

# The Q.Q Interaction and Variations of Single Particle Energies

Mingyang Ma<sup>1</sup>, Praveen Srivastava<sup>2</sup> and Larry Zamick<sup>1</sup>

1. Department of Physics and Astronomy,

Rutgers University, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854

2. Department of Physics,

Indian Institute of Technology Roorkee, Roorkee 247 667, India

January 13, 2022

## 1 Abstract

In this work we make further studies of the quadruple quadruple interaction used in shell model calculations. Whereas in a previous work we adjusted the single particle energies so as to obtain the rotational spectrum of the Elliott model, we here vary the single particle energies and examine the various spectral shapes that evolve.

## 2 Introduction

In a previous work [1] we used a Q.Q interaction to study the spectrum of  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  in the context of a shell model calculation. To get the much studied Elliott model results [2-7], namely of a  $J(J+1)$  spectrum, one has to choose the single particle energies with care.

The Elliott formula [2] for the energies is

$$E(SU(3)) = \chi'[-4(\lambda^2 + \mu^2 + \lambda\mu + 3(\lambda + \mu))] + 3\chi'L(L+1) \quad (1)$$

where  $\chi' = 5b^4/(32\pi)\chi$ .

To get these SU(3) results in the shell model one has to introduce a single particle energy splitting [8, 9]

$$E(L_2) - E(L_1) = 3\chi'[L_2(L_2+1) - L_1(L_1+1)] \quad (2)$$

We can now use the simple Q.Q interaction without the momentum terms. For completeness we also list the rotational model formulas of Bohr and Mottelson [10].

$$B(E_2(I_i K \rightarrow I_f K)) = (5/16\pi)Q_0^2(I_i K 2 0 | I_f K)^2. \quad (3)$$

$$Q(I, K) = (3K^2 - I(I + 1))/((I + 1)(2I + 3))Q_0. \quad (4)$$

It should be noted that although both the Elliott model and the rotational model yield  $J(J + 1)$  spectra, they do not agree on the  $B(E2)$ 's. For a  $J$  to  $(J - 2)$  transition, the Elliott model shows a decreased  $B(E2)$  with increasing  $J$  and band termination whereas with Bohr and Mottelson, the corresponding  $B(E2)$ s increase with increasing  $J$ .

What is new in this work is that we will change the single particle energies. We will then of course no longer get rotational spectra but it will be of interest to see what new spectral shapes emerge.

### 3 The 0p shell.

If we make the  $0p_{3/2}$  and  $0p_{1/2}$  single particle energies degenerate, then with the Q.Q interaction we get “rotational band” energies for the yrast  $J = 0, 2, 4$  states —  $E(J) = CJ(J + 1)$ . In Table 1 we show the  $p$  shell yrast spectrum as we introduce a single particle splitting  $e(p_{1/2}) - e(p_{3/2}) = \Delta$ . The results are also shown in Fig 1.

Table 1: Energy Levels for the Configuration  $(p^2)_\pi$  and  $(p^2)_\mu$  as a Function of  $\Delta = e(p_{1/2}) - e(p_{3/2})$ . The Q.Q Interaction Is Used

$J/\Delta$	0	1	5	10	100
0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.8953	0.9122	0.8753	0.8416	0.7965
4	2.9844	2.8967	2.2777	2.1340	1.9912
$E(4)/E(2)$	3.3333	3.1777	2.5930	2.5362	2.5




Figure 1: P Shell Yrast Spectrum

Note that the ratio  $E4/E2$  starts at 3.3333 and ends at 2.5. The beginning is of course the rotational limit, as was shown by Elliott. The ratio 2.5 corresponds to the single  $j$  shell case — pure  $p_{3/2}$ . In this limit the quadruple moment of the  $2^+$  state is zero because we are at midshell.

Amusingly, in a recent publication by Sharon et al.[11] a similar journey was described from a strong prolate deformation to a gamma soft rotor[12]. At the latter  $O(6)$  limit [13], the quadruple moment was also zero and the ratio of energies  $E4/E2$  was equal to 2.5.

The expression for the matrix elements for 2 protons and 2 neutrons in a single  $j$  shell is here given.

