

Bosonic bright soliton in the mixture of repulsive Bose-Einstein condensate and polarized ultracold fermions under influence of the pressure evolution

Pavel A. Andreev*

*Department of General Physics, Faculty of physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991.*

K. V. Antipin†

*Department of Quantum Statistics and Field Theory, Faculty of physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991.*

Mariya Iv. Trukhanova‡

*Department of General Physics, Faculty of physics,
Lomonosov Moscow State University, Moscow, Russian Federation, 119991. and
Russian Academy of Sciences, Nuclear Safety Institute (IBRAE),
B. Tul'skaya 52, Moscow, Russian Federation, 115191.*

(Dated: April 9, 2024)

Repulsive Bose-Einstein condensate, where the short-range interaction is included up to the third order by the interaction radius, demonstrates existence of a bright soliton in a narrow interval of parameters. This soliton is studied here for the boson-fermion mixture, where spin-1/2 fermions are considered in the regime of full spin polarization. Influence of fermions via the boson-fermion interaction is considered up to the third order by the interaction radius. Fermions themselves are considered by hydrodynamic model including the pressure evolution equation. Interaction between fermions is considered. The first order by the interaction radius gives zero contribution in the Euler equation and the pressure evolution equation, but the third order by the interaction radius provides nonzero contributions in both equations. Repulsive (attractive) boson-fermion interaction leads to the bright (dark) fermionic soliton.

PACS numbers: 03.75.Hh, 03.75.Kk, 67.85.Pq

Keywords: bright solitons, hydrodynamics, nonlocal interaction, boson-fermion mixtures, pressure evolution equation.

I. INTRODUCTION

Solitons [1], [2], [3], vorticities [4], [5], [6], skyrmions [7] are fundamental nonlinear excitations in quantum gases. The quantum droplets formation is also detected in Bose-Einstein condensate of rare-earth atoms due to the dipole-dipole interaction and quantum correlations [8], [9], [10]. This work is focused on solitons in boson-fermion mixtures [11] studied in terms of hydrodynamic model. The boson-fermion mixtures are experimentally obtained in different combinations, such as ^7Li - ^6Li [12], [13], ^{23}Na - ^6Li [14], and ^{87}Rb - ^{40}K [15]. If Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) is considered in terms of the Gross-Pitaevskii equation [16] it reveals the bright soliton at the attraction between bosons or the dark soliton in the repulsive BECs. Noninteracting degenerate fermions also demonstrate existence of soliton [17], where pair of a bright and dark solitons for trapped fermions is obtained. However, the extended model with nonlocal short-range interaction considered up to the third order by the interaction radius leads to formation of a bright soliton

(earlier called the bright-like soliton) in repulsive BECs [18]. The bright soliton in repulsive BECs is studied for the anisotropic short-range interaction [19], which is also considered up to the third order by the interaction radius.

Fermionic bright solitons at attractive boson-fermion interaction are found in Refs. [20], [21]. Fermionic dark solitons are obtained in [12]. The dark solitons in the Fermi superfluid within the Bogoliubov-de Gennes theory of the BEC to BCS crossover using the random-phase approximation are considered in [22], where the decay of the soliton via the snake instability is studied.

BEC-BCS crossover in the two component fermion systems is actively studying branch of the field of ultracold fermions. Sanner et. al. [23] considers two-component fermions with strong repulsive interaction between fermions with different spin projections. It is demonstrated that pairing instability is faster than the ferromagnetic instability in this regime. However, the average and weak repulsion shows stable coexisting of fermions with different spin projections. Interaction of fermions of the same spin projection is not discussed there. Metastable Stoner-like ferromagnetic phase supported by strong repulsion of fermions with opposite spin projections in excited scattering states is studied in Ref. [24]. Mass-imbalanced Fermi-Fermi mixture of ^{161}Dy and ^{40}K is created to study the strongly interacting regime [25]. The existence of the second sound in a system

*Electronic address: andreevpa@physics.msu.ru

†Electronic address: kv.antipin@physics.msu.ru

‡Electronic address: mar-tiv@yandex.ru

of one-dimensional fermions with repulsive interactions is reported in [26], where hydrodynamic equations for four conserved macroscopic characteristics of the fluid are presented for the Luttinger liquid with linear excitation spectrum [27], [28], [29]. This theoretical result corresponds to earlier experimental observation of the first and second sounds in ^6Li atoms [30].

Single state ultracold fermions are also currently studied. Single spin state degenerate fermions ^6Li confined by the heavy bosonic atoms ^{133}Cs at attractive inter-species interaction is created [31]. Rakshit et. al. [32] demonstrates that higher order corrections to the standard mean-field energy can lead to a formation of Bose-Fermi liquid droplets for attractive BEC and spin-polarized fermions. A hydrodynamic approach including set of two nonlinear Schrodinger equations is used in [32]. Weakly interacting single-component two-dimensional dipolar Fermi gas is considered in Ref. [33] to study the zero sound.

Mixtures of two BECs are studied either. Bright-bright, dark-bright and dark-dark types of solitons are theoretically obtained in two-component BEC [34], [35].

A possibility that the boson-fermion interaction in the third order by the interaction radius approximation leads to formation of new soliton is discussed in Ref. [36], where it corresponds to a step beyond the mean-field approximation. However, here we report an advanced version of hydrodynamic of fermions. Therefore, result of Ref. [36] can be readdressed in terms of novel model, where less assumptions are made for the force field in the Euler equation. Moreover, the pressure evolution equation is included here. The presence of the pressure evolution equation becomes especially important since the force field contains the pressure tensor. Furthermore, the second interaction constants for each type of interatomic potential are not represented via the scattering length, but they are considered as independent constants as it follows from their definitions.

Soliton dynamics beyond the mean-field approximation is studied in Refs. [37], [38], [39], [40]. It is the dark solitons in Bose-Einstein condensates [38] and bright-dark solitons in two component quantum gases [37]. Many-body quantum dynamics including the correlation dynamics is considered there.

Microscopic derivation of the quantum hydrodynamic model for boson-fermion mixture, where fermions are located in the single spin state is presented. General structure of equations for balance of the particle number, momentum and the momentum flux is obtained. The two-particle short-range boson-boson, boson-fermion, and fermion-fermion interactions are included in the model. General form of the interaction in the momentum balance equations and the momentum flux evolution equations are found. Weakly interacting limit of the interaction terms for bosons being in the BEC state and the degenerate fermions is derived up to the third order by the interaction radius. In this regime the mixture is characterized by five interaction constants. Small amplitude non-

linear evolution of collective excitations is considered to find bright-bright and bright-dark solitons in the boson-fermion mixture. These solitons exist purely due to the interaction constants existing in the third order by the interaction radius. These solitons are solitons of concentrations of bosons and fermions. The velocity field of bosons and fermions together with the diagonal elements of pressure reveal the soliton structure either.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II major steps of derivation of hydrodynamic equations from the Schrodinger equation are demonstrated. In Sec. III the quantum hydrodynamic equations for BEC and ultracold spin-polarized fermions. Both species are described up to the third order by the interaction radius is presented. In Sec IV method of the approximate nonlinear solution is presented. In Sec. V numerical analysis of obtained Korteweg-de Vries equation is shown. In Sec. VI the obtained results are summarized.

II. ON DERIVATION OF HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS FROM MICROSCOPIC QUANTUM DYNAMICS

Boson-fermion mixture consisting of N particles, which is superposition of number of bosons N_b and number of fermions N_f , is described by the Schrodinger equation with the following Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i^2}{2m_i} + V_{ext}(\mathbf{r}_i, t) \right) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j \neq i} U(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j), \quad (1)$$

where m_i is the mass of i -th particle, $\hat{\mathbf{p}}_i = -i\hbar\nabla_i$ is the momentum of i -th particle. The last term in the Hamiltonian (1) is the short representation of boson-boson $(1/2) \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^{N_b} U_{bb}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$ interparticle interaction, fermion-fermion $(1/2) \sum_{i=N_f}^{N_b+N_f} \sum_{j=N_f, j \neq i}^{N_b+N_f} U_{ff}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$ interparticle interaction, and boson-fermion $(1/2) [\sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \sum_{j=N_f}^{N_b+N_f} + \sum_{i=N_f}^{N_b+N_f} \sum_{j=1}^{N_b}] U_{bf}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \sum_{j=N_f}^{N_b+N_f} U_{bf}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$ interparticle interaction.

