

Liouville-type theorems for sign-changing solutions to nonlocal elliptic inequalities and systems with variable-exponent nonlinearities

Ahmad Z. Fino^a, Mohamed Jleli^b, Bessem Samet^b

^aDepartment of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Lebanese University, P.O. Box 1352, Tripoli, Lebanon

^bDepartment of Mathematics, College of Science, King Saud University, P.O. Box 2455, Riyadh, 11451, Saudia Arabia

Abstract

We consider the fractional elliptic inequality with variable-exponent nonlinearity

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u + \lambda \Delta u \geq |u|^{p(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N \geq 1$, $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant, $p : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ is a measurable function, and $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ is the fractional Laplacian operator of order $\frac{\alpha}{2}$. A Liouville-type theorem is established for the considered problem. Namely, we obtain sufficient conditions under which the only weak solution is the trivial one. Next, we extend our study to systems of fractional elliptic inequalities with variable-exponent nonlinearities. Besides the consideration of variable-exponent nonlinearities, the novelty of this work consists in investigating sign-changing solutions to the considered problems. Namely, to the best of our knowledge, only nonexistence results of positive solutions to fractional elliptic problems were investigated previously. Our approach is based on the nonlinear capacity method combined with a pointwise estimate of the fractional Laplacian of some test functions, which was derived by Fujiwara (2018) (see also Dao and Reissig (2019)). Note that the standard nonlinear capacity method cannot be applied to the considered problems due to the change of sign of solutions.

Keywords: Liouville-type results; sign-changing weak solutions; fractional elliptic inequalities; variable-exponent nonlinearities.

2010 MSC: 35R11, 35B53, 35B33.

1. Introduction

The goal of this paper is to study the nonexistence of nontrivial sign-changing solutions to a class of fractional elliptic inequalities and systems with variable-exponent nonlinearities, namely

$$(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u + \lambda \Delta u \geq |u|^{p(x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u + \lambda \Delta u \geq |v|^{q(x)}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v + \mu \Delta v \geq |u|^{p(x)}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $N \geq 1$, $\alpha, \beta \in (0, 2)$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ are constants, $p, q : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ are measurable functions, and $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}}$, $\kappa \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$, is the fractional Laplacian operator of order $\frac{\kappa}{2}$. We mention below some motivations for studying problems of types (1.1) and (1.2).

Email addresses: ahmad.fino01@gmail.com; a.fino@ul.edu.lb (Ahmad Z. Fino), jleli@ksu.edu.sa (Mohamed Jleli), bsamet@ksu.edu.sa (Bessem Samet)

In [16], Gidas and Spruck considered the corresponding equation to (1.1) with $\alpha = 2$, $\lambda = 0$, $p(\cdot) \equiv p$ and $u \geq 0$, namely

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &= u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

It was shown that,

- (a) if $N \geq 3$ and $1 < p < \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, then (1.3) admits no positive classical solution;
- (b) if $N \geq 3$ and $p \geq \frac{N+2}{N-2}$, then (1.3) admits positive classical solutions.

Consider the corresponding system of equations to (1.2) with $\alpha = \beta = 2$, $\lambda = \mu = 0$, $p(\cdot) \equiv p > 0$, $q(\cdot) \equiv q > 0$ and $u, v \geq 0$, namely the Lane-Emden system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &= v^q & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v &= u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where $N \geq 3$. The famous Lane-Emden conjecture states that, if

$$\frac{1}{p+1} + \frac{1}{q+1} > 1 - \frac{2}{N},$$

then (1.4) admits no positive classical solution. This conjecture was proved only in the cases $N \in \{3, 4\}$ (see [26, 28, 30]).

In the case $\alpha = 2$, $\lambda = 0$, $p(\cdot) \equiv p > 1$ and $u \geq 0$, (1.1) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &\geq u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Ni and Serrin [25] investigated the radial case of (1.5). Namely, it was shown that, if $N \geq 3$ and $1 < p \leq \frac{N}{N-2}$, then (1.5) has no positive radial solution such that $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} u(|x|) = 0$.

