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#### Abstract

In this paper, we state some characterizations of $h$-convex function is defined on a convex set in a linear space. By doing so, we extend the Jensen-Mercer inequality for $h$-convex function. We will also define $h$ convex function for operators on a Hilbert space and present the operator version of the Jensen-Mercer inequality. Lastly, we propound the complementary inequality of Jensen's inequality for $h$-convex functions.


## 1. Introduction

Assume that $I$ is an interval in $\mathbb{R}$. Let us recall definitions of some special classes of functions.

We say that [6] $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Godunova-Levin function, or that $f$ belongs to the class $Q(I)$ if $f$ is non-negative and for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq \frac{f(x)}{t}+\frac{f(y)}{1-t}
$$

For $s \in(0,1]$, a function $f:[0, \infty) \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is said to be $s$-convex function, or that $f$ belongs to the class $K_{s}^{2}$, if

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq t^{s} f(x)+(1-t)^{s} f(y)
$$

for every $x, y \in[0, \infty)$ and $t \in[0,1]$ (see [1]). Also, we say that $f: I \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ is a $P$-function [4], or that $f$ belongs to the class $P(I)$, if for all $x, y \in I$ and $t \in[0,1]$ we have

$$
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq f(x)+f(y)
$$

Throughout this paper, suppose that $I$ and $J$ are intervals in $\mathbb{R},(0,1) \subseteq J$ and functions $h$ and $f$ are real non-negative functions defined on $J$ and $I$, respectively.

In [10], Varošanec defined the $h$-convex function as follows:
Let $h: J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function, $h \not \equiv 0$. We say that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$
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is a $h$-convex function, or that $f$ belongs to the class $S X(h, I)$, if $f$ is nonnegative and for all $x, y \in I, t \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq h(t) f(x)+h(1-t) f(y) . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If inequality (1.1) is reversed, then $f$ is said to be $h$-concave, that is $f \in$ $S V(h, I)$.

If $h(t)=t$, then all non-negative convex functions belong to $S X(h, I)$ and all non-negative concave functions belong to $S V(h, I)$. If $h(t)=\frac{1}{t}$, then $S X(h, I)=Q(I)$; if $h(t)=1$, then $S X(h, I) \supseteq P(I)$; and if $h(t)=t^{s}$, where $s \in(0,1)$, then $S X(h, I) \supseteq K_{s}^{2}$.

A function $h: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be a super-additive function if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x+y) \geq h(x)+h(y) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in J$. If inequality (1.2) is reversed, then $h$ is said to be a subadditive function. If the equality holds in (1.2), then $h$ is said to be an additive function.

Function $h$ is called a super-multiplicative function if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x y) \geq h(x) h(y) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in J[10]$. If inequality (1.3) is reversed, then $h$ is called a submultiplicative function. If the equality holds in (1.3), then $h$ is called a multiplicative function.

Example 1.1. [10] Consider the function $h:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $h(x)=(c+$ $x)^{p-1}$. If $c=0$, then the function $h$ is multiplicative. If $c \geq 1$, then for $p \in(0,1)$ the function $h$ is super-multiplicative and for $p>1$ the function $h$ is sub-multiplicative.

## 2. Preliminaries

In what follows we assume that $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ are Hilbert spaces, $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ are $C^{*}$-algebras of all bounded linear operators on the appropriate Hilbert space with identities $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $I_{\mathcal{K}}, \mathbb{B}_{h}(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the algebra of all self-adjoint operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$. An operator $A \in \mathbb{B}_{h}(\mathcal{H})$ is called positive, if $\langle A x, x\rangle \geq 0$ holds for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and then we write $A \geq 0$. For $A, B \in \mathbb{B}_{h}(\mathcal{H})$, we say $A \leq B$ if $B-A \geq 0$. We write $A>0$ and say $A$ is strictly positive operator, if $A$ is a positive invertible operator. Let $f$ be a continuous real valued function defined on an interval $I$. The function $f$ is called operator monotone if $A \leq B$
implies $f(A) \leq f(B)$ for all $A, B$ with spectra in $I$. A function $f$ is said to be operator convex on $I$ if

$$
f(t A+(1-t) B) \leq t f(A)+(1-t) f(B)
$$

for all $A, B \in \mathbb{B}_{h}(\mathcal{H})$ with spectra in $I$ and all $t \in[0,1]$. A map $\Phi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is called positive if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$, whenever $A \geq 0$ and is said to be normalized if $\Phi\left(I_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=I_{\mathcal{K}}$. We denote by $\mathbf{P}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$ the set of all positive linear maps $\Phi: \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and by $\mathbf{P}_{N}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$ the set of all normalized positive linear maps $\Phi \in \mathbf{P}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$. If $\Phi \in \mathbf{P}_{N}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$ and $f$ is an operator convex function on an interval $I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\Phi(A)) \leq \Phi(f(A)) \quad \text { (Davis-Choi-Jensen's inequality) } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every self-adjoint operator $A$ on $\mathcal{H}$, whose spectrum is contained in $I$, see [5].