The Schrodinger equation $i\hbar\partial_t\Psi(R, t) = \hat{H}\Psi(R, t)$ with Hamiltonian (1) describes the evolution of wave function of full boson-fermion mixture $\Psi(R, t)$, where full configurational space $R = \{R_b, R_f\}$ is the combination of configurational space of bosons R_b and configurational space of fermions R_f .

However, there are formulations of the many-body problem for fermions with no interaction between fermions in the same spin state see for instance equation 23 in Ref. [41]. It is due to the argument that interactions are strongly inhibited by the Pauli exclusion principle. The antisymmetry of the many-particle wave function is the manifestation of the Pauli exclusion principle. However, we are not used properties of the wave function at this step. Mentioning here some of our results we point out that the first order on the interaction radius

(an analog of s-wave) contribution is equal to zero due to the antisymmetry of the many-particle wave function. However, the third order on the interaction radius (an analog of p-wave) terms are non zero.

At this stage bosons and fermions have arbitrary distributions on quantum states. Transition to near equilibrium states with zero temperature is made at later stage of derivation, where truncation of the chain of equations is made.

Concentration of bosons is defined as the quantum mechanical average of the operator of concentration which is the superposition of the delta functions [42], [43]:

$$n_b(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int dR \sum_{i=1}^{N_b} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \Psi^*(R, t) \Psi(R, t), \quad (2)$$

where $dR = dR_b dR_f$, $dR_b = \prod_{i=1}^{N_b} d\mathbf{r}_i$ is the element of volume in $3N_b$ dimensional configurational space, with N_b is the number of bosons, and $dR_f = \prod_{i=N_b+1}^{N_b+N_f} d\mathbf{r}_i$ is the element of volume in $3N_f$ dimensional configurational space, with N_f is the number of fermions. We need to integrate over coordinates of all particles since the wave function describes both species.

Definition of the concentration of fermion has similar structure

$$n_f(\mathbf{r}, t) = \int dR \sum_{i=N_b+1}^{N_b+N_f} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \Psi^*(R, t) \Psi(R, t), \quad (3)$$

but operator of concentration of fermions contains coordinates of different set of particles.

Considering time evolution of each concentration n_a via the evolution of wave function $\Psi(R, t)$ find the continuity equation [42], [43]:

$$\partial_t n_a + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_a = 0, \quad (4)$$

where subindex a stands for b or f , and the current \mathbf{j}_a is defined via the many-particle wave function of the system:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{j}_a(\mathbf{r}, t) &= \int dR \sum_{i \in N_a} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{2m_i} (\Psi^*(R, t) \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i \Psi(R, t) + c.c.), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $c.c.$ is the complex conjugation.

Next derive equation for the current evolution. Consider the time derivative of the current (5) using the Schrodinger equation and some straightforward calculations. As the result find the current evolution equation (it can be also called the momentum evolution equation)

$$\partial_t j_a^\alpha + \partial_\beta \Pi_a^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{m_a} n_a \partial_\alpha V_{ext} + \frac{1}{m_a} F_{a,int}^\alpha, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_a^{\alpha\beta} &= \int dR \sum_{i \in N_a} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \frac{1}{4m_i^2} [\Psi^*(R, t) \hat{p}_i^\alpha \hat{p}_i^\beta \Psi(R, t) \\ &+ \hat{p}_i^{\alpha*} \Psi^*(R, t) \hat{p}_i^\beta \Psi(R, t) + c.c.] \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

is the momentum flux (containing the pressure tensor), and

$$F_{a,int}^\alpha = - \sum_{a'=b,f} \int (\partial^\alpha U_{aa'}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')) n_{2,aa'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) d\mathbf{r}', \quad (8)$$

with the following expression for the two-particle concentration

$$\begin{aligned} n_{2,aa'}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) \\ = \int dR \sum_{i \in N_a, j \in N_{a'}, j \neq i} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j) \Psi^*(R, t) \Psi(R, t). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Suggested model includes the pressure evolution equation for fermions. It is not required for bosons since bosons are considered below in the Bose-Einstein state. Therefore, the pressure of bosons equals to zero and the bosons are completely described by the concentration and the velocity field. Therefore, it is required to derive equation for the momentum flux evolution, since the momentum flux has clear relation to the wave function (7) similarly to the concentrations and the currents (5). The pressure evolution will be extracted from momentum flux evolution below. Similarly to derivation of the current evolution equation consider the time derivative of the momentum flux (7) using the Schrodinger equation [44]:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Pi_f^{\alpha\beta} + \partial_\gamma M_f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= -\frac{1}{m_f} j_f^\beta \partial_\alpha V_{ext} - \frac{1}{m_f} j_f^\alpha \partial_\beta V_{ext} \\ &- \frac{1}{m_f} \int [\partial^\beta U(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')] j_2^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) d\mathbf{r}' \\ &- \frac{1}{m_f} \int [\partial^\alpha U(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')] j_2^\beta(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) d\mathbf{r}', \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_f^{\alpha\beta\gamma} &= \int dR \sum_{i \in N_f} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \frac{1}{8m_i^3} \left[\Psi^*(R, t) \hat{p}_i^\alpha \hat{p}_i^\beta \hat{p}_i^\gamma \Psi(R, t) \right. \\ &+ \hat{p}_i^{\alpha*} \Psi^*(R, t) \hat{p}_i^\beta \hat{p}_i^\gamma \Psi(R, t) + \hat{p}_i^{\alpha*} \hat{p}_i^{\gamma*} \Psi^*(R, t) \hat{p}_i^\beta \Psi(R, t) \\ &\left. + \hat{p}_i^{\gamma*} \Psi^*(R, t) \hat{p}_i^\alpha \hat{p}_i^\beta \Psi(R, t) + c.c. \right], \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\mathbf{j}_2(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t) = \int dR \sum_{i \in N_f, j \in N, j \neq i} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) \delta(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r}_j) \times \\ \times \frac{1}{2m_i} (\Psi^*(R, t) \hat{\mathbf{p}}_i \Psi(R, t) + c.c.). \quad (12)$$

If quantum correlations are dropped function $j_2^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}', t)$ splits on product of the current $j_f^\alpha(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and the concentration $n_f(\mathbf{r}', t)$.

Equations (4), (6), (10) are fundamental equations for collection of bosons and fermions. These equations contain a number of new functions which should be expressed via the basic hydrodynamic functions. The truncation is to be made for the bosons being in the BEC state and fermions at zero temperature, but collected in the single spin state.

Moreover, it is necessary to present hydrodynamic equations (4), (6), (10) in more traditional form. To this end, introduce the velocity field $\mathbf{v}_a = \mathbf{j}_a/n_a$. This definition allows to represent the continuity equation in the traditional form. However, other equations require more detail description which can be found in details in Refs. [43], [44]. the method of introduction of the velocity field includes the analysis of deviation of velocities of quantum particles introduced as gradients $\hbar \nabla_i S/m$ of the phase of wave function $\Psi(R, t) = a(R, t) \exp(iS(R, t))$ from the velocity field. This deviations are also includes the thermal effects and other mechanisms (Fermi surface caused by the Pauli blocking) of distribution of particles on quantum states with velocities shifted from the average velocity \mathbf{v}_a . This method provides the structure of the momentum flux tensor:

$$\Pi_a^{\alpha\beta} = n_a v_a^\alpha v_a^\beta + p_a^{\alpha\beta} + T_a^{\alpha\beta}. \quad (13)$$

The first and second terms on the right-hand side of equation (13) have classical meaning and include the pressure tensor $p^{\alpha\beta}$. The last term in equation (13) has quantum nature and can be presented in the following approximate form

$$T_a^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{4m_a^2} \left[\partial_\alpha \partial_\beta n_a - \frac{\partial_\alpha n_a \cdot \partial_\beta n_a}{n_a} \right]. \quad (14)$$

It is related to the quantum Bohm potential.

Equation (14) appears for noninteracting bosons in the BEC state. Its linear part (the first term) is straightforward for interacting bosons or interacting fermions. While the second term in equation (14) has no proper justification for fermions even if interaction is neglected. Hence, the second term in equation (14) for fermions is an approximate equation of state.

Representation for tensor $M_f^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ (11) similar to (14) can be found either. It is given in Ref. [44] as set of four rather large equations (see equations 25-28).