Mitidieri and Pohozaev [24] studied sign-changing solutions to the differential inequality

$$-\Delta u \geq |u|^p \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \quad (1.6)$$

In the case $N \geq 3$, it was shown that, if

$$1 < p \leq \frac{N}{N-2}, \quad (1.7)$$

then (1.6) has no nontrivial weak solution. Note that (1.7) is sharp, in the sense that, if $N \geq 3$ and $p > \frac{N}{N-2}$, then (1.6) admits positive classical solutions. Indeed, one can check easily that in this case,

$$u(x) = \epsilon \left(1 + |x|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{1-p}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

is a positive solution to (1.6) for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

Mitidieri and Pohozaev [24] studied also the corresponding system to (1.6), namely

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u &\geq |v|^q, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \\ -\Delta v &\geq |u|^p, & x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.8)$$

It was shown that, if

$$N \leq \max \left\{ \frac{2q(p+1)}{pq-1}, \frac{2p(q+1)}{pq-1} \right\}, \quad (1.9)$$

then (1.8) admits no nontrivial weak solution. Moreover, condition (1.9) is sharp, in the sense that, if $N > \max \left\{ \frac{2q(p+1)}{pq-1}, \frac{2p(q+1)}{pq-1} \right\}$, then (1.8) admits positive classical solutions ($u, v > 0$). Indeed, it can be easily seen that in this case,

$$(u(x), v(x)) = \left(\epsilon \left(1 + |x|^2 \right)^{\frac{q+1}{1-pq}}, \epsilon \left(1 + |x|^2 \right)^{\frac{p+1}{1-pq}} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

is a positive solution to (1.8) for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$.

A large class of differential inequalities and systems generalizing (1.6) and (1.8) was systematically investigated by Mitidieri and Pohozaev (see e.g. [21, 22, 23, 24]), who developed the nonlinear capacity method. Next, this approach was used by many authors in the study of different types of problems (see e.g. [1, 5, 9, 13, 14, 31]).

Nonlocal operators have been receiving increased attention in recent years due to their usefulness in modeling complex systems with long-range interactions or memory effects, which cannot be described properly via standard differential operators. In particular, the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, has been used to describe anomalous diffusion [20], turbulent flows [17], stochastic dynamics [2, 6], finance [7], and many other phenomena. Due to the above facts, the study of mathematical problems involving the fractional Laplacian operator has attracted significant attention recently. In particular, many interesting results related to Liouville-type theorems for nonlocal elliptic problems have been obtained.

To overcome the difficulty caused by the nonlocal property of the fractional Laplacian operator, Caffarelli and Silvestre [4] introduced an extension method which consists of localizing the fractional Laplacian by constructing a Dirichlet to Neumann operator of a degenerate elliptic equation. Using the mentioned approach, Brandle et al. [3] established a nonexistence result for the fractional version of (1.3), namely

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u &= u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

It was shown that, if $1 \leq \alpha < 2$, $N \geq 2$ and $1 < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-\alpha}$, then (1.10) has no nontrivial bounded solution.

In [33], Zhuo et al. investigated (1.10) using an equivalent integral representation to (1.10). They obtained the same result as in [3] but under weaker conditions. Namely, they proved that, if $0 < \alpha < 2$, $N \geq 2$ and $1 < p < \frac{N+\alpha}{N-\alpha}$, then (1.10) has no nontrivial locally bounded solution.

In [27], Quaas and Xia studied the fractional Lane-Emden system

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u &= v^q & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} v &= u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where $0 < \alpha < 2$, $N > \alpha$ and $p, q > 0$. Using the method of moving planes, it was shown that, if $pq > 1$,

$$\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \left[\frac{N-\alpha}{2}, N-\alpha \right) \quad \text{and} \quad (\beta_1, \beta_2) \neq \left(\frac{N-\alpha}{2}, \frac{N-\alpha}{2} \right),$$

where $\beta_1 = \frac{\alpha(q+1)}{pq-1}$ and $\beta_2 = \frac{\alpha(p+1)}{pq-1}$, then for some $\sigma > 0$, there exists no positive solution to (1.11) in $X_{\alpha, \sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, where

$$X_{\alpha, \sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \begin{cases} C^{\alpha+\sigma}(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{if } 0 < \alpha < 1, \\ C^{1, \alpha+\sigma-1}(\mathbb{R}^N) & \text{if } 1 \leq \alpha < 2. \end{cases}$$

In the case $\lambda = 0$, $p(\cdot) \equiv p$ and $u \geq 0$, (1.1) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u &\geq u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u &\geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

Using the extension method [4], Wang and Xiao [32] proved the following results for (1.12): Let $p > 1$, $0 < \alpha < 2$ and $N \geq 1$. Then

- (a) if $N \leq \alpha$, then (1.12) has no nontrivial weak solution;

(b) if $N > \alpha$, then (1.12) has no nontrivial weak solution when and only when $p \leq \frac{N}{N-\alpha}$.