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle (j j)^{J_p} (j j)^{J_n} | V | (j j)^{J_{p'}} (j j)^{J_{n'}} \rangle^J = \\ & 4 \left( \sum ((j j)^{J_p} (j j)^{J_n} | (j j)^{J_a} (j j)^{J_b})^J * ((j j)^{J_{p'}} (j j)^{J_{n'}} | (j j)^{J_a} (j j)^{J_b})^J E(J_b) \right. \\ & \left. + (E(J_p) + E(J_n)) \delta_{J_p J_{p'}} \delta_{J_n J_{n'}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the above we use unitary 9j symbols-schematically

$U9j = ((2J_p + 1)(2J_n + 1)(2J_a + 1)(2J_b + 1))^{1/2}$  regular 9j. Here  $J_p, J_n, J_{p'}, J_{n'}$  and  $J_b$  are all even.  $J_a$  can be even or odd.

We next look at the 2 body matrix elements  $V(J)$  of Q.Q in the  $p_{3/2}$  shell. From  $J=0$  to  $J=3$  they are  $\{-0.497359, -0.099472, -0.298416$  and  $-0.099472\}$

$\chi b^4$ .

At first these look very complicated but note that  $E(1)$  equals  $E(3)$ . Let us add a constant to all of these matrix elements so that  $E(1)=E(3)=0$ . This will not affect the spectrum. Thus the shifted levels are  $\{-EY, 0, EY, 0\} \chi b^4$  with  $EY = 0.39789$ .

We now address the problem of why the ratio  $E(4)/E(2) = 2.5$ . The basics states are  $[J_p J_n]J$ .

There is only one configuration for  $J=4$   $[2 2]4$ . Using units of  $EY \chi b^4$  we find  $E(4) = 3$ .

For  $J=0$  we have 2 configurations  $[0 0]0$  and  $[2 2]0$ . The secular matrix is

-1	-2.236068
-2.236068	3

The eigenvalues are -2, and 4.

For  $J=2$  we have 3 configurations  $[0 2]2$   $[2 0]2$  and  $[2 2]2$ . The secular matrix is

1	1	0
1	1	0
0	0	3

The eigenvalues are 0, 2 and 3.

Thus the lowest eigenvalues for  $J=0, 2$  and 4 are respectively -2, 0 and 3. If we again make a shift so that the  $J=0$  state is at zero energy then we have

$E'(0) = 0$ ,  $E'(2) = 2$  and  $E'(5) = 5$  and hence  $E'(5)/E'(2) = 2.5$ .

Note that there is no coupling between  $[0 2]2$  and  $[2 2]2$ , or between  $[2 0]2$  and  $[2 2]2$ . This is due to the vanishing of the  $U9j$

$((3/2\ 3/2)0, (3/2\ 3/2)2 \mid (3/2\ 3/2)2, (3/2\ 3/2)2)^2$ . The vanishings of certain 6j and 9j coefficients has been previously studied by Robinson and Zamick [14] and references therein.

## 4 The 1s 0d shell.

As noted by Kingan et al. [1] if, with the Q.Q interaction, we choose the single particle energies in the  $1s - 0d$  shell such that  $e(0d_{3/2}) = e(0d_{5/2}) = 0.8952$  and  $e(1s_{1/2}) = 0$ , we get a rotational band. Starting from there we now move the  $1s$  above the degenerate  $d$  pair by an amount  $\Delta$  and explore what interesting spaces evolve. We show numerical results in Table 2 and visual results in Fig. 2.

Table 2: Separating  $1s$  From  $0d$  by an Amount  $\Delta$  for 2 Protons and 2 Neutrons in the  $1s - 0d$  Shell. The Q.Q Interaction Is Used.