## 3. Characterizations

Assume that $C$ is a convex subset of a linear space $X$ and $f$ is an arbitrary real-valued function on $C$. The non-negative function $f: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called $h$-convex function on $C$, if $f(t x+(1-t) y) \leq h(t) f(x)+h(1-t) f(y)$ for every $x, y \in C$ and $t \in[0,1]$.

Let $x$ and $y$ be two fixed elements in $C$. Define the map $f_{x, y}$ as follows:

$$
f_{x, y}:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{x, y}(t)=f(t x+(1-t) y)
$$

The following theorem is a characterization of $h$-convex functions.
Theorem 3.1 (First characterization). With the above assumptions, the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is a $h$-convex function on $C$.
(ii) The mapping $f_{x, y}$ is a $h$-convex function on $[0,1]$, for any $x, y \in C$.

Proof. First, assume that (i) holds. Let $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$ such that $\alpha+\beta=1$ and $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0,1]$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{x, y}\left(\alpha t_{1}+\beta t_{2}\right) & =f\left(\left(\alpha t_{1}+\beta t_{2}\right) x+\left(1-\alpha t_{1}-\beta t_{2}\right) y\right) \\
& =f\left(\alpha\left(t_{1} x+\left(1-t_{1}\right) y\right)+\beta\left(t_{2} x+\left(1-t_{2}\right) y\right)\right) \\
& \leq h(\alpha) f\left(t_{1} x+\left(1-t_{1}\right) y\right)+h(\beta) f\left(t_{2} x+\left(1-t_{2}\right) y\right) \\
& =h(\alpha) f_{x, y}\left(t_{1}\right)+h(\beta) f_{x, y}\left(t_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

this means that $f_{x, y}$ is a $h$-convex function on $[0,1]$.
Conversely, suppose that (ii) holds. For $t \in[0,1]$ and $x, y \in C$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t x+(1-t) y) & =f_{x, y}(t)=f_{x, y}((1-t) 0+t 1) \\
& \leq h(1-t) f_{x, y}(0)+h(t) f_{x, y}(1) \\
& =h(1-t) f(y)+h(t) f(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $f$ is a $h$-convex function on $C$.
Now, for fixed $t \in[0,1]$, we define the function $f_{t}: C^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $f_{t}(x, y)=$ $f(t x+(1-t) y)$.

In the next theorem, we state a new characterization of $h$-convex functions.
Theorem 3.2 (Second characterization). The following statements of h-convex functions hold:
(i) If $f$ is a $h$-convex function on $C$, then $f_{t}$ is a $h$-convex function on $C^{2}$ for every $t \in[0,1]$.
(ii) If $C$ is a cone in $X$ and $f_{t}$ is a $h$-convex function on $C^{2}$ for every $t \in(0,1)$, then $f$ is a $h$-convex function on $C$.

Proof. (i) For fixed $t \in[0,1]$ and $(x, y),(u, v) \in C^{2}$. Then for every $\alpha \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{t}(\alpha(x, y)+(1-\alpha)(u, v)) & =f_{t}(\alpha x+(1-\alpha) u, \alpha y+(1-\alpha) v) \\
& =f(t(\alpha x+(1-\alpha) u)+(1-t)(\alpha y+(1-\alpha) v)) \\
& =f(\alpha(t x+(1-t) y)+(1-\alpha)(t u+(1-t) v)) \\
& \leq h(\alpha) f(t x+(1-t) y)+h(1-\alpha) f(t u+(1-t) v) \\
& =h(\alpha) f_{t}(x, y)+h(1-\alpha) f_{t}(u, v),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, $f_{t}$ is a $h$-convex function on $C^{2}$.
(ii) Let $x, y \in C$ and $t \in(0,1)$. Since $C$ is cone in $X, C+C \subseteq C$ and $\alpha C \subseteq C$ for every $\alpha \geq 0$, then $t^{-1} x,(1-t)^{-1} y \in C$ and $\left(t^{-1} x, 0\right),\left(0,(1-t)^{-1} y\right) \in C^{2}$. On the other hand, by $h$-convexity of $f_{t}$ on $C^{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(t x+(1-t) y) & =f_{t}(x, y) \\
& =f_{t}\left(t\left(t^{-1} x, 0\right)+(1-t)\left(0,(1-t)^{-1} y\right)\right) \\
& \leq h(t) f_{t}\left(t^{-1} x, 0\right)+h(1-t) f_{t}\left(0,(1-t)^{-1} y\right) \\
& =h(t) f(x)+h(1-t) f(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore, $f$ is a $h$-convex function on $C$.