In the special case $\lambda = \mu = 0$, $p(\cdot) \equiv p$, $q(\cdot) \equiv q$ and $u, v \geq 0$, (1.2) reduces to

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u & \geq v^q & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} v & \geq u^p & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ u & \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \\ v & \geq 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

Using the nonlinear capacity method and Ju's inequality [18], Dahmani et al. [8] proved that, if $0 < \alpha, \beta < 2$, $p, q > 1$ and

$$N < \max \left\{ \beta + \frac{\alpha}{q}, \alpha + \frac{\beta}{p} \right\} \frac{pq}{pq-1}, \quad (1.14)$$

then (1.13) has no nontrivial weak solution. Observe that in the case $p = q > 1$, $0 < \alpha = \beta < 2$, $N > \alpha$ and $u = v \geq 0$, (1.14) reduces to $p < \frac{N}{N-\alpha}$, which is the sufficient condition for the nonexistence of nontrivial weak solution to (1.12) obtained in the statement (b) (without the limit case $p = \frac{N}{N-\alpha}$).

In all the above mentioned results, the positivity of solutions is essential. In particular, the standard nonlinear capacity method used in [8] cannot be applied to (1.1) and (1.2), where solutions can change sign. Namely, the main difficulty consists in constructing a function $\theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\theta \geq 0$, so that

$$|(-\Delta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \theta^\ell(x)| \leq C \theta^{\ell-1}(x) |(-\Delta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \theta(x)|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\kappa \in (0, 2)$, $\ell > 1$ and $C > 0$ is a constant (independent on x).

The originality of this work resides in considering sign-changing solutions to fractional elliptic inequalities and systems, as well as variable exponents. As in [8], we use the nonlinear capacity method, but with a different choice of the test function, allowing us to treat the sign-changing solutions case. This choice is motivated by the recent work of Dao and Reissig [10], where a blow-up result for semi-linear structurally damped σ -evolution equations was derived.

Before stating our main results, we recall some basic notions related to the fractional Laplacian operator and Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents, and define weak solutions to (1.1) and (1.2). For more details about these notions, we refer to [11, 12, 19, 29] and the references therein.

Let $s \in (0, 1)$. The fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^s$ is defined as

$$(-\Delta)^s f(x) = C_{N,s} P.V. \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(x) - f(y)}{|x - y|^{N+2s}} dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (1.15)$$

where f belongs to a suitable set of functions, $P.V.$ stands for Cauchy's principal value, and $C_{N,s} > 0$ is a normalization constant that depends only on N and s .

Let $p : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ be a measurable function such that

$$1 < p^- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} p(x) \leq p(x) \leq p^+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} p(x) < \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ a.e.} \quad (1.16)$$

The variable exponent Lebesgue space $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N) = \left\{ f : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is measurable, } \varrho_{p(\cdot)}(\lambda f) < \infty \text{ for some } \lambda > 0 \right\},$$

where the modular $\varrho_{p(\cdot)}$ is defined by

$$\varrho_{p(\cdot)}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx,$$

with a Luxemburg-type norm

$$\|f\|_{p(\cdot)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \varrho_{p(\cdot)} \left(\frac{f}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}, \quad f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Equipped with this norm, $L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a Banach space. Moreover, one has

$$\min \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{p(\cdot)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \mathcal{Q}_{p(\cdot)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\} \leq \|f\|_{p(\cdot)} \leq \max \left\{ \mathcal{Q}_{p(\cdot)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \mathcal{Q}_{p(\cdot)}(f)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right\}, \quad f \in L^{p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N). \quad (1.17)$$

Problem (1.1) is investigated under the following assumptions: $N \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha < 2$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $p : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ is a measurable function satisfying (1.16).

Definition 1 (Weak solution for (1.1)). *We say that $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution to (1.1) if*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) \Delta \varphi(x) dx,$$

for all $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\varphi \geq 0$.

Problem (1.2) is investigated under the following assumptions: $N \geq 1$, $0 < \alpha, \beta < 2$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$, and $p, q : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (1, \infty)$ are measurable functions satisfying respectively (1.16) and

$$1 < q^- := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} q(x) \leq q(x) \leq q^+ := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^N} q(x) < \infty, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ a.e.}$$

Definition 2 (Weak solution for (1.2)). *We say that*

$$(u, v) \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

is a weak solution to (1.2) if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)|^{q(x)} \varphi(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u(x) \Delta \varphi(x) dx \quad (1.18)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(x) (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi(x) dx + \mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} v(x) \Delta \varphi(x) dx, \quad (1.19)$$

for all $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\varphi \geq 0$.

Now, we are ready to state the main results of this paper.

Theorem 1. *If*

$$1 < p^- \leq p^+ < p^*(N), \quad (1.20)$$

where

$$p^*(N) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if } N \leq \alpha, \\ \frac{N}{N-\alpha} & \text{if } N > \alpha, \end{cases}$$

then the only weak solution to (1.1) is the trivial one.

Remark 1. When $N > \alpha$, it is still an open question whether or not (1.1) admits nontrivial sign-changing weak solutions in the following cases:

- (a) $1 < p^- \leq p^*(N) \leq p^+$;
- (b) $1 < p^*(N) < p^-$.

