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dx \\ & = \sum_{\pm} \epsilon \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^\pm} f^\pm(t, x) \alpha(t) \beta(\bar{x}) \tilde{\phi}^\pm \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, \pm 1 \right) \rho \left(\pm \frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right) dx \\ & + \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} g_\epsilon(t, x) \alpha(t) \beta(\bar{x}) \phi \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) dx - \epsilon \int_{N_\epsilon} h_\epsilon(t, x) \alpha(t) \beta(\bar{x}) \phi \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) dS(x). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

It turns out that after integration with respect to time in (16), in the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ all terms tend to zero, apart from the term

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} D^M \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \alpha(t) \nabla u_\epsilon^M \nabla \left(\beta(\bar{x}) \phi \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) \right) dx dt.$$

This follows from the convergence properties of the sequence u_ϵ from Proposition 5.1 and the first part of this theorem, from the assumptions (A2)-(A4) for the reaction rates and from the properties of the test-function ϕ_ϵ . Let us illustrate this in the following. For the term involving the time derivative, we have

$$\int_0^T \langle \partial_t u_\epsilon, \phi_\epsilon \rangle_{H'_{\gamma,\epsilon}, H_{\gamma,\epsilon}} dt = - \int_0^T (\partial_t \phi_\epsilon, u_\epsilon)_{L_\epsilon} dt$$

and the right-hand side converges to zero due to the convergence properties of the sequence u_ϵ . Terms involving ρ can be estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_\epsilon^+} D^+ \alpha(t) \frac{\partial u_\epsilon^+}{\partial x_n} \beta(\bar{x}) \tilde{\phi}^+ \left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}, 1 \right) \rho' \left(\frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right) dx dt \right| \\ & \leq C \left\| \frac{\partial u_\epsilon^+}{\partial x_n} \right\|_{L^2(0,T;L^2(\Omega_\epsilon^+))} \left[\int_\epsilon^{2\epsilon} \left| \rho' \left(\frac{x_n}{\epsilon} \right) \right|^2 dx_n \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C \sqrt{\epsilon} \left[\int_1^2 |\rho'(\lambda)|^2 d\lambda \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, also this term converges to zero. Thus, taking the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ in (16) yields

$$\int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} D^M(y) \alpha(t) \beta(\bar{x}) \nabla_y \phi(y) \nabla_y u_1^M(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt = 0, \quad (17)$$

for all $(\alpha, \beta, \phi) \in C_0^\infty(0, T) \times C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \times C^\infty(\overline{Z^*})$. Thus, we have

$$\int_{Z^*} D^M(y) \nabla_y \phi(y) \nabla_y u_1^M(t, \bar{x}, y) dy = 0, \text{ for a.e. } (t, \bar{x}) \in (0, T) \times \Sigma. \quad (18)$$

By a density argument, we can insert $\phi = u_1^M(t, \bar{x}, \cdot)$ in (18), which concludes the proof. \square

In the last theorem of this section, we establish relations across the interface Σ between the limit u_0^M in the channels and the limits u_0^\pm in the bulk-domains. These relations contribute to the transmission conditions in the homogenized model.

Theorem 5.3. *Let $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$. The following continuity relations across Σ hold between the limit functions u_0^\pm from Proposition 5.1 and u_0^M from Theorem 5.2:*

$$u_0^M(t, \bar{x}) = u_0^\pm(t, \bar{x}, 0) \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, \bar{x}) \in (0, T) \times \Sigma, \quad (19)$$

especially, we have $u_0^+|_{\Sigma}(t, \bar{x}) = u_0^-|_{\Sigma}(t, \bar{x})$ a.e. on $(0, T) \times \Sigma$.

Proof. Let $\psi(t, \bar{x}, y) \in C^\infty((0, T) \times \Sigma \times Z^*)^n$ such that $\psi(t, \bar{x}, \cdot)$ has compact support in $Z^* \cup S_*^+ \cup S_*^-$ and extend this function by zero to Z and then Y -periodically with respect to \bar{y} . As in (12), we have

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} \nabla u_\epsilon^M(t, x) \cdot \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) dx dt = 0. \quad (20)$$