Equations are obtained for particles with arbitrary spin, but further analysis is made for spin-0 bosons and

spin-1/2 fermions being in the single spin projection state.

Equations (4)-(10) are derived for arbitrary potential $U_{ij} = U(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j)$. It is necessary to specify that neutral particles interact via the short-range potential. To stress the small radius of interaction represent the coordinates of interacting particles \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_j via the relative distance and coordinate of their center of mass. Next, we can expand the delta functions and the wave function on the small interparticle distance $\mathbf{r}_{ij} = \mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j$ since potential U_{ij} goes to zero at the large interparticle distances. The straightforward calculations for weakly interacting particles including symmetry between fermions, between bosons, and the absence of symmetry between bosons and fermions (for more details see [43], [44]). Terms in the zeroth order on the interparticle distance cancel each other. In the first order, there are nonzero terms for boson-boson and boson-fermion interactions, which corresponds to the Gross-Pitaevskii approximation. The integral over interparticle distance contains the potential of interaction and gives the interaction constant for each interaction. The fermion-fermion interaction term in the first order equals to zero due to the antisymmetry of the wave function. Formally, we have the first interaction constant for fermions, but it is multiplied by the function which equal to zero. The second order terms go to zero due to integration over the interparticle distance (its angular dependence). The third order of expansion gives nonzero results for all three interactions. The second interaction constant appears for each interaction.

The derivation of fundamental hydrodynamic equations is made by the many-particle quantum hydrodynamic method [19], [42], [43]. Paying attention to development of hydrodynamic methods mention the generalized hydrodynamics actively developing in recent years [45], [46].

III. HYDRODYNAMIC EQUATIONS FOR BOSON-FERMION MIXTURE

In this regime we have two continuity equations:

$$\partial_t n_b + \nabla \cdot (n_b \mathbf{v}_b) = 0, \quad (15)$$

and

$$\partial_t n_f + \nabla \cdot (n_f \mathbf{v}_f) = 0. \quad (16)$$

We also have two Euler (momentum balance) equations. The Euler equation for bosons

$$m_b n_b (\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_b \cdot \nabla) v_b^\alpha - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_b} n_b \partial^\alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{n_b}}{\sqrt{n_b}} \\ + g_b n_b \partial^\alpha n_b + \frac{1}{2} g_{2b} \partial^\alpha \Delta n_b^2 = -n_b \partial^\alpha V_{ext} \\ - g_{bf} n_b \partial^\alpha n_f - \frac{g_{2bf}}{2} n_b \partial^\alpha \Delta n_f \quad (17)$$

contains the boson-boson interaction in the first and third orders by the interaction radius, the terms proportional to g_b and g_{2b} constants, correspondingly. It includes the boson-fermion interaction which are proportional to g_{bf} in the first order and g_{2bf} in the third order.

The Euler equation for fermions is

$$\begin{aligned} m_f n_f (\partial_t + \mathbf{v}_f \cdot \nabla) v_f^\alpha - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_f} n_f \partial^\alpha \frac{\Delta \sqrt{n_f}}{\sqrt{n_f}} + \partial^\beta p_f^{\alpha\beta} \\ = -g_b n_f \partial^\alpha n_b - \frac{g_{2,bf}}{2} n_f \partial^\alpha \Delta n_b - g_{2f} \frac{m_f^2}{2\hbar^2} I_0^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \partial^\beta (n_f p_f^{\gamma\delta}), \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where all fermions are in the quantum states with the same spin projection. Euler equation (18) has contribution of the boson-fermion interaction. Similar to the Euler equation for bosons (17), they are proportional to g_{bf} in the first order by the interaction radius and g_{2bf} in the third order. The fermion-fermion interaction gives the single term in Euler equation (18). It appears in the third order by the interaction radius being proportional to g_{2f} constant.

The third order by the interaction radius approximation has similarity to the p-wave interaction. The p-wave fermion-fermion interaction is studied in the boson-fermion mixtures at study of solitons in mixtures [47]. Traditional p-wave approximation assumes an equation of state for the pressure in terms of the concentration. However, our model gives more accurate analysis of pressure via the pressure evolution equation.

The boson-boson interaction in the third order by the interaction radius is presented by the nonlocal interaction term showing similarity to the models presented in Refs. [48], [49].

The pressure evolution equation for fermions is also a part of developed and applied hydrodynamic model

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t p_f^{\alpha\beta} + v_f^\gamma \partial_\gamma p_f^{\alpha\beta} + p_f^{\alpha\gamma} \partial_\gamma v_f^\beta + p_f^{\beta\gamma} \partial_\gamma v_f^\alpha + p_f^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\gamma v_f^\gamma \\ = -\frac{m_f}{8\hbar^2} g_{2f} \{ I_0^{\alpha\gamma\delta\mu} [3n_f^2 v_f^\beta v_f^\delta \partial^\gamma v_f^\mu + 2n_f p_f^{\mu\delta} (\partial^\gamma v_f^\beta - \partial^\beta v_f^\gamma)] \\ + I_0^{\beta\gamma\delta\mu} [3n_f^2 v_f^\alpha v_f^\delta \partial^\gamma v_f^\mu + 2n_f p_f^{\mu\delta} (\partial^\gamma v_f^\alpha - \partial^\alpha v_f^\gamma)] \}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where $I_0^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \delta^{\alpha\beta} \delta^{\gamma\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\gamma} \delta^{\beta\delta} + \delta^{\alpha\delta} \delta^{\beta\gamma}$.

Equations (15)-(19) contain the following interaction constants $g_b = \int U_{bb} d\mathbf{r}$, $g_{bf} = \int U_{bf} d\mathbf{r}$, $g_{2b} = (1/24) \int r^2 U_{bb} d\mathbf{r}$, $g_{2bf} = \int r^2 U_{bf} d\mathbf{r}$, and $g_{2f} = \int r^2 U_{ff} d\mathbf{r}$.

The pressure evolution equation (19) appears from equation (10) after extraction of the thermal part or other mechanisms of distribution of particles in the momentum space like the Pauli blocking for degenerate fermions.

Equation (19) has no trace of the external potential and boson-fermion interaction.

The fermion-fermion interaction gives nonzero contribution in the third order by the interaction radius. It consists of the structure of two terms which is repeated twice to give it the form symmetric on free indexes since the pressure tensor $p_f^{\alpha\beta}$ is a symmetric tensor. Let us have a closer look on each of two terms. One is highly nonlinear and includes product of three velocities $n_f^2 v_f^\beta v_f^\delta \partial^\gamma v_f^\mu$. Another term is proportional to the pressure tensor. Moreover, it is proportional to the classical hydrodynamic vorticity $\varepsilon^{\gamma\beta\delta} \Omega^\delta = \partial^\gamma v_f^\beta - \partial^\beta v_f^\gamma$, where $\Omega^\alpha = \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma} \partial_\beta v_\gamma$ is the vorticity of classic uncharged fluid.

The left-hand side of equation (19) contains the divergence of a third rank tensor $\partial_\gamma Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ which is the average of product of three thermal velocities (the thermal part of tensor $M_f^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ (11)), while $p^{\alpha\beta}$ is the average of product of two thermal velocities. It is assumed to be equal to zero. It is the equation of state obtained as extension of equilibrium value of tensor $Q^{\alpha\beta\gamma}$.

The boson part of the model is developed in Refs. [19], [43]. The fermion part of the hydrodynamic model is derived in Refs. [44], [50]. Here, same is in Ref. [50], the pressure evolution equation is considered in the long-wavelength limit, so high order derivatives are neglected. The interspecies interaction is addressed in terms of many-particle quantum hydrodynamic method in Refs. [43], [44].

IV. PERTURBATION METHOD

Following papers [18] and [19] we use the reductive perturbation method [51], [52] to study the. According to this method all hydrodynamic values may be represented as:

$$n_b = n_{0b} + \varepsilon n_{1b} + \varepsilon^2 n_{2b} + \dots, \quad (20)$$

$$n_f = n_{0f} + \varepsilon n_{1f} + \varepsilon^2 n_{2f} + \dots, \quad (21)$$

$$v_b^x = \varepsilon v_{1b} + \varepsilon^2 v_{2b} + \dots, \quad (22)$$

$$v_f^x = \varepsilon v_{1f} + \varepsilon^2 v_{2f} + \dots, \quad (23)$$

and

$$p_f^{ii} = p_{0f}^{ii} + \varepsilon p_{1f}^{ii} + \varepsilon^2 p_{2f}^{ii} + \dots, \quad (24)$$

where ii stands for xx , yy and zz since all diagonal elements of the pressure tensor are involved in dynamics of longitudinal perturbations.