Note that in the case $\lambda = 0$ and $p(\cdot) \equiv p$ (i.e. $p^- = p^+ = p$), (a) reduces to

$$(a') \quad p = p^*(N),$$

while (b) reduces to

$$(b') \quad p > p^*(N).$$

From [32, Theorem 1.1 (ii)], in the case (a'), the only nonnegative weak solution to this special case of (1.1) is the trivial one; while in the case (b'), positive strong solutions exist.

Remark 2. (i) The exponent $p^*(N)$ depends only on the dimension and the lower order power of $(-\Delta)$, i.e. on α .
(ii) In the case $\alpha = 2$, $p^*(N)$ is the critical exponent for problem (1.6).

Theorem 2. *If*

$$N < \frac{p^+ q^+}{p^+ q^+ - 1} \max \left\{ \beta + \frac{\alpha}{q^+}, \alpha + \frac{\beta}{p^+} \right\}, \quad (1.21)$$

then the only weak solution to (1.2) is the trivial one, i.e. $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$.

Remark 3. (i) In the case $\alpha = \beta = 2$, $p(\cdot) \equiv p$ and $q(\cdot) \equiv q$, (1.21) reduces to (1.9) (with strict inequality), which is the obtained condition in [24], under which (1.8) admits no nontrivial weak solution.
(ii) In the case $p(\cdot) \equiv p$ and $q(\cdot) \equiv q$, (1.21) reduces to (1.14), which is the obtained condition in [8], under which (1.13) has no positive weak solution.

The proofs of our main results are given in the next section.

2. Proofs

In this section, we give the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. We shall use the nonlinear capacity method combined with the following pointwise estimate (see Fujiwara [15] and Dao and Reissig [10]).

Lemma 1. *Let*

$$\langle x \rangle := (1 + |x|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let $s \in (0, 1]$ and $\theta : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ be the function defined by

$$\theta(x) = \langle x \rangle^{-\rho}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2.22)$$

where $N < \rho \leq N + 2s$. Then $\theta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, and the following estimate holds:

$$|(-\Delta)^s \theta(x)| \leq C \theta(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (2.23)$$

where $C > 0$ is a constant (independent of x).

Proof of Theorem 1. Let $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be a weak solution to (1.1). By Definition 1, for all $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, one has

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx + |\lambda| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| |\Delta \varphi(x)| dx. \quad (2.24)$$

On the other hand, for all $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ a.e, writing

$$|u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| = \left[(\epsilon p(x) \varphi(x))^{\frac{1}{p(x)}} |u(x)| \right] \left[(\epsilon p(x) \varphi(x))^{\frac{-1}{p(x)}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| \right]$$

and using Young's inequality, it holds that

$$|u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| \leq \epsilon |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) + \epsilon^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \left(\frac{p(x)-1}{p(x)} \right) p(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \varphi(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}}.$$

Next, using (1.16), one obtains

$$|u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| \leq \epsilon |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) + \epsilon^{\frac{-1}{p^+-1}} (p^+)^{\frac{-1}{p^+-1}} \varphi(x)^{\frac{-1}{p^+-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p(x)}{p^+-1}},$$

which yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} dx. \quad (2.25)$$

Throughout, C denotes a positive constant, whose value may change from line to line. Similarly, one obtains

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| |\Delta \varphi(x)| dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) dx + C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} |\Delta \varphi(x)|^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} dx. \quad (2.26)$$

Next, taking

$$0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{1 + |\lambda|},$$

it follows from (2.24), (2.25) and (2.26) that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) dx \leq C (I_1(\varphi) + |\lambda| I_2(\varphi)), \quad (2.27)$$

where

$$I_1(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} dx \quad \text{and} \quad I_2(\varphi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x)^{\frac{-1}{p(x)-1}} |\Delta \varphi(x)|^{\frac{p(x)}{p(x)-1}} dx.$$

Now, for $R > 1$, we take

$$\varphi(x) = \theta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where θ is the function defined by (2.22) with $\rho = N + \alpha$. Using (1.15), the change of variable $x = Ry$, and [10, Lemma 2.4], one obtains

$$I_1(\varphi) = R^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^{\frac{-\alpha p(Ry)}{p(Ry)-1}} \theta(y)^{\frac{-1}{p(Ry)-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta(y) \right|^{\frac{p(Ry)}{p(Ry)-1}} dy \quad \text{and} \quad I_2(\varphi) = R^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^{\frac{-2p(Ry)}{p(Ry)-1}} \theta(y)^{\frac{-1}{p(Ry)-1}} |\Delta \theta(y)|^{\frac{p(Ry)}{p(Ry)-1}} dy.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 1, one has

$$\left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \theta(y) \right| \leq C \theta(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $\kappa \in \{\alpha, 2\}$. Hence, one deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\varphi) &\leq CR^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} R^{\frac{-\alpha p(Ry)}{p(Ry)-1}} \theta(y) dy \\ &\leq CR^N R^{\frac{-\alpha p^+}{p^+-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \theta(y) dy \\ &= CR^{N - \frac{\alpha p^+}{p^+-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