Theorem 3.3 (Third characterization). Let h be a strictly positive multiplicative function, then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is a h-convex function.
(ii) If $(1+s) x-s y \in C$, for every $x, y \in C$ and $s \geq 0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f((1+s) x-s y) \geq h(1+s) f(x)-h(s) f(y) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. First, note that multiplicity of $h$ implies that $h\left(\frac{1}{t}\right)=\frac{1}{h(t)}$, for every $t>0$ and $h(1)=1$.

Assume that (i) holds. By using $x=\frac{1}{s+1}[(1+s) x-s y]+\frac{s}{s+1} y$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x) & =f\left(\frac{1}{s+1}[(1+s) x-s y]+\frac{s}{s+1} y\right) \\
& \leq h\left(\frac{1}{s+1}\right) f[(1+s) x-s y]+h\left(\frac{s}{s+1}\right) f(y) \\
& \left.=\frac{h(1)}{h(s+1)} f[(1+s) x-s y]+\frac{h(s)}{h(s+1)} f(y) \quad \text { (by multiplicity of } h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore,

$$
f[(1+s) x-s y] \geq h(1+s) f(x)-h(s) f(y) .
$$

Now, suppose that (ii) holds. If $\alpha, \beta \in[0,1]$ and $\alpha+\beta=1$, then there exists $s \geq 0$ such that $\alpha=\frac{1}{s+1}$ and $\beta=\frac{s}{s+1}$. Put $z=\alpha x+\beta y$, hence $x=(1+s) z-s y$ and so

$$
f(x)=f((1+s) z-s y) \geq h(1+s) f(z)-h(s) f(y) .
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\alpha x+\beta y) & =f(z) \leq \frac{1}{h(1+s)} f(x)+\frac{h(s)}{h(1+s)} f(y) \\
& =h\left(\frac{1}{1+s}\right) f(x)+h\left(\frac{s}{1+s}\right) f(y) \\
& =h(\alpha) f(x)+h(\beta) f(y),
\end{aligned}
$$

this show that $f$ is $h$-convex function.

Theorem 3.4. (i) Assume that $X$ is a real vector space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an even $h$-convex function. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f((1-2 t) x)+f((2 t-1) y)}{h(t)+h(1-t)} & \leq f((1-t) x+t y)+f(t x+(1-t) y) \\
& \leq[h(t)+h(1-t)][f(x)+f(y)] \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Let $X$ be a topological vector space, $h$ be an integrable strictly positive function and $f$ be a continuous even $h$-convex function, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}[f(t x)+f(t y)] \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{0}^{1}[h(t)+h(1-t)] f(t x+(1-t) y) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In addition, if $h$ is super-additive, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 h(1)\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)} \int_{0}^{1}[f(t x)+f(t y)] \mathrm{d} t \leq f(x)+f(y) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) Since $f$ is a $h$-convex function, hence the right inequality of (3.2) is clear. Set $a=(1-t) x+t y$ and $b=-t x-(1-t) y$, we have $(1-t) a+t b=(1-2 t) x$ and $t a+(1-t) b=(2 t-1) y$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f((1-2 t) x)+f((2 t-1) y) \\
& =f((1-t) a+t b)+f(t a+(1-t) b) \\
& \leq[h(t)+h(1-t)][f(a)+f(b)] \quad \quad \text { (by the right inequality of }(3.2)) \\
& =[h(t)+h(1-t)][f((1-t) x+t y)+f(-t x-(1-t) y)] \\
& =[h(t)+h(1-t)][f((1-t) x+t y)+f(t x+(1-t) y)] . \quad(f \text { is a even function })
\end{aligned}
$$

We therefore deduce the desired inequality in (3.2).
(ii) By using (3.2) inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
f((1-2 t) x)+f((2 t-1) y) \leq[h(t)+h(1-t)][f((1-t) x+t y)+f(t x+(1-t) y)] . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating each side of (3.5), we have

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{0}^{1} & f((1-2 t) x) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{1} f((2 t-1) y) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}[h(t)+h(1-t)] f((1-t) x+t y) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{0}^{1}[h(t)+h(1-t)] f(t x+(1-t) y) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =2 \int_{0}^{1}[h(t)+h(1-t)] f(t x+(1-t) y) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{3.6}
\end{array}
$$