It is assumed that there are nonzero constant equilibrium concentrations and pressure of fermions. The velocity fields are equal to zero in equilibrium.

We also performed the following "scaling" of variables:

$$\xi = \varepsilon^{1/2} (x - Vt) \quad (25)$$

and

$$\tau = \varepsilon^{3/2} V t. \quad (26)$$

The latter expression introduces so-called "slow" time.

A. The first order perturbations

Substitute scaling of hydrodynamic functions (20)-(24) and space-time variables (25), (26) in basic equations (15)-(19). Separate contributions appearing in different orders on parameter ε . Extract equations in the lowest order on parameter ε and find the continuity equation for bosons

$$n_{0b} \partial_\xi v_{1b} - V \partial_\xi n_{1b} = 0, \quad (27)$$

the Euler equation for bosons

$$m_b V \partial_\xi v_{1b} - g_b \partial_\xi n_{1b} - g_{bf} \partial_\xi n_{1f} = 0, \quad (28)$$

the continuity equation for fermions

$$n_{0f} \partial_\xi v_{1f} - V \partial_\xi n_{1f} = 0, \quad (29)$$

the Euler equation for fermions

$$m_f n_{0f} V \partial_\xi v_{1f} + \partial_\xi p_{1f}^{xx} = -g_{bf} n_{0f} \partial_\xi n_{1b}$$

$$-g_{2f} \frac{m_f^2}{2\hbar^2} \partial_\xi [n_{0f} (3p_{1f}^{xx} + p_{1f}^{yy} + p_{1f}^{zz}) + (3p_{0f}^{xx} + p_{0f}^{yy} + p_{0f}^{zz}) n_{1f}], \quad (30)$$

and equations for evolution of the elements of pressure tensor

$$V \partial_\xi p_{1f}^{xx} - 3p_{0f}^{xx} \partial_\xi v_{1f} = 0, \quad (31)$$

and

$$V \partial_\xi p_{1f}^{yy} - p_{0f}^{yy} \partial_\xi v_{1f} = 0, \quad (32)$$

zz element is the same as yy element.

Fermi surface in equilibrium regime is assumed to be a sphere. Therefore, we have $p_{0f}^{xx} = p_{0f}^{yy} = p_{0f}^{zz} \equiv p_{0f}$.

Equations (31)-(32) show that the perturbation of pressure in the direction of wave propagation is three times larger than the perturbation in the perpendicular directions.

Equations (27)-(32) are uniform linear differential equations. We obtain explicit relation between these functions using boundary conditions at infinity, where all perturbations tend to zero. They have nonzero solution if parameter V have the following form

$$V^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b} + \frac{3p_{0f}}{m_f n_{0f}} + g_{2f} \frac{8p_{0f} m_f}{\hbar^2} \right. \\ \left. \pm \sqrt{\left(\frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b} - \frac{3p_{0f}}{m_f n_{0f}} - g_{2f} \frac{8p_{0f} m_f}{\hbar^2} \right)^2 + 4 \frac{n_{0b} n_{0f} g_{bf}^2}{m_b m_f}} \right], \quad (33)$$




FIG. 1: Form of soliton obtained for concentration of bosons $N \equiv n_{1b}/n_{0b}$ as function of $\zeta = \tau - U\xi$ given by equation (51). Solution is demonstrated at different boson-boson interaction constant g . The upper red dotted line corresponds to $g = 1$. The second from above line (the green dashed line) corresponds to $g = 3$. The third from above line (the blue dashed line) corresponds to $g = 5$. The lowest black solid line corresponds to $g = 10$. Other parameters are kept at the following values: $m = 4$, $n = 2$, $l = 1$, $L = 0$, $F = 0$, $G = 1$. Change of g suggest some change of G which is neglected. Value of G is kept well above critical value $G_{min} = 0.25$.

"+" corresponds to perturbations in system of bosons affected by fermions, "-" corresponds to perturbations in system of fermions affected by bosons.

Consider sign "+" in front of the square root and drop contribution of fermions then we get

$$V_b^2 = \frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b} \quad (34)$$

velocity for nonlinear perturbations in BEC considered in Refs. [18], [19]. This velocity corresponds to the long-wavelength limit of the Bogoliubov spectrum. The velocity square V^2 is positive for the repulsive interaction between bosons. Consider the influence of fermions on the boson solution. If boson-fermion interaction is small we can expand the square root. After expansion assuming that the partial velocity of bosons (34) dominates over the partial velocity of fermions $V_f^2 = 3p_{0f}/m_f n_{0f} + 8g_{2f} p_{0f} m_f / \hbar^2$ we find the following expression

$$V^2 = \frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b} + \frac{\frac{n_{0b} n_{0f} g_{bf}^2}{m_b m_f}}{\left(\frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b} - \frac{3p_{0f}}{m_f n_{0f}} - g_{2f} \frac{8p_{0f} m_f}{\hbar^2} \right)}. \quad (35)$$

General behavior of velocity (33) shows that the chosen solution should have positive second term. The sign of boson-fermion interaction does not affect the velocity of nonlinear perturbations. It corresponds to the general solution (33).

Solution (33) with sign $-$ corresponds to the acoustic wave in fully spin polarized fermions. Separation on the bosonic and fermionic branches is partially conventional.




FIG. 2: Dimensionless perturbation velocity (52) is plotted for different combinations of boson-fermion interaction l and fermion-fermion interaction in the third order F as the function of boson-boson interaction g . The mass ratio $M_0 = 9$ and the concentration ratio $N_0 = 0.2$ are fixed. Parameters G and L give no contribution into velocity (52). The lower red dotted line corresponds to $l = 1$ and $F = 0.1$. The upper black solid line corresponds to $l = 2$ and $F = 1$. The second from above line at large g (the green light-dashed line) corresponds to $l = 2$ and $F = 0.1$. The third from above line at large g (the blue dark-dashed line) corresponds to $l = 1$ and $F = 1$.

If the the partial velocity of fermions V_f dominates over the partial velocity of bosons V_b (34) we have sign $-$ for bosonic branch (find solution (34) for small boson-fermion interaction) and sign $+$ for fermionic branch. However, study of the mixture for intermediate boson-fermion interaction does not allow so straightforward separation on bosonic and fermionic branches. So, we keep studying nonlinear solution corresponding to sign $+$ in (33) and we conventionally call it the bosonic branch. The second branch conventionally called the fermionic branch will be studied elsewhere.

Fermions in partially polarized regime demonstrate two acoustic wave and the spin wave with $\omega(k=0) \neq 0$ [53]. Hamiltonian of the nonlinear Pauli equation in [53] contains the interaction term corresponding to the total energy of a two-component Fermi gas presented in [54].

B. The second order perturbations

Next order on ε contribution in hydrodynamic equations leads to the following set of nonlinear differential equations: the continuity equation for bosons

$$V \partial_\tau n_{1b} - V \partial_\xi n_{2b} + n_{0b} \partial_\xi v_{2b} + \partial_\xi (n_{1b} v_{1b}) = 0; \quad (36)$$

the Euler equation for bosons

$$m_b n_{0b} V \partial_\tau v_{1b} - m_b n_{0b} V \partial_\xi v_{2b} - m_b n_{1b} V \partial_\xi v_{1b} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_b} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1b}$$

$$+ m_b n_{0b} v_{1b} V \partial_\xi v_{1b} = -g_b n_{0b} \partial_\xi n_{2b} - g_b n_{1b} \partial_\xi n_{1b} - g_{2b} n_{0b} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1b}$$




FIG. 3: Dimensionless perturbation velocity (52) is plotted for different combinations of boson-fermion interaction l and fermion-fermion interaction in the third order F as the function of boson-boson interaction g . The mass ratio $M_0 = 4$ and the concentration ratio $N_0 = 0.2$ are fixed. Parameters G and L give no contribution into velocity (52). The lower red dotted line corresponds to $l = 1$ and $F = 0.1$. The upper black solid line corresponds to $l = 2$ and $F = 1$. The second from above line (the green dashed line) corresponds to $l = 2$ and $F = 0.1$. The third from above line (the blue dashed line) corresponds to $l = 1$ and $F = 1$.