Similarly, one has

$$I_2(\varphi) \leq CR^{N - \frac{2p^+}{p^+-1}}. \quad (2.29)$$

Therefore, using (2.27), one deduces that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi_R(x) dx \leq C \left(R^{N - \frac{\alpha p^+}{p^+-1}} + |\lambda| R^{N - \frac{2p^+}{p^+-1}} \right), \quad R > 1.$$

Finally, passing to the infimum limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, using Fatou's Lemma and (1.20), one obtains

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx = 0,$$

which implies by (1.17) that $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = 0$, i.e. u is the trivial solution. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Let

$$(u, v) \in (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2p(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N)) \times (L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^{2q(\cdot)}(\mathbb{R}^N))$$

be a weak solution to (1.2). By (1.18) and (1.19), for all $\varphi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\varphi \geq 0$, one has

$$Y \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx + |\lambda| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| |\Delta \varphi(x)| dx \quad (2.30)$$

and

$$X \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx + |\mu| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)| |\Delta \varphi(x)| dx, \quad (2.31)$$

where

$$X := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} \varphi(x) dx \quad \text{and} \quad Y := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)|^{q(x)} \varphi(x) dx.$$

On the other hand, using Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx &= \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| < 1\}} |u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx + \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq 1\}} |u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| < 1\}} |u(x)|^{p^+} \varphi(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \left(\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| < 1\}} \varphi(x)^{-\frac{1}{p^+-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p^+}{p^+-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^+-1}{p^+}} \\ &\quad + \left(\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq 1\}} |u(x)|^{p^-} \varphi(x) dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \left(\int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |u(x)| \geq 1\}} \varphi(x)^{-\frac{1}{p^--1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p^-}{p^--1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^--1}{p^-}} \\ &\leq X^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x)^{-\frac{1}{p^+-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p^+}{p^+-1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^+-1}{p^+}} + X^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(x)^{-\frac{1}{p^--1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right|^{\frac{p^-}{p^--1}} dx \right)^{\frac{p^--1}{p^-}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx \leq [F(\alpha, p^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^+-1}{p^+}} X^{\frac{1}{p^+}} + [F(\alpha, p^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^--1}{p^-}} X^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \quad (2.32)$$

where

$$F(\kappa, r, \psi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \psi(x)^{-\frac{1}{r-1}} \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \psi(x) \right|^{\frac{r}{r-1}} dx,$$

for all $\kappa \in (0, 2]$, $r > 1$ and $\psi \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $\psi \geq 0$. Similarly, one obtains

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)| |\Delta \varphi(x)| dx \leq [F(2, p^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^+-1}{p^+}} X^{\frac{1}{p^+}} + [F(2, p^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^--1}{p^-}} X^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \quad (2.33)$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)| \left| (-\Delta)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \varphi(x) \right| dx \leq [F(\beta, q^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^+-1}{q^+}} Y^{\frac{1}{q^+}} + [F(\beta, q^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^--1}{q^-}} Y^{\frac{1}{q^-}}, \quad (2.34)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)| |\Delta \varphi(x)| dx \leq [F(2, q^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^+-1}{q^+}} Y^{\frac{1}{q^+}} + [F(2, q^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^--1}{q^-}} Y^{\frac{1}{q^-}}. \quad (2.35)$$

Next, it follows from (2.30)–(2.35) that

$$\begin{cases} X &\leq A(\varphi) Y^{\frac{1}{q^+}} + B(\varphi) Y^{\frac{1}{q^-}}, \\ Y &\leq \overline{A}(\varphi) X^{\frac{1}{p^+}} + \overline{B}(\varphi) X^{\frac{1}{p^-}}, \end{cases} \quad (2.36)$$

where

$$A(\varphi) := [F(\beta, q^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^+-1}{q^+}} + |\mu| [F(2, q^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^+-1}{q^+}}, \quad B(\varphi) := [F(\beta, q^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^--1}{q^-}} + |\mu| [F(2, q^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{q^--1}{q^-}}$$

and

$$\overline{A}(\varphi) := [F(\alpha, p^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^+-1}{p^+}} + |\lambda| [F(2, p^+, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^+-1}{p^+}}, \quad \overline{B}(\varphi) := [F(\alpha, p^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^--1}{p^-}} + |\lambda| [F(2, p^-, \varphi)]^{\frac{p^--1}{p^-}}.$$