Integrating by parts and using the continuity of the microscopic solution on $S_{*,\epsilon}^\pm$, the two-scale convergence of u_ϵ^M and the strong convergence of $u_\epsilon^\pm(\cdot, \bar{x}, \pm\epsilon)$ which implies the two-scale convergence of $u_\epsilon^\pm(\cdot, \bar{x}, \pm\epsilon)$ on S_ϵ^\pm , we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M} u_\epsilon^M(t, x) \left(\epsilon \nabla_{\bar{x}} \cdot \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) + \nabla_y \cdot \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \right) dx dt \\ &\quad - \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum_{\pm} \int_0^T \int_{S_{*,\epsilon}^\pm} u_\epsilon^\pm(t, x) \psi\left(t, \bar{x}, \frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) \cdot \nu dx dt \\ &= - \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} u_0^M(t, \bar{x}) \nabla_y \cdot \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) dy d\bar{x} dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{\pm} \int_0^T \int_{\Sigma} \int_{S_*^\pm} u_0^\pm(t, \bar{x}, 0) \psi(t, \bar{x}, y) \cdot \nu dy d\bar{x} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the integration by parts and taking into account that u_0^M does not depend on y gives the desired result. \square

6 Derivation of the macroscopic model

For the study of the macroscopic problem, we consider the space L_*^2 given by

$$L_*^2 := L^2(\Omega^+) \times L^2(\Sigma) \times L^2(\Omega^-)$$

together with the inner product

$$(u_0, v_0)_{L_*^2} = \int_{\Omega^+} u_0^+ v_0^+ dx + |Z^*| \int_{\Sigma} u_0|_{\Sigma} v_0|_{\Sigma} d\bar{x} + \int_{\Omega^-} u_0^- v_0^- dx,$$

and we use the following Gelfand-triple

$$H^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L_*^2 \hookrightarrow H^1(\Omega)'. \quad (21)$$

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$. The limit function $u_0 = (u_0^+, u_0^M, u_0^-)$ from Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 satisfies $u_0 \in L^2((0, T), H^1(\Omega)) \cap H^1((0, T), H^1(\Omega)')$ with respect to the Gelfand-triple (21), and is the unique weak solution of the following problem:*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_0^\pm - D^\pm \Delta u_0^\pm &= f^\pm && \text{in } (0, T) \times \Omega^\pm, \\ -D^\pm \nabla u_0^\pm \cdot \nu^\pm &= 0 && \text{on } (0, T) \times (\partial\Omega^\pm \setminus \Sigma), \\ u_0^- &= u_0^+ = u_0^M && \text{on } (0, T) \times \Sigma, \\ [D\nabla u_0 \cdot \nu]_\Sigma &= -|Z^*| \partial_t u_0^M + \int_{Z^*} g_0(\cdot_t, \cdot_{\bar{x}}, y) dy - \int_N h_0(\cdot_t, \cdot_{\bar{x}}, y) dS(y) && \text{on } (0, T) \times \Sigma, \\ u_0^\pm(0) &= u_i^\pm && \text{in } \Omega^\pm, \\ u_0^M(0) &= \frac{1}{|Z^*|} \int_{Z^*} u_i^M(\cdot_{\bar{x}}, y) dy && \text{on } \Sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where $[D\nabla u_0 \cdot \nu]_\Sigma := (D^+ \nabla u_0^+ - D^- \nabla u_0^-) \cdot \nu^+$ denotes the jump in the normal flux of the homogenized solution u_0 at the interface Σ .

Proof. Let $\psi \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \bar{\Omega})$ and consider as a test-function in (4)

$$\psi_\epsilon(t, x) = \begin{cases} \psi(t, \bar{x}, \frac{H}{H-\epsilon}(x_n \mp \epsilon)), & x \in \Omega_\epsilon^\pm, \\ \psi(t, \bar{x}, 0), & x \in \Omega_{*,\epsilon}^M. \end{cases}$$

Integration with respect to time, integration by parts in time, Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 imply for $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ the variational equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\pm} \left\{ - \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega^\pm} u_0^\pm \partial_t \psi^\pm dx dt + \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega^\pm} D^\pm \nabla u_0^\pm \nabla \psi^\pm dx dt \right\} \\ & - |Z^*| \int_{(0,T) \times \Sigma} u_0^M \partial_t \psi|_{\Sigma} d\bar{x} dt \\ & = \sum_{\pm} \left\{ \int_{(0,T) \times \Omega^\pm} f^\pm \psi^\pm dx dt + \int_{\Omega^\pm} u_i^\pm \psi^\pm(0) dx \right\} \\ & + \int_{(0,T) \times \Sigma} \int_{Z^*} g_0(t, \bar{x}, y) dy \psi|_{\Sigma}(t, \bar{x}) d\bar{x} dt \\ & - \int_{(0,T) \times \Sigma} \int_N h_0(t, \bar{x}, y) dS(y) \psi|_{\Sigma}(t, \bar{x}) d\bar{x} dt + \int_{\Sigma} \int_{Z^*} u_i^M(\bar{x}, y) dy \psi|_{\Sigma}(0, \bar{x}) d\bar{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

for all $\psi \in C_0^\infty([0, T) \times \overline{\Omega})$. From (22) it follows that $\partial_t u_0 \in L^2((0, T), H^1(\Omega)')$ with respect to the Gelfand-triple (21) and thus, (22) together with the continuity relation (19) is precisely the weak formulation of the macroscopic problem from the Theorem. Especially, it follows that $u_0(0) = \left(u_i^+, \frac{1}{|Z^*|} \int_{Z^*} u_i^M(\cdot, \bar{x}, y) dy, u_i^-\right)$. The uniqueness of the weak solution follows by standard arguments. \square