FIG. 4: Dimensionless perturbation velocity (52) is plotted for different combinations of boson-fermion interaction l and fermion-fermion interaction in the third order F as the function of boson-boson interaction g . The mass ratio $M_0 = 4$ and the concentration ratio $N_0 = 2$ are fixed. Parameters G and L give no contribution into velocity (52). The lower red dotted line corresponds to $l = 1$ and $F = 0.1$. The upper black solid line corresponds to $l = 2$ and $F = 1$. The second from above line (the green dashed line) corresponds to $l = 2$ and $F = 0.1$. The third from above line (the blue dashed line) corresponds to $l = 1$ and $F = 1$.

$$- g_{bf} n_{0b} \partial_\xi n_{2f} - g_{bf} n_{1b} \partial_\xi n_{1f} - \frac{1}{2} g_{2bf} n_{0b} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1f}; \quad (37)$$

the continuity equation for fermions

$$V \partial_\tau n_{1f} - V \partial_\xi n_{2f} + n_{0f} \partial_\xi v_{2f} + \partial_\xi (n_{1f} v_{1f}) = 0; \quad (38)$$

the Euler equation for fermions

$$\begin{aligned}
& m_f n_{0f} V \partial_\tau v_{1f} - m_f n_{0f} V \partial_\xi v_{2f} - m_f V n_{1f} \partial_\xi v_{1f} \\
& + m_f n_{0f} v_{1f} \partial_\xi v_{1f} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_f} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1f} + \partial_\xi p_2^{xx} \\
& = -g_{2f} \frac{m^2}{2\hbar^2} \partial_\xi [n_{0f} (3p_{2f}^{xx} + p_{2f}^{yy} + p_{2f}^{zz}) \\
& + (3p_{0f}^{xx} + p_{0f}^{yy} + p_{0f}^{zz}) n_{2f} + (3p_{1f}^{xx} + p_{1f}^{yy} + p_{1f}^{zz}) n_{1f}] \\
& - g_{bf} n_{0f} \partial_\xi n_{2b} - g_{bf} n_{1f} \partial_\xi n_{1b} - \frac{1}{2} g_{2bf} n_{0f} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1b}; \quad (39)
\end{aligned}$$

equations for evolution of the elements of pressure tensor

$$V \partial_\tau p_{1f}^{xx} - V \partial_\xi p_{2f}^{xx} + v_{1f} \partial_\xi p_{1f}^{xx} + 3p_{0f}^{xx} \partial_\xi v_{2f} + 3p_{1f}^{xx} \partial_\xi v_{1f} = 0, \quad (40)$$

$$V \partial_\tau p_{1f}^{yy} - V \partial_\xi p_{2f}^{yy} + v_{1f} \partial_\xi p_{1f}^{yy} + p_{0f}^{yy} \partial_\xi v_{2f} + p_{1f}^{yy} \partial_\xi v_{1f} = 0, \quad (41)$$

and

$$V \partial_\tau p_{1f}^{zz} - V \partial_\xi p_{2f}^{zz} + v_{1f} \partial_\xi p_{1f}^{zz} + p_{0f}^{zz} \partial_\xi v_{2f} + p_{1f}^{zz} \partial_\xi v_{1f} = 0. \quad (42)$$

All functions of the first order can be represented via the first order perturbations for concentrations of bosons n_{1b} and fermions n_{1f} . Presenting the second order hydrodynamic perturbations via the derivative of second order concentration of fermions $\partial_\xi n_{2f}$ and first order perturbations for concentrations of bosons n_{1b} and fermions n_{1f} find equation for three variables, where coefficient in front of $\partial_\xi n_{2f}$ equals to zero if expression (33) for V^2 is included.

After described manipulations obtain equation for concentrations n_{2f} , n_{1f} , n_{1b} :

$$\begin{aligned}
& g_{bf} n_{0f} \cdot g_{bf} n_{0b} \partial_\xi n_{2f} + \partial_\xi n_{2f} \left[8g_{2f} p_0 \frac{m_f^2}{\hbar^2} + \frac{3p_0}{n_{0f}} - m_f V^2 \right] (m_b V^2 - g_b n_{0b}) \\
& + (m_b V^2 - g_b n_{0b}) \left[2m_f V^2 \left(\partial_\tau n_{1f} + \frac{n_{1f} \partial_\xi n_{1f}}{n_{0f}} \right) - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_f} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1f} + n_{1f} \partial_\xi n_{1f} \left(\frac{6p_0}{n_{0f}^2} + 20g_{2f} \frac{p_0 m_f^2}{n_{0f} \hbar^2} \right) \right. \\
& \left. + g_{bf} n_{1f} \partial_\xi n_{1b} + \frac{1}{2} g_{2bf} n_{0f} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1b} \right] + g_{bf} n_{0f} \left[2m_b V^2 \partial_\tau n_{1b} + 2m_b V^2 \frac{n_{1b} \partial_\xi n_{1b}}{n_{0b}} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_b} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1b} \right. \\
& \left. + g_b n_{1b} \partial_\xi n_{1b} + g_{2b} n_{0b} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1b} + g_{bf} n_{1b} \partial_\xi n_{1f} + \frac{1}{2} g_{2bf} n_{0b} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1f} \right] = 0. \quad (43)
\end{aligned}$$

The first and second terms in equation (43) contain all contribution of the second order functions (in this case they are expressed via the second order concentration of fermions n_{2f}). The coefficient in front of n_{2f} goes to zero if explicit form of velocity V (33) is used. Therefore, equation (43) reduces to equation relatively two functions n_{1b} and n_{1f} .

The first term in equation (43) and combined inside square brackets group of seven last terms present the contribution of bosons. Other terms present the contribution of fermions.

Equation (43) appears as the Euler equation for fermions. So the contribution of bosons equal to zero if the interspecies interaction constant g_{bf} goes to zero.

The lowest order on ε analysis gives relation between

concentrations of bosons and fermions

$$n_{1f} = \frac{m_b}{n_{0b} g_{bf}} \left(V^2 - \frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b} \right) n_{1b}. \quad (44)$$

This relation allows to get an equation for single function (n_{1b} for instance) from (43). Next, then n_{1b} is found we obtain the structure of soliton solution for n_{1f} using relation (44).

Moreover, we can use solution for V^2 given by equation (33) to analyze relation (44).

$$\frac{n_{1f}}{n_{1b}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{m_b}{n_{0b} g_{bf}} \left[\frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} + g_{2f} \frac{8p_0 m_f}{\hbar^2} - \frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b} \right]$$

$$+ \sqrt{\left(\frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} + g_{2f} \frac{8p_0 m_f}{\hbar^2} - \frac{n_{0b} g_b}{m_b}\right)^2 + 4 \frac{n_{0b} n_{0f} g_{bf}^2}{m_b m_f}}. \quad (45)$$

The right-hand side of equation (45) is the product of two functions: the interaction constant g_{bf} and combination of parameters located in brackets. The structure of the parameters in brackets can be expressed as follows: $\Xi + \sqrt{\Xi^2 + \Lambda^2}$. The sign of this structure does not depend on signs and values of parameters Ξ , Λ being always positive. Therefore, perturbations for the bosons and fermions have same sign if boson-fermion interaction is repulsive $g_{bf} > 0$ or they have opposite signs if boson-fermion interaction is attractive $g_{bf} < 0$.