Thanks to the inequality

$$(a + b)^m \leq 2^m(a^m + b^m), \quad a, b \geq 0, m > 0,$$

from (2.36), one deduces that

$$Y^r \leq C \left(\overline{A(\varphi)}^r X^{\frac{r}{p^+}} + \overline{B(\varphi)}^r X^{\frac{r}{p^-}} \right), \quad r^{-1} \in \{q^+, q^-\},$$

which yields

$$X \leq C \left(A(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} X^{\frac{1}{q^+ p^+}} + A(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} X^{\frac{1}{q^+ p^-}} + B(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} X^{\frac{1}{q^- p^+}} + B(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} X^{\frac{1}{q^- p^-}} \right). \quad (2.37)$$

On the other hand, using ε -Young inequality with $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, one obtains

$$A(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} X^{\frac{1}{q^+ p^+}} \leq \varepsilon X + C \left[A(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} \right]^{\frac{q^+ p^+}{q^+ p^+ - 1}}, \quad (2.38)$$

$$A(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} X^{\frac{1}{q^+ p^-}} \leq \varepsilon X + C \left[A(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} \right]^{\frac{q^+ p^-}{q^+ p^- - 1}}, \quad (2.39)$$

$$B(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} X^{\frac{1}{q^- p^+}} \leq \varepsilon X + C \left[B(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} \right]^{\frac{q^- p^+}{q^- p^+ - 1}}, \quad (2.40)$$

$$B(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} X^{\frac{1}{q^- p^-}} \leq \varepsilon X + C \left[B(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} \right]^{\frac{q^- p^-}{q^- p^- - 1}}. \quad (2.41)$$

Therefore, it follows from (2.37)–(2.41) that

$$X \leq C \left(\left[A(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} \right]^{\frac{q^+ p^+}{q^+ p^+ - 1}} + \left[A(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^+}} \right]^{\frac{q^+ p^-}{q^+ p^- - 1}} + \left[B(\varphi) \overline{A(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} \right]^{\frac{q^- p^+}{q^- p^+ - 1}} + \left[B(\varphi) \overline{B(\varphi)}^{\frac{1}{q^-}} \right]^{\frac{q^- p^-}{q^- p^- - 1}} \right). \quad (2.42)$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$Y \leq C \left(\left[\overline{A(\varphi)} A(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right]^{\frac{q^+ p^+}{q^+ p^+ - 1}} + \left[\overline{A(\varphi)} B(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p^+}} \right]^{\frac{p^+ q^-}{p^+ q^- - 1}} + \left[\overline{B(\varphi)} A(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right]^{\frac{p^- q^+}{p^- q^+ - 1}} + \left[\overline{B(\varphi)} B(\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p^-}} \right]^{\frac{q^- p^-}{q^- p^- - 1}} \right). \quad (2.43)$$

For $R > 1$, we take

$$\varphi(x) = \theta\left(\frac{x}{R}\right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where θ is the function defined by (2.22) with $\rho = N + \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$. Next, we have to estimate the terms $A(\varphi)$, $B(\varphi)$, $\overline{A(\varphi)}$ and $\overline{B(\varphi)}$. Similarly to (2.28) and (2.29), one has

$$F(\beta, q^+, \varphi) \leq CR^{N - \frac{\beta q^+}{q^+ - 1}} \quad \text{and} \quad F(2, q^+, \varphi) \leq CR^{N - \frac{2q^+}{q^+ - 1}}.$$

Using the above estimates, one deduces that

$$A(\varphi) \leq CR^{N \left(\frac{q^+ - 1}{q^+} \right) - \beta}. \quad (2.44)$$

Similarly, one obtains

$$B(\varphi) \leq CR^{N \left(\frac{q^- - 1}{q^-} \right) - \beta}, \quad (2.45)$$

$$\overline{A(\varphi)} \leq CR^{N \left(\frac{p^+ - 1}{p^+} \right) - \alpha} \quad (2.46)$$

and

$$\overline{B(\varphi)} \leq CR^{N \left(\frac{p^- - 1}{p^-} \right) - \alpha}. \quad (2.47)$$