J/ $\Delta$	Rot	3	8	9	10	20	100
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	0.8952	0.6469	1.1499	1.2420	1.3199	1.2075	0.7264
4	2.9840	2.0062	1.3372	1.2999	1.2701	1.1362	1.0247
6	6.2664	4.2063	3.2534	3.2486	3.2532	3.3453	2.9701
8	10.7424	6.8472	3.7202	3.5202	3.3587	2.6402	2.1115
$E(4)/E(2)$	3.3333	3.1013	1.1629	1.0466	0.9623	0.9410	1.4107




Figure 2: Separating  $1s$  From  $0d$  by an Amount  $\Delta$  for 2 Protons and 2 Neutrons in the  $1s - 0d$  Shell

As shown in figure 2, an interesting behavior emerges near  $\Delta = 9$ . One gets 2 sets of near doublets with the  $J = 2^+$  and  $4^+$  nearly degenerate and likewise the  $6^+$  and  $8^+$ . Note also that from  $\Delta=10$  to  $20$ , the  $2^+$  state is at a higher energy than the  $4^+$  state. However for very large  $\Delta$ , the usual order recovers with  $J = 4^+$  higher than  $J = 2^+$ . However the  $J = 8^+$  state comes below the

$J = 6^+$  state causing  $J = 8^+$  to be isomeric. Such behavior is not unusual.




Figure 3: The  $1s_{1/2}$  and  $0d_{3/2}$  Are Set Equal and Moved an Amount  $\Delta$  Above  $0d_{5/2}$

The trend in Fig 3, where both the  $1s_{1/2}$  and  $0d_{3/2}$  single particle energies are raised relative to  $0d_{5/2}$  is simpler than in Fig.2. Note that the ratio  $E4/E2$ , which in the rotational limit is  $10/3$ , has a value of 3.298 for  $\Delta=1$  whereas when  $\Delta$  is very large the value reduces to 2.417. The latter is not too far off from the value of 2.5 in the p shell.

## 5 Added Remarks

We have had a long standing interest in results emanating from schematic interactions and in particular those from the Q.Q interaction. For example, with Esduderos [15,16] interesting degeneracies were found which involved isospin  $T = 0$  and  $T = 2$  "doublets". With Harper [17] it was found that the wave functions of a single  $j$  shell for a system of 2 protons and 2 neutrons could be well approximated by unitary  $9j$  coefficients. Generally speaking, schematic interactions can give simple physical insights to more complex behaviors.

The calculations in this work were carried out using The Shell Model Code NUSHELLX@MSU[18].

## References

- [1] A.Kingan, X. Yu and L.Zamick, Int. Jour. Modern Physics E,27 (2018) 1850056
- [2] J.P. Elliott, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser .A 245,128 (1958)
- [3] J. P. Elliott, Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser A 245,562 (1958)
- [4] J.P. Elliott and M. Harvey, Proc. R. Soc. London,Ser. A 272,557 (1963)
- [5] M. Harvey, Advances in Nuclear Science,ed. Baranger and Vogt, 67 (1968)
- [6] J.P. Elliott and C.M. Wilsdom , Proc. Roy. Soc. A302,509 (1968)
- [7] T. K. Alexander,O. Hausser, A.B. Mcdonald, A.J.Ferguson, W.T.Diamond and A.E. Litherland , Nucl. Phys.A 179,477 (1972)
- [8] M. S. Fayache, Y. Y. Sharon, and L. Zamick, Phys. Rev. C55, March (1997), 1575-1576.
- [9] E. Moya de Guerra, P. Sarriguren and L. Zamick, Phys. Rev. C56, August 1997, 863-867.
- [10] A Bohr and B.R. Mottelson, Nuclear Structre II: Nuclear Deformation,World Scientific, Singapore (1975)
- [11] Y.Y. Sharon,N. Benczer-Koller, G.J. Kumbartzki, L.Zamick and R.F. Casten,Nuclear Physics A580 (2018)131
- [12] L. Wilets and M. Jean , Phys. Rev.102,788 (1956)
- [13] J.A.Cizewski , R.F. Casten, G.J. Smith,M.L.Stelth,W.R. Krane, H.G. Borner,and W.F.. Davidson,Phys. Rev. Lett. 40, 1671(1978)
- [14] L.Zamick and S.J.Q. Robinson, Phys. Rev. C84 044325 (2011).
- [15] A. Escuderos and L.Zamick, Romanian Journal of Physics, Vol. 58, Nos. 9-10, 1064 ( 2013)
- [16] L. Zamick and A.Escuderos, Romanian Journal of Physics,62,302(2017)
- [17] M. Harper and L. Zamick, Physical Review C 91 034331 (2015).
- [18] The Shell Model Code NUSHELLX@MSU, B.A. Brown and W.D.M. Rae, <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0090375214004748>