Expression (45) can be rewritten in different equivalent form

$$n_{1f} = \frac{n_{0f} g_{bf}}{m_f} \frac{1}{(V^2 - \frac{3p_{0f}}{m_f n_{0f}} - \frac{8g_{2f} p_{0f} m_f}{\hbar^2})} n_{1b}. \quad (46)$$

C. Korteweg-de Vries equation for perturbations of bosons

Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation for concentration of bosons has the following structure

$$\tilde{a} \partial_\tau n_{1b} + \tilde{b} n_{1b} \partial_\xi n_{1b} + \tilde{c} \partial_\xi^3 n_{1b} = 0, \quad (47)$$

where we find coefficients

$$\tilde{a} = 2m_b V^2 \left[1 + \frac{\frac{n_{0f} g_{bf}}{m_f} \frac{n_{0b} g_{bf}}{m_b}}{(V^2 - \frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} - \frac{8p_{0f} g_{2f} m_f}{\hbar^2})^2} \right], \quad (48)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b} = \frac{1}{n_{0b}} \left[2m_b V^2 + g_b n_{0b} + g_{bf} n_{0b} \frac{\frac{n_{0f} g_{bf}}{m_f}}{V^2 - \frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} - \frac{8p_{0f} g_{2f} m_f}{\hbar^2}} \right. \\ \left. + g_{bf} n_{0b} \frac{\frac{n_{0f} g_{bf}}{m_f} \frac{n_{0b} g_{bf}}{m_b}}{(V^2 - \frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} - \frac{8p_{0f} g_{2f} m_f}{\hbar^2})^2} \right. \\ \left. + m_b \frac{n_{0f}}{n_{0b}} \left(\frac{m_f}{m_b} \right)^{-2} \left(V^2 + \frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} + \frac{10p_{0f} g_{2f} m_f}{\hbar^2} \right) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \frac{(\frac{n_{0b} g_{bf}}{m_b})^3}{(V^2 - \frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} - \frac{8p_{0f} g_{2f} m_f}{\hbar^2})^3} \right], \quad (49) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c} = g_{2b} n_{0b} - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_b} + g_{2bf} n_{0b} \frac{\frac{n_{0f} g_{bf}}{m_f}}{V^2 - \frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} - \frac{8p_{0f} g_{2f} m_f}{\hbar^2}} \\ - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_f} \frac{\frac{n_{0f} g_{bf}}{m_f} \frac{n_{0b} g_{bf}}{m_b}}{(V^2 - \frac{3p_0}{m_f n_{0f}} - \frac{8p_{0f} g_{2f} m_f}{\hbar^2})^2}. \quad (50) \end{aligned}$$




FIG. 5: Dimensionless amplitude of soliton (47) $A = 3Ua/bn_{0b}$ Three lower lines is plotted for $F = 0.1$. The upper line is made for $F = 0.4$.




FIG. 6: Dimensionless coefficient c is demonstrated as function of dimensionless boson-fermion interaction l at fixed L for two values of boson-boson interaction constant $g = 5$ and $g = 10$ demonstrated in the figure. Other parameters have the following values: $M_0 = 4$, $N_0 = 2$, $F = 0.01$, $G = 1$, $L = 0.01$.

Coefficient \tilde{a} is always positive since V^2 is positive for solution to exist. However, condition $V^2 > 0$ gives a restriction on parameters. For instance if we drop contribution of fermions $V^2 = g_b n_{0b}/m_b$. Hence, the interaction between bosons should be repulsive $g_b > 0$.

Equation (47) can be reduced to single variable after introduction of new variable $\zeta = \tau - U\xi$. Afterwards KdV equation can be integrated. As the result of integration find nonlinear perturbation of boson concentration in the first order:

$$n_{1b} = \frac{3U\tilde{a}}{\tilde{b}} \frac{1}{\cosh^2\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{U\tilde{a}}{\tilde{c}}}\zeta\right)}. \quad (51)$$

Since coefficient \tilde{a} is positive, solution (51) can exist if coefficient \tilde{c} is positive. Sign of coefficient \tilde{b} defines the type of soliton: bright soliton for $\tilde{b} > 0$ or the dark soliton for $\tilde{b} < 0$.

Purely for bosons the coefficient \tilde{c} consists of two terms




FIG. 7: Dimensionless coefficient c is presented as function of l at simultaneous change of L as $L = \alpha_L l$ for two values of α_L : $\alpha_L = 0.01$ and $\alpha_L = 0.001$. Each of them is given for two values of boson-boson interaction constant $g = 2$ and $g = 10$. Other parameters have the following values: $M_0 = 4$, $N_0 = 2$, $F = 0.01$, $G = 1$.

$\tilde{c}_b = g_{2b}n_{0b} - \hbar^2/4m_b^2$. Hence, it is positive for nonzero interaction between bosons in third order by the interaction radius. Moreover, it requires the repulsive boson-boson interaction $g_{2b} > 0$. This conclusion is in agreement with condition $V^2 > 0$ requiring $g_b > 0$. For bosons, coefficient \tilde{a} simplifies to $\tilde{a}_b = 2m_b V^2 > 0$. Coefficient \tilde{b} also appears in simple form in this limit: $\tilde{b}_b = g_b + 2m_b V^2/n_{0b} > 0$. The transition to bosons is made by limit $g_{bf} \rightarrow 0$. It shows existence of a specific soliton solution with positive amplitude in system of bosons studied up to the TOIR. Terms proportional to g_{bf} give contribution of fermions which is discussed numerically below.

This bright soliton solution purely for bosons is obtained in Ref. [18] and [19]. Physical picture behind the bosonic bright soliton solution for repulsive bosons demonstrates deep relation to the soliton solution experimentally obtained in Ref. [55].




FIG. 8: Dimensionless width of soliton (47) $D = 2\sqrt{c}/n_{0b}^{1/3}\sqrt{Ua}$. Other parameters have the following values: $M_0 = 4$, $N_0 = 2$, $G = 1$. Values of parameters g , L and F are given in the figure.

V. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS OF THE BRIGHT-LIKE SOLITON

To perform analysis of the soliton properties and area of its existence represent KdV equation (47) and velocity of perturbation (33) in dimensionless form.

The dimensionless velocity is given via the mass of bosons and concentration of bosons since we consider the soliton which originally exists in the boson subsystem:

$$W^2 \equiv \frac{m_b^2 V^2}{\hbar^2 n_{0b}^{2/3}} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ g + \frac{3}{5} (6\pi^2)^{2/3} \frac{N_0^{2/3}}{M_0^2} \left(1 + \frac{8}{3} F \right) + \sqrt{\left(g - \frac{3}{5} (6\pi^2)^{2/3} \frac{N_0^{2/3}}{M_0^2} \left(1 + \frac{8}{3} F \right) \right)^2 + 4 \frac{N_0}{M_0} l^2} \right\}, \quad (52)$$

where $N_0 = n_{0f}/n_{0b}$, $M_0 = m_f/m_b$, $g \equiv m_b g_b n_{0b}^{1/3}/\hbar^2$, $G \equiv m_b g_{2b} n_{0b}/\hbar^2$, $l \equiv m_b g_{bf} n_{0b}^{1/3}/\hbar^2$, $L \equiv m_b g_{2bf} n_{0b}/\hbar^2$, and $F \equiv m_f g_{2f} n_{0f}/\hbar^2$. The explicit form of the equilibrium pressure for the degenerate fermions with the full spin polarization is used in form of the Fermi pressure: $p_{0f} = (6\pi^2)^{2/3} \hbar^2 n_{0f}^{5/3}/5m_f$.

Dimensionless KdV can be written as follows

$$a\partial_{\tilde{\tau}}N + bN\partial_{\tilde{\xi}}N + c\partial_{\tilde{\xi}}^3N = 0, \quad (53)$$

where $N = n_{1b}/n_{0b}$, $\tilde{\tau} = \sqrt[3]{n_{0b}}\tau$, $\tilde{\xi} = \sqrt[3]{n_{0b}}\xi$,

$$a = \frac{m_b\tilde{a}}{\hbar^2 n_{0b}^{\frac{2}{3}}} = 2W^2 \left[1 + \frac{N_0}{M_0} \frac{l^2}{D_W^2} \right], \quad (54)$$

$$b = \frac{m_b\tilde{b}}{\hbar^2 n_{0b}^{\frac{1}{3}}} = 2W^2 + g + \frac{N_0}{M_0} \frac{l^2}{D_W} + \frac{N_0}{M_0^2} \frac{l^3}{D_W^2} \\ + 2 \frac{N_0}{M_0^2} \frac{l^3}{D_W^3} \left[W^2 + \frac{3}{5} (6\pi^2)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{N_0^{\frac{2}{3}}}{M_0^2} \left(1 + \frac{10}{3} F \right) \right], \quad (55)$$

and

$$c = \frac{m_b\tilde{c}}{\hbar^2} = -\frac{1}{4} + G + \frac{N_0}{M_0} L \frac{l}{D_W} - \frac{1}{4} \frac{N_0}{M_0^3} \frac{l^2}{D_W^2}, \quad (56)$$

with

$$D_W = W^2 - \frac{3}{5} (6\pi^2)^{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{N_0^{\frac{2}{3}}}{M_0^2} \left[1 + \frac{8}{3} F \right]. \quad (57)$$

Change of sign of g_{bf} does not change the velocity of perturbation V (33) and coefficient \tilde{a} (48).