Since $f$ is even and by changing of variables $u=1-2 t$, yield

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f((1-2 t) x) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{1} f(t x) \mathrm{d} t
$$

and similarly

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f((2 t-1) y) \mathrm{d} t=\int_{0}^{1} f(t y) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Consequently, by (3.6), the proof of (3.3) completes.
Now, assume that $h$ is supper-additive. Hence by (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}[f(t x)+f(t y)] \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1}[h(t)+h(1-t)] f(t x+(1-t) y) \mathrm{d} t \\
& \leq h(1) \int_{0}^{1}[h(t) f(x)+h(1-t) f(y)] \mathrm{d} t \quad(h \text { is super-additive and } f \text { is } h \text {-convex }) \\
& =h(1)\left[f(x)\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)+f(y)\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(1-t) \mathrm{d} t\right)\right] \\
& =h(1)\left(\int_{0}^{1} h(t) \mathrm{d} t\right)[f(x)+f(y)]
\end{aligned}
$$

this show that (3.4) holds.
Corollary 3.5. (i) Assume that $X$ is a real vector space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an even convex function. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
f((1-2 t) x)+f((2 t-1) y) & \leq f((1-t) x+t y)+f(t x+(1-t) y) \\
& \leq f(x)+f(y) \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Let $X$ be a topological vector space and $f$ be a continuous even convex function, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1}[f(t x)+f(t y)] \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{0}^{1} f(t x+(1-t) y) \mathrm{d} t \leq f(x)+f(y) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Enough put in Theorem 3.4, $h(t)=t$.
Corollary 3.6. [9, Lemma 3.2]
(i) Assume that $X$ is a real vector space and $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an even function in $P(I)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f((1-2 t) x)+f((2 t-1) y)}{2} & \leq f((1-t) x+t y)+f(t x+(1-t) y) \\
& \leq 2(f(x)+f(y)) \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

(ii) Let $X$ be a topological vector space and $f$ be a continuous even function in $P(I)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \int_{0}^{1}[f(t x)+f(t y)] \mathrm{d} t \leq \int_{0}^{1} f(t x+(1-t) y) \mathrm{d} t \leq f(x)+f(y) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. In Theorem 3.4, put $h(t)=1$.
Example 3.7. [9, Theorem 3.3] Let $(X,\|\cdot\|)$ be a normed space, $x, y \in X$ and $0<p<1$. Since $f(x)=\|x\|^{p}$ is an even continuous $P$-convex function, we have the following Hermit-Hadamard inequality

$$
\frac{\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}}{4(p+1)} \leq \int_{0}^{1}\|(1-t) x+t y\|^{p} \mathrm{~d} t \leq\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}
$$

## 4. Jensen-Mercer type inequality

In [8], Mercer proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{1}+x_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} x_{j}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} f\left(x_{j}\right) . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x_{j}$ 's also satisfy in the condition $0<x_{1} \leq x_{2} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n}, t_{j} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}=1$.

In this section, we present the Jensen-Mercer inequality for $h$-convex functions.

Theorem 4.1. [10, Theorem 19] Let $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}$ be positive real numbers ( $n \geq$ 2). If $h$ is a non-negative super-multiplicative function, $f$ is a $h$-convex function on $I$ and $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n} \in I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{1}{T_{n}} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} x_{j}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(\frac{t_{j}}{T_{n}}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right), \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{n}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}$.
Lemma 4.2. Let $0<x \leq y$ and $f$ be a h-convex function, then for every $z \in[x, y]$, there exists $\lambda \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y-z) \leq[h(\lambda)+h(1-\lambda)][f(x)+f(y)]-f(z) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $h$ is super-additive, then

$$
f(x+y-z) \leq h(1)[f(x)+f(y)]-f(z) .
$$

Proof. Since $z \in[x, y]$, there exists $\lambda \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
z=\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y .
$$

By using $h$-convexity of $f$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x+y-z) & =f((1-\lambda) x+\lambda y) \\
& \leq h(1-\lambda) f(x)+h(\lambda) f(y) \\
& =[h(\lambda)+h(1-\lambda)][f(x)+f(y)]-[h(\lambda) f(x)+h(1-\lambda) f(y)] \\
& \leq[h(\lambda)+h(1-\lambda)][f(x)+f(y)]-f(\lambda x+(1-\lambda) y) \\
& =[h(\lambda)+h(1-\lambda)][f(x)+f(y)]-f(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $h$ is super-additive, then $h(\lambda)+h(1-\lambda) \leq h(1)$. So the end part of theorem holds.

Theorem 4.3. Let $f$ be a h-convex function on an interval containing the $x_{j}(j=1, \cdots, n)$ such that $0<x_{1} \leq \cdots \leq x_{n}$, then
$f\left(x_{1}+x_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} x_{j}\right) \leq\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right)\left[h\left(\lambda_{j}\right)+h\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right)\right]\right)\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right)$, where for every $j=1, \cdots, n$, there exists $\lambda_{j} \in[0,1]$ such that $x_{j}=\lambda_{j} x_{1}+$ $\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right) x_{n}$.