Therefore, using (2.42) and the estimates (2.44)–(2.47), one deduces that

$$X \leq C \sum_{i=1}^4 R^{\sigma_i}, \quad (2.48)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &:= \left(\frac{q^+ p^+}{q^+ p^+ - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{p^+ q^+ - 1}{p^+ q^+} \right) - \beta - \frac{\alpha}{q^+} \right] := \left(\frac{q^+ p^+}{q^+ p^+ - 1} \right) \overline{\sigma}_1, \\ \sigma_2 &:= \left(\frac{q^+ p^-}{q^+ p^- - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{p^- q^+ - 1}{p^- q^+} \right) - \beta - \frac{\alpha}{q^+} \right] := \left(\frac{q^+ p^-}{q^+ p^- - 1} \right) \overline{\sigma}_2, \\ \sigma_3 &:= \left(\frac{q^- p^+}{q^- p^+ - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{p^+ q^- - 1}{p^+ q^-} \right) - \beta - \frac{\alpha}{q^-} \right] := \left(\frac{q^- p^+}{q^- p^+ - 1} \right) \overline{\sigma}_3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sigma_4 := \left(\frac{q^- p^-}{q^- p^- - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{p^- q^- - 1}{p^- q^-} \right) - \beta - \frac{\alpha}{q^-} \right] := \left(\frac{q^- p^-}{q^- p^- - 1} \right) \overline{\sigma}_4.$$

One observes easily that

$$\overline{\sigma}_1 = \max\{\overline{\sigma}_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}. \quad (2.49)$$

Similarly, using (2.43) and the estimates (2.44)–(2.47), one deduces that

$$Y \leq C \sum_{i=1}^4 R^{\nu_i}, \quad (2.50)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_1 &:= \left(\frac{q^+ p^+}{q^+ p^+ - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{p^+ q^+ - 1}{p^+ q^+} \right) - \alpha - \frac{\beta}{p^+} \right] := \left(\frac{q^+ p^+}{q^+ p^+ - 1} \right) \overline{\nu}_1, \\ \nu_2 &:= \left(\frac{p^+ q^-}{p^+ q^- - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{q^- p^+ - 1}{q^- p^+} \right) - \alpha - \frac{\beta}{p^+} \right] := \left(\frac{p^+ q^-}{p^+ q^- - 1} \right) \overline{\nu}_2, \\ \nu_3 &:= \left(\frac{p^- q^+}{p^- q^+ - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{q^+ p^- - 1}{q^+ p^-} \right) - \alpha - \frac{\beta}{p^-} \right] := \left(\frac{p^- q^+}{p^- q^+ - 1} \right) \overline{\nu}_3 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\nu_4 := \left(\frac{p^- q^-}{p^- q^- - 1} \right) \left[N \left(\frac{q^- p^- - 1}{q^- p^-} \right) - \alpha - \frac{\beta}{p^-} \right] := \left(\frac{p^- q^-}{p^- q^- - 1} \right) \overline{\nu}_4.$$

Moreover, one has

$$\overline{\nu}_1 = \max\{\overline{\nu}_i : i = 1, 2, 3, 4\}. \quad (2.51)$$

Note that condition (1.21) is equivalent to

$$\overline{\sigma}_1 < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \overline{\nu}_1 < 0.$$

If $\overline{\sigma}_1 < 0$, passing to the infimum limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.48), using (2.49) and Fatou's Lemma, one deduces that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{p(\cdot)}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p(x)} dx = 0,$$

which implies by (1.17) that $\|u\|_{p(\cdot)} = 0$, i.e. $u \equiv 0$. Then, by (2.30), one obtains $Y = 0$, which yields $v \equiv 0$. Therefore, $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$. Similarly, if $\overline{\nu}_1 < 0$, passing to the infimum limit as $R \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.50), using (2.51) and Fatou's Lemma, one deduces that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{q(\cdot)}(v) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v(x)|^{q(x)} dx = 0,$$

which implies that $v \equiv 0$. Then, by (2.31), one obtains $X = 0$, which yields $u \equiv 0$. Therefore, $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$. Hence, we established that under condition (1.21), the only weak solution to (1.2) is $(u, v) \equiv (0, 0)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \square

Acknowledgments

The third author extends his appreciation to the Deanship of Scientific Research at King Saud University, Saudi Arabia, for funding this work through research group no. RGP-237.