Change of sign of g_{bf} does not affect coefficient \tilde{c} (50) since it is also required change of sign of g_{2bf} . While coefficient \tilde{c} contains terms without g_{bf} and g_{2bf} , term with square of g_{bf} , and term with product of g_{bf} on g_{2bf} .

Change of sign of g_{bf} modifies coefficient \tilde{b} (49). If we consider parameters chosen in Fig. (1) find that for $g = 1$ change of sign of g_{bf} modifies coefficient \hat{b} in two times: $\hat{b}_+/\hat{b}_- \approx 2$. However, if parameter g equals to 3 or more change of sign of g_{bf} gives few percent modification of \hat{b} .

Influence of the boson-boson interaction via g on the form of soliton is demonstrated in Fig. (1). Existence of the soliton requires relatively large boson-boson interaction to get $G > 1/4$. However, Fig. (1) shows that increase of boson-boson interaction (change of g from 1 to 10 at fixed $G = 1$) leads to decrease of amplitude and width of the soliton. Further increase of g at fixed $G = 1$ leads to decrease of width with no change of amplitude. However, formal increase of g up to 100 together with increase of G up to $G = 10$ increases the width with no modification of amplitude.

The Fig. (1) is made for relatively small influence of the fermions. Increase the contribution of fermions and find their contribution in properties of perturbations.

First focus on properties of the velocity. Dependence of the dimensionless velocity (52) on the boson-boson interaction g for different boson-boson l and fermion-fermion F interactions is presented in Figs. (2), (3), (4). Each figure is made for different ratios of masses M_0 and concentrations N_0 .

Velocity square W^2 (52) shows almost linear dependence on the boson-boson interaction g . However, the presence of fermions change this dependence from linear to the superposition of the linear and the square root functions.

Main change of the dependence happens at small boson-boson interaction. Figs. (2), (3), (4) are made for relatively strong boson-fermion interaction $l \sim 1$. The fermion-fermion interaction is considered in an interval from average $F = 0.1$ to strong $F = 1$ values. Mass (concentration) increase of each species decreases (increases) the velocity V (33). This tendency conserves for dimensionless velocity (52), where increase of the mass (concentration) of fermions relatively the boson mass (concentration) decreases (increases) the velocity W (compare corresponding lines in Figs. (2), (3), (4)). Relatively small influence of fermions is demonstrated in Fig. (2), where mass of fermions is relatively large $M_0 = 9$ while variation of F is noticeable at small g . The increase of l increases the velocity W as it is seen from analytical dependence (52). The increase of fermion-fermion repulsion gives small increase of the velocity W at small g at fixed l . Role of fermion-fermion interaction increases if the mass and concentration ratios are getting closer to 1 as it is demonstrated at transition to Figs. (3) and (4).

On coefficients b and c . Coefficient c is the symmetric function of l for the fixed α_L . But coefficient b shows nonsymmetric dependence on boson-fermion interaction l . The third term in (55) is positive since $D_W > 0$. However, the fourth and last terms can be negative for attractive boson-fermion interaction.

Hence, the boson-fermion repulsion increases the amplitude of soliton. The increase can be nonmonotonic since $W^2(l^2)$ are located in the denominator of the amplitude.

There is a competition between different terms defining the amplitude $A = 3Ua/bn_{0b}$ for the attraction between bosons and fermions. The fourth and last terms become negative in this regime while the third term is positive. Hence, the sign of the amplitude change depends on parameters of the system (see Fig. 5).

Area of the soliton existence is restricted by the condition that the width of soliton $D \sim \sqrt{c}/\sqrt{a}$ is real. It means that coefficient c should be positive, since coefficient $a > 0$ is positive for all parameters.

There is simple dependence of the width of soliton on G . It is linear via the second term in c . Focus on $G = 1$.

The third term in c contains dependence on L . This is positive term for positive product lL . Let us to point out that D_W is positive for all parameters. Since parameters l and L are related introduce the following relation $L = \alpha_L l$, where $\alpha_L < 1$ is a parameter which does not depend on l or other parameters and represents independent variation of interaction constant L .

Coefficient α_L is an independent parameter. Hence, if L is fixed at change of l . It means that parameter α_L changes to compensate contribution of l in L .

If we consider dependence of c on l at fixed L the third

term in c (56) plays crucial role (for instance at $m = 4$, $n = 2$, $g = 10$, $F = 0.01$, $G = 1$, $L = 0.01$). The dependence numerically appears as almost parabolic dependence (see Fig. 6) in spite more complex analytical dependence via $W^2(l)$. This parabola has branches going below from the maximum located at positive value of l .

However, l and L are moments of the same potential of boson-fermion interaction. So we use representation $L = \alpha_L l$ introduced above. It changes dependence of c on l . In this case, parameter c is the function of l^2 .

Value $G = 1$ is chosen, so the boson-fermion interaction shifts coefficient c from value $c_0 = 0.75$. For small positive l at fixed $L = 0.01$ the shift of c is positive (see Fig. 6). There is value of $l = l_0(g)$, where the shift becomes equal to zero. Value of l_0 becomes larger at larger boson-boson interaction g . At further increase of l above l_0 the shift becomes negative $c < 0.75$. However, parameter c shows small deviation from $G - 1/4$ and has positive value. Therefore, presence of fermions does not destroy the soliton solution.

Figs. 6 and 7 show that deviations of c from value $G - 0.25$ are small. Therefore, small values of G can be chosen down to $G_{min} = 0.26$.

Consider behavior of c at fixed α_L . Monotonic increase of c as function of l^2 is found at relatively large $\alpha_L = 0.01$ and relatively large $g = 10$. Small $g = 2$ at large $\alpha_L = 0.01$ and different g at smaller $\alpha_L = 0.001$ lead to decrease of c at small l which replaces by the increase of c at larger l . The area of decrease of c from $c_0 = 0.75$ becomes wider and c_{min} becomes smaller at smaller α_L and smaller g as it is presented in Fig. 7. All of it is obtained for small fermion-fermion interaction $F = 0.01$. Area of larger F is presented for the width of soliton D on Fig. 8.

Relatively large fermion concentration and large repulsive fermion-fermion interaction can significantly decrease D_W . So, the contribution of the last negative term in c (56) can increase faster in compare with the third term in coefficient c .

Consider two parts of Fig. 8. If $F = 1$ there is no visible modification of $D(l)$ at different α_L . If the fermion-fermion repulsion is smaller $F = 0.3$ there is increase of function $D(l)$ with increase of α_L at $g = 2$. However, the stronger boson-boson repulsion hides any contribution of α_L .

Crucial role in the soliton existence plays the second constant of the boson-boson interaction $G > 0.25$. First interaction constants for the boson-boson and boson-fermion interactions defines properties of the solution. The constants of the boson-fermion and fermion-fermion interaction existing in the third order on the interaction radius have small influence if the boson-boson repulsive interaction is strong $g \geq 1$. Condition $G > 0.25$ also corresponds to this criterium.

VI. CONCLUSION

Boson-fermion mixtures have been studied in terms of hydrodynamic model. Boson-boson, fermion-fermion and boson-fermion interactions have been considered up to the third order by the interaction radius. A stress has been made on the models of fermions, where the pressure tensor has been considered as an independent function. Hence, no equation of state has been used for perturbations of pressure, but additional hydrodynamic equation for the pressure evolution is derived from the microscopic quantum model. Equation of state can be used for the equilibrium pressure.

Developed model has been used to study the bright soliton in repulsing Bose-Einstein condensate fraction. It exists due to the repulsive boson-boson interaction giving positive interaction constant in the third order by the interaction radius. Formation of soliton in fermion fraction has been found. It has been obtained that type of soliton of fermion concentration depends on the sign of boson-fermion interaction constant in the first order by the interaction radius. Hence, the boson-fermion repulsion (attraction) leads to bright (dark) soliton in fermion fraction. Influence of the fermions on the properties of soliton in boson fraction is analyzed.