Proof. With the above assumption, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(x_{1}+x_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} x_{j}\right) \\
& \quad=f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}\left(x_{1}+x_{n}-x_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(x_{1}+x_{n}-x_{j}\right) \\
& \quad \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right)\left(\left[h\left(\lambda_{j}\right)+h\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right)\right]\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)-f\left(x_{j}\right)\right) \quad(\text { by Lemma 4.2) } \\
& \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right)\left[h\left(\lambda_{j}\right)+h\left(1-\lambda_{j}\right)\right]\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

this completes the proof.

Corollary 4.4. With the assumptions of previous theorem, if $h$ is a superadditive function such that for every probability vector $\left(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}\right), \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \leq$ 1, then

$$
f\left(x_{1}+x_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} x_{j}\right) \leq h(1)\left(f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right) .
$$

Moreover, if $h$ is multiplicative, then

$$
f\left(x_{1}+x_{n}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} x_{j}\right) \leq f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{n}\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(x_{j}\right) .
$$

## 5. Operator $h$-Convex functions

In this section, we present the definition of operator $h$-convex function for operators acting on a Hilbert space.

Definition 5.1. Let $h: J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a non-negative function, $h \not \equiv 0$. We say that $f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an operator $h$-convex function, if $f$ is non-negative and for all $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sigma(A), \sigma(B) \subseteq I$ and $t \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t A+(1-t) B) \leq h(t) f(A)+h(1-t) f(B), \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma(A)$ and $\sigma(B)$ are spectrum of $A$ and $B$, respectively.
If inequality (5.1) is reversed, then $f$ is said to be operator $h$-concave.
If $t=\frac{1}{2}$ in (5.1), then $f$ is called $h$-mid-convex function.
Example 5.2. Assume that $h$ is a function on $[0, \infty)$ such that $h(t) \geq t$ and $f(t)=t^{2}$ on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then $f$ is operator $h$-mid-convex function. Because,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right)-\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(A^{2}+B^{2}\right)-\frac{A^{2}+A B+B A+B^{2}}{4} \\
& =\frac{(4 h(1 / 2)-1) A^{2}-A B-B A+(4 h(1 / 2)-1) B^{2}}{4} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{4}\left(A^{2}-A B-B A-B^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{4}(A-B)^{2} \geq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can prove the following theorem as Theorem 1.9 in [5]. So, we omit the proof it.

Theorem 5.3 (Jensen's type operator inequality). Let $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{K}$ be Hilbert space. Assume that $h$ is non-negative super-mutiplicative function and $f$ is a real valued function on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Suppose that $A, A_{j} \in \mathbb{B}_{h}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma(A), \sigma\left(A_{j}\right) \subseteq I(j=1, \cdots, n)$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $f$ is operator $h$-mid-convex on $I$;
(ii) $f\left(C^{*} A C\right) \leq 2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) C^{*} f(A) C$ for every self-adjoint operator $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and isometry $C: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, i.e.; $C^{*} C=1_{\mathcal{K}}$;
(iii) $f\left(C^{*} A C\right) \leq 2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) C^{*} f(A) C$ for every self-adjoint operator $A: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and isometry $C: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$;
(iv) $f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}^{*} A_{j} C_{j}\right) \leq 2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}^{*} f\left(A_{j}\right) C_{j}$ for every self-adjoint operator $A_{j}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and bounded linear operators $C_{j}: \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$; with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}^{*} C_{j}=1_{\mathcal{K}} \quad(j=1, \cdots, n) ;$
(v) $f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}^{*} A_{j} C_{j}\right) \leq 2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}^{*} f\left(A_{j}\right) C_{j}$ for every self-adjoint operator $A_{j}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and bounded linear operators $C_{j}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$; with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} C_{j}^{*} C_{j}=1_{\mathcal{H}} \quad(j=1, \cdots, n) ;$
(vi) $f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{j} A_{j} P_{j}\right) \leq 2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{j} f\left(A_{j}\right) P_{j}$ for every self-adjoint operator $A_{j}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and projection $P_{j}: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$; with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{j}=$ $1_{\mathcal{H}}(j=1, \cdots, n)$.

Using an idea of [5] we prove the following result.

Theorem 5.4 (Davis-Choi-Jensen's inequality). Let $\Phi$ be a normalized positive linear map and $f$ be an operator $h$-convex function on an interval $I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\Phi(A)) \leq 2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Phi(f(A)) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every self-adjoint operator $A$ with $\sigma(A) \subseteq I$.
Proof. We know that a self-adjoint operator $A$ can be approximated uniformly by a simple function $A^{\prime}=\sum_{j} t_{j} E_{j}$ where $\left\{E_{j}\right\}$ is a decomposition of the unit $I_{\mathcal{H}}$. By using normality of $\Phi$, we get $\sum_{j} \Phi\left(E_{j}\right)=I_{\mathcal{K}}$. By applying (iv) of