References

- [1] M.-F. Bidaut-Véron, S.I. Pohozaev, Nonexistence results and estimates for some nonlinear elliptic problems, *J. Anal. Math.* 84 (2001), 1–49.
- [2] K. Bogdan, K. Burdzy, Z.-Q. Chen, Censored stable processes, *Probab. Theory Rel.* 127 (2003), 89–152.
- [3] C. Brandle, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, U. Sanchez, A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 143 (2013), 39–71.
- [4] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations.* 32 (2007), 1245–1260.
- [5] G. Caristi, L. D’Ambrosio, E. Mitidieri, Liouville theorems for some nonlinear inequalities, *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 260 (2008), 90–111.
- [6] Z.-Q. Chen, R. Song, Two-sided eigenvalue estimates for subordinate processes in domains, *J. Funct. Anal.* 226 (2005), 90–113.
- [7] R. Cont, P. Tankov, *Financial modelling with jump processes*, Chapman and Hall/CRC (2004).
- [8] Z. Dahmani, F. Karami, S. Kerbal, Nonexistence of positive solutions to nonlinear nonlocal elliptic systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 346 (2008), 22–29.
- [9] E.N. Dancer, H. Yang, W. Zou, Liouville-type results for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems and applications, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) 99 (2019), 273–294.
- [10] T.A. Dao, M. Reissig, A blow-up result for semi-linear structurally damped σ -evolution equations, preprint on arXiv:1909.01181v1, 2019.
- [11] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, Y. Mizuta, T. Shimomura, Maximal functions in variable exponent spaces: limiting cases of the exponent, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* 34 (2) (2009), 503–522.
- [12] L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, M. Ruzicka, *Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces with Variable Exponents*, in: *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 2017, Springer, Heidelberg, 2011.
- [13] R. Filippucci, Nonexistence of positive weak solutions of elliptic inequalities, *Nonlinear Anal.* 70 (2009), 2903–2916.
- [14] R. Filippucci, Nonexistence of nonnegative solutions of elliptic systems of divergence type, *J. Differential Equations.* 250 (2011) 572–595.
- [15] K. Fujiwara, A note for the global nonexistence of semirelativistic equations with nongauge invariant power type nonlinearity, *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* 41 (2018), 4955–4966.
- [16] B. Gidas, J. Spruck, Global and local behavior of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 34 (1981), 525–598.
- [17] M. Gunzburger, N. Jian, F. Xu, Analysis and approximation of a fractional Laplacian-based closure model for turbulent flows and its connection to Richardson pair dispersion, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 75 (6) (2018), 1973–2001.
- [18] N. Ju, The maximum principle and the global attractor for the dissipative 2D quasi-geostrophic equations, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 255 (2005), 161–181.
- [19] M. Kwaśnicki, Ten equivalent definitions of the fractional laplace operator, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 20 (2017), 7–51.
- [20] T.M. Michelitsch, G.A. Maugin, A.F. Nowakowski, F.C.G.A. Nicolleau, M. Rahman, The fractional Laplacian as a limiting case of a self-similar spring model and applications to n -dimensional anomalous diffusion, *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* 16 (4) (2013), 827–859.
- [21] E. Mitidieri, S.I. Pohozaev, Absence of global positive solutions of quasilinear elliptic inequalities, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk.* 359 (4) (1998), 456–460.
- [22] E. Mitidieri, S.I. Pohozaev, Nonexistence of positive solutions for quasilinear elliptic problems on \mathbb{R}^N , *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.* 227 (1999), 186–216.
- [23] E. Mitidieri, S.I. Pohozaev, Absence of positive solutions for systems of quasilinear elliptic equations and inequalities in \mathbb{R}^N , *Dokl. Math.* 59 (3) (1999), 351–355.
- [24] E. Mitidieri, S.I. Pohozaev, A priori estimates and the absence of solutions of nonlinear partial differential equations and inequalities, *Tr. Mat. Inst. Steklova.* 234 (2001), 1–384.
- [25] W.-M. Ni, J. Serrin, Existence and nonexistence theorems for ground states of quasilinear partial differential equations. The anomalous case, *Accad. Naz. Lincei, Conv. dei Lincei.* 77 (1986), 231–257.
- [26] P. Poláčik, P. Quittner, P. Souplet, Singularity and decay estimates in superlinear problems via Liouville-type theorems, Part I; Elliptic systems, *Duke Math. J.* 139 (2007), 555–579.
- [27] A. Quaas, A. Xia, A Liouville type theorem for Lane Emden systems involving the fractional Laplacian, *Nonlinearity.* 29 (2016), 2279–2297.
- [28] J. Serrin, H. Zou, Non-existence of positive solutions of Lane-Emden systems, *Differential Integral Equations.* 9 (1996), 635–653.
- [29] L. Silvestre, Regularity of the obstacle problem for a fractional power of the Laplace operator, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 60 (1) (2007), 67–112.
- [30] P. Souplet, The proof of the Lane-Emden conjecture in four space dimensions, *Adv. Math.* 221 (2009), 1409–1427.
- [31] Y. Sun, Uniqueness result for non-negative solutions of semi-linear inequalities on Riemannian manifolds, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 419 (2014), 643–661.
- [32] Y. Wang, J. Xiao, A uniqueness principle for $u^p \leq (-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} u$ in the Euclidean space, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* (2016), 1650019.
- [33] R. Zhuo, W. Chen, X. Cui, Z. Yuan, Symmetry and non-existence of solutions for a nonlinear system involving the fractional Laplacian, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.* 36 (2016), 1125–1141.