Remark 2. We remark that the homogenized model does not depend on the parameter $\gamma \in [-1, 1)$. This is different from the result in [2], where a thin layer with a heterogeneous structure is considered, with coefficients uniformly elliptic within the whole layer. There, different results were obtained for $\gamma = -1$ and $\gamma \in (-1, 1)$. The result in the present paper is similar to the result obtained in [2] for $\gamma \in (-1, 1)$. The case $\gamma = -1$ turned out to be a critical case in [2], where a reaction-diffusion equation on Σ in form of a dynamic Wentzell interface condition is obtained. The appearance of such an interface condition in the case of a thin layer perforated by channels is hindered by the disconnected channels which don't allow a macroscopic diffusion within the interface Σ .

Acknowledgements

AB acknowledges the support by the RTG 2339 IntComSin of the German Research Foundation (DFG). MG was supported by the SCIDATOS project, funded by the Klaus Tschira Foundation (Grant number 00.0277.2015), and the Odysseus program of the Research Foundation - Flanders FWO (Project-Nr. G0G1316N). Parts of the paper have been created during a research stay of AB at the Hasselt University.

References

- [1] Neuss-Radu M, Jäger W. Effective transmission conditions for reaction-diffusion processes in domains separated by an interface. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 2007; 39, no. 3: 687–720.
- [2] Gahn M, Neuss-Radu M, Knabner P. Derivation of effective transmission conditions for domains separated by a membrane for different scaling of membrane diffusivity. *DCDS* 2017; 10, no 4: 773–797.
- [3] Gahn M, Neuss-Radu M, Knabner P. Effective interface conditions for processes through thin heterogeneous layers with nonlinear transmission at the bulk-layer interface. *Networks and Heterogeneous Media*, 2018; 13, no 4: 609–640.
- [4] Gahn M, Neuss-Radu M. Singular limit for reactive diffusive transport through an array of thin channels in case of critical diffusivity. In preparation.
- [5] Sanchez-Palencia E. Boundary value problems in domains containing perforated walls. *Nonlinear partial differential equations and their applications. Res. Notes in Math.* 1982; 70:309-325.
- [6] Del Vecchio T. The thick Neumann's sieve. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* 1987; 147:363-402.

- [7] Shaposhnikova TA. Homogenization of the Neumann Problem in a domain a part of which is a set of channels. *Differential Equations* 2001; 37, no. 9:1315-1323.
- [8] Yablokov VV. On the problem of homogenization of solutions of second-order elliptic equations in a domain, a part of which is a union of thin cylinders. *Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.* 2004; 59, no. 2: 15–22.
- [9] Onofrei D. The unfolding operator near a hyperplane and its applications to the Neumann sieve model. *Advances in Mathematical Sciences and Applications* 2006; 16, no. 1: 239-258.
- [10] Amirat Y, Bodart O, Chechkin GA, Piatnitski AL. Asymptotics of a spectral-sieve problem. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2016; 435, no. 2: 1652–1671.
- [11] Gadyl'shin RR, Piatnitski AL, Chechkin GA. On the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalues of a boundary-value problem in a planar domain of Steklov sieve type. *Izv. Math.* 2018; 82, no. 6: 1108–1135.
- [12] Gahn M. Homogenisierung von Reaktions-Diffusionsgleichungen in Gebieten, die durch ein Netzwerk von Kanälen getrennt sind. Diploma-Thesis, University of Heidelberg, 2012.
- [13] Neuss-Radu M, Jäger W. Homogenization of thin porous layers and applications to ion transport through channels of biological membranes. *Oberwolfach Reports* 2005; 49:2809–2812.
- [14] Lukkassen D, Nguetseng G, Wall P. Two-scale convergence. *International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics* 2002; 2:33–81.
- [15] Allaire G. Homogenization and two-scale convergence. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* 1992; 23:1482-1518.
- [16] Sohr H. The Navier-Stokes equations, an elementary functional analytic approach. Springer; 2001.
- [17] Brezis H. Functional analysis, Sobolev spaces and partial differential equations. Universitext, Springer; 2011.