Theorem 5.3 to $C_{j}=\sqrt{\Phi\left(E_{j}\right)}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\Phi\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) & =f\left(\sum_{j} t_{j} \Phi\left(E_{j}\right)\right)=f\left(\sum_{j} C_{j} t_{j} C_{j}\right) \\
& \leq 2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{j} C_{j} f\left(t_{j}\right) C_{j}=2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \sum_{j} f\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi\left(E_{j}\right) \\
& =2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Phi\left(\sum_{j} f\left(t_{j}\right) E_{j}\right)=2 h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Phi\left(f\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\Phi$ is continuous, the proof is complete.
Theorem 5.5. Let $t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{n}$ be positive real numbers ( $n \geq 2$ ) such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}=1$. If $h$ is non-negative super-multiplicative function and if $f$ is $h$-convex function on an interval $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}, A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ are self-adjoint operators in $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that $\sigma\left(A_{j}\right) \subseteq I$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} A_{j}\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(A_{j}\right) . \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h$ is sub-multiplicative and $f$ is operator $h$-concave on $I$, then inequality (5.3) is reversed.

Proof. We prove this theorem by induction on $n$. If $n=2$, then inequality (5.3) is equivalent to inequality (5.1) with $t=t_{1}$ and $1-t=t_{2}$. Assume that inequality (5.3) holds for $n-1$. Then for $n$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} A_{j}\right) & =f\left(t_{n} A_{n}+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} t_{j} A_{j}\right) \\
& =f\left(t_{n} A_{n}+\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_{j}}{t_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1}} A_{j}\right) \\
& \leq h\left(t_{n}\right) f\left(A_{n}\right)+h\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1}\right) f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{t_{j}}{t_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1}} A_{j}\right) \\
& \leq h\left(t_{n}\right) f\left(A_{n}\right)+h\left(t_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1}\right) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h\left(\frac{t_{j}}{t_{1}+\cdots+t_{n-1}}\right) f\left(A_{j}\right) \\
& \leq h\left(t_{n}\right) f\left(A_{n}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(A_{j}\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(A_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 5.6. By assumptions of Theorem 5.5, if $\Phi \in \mathbf{P}_{N}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2 h\left(\frac{t_{j}}{2}\right) \Phi\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h$ is sub-multiplicative and $f$ is operator $h$-concave on $I$, then inequality (5.4) is reversed.

Proof. If $\Phi \in \mathbf{P}_{N}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi\left(A_{j}\right)\right) & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(\Phi\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2 h\left(t_{j}\right) h\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \Phi\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \quad(\text { by }(5.2)) \\
& \left.\leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2 h\left(\frac{t_{j}}{2}\right) \Phi\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \quad \text { (by super-multiplicity of } h\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For convenience, let $\varphi(t)$ be a real valued continuous function on the interval $[m, M]$. Define

$$
\mu_{\varphi}=\frac{\varphi(M)-\varphi(m)}{M-m}, \quad \nu_{\varphi}=\frac{M \varphi(m)-m \varphi(M)}{M-m} .
$$

We remark that a straight line $\ell(t)=\mu_{\varphi} t+\nu_{\varphi}$ is a line thought two points $(m, \varphi(m))$ and $(M, \varphi(M))$.

Notice that, if $\varphi(t)=t$, then $\mu_{\varphi}=1$ and $\nu_{\varphi}=0$, if $\varphi(t)=1$, then $\mu_{\varphi}=0$ and $\nu_{\varphi}=1$, and if $\varphi(t)=\frac{1}{t}$, then $\mu_{\varphi}=-\frac{1}{m M}$ and $\nu_{\varphi}=\frac{m+M}{m M}$.