The obtained model contains the first order on the interaction radius including the boson-boson interaction corresponding to the Gross-Pitaevskii equation and boson-fermion interaction (existing in well-known and cited above works on boson-fermion mixtures) which are three-dimensional zeroth moments of the interaction potential. However, the consideration of the interaction terms in the third order by the interaction radius introduces three additional interaction constants which are the second moments of the interaction potential for boson-boson, boson-fermion, and fermion-fermion interactions.

It is possible to make an estimation of new constants via well-known constants (for boson-boson and boson-fermion interactions) as it is presented in [43]. However, all constants are independent and introduce additional information about interaction potential. Hence, the experimental study of properties of the found here solitons allows to study the interaction potential in more details.

Moreover, the found solitons presents interest by themselves since they are examples of new nonlinear phenomena in ultracold mixtures.

VII. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The work of P.A. and M.T. is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 20-02-00476).

[1] T. Yefsah, A. T. Sommer, M. J. H. Ku, L. W. Cheuk, W. Ji, W. S. Bakr, and M. W. Zwierlein, Nature **499**, 426

(2013).

- [2] C. Becker, S. Stellmer, P. Soltan-Panahi, S. Drscher, M. Baumert, E.-M. Richter, J. Kronjger, K. Bongs, K. Sengstock, *Nature Phys.* **4**, 496 (2008).
- [3] M. Tylutki, A. Recati, F. Dalfovo, and S. Stringari, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 053014 (2016).
- [4] B. P. Anderson, P. C. Haljan, C. A. Regal, D. L. Feder, L. A. Collins, C. W. Clark, and E. A. Cornell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 2926 (2001).
- [5] N.-E. Guenther, P. Massignan, and A. L. Fetter, *Phys. Rev. A* **96**, 063608 (2017).
- [6] S. Gautam, A. Roy, and S. Mukerjee, *Phys. Rev. A* **89**, 013612 (2014).
- [7] W. Lee, A. H. Gheorghe, K. Tiurev, T. Ollikainen, M. Mttinen and D. S. Hall, *Science Advances* **4**, 3820 (2018).
- [8] F. Wachtler and L. Santos, *Phys. Rev. A* **93**, 061603R (2016).
- [9] R. N. Bisset, R. M. Wilson, D. Baillie, P. B. Blakie, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 033619 (2016).
- [10] F. Wachtler and L. Santos, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 043618 (2016).
- [11] T. Karpiuk, M. Brewczyk, S. Ospelkaus-Schwarzer, K. Bongs, M. Gajda, and K. Rzazewski, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 100401 (2004).
- [12] A. G. Truscott, K. E. Strecker, W. I. McAlexander, G. B. Partridge, and R. G. Hulet, *Science* **291**, 2570 (2001).
- [13] F. Schreck, L. Khaykovich, K. L. Corwin, G. Ferrari, T. Bourdel, J. Cubizolles, and C. Salomon, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 160401 (2002).
- [14] Z. Hadzibabic, C. A. Stan, K. Dieckmann, S. Gupta, M. W. Zwierlein, A. Gorlitz, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 160401 (2002).
- [15] G. Roati, F. Riboli, G. Modugno, and M. Inguscio, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 150403 (2002).
- [16] F. Dalfovo, S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **71**, 463 (1999).
- [17] T. Karpiuk, M. Brewczyk and K. Rzazewski, *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **35**, L315 (2002).
- [18] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuzmenkov, *Mod. Phys. Lett. B* **26**, 1250152 (2012).
- [19] P. A. Andreev, *Laser Phys.* **29**, 035502 (2019).
- [20] S. K. Adhikari and L. Salasnich, *Phys. Rev. A* **76**, 023612 (2007).
- [21] N. G. Parker, D. A. Smith, *Phys. Rev. A* **85**, 013604 (2012).
- [22] A. Cetoli, J. Brand, R. G. Scott, F. Dalfovo, and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 043639 (2013).
- [23] C. Sanner, E. J. Su, W. Huang, A. Keshet, J. Gillen, and W. Ketterle, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **108**, 240404 (2012).
- [24] G. Valtolina, F. Scazza, A. Amico, A. Burchianti, A. Recati, T. Enss, M. Inguscio, M. Zaccanti, and G. Roati, *Nature Phys.* **13**, 704 (2017).
- [25] C. Ravensbergen, V. Corre, E. Soave, M. Kreyer, E. Kirilov, and R. Grimm, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 063624 (2018).
- [26] K. A. Matveev, and A. V. Andreev, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 266801 (2017).
- [27] K. A. Matveev, A. V. Andreev, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 041102 (2012).
- [28] J. M. Luttinger, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 1154 (1963).
- [29] F. D. M. Haldane, *J. Phys. C: Solid State Phys.* **14**, 2585 (1981).
- [30] L. A. Sidorenkov, M. K. Tey, R. Grimm, Y.-H. Hou, L. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Nature* **498**, 78 (2013).
- [31] B. J. DeSalvo, K. Patel, J. Johansen, and C. Chin, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 233401 (2017).
- [32] D. Rakshit, T. Karpiuk, M. Brewczyk, M. Gajda, *Sci. Post. Phys.* **6**, 079 (2019).
- [33] Zhen-Kai Lu, S. I. Matveenko, and G. V. Shlyapnikov, *Phys. Rev. A* **88**, 033625 (2013).
- [34] G. Csire, D. Schumayer, and B. Apagyi, *Phys. Rev. A* **82**, 063608 (2010).
- [35] M. A. Hoefer, J. J. Chang, C. Hamner, and P. Engels, *Phys. Rev. A* **84**, 041605(R) (2011).
- [36] K. V. Zezyulin, P. A. Andreev, and L. S. Kuzmenkov, *Eur. Phys. J. D* **67**, 140 (2013).
- [37] G. C. Katsimiga, G. M. Koutentakis, S. I. Mistakidis, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher, *New J. Phys.* **19**, 073004 (2017).
- [38] G. C. Katsimiga, S. I. Mistakidis, G. M. Koutentakis, P. G. Kevrekidis and P. Schmelcher, *New J. Phys.* **19**, 123012 (2017).
- [39] G. C. Katsimiga, S. I. Mistakidis, G. M. Koutentakis, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher, *Phys. Rev. A* **98**, 013632 (2018).
- [40] S. I. Mistakidis, G. C. Katsimiga, P. G. Kevrekidis, and P. Schmelcher, *New J. Phys.* **20**, 043052 (2018).
- [41] S. Giorgini, L. P. Pitaevskii, and S. Stringari, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80**, 1215 (2008).
- [42] L. S. Kuz'menkov, S. G. Maksimov, and V. V. Fedoseev, *Theor. Math. Fiz.* **126**, 136 (2001) [*Theoretical and Mathematical Physics* **126**, 110 (2001)].
- [43] P. A. Andreev, L. S. Kuz'menkov, *Phys. Rev. A* **78**, 053624 (2008).
- [44] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:2001.02764.
- [45] P. Ruggiero, P. Calabrese, B. Doyon, J. Dubail, arXiv:1910.00570
- [46] B. Bertini, M. Collura, J. De Nardis, and M. Fagotti, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, 207201 (2016).
- [47] S. K. Adhikari, *Journal of Physics B* **38**, 3607 (2005).
- [48] N. N. Rosanov, A. G. Vladimirov, D. V. Skryabin, W. J. Firth, *Phys. Lett. A* **293**, 45 (2002).
- [49] E. Braaten, H.-W. Hammer, and Shawn Hermans, *Phys. Rev. A* **63**, 063609 (2001).
- [50] P. A. Andreev, arXiv:1912.00843.
- [51] H. Washimi and T. Taniuti, *Phys. Rev. Lett* **17**, 996 (1966).
- [52] B. C. Kalita, R. Das, *Plasma Phys.* **5**, 3588 (1998).
- [53] P. A. Andreev, *Laser Phys. Lett.* **15**, 105501 (2018).
- [54] G.-B. Jo, Y.-R. Lee, J.-H. Choi, C. A. Christensen, T. H. Kim, J. H. Thywissen, D. E. Pritchard, and W. Ketterle, *Science* **325**, 1521 (2009).
- [55] Z. Wang, M. Cherkasskii, B. A. Kalinikos, L. D. Carr, M. Wu, *New J. Physics* **16**, 053048 (2014).