Theorem 5.7. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be self-adjoint operators with spectra in $[m, M]$ for some scalars $m, M$ and $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \cdots, \Phi_{n} \in \mathbf{P}_{N}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$ and $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n}$ non-negative real numbers with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}=1$. If $f$ on $[m, M]$ is operator $h$-convex function and $h$ on the interval $J$ is super-multiplicative
operator convex function, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& f\left(m I_{\mathcal{K}}+M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)  \tag{5.5}\\
& \quad \leq h\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right) f(m)+h\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right) f(M) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\mu_{h}+2 \nu_{h}\right)(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Define the function $g:[m, M] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(t)=f(m+M-t)$. Since $f$ is continuous and $h$-convex on $[m, M]$, so the same is true for $g$. Consequently, for every $t \in[m, M]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t) \leq h\left(\frac{t-m}{M-m}\right) f(M)+h\left(\frac{M-t}{M-m}\right) f(m) \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(t) \leq h\left(\frac{t-m}{M-m}\right) g(M)+h\left(\frac{M-t}{M-m}\right) g(m) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}=1, \Phi_{j}\left(I_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=I_{\mathcal{K}}$ and $m I_{\mathcal{H}} \leq A_{j} \leq M I_{\mathcal{H}}(j=1, \cdots, n)$, we conclude that $m I_{\mathcal{K}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right) \leq M I_{\mathcal{K}}$. Now, by using functional calculus and (5.6) and super-multiplicity of $h$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
h\left(t_{j}\right) f\left(A_{j}\right) \leq & h\left(t_{j}\right) h\left(\frac{A_{j}-m I_{\mathcal{H}}}{M-m}\right) f(M)+h\left(t_{j}\right) h\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{H}}-A_{j}}{M-m}\right) f(m) \\
\leq & h\left(\frac{t_{j} A_{j}-m t_{j} I_{\mathcal{H}}}{M-m}\right) f(M)+h\left(\frac{M t_{j} I_{\mathcal{H}}-t_{j} A_{j}}{M-m}\right) f(m) \\
\leq & {\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{t_{j} A_{j}-m t_{j} I_{\mathcal{H}}}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{H}}\right] f(M) }  \tag{5.8}\\
& +\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{M t_{j} I_{\mathcal{H}}-t_{j} A_{j}}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{H}}\right] f(m) .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, by linearity of $\Phi_{j}$ for every $j=1, \cdots, n$ and the inequality (5.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \leq & {\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m t_{j} I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right] f(M) } \\
& +\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{M t_{j} I_{\mathcal{K}}-t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right] f(m) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By summing of all $j=1, \cdots, n$

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \leq & {\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right] f(M) }  \tag{5.9}\\
& +\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right] f(m) \\
= & \mu_{h}\left[\mu_{f} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)+\nu_{f} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right]+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}
\end{align*}
$$

Also, using similar way and the (5.7) inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq h\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right) f(m)+h\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right) f(M)
\end{aligned}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(m I_{\mathcal{K}}+M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)=g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \leq h\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right) f(m)+h\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right) f(M) \\
& \leq {\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right] f(m) } \\
&+\left[\mu_{h}\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right)+\nu_{h} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right] f(M) \\
&= \mu_{h}\left[f(m) I_{\mathcal{K}}+f(M) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m} f(m)\right.\right. \\
&\left.+\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m} f(M)\right)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}} \\
&= \mu_{h}\left[(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\left(\mu_{f} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)+\nu_{f} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right)\right]+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}} \\
& \leq\left(\mu_{h}+2 \nu_{h}\right)(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the final inequality, we use the inequality (5.9), and we obtain desired inequalities (5.5).

Corollary 5.8. (i) Let $h(t)=t$ in Theorem 5.7, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(m I_{\mathcal{K}}+M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right) f(m)+\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right) f(M) \\
& \quad \leq f(m) I_{\mathcal{K}}+f(M) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Let $h(t)=1$ in Theorem 5.7, then we have

$$
f\left(m I_{\mathcal{K}}+M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \leq 2(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right)
$$

With similar proof of Theorem 5.7, we have the following proposition.
Proposition 5.9. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \cdots, A_{n} \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be self-adjoint operators with spectra in $[m, M]$ for some scalars and $\Phi_{1}, \Phi_{2}, \cdots, \Phi_{n} \in \mathbf{P}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})]$ positive linear maps with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(I_{\mathcal{H}}\right)=I_{\mathcal{K}}$. If $f$ on $[m, M]$ is operator $h$-convex function and $h$ on the interval $J$ is operator convex function, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(m I_{\mathcal{K}}+M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq h\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right) f(m)+h\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right) f(M) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\mu_{h}+2 \nu_{h}\right)(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 5.10. [7, Theorem 1] Let $h(t)=t$ in Proposition 5.9, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(m I_{\mathcal{K}}+M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)-m I_{\mathcal{K}}}{M-m}\right) f(m)+\left(\frac{M I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)}{M-m}\right) f(M) \\
& \quad \leq f(m) I_{\mathcal{K}}+f(M) I_{\mathcal{K}}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 5.11. Suppose that $A_{j} \in \mathbb{B}_{h}(\mathcal{H})$ with $\sigma\left(A_{j}\right) \in[m, M](m<M)$, $\Phi_{j} \in \mathbf{P}_{N}[\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})](j=1, \cdots, n)$ and $t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n} \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j}=1$. If $f, g \in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ and $F(u, v)$ is a real valued continuous function defined on $U \times V$, where $f[m, M] \subset U, g[m, M] \subset V$ and $F$ is an operator monotone function relative to the first component $u$ and $f$ is a non-negative operator monotone $h$-convex and $h$ is super-multiplicative operator convex function on $J$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
F & {\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right), g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)\right] }  \tag{5.10}\\
& \leq \max _{m \leq t \leq M} F\left[\mu_{h} \mu_{f} t+\mu_{h} \nu_{f}+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)), g(t)\right] I_{\mathcal{K}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. With the above assumptions and similar proof of previous theorem, we have

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \leq \mu_{h}\left[\mu_{f} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)+\nu_{f} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right]+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
F & {\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right), g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)\right] } \\
& \leq F\left[\mu_{h}\left[\mu_{f} \sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)+\nu_{f} I_{\mathcal{K}}\right]+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) I_{\mathcal{K}}, g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)\right] \\
& \leq \max _{m \leq t \leq M} F\left[\mu_{h} \mu_{f} t+\mu_{h} \nu_{f}+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)), g(t)\right] I_{\mathcal{K}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have the desired inequality (5.10).
Theorem 5.12. With assumptions of previous theorem, if $f$ is operator $h$ convex on $[m, M]$ and $h$ is operator convex function on $J$, then for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \leq \alpha g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)+\beta I_{K} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\beta=\max _{m \leq t \leq M}\left\{\mu_{h} \mu_{f} t+\mu_{h} \nu_{f}+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))-\alpha g(t)\right\}
$$

In addition,
(i) If $\alpha g$ is concave, then

$$
\beta \geq \max _{s \in\{m, M\}}\left\{\mu_{h} f(s)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))-\alpha g(s)\right\}
$$

(ii) If $\alpha g$ is strictly convex differentiable, then

$$
\beta \leq \mu_{h} f(s)-\alpha g(s)+\left|\mu_{h} \mu_{f}-\alpha g^{\prime}(s)\right|(M-m)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)),
$$

where $s \in\{m, M\}$.
Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. Define $F(u, v)=u-\alpha v$. Since $F$ is operator monotone on a first variable $u$, hence by Theorem 5.11, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right)-\alpha g\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \leq \max _{m \leq t \leq M}\left\{\mu_{h} \mu_{f} t+\mu_{h} \nu_{f}+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))-\alpha g(t)\right\} I_{\mathcal{K}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and we have the desired inequality (5.11).
Put $\Psi(t)=\mu_{h}\left(\mu_{f} t+\nu_{f}\right)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))-\alpha g(t)$. In the case (i), if $\alpha g(t)$ is concave on $[m, M]$, then $\Psi$ is convex on $[m, M]$ and therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =\max _{m \leq t \leq M} \Psi(t)=\max \{\Psi(m), \Psi(M)\} \\
& =\max _{s \in\{m, M\}}\left\{\mu_{h} f(s)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))-\alpha g(s)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case (ii), if $\alpha g$ is strictly convex differentiable, then there exists $t_{0} \in$ $[m, M]$ such that $\beta=\max _{m \leq t \leq M} \Psi(t)=\Psi\left(t_{0}\right)$. So, if $s \in\{m, M\}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\beta & =\mu_{h}\left(\mu_{f} t_{0}+\nu_{f}\right)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))-\alpha g\left(t_{0}\right) \\
& =\mu_{h} f(s)+\mu_{h} \mu_{f}\left(t_{0}-s\right)-\alpha g\left(t_{0}\right)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) \\
& =\mu_{h} f(s)-\alpha g(s)+\mu_{h} \mu_{f}\left(t_{0}-s\right)-\alpha g\left(t_{0}\right)+\alpha g(s)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) \\
& \leq \mu_{h} f(s)-\alpha g(s)+\mu_{h} \mu_{f}\left(t_{0}-s\right)-\alpha g^{\prime}(s)\left(t_{0}-s\right)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))
\end{aligned}
$$

( $\alpha g$ is strictly convex differentiable)

$$
\leq \mu_{h} f(s)-\alpha g(s)+\left|\mu_{h} \mu_{f}-\alpha g^{\prime}(s)\right|(M-m)+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M)) .
$$

Corollary 5.13 (Complementary inequality of Jensen's inequality). Let $A_{j}$, $\Phi_{j}$ and $t_{j}(j=1, \cdots, n)$ be as in Theorem 5.12. If $\in \mathcal{C}([m, M])$ is a function which is nonnegative, strictly $h$-convex and twice differentiable, then for every $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} h\left(t_{j}\right) \Phi_{j}\left(f\left(A_{j}\right)\right) \leq \alpha f\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} t_{j} \Phi_{j}\left(A_{j}\right)\right)+\beta I_{\mathcal{K}} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta=\mu_{h} \mu_{f} t_{0}+\mu_{h} \nu_{f}+\nu_{h}(f(m)+f(M))-\alpha f\left(t_{0}\right)$ and

$$
t_{0}= \begin{cases}f^{\prime-1}\left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \mu_{h} \mu_{f}\right) & ; \text { if } \alpha f^{\prime}(m)<\mu_{h} \mu_{f}<\alpha f^{\prime}(M) \\ m & ; \text { if } \alpha f^{\prime}(m) \geq \mu_{h} \mu_{f} \\ M & ; \text { if } \alpha f^{\prime}(M) \leq \mu_{h} \mu_{f}\end{cases}
$$
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